

AN APPLICATION OF GROUP EXPANSION TO THE ANDERSON-BERNOULLI MODEL

J. BOURGAIN

ABSTRACT. We establish smoothness of the density of states for 1D lattice Schrödinger operators with potential taking values $\pm\lambda$, for λ in a class of small algebraic numbers and energy $E \in]-2, 2[$ (suitably restricted away from ± 2).

0. Introduction

Let $H = \Delta + \lambda V$, where Δ is the lattice Laplacian on \mathbb{Z} and $V_z = (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are independent variables in $\{1, -1\}$. The spectral theory of this operator, referred to as the Anderson-Bernoulli model (A-B for short) has been studied by various authors. It was shown by Halperin [S-T] that for fixed λ , the integrated density of states (IDS) $\mathcal{N}(E)$ of H is not Hölder continuous of any order α larger than

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{2 \log 2}{\operatorname{Arccosh}(1 + \lambda)}. \quad (0.1)$$

Hölder regularity for some $\alpha > 0$ has been established in several papers.

In [Ca-K-M], le Page's method is used. Different approaches (including the super-symmetric formalism) appear in the paper [S-V-W] that relies on harmonic analysis principles around the uncertainty principle. Recently [B1], the author showed that $\mathcal{N}(E)$ restricted to $\delta < |E| < 2 - \delta$ ($\delta > 0$ fixed) is at least Hölder-regular of exponent $\alpha(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} 1$.

It is believed that in fact for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $\mathcal{N}(E)$ becomes arbitrarily smooth and in particular $\frac{d\mathcal{N}(E)}{dE}$ is bounded for $|\lambda|$ small enough. No result of this type for the A-B model seems presently known. Recall also Thouless formula relating $\mathcal{N}(E)$ with the Lyapounov exponent $L(E)$ of H , i.e.

$$L(E) = \int \log |E - E'| d\mathcal{N}(E'). \quad (0.2)$$

Date: August 18, 2018.

Since $\mathcal{N}(E)$ is obtained as the Hilbert transform of $L(E)$, their regularity properties may be derived from each other.

The purpose of this Note is to prove the following in support of the above conjecture.

Theorem. *Let H_λ be the A-B model considered above and restrict $|E| < 2 - \delta$ for some fixed $\delta > 0$. Given a constant $C > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there is some $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(C, k) > 0$ such that $\mathcal{N}(E)$ is C^k -smooth on $] - 2 + \delta, 2 - \delta[$ provided λ satisfies the following conditions*

$$(0.3) \quad |\lambda| < \lambda_0$$

$$(0.4) \quad \lambda \text{ is an algebraic number of degree } d < C \text{ and minimal polynomial } P_d(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \text{ with coefficients bounded by } (\frac{1}{\lambda})^C$$

$$(0.5) \quad \lambda \text{ has a conjugate } \lambda' \text{ of modulus } |\lambda'| \geq 1$$

This seems in particular to be the first statement of Lipschitz behavior of the IDS for an A-B model. Several comments are in order. Firstly, the arithmetic assumptions on λ permit to exploit a spectral gap theorem for the projective action ρ of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ on $P_1(\mathbb{R})$ that was established in [B-Y] and which is our main tool (cf. also the application in [B2] of the latter result to regularity of Furstenberg measures). This spectral gap property is not a consequence of hyperbolicity but is obtained by an adaptation to $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ of the arguments from [B-G] on spectral gaps in $SU(2)$, established by methods from arithmetic combinatorics (we will not elaborate on these aspects here; see also §4). In its abstract setting, the result from [B-Y] may be formulated as follows. We identify $P_1(\mathbb{R})$ with the torus $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 1. [B-Y].

Given a constant $0 < c < 1$, there is $R_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the following holds. Let $R > R_0$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset SL_2(R)$, $|\mathcal{G}| = R$ generating freely the free group F_R on R generators. Assume moreover

$$(0.6) \quad \|g - e\| < R^{-c} \text{ for } g \in \mathcal{G}$$

$$(0.7) \quad \mathcal{G} \text{ satisfies the following 'non commutative diophantine condition'. Denote } W_\ell(\mathcal{G}) \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ the set of words of length at most } \ell \text{ written in the } \mathcal{G}\text{-elements. Then, for all } \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

$$\|g - e\| > R^{-\ell/c} \text{ for } g \in W_\ell(\mathcal{G}) \setminus \{e\}.$$

Then there is a finite dimensional subspace V of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, that may be taken

$$V = [e(n\theta); |n| < K] \quad (e(n\theta) = e^{2\pi in\theta})$$

where $K = K(R) \in \mathbb{Z}$ large enough, such that if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, $\|f\|_2 = 1$ and $f \perp V$, then

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2R} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} (\rho_g f + \rho_{g^{-1}} f) \right\|_2 < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (0.8)$$

In the construction from [B-Y], the elements of \mathcal{G} have rational entries, more precisely, $\mathcal{G} \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cap \frac{1}{Q} \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $Q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying

$$Q^c < |\mathcal{G}| < R < Q. \quad (0.9)$$

Obviously $\|g - e\| \geq Q^{-\ell}$ for $g \in W_\ell(\mathcal{G}) \setminus \{e\}$ and in this way we obtain condition (0.7). In the application in this paper, \mathcal{G} will consist of algebraic elements of bounded degree $d < C$ and height bounded by R^C . The required diophantine condition follows then from [G-J-S], Proposition 4.3, again invoking simple arithmetic considerations. Presently, the [G-J-S] argument seems the only known one to establish such non-commutative DC and it is a major problem in this area of group expansion to treat non-algebraic generators. This explains why in (0.4), λ was assumed algebraic. Let us next explain assumption (0.5), which in some sense is the novel input. Denote for a fixed $E \in]-2 + \delta, 2 - \delta[$

$$g_+ = \begin{pmatrix} E + \lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad g_- = \begin{pmatrix} E - \lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (0.10)$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= g_+ g_-^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ h_2 &= g_+^{-1} g_- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (0.11)$$

We use the following result due to Brenner [Br].

Proposition 2. ([Br]).

If $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\mu| \geq 2$, then the group generated by the parabolic elements

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mu & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is free.

As pointed out in [L-U], the same conclusion holds if μ is an algebraic number with an algebraic conjugate μ' such that $|\mu'| \geq 2$. Hence, if λ satisfies (0.5), the elements h_1, h_2 defined in (0.11) will generate a free group. The set \mathcal{G} in Proposition 1 is then obtained by considering elements $h_1^r h_2^s$, $r = 1, \dots, R$. Using Proposition 1, we prove that

$$\|f - \rho_{g_+} f\|_2 + \|f - \rho_{g_-} f\|_2 > \frac{1}{8} \lambda^\tau \quad (0.12)$$

if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, $\|f\|_2 = 1$, $f \in V^\perp$.

Here $\tau > 0$ is arbitrary and fixed, $|\lambda|$ taken sufficiently small depending on τ (for our purpose, $\tau < \frac{1}{2}$ will do). Note that the inequality (0.12), restricted to $f \in V^\perp$, $\|f\|_2 = 1$, is considerably stronger than the general inequality (cf. [S-V-W], Theorem 4.1)

$$\|f - \rho_{g_+} f\|_2 + \|f - \rho_{g_-} f\|_2 > c|\lambda| \quad (0.13)$$

if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, $\|f\|_2 = 1$.

From (0.12), we derive a restricted spectral gap for the operator $\frac{1}{4}(I + \rho_{g_+} + \rho_{g_-})$. i. e.

$$\left\| \frac{1}{3}(f + \rho_{g_+} f + \rho_{g_-} f) \right\|_2 \leq (1 - c\lambda^{2\tau}) \|f\|_2 \text{ for } f \in V^\perp \quad (0.14)$$

and (0.14) is then processed further to derive certain smoothing estimates for the convolution powers (cf. [B2]), from which eventually the regularity of the Lyapounov exponent is derived.

Some comments about the energy restriction $|E| < 2 - \delta$. At some stage of our analysis, we make use of the Figotin-Pastur transformation, setting

$$E = 2 \cos \kappa \quad (0 < \kappa < \pi) \quad (0.15)$$

and conjugating the cocycle by the matrix

$$S = \frac{1}{(\sin \kappa)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\cos \kappa \\ 0 & \sin \kappa \end{pmatrix}. \quad (0.16)$$

This gives

$$Sg_{\pm}S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \kappa & -\sin \kappa \\ \sin \kappa & \cos \kappa \end{pmatrix} \pm \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\cos \kappa}{\sin \kappa} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (0.17)$$

which for small λ are perturbations of a rotation. We did not explore here how to handle the edges of the spectrum.

Finally, let us point out that while λ is taken small, we do not let $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in the above Theorem and the regularity estimates on $\mathcal{N}(E)$ degenerate in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

1. A spectral gap estimate

In this section, we prove the following

Proposition 3. *Fix constants $C > 1, 0 < \tau < \frac{1}{2}$. Let λ be an algebraic number of degree $d < C$ and with minimal polynomial $P_d(x) = \sum_{j=0}^d a_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Assume*

$$(1.1) \quad |\lambda|, \lambda_0 = \lambda_0(C, \tau) < \frac{1}{10}$$

$$(1.2) \quad H = \max |a_j| < \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^C$$

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda \text{ has an algebraic conjugate } \lambda' \text{ with } |\lambda'| \geq 2.$$

Denote

$$h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } h_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let ρ be the projective representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, acting on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. There is a finite dimensional space $V = [e(n\theta); |n| < K]$, where $K = K(\lambda)$, such that if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, $\|f\|_2 = 1$ and $f \perp V$, then

$$\|f - \rho_{h_1} f\|_2 + \|f - \rho_{h_2} f\|_2 > \frac{1}{4} \lambda^\tau. \quad (1.4)$$

By (0.11), Proposition 3 implies (0.12) for λ satisfying assumption (0.5) of the Theorem.

Proof of Proposition 3.

The argument relies on Proposition 1 and 2 stated in Section 0.

Let f be as above (with K to be specified) and assume

$$\|f - \rho_{h_1} f\|_2 < \varepsilon_0, \|f - \rho_{h_2} f\|_2 < \varepsilon_0. \quad (1.5)$$

Denoting $W_\ell(h_1, h_2)$ the words of length at most ℓ written in h_1, h_2 and their inverses, it follows from (1.5) that

$$\|f - \rho_g f\|_2 < \ell \varepsilon_0 \text{ for } g \in W_\ell(h_1, h_2). \quad (1.6)$$

By Proposition 2 and (1.3), h_1, h_2 are generators of the free group F_2 . Let

$$R = [|\lambda|^{-\tau}] \quad (1.7)$$

and define for $r = 1, \dots, R$

$$g_r = h_1^r h_2^r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ r\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.8)$$

Then $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_R\}$ are free generators of F_R and clearly satisfy

$$\|1 - g\| < \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } g \in \mathcal{G}. \quad (1.9)$$

In order to apply Proposition 1, we need to verify the DC (0.7). This is basically Proposition 4.3 from [G-J-S], but we recall the argument since the quantitative aspects of the estimate matter here.

Take $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $N \leq H$ such that $N\lambda = \mu \in \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)}$ (the integers of the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$). If $w \in W_\ell(\mathcal{G})$, the entries of $w - 1$ are, by (1.8), of the form $f(\lambda)$ with $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $D \leq 2\ell$ and coefficients bounded by $(2 + R)^{2\ell}$. Let $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d$ be the conjugates of λ and set $\mu_j = N\lambda_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq d$) which are the conjugates of μ . Thus $N^D f(\lambda_j) = f_1(\mu_j)$ where $f_1(X) = N^d f(\frac{X}{N}) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Assuming $f(\lambda) \neq 0$, it follows that $\prod_{j=1}^d f_1(\mu_j) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and hence

$$|f_1(\mu)| \geq N^{-(d-1)D} \prod_{j=2}^d |f(\lambda_j)|^{-1}. \quad (1.10)$$

Since $|\lambda_j| \leq H + 1$, $|f(\lambda_j)| \leq (2 + R)^{2\ell} (H + 1)^{2\ell}$ and by (1.10), (1.7), (1.2)

$$\|w - 1\| \geq |f(\lambda)| \geq N^{-dD} [(2 + R)(1 + H)]^{-2\ell(d-1)} > R^{-4(\frac{C}{\tau} + 1)d\ell} = R^{-C'\ell}$$

Taking $|\lambda| < \lambda_0(C, \tau)$, we get $R > R_0$ and the conclusion of Proposition 1 applies with some K depending on the size of λ .

From (0.8), it follows in particular that for some $g \in \mathcal{G} \subset W_{2R}(h_1, h_2)$

$$\frac{1}{2} < \|f - \rho_g f\|_2 < 2R\varepsilon_0$$

implying (1.4). This proves Proposition 3. \square

In the sequel, we will use (0.12) for some fixed $\tau < \frac{1}{2}$.

2. Smoothing estimates

For $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, denote by τ_g the action on $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R})$, identified with the circle $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Thus if $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, $ad - bc = 1$, then

$$e^{i\tau_g(\theta)} = \frac{(a \cos \theta + b \sin \theta) + i(c \cos \theta + d \sin \theta)}{[(a \cos \theta + b \sin \theta)^2 + (c \cos \theta + d \sin \theta)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (2.1)$$

and $\rho_g f = (\tau'_{g^{-1}})^{\frac{1}{2}}(f \circ \tau_{g^{-1}})$. Recall that

$$\tau'_g(\theta) = \frac{\sin^2 \tau_g(\theta)}{(c \cos \theta + d \sin \theta)^2} = \frac{1}{(a \cos \theta + b \sin \theta)^2 + (c \cos \theta + d \sin \theta)^2} \quad (2.2)$$

hence

$$\|g\|^{-2} \leq \tau'_g \leq \|g\|^2 \text{ and } |\tau_g^{(s)}| \leq c_s \|g\|^{2s} \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (2.3)$$

Assume $|E| < 2 - \delta$ and perform the Figotin-Pastur transformation (0.15)-(0.17) denoting $\tilde{g}_\pm = Sg_\pm S^{-1}$. Since $\rho_{\tilde{g}_\pm} = \rho_S \rho_{g_\pm} \rho_{S^{-1}}$, it follows from (0.12) that

$$\|f - \rho_{\tilde{g}_+} f\|_2 + \|f - \rho_{\tilde{g}_-} f\|_2 > \frac{1}{8} \lambda^\tau \quad (2.4)$$

provided $\|f\|_2 = 1$, $\rho_{S^{-1}} f \in V^\perp$. Since τ_S acts on \mathbb{T} as a smooth diffeomorphism, the space V may clearly be redefined as to ensure that (2.4) holds for $f \in V^\perp$, $\|f\|_2 = 1$. Observe also that by (0.17) and our assumption $|E| < 2 - \delta$, δ fixed, \tilde{g}_\pm are $O(\lambda)$ perturbations of a circle rotation. Hence, by (2.2)

$$\|\tilde{g}_\pm\| < 1 + C\lambda \quad (2.5)$$

$$\tau'_{\tilde{g}_\pm} = 1 + O(\lambda). \quad (2.6)$$

Denoting

$$\tilde{T}_1 = \frac{1}{3}(I + \rho_{(\tilde{g}_+)^{-1}} + \rho_{(\tilde{g}_-)^{-1}}) \quad (2.7)$$

(2.4) implies that

$$\|\tilde{T}_1 f\|_2 < 1 - \frac{1}{2300} \lambda^{2\tau} \text{ if } f \in V^\perp, \|f\|_2 = 1. \quad (2.8)$$

Since $\rho_{(\tilde{g}_{\pm})^{-1}} f = ((\tau_{\tilde{g}_{\pm}})')^{\frac{1}{2}} (f \circ \tau_{\tilde{g}_{\pm}})$, (2.6) clearly implies (assuming λ small enough)

$$\|\tilde{T}f\|_2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2301} \lambda^{2\tau}\right) \|f\|_2 \text{ for } f \in V^{\perp} \quad (2.9)$$

where $V = [e(n\theta); |n| < K]$ and we defined

$$\tilde{T}f = \frac{1}{3} (f + (f \circ \tau_{\tilde{g}_+}) + (f \circ \tau_{\tilde{g}_-})). \quad (2.10)$$

For simplicity, we drop the \sim notation in the next considerations.

Our next goal is to deduce from the contractive estimate (2.9) further bounds on T^m acting on various spaces. Note that obviously

$$\|T^m f\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty}. \quad (2.11)$$

Let $g \in W_{\ell}(g_+, g_-)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n' \in \mathbb{Z}_*$. By change of variable and partial integration, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int e(n'\tau_g(x) + nx) dx \right| &= \left| \int e(n'y + n\tau_{g^{-1}}(y)) \tau_{g^{-1}}(y) dy \right| \\ &\ll_r \frac{1}{|n'|^r} \|e(n\tau_{g^{-1}}) \tau_{g^{-1}}'\|_{C^r} \\ &\ll_r \frac{1}{|n'|^r} (|n|^r \|g\|^{2(r+1)}) \quad (\text{by (2.3)}) \\ &\ll_r \frac{|n|^r}{|n'|^r} (1 + C|\lambda|)^{2(r+1)\ell} \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

since $\|g\| < (1 + C\lambda)^{\ell}$ from (2.5).

Lemma 1.

$$\|T^m f\|_2 \leq C(\lambda) \|f\|_2. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. Denote P_K the orthogonal (= Fourier) projection on V and decompose $f = f^{(1)} + f^{(2)}$, $f^{(1)} = P_K f$, $f^{(2)} \perp V$.

Thus

$$\|f^{(1)}\|_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{2K} \|f\|_2 \text{ and } \|f^{(2)}\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^m f\|_2 &\leq \|T^m f^{(1)}\|_2 + \|T^m f^{(2)}\|_2 \\ &\leq \|T^m f^{(1)}\|_{\infty} + \|T^{m-1} f_1\|_2 \quad (f_1 = T f^{(2)}) \\ &\leq \|f^{(1)}\|_{\infty} + \|T^{m-1} f_1\|_2 \quad (\text{by (2.11)}) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2K} \|f\|_2 + \|T^{m-1} f_1\|_2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where, by (2.9),

$$\|f_1\|_2 \leq (1 - c\lambda^{2\tau})\|f^{(2)}\|_2 \leq (1 - c\lambda^{2\tau})\|f\|_2.$$

Repeat (2.14) with f replaced by f_1 and iterate to get

$$\|T^m f\|_2 \lesssim \sqrt{2K}\lambda^{-2\tau}\|f\|_2$$

proving (2.13). \square

There is the following refinement of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. *Let $\text{supp } \hat{f} \cap [-2^k, 2^k] = \phi$ with $k > k(\lambda)$.*

Then

$$\|T^m f\|_2 \leq C(\lambda)e^{-\min(c\lambda^{2\tau}m, rk)}\|f\|_2 \quad (2.15)$$

for any given $r \geq 1$ (assuming λ small enough).

Proof. In view of Lemma 1, it suffices to establish (2.15) for $m < C\lambda^{-2\tau}rk$.

Set $F_m = T^m f$ and decompose $F_m = P_K F_m + (F_m - P_K F_m) = F_m^{(1)} + F_m^{(2)}$.

Then, using (2.12)

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{F}_m(n)| &\leq \max_{g \in W_m} \sum_{|n'| > 2^k} |\hat{f}(n')| |e^{\widehat{(n'\tau_g)}}(n)| \\ &\ll_r |n|^r e^{C|\lambda|rm} \sum_{|n'| > 2^k} |\hat{f}(n')| |n'|^{-r} \\ &\ll_r |n|^r e^{Cr|\lambda|m} 2^{-k(r-\frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_2 \\ &\ll_r |n|^r \left(e^{Cr|\lambda|^{1-2\tau}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{rk} \|f\|_2 < |n|^r e^{-\frac{1}{10}rk} \|f\|_2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

by the assumption on m and λ sufficiently small ($\tau < \frac{1}{2}$).

Thus

$$\|F_m^{(1)}\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2K}\|F_m^{(1)}\|_2 \leq CK^{r+1}e^{-\frac{1}{10}rk}\|f\|_2.$$

Estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{m+1}\|_2 &\leq \|TF_m^{(1)}\|_\infty + \|TF_m^{(2)}\|_2 \\ &\leq CK^{r+1}e^{-\frac{1}{10}rk}\|f\|_2 + (1 - c\lambda^{2\tau})\|F_m\|_2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where we used again (2.9).

Iteration of (2.17) with $m < Cr\lambda^{-2\tau}k$ gives

$$\|F_m\|_2 \leq [C_r(\lambda)e^{-\frac{1}{10}rk} + e^{-c\lambda^{2\tau}m}] \|f\|_2.$$

This proves (2.15). \square

Next, we establish bounds on higher Sobolev norms.

Lemma 3. *For $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $|\lambda| < \lambda(s)$, we have for $f \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$*

$$\|T^m f\|_{H^s} \leq C(\lambda) \|f\|_2 + e^{-c(\lambda)m} \|f\|_{H^s}. \quad (2.18)$$

In particular

$$\|T^m f\|_{H^s} \leq C \|f\|_{H^s}.$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 2 with $m = m_0(\lambda)$ to specify, $K_1 = 2^{m_0}$, to obtain

$$\|T^{m_0}(I - P_{K_1})\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq C(\lambda)e^{-c\lambda^{2\tau}m_0} \quad (2.20)$$

while on the other hand for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{m_0}(I - P_{K_1})\|_{H^s \rightarrow H^s} &\leq \|T^{m_0}\|_{H^s \rightarrow H^s} < C_s \max_{g \in W_{m_0}} \|g\|^{2s} \\ &< C_s e^{C\lambda s m_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Assuming λ sufficiently small and taking $m_0 = m_0(\lambda, s)$, interpolation between (2.20), (2.21) will imply that

$$\|T^{m_0}(I - P_{K_1})\|_{H^s \rightarrow H^s} < \frac{1}{10}. \quad (2.22)$$

Set $F_m = T^m f$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{m+m_0}\|_{H^s} &\leq \|T^{m_0}P_{K_1}F_m\|_{H^s} + \|T^{m_0}(I - P_{K_1})F_m\|_{H^s} \\ &\stackrel{(2.22)}{\leq} C(\lambda)K_1^s \|F_m\|_2 + \frac{1}{10} \|F_m\|_{H^s} \\ &\stackrel{(2.13)}{\leq} C(\lambda) \|f\|_2 + \frac{1}{10} \|F_m\|_{H^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Iteration of (2.23) implies (2.18). \square

Lemmas 1, 2, 3 hold for \tilde{T} defined in (2.10). If we define now T by

$$Tf = \frac{1}{3}(f + (f \circ \tau_{g_+}) + (f \circ \tau_{g_-})) \quad (2.24)$$

clearly T and \tilde{T} are related by

$$\tilde{T}f = (T(f \circ \tau_S)) \circ \tau_{S^{-1}}$$

with S given by (0.16). Thus τ_S intertwines T^m and $(\tilde{T})^m$, Lemma 3 remains valid for the original T given by (2.24).

Let μ be the probability measure on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{g_+} + \delta_{g_-}). \quad (2.25)$$

The Furstenberg measure ν is the (unique) μ -stationary measure on $P_1(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{T}$, i.e. satisfying

$$\nu = \sum_g (\tau_g)_* [\nu] \mu(g). \quad (2.26)$$

For $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$, one has large deviation inequalities (cf. [B-L]) of the form

$$\left\| \sum_g (f \circ \tau_g) \mu^{(\ell)}(g) - \int f d\nu \right\|_{\infty} \leq C e^{-c(\lambda)\ell} \|f\|_{C^1}. \quad (2.27)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{1}{3}I + \frac{2}{3} \sum (\tau_g)_* \mu(g) \\ T^\ell &= 3^{-\ell} \sum_{m=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{m} 2^m \left(\sum (\tau_g)_* \mu^{(m)}(g) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Combined with (2.27), this gives

Lemma 4.

$$\|T^\ell f - \int f d\nu\|_{\infty} \leq C(\lambda) e^{-c(\lambda)\ell} \|f\|_{C^1}. \quad (2.29)$$

Proof. L.h.s. of (2.29) is bounded by

$$C \|f\|_{C^1} 3^{-\ell} \sum_{m=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{m} 2^m e^{-c(\lambda)m} < C \|f\|_{C^1} \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} e^{-c(\lambda)} \right)^{\ell}.$$

□

Lemma 5. For $s \geq 1$ and $f \in H^{s+1}$

$$\|(T^\ell f)'\|_{H^s} \leq C(\lambda) e^{-c(\lambda)\ell} \|f\|_{H^{s+1}}.$$

Proof. Choose some $\ell_1 < \ell$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T^\ell f)'\|_{H^s} &\leq \|T^\ell f - \int f d\nu\|_{H^{s+1}} \\ &\leq \|T^{\ell_1}(T^{\ell-\ell_1} f - \int f d\nu)\|_{H^{s+1}} \\ &\leq C(\lambda) \|T^{\ell-\ell_1} f - \int f d\nu\|_2 + e^{-c(\lambda)\ell_1} \|T^{\ell-\ell_1} f\|_{H^{s+1}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 3}) \\ &\leq C(\lambda) e^{-c(\lambda)(\ell-\ell_1)} \|f\|_{C^1} + C(\lambda) e^{-c(\lambda)\ell_1} \|f\|_{H^{s+1}} \quad (\text{by Lemmas 4, 3}). \end{aligned}$$

and (2.30) follows by taking $\ell_1 \sim \frac{\ell}{2}$. \square

3. Smoothness of Lyapounov exponent and density of states

Recall Thouless' formula

$$L(E) = \int \log |E - E'| d\mathcal{N}(E')$$

which shows that the Lyapounov exponent $L(E)$ and the IDS $\mathcal{N}(E)$ are related by the Hilbert transform. Hence it suffices to consider smoothness of $L(E)$.

Recall also that if η is the site distribution of H , then

$$\begin{aligned} L(E) &= \iint \log \left\| \begin{pmatrix} E - V & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \right\| \eta(dv) \nu_E(d\theta) \\ &= \int A_\pm v \log \left\| \begin{pmatrix} E \pm \lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \right\| \nu_E(d\theta) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

in the Bernoulli case. Denote

$$\Phi_E(\theta) = A_\pm v \log \left\| \begin{pmatrix} E \pm \lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \right\| \quad (3.2)$$

which is a smooth function in (θ, E) .

By (3.1) and Lemma 4,

$$\|L(E) - (T_E)^\ell \Phi_E\|_\infty < C e^{-c\ell} \quad (3.3)$$

noting the dependence of T on E (constants in the sequel may depend on λ).

Proof of the Theorem.

By the preceding, it suffices to show that $L(E)$ is a C^k -function of E , assuming λ_0 in (0.3) sufficiently small.

By (3.3), it will suffice to establish bounds on $\partial_E^{(k)}(T_E^\ell \Phi_E)$ that are uniform in ℓ .

Returning to (0.10), let $\mathcal{G} = \{g_+^{(E)}, g_-^{(E)}, 1\}$. For $g_1, \dots, g_\ell \in \mathcal{G}$, the chain rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_E(\Phi_E \circ \tau_{g_1 \dots g_\ell}) &= \\ (\partial_E \Phi_E) \circ \tau_{g_1 \dots g_\ell} &+ \\ \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} [(\Phi_E \circ \tau_{g_1 \dots g_{m-1}})' \circ \tau_{g_m \dots g_\ell}] &[(\partial_E \tau_{g_m}) \circ \tau_{g_{m+1} \dots g_\ell}] \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\partial_E \tau_g = -\sin^2 \tau_g$. Averaging (3.4) gives therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_E(T_E^\ell \Phi_E) &= T^\ell(\partial_E \Phi_E) \\ &- \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} T^{\ell-m+1} [(T^{m-1} \Phi_E)' \sin^2 \theta]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Thus

$$|(3.5)| < C + \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \|(T^{m-1} \Phi_E)'\|_\infty$$

and applying Lemma 5 with $f = \Phi_E$ and $s = 1$ shows that $\|(T^m \Phi_E)'\|_\infty \leq C e^{-cm}$.

For $s = 2$, one obtains by iteration of (3.5) expansions of the form

$$T^{m_1}(\sin^2 \theta(T^{m_2}(\sin^2 \theta(T^{m_3} \Phi_E)'))') \quad (3.6)$$

where $\ell = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$.

Again from Lemma 5, applied consecutively for $s = 1, s = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(3.6)| &\lesssim \|(T^{m_2}(\sin^2 \theta(T^{m_3} \Phi_E)'))'\|_{H^1} \\ &\lesssim e^{-cm_2} \|(T^{m_3} \Phi_E)'\|_{H^2} \\ &\lesssim e^{-c(m_2+m_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

The continuation of the process is clear.

4. Further comments

1. One could conjecture a restricted spectral gap of the form (0.12) to be valid without arithmetical assumptions on λ . This would enable us to show that the density of states of the A-B model is C^k -smooth provided the coupling $\lambda \neq 0$ is sufficiently small (at least with E restricted as in the above Theorem). Note that algebraic hypothesis on λ appear in two places. Firstly in the expansion result from [B-Y], where it is used to establish the non-commutative diophantine property of the group (see also [B-G]). In fact weaker properties (such as positive box dimension at appropriate scales) would suffice. But the only available technique so far is that from [G-J-S] using arithmetic heights. Secondly, our application of Brenner's result is based on algebraic conjugation. The conclusion from Proposition 2 is known to fail for certain values of μ and a complete understanding of which are the 'free' values of μ seems not available at the present.

2. The A-B model may in some sense be viewed as a non-commutative version of the classical Bernoulli convolution problem about which there is an extensive literature. Recall that for $0 < \lambda < 1$, one considers the measure ν_λ obtained from the random series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n \lambda^n \tag{4.1}$$

where $\{v_n\}$ is a sequence of independent ± 1 -valued Bernoulli variables, $\mathbb{P}(v_n = 1) = \mathbb{P}(v_n = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$. As pointed out in [L-V], ν_λ is μ_λ -stationary, where μ_λ is the probability measure supported on the two similarities $x \rightarrow \lambda x \pm 1$ putting $1/2$ mass on each. A major problem about the measures ν_λ is their absolute continuity. Starting from the work of Erdős, several results on this issue were obtained. In particular Solomyak [Sol] proved that ν_λ is absolutely continuous for almost all $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$, while Erdős observed that ν_λ is singular if λ^{-1} is a Pisot number. Returning to the A-B model, the situation turns out to be quite different, as our Theorem applies in particular if λ^{-1} is a sufficiently large Pisot number and in this case the Furstenberg measure is absolutely continuous with C^k -density. The latter statement follows easily from the above analysis indeed (cf. also [B2]). Let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, $|f| \leq 1$ and $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-2^{k+1}, -2^k] \cup [2^k, 2^{k+1}]$. By (2.26), (2.28), $\langle \nu, f \rangle = \langle \nu, T^m f \rangle$ for all m . Taking now m large enough and applying the above Lemmas 3 and

2, it follows that

$$\|T^{2m} f\|_\infty \leq C \|T^{2m} f\|_{H^1} \leq C \|T^m f\|_1 \leq e^{-rk} < C_\lambda^{-k} \quad (4.2)$$

where C_λ can be made arbitrarily large for λ small enough. Hence we obtain $|\langle \nu, f \rangle| < C_\lambda^{-k}$, from where the smoothness claim for $\frac{d\nu}{d\theta}$.

Acknowledgment: The author is grateful to the mathematics department of UC Berkeley for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [B-L] P. Bougerol, J. Lacroix, *Products of random matrices with applications to Schrödinger operators*, Birkhauser 1985.
- [B1] J. Bourgain, *On the Furstenberg measure and density of states for the Anderson-Bernoulli model at small disorder*, J. Analyse Math, Vol. **117** (2012), 273–295.
- [B2] J. Bourgain, *Finitely supported measures on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ which are absolutely continuous at infinity*, Geom. Funct. Anal. Lecture Notes, to appear
- [B-G] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd, *On the spectral gap for finitely-generated subgroups of $SU(2)$* , Invent. Math. **171** (2008), 83–121.
- [B-Y] J. Bourgain and A. Yehudayoff, *Expansion in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and monotone expanders*, GAFA, Vol. **23** (2013), 1–41.
- [Br] J.L. Brenner, *Quelques groupes tibris de matrices*, CR Acad. Sc, Paris 241 (1955), 1689–1691.
- [Ca-K-M] R. Carmona, A. Klein, G. Martinelli, *Anderson localization for Bernoulli and other singular potentials*, Comm. Math. Phys. **108** (1987), 41–66.
- [G-J-S] A. Gamburd, D. Jacobson, P. Sarnak, *Spectra of elements in the group ring of $SU(2)$* , JEMS, **1**, 51–85.
- [L-U] R.C. Lyndon, J.L. Ullman, *Groups generated by two parabolic linear fractional transformations*, Canad. J. Math, **21** (1969), 1388–1403.
- [L-V] E. Lindenstrauss, P. Varju, *Spectral gap in the group of Euclidean isometries*, preprint 7/013.
- [S-T] B. Simon, M. Taylor, *Harmonic analysis on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and smoothness of the density of states in the one-dimensional Anderson model*, Comm. Math. Pys. **101** (1085), 1–10.
- [S-V-W] C. Shubin, T. Vakilian, T. Wolf, *Some harmonic analysis questions suggested by Anderson-Bernoulli models*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1988), 932–964.
- [Sol] B. Solomyak, *On the random series $\sum \pm \lambda^n$ (an Erdos problem)*, Annals Math. (2), **142** (1995), no 3, 611–625.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, NJ 08540

E-mail address: bourgain@ias.edu