

ON THE SATO-TATE CONJECTURE FOR NON-GENERIC ABELIAN SURFACES

CHRISTIAN JOHANSSON

ABSTRACT. We prove many non-generic cases of the Sato-Tate conjecture for abelian surfaces as formulated by Fite, Kedlaya, Rotger and Sutherland, using the potential automorphy theorems of Barnet-Lamb, Gee, Geraghty and Taylor and Patrikis and Taylor.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field k without complex multiplication (CM) and let v be a finite prime of F with residue field \mathbb{F}_{q_v} such that E has good reduction at v . Hasse's Theorem tells us that the number of \mathbb{F}_{q_v} -points of E is $q_v + 1 - a_v$, where a_v is an integer such that $|a_v| \leq 2q_v^{1/2}$. The Sato-Tate conjecture concerns the distribution of the numbers $a_v/2q_v^{1/2}$ in $[-1, 1]$ as v varies through the primes of good reduction for E . More precisely, it tells us that the numbers $\theta_v = \arccos(a_v/2q_v^{1/2})$ are distributed according to the measure $\frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta d\theta$ on $[0, \pi]$. This conjecture was recently settled in [HSBT] and [BGHT] (see also [BLGG]) when F is totally real as a result of progress on potential automorphy theorems for compatible systems of Galois representation of arbitrary dimension. When E has CM over F , the θ_v are uniformly distributed in $[0, \pi]$; when E has CM but not over F the distribution of the θ_v is half on the uniform distribution over $[0, \pi]$ plus a Dirac measure of mass $1/2$ at $\pi/2$.

All known proofs follow the pattern of the classical proof of the Prime Number Theorem and its generalizations, where holomorphy and non-vanishing of certain (complex) L -functions are established in the region $Re s \geq 1$. In the CM cases, these L -functions are understood in terms of Hecke L -functions. In the non-CM case, Tate realized that the same proof would work if one could establish the required properties for the symmetric power L -functions of the elliptic curve. These observations were axiomatised by Serre (Appendix to §1 of [Ser]). It was then observed by Langlands that the required analytic properties follow from the automorphy of associated motives, and this opened up possibilities both in terms of proofs and further generalizations. During these developments, the Sato-Tate conjecture was also reinterpreted as a statement about the equidistribution of a certain sequence of elements (the images of Frobenii, suitably normalised) inside a certain compact Lie group (that turns out to be $SU(2)$ for the original Sato-Tate conjecture). If one believes that all motives are automorphic, then the Sato-Tate conjecture becomes a statement about the distribution of the Satake parameters of unitary automorphic representations.

In [FKRS], Fite, Kedlaya, Rotger and Sutherland described the generalization of the Sato-Tate conjecture to abelian surfaces. Before we describe their results, let us take a step back and sketch how a fully functional Langlands philosophy would apply to the situation of abelian surfaces. Let

A/F be an abelian surface. Then the Langlands correspondence predicts the existence of an L -algebraic automorphic representation π_A attached to the compatible system $(H_{et}^1(A, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))_\ell$ and associated with π_A is a representation $\rho_A : L_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ of the conjectural Langlands group L_F . We define the Sato-Tate group of A to be the image $G = (\rho_A \otimes |\cdot|^{1/2})(L_F)$ where $|\cdot|$ denotes the norm character of L_F . The representation $\rho_A \otimes |\cdot|^{1/2}$ is unitary and the group G should be a compact group. The Sato-Tate conjecture would then say that the sequence of conjugacy classes $((\rho_A \otimes |\cdot|^{1/2})(Frob_v))_{v \notin S}$ (where S is the set of finite primes where A has bad reduction) is equidistributed with respect to the measure on the set of conjugacy classes of G induced from Haar measure on G . Following the strategy of Serre, this follows from the holomorphy and non-vanishing in the region $\mathrm{Re} s \geq 1$ of the partial L -functions $L^S(s, r \circ (\rho_A \otimes |\cdot|^{1/2}))$ for all nontrivial irreducible representations r of G . The global Langlands correspondences would then imply that each $L^S(s, r \circ (\rho_A \otimes |\cdot|^{1/2}))$ is the partial L -function of a unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{\dim r}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ (which is not of form $|\cdot|^{i\lambda}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$) and this gives the holomorphy and non-vanishing. All this is of course highly conjectural. Instead, in order to get something well-defined, one has to use the compatible system $(H_{et}^1(A, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))_\ell$ directly. Fite, Kedlaya, Rotger and Sutherland are able to do this and define a substitute for G as well as the conjugacy classes $((\rho_A \otimes |\cdot|^{1/2})(Frob_v))_{v \notin S}$. It is conjectured that the group G may be one of 52 possibilities given by an explicit recipe in terms of the endomorphisms of A .

In this paper we wish to investigate what can be said about the Sato-Tate conjecture for abelian surfaces using current potential automorphy theorems. Roughly speaking there are three cases. First, there is the so-called generic case when $\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$. In this case we are not able to prove anything because of current restrictions on potential automorphy theorems to Galois representations that are regular. Second, we have the cases when $H_{et}^1(A, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is potentially abelian where we may prove the conjecture completely, using class field theory. The remaining cases are those that are potentially of GL_2 -type but not potentially abelian; here we may prove the conjecture under restriction that a certain extension of F is totally real. Unsurprisingly, the situation and required assumptions mirror that of the elliptic curve case. The proofs are somewhat more fiddly due to the more complicated group theory but otherwise follow the general strategy as in [HSBT]. The results we prove may be summarized loosely as follows:

Theorem 1. *Let A/F be an abelian surface.*

- 1) (Propositions 19, 21, 23, 24 and 25) *If A is of type **B**, **C** or **E** and a certain quadratic extension of F is totally real, then the Sato-Tate conjecture holds for A .*
- 2) (Corollary 15) *If A is of type **D** or **F**, then the Sato-Tate conjecture holds for A .*

Here type **B**, **C**, **D**, **E** resp. **F** refers to the type as defined in §4 of [FKRS], we recall them in §2. For now, it suffices to say that **D** and **F** are the potentially abelian cases, and that the excluded type **A** is the generic case. Special cases of Theorem 1 that have previously been recorded in the literature are the case when A/F is isogenous to a product of non-CM elliptic curves that do not become isogenous over any finite extension and F is totally real ([Har]) and a number of cases of type **F** over \mathbb{Q} ([FS]).

Let us outline the contents of the paper. Section 2 states the conjecture, explains the strategy and sets up notation and terminology to be used throughout the paper. The reader is advised read this before proceeding, or look back later if he encounters unfamiliar notation. In section 3 we do the potentially abelian cases. Indeed, we give a general equidistribution result for continuous unitary

representations $W_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, roughly following the “ideal” strategy above. This is surely known to the experts, but we could not find a precise reference, so we have included some details. As an application we deduce the Sato-Tate conjecture for abelian varieties whose Tate modules are potentially abelian. Finally in section 4 we do the cases potentially of GL_2 -type, using the powerful potential automorphy results of [BLGGT] with the improvements given by [PT]. We believe, and hope that the reader agrees, that the relatively clean proofs of §4 are a good illustration of the power and beauty of the results of [PT].

The author wishes to thank Toby Gee for useful remarks on a first draft of this paper.

2. THE CONJECTURE AND THE STRATEGY

We will fix once and for all embeddings $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ for all rational primes ℓ , and a compatible isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \cong \mathbb{C}$ (as ℓ is typically fixed, we will omit it from the notation, this should not cause any confusion).

2.1. The Sato-Tate group and the definition of conjugacy classes. In this subsection we recall §2.1 of [FKRS]. Let A be an abelian surface over a number field F , let ϕ be a polarization of A and fix an embedding $F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Fix a symplectic basis for $H_1(A(\mathbb{C})^{an}, \mathbb{Q})$ and use it to equip that space with an action of $\mathrm{Gsp}_4(\mathbb{Q})$. Fix a prime ℓ and let $V_\ell(A)$ be the rational ℓ -adic Tate module of A . Make the identifications

$$V_\ell(A) \cong H_{1,et}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong H_{1,et}(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong H_1(A(\mathbb{C})^{an}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong H_1(A(\mathbb{C})^{an}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

The Weil pairing becomes identified with the cup product pairings in etale and singular cohomology and our symplectic basis for $H_1(A(\mathbb{C})^{an}, \mathbb{Q})$ gives a symplectic basis for $V_\ell(A)$, and the action of $G_F = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ defines a continuous homomorphism

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{Gsp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

We let $G_\ell = G_\ell(A)$ denote the image of $\rho_{A,\ell}$, and $G_\ell^{Zar} = G_\ell^{Zar}(A)$ denotes the Zariski closure of G_ℓ inside $\mathrm{Gsp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ (the ℓ -adic monodromy group of A). G_ℓ is open in G_ℓ^{Zar} in the ℓ -adic topology. Going further we let G_F^1 denote the kernel of the cyclotomic character $\chi_\ell : G_F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ and set $G_\ell^1 = G_\ell^1(A)$ to be the image of G_F^1 under $\rho_{A,\ell}$; we denote by $G_\ell^{1,Zar} = G_\ell^{1,Zar}(A)$ the Zariski closure of $G_\ell^{1,Zar}$ in $\mathrm{Gsp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. $G_\ell^{1,Zar}$ coincides with the kernel of the similitude character on G_ℓ^{Zar} . If F'/F is a finite extension, then $G_\ell^{1,Zar}(A_{F'})$ is a finite index subgroup of $G_\ell^{1,Zar}(A)$, hence these groups have the same identity components. Moreover, for sufficiently large F' $G_\ell^{1,Zar}(A_{F'})$ is connected.

Using ι we may embed $\mathrm{Gsp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ into $\mathrm{Gsp}_4(\mathbb{C})$. Put $G^1 = G_\ell^{1,Zar} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell,\iota} \mathbb{C}$ and $G = G_\ell^{Zar} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell,\iota} \mathbb{C}$. Let v be a finite prime of F with residue field \mathbb{F}_{q_v} (we will use this notation throughout the paper). We may identify G/G^1 with \mathbb{C}^\times compatibly with the cyclotomic character, and the image of $g_v = \rho_{A,\ell}(Frob_v) \in G$ in \mathbb{C}^\times is q_v (here and throughout the rest of this paper $Frob_v$ denotes the arithmetic Frobenius). Hence $g'_v = q_v^{-1/2} g_v \in G^1$.

Definition 2. 1) The Sato-Tate group of A (for the prime ℓ and isomorphism ι) is a maximal compact Lie subgroup of G^1 contained in $\mathrm{USp}(4)$.

2) The semisimple component of g'_v is an element of G^1 with eigenvalues of norm 1 and hence belongs to some conjugate of ST_A . Thus we may define $s(v)$ to be the associated conjugacy class in ST_A .

Conjecture 3. ([FKRS], *Conjecture 1.1*) *The classes $s(v)$ are equidistributed in the set $\text{Conj}(ST_A)$ of conjugacy classes of ST_A with respect to the pullback of the probability Haar measure on ST_A to $\text{Conj}(ST_A)$.*

2.2. The strategy of proof (following Tate and Serre). Let S be a finite set of places of F containing the infinite places such that $\rho_{A,\ell}$ is unramified outside S . Let r be an irreducible representation of ST_A . We may form the formal product

$$L(s, r, A) = \prod_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{\det(1 - r(s(v))q_v^{-s})}$$

where by abuse of notation we let $s(v)$ denote some element of the conjugacy class $s(v)$ (any element will do; $\det(1 - r(s(v))q_v^{-s})$ is independent of the choice). Then Serre, elaborating on the special case $ST_A = \text{SU}(2)$ which was studied by Tate, proves the following:

Theorem 4. (*Serre, Appendix to §1 of [Ser]*) *Assume that, for any irreducible representation r , $L(s, r, A)$ converges absolutely on $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ and extends to a meromorphic function on $\text{Re}(s) \geq 1$ having no zeroes or poles except possibly at $s = 1$. Then $(s(v))_{v \notin S}$ are equidistributed in $\text{Conj}(ST_A)$ if and only if $L(s, r)$ is holomorphic and non-vanishing at $s = 1$ for all irreducible $r \neq 1$.*

The functions $L(s, r, A)$ are defined as Euler products, but in order to prove anything about them we will have to identify them with partial L -functions of geometric Galois representations. Since the analytic properties we require only depend on knowing the Euler factors at all but finitely many places we will not worry too much about the distinction between the full L -function and its various incomplete/partial L -functions. This should hopefully not cause any confusion. The basic strategy, due to Taylor ([Tay], see also [HSBT]), for proving these sorts of analytic results is to combine potential automorphy results with Brauer's Theorem and known analytic properties of various (complex analytic) L -functions. The former are currently restricted to regular Galois representations (i.e. with distinct Hodge-Tate weights); using the latter one may enlarge the class of L -functions whose analytic behaviour can be studied to handle the cases required for Theorem 1.

2.3. The cases. In [FKRS], 52 cases of possible Sato-Tate groups are identified (35 of them possible over totally real fields, 34 over \mathbb{Q}), of which we will exclude the case $ST_A = \text{Usp}(4)$ (the generic case) as it seems to be intractable using current technology. The 52 cases correspond to 52 so-called "Galois types", giving the abstract structure of $\text{End}_L(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ as a representation of $\text{Gal}(L/F)$, where L is the minimal extension of F over which all endomorphisms of A are defined. After excluding the generic case (Galois type **A**), the remaining Galois types are divided into 5 "types" (or "absolute types") **B**, **C**, **D**, **E** and **F**. They correspond to the following arithmetic interpretations:

- **B** : A_L is either isogenous to a product of nonisogenous elliptic curves without CM or simple with real multiplication.
- **C** : A_L is isogenous to a product of two elliptic curves, one with CM and the other one without.
- **D** : A_L is either isogenous to a product of nonisogenous elliptic curves with CM or simple with CM by a quartic field.
- **E** : A_L is either isogenous to the square of an elliptic curve without CM or simple with QM (quaternionic multiplication).
- **F** : A_L is isogenous to the square of an elliptic curve with CM.

We will obtain complete results for cases **D** and **F** from a general study of potentially abelian, geometric Galois representations in §3 and do a case-by-case study of types **B**, **C** and **E** in section 4.

2.4. Some notation and terminology. When classifying the irreducible representations of the Sato-Tate groups we will use specific presentations of them as subgroups of $\mathrm{USp}(4)$, which we will give as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ (using different alternating forms in different cases). To make this paper easier to read in conjunction with [FKRS], we have tried to stick with their notation (which can be found in §3 of their paper) as much as possible; the main difference is that we use F to denote the field of definition of A (they use k) and L to denote the minimal field of definition of all endomorphisms (they use K ; we will instead use K to denote a quadratic field inside $\mathrm{End}_L(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$). We set $\mathrm{End}_{F'}(A)^0 = \mathrm{End}_{F'}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ for any extension F'/F . Next, let us recall the notation of [FKRS] for various matrices and subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ here for the convenience of the reader, and make a few additions. Define

$$J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}), \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_2 \\ -J_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$$

and

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Id_2 \\ -Id_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S' = \begin{pmatrix} J_2 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We use the standard presentations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{U}(n) &= \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid A^*A = Id_n\} \\ \mathrm{SU}(n) &= \{A \in \mathrm{U}(n) \mid \det(A) = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

for the unitary and special unitary groups, where A^* denotes the conjugate-transpose of A . For type **B** and **C** we will use the presentation

$$\mathrm{USp}(4) = \{A \in \mathrm{U}(4) \mid A^t S' A = S'\}.$$

For type **E** we use the presentation

$$\mathrm{USp}(4) = \{A \in \mathrm{U}(4) \mid A^t S A = S\}.$$

We record three matrices here that will be of use in later sections:

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} J_2 & \\ & Id_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} Id_2 & \\ & J_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} J_2 & Id_2 \\ -Id_2 & \end{pmatrix}$$

Note the slight inconsistency $c = S$; note also that $ab = S'$. This follows the usage in [FKRS] (though they have no notation for what we are calling S' other than ab); S and S' will only be used as matrices defining symplectic forms and a , b , c and their products will only be used to describe elements of our Sato-Tate groups.

We will use the word character for what is sometimes called a quasicharacter, i.e. we do not require that characters are unitary unless specified. We will follow the usage in [Har] and say that a meromorphic function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ where $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is open and contains $\{Re s \geq 1\}$ is invertible if it is holomorphic and non-vanishing on $\{Re s \geq 1\}$. Following the usage in [FKRS] we will let $Frob_v$, for v a finite place of a number field F , denote an arithmetic Frobenius element, and we will use the Tate module of our abelian surfaces (as opposed to their duals which we used in the introduction). In accordance with this choice we will normalise local class field theory by sending uniformisers

to arithmetic Frobenii and attach Galois representation to automorphic representations of general linear groups by matching up Satake parameters with eigenvalues of arithmetic Frobenii.

3. EQUIDISTRIBUTION LAWS FOR POTENTIALLY ABELIAN GEOMETRIC GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

The aim of this section is to record equidistribution results for ℓ -adic Galois representations that are geometric and potentially abelian. This is done by giving a natural correspondence between such Galois representation and Weil parameters (i.e. continuous complex representations of the global Weil group) that is characterized by the fact that it preserves trace of Frobenius at unramified places. For unitary Weil parameters satisfying a mild condition on the image one may prove an equidistribution result. Neither statements nor proofs in this section should surprise an expert, but we have not found a reference for some of the results that we present (the abelian case is done in [Ser]).

3.1. Weil parameters and potentially abelian Galois representations. Let us start by defining the main objects of interest in this section.

Definition 5. 1) A Weil parameter is a continuous semisimple complex finite-dimensional representation of W_F .

2) A Weil parameter $r : W_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be algebraic if for any place $v \mid \infty$ of F , $r|_{W_{\overline{F}_v}}$ is a direct sum of characters of the form $z \mapsto z^p \bar{z}^q$ (for some $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, using an identification $W_{\overline{F}_v} \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$).

3) A semisimple Galois representation $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is said to be potentially abelian if there exists a finite extension E/F such that $\rho|_{G_E}$ is a direct sum of characters.

There is a strong link between algebraic Weil parameters and semisimple geometric potentially abelian ℓ -adic representations as the following well-known proposition indicates. Recall that a continuous irreducible representation of a topological group is called primitive if it is not induced from any open finite index subgroup.

Proposition 6. 1) If $r : W_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a primitive Weil parameter, then there exists a finite image representation $\sigma : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and a character $\chi : W_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $r = \sigma \otimes \chi$.

2) If $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is primitive and potentially abelian, then there is a finite image representation $\tau : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and a character $\epsilon : G_F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ such that $\rho = \tau \otimes \epsilon$.

Proof. 1) We give the proof, following [Tat] §2.2.3. As $W_F = \lim W_{E/F}$ where the inverse limit is taken over all E/F finite Galois and $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ has no small subgroups we can find an extension E/F finite Galois such that r factors through $W_{E/F}$. Then W_E^{ab} is an abelian normal subgroup of $W_{E/F}$ so since r is primitive Clifford's theorem tells us that W_E^{ab} acts by scalars. Thus $\mathrm{proj} r$ factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$. By Tate's result that $H^2(G_F, \mathbb{C}^\times) = 1$ $\mathrm{proj} r$ lifts to representation $\sigma : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (necessarily of finite image and irreducible). As r and σ have the same projectivisation, there is a character χ of W_F such that $r = \sigma \otimes \chi$.

2) The proof is the same as 1), with a few minor changes. First, by assumption we can find E/F finite such that $\rho|_{G_E}$ is abelian. As in 1) Clifford's theorem implies that $\rho|_{G_E}$ acts by scalars and hence that $\mathrm{proj} \rho$ factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$. Using ι we get a projective representation to $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

which we may lift as before to a finite image representation of G_F ; using ι again we get a finite image irreducible representation $\tau : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ with $\mathrm{proj} \rho = \mathrm{proj} \tau$, and we may conclude that there is a character $\epsilon : G_F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ such that $\rho = \tau \otimes \epsilon$. \square

Remark 7. Of course the use of ι in the proof of 2) is unnecessary (and, as the author is well aware, may seem offensive to some). A short argument with projective representations of finite groups shows that $\mathrm{proj} \rho$ takes values in $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and so rather than using ι it is enough to use the embeddings $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.

The next theorem we record is also well known, see e.g. §1 and 8 of [Far] and the references within. The corollary is essentially (a weak version of) Proposition 7.12 of [Far]; we give a proof based on Proposition 6.

Theorem 8. *There exists a bijective correspondence between algebraic characters of W_F and geometric ℓ -adic characters of G_F characterized by the property that if $\chi \leftrightarrow \epsilon$, then for $v \nmid \ell\infty$, $\chi|_{W_{F_v}}$ is unramified if and only if $\epsilon|_{G_{F_v}}$ is unramified, and $\chi(\mathrm{Frob}_v) = \iota(\epsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_v)) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Moreover, if $\chi \leftrightarrow \epsilon$, there exists a (necessarily unique) integer w , the weight of χ (or ϵ), such that:

1) For $v \nmid \ell\infty$ such that $\chi|_{W_{F_v}}$ is unramified, all conjugates of $\chi(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ have Archimedean absolute value $q_v^{-w/2}$, where q_v is the size of the residue field of F_v .

2) For $v \mid \infty$, if $\chi|_{W_{\overline{F}_v}}$ has the form $z \mapsto z^p \bar{z}^q$ (for some identification $W_{\overline{F}_v} \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$) then $p + q = w$.

Corollary 9. 1) *There exists a bijective correspondence $r \mapsto \rho_r$, $\rho \mapsto r_\rho$ between irreducible algebraic Weil parameters and irreducible potentially abelian geometric ℓ -adic representations characterized by the property that if $r \leftrightarrow \rho$, then for $v \nmid \ell\infty$ $r|_{W_{F_v}}$ is unramified if and only if $\rho|_{G_{F_v}}$ is unramified, and $\mathrm{tr}(r(\mathrm{Frob}_v)) = \iota(\mathrm{tr}(\rho(\mathrm{Frob}_v)))$.*

2) *There exists a bijective correspondence between semisimple algebraic Weil parameters and semisimple potentially abelian geometric ℓ -adic representations characterized by the property that if $r \leftrightarrow \rho$, then for $v \nmid \ell\infty$ $r|_{W_{F_v}}$ is unramified if and only if $\rho|_{W_{F_v}}$ is unramified, and $\mathrm{tr}(r(\mathrm{Frob}_v)) = \iota(\mathrm{tr}(\rho(\mathrm{Frob}_v)))$.*

Proof. 2) follows immediately from 1). To prove 1), note that it is clear for finite image representations on both sides; just use ι . For characters this is Theorem 8. Thus for primitive representations we write $r = \sigma \otimes \chi$, $\rho = \tau \otimes \epsilon$ as in Proposition 6 and define $\rho_r = \rho_\sigma \otimes \rho_\chi$ and $r_\rho = r_\tau \otimes r_\epsilon$. Then for general irreducibles $r = \mathrm{Ind} r'$, $\rho = \mathrm{Ind} \rho'$ with r' , ρ' primitive, set $\rho_r = \mathrm{Ind} \rho_{r'}$, $r_\rho = \mathrm{Ind} r_{\rho'}$; it is clear that these constructions do the job and are inverse to each other (note that $r = \mathrm{Ind} r'$ is algebraic if and only if r' is algebraic). \square

3.2. Equidistribution laws. We begin this section with a well-known fact on the L -functions of Weil parameters.

Proposition 10. *Let $r : W_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be an irreducible unitary Weil parameter. Then the Artin L -function $L(s, \rho)$ has meromorphic continuation to all of \mathbb{C} . Moreover it is invertible (i.e. holomorphic and non-vanishing) on $\mathrm{Re} s \geq 1$ unless $r = |\cdot|^{i\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. When $n = 1$ this is well known by class field theory. Let $n \geq 2$. If r is primitive then we write $r = \sigma \otimes \chi$ as in Proposition 6. Assume first that χ is $\epsilon |\cdot|^{i\lambda}$ for some finite order character ϵ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then without loss of generality $\epsilon = 1$ (just incorporate it in σ) and $L(s, r) = L(s + i\lambda, \sigma)$ so it is enough to treat the case $r = \sigma$ finite image. By Brauer's theorem we may write r as a virtual direct sum

$$r = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \left(\text{Ind}_{W_{E_i}}^{W_F} \epsilon_i \right)^{\oplus a_i}$$

where the E_i/F are finite, ϵ_i is a finite order character, $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and I is a finite indexing set. Thus $L(s, r) = \prod_i L(s, \epsilon_i)^{a_i}$ from which we deduce the meromorphic continuation and the invertibility, except possibly at $s = 1$. The order of vanishing at $s = 1$ is $-\sum_{i \in T} a_i$ where the sum runs over the set $T \subseteq I$ of i such that $\epsilon_i = 1$. By character theory of finite groups and Frobenius reciprocity

$$0 = \langle tr r, 1 \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \langle \epsilon_i, 1 \rangle = \sum_{i \in T} a_i$$

where $\langle -, - \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product of characters, hence $L(s, r)$ is invertible at $s = 1$ as well.

Next if χ is not of the form $\epsilon |\cdot|^{i\lambda}$, then it is not of this form when restricted to any open finite index subgroup. We have $r = \sigma \otimes \chi$ and use Brauer's theorem to write

$$\sigma = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \left(\text{Ind}_{W_{E_i}}^{W_F} \epsilon_i \right)^{\oplus a_i}$$

with notation as before, hence

$$r = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \left(\text{Ind}_{W_{E_i}}^{W_F} (\epsilon_i \otimes \chi|_{W_{E_i}}) \right)^{\oplus a_i}$$

and $L(s, r) = \prod_i L(s, \epsilon_i \otimes \chi|_{W_{E_i}})^{a_i}$ and none of the $\epsilon_i \otimes \chi|_{W_{E_i}}$ are of the form $|\cdot|^{i\lambda}$ which allows us to deduce the proposition in this case.

Finally when r is not primitive, write $r = \text{Ind } r'$ with r' primitive and then $L(s, r) = L(s, r')$ (note that inducing $|\cdot|^{i\lambda}$ never produces an irreducible representation). \square

Next, we let $|\cdot|$ denote the norm homomorphism on W_F and put $W_F^1 = \text{Ker } |\cdot|$ and $W_F^{ab,1} = \text{Ker}(|\cdot| : W_F^{ab} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0})$.

Lemma 11. *Let $r : W_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a unitary Weil parameter. Let E/F be a finite Galois extension such that r factors through $W_{E/F}$. Then the image of r is compact, hence closed in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and the following are equivalent:*

- 1) $r(W_E^{ab,1}) = r(W_E^{ab})$.
- 2) $r(W_F^1) = r(W_F)$.
- 3) Write $r|_{W_E} = \chi_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \chi_n$. Then for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\chi_1^{a_1} \dots \chi_n^{a_n} \neq |\cdot|^{i\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$.

Proof. Recall that $W_E^{ab} \cong W_E^{ab,1} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and that $W_E^{ab,1}$ is compact. Thus $r(W_E^{ab,1})$ is compact and on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, r is a unitary character and is hence trivial or maps surjectively onto $U(1)$. It follows that $r(W_E^{ab})$ is compact. Since W_E^{ab} has finite index in $W_{E/F}$ it follows that the image of r is compact.

Let us now show that 1) and 2) are equivalent. To do this, we introduce $W_{E/F}^1$ which is the kernel of the norm map on $W_{E/F}$. It sits in an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow W_E^{ab,1} \rightarrow W_{E/F}^1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(E/F) \rightarrow 1.$$

Note that $W_E^{ab,1} = W_{E/F}^1 \cap W_E^{ab}$ is normal in $W_{E/F}$, and also that 2) is equivalent to $r(W_{E/F}^1) = r(W_{E/F})$. We have $W_{E/F}/W_{E/F}^1 \cong W_E^{ab}/W_E^{ab,1} \cong \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and these surject onto $r(W_{E/F})/r(W_{E/F}^1)$ and $r(W_E^{ab})/r(W_E^{ab,1})$, so they are connected. Thus it suffices to show that if one is finite then the other is as well. Assume 1). Then

$$\text{Gal}(E/F) \rightarrow \frac{r(W_{E/F})}{r(W_E^{ab})} = \frac{r(W_{E/F})}{r(W_E^{ab,1})} \rightarrow \frac{r(W_{E/F})}{r(W_{E/F}^1)}$$

so 2) holds. Conversely, assume 2). Then

$$\text{Gal}(E/F) \rightarrow \frac{r(W_{E/F}^1)}{r(W_E^{ab,1})} = \frac{r(W_{E/F})}{r(W_E^{ab,1})} \supseteq \frac{r(W_E^{ab})}{r(W_E^{ab,1})}$$

and hence 1) holds.

To finish the proof of the proposition it suffices to show that 1) and 3) are equivalent. First assume 1). $r|_{W_E}$ takes values in $U(1)^n$ and the integers a_1, \dots, a_n define character ψ such that $\psi \circ r = \chi_1^{a_1} \dots \chi_n^{a_n}$. Then $\psi(r(W_E^{ab})) = \psi(r(W_E^{ab,1}))$ which implies $\psi \circ r \neq |\cdot|^{i\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ as $|\cdot|^{i\lambda}(W_E^{ab}) \neq 1 = |\cdot|^{i\lambda}(W_E^{ab,1})$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. Thus 1) implies 3). Conversely, assume that 3) holds and let $G = r(W_E^{ab})$. As $W_E^{ab,1}$ is compact, $r(W_E^{ab,1})$ is a closed subgroup of G . Assume for contradiction that $G/r(W_E^{ab,1}) \neq 1$. As $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \twoheadrightarrow G/r(W_E^{ab,1})$ we must have $G/r(W_E^{ab,1}) = U(1)$ and hence we may find a non-trivial character ψ on G that is trivial on $r(W_E^{ab,1})$. Thus $\psi \circ r$ is non-trivial but trivial on $W_E^{ab,1}$ and hence equal to $|\cdot|^{i\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. As G is a closed subgroup of $U(1)^n$ we may extend ψ to a character on $U(1)^n$ and hence there are integers a_1, \dots, a_n such that $\chi_1^{a_1} \dots \chi_n^{a_n} = |\cdot|^{i\lambda}$, a contradiction. This concludes the proof. \square

We may now prove our equidistribution result.

Theorem 12. *Let $r : W_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a unitary Weil parameter and put $G = r(W_F)$ (which is compact by Lemma 11). Assume that $G = r(W_F^1)$. Let S be a finite set of places containing all infinite places such that r is unramified outside S . Then the sequence $(r(\text{Frob}_v))_{v \notin S}$ is equidistributed in the set of conjugacy classes of G with respect to normalized Haar measure on G .*

Proof. As indicated, it suffices to show that for every irreducible non-trivial representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$, the Artin L -function $L(s, \rho \circ r)$ of the (necessarily irreducible) unitary Weil parameter $\rho \circ r$ has meromorphic continuation and is invertible on $\text{Re } s \geq 1$. By Proposition 10 it suffices to show that $\rho \circ r \neq |\cdot|^{i\lambda}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. As r surjects onto G $\rho \circ r$ cannot be trivial, and since $(\rho \circ r)(W_F^1) = (\rho \circ r)(W_F)$, $\rho \circ r \neq |\cdot|^{i\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. \square

3.3. Applications to Galois representations and abelian varieties. In this section we apply Theorem 12 to get equidistribution laws for abelian varieties that become isogenous over some field extension to a product of abelian varieties with CM. First we give results purely in terms of Galois representations.

Lemma 13. *Let $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a semisimple potentially abelian geometric ℓ -adic representation that is pure of weight $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let r be the associated Weil parameter. Then*

- 1) $r \otimes |\cdot|^{-w/2}$ is unitary.
- 2) $(r \otimes |\cdot|^{-w/2})(W_F) = (r \otimes |\cdot|^{-w/2})(W_F^1)$.

Proof. 1) First note that if E/F is any finite extension, then ρ is pure of weight w if and only if $\rho|_{G_E}$ is pure of weight w , and $r \otimes |\cdot|^{-w/2}$ is unitary if and only if $r|_{W_E} \otimes |\cdot|^{-w/2}$ is unitary (as being unitary is equivalent to compactness of the image, cf. Lemma 11). Thus we are reduced to the case when ρ is a sum of characters, which directly reduces to the case of a single character. Then as is well known there exists a unique $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r \otimes |\cdot|^{-\mu/2}$ is unitary. For $v \nmid \ell \infty$ such that r is unramified, we must then have $|\iota(\rho(\mathrm{Frob}_v))| = |r(\mathrm{Frob}_v)| = q_v^{-\mu/2}$ (where the absolute value denotes the complex absolute value) and hence $\mu = w$ as desired.

2) By Lemma 11 we are again reduced to the case when $\rho = \epsilon_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \epsilon_n$ is a sum of characters and if we write $r = \chi_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \chi_n$, we want to show that for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(\chi_1 |\cdot|^{w/2})^{a_1} \dots (\chi_n |\cdot|^{w/2})^{a_n} \neq |\cdot|^{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, i.e. that $\chi_1^{a_1} \dots \chi_n^{a_n} \neq |\cdot|^{(\sum a_i)(w/2) + i\lambda}$. Since each χ_i is algebraic, we see that the left hand side is algebraic, but the right hand side is only algebraic if $\lambda = 0$. \square

Corollary 14. *Let $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a semisimple potentially abelian geometric ℓ -adic representation that is pure of weight $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let S be a finite set of places containing all infinite places and places above ℓ such that ρ is unramified outside S . Then the elements $(\iota(\rho(\mathrm{Frob}_v))q_v^{w/2})$ all lie in some compact group $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and are equidistributed in the space of conjugacy classes of G (with respect to normalized Haar measure on G).*

Proof. Apply Theorem 12 to $r \otimes |\cdot|^{-w/2}$, where r is the Weil parameter associated with ρ . \square

Corollary 15. *Let A/F be an abelian variety that becomes isogenous to a product of abelian varieties with CM over a finite extension of F . Let $\rho_{A,\ell} = H_{\mathrm{et}}^1(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)^\vee$ denote the ℓ -adic Tate module of A tensored over \mathbb{Z}_ℓ with $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Then the elements $(\iota(\rho_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_v))q_v^{1/2})$ all lie in some compact group $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and are equidistributed in the space of conjugacy classes of G (with respect to normalized Haar measure on G), and G and the $(\iota(\rho_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_v))q_v^{1/2})$ are independent of ℓ . In particular, Conjecture 1.1 of [FKRS] holds in all cases of type **D** or **F**.*

Proof. The only thing that might need a remark is the independence of ℓ : since the $\rho_{A,\ell}$ form a compatible system, it follows that the associated Weil parameter r is independent of ℓ and this gives the assertion. \square

4. ABELIAN SURFACES POTENTIALLY OF GL_2 -TYPE OVER TOTALLY REAL FIELDS

In this section we will deal with a number of cases where the abelian surface A/F has a quadratic field $K \subseteq \mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(A)^0$. For technical reasons we need to assume that $K \subseteq \mathrm{End}_E(A)^0$ for some totally real field E (forcing F to be totally real as well). This is analogous to the restriction to elliptic curves over totally real fields in our current knowledge of the Sato-Tate conjecture for non-CM elliptic curves. We will start off by recalling some generalities from [Rib] on the Tate modules of abelian varieties of GL_2 -type, and then we will prove the Sato-Tate conjecture case by case for cases **B**, **C** and **E** under the above mentioned restrictions.

4.1. **Some generalities.** To fix ideas, let us start by recalling a well known definition (cf. [Rib] §2 in the case $F = \mathbb{Q}$).

Definition 16. Let A/F be an abelian variety of dimension g . We say that A is of GL_2 -type if $\mathrm{End}_F(A)^0$ contains a number field K of degree g .

Let A be an abelian surface of GL_2 -type over a totally real field F ; we let $K \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(A)^0$ denote a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} as in the definition. The following results are recorded in §3 of [Rib] when $F = \mathbb{Q}$, but they extend with the same proofs to general totally real F . We refer to the introduction of [PT] for the definition of a weakly compatible system, see §5 of [BLGGT] for a more extensive treatment. We remark that in §3 of [Rib] it is assumed throughout that A is simple (over its field of definition). This assumption is however not needed for the results below.

Proposition 17. *Let A be an abelian surface of GL_2 -type over a totally real field F and $K \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(A)^0$ be a quadratic field. Let ℓ be a prime number. The ℓ -adic (rational) Tate module $\rho_{A,\ell} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ lands inside $\mathrm{GL}_2(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and we may decompose it into two two-dimensional pieces using the two embeddings $K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. The two-dimensional pieces fit together into a weakly compatible system $(\rho_{A,\lambda} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{K}_\lambda))_\lambda$ where λ ranges over the finite places of K (or just embeddings $K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ for all primes ℓ).*

In the following we use the association $\ell \leftrightarrow \lambda$ without further comment. The compatible system $(\rho_{A,\lambda})_\lambda$ satisfies the following properties (see [Rib], Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 for properties 1) and 2)):

- 1) *Assume that K is a real quadratic field. Then $\det \rho_{A,\lambda} = \chi_\ell$, the ℓ -adic cyclotomic character.*
- 2) *Assume that K is an imaginary quadratic field. Then $\det \rho_{A,\lambda} = \epsilon \chi_\ell$, where $\epsilon : G_F \rightarrow K^\times$ is a finite order character (independent of λ).*
- 3) *In either case $\rho_{A,\lambda}$ is totally odd, regular with Hodge-Tate weights 0 and -1 (independent of embeddings and choice of places), and the compatible system is pure of weight -1 .*

Finally we record a conjugate self-duality result in the case when K is imaginary quadratic.

Proposition 18. ([Rib] Proposition 3.4) *We use the notation of Proposition 17 and assume in addition that K is imaginary quadratic. Then $\rho_{A,\lambda_1} \cong \rho_{A,\lambda_2} \otimes \epsilon$, where ϵ denotes the character in Proposition 17 2) and the λ_i are the two embeddings $K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.*

4.2. **Galois type $\mathbf{B}[C_1]$.** Recall that we are using the presentation $\mathrm{USp}(4) = \{A \in \mathrm{U}(4) \mid A^t S' A = S'\}$. Case **B** corresponds to $ST_A^0 = \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$, which we may embed into $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ by

$$(A, B) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

The reference for the group theory is §3.6 of [FKRS].

In the case of Galois type $\mathbf{B}[C_1]$ the Sato-Tate group is simply $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and its irreducible representations are $R_{k,l} = \mathrm{Sym}^k(St) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^l(St)$ for nonnegative integers k, l , where St denotes the standard two-dimensional representation of any subgroup of GL_2 . Let A/F be an abelian surface of Galois type $\mathbf{B}[C_1]$. There are two cases to consider; either A is isogenous to the product of elliptic curves over F without CM that do not become isogenous over any finite extension of F , or A has real multiplication defined over F by a real quadratic field K . In the first case, the Sato-Tate conjecture is known and due to Harris (Theorem 5.4 of [Har]), modulo certain hypotheses that have subsequently been verified (see [BGHT] for a discussion and references). It remains to deal with

the second case. The proof is the same as that of Harris in the first case (up to a few minor details and the general remark that we use more powerful potential automorphy theorems).

Proposition 19. *Let A be an abelian surface over F such that $\text{End}_F(A)^0 = \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(A)^0 = K$ is a two-dimensional semisimple algebra over \mathbb{Q} . Then the Sato-Tate conjecture holds for A .*

Proof. As mentioned above, K is either $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ or a real quadratic field, the first case being treated by Harris, so we may assume that K is real quadratic. Consider the regular, totally odd and essentially self-dual weakly compatible system $(\rho_{A,\lambda})_\lambda$ given by Proposition 17. For any $k \geq 0$, the weakly compatible system $(\text{Sym}^k \rho_{A,\lambda})_\lambda$ inherits these properties and, enlarging K if necessary, we may apply Theorem A of [PT] to deduce that there is a Galois, totally real extension F'/F such that $(\text{Sym}^k \rho_{A,\lambda})_\lambda$ becomes automorphic after restriction to F' . Next, let us consider the partial L -function

$$L^S(s, R_{k,l}, A) = \prod_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{\det(1 - R_{k,l}(s(v))q_v^{-s})}$$

which we want to show is invertible on $\text{Re } s \geq 1$ when $(k, l) \neq (0, 0)$. The rational Tate module $\rho_{A,\ell}$ maps into $\text{GL}_2(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and since $\text{GL}_2(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \cong \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \times \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we may apply $R_{k,l}$, considered as an algebraic representation of $\text{GL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2$, to $\rho_{A,\ell}$; by the definition of $s(v)$ in terms of the ℓ -adic monodromy group we see that

$$\prod_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{\det(1 - R_{k,l}(s(v))q_v^{-s})} = \prod_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{\det(1 - R_{k,l}(\rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Frob}_v))q_v^{-(s+(k+l)/2)})},$$

i.e. that

$$L^S(s, R_{k,l}, A) = L^S(s + (k+l)/2, R_{k,l} \circ r_{A,\ell}) = L^S(s + (k+l)/2, \text{Sym}^k \rho_{A,\lambda_1} \otimes \text{Sym}^l \rho_{A,\lambda_2})$$

where we let λ_1 and λ_2 denote the two embeddings $K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. By Brauer's theorem as in e.g. Theorem 4.2 of [HSBT] the invertibility of this L -function follows from that of

$$L^S(s + (k+l)/2, \text{Sym}^k(\rho_{A,\lambda_1}|_{G_E}) \otimes \text{Sym}^l(\rho_{A,\lambda_2}|_{G_E}))$$

for arbitrary subextensions $F'/E/F$ such that F'/E is solvable, and automorphy of $(\text{Sym}^k \rho_{A,\lambda})_\lambda$ over F' implies automorphy over E by the theory of cyclic base change ([AC]). Thus, Rankin-Selberg theory (as in the proof of Theorem 5.3 of [Har]) implies that $L^S(s + (k+l)/2, \text{Sym}^k(\rho_{A,\lambda_1}|_{G_E}) \otimes \text{Sym}^l(\rho_{A,\lambda_2}|_{G_E}))$ is invertible, as long as $\text{Sym}^k(\rho_{A,\lambda_1}|_{G_E}) \not\cong \text{Sym}^l(\rho_{A,\lambda_2}|_{G_E})$ (use essential self-duality and the fact that the multiplier of $\rho_{A,\lambda}$ is the cyclotomic character χ_ℓ). Then, arguing as in the paragraph before Theorem 5.3 of [Har] (using knowledge of $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(A)$ together automorphy over F' to get the necessary big image and "irreducibility upon restriction to arbitrary extensions of F' "-assertions that underlie this argument), we deduce that if $\text{Sym}^k(\rho_{A,\lambda_1}|_{G_E}) \cong \text{Sym}^l(\rho_{A,\lambda_2}|_{G_E})$ then there exists a finite extension E'/F such that $\rho_{A,\lambda_1}|_{G_{E'}} \cong \rho_{A,\lambda_2}|_{G_{E'}}$, but this contradicts the fact that $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(A)^0 = K$ by Faltings's theorem. \square

4.3. Galois type $\mathbf{B}[C_2]$. Here $ST_A = N(\text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)) = \langle \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2), J \rangle \subseteq \text{USp}(4)$. Note that ST_A has two components as $J^2 = \text{Id}_4$. Let us start by recording the following standard consequence of Clifford's Theorem which will be used numerous times throughout this paper:

Lemma 20. *Let G and H be topological groups with $H \subseteq G$ a subgroup of index 2 and let $x \in G \setminus H$ be any element. If r is a continuous finite-dimensional irreducible representation of H we define its twist r^x by $r^x(h) = r(xhx^{-1})$. Then r extends to a representation of G if and only if $r \cong r^x$, in which case it extends to exactly two non-isomorphic representations (one is obtained from the other*

by twisting by the nontrivial character of G/H) and all the other irreducible representations of G are of the form $\text{Ind}_H^G r$ where r is an irreducible representation of H such that $r \not\cong r^x$.

We will use it here with $H = ST_A^0$, $G = ST_A$. Given $(A, B) \in ST_A^0$, we have that $J(A, B)J^{-1} = (J_2 B J_2^{-1}, J_2 A J_2^{-1})$. Let $r_{k,l} = \text{Sym}^k(St) \otimes \text{Sym}^l(St)$ be an irreducible representation of ST_A^0 . Then since $J_2 \in \text{SU}(2)$ we see that $r_{k,l}^J \cong r_{l,k}$. Hence $r_{k,l}$ extends if and only if $k = l$ and the Lemma gives us all the irreducible representations of ST_A . For $k \neq l$, we put $R_{k,l} = \text{Ind}_H^G r_{k,l}$, for $k = l$ we have two representations R_k^1, R_k^2 that extend $r_{k,k}$. We make the convention that R_0^1 is the trivial representation.

Now let us consider an abelian surface A/F of type $\mathbf{B}[C_2]$. This means that L/F has degree two, $\text{End}_F(A)^0 = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\text{End}_L(A)^0 = \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(A)^0$ is a two-dimensional semisimple algebra over \mathbb{Q} . Thus $\rho_{A,\ell}$ is irreducible but $\rho_{A,\ell}|_{G_L}$ is a sum of two two-dimensional irreducible representations that do not become either isomorphic or reducible upon further extension of F .

Proposition 21. *Let A/F be an abelian surface of Galois type $\mathbf{B}[C_2]$ and assume that L is totally real. Then the Sato-Tate conjecture holds for A .*

Proof. By the discussion above $\rho_{A,\ell}$ takes values in a subgroup of $\text{GL}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ isomorphic to an extension

$$1 \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \times \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$$

and the composition with the projection onto $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is surjective. The $R_{k,l}$ and R_k^i may naturally be considered as algebraic representations of \mathcal{G}_ℓ . First assume $k \neq l$ and consider $L^S(s, R_{k,l}, A)$. As in Proposition 19 we have $L^S(s, R_{k,l}, A) = L^S(s + (k+l)/2, R_{k,l} \circ \rho_\ell)$; note further that $L^S(s + (k+l)/2, R_{k,l} \circ \rho_\ell) = L^S(s + (k+l)/2, r_{k,l} \circ \rho_\ell|_{G_K})$. This L -function is invertible by Proposition 19.

Next we let $k \geq 1$ and consider $L^S(s, R_k^i, A)$. We have $L^S(s, R_k^i, A) = L^S(s + k, R_k^i \circ \rho_{A,\ell})$ and the invertibility of the latter L -function follows from that of $L^S(s + k, R_k^i \circ \rho_{A,\ell}|_{G_E})$ by Brauer's theorem, where E is a subextension of a totally real Galois extension F'/L such that F'/E is solvable. We may now apply the proof of Proposition 19 to conclude.

Finally we need to consider $L^S(s, R_0^2, A)$. Since the composition $G_F \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is surjective with kernel G_L $L^S(s, R_0^2, A)$ is the Hecke L -function associated with the nontrivial character of $\text{Gal}(L/F)$, hence invertible. \square

4.4. Type C. In this case only the Galois type $\mathbf{C}[C_2]$ is possible over a totally real field. We are still using the presentation $\text{USp}(4) = \{A \in \text{U}(4) \mid A^t S' A = S'\}$. We let $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2)$ be embedded into $\text{USp}(4)$ by

$$(u, A) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u & & & \\ & \bar{u} & & \\ & & & A \\ & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

ST_A may then be described as $\langle \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2), a \rangle$. Thus $ST_A = N(\text{U}(1)) \times \text{SU}(2)$ where $N(\text{U}(1))$ denotes the normalizer of $\text{U}(1)$ in $\text{SU}(2)$, embedded via

$$u \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u & \\ & \bar{u} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The irreducible non-trivial representations of $N(\text{U}(1))$ are of the form $r_k = \text{Ind}_{\text{U}(1)}^{N(\text{U}(1))}(u \mapsto u^k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ nonzero (use e.g. Lemma 20). If we let r_0^0 denote the trivial representation of $N(\text{U}(1))$

and r_0^1 denotes the lift of the nontrivial character of $N(\mathrm{U}(1))/\mathrm{U}(1)$, we deduce that the irreducible representations of ST_A are of the form $r_k \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^l St$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $r_0^\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^l St$ for $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$.

Consider an abelian variety A/F of type $\mathbf{C}[C_2]$. A is then isogenous to a product $E_1 \times E_2$ of elliptic curves over F (Proposition 4.5 of [FKRS]) where we may take E_1 to have CM (defined over L) and E_2 to have no CM.

Proposition 22. *Let A/F be an abelian surface of Galois type $\mathbf{C}[C_2]$ and assume that F is totally real (and hence L is a CM field). Then the Sato-Tate conjecture holds for A .*

Proof. We have $\rho_{A,\ell} = \rho_{E_1,\ell} \oplus \rho_{E_2,\ell}$ and $\rho_{E_1,\ell} = \mathrm{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_F} \psi_\ell$ for some weakly compatible system of weight one essentially conjugate-self-dual algebraic Hecke characters ψ_ℓ . From this one sees that for $k \geq 1$, $l \geq 1$,

$$L^S(s, r_k \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^l St, A) = L^S(s + (k + l)/2, \mathrm{Sym}^l(\rho_{E_2,\ell}|_{G_L}) \otimes \psi_\ell^k)$$

and the $(\mathrm{Sym}^l(\rho_{E_2,\ell}|_{G_L}) \otimes \psi_\ell^k)_\ell$ form a weakly compatible system of pure (of weight $k+l$) essentially conjugate-self-dual regular Galois representations which we may apply the CM version of Theorem A of [PT] to deduce that it is potentially automorphic; invertibility of $L^S(s, r_k \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^l St, A)$ follows as usual from Brauer's theorem. For $k \geq 1$, $l = 0$ we have

$$L^S(s, r_k \otimes 1, A) = L^S(s + l/2, \psi_\ell^k)$$

and the result follows. For $l \geq 1$, $L^S(s, r_0^\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^l St, A) = L^S(s, \mathrm{Sym}^l r_{E_2,\ell} \otimes \chi)$ where χ is a character of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$ (trivial if and only if $\epsilon = 0$); invertibility follows from potential automorphy of $\mathrm{Sym}^l r_{E_2,\ell}$ and Brauer's theorem. Finally, $L^S(s, r_0^1 \otimes 1, A)$ is the L -function of the nontrivial character of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$, hence invertible. \square

4.5. Galois type $\mathbf{E}[C_n]$, $n = 1, 3, 4, 6$, and $\mathbf{E}[C_2, \mathbb{C}]$. We now switch presentation of $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ and instead use $\mathrm{USp}(4) = \{A \in \mathrm{U}(4) \mid A^t SA = S\}$. For type E one has $ST_A^0 = \mathrm{SU}(2)$. We embed $\mathrm{U}(2)$ (and hence $\mathrm{SU}(2)$) into $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ via

$$A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix}.$$

These Galois types $\mathbf{E}[C_n]$, $n = 1, 3, 4, 6$, and $\mathbf{E}[C_2, \mathbb{C}]$ correspond to the Sato-Tate groups E_n , $n = 1, 3, 4, 6$ and E_2 respectively, where the groups E_n are defined as $\langle \mathrm{SU}(2), e^{\pi i/n} \rangle$. Here $e^{\pi i/n}$ denotes the element $\mathrm{diag}(e^{\pi i/n}, e^{\pi i/n}, e^{-\pi i/n}, e^{-\pi i/n}) \in \mathrm{USp}(4)$. Thus the irreducible representations are of the form $r_{k,w} = \mathrm{Sym}^k St \otimes \chi_w$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $w \in \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$, $k \equiv w \pmod{2}$ and χ_w is the character $z \mapsto z^w$ of μ_{2n} . E_n is then the group

$$\mathrm{U}_n(2) = \{A \in \mathrm{U}(2) \mid \det A \in \mu_n\}$$

embedded in $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ via the above embedding of $\mathrm{U}(2)$. $r_{k,w}$ is the restriction of the representation $R_{k,t} = \mathrm{Sym}^k St \otimes \det^t$ of $\mathrm{U}(2)$ for any t such that $k + 2t \equiv w \pmod{2n}$.

Arithmetically these cases correspond abelian surfaces A such that $\mathrm{End}_L(A)^0$ is a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} and, when $F \neq L$, $\mathrm{End}_F(A)^0$ is an imaginary quadratic field (thus in all cases $\mathrm{End}_F(A)^0$ contains an imaginary quadratic field). This follows from [FKRS] Proposition 4.7, after we have made some remarks regarding the formulation of that proposition, assuming (in their notation) $C = \mathbb{Q}$ which is all we need. First, note that the assumption that E becomes isogenous over L to a product of elliptic curves is not necessary and indeed not used in the proof, all that is used

is that $\text{End}_L(A)^0$ is a quaternion algebra. Moreover, there is a possible source of confusion in the formulation in that one has to allow the “quadratic extension” mentioned in cases (i), $n = 2$ and (ii) to be $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ (e.g. L/F quadratic, $A = E_1 \times E_2$ where E_1 and E_2 do not have CM and are non-isomorphic quadratic twists of each other with respect to L/F).

Thus we see that $\rho_{A,\ell}$ is a direct sum of two two-dimensional representations ρ_{A,λ_1} and ρ_{A,λ_2} and that there is a finite order character ϵ such that $\rho_{A,\lambda_1} \cong \rho_{A,\lambda_2} \otimes \epsilon$. Since $\text{End}_L(A)^0$ is a quaternion algebra, ρ_{A,λ_1} and ρ_{A,λ_2} become isomorphic when restricted to L and are irreducible after restriction to any finite extension of F . Thus $\rho_{A,\lambda_1} = \rho_{A,\lambda_2} \otimes \epsilon$ for some character ϵ of $\text{Gal}(L/F)$. Note that we saw this in Proposition 17, and that $\det \rho_{A,\lambda_1} = \epsilon \chi_\ell$.

Proposition 23. *Let A be an abelian surface of Galois type $\mathbf{E}[C_n]$ for $n = 1, 3, 4, 6$ or $\mathbf{E}[C_2, \mathbb{C}]$ and assume that F is totally real. Then A satisfies the Sato-Tate conjecture.*

Proof. Consider the representation $r_{k,w}$ of ST_A , and the associated partial L -function

$$L^S(s, r_{k,w}, A) = \prod_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{\det(1 - r_{k,w}(s(v))q_v^{-s})}.$$

$\rho_{A,\ell} = \rho_{A,\lambda_1} \oplus \rho_{A,\lambda_2} = \rho_{A,\lambda_1} \otimes (1 \oplus \epsilon)$ takes values in a subgroup of $\text{GL}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ isomorphic to $\text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ (since ρ_{A,λ_2} is determined by ρ_{A,λ_1}). For any t such that $k + 2t \equiv w$, we may consider the partial L -function

$$L^S(s, R_{k,t} \circ \rho_{A,\lambda}) = \prod_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{\det(1 - R_{k,t}(\rho_{A,\lambda}(Frob_v))q_v^{-s})}.$$

By the definition of $s(v)$ and ST_A , we see that $L^S(s, r_{k,w}, A) = L^S(s + t + k/2, R_{k,t} \circ \rho_{A,\lambda})$. The weakly compatible system $(R_{k,t} \circ \rho_{A,\lambda})_\lambda$ is totally odd, regular and essentially self-dual and we deduce invertibility $L^S(s, r_{k,w}, A)$ as usual for $k \geq 1$. For $k = 0$, $w \neq 0$ invertibility follows from the fact that ϵ surjects onto μ_n . \square

4.6. Galois type $\mathbf{E}[C_2, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}]$ and $\mathbf{E}[D_n]$, $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$. Here $\mathbf{E}[C_2, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}]$ corresponds to $J(E_1)$ and $\mathbf{E}[D_n]$ corresponds to $J(E_n)$, $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$, where the groups $J(E_n)$ are defined as $\langle E_n, J \rangle$. Note that J commutes with $\text{SU}(2)$ and that $J e^{\pi i/n} J = e^{-\pi i/n}$. Using the notation of the previous subsection we see that $r_{k,w}^J \cong r_{k,-w}$. Thus $r_{k,w}$ extends if and only if $w = 0$ or n ; we get irreducible representations $R_{k,0,\epsilon}$, $R_{k,n,\epsilon}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, $k \equiv 0$ resp. n modulo 2) and $R_{k,w} = \text{Ind}_{E_n}^{J(E_n)} r_{k,w}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $w \in (\mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0, n\}$, $k \equiv w \pmod{2}$).

Let A/F be an abelian surface whose Galois type is either $\mathbf{E}[C_2, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}]$ or $\mathbf{E}[D_n]$ ($n = 2, 3, 4, 6$). Note that $\text{End}_F(A)^0 \neq \mathbb{Q}$ if and only if A has Galois type $\mathbf{E}[C_2, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}]$. We start by treating this case.

Proposition 24. *Let A/F be an abelian surface of Galois type $\mathbf{E}[C_2, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}]$ and assume that F is totally real. Then the Sato-Tate conjecture holds for A .*

Proof. The group $J(E_1)$ is isomorphic to $\text{SU}(2) \times C_2$ since J commutes with $\text{SU}(2)$ inside $\text{USp}(4)$. There are two possibilities for $\text{End}_F(A)^0$; either it is a real quadratic field or it is $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$. In either case, $\rho_{A,\ell} = r_1 \oplus r_2$ and there is a nontrivial character ψ of order 2 such that $r_2 \cong r_1 \otimes \psi$ (since

L/F is quadratic and $\text{End}_L(A)^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \cong M_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$. Thus we may view the image of $\rho_{A,\ell}$ inside a group isomorphic to $\text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}) \times C_2$. Consider the partial L -function

$$L^S(s, R_{k,\epsilon}, A) = \prod_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{\det(1 - R_{k,\epsilon}(s(v))q_v^{-s})}$$

(note that in this case k determines w). By the definition of ST_A and $s(v)$ it is equal to

$$L^S(s + k/2, \text{Sym}^k r_1 \otimes (\chi_{\epsilon} \circ \psi)) = \prod_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{\det(1 - (\text{Sym}^k r_1 \otimes (\chi_{\epsilon} \circ \psi))(Frob_v)q_v^{-s-k/2})}$$

where χ_{ϵ} is the character of C_2 corresponding to the index ϵ . Since r_1 is part of a pure, totally odd, regular and essentially self-dual weakly compatible system (use Proposition 17 if $\text{End}_F(A)^0$ is a field; if not then r_1 is the ℓ -adic Tate module of an elliptic curve), so is $\text{Sym}^k r_1 \otimes (\chi_{\epsilon} \circ \psi)$ and Theorem A of [PT] applies. If $k \geq 1$ we deduce invertibility of $L^S(s + k/2, \text{Sym}^k r_1 \otimes (\chi_{\epsilon} \circ \psi))$ as usual, if $k = 0$ and $\chi_{\epsilon} \neq 1$ we use that ψ is nontrivial. This finishes the proof of the proposition. \square

We now deal with the remaining cases. Let A/F be an abelian surface of Galois type $\mathbf{E}[D_n]$ for $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$. By Proposition 4.7(iii) of [FKRS] there is a quadratic extension F'/F such that A/F' has type $\mathbf{E}[C_n]$ if $n \in \{3, 4, 6\}$ and $\mathbf{E}[C_2, \mathbb{C}]$ or $\mathbf{E}[C_2, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}]$ if $n = 2$ (in the latter case F' is not unique, but any choice will do).

Proposition 25. *Let A/F be an abelian surface of Galois type $\mathbf{E}[D_n]$ for $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and assume that F' is a totally real field. Then the Sato-Tate conjecture holds.*

Proof. The proof is more or less identical to the proof of Proposition 21, using the proofs of Propositions 23 and 24 in place of that of Proposition 19. \square

REFERENCES

- [AC] Arthur, J., Clozel, L. : Simple algebras, base change, and the advanced theory of the trace formula. Annals of Math. Studies, vol. 120, Princeton University Press, 1989.
- [BLGG] Barnet-Lamb, T., Gee, T., Geraghty, D. : The Sato-Tate conjecture for Hilbert modular forms. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (2011) no. 2, 411-469.
- [BLGGT] Barnet-Lamb, T., Gee, T., Geraghty, D., Taylor, R. : Potential automorphy and change of weight. To appear in Annals of Math.
- [BGHT] Barnet-Lamb, T., Geraghty, D., Harris, M., Taylor, R. : A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy II. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 47 (2011) no. 1, 29-98.
- [Far] Fargues, L. : Motives and automorphic forms : The (potentially) abelian case. Available at http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~fargues/Motifs_abeliens.pdf
- [FKRS] Fite, F., Kedlaya, K. S., Rotger, V., Sutherland, A. V. : Sato-Tate distributions and Galois endomorphism modules in genus 2. Compos. Math. 148 (2012), 1390-1442.
- [FS] Fite, F., Sutherland, A. : Sato-Tate distributions of twists of $y^2=x^5-x$ and $y^2=x^6+1$. Preprint, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.1476>
- [Har] Harris, M. : Potential automorphy of odd-dimensional symmetric powers of elliptic curves, and applications. Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 270 (2009) 1-21.
- [HSBT] Harris, M., Shepherd-Barron, N., Taylor, R. : A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy. Annals of Math. 171 (2010), 779-813.
- [PT] Patrikis, S., Taylor, R. : Automorphy and irreducibility of some ℓ -adic representations. Preprint, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.1640>
- [Rib] Ribet, K. A. Abelian varieties over \mathbb{Q} and modular forms. Algebra and topology 1992 (Taejon), Korea Adv. Inst. Sci. Tach., Taejon 1992, 53-79.

- [Ser] Serre, J. P. : Abelian ℓ -adic representations and elliptic curves. W. A. Benjamin Inc, 1968.
- [Tat] Tate, J. : Number-theoretic background. Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, 3-26.
- [Tay] Taylor, R. : Remarks on a conjecture of Fontaine and Mazur. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 1 (2002), 1-19.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, OXFORD OX1 3LB, UK

E-mail address: johansson@maths.ox.ac.uk