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#### Abstract

We study surfaces with one constant principal curvature in Riemannian and Lorentzian three-dimensional space forms. Away from umbilic points they are characterized as oneparameter foliations by curves of constant curvature, each of these curves being centered at a point of a curve and contained in its normal plane. In some cases, a kind of trichotomy phenomenon is observed: the curves of the foliations may be circles, hyperbolas or horocycles, depending of whether the constant principal curvature is respectively larger, smaller of equal to one (not necessarily in this order). We describe explicitly some examples showing that there do exist complete surfaces with one constant principal curvature enjoying both umbilic and non-umbilic points.
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## Introduction

Surfaces of 3-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifolds having one principal curvature constant constitute a natural subclass of the class of Weingarten surfaces.

The study of such surfaces has been addressed for the first time in [8], where it was proved that a complete orientable surface of Euclidean 3 -space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ with one constant principal curvature equal to $r^{-1}, r>0$, must be a round sphere or a tube over a regular curve, both of radius $r$. In particular, a complete, connected surface of $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ with one constant principal curvature is either totally umbilic or free of umbilic points. A tube of radius $r$ over a regular curve $\gamma$, called its generating curve, is the set of points which lie at distance $r$ from $\gamma$. In particular, a tube is foliated by round circles of radius $r$, each of them being centered at a point of $\gamma$ and contained in its normal space. The result holds exactly in the same form in the case of surfaces with one constant principal curvature in the 3 -sphere $\mathbb{S}^{3}$, although there does not seem to be any account of this fact in the literature.

It turns out that the situation is quite richer in other pseudo-Riemannian space forms, either if the curvature is negative (e.g. the hyperbolic space), or if the induced metric is indefinite (e.g. in the Minkowski space). In [3], surfaces of hyperbolic 3 -space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ with one constant curvature larger than one have been characterized, while the same result is obtained, by the same method, in [4] in the case of spacelike surfaces with one constant curvature smaller than one in 3-dimensional de Sitter space $d \mathbb{S}^{3}$. It is also observed, through the description of a couple of examples, that a surface of $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ with one constant principal curvature smaller than or equal to one, as well as a spacelike surface of $d \mathbb{S}^{3}$ with one constant principal curvature larger than or equal to one, needs not to be free of umbilic points.

[^0]The purpose of this paper is to give a description of surfaces having one constant principal curvature and no umbilic points in the six possible Riemannian or Lorentzian space forms. The general picture is that these surfaces may be regarded as "generalized" tubes, in the sense that they are one-parameter foliations by curves of constant curvature. Each of these curves are centered at a point of a generating curve and contained in its normal plane. In some cases, like in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ or for spacelike surfaces in $d \mathbb{S}^{3}$, a kind of trichotomy phenomenon is observed: the curves of the foliations may be circles, hyperbolas or horocycles, depending of whether the curvature is respectively larger, smaller of equal to one (not necessarily in this order).

We mention an analogous problem which has been widely studied, namely that of real hypersurfaces in complex space forms whose Hopf field is a principal direction (see [6]). The analogy comes from the fact that such hypersurfaces (called Hopf hypersurfaces) have the property that the principal curvature associated to the Hopf field must be constant. In some cases Hopf hypersurfaces are characterized as tubes over complex submanifolds ([5],[7]), and a similar phenomenon of trichotomy, not existing in complex projective space, is observed in the complex hyperbolic space ([6]). This fact actually has served as an inspiration for the present work. We also mention that surfaces of $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ having one constant principal curvature appear, quite unexpectedly, in a problem of convex geometry, the Blaschke-Lebesgue problem (see [2]): the regular parts of the boundary of a convex body of $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ which minimizes the volume among bodies of constant width have one constant principal curvature. Moreover, the normal congruence (i.e. the set of normal geodesics) of a surface having one constant principal curvature is a marginally trapped Lagrangian surface (see [2]).

## 1 Notations and statement of the Main Theorem

Up to rescaling and anti-isometry, there exist six simply connected, pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of dimension 3 and constant sectional curvature. In the following, $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$ denotes one of these six pseudo-Riemannian space forms, with curvature $\epsilon \in\{-1,0,1\}$ and signature $(p, 3-p)$, where $p \in\{0,1\}$. For our purposes, it is convenient to describe them as hyperquadrics of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ endowed with one of the canonical pseudo-Riemannian metrics, that will be denoted by $\langle.,$.$\rangle . More pre-$ cisely, for $p \in\{0,1,2\}$, we set

$$
\mathbb{R}_{p}^{4}:=\left(\mathbb{R}^{4},-\sum_{i=1}^{p} d x_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=p+1}^{4} d x_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

Setting $\|x\|^{2}:=\langle x, x\rangle$, we define

- $\mathbb{Q}_{0,0}^{3}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{4} \mid x_{1}=0\right\}=\mathbb{E}^{3}$ the Euclidean 3-space;
- $\mathbb{Q}_{1,0}^{3}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{4} \mid x_{1}=0\right\}=\mathbb{L}^{3}$ the Minkowski 3-space, i.e. the space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ equipped with the flat Lorentzian metric;
- $\mathbb{Q}_{0,1}^{3}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{4} \mid\|x\|^{2}=1\right\}=\mathbb{S}^{3}$ the 3-sphere;
- $\mathbb{Q}_{1,-1}^{3}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{4} \mid\|x\|^{2}=-1\right\}=\mathbb{H}^{3}$ the hyperbolic space;
- $\mathbb{Q}_{1,1}^{3}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{4} \mid\|x\|^{2}=1\right\}=d \mathbb{S}^{3}$ the de Sitter space;
- $\mathbb{Q}_{1,-1}^{3}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{2}^{4} \mid\|x\|^{2}=-1\right\}=A d \mathbb{S}^{3}$ the anti de Sitter space;

For technical reasons, it is convenient to introduce as well the space

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{2,-1}^{3}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{2}^{4} \mid\|x\|^{2}=1\right\}=\widetilde{A d \mathbb{S}^{3}}
$$

which has signature $(-,-,+)$ and is anti-isometric to $A d \mathbb{S}^{3}$ through the involution $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \mapsto$ $\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ of $\mathbb{R}_{2}^{4}$. In all cases, the immersion $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$ is totally umbilical, and therefore, given two tangent vectors fields $X, Y$ along $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$, the Gauss formula takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y-\epsilon\langle X, Y\rangle x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall also need to consider the null cones $\mathcal{N C} \mathcal{C}_{p}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{p}^{4} \mid\|x\|^{2}=0\right\}$, for $p \in\{1,2\}$. Observe that the induced metric on $\mathcal{N C} \mathcal{C}_{p}$ is degenerate since $x \in x^{\perp}=T_{x} \mathcal{N C}$.

In the non-flat case $\epsilon \neq 0$, the concept of polar surface is helpful: let $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$ an immersed surface with unit normal vector $N$ and set $\epsilon^{\prime}:=\|N\|^{2}$. The map $N: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon^{\prime}}^{3}$ is an immersion if and only if no principal curvature of $\varphi$ vanishes. In this case, $N$ is called the polar surface of $\varphi$. We point out two elementary facts: (i) $\varphi$ is the polar of $N$ and (ii) if $\kappa$ is a principal curvature of $\varphi$, then $\kappa^{-1}$ is a principal curvature of $N$. It follows that $\varphi$ has one constant principal curvature if and only if so does $N$. Depending on the curvature of $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$ and on the causal character of $\varphi$, its polar $N$ may belong to the same space $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$, or the space $\mathbb{Q}_{p,-\epsilon}^{3}$, that we call for this reason the polar space of $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$. For example, the polar of a surface in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ is a spacelike surface of $d \mathbb{S}^{3}$ and conversely. In particular, both the classification result and the examples of [4] can be deduced from the corresponding classification result and examples of [3].

## Main theorem

Let $\Sigma$ be a connected, oriented surface of $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$ which is free of umbilic points and such that one of its principal curvatures is constant equal to $r^{-1}, r>0$. Denote by $N$ its unit normal vector and set $\epsilon^{\prime}:=\|N\|^{2}$. Set $\epsilon^{\prime \prime}:=1$ (resp. $\epsilon^{\prime \prime}:=-1$ ) if the directions of the constant principal curvature are spacelike (resp. timelike). The critical constant of $\Sigma$ is the real number $c:=\epsilon^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon+\epsilon^{\prime} r^{-2}\right)$. Then:

- If $c>0$, there exists a regular curve $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime}}^{3}$ such that $\Sigma$ may be parametrized by the following immersion

$$
\varphi(u, v):=\left|1+\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} r^{2}\right|^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma(u)+r\left(\cos (v) e_{1}(u)+\sin (v) e_{2}(u)\right)\right)
$$

where $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is an orthonormal frame of the normal space of $\gamma$ (which has definite induced metric). If $\epsilon^{\prime \prime}=\epsilon^{\prime}, \Sigma$ is an elliptic tube, i.e. the set of points at distance d from $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$, where $d:=\left\{r, \tan ^{-1}(r), \tanh ^{-1}(r)\right\}$ if $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}=\{0,1,-1\}$ respectively. If $\epsilon^{\prime \prime}=-\epsilon^{\prime}$ (which implies $\epsilon^{\prime \prime}=\epsilon$ and $r>1$ ), then $\Sigma$ is the polar of an elliptic tube of $\mathbb{Q}_{p,-\epsilon}^{3}$.

- If $c<0$, then $\Sigma$ is a hyperbolic tube, i.e. there exists a regular curve $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{p,-\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime}}^{3}$ such that $\Sigma$ may be parametrized by the following immersion

$$
\varphi(u, v):=\left|1+\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} r^{2}\right|^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma(u)+r\left(\cosh (v) e_{1}(u)+\sinh (v) e_{2}(u)\right)\right)
$$

where $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is an orthonormal frame of the normal space of $\gamma$ (which has indefinite induced metric) with $-\left\|e_{1}\right\|^{2}=\left\|e_{2}\right\|^{2}=\epsilon^{\prime \prime}$.

- If $c=0$ (which implies $\epsilon=-\epsilon^{\prime}$ and $r=1$ ), then $\Sigma$ is a parabolic tube, i.e. there exists a regular curve $\delta=\left(\delta_{+}, \delta_{-}\right)$in $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3} \times \mathbb{Q}_{p,-\epsilon}^{3}$ satisfying $\left\langle\delta_{ \pm}, \delta_{\mp}\right\rangle=\left\langle\delta_{ \pm}^{\prime}, \delta_{\mp}\right\rangle=0$, such that $\Sigma$ may be parametrized by the following immersion

$$
\varphi(u, v):=\left(1-\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \frac{v^{2}}{2}\right) \delta_{+}(u)+v e_{1}(u)+\frac{v^{2}}{2} \delta_{-}(u)
$$

where $\left\|e_{1}\right\|^{2}=\epsilon^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left\langle e_{1}, \delta_{ \pm}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{1}, \delta_{ \pm}^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$. In particular, if the curve $\delta_{+}\left(\right.$resp. $\left.\delta_{-}\right)$is regular, then $\left(\delta_{-}, e_{1}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left(\delta_{+}, e_{1}\right)\right)$ is an orthonormal frame of the normal space of $\delta_{+}$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$ (resp. of $\delta_{-}$in $\mathbb{Q}_{p,-\epsilon}^{3}$ ). Finally, exchanging the rôles of $\delta_{+}$and $\delta_{-}$yields the polar of $\Sigma$.

The proof of the main Theorem is fairly elementary, the main difficulty being to handle all the cases at once, resulting in the presence of the indices $\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime \prime}$. The reader may want to check first the $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ case, i.e. $\left(\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)=(-1,1,1)$, which is the simplest one where the trichotomy appears.

| Space form | Caus. charac. of $\Sigma$ | $\left(\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $d=$ | Parametrization of $\Sigma$ | Curve in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ | spacelike | (0,1, 1) | $r$ | $\gamma+d\left(\cos (v) e_{1}+\sin (v) e_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ |  | (1, 1, 1) | $\tan ^{-1}(r)$ | $\cos (d) \gamma+\sin (d)\left(\cos (v) e_{1}+\sin (v) e_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ |  | $(-1,1,1)$ | $\tanh ^{-1}(r), r<1$ | $\cosh (d) \gamma+\sinh (d)\left(\cos (v) e_{1}+\sin (v) e_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ |
|  |  |  | $\operatorname{coth}^{-1}(r), r>1$ | $\sinh (d) \gamma+\cosh (d)\left(\cosh (v) e_{1}+\sinh (v) e_{2}\right)$ | $d \mathbb{S}^{3}$, spacelike |
|  |  |  | $r=1$ | $\left(1+\frac{v^{2}}{2}\right) \delta_{+}+v e_{1}+\frac{v^{2}}{2} \delta_{-}$ | $\mathbb{H}^{3} / d \mathbb{S}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{L}^{3}$ | spacelike | (0, -1, 1) | $r$ | $\gamma+d\left(\cosh (v) e_{1}+\sinh (v) e_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbb{L}^{3}$, spacelike |
|  | timelike | (0, 1, 1) |  | $\gamma+d\left(\cos (v) e_{1}+\sin (v) e_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbb{L}^{3}$, timelike |
|  |  | (0, 1, -1) |  | $\gamma+d\left(\cosh (v) e_{1}+\sinh (v) e_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbb{L}^{3}$, spacelike |
| $d \mathbb{S}^{3}$ | spacelike | (1, -1, 1) | $\tanh ^{-1}(r), r<1$ | $\cosh (d) \gamma+\sinh (d)\left(\cosh (v) e_{1}+\sinh (v) e_{2}\right)$ | $d \mathbb{S}^{3}$, spacelike |
|  |  |  | $\operatorname{coth}^{-1}(r), r>1$ | $\sinh (d) \gamma+\cosh (d)\left(\cos (v) e_{1}+\sin (v) e_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ |
|  |  |  | $r=1$ | $\left(1+\frac{v^{2}}{2}\right) \delta_{+}+v e_{1}+\frac{v^{2}}{2} \delta_{-}$ | $d \mathbb{S}^{3} / \mathbb{H}^{3}$ |
|  | timelike | $\begin{gathered} (1,1,1) \\ (1,1,-1) \end{gathered}$ | $\tan ^{-1}(r)$ | $\begin{gathered} \cos (d) \gamma+\sin (d)\left(\cos (v) e_{1}+\sin (v) e_{2}\right) \\ \cos (d) \gamma+\sin (d)\left(\cosh (v) e_{1}+\sinh (v) e_{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $d \mathbb{S}^{3}$, timelike $d \mathbb{S}^{3}$, spacelike |
| $A d \mathbb{S}^{3}$ | spacelike | $(-1,-1,1)$ | $\tan ^{-1}(r)$ | $\cos (d) \gamma+\sin (d)\left(\cosh (v) e_{1}+\sinh (v) e_{2}\right)$ | $A d \mathbb{S}^{3}$, spacelike |
|  | timelike | $(-1,1,1)$ | $\tanh ^{-1}(r), r<1$ | $\cosh (d) \gamma+\sinh (d)\left(\cos (v) e_{1}+\sin (v) e_{2}\right)$ | $A d \mathbb{S}^{3}$, timelike |
|  |  | $(-1,1,-1)$ | $\operatorname{coth}^{-1}(r), r>1$ | $\sinh (d) \gamma+\cosh (d)\left(\cos (v) e_{1}+\sin (v) e_{2}\right)$ | $\widehat{A d S^{3}}$ |
|  |  | $(-1,1,-1)$ | $r=1$ | $\left(1-\frac{v^{2}}{2}\right) \delta_{+}+v e_{1}+\frac{v^{2}}{2} \delta_{-}$ | $A d \mathbb{S}^{3} / \widetilde{A d S}^{3}$ |

## 2 The generating curve

Let $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$ an immersed, connected, orientable surface in $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$. We assume that $\varphi$ has no umbilic point and that the shape operator $-d N$ is real diagonalizable. Hence there exists a coordinate system $(u, v)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{u}=-\kappa_{1} \varphi_{u} \\
& N_{v}=-\kappa_{2} \varphi_{v},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ are the principal curvatures of $\varphi$. We assume that $\kappa_{2}:=r^{-1}$ is a positive constant. We introduce the coefficients of the first and second fundamental form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E:=\left\langle\varphi_{u}, \varphi_{u}\right\rangle & G:=\left\langle\varphi_{v}, \varphi_{v}\right\rangle \\
e:=-\left\langle N_{u}, \varphi_{u}\right\rangle & g:=-\left\langle N_{v}, \varphi_{v}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular,

$$
\kappa_{1}:=\frac{e}{E} \quad \kappa_{2}:=\frac{g}{G} .
$$

Since

$$
\partial_{v}(\varphi+r N)=0,
$$

there exists a function $u \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}(u) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$ of the real variable such that

$$
\tilde{\gamma}(u)=\varphi(u, v)+r N(u, v)
$$

Moreover, by the assumption that $\varphi$ is free of umbilic points, we have that $\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}=\partial_{u}(\varphi+r N) \neq 0$, hence $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a regular curve. In the flat case $\epsilon=0$, we have $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p, 0}^{3}$. In the non flat case $\epsilon= \pm 1$, we have

$$
\|\tilde{\gamma}\|^{2}=\|\varphi\|^{2}+2 r\langle\varphi, N\rangle+r^{2}\|N\|^{2}=\epsilon\left(1+\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} r^{2}\right)=\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} r^{2}\left(\epsilon+\epsilon^{\prime} r^{-2}\right)=\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} r^{2} c
$$

We obtain the generating curve $\gamma$ by normalizing $\tilde{\gamma}$ :

$$
\gamma:= \begin{cases}\left(\epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \frac{c}{|c|}\right) r|c|^{1 / 2} \tilde{\gamma} & \text { if }\|\tilde{\gamma}\|^{2} \neq 0 \\ \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \tilde{\gamma} & \text { if }\|\tilde{\gamma}\|^{2}=0\end{cases}
$$

(the reason for the choice of the factor $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \frac{c}{|c|}= \pm 1$ will become clear in a moment).
If $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \in\{0,1\}$, the rescaled curve belongs to $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$, the same space than $\varphi$, while if $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}=-1$, we observe a trichotomy phenomenon, in the sense that the situation depends on the sign of $1-r^{2}$ :

- If $r<1$ (large curvature, elliptic case), the rescaled curve $\gamma$ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon,}^{3}$;
- If $r>1$ (small curvature, hyperbolic case), the curve $\gamma$ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}_{p,-\epsilon}^{3}$, the polar space of $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$;
- If $r=1$ (parabolic case), the curve $\gamma$ belongs to the null cone $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{C}_{p}$.


## 3 The geodesic foliation

Lemma 3.1. The integral curves of $\partial_{v}$ are pre-geodesics of the induced metric $\varphi^{*}\langle.,$.$\rangle .$
Proof. We use Codazzi equation

$$
g_{u}=\frac{G_{u}}{2}\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\right)
$$

together with the assumption that $\kappa_{2}=\frac{g}{G}$ is constant, so that

$$
g_{u}=\frac{G_{u} g}{G}=G_{u} \kappa_{2}
$$

Substracting, we get

$$
0=\frac{G_{u}}{2}\left(\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}\right)
$$

which implies that $G_{u}$ vanish. Hence $\Gamma_{22}^{1}=-\frac{G_{u}}{2 E}$ vanishes as well. Therefore $\nabla_{\partial_{v}} \partial_{v}$ is collinear to $\partial_{v}$, which is the claim.

If follows from the lemma above that we may reparametrize $\varphi$ in such a way that the integral curves of $\partial_{v}$ are geodesics parametrized by arclength. Hence $|G|=1$ (we set $\epsilon^{\prime \prime}:=G$ ) and therefore $G_{v}$ vanishes. Since the integral curves of $\partial_{v}$ are now geodesics, their acceleration vector (in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{4}\right) \varphi_{v v}$ is contained in the plane spanned by $N$ and $\varphi$, hence, using Equation 1 and the fact that $\tilde{\gamma}=\varphi+r N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{v v} & =\frac{\left\langle\varphi_{v v}, N\right\rangle}{\|N\|^{2}} N-\epsilon\left\langle\varphi_{v}, \varphi_{v}\right\rangle \varphi \\
& =\frac{\left\langle\varphi_{v}, N_{v}\right\rangle}{\epsilon^{\prime}} N-\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \varphi \\
& =r^{-1} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \epsilon^{\prime}\left(r^{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}-\varphi)\right)-\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \varphi \\
& =-\epsilon^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon+\epsilon^{\prime} r^{-2}\right) \varphi+\epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} r^{-2} \tilde{\gamma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{v v}+\epsilon^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon+\epsilon^{\prime} r^{-2}\right) \varphi=\epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} r^{-2} \tilde{\gamma}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, for fixed $u$, is a linear, second order equation in the variable $v$. The solution depends on the sign of $c:=\epsilon^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon+\epsilon^{\prime} r^{-2}\right)$, which in turn depends on $\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime \prime}$ and $r$. Observe that if $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}=1$ or 0 , the sign does not depend on $r$, while if $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}=-1$, there is trichotomy, i.e. the sign of $c$, and therefore the form of the solution, depend on the value of $r$.

### 3.1 The hyperbolic case $c<0$

Setting $\lambda:=(-c)^{1 / 2}$, Equation 2 becomes

$$
\varphi_{v v}-\lambda^{2} \varphi=\epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} r^{-2} \tilde{\gamma} .
$$

The general solution is

$$
\varphi(u, v)=A(u) \cosh (\lambda v)+B(u) \sinh (\lambda v)+C(u),
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are two $\mathbb{R}^{4}$-valued functions of the variable $u$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =\frac{\epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} r^{-2}}{c} \tilde{\gamma} \\
& =-\frac{r^{-2}}{c} r(-c)^{1 / 2} \gamma \\
& =\left|1+\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} r^{2}\right|^{-1 / 2} \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to obtain the claimed formula for $\varphi$, we differentiate the expression $\varphi=A \cosh (\lambda v)+$ $B \sinh (\lambda v)+\left|1+\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} r^{2}\right|^{-1 / 2} \gamma$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{u} & =A^{\prime} \cosh (\lambda v)+B^{\prime} \sinh (\lambda v)+\left|1+\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} r^{2}\right|^{-1 / 2} \gamma^{\prime} \\
\varphi_{v} & =A \lambda \sinh (\lambda v)+B \lambda \cosh (\lambda v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using first the fact that $\left\|\varphi_{v}\right\|^{2}$ is constant, we obtain that $\|A\|^{2}=-\|B\|^{2}$ and $\langle A, B\rangle=0$.
Next, from the expression $\left\langle\varphi_{u}, \varphi_{v}\right\rangle=0$, we deduce that $\left\langle A, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle,\left\langle B, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle A^{\prime}, B\right\rangle=-\left\langle A, B^{\prime}\right\rangle$ vanish. When $\epsilon \neq 0$, observing that $\|\varphi\|^{2}$ is constant, we furthermore obtain the vanishing of $\langle A, \gamma\rangle$ and $\langle B, \gamma\rangle$, while, if $\epsilon=0, A$ and $B$ are obviously $\mathbb{R}^{3}$-valued. In both cases, for fixed $u$, the hyperbola $v \mapsto A \cosh (\lambda v)+B \sinh (\lambda v)$ lies in the normal space of the curve $\gamma$ in $T_{\gamma} \mathbb{Q}_{p, \epsilon}^{3}$. Finally, observing that

$$
\epsilon^{\prime \prime}=\left\|\varphi_{v}\right\|^{2}=-\|A\|^{2}(-c)=\left\|B^{2}\right\|(-c),
$$

we find it convenient to normalize $(A, B)$, introducing the orthonormal frame $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right):=(-c)^{-1 / 2}(A, B)$. We therefore may conclude, writing

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u, v) & =C(u)+\lambda\left(e_{1}(u) \cosh (\lambda v)+e_{2}(u) \sinh (\lambda v)\right) \\
& =\left|1+\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} r^{2}\right|^{-1 / 2} \gamma(u)+r\left|1+\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} r^{2}\right|^{-1 / 2}\left(e_{1}(u) \cosh (\lambda v)+e_{2}(u) \sinh (\lambda v)\right) \\
& =\left|1+\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} r^{2}\right|^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma(u)+r\left(e_{1}(u) \cosh (\tilde{v})+e_{2}(u) \sinh (\tilde{v})\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have set $\tilde{v}:=\lambda v$. This is the claimed formula. Finally, we observe that if we release the condition $\left\langle A^{\prime}, B\right\rangle=0$, the coordinate field $\partial_{u}$ is not anymore principal, but $\partial_{v}$ is still the principal direction associated to the constant principal curvature $\kappa_{2}=r^{-1}$.

### 3.2 The elliptic case $c>0$

The elliptic case is analogous to (and somehow simpler than) the hyperbolic one and left to the Reader.

### 3.3 The parabolic case $c=0$

Here $r=1$ and $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}=-1$ (observe in particular that the parabolic case does not occur in the flat case $\epsilon=0$ ) and Equation 2 becomes

$$
\varphi_{v v}=\epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \tilde{\gamma}=\gamma
$$

The general solution is

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\frac{1}{2} \gamma(u) v^{2}+A(u) v+B(u),
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are two $\mathbb{R}^{4}$-valued functions of the variable $u$. Differentiating

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{u} & =\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\prime} v^{2}+A^{\prime} v+B^{\prime}, \\
\varphi_{v} & =\gamma v+A,
\end{aligned}
$$

and using first the facts that $\|\varphi\|^{2}=\epsilon$ and $\left\|\varphi_{v}\right\|^{2}=\epsilon^{\prime \prime}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|B\|^{2} & =\epsilon \\
\|A\|^{2} & =\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \\
\langle A, B\rangle & =0 \\
\langle A, \gamma\rangle & =0 \\
\|A\|^{2}+\langle B, \gamma\rangle & =0, \quad \text { so } \quad\langle B, \gamma\rangle=-\epsilon^{\prime \prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\left\langle\varphi_{u}, \varphi_{v}\right\rangle=0$ we deduce moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle A, B^{\prime}\right\rangle & =0 \\
\left\langle A^{\prime}, A\right\rangle+\left\langle\gamma, B^{\prime}\right\rangle & =0, \quad \text { so } \quad\left\langle B, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\left\langle B^{\prime}, \gamma\right\rangle=\left\langle A, A^{\prime}\right\rangle=0 \\
\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}, A\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\gamma, A^{\prime}\right\rangle & =0, \quad \text { so } \quad\left\langle A, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We now introduce the orthonormal triple $\left(\delta_{+}, \delta_{-}, e_{1}\right):=\left(B, \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime \prime} B+\gamma, A\right)$. The calculations above imply $\left(\left\|\delta_{+}\right\|^{2},\left\|\delta_{-}\right\|^{2},\left\|e_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)=\left(\epsilon,-\epsilon,-\epsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\left\langle\delta_{ \pm}^{\prime}, e_{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle\delta_{ \pm}^{\prime}, \delta_{\mp}\right\rangle=0$. Since the curve $\gamma=-\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \delta_{+}+\delta_{-}$is regular, the velocity vectors $\delta_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\delta_{-}^{\prime}$ cannot vanish simultaneously, i.e. $\left(\delta_{+}, \delta_{-}\right)$is regular. We therefore obtain the required formula

$$
\varphi(u, v):=\left(1-\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \frac{v^{2}}{2}\right) \delta_{+}(u)+v e_{0}(u)+\frac{v^{2}}{2} \delta_{-}(u) .
$$

Finally, if $\delta_{+}$(resp. $\delta_{-}$) is a regular curve, then $\left(e_{0}, \delta_{-}\right)$(resp. $\left(e_{0}, \delta_{+}\right)$) is an orthonormal frame of the normal space of $\delta_{+}$(resp. $\delta_{-}$).

## 4 Some examples

### 4.1 An exemple in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$

We first describe a very simple example showing that the completeness assumption in the classification result of $[8]$ is necessary. Using the decomposition $\mathbb{E}^{3}:=\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, we set:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{0}(u) & :=\left(i e^{i u}, 0\right) \\
e_{1}(u) & :=\left(e^{i u}, 0\right) \\
e_{2}(u) & :=(0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

and define the immersion:

$$
\varphi(u, v):=\int_{0}^{u} h(s) e_{0}(s) d s+r\left(\cos (v) e_{1}(u)+\sin (v) e_{2}(u)\right),
$$

where $r>0$ and $h \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. According to the Main Theorem, $\varphi$ has one principal curvature constant equal to $r^{-1}$. A straightforward calculation shows that the other principal curvature of $\varphi$ is:

$$
\kappa_{1}(u, v)=\frac{\cos (v)}{h(u)+r \cos (v)} .
$$

Observe that $\varphi$ fails to be an immersion if $\inf _{\mathbb{R}} h \leq r$. On the other hand, $\varphi$ is umbilic at the points where $h$ vanishes, which are exactly the points where the curve $\gamma(u):=\int_{0}^{u} h(s) e_{0}(s) d s$ fails to be regular. An interval where $h$ vanishes corresponds to a cusp point of the trajectory of $\gamma$. Around such a point, the image of $\varphi$ consists of two (non-complete) "half-tubes", smoothly connected by a portion of sphere.

### 4.2 An exemple in $\mathbb{L}^{3}$

A slight modification of the previous example shows that, unlike the $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ case, there exist complete surfaces in $\mathbb{L}^{3}$ with one principal constant curvature which are not free of umbilic points. The frame $\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ defined in the previous section is still orthornomal in $\mathbb{L}^{3}:=\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, with $\left\|e_{2}\right\|^{2}=-1$, and we define the immersion:

$$
\varphi(u, v):=\int_{0}^{u} h(s) e_{0}(s) d s+r\left(\cosh (v) e_{1}(u)+\sinh (v) e_{2}(u)\right)
$$

where $r>0$ and $h \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Again, the principal curvature $\kappa_{2}$ is constant equal to $r^{-1}$, while the other principal curvature is given by

$$
\kappa_{1}(u, v)=\frac{\cosh (v)}{h(u)+r \cosh (v)},
$$

so $\varphi$ is umbilic at the vanishing points of $h$. On the other hand, $\varphi$ is a complete immersion if and only if $\inf _{\mathbb{R}} h>-r$, so, with a suitable choice of $h$, we obtain a complete immersion with the claimed property. The difference with the previous case comes from the non-compactness of the hyperbolas, which allows, at the cusp points of $\gamma(u):=\int_{0}^{u} h(s) e_{0}(s) d s$, to have two complete hyperbolic half-tubes smoothly connected by a portion of hyperboloid.

### 4.3 The examples of Aledo and Gálvez

In [3] and [4], other examples of complete surfaces with one constant principal curvature enjoying both umbilic and non-umbilic points are described implicitely through their first and second fundamental forms. We explain how these examples can be recovered from our construction.

The first example is a surface of $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ with one constant principal curvature $\kappa_{2}=1$, while the other principal curvature satisfies

$$
\kappa_{1}(u, v)=1-\frac{h(u)}{2+v^{2} h(u)},
$$

where $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is some non-negative function. The corresponding surface is umbilic at the points where $h$ does not vanish. According to our Main Theorem, if $\delta_{+}$is a regular curve of $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ and ( $e_{1}, \delta_{-}$) is an orthonormal frame of the normal space of $\delta_{+}$in $T_{\delta_{+}} d \mathbb{S}^{3}$, then the immersion

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(1+\frac{v^{2}}{2}\right) \delta_{+}(u)+v e_{1}(u)+\frac{v^{2}}{2} \delta_{-}(u)
$$

as one principal curvature $\kappa_{2}$ constant equal to 1 . A routine calculation shows that the other principal curvature of $\varphi$ is given by

$$
\kappa_{1}(u, v)=1-\frac{1-b(u)}{1-a(u) v+(1-b(u)) \frac{v^{2}}{2}},
$$

where $a:=\left\langle\delta_{+}^{\prime \prime}, e_{1}\right\rangle$ and $b:=\left\langle\delta_{+}^{\prime \prime}, \delta_{-}\right\rangle$. It is easily seen that the surface becomes umbilic whenever $b=1$. We obtain the example of Aledo and Gálvez taking $a=0$ and $h=1-b$, so in particular $\delta_{-}$and $e_{1}$ are respectively the normal and binormal vectors of $\delta_{+}$, and $b$ is the curvature of $\delta_{+}$. In this case the set of umbilic points of $\varphi$, hence corresponding to a portion of horosphere, correspond to points of the curve $\delta_{+}$with curvature 1 , hence corresponding to an arc of horocycle.

The second example of [3] turns out to be analogous to the one described in Section 4.2: it is a surface of $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ with one constant principal curvature $R<1$, while the other principal curvatures satisfies, in a local coordinate system $(x, y)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h(y)+R\left(1-R^{2}\right) e^{\sqrt{1-R^{2}} y}}{R h(x)+\left(1-R^{2}\right) e^{\sqrt{1-R^{2} y}},} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is some non-negative function. The corresponding surface is umbilic at points where $h$ vanishes. According to our Main Theorem, such surface may be parametrized, at least away from umbilic points, by an immersion of the form

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(r^{2}-1\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma+r\left(\sinh (v) e_{1}+\cosh (v) e_{2}\right)\right),
$$

where $\gamma$ is a regular, spacelike curve of $d \mathbb{S}^{3}$ and $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is an orthonormal frame of the normal space of $\gamma$ in $T_{\gamma} d \mathbb{S}^{3}$. We recover Aledo and Gálvez's example considering $\gamma, e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ in such a way that the orthonormal moving frame $u \mapsto F=\left(\gamma, e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \in S O(3,1)$ is a solution of the differential system

$$
F^{-1} F^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \tilde{h} & 0 & 0 \\
-\tilde{h} & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

A short calculation gives the following expression for the corresponding principal curvature $\kappa_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{1}(u, v)=\frac{r \tilde{h}(u)+e^{v}}{\tilde{h}(u)+r e^{v}}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is exactly Formula 3 , setting $\tilde{h}:=\left(1-R^{2}\right) h, v:=\sqrt{1-R^{2}} y$ and $r:=R^{-1}$. Since $\gamma^{\prime}(u)=h(u) e_{0}(u)$, the umbilic points of $\varphi$ correspond once again to non-regular points of the curve $\gamma$.
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