

Levy's phenomenon for entire functions of several variables

O. V. Zrum

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, Ukraine
matstud@franko.lviv.ua

A. O. Kuryliak

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, Ukraine
kurylyak88@gmail.com

O. B. Skaskiv

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Ivan Franko National University of L'viv, Ukraine
matstud@franko.lviv.ua

Abstract

For entire functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, P. Lévy (1929) established that in the classical Wiman's inequality $M_f(r) \leq \mu_f(r) \times (\ln \mu_f(r))^{1/2+\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, which holds outside a set of finite logarithmic measure, the constant $1/2$ can be replaced almost surely in some sense, by $1/4$; here $M_f(r) = \max\{|f(z)|: |z| = r\}$, $\mu_f(r) = \max\{|a_n|r^n: n \geq 0\}$, $r > 0$. In this paper we prove that the phenomenon discovered by P. Lévy holds also in the case of Wiman's inequality for entire functions of several variables, which gives an affirmative answer to the question of A. A. Goldberg and M. M. Sheremeta (1996) on the possibility of this phenomenon.

Subject Classification: 30B20, 30D20

Keywords: Levy's phenomenon, random entire functions of several variables, Wiman's inequality

1 Introduction

For an entire function of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$$

we denote $M_f(r) = \max\{|f(z)|: |z| = r\}$, $\mu_f(r) = \max\{|a_n|r^n: n \geq 0\}$, $r > 0$. It is well known ([1], [2]) that for all nonconstant entire function $f(z)$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$ the following inequality

$$M_f(r) \leq \mu_f(r)(\ln \mu_f(r))^{1/2+\varepsilon} \quad (1)$$

holds for $r > 1$ outside an exceptional set $E_f(\varepsilon)$ of finite logarithmic measure ($\int_{E_f(\varepsilon)} \frac{dr}{r} < +\infty$).

In this paper we consider entire functions of p complex variables

$$f(z) = f(z_1, \dots, z_p) = \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (2)$$

where $z^n = z_1^{n_1} \dots z_p^{n_p}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $n = (n_1, \dots, n_p) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^p$, $\|n\| = \sum_{j=1}^p n_j$. For $r = (r_1, \dots, r_p) \in \mathbb{R}_+^p$ we denote

$$B(r) = \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+^p: t_j \geq r_j, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}\}, \quad r^\wedge = \min_{1 \leq i \leq p} r_i,$$

$$M_f(r) = \max\{|f(z)|: |z_1| = r_1, \dots, |z_p| = r_p\},$$

$$\mu_f(r) = \max\{|a_n|r_1^{n_1} \dots r_p^{n_p}: n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^p\},$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_f(r) = \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} |a_n|r^n, \quad \ln_k x = \underbrace{\ln \dots \ln}_k x.$$

By Λ^p we denote the class of entire functions of form (2) such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} f(z) \not\equiv 0$ in \mathbb{C}^p for any $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. We say that a subset E of \mathbb{R}_+^p is a set of asymptotically finite logarithmic measure ([14]) if E is Lebesgue measurable in \mathbb{R}_+^p and there exists an $R \in \mathbb{R}_+^p$ such that $E \cap B(R)$ is a set of finite logarithmic measure, i.e.

$$\int_{E \cap B(R)} \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{dr_j}{r_j} < +\infty.$$

For entire functions of the form (2) analogues of inequality (1) can be found in [3, 5, 6, 14]. In particular, the following statement is proved in [14].

Theorem 1.1 ([14]). *Let $f \in \Lambda^p$ and $\delta > 0$.*

a) Then there exist $R \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and a subset E of $B(R)$ of finite logarithmic measure such that for $r \in B(R) \setminus E$ we have

$$\mathfrak{M}_f(r) \leq \mu_f(r) \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{p-1} r_i \cdot \ln^p \mu_f(r) \right)^{1/2+\delta}. \quad (3)$$

b) If for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^p$ we have

$$\mathfrak{M}(r) \geq \exp(r^\alpha) = \exp(r_1^{\alpha_1} \dots r_p^{\alpha_p}), \text{ as } r^\wedge \rightarrow +\infty$$

or more generally, for each $\beta > 0$

$$\int \dots \int_{B(S)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p dr_i}{r_1 r_2 \dots r_p \ln^\beta \mathfrak{M}_f(r)} < +\infty, \text{ as } S^\wedge \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (4)$$

then there exist $R \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and a subset E of $B(R)$ of finite logarithmic measure such that for $r \in B(R) \setminus E$ we have

$$\mathfrak{M}_f(r) \leq \mu_f(r) \ln^{p/2+\delta} \mu_f(r).$$

2 Wiman's type inequality for random entire functions of several variables

Let $\Omega = [0, 1]$ and P be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . We consider the Steinhaus probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) where \mathcal{A} is the σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of Ω . Let $(\xi_n(\omega))$ be some sequence of random variables defined in this space.

By $K(f, X)$ we denote the class of random entire functions of the form

$$f(z, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n X_n(t) z^n.$$

In the case when $\mathcal{R} = (X_n(t))$ is the Rademacher sequence P. Levy ([7]) proved that for any entire function we can replace the constant $1/2$ by $1/4$ in the inequality (1) almost surely in the class $K(f, \mathcal{R})$. Later P. Erdős and A. Rényi ([8]) proved the same result for the class $K(f, H)$, where $H = (e^{2\pi i \omega_n(t)})$, $(\omega_n(t))$ is a sequence of independent uniformly distributed random variables on $[0, 1]$. This statement is true also for any class $K(f, X)$, where $X = (X_n(t))$ is multiplicative system (MS) uniformly bounded by the number 1. That is for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we have $|X_n(t)| \leq 1$ and

$$(\forall 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k): \mathbf{M}(X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_k}) = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{M}\xi$ is the expected value of a random variable ξ ([20]–[21]).

In the spring of 1996 during the report of P. V. Filevych at the Lviv seminar of the theory of analytic functions professors A. A. Goldberg and M. M. Sheremeta posed the following question (see [17]). Does Levy's effect take place for analogues of Wiman's inequality for entire functions of several complex variables?

In the papers [17]–[19] we have found an affirmative answer to this question for Fenton's inequality ([4]) for entire functions of two complex variables.

In this paper we will give answer to this question for Wiman's type inequality from [14] for entire functions of several complex variables.

Let $Z = (Z_n(t))$ be a complex sequence of random variables $Z_n(t) = X_n(t) + iY_n(t)$ such that both $X = (X_n(t))$ and $Y = (Y_n(t))$ are MS, and $K(f, Z)$ the class of random entire functions of the form

$$f(z, t) = \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} a_n Z_n(t) z_1^{n_1} \dots z_p^{n_p}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $Z = (Z_n(t))$ be a MS uniformly bounded by the number 1, $\delta > 0$, $f \in \Lambda^p$.*

- a) *Then almost surely in $K(f, Z)$ there exist $R \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and subset E^* of $B(R)$ of finite logarithmic measure such that for all $r \in B(R) \setminus E^*$ we have*

$$M_f(r, t) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z, t)| \leq \mu_f(r) \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{p-1} r_i \cdot \ln^p \mu_f(r) \right)^{1/4+\delta}. \quad (5)$$

- b) *If for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^p$ we have*

$$\mathfrak{M}(r, t) \geq \exp(r^\alpha) = \exp(r_1^{\alpha_1} \dots r_p^{\alpha_p}) \text{ as } r^\wedge \rightarrow +\infty$$

or more generally, for each $\beta > 0$ inequality (4) holds, then almost surely in $K(f, Z)$ there exist $R \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and subset E of $B(R)$ of finite logarithmic measure such that for all $r \in B(R) \setminus E$ we get

$$M_f(r, t) \leq \mu_f(r) \ln^{p/4+\delta} \mu_f(r). \quad (6)$$

Lemma 2.2 ([15]). *Let $X = (X_n(t))$ be a MS uniformly bounded by the number 1. Then for all $\beta > 0$ there exists a constant $A_{\beta p} > 0$, which depends on p and β only such that for all $N \geq N_1(p) = \max\{p, 4\pi\}$ and $\{c_n: \|n\| \leq N\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$P \left\{ t: \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{\|n\|=0}^N c_n X_n(t) e^{in_1 \psi_1} \dots e^{in_p \psi_p} \right| : \psi \in [0, 2\pi]^p \right\} \geq \right. \\ \left. \geq A_{\beta p} S_N \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} N \right\} \leq \frac{1}{N^\beta} \quad (7)$$

where $S_N^2 = \sum_{\|n\|=0}^N |c_n|^2$.

By H we denote the class of function $h: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^p$ such that

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \dots \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{du_1 \dots du_p}{h(u)} < +\infty.$$

We also define for all $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$

$$\partial_i \ln \mathfrak{M}_f(r) = r_i \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i} (\ln \mathfrak{M}_f(r)) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}_f(r)} \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} n_i |a_n| r^n.$$

Lemma 2.3 ([14]). *Let $h \in H$. Then there exist $R \in \mathbb{R}_+^p$ and subset E' of $B(R)$ of finite logarithmic measure such that for all $r \in B(R) \setminus E'$ and $s \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ we have*

$$\partial_s \ln \mathfrak{M}_f(r) \leq h(\ln r_1, \dots, \ln r_{s-1}, \ln \mathfrak{M}_f(r), \ln r_{s+1}, \dots, \ln r_p). \quad (8)$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We can suppose that $Z = X = (X_n(t))$ is a MS. Indeed, if $Z_n(t) = X_n(t) + iY_n(t)$ then we obtain

$$f(z, t) = \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} a_n X_n(t) z^n + \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} i a_n Y_n(t) z^n = f_1(z, t) + f_2(z, t),$$

and $\mu(r, f_1) = \mu(r, f_2) = \mu(r, f)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}_+^p$. Then from inequality (5) we obtain for $r \in B(R) \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)$ almost surely in $K(f, Z)$

$$M_{f_j}(r, t) \leq \mu_f(r) \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{p-1} r_i \cdot \ln^p \mu_f(r) \right)^{1/4+\delta_1} \quad j \in \{1, 2\}, \delta_1 > 0.$$

So, for $r \in B(R) \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)$ almost surely in $K(f, Z)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_f(r, t) &\leq \sqrt{M_{f_1}^2(r, t) + M_{f_2}^2(r, t)} \leq \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \mu_f(r) \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{p-1} r_i \cdot \ln^p \mu_f(r) \right)^{1/4+\delta_1} < \mu_f(r) \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{p-1} r_i \cdot \ln^p \mu_f(r) \right)^{1/4+\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to remark that $E_1 \cup E_2$ is a set of asymptotically finite logarithmic measure.

For any $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ we have

$$\lim_{r_j \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_f(r_1^0, \dots, r_{j-1}^0, r_j, r_{j+1}^0, \dots, r_p^0) = +\infty \quad (9)$$

for fixed $r_i^0 > 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, p\} \setminus \{j\}$. Indeed, if (9) does not hold, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $r_j > r_j^*$ we have $\mu_f(r) < C < +\infty$. Hence, $\#\{n_j \geq 1: a_n \neq 0\} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} f(z) \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{C}^p . So, $f \notin \Lambda^p$, which gives a contradiction.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote $G_k = \{r = (r_1, \dots, r_p) \in \mathbb{R}_+^p: k \leq \ln \mu_f(r) < k + 1\} \cap [1; +\infty)^p$. Then $G_k \neq \emptyset$ for $k \geq k_0$ and from (9) we deduce that for all k the set G_k is a bounded set. Let $G_k^+ = \bigcup_{j=k}^{+\infty} G_k$ and

$$h(r) = \prod_{i=1}^p r_i \ln^{1+\delta_1} r_i \in H, \quad \delta_1 > 0.$$

By Lemma 2.3 there exist $R_j \in \mathbb{R}_+^p$ and a subset E_j of $B(R_j)$ of finite logarithmic measure such that for all $r \in B(R_j) \setminus E_j$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} n_i |a_n| r^n &\leq \mathfrak{M}_f(r) h(\ln r_1, \dots, \ln r_{s-1}, \ln \mathfrak{M}_f(r), \ln r_{s+1}, \dots, \ln r_n) \leq \\ &\leq \mathfrak{M}_f(r) \ln \mathfrak{M}_f(r) \ln_2^{1+\delta_1} \mathfrak{M}_f(r) \prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^p \ln r_i \ln_2^{1+\delta_1} r_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $r \in B(R) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^p E_i)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} \|n\| |a_n| r^n &\leq \mathfrak{M}_f(r) \ln \mathfrak{M}_f(r) \ln_2^{1+\delta_1} \mathfrak{M}_f(r) \sum_{j=1}^p \left(\prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^p \ln r_i \ln_2^{1+\delta_1} r_i \right) \leq \\ &\leq p \mathfrak{M}_f(r) \ln \mathfrak{M}_f(r) \ln_2^{1+\delta_1} \mathfrak{M}_f(r) \prod_{i=1}^p \ln r_i \ln_2^{1+\delta_1} r_i, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B(R) \subset \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^p B(R_j) \right) \cap [e, +\infty)^p.$$

By Theorem 1.1 we get for $r \in B(R) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^p E_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} \|n\| |a_n| r^n &\leq p \mu_f(r) \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{p-1} r_i \cdot \ln^p \mu_f(r) \right)^{1/2+\delta_1} \times \\ &\times \left(\ln \mu_f(r) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta_1 \right) \left((p-1) \sum_{i=1}^p \ln_2 r_i + p \ln_2 \mu_f(r) \right) \right)^{1/2+\delta_1} \prod_{i=1}^p \ln r_i \ln_2^{1+\delta_1} r_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $\delta_2 > 2\delta_1$ and $r \in B(R) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^p E_i)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{+\infty} \|n\| |a_n| r^n \leq \\ & \leq \mu_f(r) \ln^{p/2+1+\delta_2} \mu_f(r) \prod_{i=1}^p \left((\ln r_i)^{p+\delta_2} (\ln_2 r_i)^{1+\delta_2} \sum_{i=1}^p \ln_2^{1/2+\delta_2} r_i \right) < \\ & < \mu_f(r) \ln^{p/2+1+\delta_2} \mu_f(r) \prod_{i=1}^p \left(\ln^p r_i \ln_2^2 r_i \right)^{1+\delta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\|n\| \geq d} |a_n| r^n & \leq \sum_{\|n\| \geq d} \frac{\|n\|}{d} |a_n| r^n = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\|n\| \geq d} \|n\| |a_n| r^n \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{d} \mu_f(r) \ln^{p/2+1+\delta_2} \mu_f(r) \prod_{i=1}^p \left(\ln^p r_i \ln_2^2 r_i \right)^{1+\delta_2} = \mu_f(r), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$d = d(r) = \ln^{p/2+1+\delta_2} \mu_f(r) \prod_{i=1}^p \left(\ln^p r_i \ln_2^2 r_i \right)^{1+\delta_2}.$$

Let $G_k^* = G_k \setminus E_{p+1}$,

$$E_{p+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^p E_i \cup E^* \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k_0-1} G_i \right).$$

By I we denote the set of integers $k \geq k_0$ such that $G_k^* \neq \emptyset$. Then $\#I = +\infty$. For $k \in I$ we choose a sequence $r^{(k)} \in G_k^*$. Then for all $r \in G_k^*$ we get

$$\mu_f(r^{(k)}) < e^{k+1} \leq e\mu_f(r), \quad \mu_f(r) < e^{k+1} < e\mu_f(r^{(k)}), \quad (11)$$

and also $[1; +\infty)^p \setminus E_{p+1} = \bigcup_{k \in I} G_k^*$. For $k \in I$ we denote $N_k = [2d_1(r^{(k)})]$, where

$$d_1(r) = \ln^{p/2+1+\delta_2} (e\mu_f(r)) \prod_{i=1}^p \left(\ln^p r_i \ln_2^2 r_i \right)^{1+\delta_2},$$

and for $r \in G_k^*$

$$W_{N_k}(r, t) = \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{\|n\| \leq N_k} a_n r_1^{n_1} \dots r_p^{n_p} e^{in_1\psi_1 + \dots + in_p\psi_p} X_n(t) \right| : \psi \in [0, 2\pi]^p \right\}.$$

For a Lebesgue measurable set $G \subset G_k^*$ and for $k \in I$ we denote

$$\nu_k(G) = \frac{\text{meas}_p(G)}{\text{meas}_p(G_k^*)},$$

where meas_p denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^p .

Note that ν_k is a probability measure defined on the family of Lebesgue measurable subsets of G_k^* . Let $\Omega = \bigcup_{k \in I} G_k^*$ and $I = \{k_j : j \geq 1\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, where $k_j < k_{j+1}$, $j \geq 1$. For Lebesgue measurable subsets G of Ω we denote

$$\nu(G) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k_j}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{j+1}-k_j}\right) \int_G \chi_{G_{k_{j+1}}^*} d\nu_{k_{j+1}}, \quad (12)$$

where $k_0 = 0$ and χ_A is characteristic function of a set A . We note

$$\nu(\Omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k_j}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{j+1}-k_j}\right) \nu(G_{k_{j+1}}^*) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{s=k_{j+1}}^{k_{j+1}} \frac{1}{2^s} = \sum_{s=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^s} = 1.$$

Thus ν is a probability measure, which is defined on measurable subsets of Ω . On $[0, 1] \times \Omega$ we define the probability measure $P_0 = P \otimes \nu$, which is a direct product of the probability measures P and ν . Now for $k \in I$ we define

$$F_k = \{(t, r) \in [0, 1] \times \Omega : W_{N_k}(r, t) > A_1 S_{N_k}(r) \ln^{1/2} N_k\},$$

$$F_k(r) = \{t \in [0, 1] : W_{N_k}(r, t) > A_1 S_{N_k}(r) \ln^{1/2} N_k\},$$

where $S_{N_k}^2(r) = \sum_{\|n\|=0}^{N_k} |a_n|^2 r^{2n}$ and A_p is the constant from Lemma 1 with $\beta = 1$. Using Fubini's theorem and Lemma 2.2 with $c_n = a_n r^n$ and $\beta = 1$, we get for $k \in I$

$$P_0(F_k) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{F_k(r)} dP \right) d\nu = \int_{\Omega} P(F_k(r)) d\nu \leq \frac{1}{N_k} \nu(\Omega) = \frac{1}{N_k}.$$

Note that $N_k > \ln^{p/2+1} \mu_f(r^{(k)}) \geq k^{3/2}$. Therefore $\sum_{k \in I} P_0(F_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{-3/2} < +\infty$. By Borel-Cantelli's lemma the infinite quantity of the events $\{F_k : k \in I\}$ may occur with probability zero. So,

$$P_0(F) = 1, \quad F = \bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} \bigcap_{k \geq s, k \in I} \overline{F_k} \subset [0, 1] \times \Omega.$$

Then for any point $(t, r) \in F$ there exists $k_0 = k_0(t, r)$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$, $k \in I$ we have

$$W_{N_k}(r, t) \leq A_1 S_{N_k}(r) \ln^{1/2} N_k. \quad (13)$$

Let P_j be a probability measure defined on $(\Omega_j, \mathcal{A}_j)$, where \mathcal{A}_j is a σ -algebra of subsets Ω_j ($j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$) and P_0 is the direct product of probability measures P_1, \dots, P_p defined on $(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_p, \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_p)$. Here $\mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_p$ is the σ -algebra, which contains all $A_1 \times \dots \times A_p$, where $A_j \in \mathcal{A}_j$. If $F \subset \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_p$ such that $P_0(F) = 1$, then in the case when projection

$$F_1 = \{t_1 \in \Omega_1 : (\exists(t_2, \dots, t_p) \in \Omega_2 \times \dots \times \Omega_p)[(t_1, \dots, t_p) \in F]\}$$

of the set F on Ω_1 is P_1 -measurable we have $P_1(F_1) = 1$.

By F_Ω we denote the projection of F on Ω , i.e. $F_\Omega = \{r \in \Omega : (\exists t)[(t, r) \in F]\}$. Then $\nu(F_\Omega) = 1$.

Similarly, the projection of F on $[0, 1]$, $F_{[0,1]} = \bigcup_{r \in \Omega} F(r)$, we obtain $P(F_{[0,1]}) = 1$.

Let $F^\wedge(t) = \{r \in \Omega : (t, r) \in F\}$. By Fubini's theorem we have

$$0 = \int_X (1 - \chi_F) dP_0 = \int_0^1 \left(\int_\Omega (1 - \chi_{F^\wedge(t)}) d\nu \right) dP.$$

So P -almost everywhere $0 = \int_\Omega (1 - \chi_{F^\wedge(t)}) d\nu = 1 - \nu(F^\wedge(t))$, i.e. $\exists F_1 \subset F_{[0,1]}$, $P(F_1) = 1$ such that for all $t \in F_1$ we get $\nu(F^\wedge(t)) = 1$.

Indeed, if for some $k \in I$, $k = k_{j+1}$ we obtain $\nu_k(F^\wedge(t) \cap G_k^*) = q < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(F^\wedge(t)) &= \sum_{k \in I} \nu_k(F^\wedge(t) \cap G_k^*) \leq \sum_{s=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k_s}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{k_{s+1} - k_s} \right) - \\ &- (1 - q) \frac{1}{2^{k_j}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{k_{j+1} - k_j} \right) = 1 - (1 - q) \frac{1}{2^{k_j}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{k_{j+1} - k_j} \right) < 1. \end{aligned}$$

For any $t \in F_1$ and $k \in I$ we choose a point $r_0^{(k)}(t) \in G_k^*$ such that

$$W_{N_k}(r_0^{(k)}(t), t) \geq \frac{3}{4} M_k(t), \quad M_k(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup\{W_{N_k}(r, t) : r \in G_k^*\}.$$

Then from $\nu_k(F^\wedge(t) \cap G_k^*) = 1$ for all $k \in I$ it follows that there exists a point $r^{(k)}(t) \in G_k^* \cap F^\wedge(t)$ such that

$$|W_{N_k}(r_0^{(k)}(t), t) - W_{N_k}(r^{(k)}(t), t)| < \frac{1}{4} M_k(t)$$

or

$$\frac{3}{4} M_k(t) \leq W_{N_k}(r_0^{(k)}(t), t) \leq W_{N_k}(r^{(k)}(t), t) + \frac{1}{4} M_k(t).$$

Since $(t, r^{(k)}(t)) \in F$, from inequality (12) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} M_k(t) \leq W_{N_k}(r^{(k)}(t), t) \leq A_1 S_{N_k}(r^{(k)}(t)) \ln^{1/2} N_k. \quad (14)$$

Now for $r^{(k)} = r^{(k)}(t)$ we get

$$S_N^2(r^{(k)}) \leq \mu_f(r^{(k)}) \mathfrak{M}_f(r^{(k)}) \leq \mu_f^2(r^{(k)}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{p-1} r_i^{(k)} \cdot \ln^p \mu_f(r^{(k)}) \right)^{1/2+\delta}.$$

So, for $t \in F_1$ and all $k \geq k_0(t)$, $k \in I$ we obtain

$$S_N(r^{(k)}) \leq \mu_f(r^{(k)}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{p-1} r_i^{(k)} \cdot \ln^p \mu_f(r^{(k)}) \right)^{1/4+\delta/2}. \quad (15)$$

It follows from (11) that $d_1(r^{(k)}) \geq d(r)$ for $r \in G_k^*$. Then for $t \in F_1$, $r \in F^\wedge(t) \cap G_k^*$, $k \in I$, $k \geq k_0(t)$ we get

$$M_f(r, t) \leq \sum_{\|n\| \geq 2d_1(r^{(k)})} |a_n| r^n + W_{N_k}(r, t) \leq \sum_{\|n\| \geq 2d(r)} |a_n| r^n + M_k(t).$$

Finally, from (10), (14), (15) for $t \in F_1$, $r \in F^\wedge(t) \cap G_k^*$, $k \in I$ and $k \geq k_0(t)$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} M_f(r^{(k)}, t) &\leq \mu_f(r^{(k)}) + 2A_p S_{N_k}(r^{(k)}) \ln^{1/2} N_k \leq \\ &\leq \mu_f(r^{(k)}) + 2A_p \mu_f(r^{(k)}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{p-1} r_i^{(k)} \cdot \ln^p \mu_f(r^{(k)}) \right)^{1/4+\delta/2} \times \\ &\times \left((p/2 + 1 + \delta_2) \ln_2(e\mu_f(r^{(k)})) + (1 + \delta_2) \sum_{i=1}^p (p \ln_2 r_i^{(k)} + 2 \ln_3 r_i^{(k)}) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using inequality (11) we get for $t \in F_1$, $r \in F^\wedge(t) \cap G_k^*$, $k \in I$ and $k \geq k_0(t)$

$$M_f(r, t) \leq C \mu_f(r) \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{p-1} r_i \cdot \ln^p \mu_f(r) \right)^{1/4+3\delta_2/4}. \quad (16)$$

We choose $k_1 > k_0(t)$ such that for all $r \in G_{k_1}^+$ we have

$$C \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{p-1} r_i \cdot \ln^p \mu_f(r) \right)^{\delta_2/4}. \quad (17)$$

Using (16) and (17) we get that inequality (5) holds almost surely ($t \in F_1$, $P(F_1) = 1$) for all

$$\begin{aligned} r &\in \left(\bigcup_{k \in I} (G_k^* \cap F^\wedge(t)) \cap G_{k_1}^+ \right) \setminus E^* = \\ &= ([1, +\infty)^2 \cap G_{k_1}^+) \setminus (E^* \cup G^* \cup E_{p+1}) = [1, +\infty)^2 \setminus E_{p+2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_{p+2} = E_{p+1} \cup G^* \cup E^*, \quad G^* = \bigcup_{k \in I} (G_k^* \setminus F^\wedge(t)).$$

It remains to remark that $\nu(G^*)$ defined in (12) satisfies $\nu(G^*) = \sum_{k \in I} (\nu_k(G_k^*) - \nu_k(F^\wedge(t))) = 0$. Then for all $k \in I$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_k(G_k^* \setminus F^\wedge(t)) &= \frac{\text{meas}_p(G_k^* \setminus F^\wedge(t))}{\text{meas}_p(G_k^*)} = 0, \\ \text{meas}_p(G_k^* \setminus F^\wedge(t)) &= \int_{G_k^* \setminus F^\wedge(t)} \dots \int \frac{dr_1 \dots dr_p}{r_1 \dots r_p} = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3 Some examples

In this section we prove that the exponent $p/4 + \delta$ in the inequality (6) cannot be replaced by a number smaller than $p/4$. It follows from such a statement.

Theorem 3.1. *For $f(z) = \exp\{\sum_{i=1}^p z_i\}$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ almost surely in $K(f, H)$ for $r \in E(\varepsilon)$ we have*

$$M_f(r, t) \geq \mu_f(r) \ln^{p/4-\varepsilon} \mu_f(r),$$

where $E(\varepsilon)$ is a set of infinite asymptotically logarithmic measure and $H = \{e^{2\pi i \omega_n}\}$, $\{\omega_n\}$ is a sequence of independent random variables uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$.

In order to prove this theorem we need such a result.

Theorem 3.2 ([8]). *For the entire function $g(z) = e^z$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ almost surely in $K(g, H)$ we have*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{M_g(r, t)}{\mu_g(r) \ln^{1/4-\varepsilon} \mu_g(r)} = +\infty. \quad (18)$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For the entire function $f(z) = \exp\{\sum_{i=1}^p z_i\}$ we have $\ln \mathfrak{M}_f(r) = \sum_{i=1}^p r_i$ and for each $\beta > 0$ we get

$$\int_{(1, +\infty)^p} \dots \int \frac{dr_1 \dots dr_p}{r_1 \dots r_p (r_1 + \dots + r_p)^\beta} < +\infty.$$

Therefore the function $f(z)$ satisfies condition (4). From (18) we have for $r \in (r_0, +\infty)^p$

$$M_f(r, t) > \mu_f(r) \prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{1/4-\varepsilon} \mu_g(r_i).$$

Denote $\psi(r) = \ln \mu_g(r)$. Remark that

$$\begin{aligned} A_t &= \{r: r_1 = t; r_i \in (t_1, t_2) = (\psi^{-1}(\psi(r_1)/2), \psi^{-1}(2\psi(r_1)))\} \subset \\ &\subset \left\{r: \prod_{i=1}^p \psi(r_i) \geq \frac{1}{2^{p-1}(2p-1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \psi(r_i)\right)^p\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, if $r \in A_t$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^p \psi(r_i) &= \psi(r_1) \prod_{i=2}^p \psi(r_i) > \psi(r_1) \prod_{i=2}^p \frac{\psi(r_1)}{2} = \frac{\psi^p(r_1)}{2^{p-1}} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{p-1}(2p-1)} (\psi(r_1) + 2\psi(r_1) + \dots + 2\psi(r_1)) > \frac{1}{2^{p-1}(2p-1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \psi(r_i)\right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon_1 > p\varepsilon$ and $r \in A = \bigcup_{t=r_0}^{+\infty} A_t$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_f(r, t) &> \mu_f(r) \prod_{i=1}^p \ln^{1/4-\varepsilon} \mu_g(r_i) > \mu_f(r) \frac{1}{2^{p-1}(2p-1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \ln \mu_g(r_i)\right)^{p/4-p\varepsilon} > \\ &> \mu_f(r) \ln^{p/4-\varepsilon_1} \mu_f(r). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that the set A has infinite asymptotically logarithmic measure. It is known ([16]) that $t < \psi^{-1}(t) < 3t/2$, $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{meas}_p(A) &= \int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \dots \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{dr_1 \dots dr_p}{r_1 \dots r_p} = \int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{dr_2}{r_2}\right)^{p-1} \frac{dr_1}{r_1} = \\ &= \int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \left(\ln \psi^{-1}(2\psi(r_1)) - \ln \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{\psi(r_1)}{2}\right)\right)^{p-1} \frac{dr_1}{r_1} > \\ &> \int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \left(\ln(2\psi(r_1)) - \ln\left(\frac{3\psi(r_1)}{4}\right)\right)^{p-1} \frac{dr_1}{r_1} = \ln^{p-1} \frac{8}{3} \cdot \int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \frac{dr_1}{r_1} = +\infty. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] H. Wittich, Neuere Untersuchungen über eindeutige analytische Funktionen, *Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer*, 1955, 164 s.
- [2] A.A. Goldberg, B.Ja. Levin, I.V. Ostrovski Entire and meromorphic functions, *Itogi nauky i techn., VINITI*, 1990, **85** (1990), 5 - 186. (in Russian)

- [3] I.F. Bitlyan, A.A. Goldberg, Wiman-Valiron's theorem for entire functions of several complex variables, *Vestn. Leningrad. univ., ser. mat., mech. and astr.*, **2** (1959), no.13, 27 - 41. (in Russian)
- [4] P.C. Fenton, Wiman-Valyron theory in two variables, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **347** (1995), no.11, 4403 - 4412.
- [5] A. Schumitzky, Wiman-Valiron theory for entire functions of several complex variables, *Ph. D. Dissertation, Ithaca: Cornell Univ.*, 1965.
- [6] A. Schumitzky, A probabilistic approach to the Wiman-Valiron theory for entire functions of several complex variables, *Complex Variables*, **13** (1989), 85 - 98.
- [7] P. Lévy, Sur la croissance de fonctions entière, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **58** (1930), 29 - 59; 127 - 149.
- [8] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, On random entire function, *Zastosowania mat.*, **10** (1969), 47 - 55.
- [9] P. Filevych, Correlations between the maximum modulus and maximum term of random entire functions, *Mat. Stud.* – 1997. – **7** (1997), no.2, 165 - 174. (in Ukrainian)
- [10] P. Filevych, Wiman-Valiron's type inequalities for random entire functions, *Dop. NAN Ukraine*, **12** (1997) – 41 - 43. (in Ukrainian)
- [11] I.I. Bavryn, Estimates and entire functions, *Uch. zap. Mosk. obl. ped. inst.*, **77** (1959), 53 - 78. (in Russian)
- [12] W.K. Hayman, Subharmonic functions, *V.2, London etc.: Acad. Press*, 1989, XXI+591p.
- [13] J.M. Steel, Sharper Wiman inequality for entire functions with rapidly oscillating coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **123** (1987), 550 - 558.
- [14] J. Gopala Krishna, I.H. Nagaraja Rao, Generalised inverse and probability techniques and some fundamental growth theorems in \mathbb{C}^k , *Jour. of the Indian Math. Soc.*, **41** (1977), 203 - 219.
- [15] A.O. Kuryliak, O.B. Skaskiv, Wiman's type inequalities without exceptional sets for random entire functions of several variables, *Mat. Stud.*, **38** (2012), no.1, 35 - 50.
- [16] A.O. Kuryliak, L.O. Shapovalovska, O.B. Skaskiv, Wiman's type inequality for some double power series, *Mat. Stud.*, **39** (2013), no.2, 134 - 141.

- [17] O.V. Zrum, O.B. Skaskiv, On Wiman's inequality for random entire functions of two variables, *Mat. Stud.*, **23** (2005), no.2, 149 - 160. (in Ukrainian)
- [18] O.B. Skaskiv, O.V. Zrum, Wiman's type inequality for entire functions of two complex variables with rapidly oscilic coefficient, *Mat. methods and fys.-mekh. polya*, **48** (2005), no.4, 78 - 87. (in Ukrainian)
- [19] O.B. Skaskiv, O.V. Zrum, On improvement of Fenton's inequality for entire functions of two complex variables, *Math. Bull. Shevchenko Sci. Soc.*, **3** (2006), 56 - 68. (in Ukrainian)
- [20] P.V. Filevych, Some classes of entire functions in which the Wiman-Valiron inequality can be almost certainly improved, *Mat. Stud.*, **6** (1996), 59 - 66. (in Ukrainian)
- [21] P.V. Filevych, Wiman-Valiron type inequalities for entire and random entire functions of finite logarithmic order, *Sib. Mat. Zhurn.*, **42** (2003), no.3, 683 - 694. (in Russian). English translation in: *Siberian Math. J.* - 2003. - **42** (2003), no.3, 579 - 586.