

**CONFLUENCES OF THE PAINLEVÉ EQUATIONS, CHEREDNIK
ALGEBRAS AND Q-ASKEY SCHEME.**

MARTA MAZZOCCO[†]

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 appears naturally as quantisation of the monodromy group associated to the sixth Painlevé equation. As a consequence we obtain an embedding of the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$, i.e. 2×2 matrices with entries in the quantum torus. By following the confluences of the Painlevé equations, we produce the corresponding confluences of the Cherednik algebra and their embeddings into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$. Finally, by following the confluences of the spherical sub-algebra of the Cherednik algebra in its basic representation (i.e. the representation on the space of symmetric Laurent polynomials) we obtain a relation between Painlevé equations and some members of the q-Askey scheme.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Notation and background on the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1	8
2.1. Automorphisms of the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1	8
2.2. The basic representation and Askey Wilson polynomials	9
2.3. An important cubic relation and the spherical sub-algebra eHe	10
3. Embedding of the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$	12
4. Derivation and first properties of the confluent Cherednik algebras	13
4.1. Derivation of \mathcal{H}_V	13
4.2. Derivation of \mathcal{H}_{IV}	14
4.3. Derivation of $\mathcal{H}_{III}, \mathcal{H}_{II}, \mathcal{H}_I$	15
4.4. First properties of $\mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}$	15
4.5. Derivation of $\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_7}}$, and $\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_8}}$	17
5. Embedding of the confluent Cherednik algebras into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$	17
6. Confluent spherical sub-algebras and Painlevé cubics	20
6.1. Spherical sub-algebra of \mathcal{H}_V and PV cubic	20
6.2. Spherical sub-algebra of \mathcal{H}_{IV} and PIV cubic	22
6.3. Spherical sub-algebra of \mathcal{H}_{III} and PIII cubic	23
6.4. Spherical sub-algebra of $\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_7}}$ and $PIII^{D_7}$ cubic	23
6.5. Spherical sub-algebra of $\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_8}}$ and $PIII^{D_8}$ cubic	26
6.6. Spherical sub-algebra of \mathcal{H}_{II} and PII monodromy manifold	27
6.7. Spherical sub-algebra of \mathcal{H}_I and PI monodromy manifold	28
7. Confluent Zhedanov algebras and q-Askey scheme	28
7.1. Representation of \mathcal{Z}_V and continuous dual q-Haun polynomials	30

[†]School of Mathematics, Loughborough University, UK. Email: m.mazzocco@lboro.ac.uk, Phone: +44 1509 223187, Fax: +44 (0)1509 223969.

7.2.	Big q -Jacobi polynomials	31
7.3.	Representation of \mathcal{Z}_{IV} and Big q -Laguerre Polynomials	32
7.4.	Representation of \mathcal{Z}_{III} and Al-Salam-Chihara Polynomials	33
7.5.	Representation of $\mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_7}$ and continuous Big q -Hermite Polynomials	34
7.6.	Representation of $\mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_8}$ and continuous q -Hermite Polynomials	34
7.7.	Representation of \mathcal{Z}_{II} and little q -Laguerre/Wall polynomials	35
7.8.	Representation of \mathcal{Z}_I and a special case of the little q -Laguerre/Wall polynomials	35
8.	The Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 as quantisation of the monodromy group of the sixth Painlevé equation	36
8.1.	Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and monodromy manifold	37
8.2.	Teichmüller theory of the 4-holed Riemann sphere	37
8.3.	Quantisation	39
	References	41

1. INTRODUCTION

The relationship between the theory of the Painlevé equations and orthogonal polynomials is a very famous one and could be resumed by saying that thanks to the τ -function structure of the Painlevé equations, some of their special solutions are related to orthogonal polynomials either directly, i.e. some rational solutions of the Painlevé equations are ratios of orthogonal polynomials [46, 45, 32, 33, 34, 28, 30, 47, 43, 8], or indirectly, i.e. some random matrix integrals which can be expressed by classical orthogonal polynomials have Fedholm determinants which correspond to special solutions of the Painlevé equations [42, 2, 12, 4].

In this paper we present a new relation between the theory of the Painlevé equations and orthogonal q -polynomials belonging to the q -Askey scheme [21]. This link does not rely on the τ -function structure nor on choosing special solutions, it is indeed a much deeper and more conceptual relation that has allowed the author to discover some new confluent Cherednik algebras and to prove several interesting results about them.

Let us start from the Painlevé sixth equation [13, 38, 14] which describes the monodromy preserving deformations of a rank 2 Fuchsian system with four simple poles a_1, a_2, a_3 and ∞ . The solution of this Fuchsian system is in general a multivalued analytic vector-function in the punctured Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \infty\}$ and its multivaluedness is described by the so-called monodromy group, i.e. a subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ generated by the images M_1, M_2, M_3 of the generators of the fundamental group under the anti-homomorphism:

$$\rho : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \infty\}, \lambda_0) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

The moduli space \mathcal{M}/Γ of monodromy representations ρ up to Jordan equivalence, with prescribed local monodromy (i.e. prescribed conjugacy class for each M_1, M_2, M_3), is realised as an affine cubic surface [18]. In [6], by using the fact that the moduli space \mathcal{M}/Γ can be obtained as a quotient of the Teichmüller space of the 4-holed Riemann sphere by the mapping class group, this cubic surface was parameterised by in terms of Thurston shear coordinates which could be quantised

very naturally leading to a quantum algebra which turns out to be isomorphic to the spherical subalgebra of the Cherednik algebra \mathcal{H} of type \check{C}_1C_1 .

In this paper, we use the Thurston shear coordinates to parameterise the monodromy group (rather than the monodromy manifold) and quantise it to obtain the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 , i.e. the algebra \mathcal{H} generated by four elements $V_0, V_1, \check{V}_0, \check{V}_1$ which satisfy the following relations [7, 35, 29, 39]:

$$(1.1) \quad (V_0 - k_0)(V_0 + k_0^{-1}) = 0$$

$$(1.2) \quad (V_1 - k_1)(V_1 + k_1^{-1}) = 0$$

$$(1.3) \quad (\check{V}_0 - u_0)(\check{V}_0 + u_0^{-1}) = 0$$

$$(1.4) \quad (\check{V}_1 - u_1)(\check{V}_1 + u_1^{-1}) = 0$$

$$(1.5) \quad \check{V}_1 V_1 V_0 \check{V}_0 = q^{-1/2},$$

where $k_0, k_1, u_0, u_1, q \in \mathbb{C}^*$, such that $q^m \neq 1$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

This fact leads to the first result of this paper:

Theorem 1.1. *The map:*

$$(1.6) \quad V_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} k_0 - k_0^{-1} - i e^{-S_3} & -i e^{-S_3} \\ k_0^{-1} - k_0 + i e^{-S_3} + i e^{S_3} & i e^{-S_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(1.7) \quad V_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} k_1 - k_1^{-1} - i e^{S_2} & k_1 - k_1^{-1} - i e^{-S_2} - i e^{S_2} \\ i e^{S_2} & i e^{S_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(1.8) \quad \check{V}_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i e^{S_1} \\ i e^{-S_1} & u_1 - u_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(1.9) \quad \check{V}_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} u_0 & 0 \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}s} & -\frac{1}{u_0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where S_1, S_2, S_3 are some quantum variables such that:

$$(1.10) \quad [S_1, S_2] = [S_2, S_3] = [S_3, S_1] = i\pi\hbar, \quad u_0 = -i e^{-S_1 - S_2 - S_3},$$

for $q = e^{-i\pi\hbar}$ and

$$s = \bar{k}_0 e^{-S_1 - S_2} + \bar{k}_1 e^{-S_1 + S_3} + \bar{u}_1 e^{S_2 + S_3} + i e^{-S_1 - S_2 + S_3} + i e^{-S_1 + S_2 + S_3} - u_0,$$

gives an embedding of \mathcal{H} into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$. In particular, the images of $V_0, \check{V}_0, V_1, \check{V}_1$ in $GL(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ satisfy the relations (1.1, ..., 1.4) and (1.5), in which the quantum ordering is dictated by the matrix product ordering.

In a previous paper [26], the author and V. Rubtsov showed how to follow the confluence scheme for the Painlevé equations on their monodromy manifolds by taking some asymptotic limits of the shear coordinates S_1, S_2, S_3 . In this paper we apply similar asymptotic limits to the matrices (1.6, ..., 1.9) to produce a confluence scheme for the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 :

Definition 1.2. Let $k_1, u_0, u_1, q \in \mathbb{C}^*$, such that $q^m \neq 1$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The confluent Cherednik algebras $\mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}, \mathcal{H}_{II}, \mathcal{H}_I$ are the algebras generated by four elements $V_0, V_1, \check{V}_0, \check{V}_1$ satisfying the following relations respectively:

• \mathcal{H}_V :

$$(1.11) \quad V_0^2 + V_0 = 0,$$

$$(1.12) \quad (V_1 - k_1)(V_1 + k_1^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(1.13) \quad \check{V}_0^2 + u_0^{-1}\check{V}_0 = 0,$$

$$(1.14) \quad (\check{V}_1 - u_1)(\check{V}_1 + u_1^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(1.15) \quad q^{1/2}\check{V}_1 V_1 V_0 = \check{V}_0 + u_0^{-1},$$

$$(1.16) \quad q^{1/2}\check{V}_0 \check{V}_1 V_1 = V_0 + 1.$$

• \mathcal{H}_{IV} :

$$(1.17) \quad V_0^2 + V_0 = 0,$$

$$(1.18) \quad V_1^2 + V_1 = 0,$$

$$(1.19) \quad \check{V}_0^2 + \frac{1}{u_0}\check{V}_0 = 0,$$

$$(1.20) \quad (\check{V}_1 - u_1)(\check{V}_1 + u_1^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(1.21) \quad q^{1/2}\check{V}_1 V_1 V_0 = \check{V}_0 + u_0^{-1},$$

$$(1.22) \quad \check{V}_0 \check{V}_1 V_1 = 0,$$

$$(1.23) \quad V_0 \check{V}_0 = 0.$$

• \mathcal{H}_{III} :

$$(1.24) \quad V_0^2 = 0,$$

$$(1.25) \quad (V_1 - k_1)(V_1 + k_1^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(1.26) \quad \check{V}_0^2 + u_0^{-1}\check{V}_0 = 0,$$

$$(1.27) \quad (\check{V}_1 - u_1)(\check{V}_1 + u_1^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(1.28) \quad q^{1/2}\check{V}_1 V_1 V_0 = \check{V}_0 + u_0^{-1},$$

$$(1.29) \quad q^{1/2}\check{V}_0 \check{V}_1 V_1 = V_0.$$

• \mathcal{H}_{II} :

$$(1.30) \quad V_0^2 + V_0 = 0,$$

$$(1.31) \quad V_1^2 + V_1 = 0,$$

$$(1.32) \quad \check{V}_0^2 + \frac{1}{u_0}\check{V}_0 = 0,$$

$$(1.33) \quad \check{V}_1^2 + \check{V}_1 = 0,$$

$$(1.34) \quad q^{1/2}\check{V}_1 V_1 V_0 = \check{V}_0 + u_0^{-1},$$

$$(1.35) \quad \check{V}_0 \check{V}_1 = 0,$$

$$(1.36) \quad V_0 \check{V}_0 = 0.$$

• \mathcal{H}_I :

$$(1.37) \quad V_0^2 = 0,$$

$$(1.38) \quad V_1^2 + V_1 = 0,$$

$$(1.39) \quad \check{V}_0^2 + \check{V}_0 = 0,$$

$$(1.40) \quad \check{V}_1^2 + \check{V}_1 = 0,$$

$$(1.41) \quad q^{1/2}\check{V}_1V_1V_0 = \check{V}_0 + 1,$$

$$(1.42) \quad \check{V}_0\check{V}_1 = 0,$$

$$(1.43) \quad V_0\check{V}_0 = 0.$$

For each of these algebras $\mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}, \mathcal{H}_{II}, \mathcal{H}_I$ we obtain an embedding into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ (see Theorems 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5).

The next set of results regards equivalent presentations for these confluent algebras. For the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 the following result is well known:

Lemma 1.3. [35, 29, 31] *For*

$$(1.44) \quad T_0 = k_0V_0, \quad T_1 = u_1\check{V}_1, \quad X = q^{1/2}V_0\check{V}_0, \quad W = \check{V}_1V_1,$$

and for the parameters

$$(1.45) \quad a = -\frac{u_1}{k_1}, \quad b = k_1u_1, \quad c = -q^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{k_0}{u_0}, \quad d = q^{1/2}u_0k_0,$$

the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 is the algebra generated by X, W, T_0, T_1 with relations¹

$$(1.46) \quad XW = WX = 1,$$

$$(1.47) \quad (T_1 + ab)(T_1 + 1) = 0,$$

$$(1.48) \quad (T_0 + q^{-1}cd)(T_0 + 1) = 0,$$

$$(1.49) \quad (T_1X + a)(T_1X + b) = 0,$$

$$(1.50) \quad (qT_0X^{-1} + c)(qT_0X^{-1} + d) = 0,$$

In Theorem 4.1 we prove that the confluent algebras $\mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}$ also admit a representation in term of operators T_0, T_1, W and X . This allows to produce two further confluent algebras which were not visible in the previous presentation. Indeed, following the result by Sakai [37], there are actually 3 types of Painlevé III equations, labelled here by $P_{III}, P_{III}^{D_7}$ and $P_{III}^{D_8}$ respectively. Interestingly, the confluences of \mathcal{H} corresponding to $P_{III}^{D_7}$ and $P_{III}^{D_8}$ in the representation (1.1...1.5) don't produce any meaningful quantum algebras but if we first pass to the presentation (1.46...1.50) and then take the confluence, we obtain two new well-defined quantum algebras:

Definition 1.4. Let $a, q \in \mathbb{C}^*$, such that $q^m \neq 1, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The confluent Cherednik algebras $\mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_7}, \mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_8}$, are the algebras generated four elements X, W, T_0, T_1 satisfying the following relations respectively:

- $\mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_7}$:

$$(1.51) \quad XW = WX = 1,$$

$$(1.52) \quad T_1(T_1 + 1) = 0,$$

$$(1.53) \quad T_0^2 = 0,$$

$$(1.54) \quad T_1X + a - W(T_1 + 1) = 0,$$

$$(1.55) \quad qT_0W + 1 - XT_0 = 0,$$

¹Note that here $W = X^{-1}$. However in the confluence process X is not always invertible, and another generator W is needed, hence the use of this notation.

• $\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_8}}$:

$$(1.56) \quad XW = WX = 1,$$

$$(1.57) \quad T_1(T_1 + 1) = 0,$$

$$(1.58) \quad T_0^2 = 0,$$

$$(1.59) \quad T_1X - W(T_1 + 1) = 0,$$

$$(1.60) \quad qT_0W + 1 - XT_0 = 0,$$

Next, we deal with the spherical sub-algebras $e\mathcal{H}_{Ve}$, $e\mathcal{H}_{IVe}$, $e\mathcal{H}_{IIIe}$, $e\mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_7}e$, $e\mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_8}e$, $e\mathcal{H}_{IIe}$, $e\mathcal{H}_{Ie}$ of each confluent Cherednik algebra. We start by selecting a symmetriser e and special elements denoted by X_1, X_2, X_3 such that $[e, X_i] = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and such that $\hat{X}_i := eX_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, generate the spherical sub-algebras of each confluent Cherednik algebra. We prove that such elements X_1, X_2, X_3 satisfy a cubic relation (see Propositions 6.1, 6.5, 6.8, 6.12, 6.16, 6.19, 6.22) and that in the semiclassical limit such cubic relations coincide with the monodromy manifolds of the corresponding Painlevé equations [36, 44] (see Corollaries 6.2, 6.6, 6.9, 6.13, 6.17, 6.20, 6.23). In other words, one could say that the confluent Cherednik algebras introduced in this paper are such that the semi-classical limits of their spherical sub-algebras produce the monodromy manifolds of the respective Painlevé equations.

In order to link the Painlevé equations to the q-Askey scheme polynomials, we first need to introduce the confluent versions of the Zhedanov algebra:

Definition 1.5. Let $B, D_0, D_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. The confluent Zhedanov algebras $\mathcal{Z}_V, \mathcal{Z}_{IV}, \mathcal{Z}_{III}, \mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_7}, \mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_8}, \mathcal{Z}_{II}, \mathcal{Z}_I$ are the algebras generated by three elements K_0, K_1 and K_2 which satisfy the following relations:

$$(1.61) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}}K_0K_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_1K_0 = K_2,$$

$$(1.62) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}}K_1K_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2K_1 = BK_1 + C_0K_0 + D_0,$$

$$(1.63) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}}K_2K_0 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_0K_2 = BK_0 + D_1,$$

where B is some arbitrary parameter², and

$$(1.64) \quad C_0 = \begin{cases} \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right)^2, & \text{for } \mathcal{Z}_V, \mathcal{Z}_{III}, \mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_7}, \mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_8}, \\ 0, & \text{for } \mathcal{Z}_{IV}, \mathcal{Z}_{II}, \mathcal{Z}_I \end{cases}$$

$$D_0 \neq 0, \quad \text{for } \mathcal{Z}_V, \mathcal{Z}_{IV}, \mathcal{Z}_{III}, \mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_7},$$

$$D_0 = 0, \quad \text{for } \mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_8}, \mathcal{Z}_{II}, \mathcal{Z}_I$$

$$D_1 \neq 0, \quad \text{for } \mathcal{Z}_V, \mathcal{Z}_{IV}, \mathcal{Z}_{II}$$

$$D_1 = 0, \quad \text{for } \mathcal{Z}_{III}, \mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_7}, \mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_8}, \mathcal{Z}_I.$$

In Theorem 7.1, we prove that spherical sub-algebras of $\mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}, \mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_7}$, and $\mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_8}$ are isomorphic to the corresponding confluent Zhedanov algebras. The spherical subalgebras $e\mathcal{H}_{IIe}$ and $e\mathcal{H}_{Ie}$ are degenerate and do not seem to be isomorphic to the corresponding confluent Zhedanov algebras.

Finally, we give a faithful representation of the confluent Zhedanov algebras $\mathcal{Z}_V, \mathcal{Z}_{III}, \mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_7}, \mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_8}$ on the space of symmetric Laurent polynomials and of the confluent Zhedanov algebras $\mathcal{Z}_V, \mathcal{Z}_{IV}, \mathcal{Z}_{II}, \mathcal{Z}_I$ on the space of polynomials and

² $B = \frac{(q-1)^2}{q}$ for $\mathcal{Z}_I, \mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_7}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_8}$.

prove that specific elements of the q -Askey scheme arise as eigenvalues in such representations. These results are schematically resumed in figure 1.




FIGURE 1. The confluence scheme for the Zhedanov algebras and the polynomials in the q -Askey scheme

Note that for \mathcal{Z}_V we have two different faithful representations corresponding to the continuous dual q -Haun polynomials and to the big q -Jacobi polynomials, thus suggesting that it should be possible to construct a transformation between the two. This is due to an algebra automorphism of \mathcal{H}_V as described in sub-section 4.1 and in Lemmata 6.4 and ??.

Since most results obtained in this paper are proved without relying on the theory of Painlevé equations, we organise the paper as follows: in Section 2, we recall some background material on the theory of the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 and its representation theory. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we explain how to derive our confluent Cherednik algebras and give some equivalent presentations for the algebras $\mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}$. In Section 5, we embed $\mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}, \mathcal{H}_{II}, \mathcal{H}_I$ into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$. In Section 6, we discuss the spherical sub-algebras of $\mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}, \mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_7}, \mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_s}, \mathcal{H}_{II}, \mathcal{H}_I$, and produce a set of elements that satisfy a cubic relation which in the semiclassical limit coincide with the monodromy manifolds of the corresponding Painlevé equations. In Section 7, we prove that each spherical sub-algebra is isomorphic to the corresponding confluent Zhedanov algebra and show that the latter act as symmetries of some elements of the q -Askey scheme.

Finally, in Section 8, we recall a few basic facts about the isomonodromic deformation equations associated to the sixth Painlevé equation and show how Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 appears naturally as quantisation of the monodromy group associated to the sixth Painlevé equation.

2. NOTATION AND BACKGROUND ON THE CHEREDNIK ALGEBRA OF TYPE \check{C}_1C_1

In this section we recall some background material on the theory of the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 , a few useful facts about its basic representation and about the relation between its spherical sub-algebra and Askey–Wilson polynomials due to Koornwinder [22, 23].

We start by recalling the following equivalent description of the algebra \mathcal{H} is given in the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. [31] *Consider the following elements:*

$$(2.65) \quad X := q^{1/2}V_0\check{V}_0, \quad Y := \check{V}_1V_0, \quad T := \check{V}_1.$$

Then the algebra \mathcal{H} is generated by X, Y, T . They satisfy the following Lusztig–Demazure relations:

$$(2.66) \quad XT = T^{-1}X^{-1} + k_1^{-1} - k_1,$$

$$(2.67) \quad Y^{-1}T = T^{-1}Y + k_0^{-1} - k_0,$$

$$(2.68) \quad (T - u_1)(T + u_1^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(2.69) \quad YX = qT^2XY + q(k_1 - k_1^{-1})TY + (k_0 - k_0^{-1})TX + q^{1/2}(u_0 - u_0^{-1})T.$$

Proof. See Proposition 6.6 in [31]. □

2.1. Automorphisms of the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 . The automorphisms of the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 were studied in [29, 39]. Here we list the ones that will be used in this paper:

Proposition 2.2. *The following transformations are automorphisms of the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 :*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\check{V}_1, V_1, V_0, \check{V}_0) &= (\check{V}_1, V_1, \check{V}_0, \check{V}_0^{-1}V_0\check{V}_0), & \beta(u_1, k_1, k_0, u_0) &= (u_1, k_1, u_0, k_0), \\ \gamma(\check{V}_1, V_1, V_0, \check{V}_0) &= (\check{V}_1, V_1V_0V_1^{-1}, V_1, \check{V}_0), & \gamma(u_1, k_1, k_0, u_0) &= (u_1, k_0^{-1}, k_1, u_0). \end{aligned}$$

They act as follows on T_0, T_1, X and a, b, c, d :

$$\beta(T_0, T_1, X) = \left(-\frac{q}{c}X^{-1}T_0 - \left(1 + \frac{d}{c}\right), T_1, X \right) \quad \beta(a, b, c, d) = (a, b, \frac{q}{c}, d),$$

$$\gamma(T_0, T_1, X) = (bT_1^{-1}X^{-1}, T_1, \sqrt{\frac{abcd}{q}}T_1^{-1}X^{-1}T_0^{-1}X),$$

$$\gamma(a, b, c, d) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{abcd}{q}}, -\sqrt{\frac{qab}{cd}}, -\sqrt{\frac{qbc}{ad}}, \sqrt{\frac{qbd}{ac}} \right).$$

2.2. The basic representation and Askey Wilson polynomials. The algebra \mathcal{H} admits a faithful representation on the space of Laurent polynomials \mathcal{L} due to Macdonald [24]. Here we present these results mainly following the Koornwinder exposition in [22]:

$$(2.70) \quad (Xf)[x] := xf[x],$$

$$(2.71) \quad (T_1f)[x] = \frac{(a+b)x - (1+ab)}{1-x^2}f[x] + \frac{(1-ax)(1-xb)}{1-x^2}f[x^{-1}],$$

$$(2.72) \quad (T_0f)[x] = \frac{q^{-1}x((cd+q)x - (c+d)q)}{q-x^2}f[x] + \frac{(c-x)(d-x)}{q-x^2}f[qx^{-1}].$$

In [22] Koornwinder defined an embedding of the Zhedanov algebra, also known as Askey Wilson algebra $AW(3)$, into the Cherednik algebra \mathcal{H} of type \check{C}_1C_1 . This result was then generalised to the universal Askey–Wilson algebra defined in [40] in [41]. Let us recall here the main definitions and facts.

Definition 2.3. [48] Let $B, C_0, C_1, D_0, D_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ the Zhedanov algebra $AW(3)$ is the algebra generated by three elements K_0, K_1 and K_2 which satisfy the following relations:

$$(2.73) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}}K_0K_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_1K_0 = K_2,$$

$$(2.74) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}}K_1K_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2K_1 = BK_1 + C_0K_0 + D_0,$$

$$(2.75) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}}K_2K_0 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_0K_2 = BK_0 + C_1K_1 + D_1.$$

Note that this algebra admits the following Casimir

$$(2.76) \quad \begin{aligned} C := & q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-q^2)K_0K_1K_2 + qK_2^2 + B(K_0K_1 + K_1K_0) + qC_0K_0^2 + \\ & + \frac{C_1}{q}K_1^2 + (1+q)D_0K_0 + (1+\frac{1}{q})D_1K_1. \end{aligned}$$

The Zhedanov algebra depends on 5 parameters, but we can choose two of them, for example C_1 and C_0 by rescaling the generators. The quotient by the Casimir element will therefore depend on 4 independent parameters. Clearly, the first relation (2.73) can be used to define K_2 , so that the Zhedanov algebra can be written in terms of only two generators K_0, K_1 . Without going into too much detail, let us recall the main ingredients of Koornwinder embedding. Let us express the Zhedanov algebra structure constants by the parameters u_0, u_1, k_0, k_1 :

$$(2.77) \quad \begin{aligned} B &= k_0u_1 \frac{(q-1)^2}{q} \left(\bar{u}_0 \left(\frac{1}{u_1} - \frac{u_1}{q} \right) \sqrt{q} - \bar{k}_0\bar{k}_1 \right), \\ C_0 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right)^2 \\ C_1 &= k_0^2u_1^2 \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right)^2 \\ D_0 &= k_0u_1 \frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(-\bar{k}_1\bar{u}_0 + \bar{k}_0 \left(\frac{1}{u_1} - \frac{u_1}{q} \right) \sqrt{q} \right), \\ D_1 &= k_0^2u_1^2 \frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(-\bar{k}_0\bar{u}_0 + \bar{k}_1 \left(\frac{1}{u_1} - \frac{u_1}{q} \right) \sqrt{q} \right), \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently be the parameters a, b, c, d :

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{(q-1)^2}{q} \left(\left(1 + \frac{ab}{q}\right) \left(\frac{d}{c} + 1\right) c + \left(\frac{b}{a} + 1\right) \left(1 + \frac{cd}{q}\right) a \right), \\ C_0 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right)^2, \quad C_1 = \frac{abcd}{q} \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right)^2 \\ D_0 &= -\frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q} \left(\left(\frac{b}{a} + 1\right) \left(\frac{d}{c} + 1\right) \frac{ac}{q} + \left(1 + \frac{ab}{q}\right) \left(1 + \frac{cd}{q}\right) \right), \\ D_1 &= -\frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q^2} \left(\left(\frac{b}{a} + 1\right) \left(1 + \frac{ab}{q}\right) acd + \left(\frac{d}{c} + 1\right) \left(1 + \frac{cd}{q}\right) abc \right), \end{aligned}$$

then $AW(3)$ admits the following representation on the space \mathcal{L}_{sym} of symmetric Laurent polynomials [22]:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.78) \quad (K_1 f)[x] &:= \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) f[x], \\ (2.79) \quad (K_0 f)[x] &:= \frac{(1-ax)(1-bx)(1-cx)(1-dx)}{(1-x^2)(1-qx^2)} (f[qx] - f[x]) + \\ &+ \frac{(a-x)(b-x)(c-x)(d-x)}{(1-x^2)(q-x^2)} (f[q^{-1}x] - f[x]) + \\ &+ \left(1 + \frac{abcd}{q}\right) f[x]. \end{aligned}$$

The Askey Wilson polynomials (we write them here in monic form like in [22]):

$$P_n(x; a, b, c, d) := \frac{(ab, ac, ad; q)_n}{a^n (abcdq^{n-1}; q)_n} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, q^{n-1}abcd, ax, ax^{-1} \\ ab, ac, ad \end{matrix}; q, q \right),$$

are eigenfunctions of the K_0 operator:

$$K_0 P_n = (q^{-n} + abcdq^{n-1}) P_n.$$

The reduction from the space \mathcal{L} of Laurent polynomials to the space \mathcal{L}_{sym} of symmetric Laurent polynomials is due to the action of the symmetriser of \mathcal{H} :

$$(2.80) \quad e := \frac{1 + u_1 \check{V}_1}{1 + u_1^2}$$

which allowed Koornwinder to establish the isomorphism between $AW(3)$ and the so-called spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}e$ of \mathcal{H} . We discuss this result and the link with the PVI monodromy manifold in the next subsection.

2.3. An important cubic relation and the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}e$. We recall the following result (we have produced a proof of this fact based on the embedding of Theorem 1.1 in our notebook 1, see [25]):

Proposition 2.4. [16] (see also [9]) *The following three elements:*

$$(2.81) \quad X_1 = \check{V}_1 V_1 + (\check{V}_1 V_1)^{-1}, \quad X_2 = \check{V}_1 V_0 + (\check{V}_1 V_0)^{-1}, \quad X_3 = q^{1/2} V_1 V_0 + q^{-1/2} (V_1 V_0)^{-1},$$

satisfy the quantum commutation relations:

$$(2.82) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_2 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right) X_3 - \\ &- \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(\bar{k}_0 \bar{k}_1 + \bar{u}_0 (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1^{-1})\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.83) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}}X_3X_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_2X_3 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right)X_1 - \\ &- \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(\bar{k}_0\bar{u}_0 + \bar{k}_1(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}}\check{V}_1^{-1})\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.84) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}}X_1X_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_3X_1 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right)X_2 - \\ &- \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(\bar{k}_1\bar{u}_0 + \bar{k}_0(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}}\check{V}_1^{-1})\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.85) \quad \bar{u}_0 = u_0 - \frac{1}{u_0}, \quad \bar{k}_0 = k_0 - \frac{1}{k_0}, \quad \bar{u}_1 = u_1 - \frac{1}{u_1}, \quad \bar{k}_1 = k_1 - \frac{1}{k_1},$$

and the quantum cubic relation³:

$$(2.86) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}}X_2X_1X_3 - qX_2^2 - \frac{1}{q}X_1^2 - qX_3^2 + \sqrt{q}\left(\bar{k}_1\bar{u}_0 + \bar{k}_0(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}}\check{V}_1^{-1})\right)X_2 + \\ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\left(\bar{u}_0\bar{k}_0 + \bar{k}_1(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}}\check{V}_1^{-1})\right)X_1 + \sqrt{q}\left(\bar{k}_0\bar{k}_1 + \bar{u}_0(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}}\check{V}_1^{-1})\right)X_3 + \\ + \bar{k}_0^2 + \bar{k}_1^2 + \bar{u}_0^2 - \bar{u}_1^2 + 2\left(q + \frac{1}{q}\right) + \left(\frac{q+1}{\sqrt{q}}\bar{u}_1 - \bar{k}_0\bar{k}_1\bar{u}_0\right)\left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}}\check{V}_1^{-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma characterises the spherical-subalgebra $e\mathcal{H}e$:

Corollary 2.5. [23, 9, 16] The elements $\hat{X}_i = eX_i e$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where X_1, X_2, X_3 are defined by (2.81), generate the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}e$, they satisfy the quantum commutation relations:

$$(2.87) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{X}_2\hat{X}_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{X}_1\hat{X}_2 = \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right)\hat{X}_3 - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\omega_3 e,$$

$$(2.88) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{X}_3\hat{X}_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{X}_2\hat{X}_3 = \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right)\hat{X}_1 - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\omega_1 e,$$

$$(2.89) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{X}_1\hat{X}_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{X}_3\hat{X}_1 = \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right)\hat{X}_2 - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\omega_2 e,$$

and the following cubic relation:

$$(2.90) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{X}_2\hat{X}_1\hat{X}_3 - q\hat{X}_2^2 - q^{-1}\hat{X}_1^2 - q\hat{X}_3^2 + \sqrt{q}\omega_2\hat{X}_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\omega_1\hat{X}_1 + \sqrt{q}\omega_3\hat{X}_3 - \omega_4 e = 0.$$

where

$$(2.91) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \left(\bar{u}_0\bar{k}_0 + \bar{k}_1\left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1}{u_1}\right)\right), \\ \omega_2 &= \left(\bar{k}_1\bar{u}_0 + \bar{k}_0\left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1}{u_1}\right)\right), \\ \omega_3 &= \left(\bar{k}_0\bar{k}_1 + \bar{u}_0\left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1}{u_1}\right)\right), \\ \omega_4 &= \bar{k}_0^2 + \bar{k}_1^2 + \bar{u}_0^2 + \left(\frac{u_1}{\sqrt{q}} - \frac{\sqrt{q}}{u_1}\right)^2 - \bar{k}_0\bar{k}_1\bar{u}_0\left(\frac{u_1}{\sqrt{q}} - \frac{\sqrt{q}}{u_1}\right) + \frac{(1+q)^2}{q}. \end{aligned}$$

³The only proof the author could find of this cubic relation is in [9] for the case $k_0 = k_1 = u_1 = 1$. However by using Theorem 1.1 it is not hard to reproduce this result by brute force computations, see notebook 1 in [25].

Moreover, \hat{X}_1 and \hat{X}_2 act on the space \mathcal{L}_{sym} of symmetric Laurent polynomials as follows:

$$(2.92) \quad (\hat{X}_1 f)[x] = K_1[f] = \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right)f[x],$$

$$(2.93) \quad (\hat{X}_2 f)[x] = \sqrt{\frac{abcd}{q}}(K_0 f)[x],$$

Lemma 2.6. [31] *In the semi-classical limit $q \rightarrow 1$, X_1, X_2, X_3 satisfy the following cubic relation:*

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 X_2 X_3 - X_1^2 - X_2^2 - X_3^2 + (\bar{u}_0 \bar{k}_0 + \bar{u}_1 \bar{k}_1) X_1 + (\bar{k}_1 \bar{u}_0 + \bar{k}_0 \bar{u}_1) X_2 + \\ + (\bar{k}_0 \bar{k}_1 + \bar{u}_0 \bar{u}_1) X_3 + \bar{k}_0^2 + \bar{k}_1^2 + \bar{u}_0^2 + \bar{u}_1^2 - \bar{k}_0 \bar{k}_1 \bar{u}_0 \bar{u}_1 + 4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.7. This cubic is also known as the monodromy manifold of the sixth Painlevé equation (see Section 8). In this paper we will obtain similar cubic relations for the spherical subalgebras of each confluent Cherednik algebra and we will show that in the semi-classical limit each of these cubic relations produces the monodromy manifold of the corresponding Painlevé equation.

3. EMBEDDING OF THE CHEREDNIK ALGEBRA OF TYPE $\check{C}_1 C_1$ INTO $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1.

To prove that the images of $V_0, \check{V}_0, V_1, \check{V}_1$ in $GL(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ satisfy the relations (1.1, 1.2, 1.4) and (1.5), in which the quantum ordering is dictated by the matrix product ordering is a straightforward computation which can be carried out by hands or by using the NC algebra package (see notebook 1 in [25]).

To prove that the map $H \rightarrow Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ defined by (1.6), (1.7), (1.8), (1.9), is injective we need to prove that the images of

$$\{X^m Y^n\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \cup \{T X^m Y^n\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

where $X := q^{1/2} V_0 \check{V}_0$, $Y := V_1 \check{V}_1$, $T := V_1$, are all linearly independent. Observe that under $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$,

$$X \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} i\bar{k}_1 e^{-S_1} + i\bar{u}_1 e^{S_2} + q e^{-S_1} e^{-S_2} + \frac{1}{q} e^{-S_1} e^{S_2} & -q e^{S_1} e^{S_2} \\ -i\bar{k}_1 e^{-S_1} - i\bar{u}_1 e^{S_2} - \frac{1}{q} e^{-S_1} e^{S_2} & q e^{S_1} e^{S_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i e^{S_1} \\ i e^{-S_1} & u_1 - u_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Y \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} i\bar{k}_0 e^{S_1} + e^{S_1} e^{-S_3} + e^{S_1} e^{S_3} & e^{S_1} e^{-S_3} \\ i\bar{k}_0 e^{-S_1} + e^{-S_1} e^{-S_3} + i\bar{u}_1 (e^{S_3} + e^{-S_3}) - \bar{k}_0 \bar{u}_1 & e^{-S_1} e^{-S_3} + \bar{u}_1 e^{-S_3} \end{pmatrix},$$

where \bar{k}_0, \bar{u}_1 and \bar{k}_1 were defined in (2.85).

By using the relation $u_0 = -i e^{-S_1 - S_2 - S_3}$, it can be proved by a straightforward induction that X^m always contains $e^{\pm S_1}, e^{\pm 2S_1}, \dots, e^{\pm mS_1}$ and $e^{\pm S_2}, e^{\pm 2S_2}, \dots, e^{\pm mS_2}$ while Y^n always contains $e^{-S_1}, e^{S_1}, \dots, e^{2nS_1}$ and $e^{\pm S_2}, e^{\pm 2S_2}, \dots, e^{\pm nS_2}$.

Then, again by straightforward induction, it can be proved that $X^m Y^n$ always contains terms with $e^{-S_1}, \dots, e^{-mS_1}, e^{S_1}, \dots, e^{(m+2n-2)S_1}, e^{-S_2}, e^{-2S_2}, \dots, e^{-(m+n)S_2}$ and $e^{S_2}, e^{2S_2}, \dots, e^{(m+n-1)S_2}$.

Since $\{e^{kS_1}, e^{mS_2}\}$ are linearly independent, it automatically follows that the images of $\{X^m Y^n\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ are all linearly independent and the images of $\{X^m Y^n\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ are all linearly independent.

To show that the whole set of images $\{X^m Y^n\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are all linearly independent we proceed by contradiction. Assume that there exists a finite linear combination which gives zero:

$$\sum_{n,m \geq 0} a_{n,m} X^m Y^n + \sum_{k,l \geq 0} b_{k,l} X^{-k} Y^{-l} = 0,$$

take

$$k_0 = \max\{k | b_{k,l} \neq 0\}, \quad l_0 = \max\{l | b_{k,l} \neq 0\},$$

and multiply the above relation by $Y^{l_0} X^{k_0}$ (which is an invertible matrix). Then we obtain a zero linear combination in $\{X^m Y^n\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ which is absurd.

With a very similar procedure we can prove that the images of $\{TX^m Y^n\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are all linearly independent.

To conclude the proof we need to prove that the two sets of images are linearly independent with each other. To this aim, assume for example that for some m, n one has:

$$TX^m Y^n = \sum b_{k,l} X^k Y^l.$$

By multiplying both sides by T and using (2.68), we obtain a zero linear combination in the set $\{TX^m Y^n\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}}$, which is absurd.

4. DERIVATION AND FIRST PROPERTIES OF THE CONFLUENT CHEREDNIK ALGEBRAS

The procedure to derive the confluent Cherednik algebras given in Definition 1.2 can be roughly described as follows:

- (1) Start with an algebra \mathcal{H}_i and choose two generators which will be rescaled by some power of ε .
- (2) Write two equivalent relations for every defining relation of \mathcal{H}_i that becomes singular.
- (3) Rescale the chosen generators and their eigenvalues.
- (4) Take the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This produces the algebra \mathcal{H}_{i+1} .

As pointed out to the author by T. Koornwinder, there always is a degree of arbitrariness in such a procedure. However there are two very strong mechanisms to remove such arbitrariness: the first one is that many confluences lead to algebras with too many relations. The second, more important mechanism is that we impose a specific degeneration for the cubic relations satisfied by the generators of the spherical sub-algebras such that in the semi-classical limit they give rise to the Poisson relations on the monodromy manifolds of the Painlevé equations (see Section 6).

4.1. Derivation of \mathcal{H}_V . Start from \mathcal{H} and choose to rescale V_0 and \check{V}_0 . Then (1.5) will become singular and needs to be replaced by:

$$\sqrt{q} \check{V}_1 V_1 V_0 = \check{V}_0 - \bar{u}_0, \quad \sqrt{q} \check{V}_0 \check{V}_1 V_1 = V_0 - \bar{k}_0.$$

Now rescale: $V_0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon} V_0$, $\check{V}_0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \check{V}_0$, $k_0 \rightarrow \varepsilon$, and $u_0 \rightarrow \varepsilon u_0$. Then the defining relations (1.1,1.3,1.5) become

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (V_0 - \varepsilon^2)(V_0 + k_0^{-1}) &= 0, & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (\check{V}_0 - \varepsilon^2 u_0)(\check{V}_0 + u_0^{-1}) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{q} \check{V}_1 V_1 V_0 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \check{V}_0 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_0^{-1}, & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{q} \check{V}_0 \check{V}_1 V_1 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} V_0 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} k_0^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

and in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain \mathcal{H}_V . Observe that the new V_0 and \check{V}_0 are no longer invertible and q has not been rescaled.

We can derive \mathcal{H}_V also in another way: choose to rescale V_1 and \check{V}_0 . Then (1.5) will become singular and needs to be replaced by:

$$\sqrt{q}V_0\check{V}_0\check{V}_1 = \check{V}_1 - \bar{k}_1 \quad \sqrt{q}\check{V}_1V_1V_0 = \check{V}_0 - \bar{u}_0, .$$

Now rescale: $V_1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon}V_1$, $\check{V}_0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\check{V}_0$, $k_1 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, and $u_0 \rightarrow \varepsilon u_0$. Then the defining relations (1.2,1.3,1.5) become

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(V_1 + 1)(V_1 - \varepsilon^2) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(\check{V}_0 - \varepsilon^2 u_0)(\check{V}_0 + u_0^{-1}) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\sqrt{q}V_0\check{V}_0\check{V}_1 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}V_1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} &\quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\sqrt{q}\check{V}_1V_1V_0 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\check{V}_0 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}u_0^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain the following algebra \mathcal{H}_V^γ :

$$(4.94) \quad (V_0 - k_0)(V_0 + k_0^{-1}) = 0$$

$$(4.95) \quad (V_1 + 1)V_1 = 0$$

$$(4.96) \quad \check{V}_0^2 + u_0^{-1}\check{V}_0 = 0$$

$$(4.97) \quad (\check{V}_1 - u_1)(\check{V}_1 + u_1^{-1}) = 0$$

$$(4.98) \quad q^{1/2}\check{V}_1V_1V_0 = \check{V}_0 + u_0^{-1}$$

$$(4.99) \quad q^{1/2}V_0\check{V}_0\check{V}_1 = V_1 + 1$$

This algebra is the image of \mathcal{H}_V by the limit of the automorphism γ :

$$\gamma(\check{V}_1, V_1, V_0, \check{V}_0) = (\check{V}_1, V_1V_0V_1^{-1}, V_1, \check{V}_0), \quad \gamma(u_1, k_1, k_0, u_0) = (u_1, k_0^{-1}, k_1, u_0),$$

where we pick $k_0 = 1$.

Note that this fact has an interesting consequence in terms of q -polynomials: we shall see in Section 7 that the spherical sub-algebra of \mathcal{H}_V acts as symmetries both on the continuous dual q -Haun polynomials and the big q -Jacobi polynomials.

4.2. Derivation of \mathcal{H}_{IV} . Start from \mathcal{H}_V and choose to rescale V_1 and \check{V}_0 . Then (1.16) will become singular and needs to be replaced by itself and:

$$V_0\check{V}_0 = 0.$$

Now rescale: $V_1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon}V_1$, $\check{V}_0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\check{V}_0$, $k_1 \rightarrow \varepsilon$, and $u_0 \rightarrow \varepsilon u_0$. Then the defining relations (1.12,1.15,1.16) become:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(V_1 - \varepsilon^2 k_1)(V_1 + k_1^{-1}) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon}q^{1/2}\check{V}_1V_1V_0 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\check{V}_0 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}u_0^{-1}, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}q^{1/2}\check{V}_0\check{V}_1V_1 &= V_0 + 1, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}V_0\check{V}_0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

and in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain \mathcal{H}_{IV} .

4.3. **Derivation of \mathcal{H}_{III} , \mathcal{H}_{II} , \mathcal{H}_I .** In this subsection we outline how to obtain the next three algebras, the reader can work out the details.

The algebra \mathcal{H}_{III} is obtained from \mathcal{H}_V by rescaling $V_0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon}V_0$, $\check{V}_0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\check{V}_0$ and $u_0 \rightarrow \varepsilon u_0$.

The algebra \mathcal{H}_{II} is obtained from \mathcal{H}_{IV} by rescaling $\check{V}_1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\check{V}_1$, $\check{V}_0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\check{V}_0$, $u_0 \rightarrow \varepsilon u_0$ and $u_1 \rightarrow \varepsilon u_1$.

The algebra \mathcal{H}_I is obtained from \mathcal{H}_{II} by rescaling $V_0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon}V_0$, $\check{V}_0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\check{V}_0$ and $u_0 \rightarrow \varepsilon u_0$.

4.4. **First properties of \mathcal{H}_V , \mathcal{H}_{IV} , \mathcal{H}_{III} .** First of all let us show that the confluent Cherednik algebras \mathcal{H}_V , \mathcal{H}_{IV} , \mathcal{H}_{III} admit also a presentation which is obtained by confluencing (1.46... 1.50):

Theorem 4.1. *Let $a, b, c, q \in \mathbb{C}^*$, such that $q^m \neq 1$, $m = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. The confluent Cherednik algebras \mathcal{H}_V , \mathcal{H}_{IV} , \mathcal{H}_{III} are the algebras generated by four elements T_0, T_1, X, W satisfying the following relations respectively:*

• \mathcal{H}_V :

$$(4.100) \quad XW = WX = 1,$$

$$(4.101) \quad (T_1 + ab)(T_1 + 1) = 0,$$

$$(4.102) \quad T_0(T_0 + 1) = 0,$$

$$(4.103) \quad (T_1X + a)(T_1X + b) = 0,$$

$$(4.104) \quad qT_0W + c = X(T_0 + 1),$$

• \mathcal{H}_{IV} :

$$(4.105) \quad XW = WX = 0,$$

$$(4.106) \quad (T_1 + ab)(T_1 + 1) = 0,$$

$$(4.107) \quad T_0(T_0 + 1) = 0,$$

$$(4.108) \quad qT_0W + c = X(T_0 + 1),$$

$$(4.109) \quad T_1X + a = W(T_1 + ab + 1),$$

• \mathcal{H}_{III} :

$$(4.110) \quad XW = WX = 1,$$

$$(4.111) \quad (T_1 + ab)(T_1 + 1) = 0,$$

$$(4.112) \quad T_0^2 = 0,$$

$$(4.113) \quad (T_1X + a)(T_1X + b) = 0,$$

$$(4.114) \quad qT_0W + 1 = XT_0,$$

Proof. It is enough to give relations between the generators $V_0, V_1, \check{V}_0, \check{V}_1$ and X, W, T_0, T_1 :

$$(4.115) \quad \begin{aligned} T_0 &= k_0V_0, & T_1 &= u_1\check{V}_1, & W &= \check{V}_1V_1, \\ X &= \begin{cases} (V_1 + k_1^{-1} - k_1)(\check{V}_1 + u_1^{-1} - u_1), & \text{for } \mathcal{H}_V \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_{III} \\ (V_1 + 1)(\check{V}_1 + u_1^{-1} - u_1), & \text{for } \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and for the parameters (notice that $k_1 = 1$ for \mathcal{H}_{IV}):

$$(4.116) \quad a = -\frac{u_1}{k_1}, \quad b = k_1u_1, \quad c = -q^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1}{u_0}.$$

Viceversa:

$$(4.117) \quad V_0 = \frac{1}{k_0}T_0, \quad \check{V}_1 = \frac{1}{u_1}T_1, \quad \check{V}_0 = \frac{q^{1/2}}{k_0}WT_0 - \frac{1}{u_0}, \quad V_1 = u_1T_1^{-1}X^{-1},$$

where

$$(4.118) \quad T_1^{-1} = -\frac{1}{ab}T_1 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{ab}\right).$$

□

In order to prove that $\mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}$ are embedded into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ (see theorems 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, we need the following lemma giving a presentation *a la Lusztig-Demazure*:

Lemma 4.2. *The confluent Cherednik algebras $\mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}$ are the algebras generated by five elements T, X, W, Y, Z satisfying the following relations respectively:*

• \mathcal{H}_V

$$(4.119) \quad WX = XW = 1,$$

$$(4.120) \quad ZY = YZ = 0,$$

$$(4.121) \quad XT = T^{-1}W + k_1^{-1} - k_1,$$

$$(4.122) \quad ZT = T^{-1}Y + 1,$$

$$(4.123) \quad (T - u_1)(T + u_1^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(4.124) \quad YX = qT^2XY + q(k_1 - k_1^{-1})TY - TX - q^{1/2}u_0^{-1}T.$$

• \mathcal{H}_{IV} :

$$(4.125) \quad WX = XW = 0,$$

$$(4.126) \quad ZY = YZ = 0,$$

$$(4.127) \quad XT = T^{-1}X^{-1} + 1,$$

$$(4.128) \quad ZT = T^{-1}Y + 1,$$

$$(4.129) \quad (T - u_1)(T + u_1^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(4.130) \quad YX = qT^2XY - qTY - TX - q^{1/2}u_0^{-1}T.$$

• \mathcal{H}_{III} :

$$(4.131) \quad WX = XW = 0,$$

$$(4.132) \quad ZY = YZ = 0,$$

$$(4.133) \quad XT = T^{-1}W + k_1^{-1} - k_1,$$

$$(4.134) \quad ZT = T^{-1}Y,$$

$$(4.135) \quad (T - u_1)(T + u_1^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(4.136) \quad YX = qT^2XY + q(k_1 - k_1^{-1})TY - q^{1/2}u_0^{-1}T.$$

Proof. Again, it is enough to give relations between the generators $V_0, V_1, \check{V}_0, \check{V}_1$ and X, W, Y, Z, T :

$$(4.137) \quad X = \begin{cases} (V_1 + k_1^{-1} - k_1)(\check{V}_1 + u_1^{-1} - u_1), & \text{for } \mathcal{H}_V \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_{III} \\ (V_1 + 1)(\check{V}_1 + u_1^{-1} - u_1), & \text{for } \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.138) \quad W = \check{V}_1V_1, \quad Y = \check{V}_1V_0, \quad T := \check{V}_1,$$

$$(4.139) \quad Z = \begin{cases} (V_0 + 1)(\check{V}_1 + u_1^{-1} - u_1), & \text{for } \mathcal{H}_V \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_{IV} \\ V_0(\check{V}_1 + u_1^{-1} - u_1), & \text{for } \mathcal{H}_{III}, \end{cases}$$

and viceversa:

$$(4.140) \quad \check{V}_1 = T, \quad V_0 = T^{-1}Y, \quad \check{V}_0 = q^{\frac{1}{2}}WT^{-1}Y - u_0^{-1}, \quad V_1 = T^{-1}W.$$

Using these inverse relations, it is a straight-forward computation to prove equivalence. \square

4.5. Derivation of $\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_7}}$, and $\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_8}}$. We start from \mathcal{H}_{III} in the presentation (4.110...4.114). Rewrite relation (4.113) by using (4.111):

$$T_1X + (a + b) = X^{-1}(T_1 - (a + b)).$$

Then take the limit as $b \rightarrow 0$ to obtain (1.51,...1.55).

Analogously, starting from (1.51,...1.55) and taking $a \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the $\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_8}}$ algebra.

5. EMBEDDING OF THE CONFLUENT CHEREDNIK ALGEBRAS INTO $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$

In this section we embed each confluent algebra in $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$. For \mathcal{H}_V , \mathcal{H}_{IV} and \mathcal{H}_{III} the proof of such embedding is based on Lemma 4.2, while for the algebras \mathcal{H}_{II} and \mathcal{H}_I it is direct.

Theorem 5.1. *The map:*

$$(5.141) \quad V_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 + ie^{S_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.142) \quad V_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} k_1 - k_1^{-1} - ie^{S_2} & k_1 - k_1^{-1} - ie^{-S_2} - ie^{S_2} \\ ie^{S_2} & ie^{S_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.143) \quad \check{V}_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ie^{S_1} \\ ie^{-S_1} & u_1 - u_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.144) \quad \check{V}_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}}s & -\frac{1}{u_0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$s = e^{-S_1 - S_2} + \left(\frac{1}{k_1} - k_1\right)e^{-S_1 + S_3} + \left(\frac{1}{u_1} - u_1\right)e^{S_2 + S_3} + ie^{-S_1 - S_2 + S_3} + ie^{-S_1 + S_2 + S_3}.$$

gives an embedding of \mathcal{H}_V into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$. The images of $V_0, \check{V}_0, V_1, \check{V}_1$ in $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ satisfy the relations (1.11), (1.12), (1.13), (1.14), (1.15), (1.16) in which the quantum ordering is dictated by the matrix product ordering.

Proof. The proof of this Theorem is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, except that in this case we need to prove that the images of

$$\{X^m Y^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \cup \{X^m T Y^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \cup \{X^m Z^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \cup \{X^m T Z^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}},$$

so that the only subtlety is that now instead of negative powers of Y , we have positive powers of Z . \square

Theorem 5.2. *The map:*

$$(5.145) \quad V_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 + i e^{S_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.146) \quad V_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 - i e^{S_2} & -1 - i e^{S_2} \\ i e^{S_2} & i e^{S_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.147) \quad \check{V}_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i e^{S_1} \\ i e^{-S_1} & u_1 - u_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.148) \quad \check{V}_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} s & -\frac{1}{u_0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$s = e^{-S_1+S_3} + \left(\frac{1}{u_1} - u_1\right)e^{S_2+S_3} + i e^{-S_1+S_2+S_3}.$$

gives an embedding of \mathcal{H}_{IV} into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$. The images of $V_0, \check{V}_0, V_1, \check{V}_1$ in $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ satisfy the relations (1.17), (1.18), (1.19), (1.20), (1.21), (1.23) in which the quantum ordering is dictated by the matrix product ordering.

Proof. The proof of this Theorem is very similar to the proof of Theorem 5.2, except that in this case we need to prove that the images of

$$\begin{aligned} & \{X^m Y^n\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \cup \{X^m T Y^n\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \cup \{X^m Z^n\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \cup \{X^m T Z^n\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \cup \\ & \cup \{W^m Y^n\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \cup \{W^m T Y^n\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \cup \{W^m Z^n\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \cup \{W^m T Z^n\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \end{aligned}$$

are all linearly independent. The only novelty is that now instead of negative powers of X , we have positive powers of W . \square

Theorem 5.3. *The map:*

$$(5.149) \quad V_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ i e^{S_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.150) \quad V_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} k_1 - k_1^{-1} - i e^{S_2} & k_1 - k_1^{-1} - i e^{-S_2} - i e^{S_2} \\ i e^{S_2} & i e^{S_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.151) \quad \check{V}_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i e^{S_1} \\ i e^{-S_1} & u_1 - u_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.152) \quad \check{V}_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} s & -\frac{1}{u_0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$s = e^{-S_1-S_2} + \left(\frac{1}{k_1} - k_1\right)e^{-S_1+S_3} + \left(\frac{1}{u_1} - u_1\right)e^{S_2+S_3} + i e^{-S_1-S_2+S_3} + i e^{-S_1+S_2+S_3}.$$

gives an embedding of \mathcal{H}_{III} into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$. The images of $V_0, \check{V}_0, V_1, \check{V}_1$ in $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ satisfy the relations (1.24), (1.25), (1.26), (1.27), (1.28), (1.29), in which the quantum ordering is dictated by the matrix product ordering.

Proof. The proof of this Theorem follows the same lines as the one of Theorem 5.2. \square

Theorem 5.4. *The map:*

$$(5.153) \quad V_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 + i e^{S_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.154) \quad V_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 - i e^{S_2} & -1 - i e^{S_2} \\ i e^{S_2} & i e^{S_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.155) \quad \check{V}_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i e^{S_1} \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.156) \quad \check{V}_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{S_2+S_3} & -\frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{u_0} \end{pmatrix},$$

gives an embedding of \mathcal{H}_{II} into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$. The images of $V_0, \check{V}_0, V_1, \check{V}_1$ in $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ satisfy the relations (1.30), (1.31), (1.32), (1.34), (1.35), (1.36), in which the quantum ordering is dictated by the matrix product ordering.

Proof. The proof of this Theorem does not rely on the presentation à la Lusztig–Demazure, as this is not valid anymore. However we can now prove the statement directly on the generators by observing that \check{V}_0 can be defined by (1.34) and therefore we only need to deal with words in V_0, V_1 and \check{V}_1 , whose images under $\mathcal{H}_{II} \rightarrow Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ depend only on e^{S_3} , e^{S_2} and e^{S_1} respectively. As there are no negative powers, cancellations cannot occur due to the unit element in the V_1 matrix, so all words containing any powers of V_0, V_1 and \check{V}_1 will be linearly independent. \square

Theorem 5.5. *The map:*

$$(5.157) \quad V_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ i e^{S_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.158) \quad V_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 - i e^{S_2} & -1 - i e^{S_2} \\ i e^{S_2} & i e^{S_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.159) \quad \check{V}_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i e^{S_1} \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(5.160) \quad \check{V}_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{S_2+S_3} & -q^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

gives an embedding of \mathcal{H}_I into $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$. The images of $V_0, \check{V}_0, V_1, \check{V}_1$ in $Mat(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ satisfy the relations (1.37), (1.38), (1.39), (1.40), (1.41), (1.43), in which the quantum ordering is dictated by the matrix product ordering.

Proof. The proof of this Theorem is very similar to the proof of Theorem 5.4, and is therefore omitted. \square

6. CONFLUENT SPHERICAL SUB-ALGEBRAS AND PAINLEVÉ CUBICS

In this section we give the confluent version of the results of section 2.3 for each algebra $\mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}, \mathcal{H}_{III^{D_7}}, \mathcal{H}_{III^{D_8}}, \mathcal{H}_{II}, \mathcal{H}_I$. These results can be proved in three ways:

i) by brute force algebraic computations relying on the defining relations of each algebra (very similar to [16]),

ii) by careful asymptotic analysis relying on the derivations in Sub-sections 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5,

iii) by using the embedding theorems 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, and the Mathematica NAlgebra package [27].

We follow the first approach for $\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_7}}$ the second approach for $\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_8}}$ and the third for all other algebras. Notebooks producing proofs with the third method for $\mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}, \mathcal{H}_{II}, \mathcal{H}_I$ (see notebooks 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 respectively) can be found in [25].

Note that the symmetriser is given by:

$$e = \begin{cases} \frac{1+u_1\check{V}_1}{1+u_1^2}, & \text{for } \mathcal{H}_V, \mathcal{H}_{IV}, \mathcal{H}_{III}, \\ 1 + T_1, & \text{for } \mathcal{H}_{III^{D_7}}, \mathcal{H}_{III^{D_8}}, \\ 1 + \check{V}_1, & \text{for } \mathcal{H}_{II}, \mathcal{H}_I. \end{cases}$$

6.1. Spherical sub-algebra of \mathcal{H}_V and PV cubic.

Proposition 6.1. *The following three elements:*

$$(6.161) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1 &= \check{V}_1 V_1 + (\check{V}_1 V_1)^{-1}, \\ X_2 &= \check{V}_1 V_0 + (V_0 + 1)\check{V}_1^{-1}, \\ X_3 &= q^{1/2} V_1 V_0 + q^{-1/2} (V_0 + 1)V_1^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

commute with $e = \frac{1+u_1\check{V}_1}{1+u_1^2}$, satisfy the following quantum commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_2 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) X_3 + \\ &+ \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(\bar{k}_1 + \frac{1}{u_0} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1^{-1}) \right), \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_3 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{1}{u_0}, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_1 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) X_2 + \\ &+ \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{u_0} \bar{k}_1 + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1^{-1}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation:

$$(6.162) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 X_3 - q X_2^2 - q X_3^2 - \sqrt{q} \left(\frac{1}{u_0} \bar{k}_1 + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1^{-1}) \right) X_2 + \\ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q} u_0} X_1 - \sqrt{q} \left(\bar{k}_1 + \frac{1}{u_0} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1^{-1}) \right) X_3 + \\ + 1 + \frac{1}{u_0^2} - \frac{\bar{k}_1}{u_0} \left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6.2. In the semi-classical limit $q \rightarrow 1$ the elements X_1, X_2, X_3 belong to the centre of \mathcal{H}_V and the cubic relation (6.162) tends to the PV monodromy manifold:

$$(6.163) \quad X_1 X_2 X_3 - X_2^2 - X_3^2 + \frac{1}{u_0} X_1 - \left(\bar{u}_1 + \frac{\bar{k}_1}{u_0} \right) X_2 - \left(\frac{\bar{u}_1}{u_0} + \bar{k}_1 \right) X_3 + 1 + \frac{1}{u_0^2} - \frac{\bar{k}_1 \bar{u}_1}{u_0} = 0.$$

Corollary 6.3. The elements $\hat{X}_i = eX_i e$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where X_1, X_2, X_3 are defined by (6.161), generate the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}_V e$, they satisfy the quantum commutation relations:

$$(6.164) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_2 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) \hat{X}_3 - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \omega_3 e, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_3 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \omega_1 e, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_1 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) \hat{X}_2 - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \omega_2 e, \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation:

$$(6.165) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 - q \hat{X}_2^2 - q \hat{X}_3^2 + \sqrt{q} \omega_2 \hat{X}_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \omega_1 \hat{X}_1 + \sqrt{q} \omega_3 \hat{X}_3 - \omega_4 e = 0,$$

where

$$(6.166) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \frac{1}{u_0}, & \omega_2 &= -\frac{\bar{k}_1}{u_0} - \left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{u_1} \right), \\ \omega_3 &= -\bar{k}_1 - \frac{1}{u_0} \left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{u_1} \right), \\ \omega_4 &= 1 + \frac{1}{u_0^2} - \frac{\bar{k}_1}{u_0} \left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{u_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.4. *The automorphism γ defined in Subsection 2.1 produces the following automorphism of the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}_V e$:*

$$\gamma(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_3) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{q}}{q-1} [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_1] + \hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_3 \right).$$

Proof. In order to find the image under γ of $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_3$ we first deal with X_1, X_2, X_3 . Since in the \mathcal{H}_V algebra V_0 and \check{V}_0 are no longer invertible, before applying γ we need to express V_1^{-1} and \check{V}_1^{-1} in terms of V_1 and \check{V}_1 respectively in formulae (6.161) which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^\gamma &= \check{V}_1^\gamma V_1^\gamma + \left(V_1^\gamma - \bar{k}_1^\gamma \right) \left(\check{V}_1^\gamma - \bar{u}_1^\gamma \right), \\ X_2^\gamma &= \check{V}_1^\gamma V_0^\gamma + \left(V_0^\gamma - \bar{u}_0^\gamma \right) \left(\check{V}_1^\gamma - \bar{u}_1^\gamma \right), \\ X_3^\gamma &= q^{1/2} V_1^\gamma V_0^\gamma + q^{-1/2} \left(V_0^\gamma - \bar{u}_0^\gamma \right) \left(V_1^\gamma - \bar{k}_1^\gamma \right). \end{aligned}$$

We now can use the embedding defined in Theorem 5.1 to carry out all computations with Mathematica, see notebook 7 in [25]. \square

6.2. Spherical sub-algebra of \mathcal{H}_{IV} and PIV cubic.

Proposition 6.5. *The following three elements:*

$$(6.167) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1 &= \check{V}_1 V_1 + (V_1 + 1) \check{V}_1^{-1}, \\ X_2 &= \check{V}_1 V_0 + (V_0 + 1) \check{V}_1^{-1}, \\ X_3 &= q^{1/2} V_1 V_0 + q^{-1/2} (V_0 + 1) (V_1 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

commute with $e = \frac{1+u_1\check{V}_1}{1+u_1^2}$, satisfy the following quantum commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_2 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) X_3 + \\ &+ \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(-1 + \frac{1}{u_0} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1^{-1}) \right), \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_3 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{1}{u_0}, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_1 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{1}{u_0}, \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation:

$$(6.168) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 X_3 - q X_3^2 + \sqrt{q} \frac{1}{u_0} X_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q} u_0} X_1 + \frac{1}{u_0^2} + \frac{1}{u_0} \left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1^{-1} \right) + \\ + \sqrt{q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{u_0} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1^{-1}) \right) X_3. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6.6. In the semi-classical limit $q \rightarrow 1$ the elements X_1, X_2, X_3 belong to the centre of \mathcal{H}_{IV} and the cubic relation (6.168) tends to the PIV monodromy manifold:

$$(6.169) \quad X_1 X_2 X_3 - X_3^2 + \frac{1}{u_0} X_1 + \frac{1}{u_0} X_2 + \left(1 - \frac{\bar{u}_1}{u_0} \right) X_3 + \frac{1}{u_0^2} + \frac{\bar{u}_1}{u_0} = 0.$$

Corollary 6.7. The elements $\hat{X}_i = e X_i e$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where X_1, X_2, X_3 are defined by (6.167), generate the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}e$, satisfy the quantum commutation relations:

$$(6.170) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_2 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) \hat{X}_3 - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \omega_3 e, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_3 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \omega_1 e, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_1 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \omega_2 e, \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation

$$(6.171) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 - q \hat{X}_3^2 + \sqrt{q} \omega_2 \hat{X}_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \omega_1 \hat{X}_1 + \sqrt{q} \omega_3 \hat{X}_3 + \omega_4 e = 0,$$

where

$$(6.172) \quad \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \frac{1}{u_0}, \quad \omega_3 = 1 - \frac{1}{u_0} \left(\frac{u_1}{\sqrt{q}} - \frac{\sqrt{q}}{u_1} \right), \quad \omega_4 = \frac{1}{u_0^2} + \frac{1}{u_0} \left(\frac{u_1}{\sqrt{q}} - \frac{\sqrt{q}}{u_1} \right).$$

6.3. Spherical sub-algebra of \mathcal{H}_{III} and PIII cubic.

Proposition 6.8. *The following three elements:*

$$(6.173) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1 &= \check{V}_1 V_1 + (\check{V}_1 V_1)^{-1}, \\ X_2 &= \check{V}_1 V_0 + V_0 \check{V}_1^{-1}, \\ X_3 &= q^{1/2} V_1 V_0 + q^{-1/2} V_0 V_1^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

commute with $e = \frac{1+u_1\check{V}_1}{1+u_1^2}$, satisfy the following quantum commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_2 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right) X_3 + \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \frac{1}{u_0} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1^{-1}), \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_3 &= 0, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_1 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right) X_2 + \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \frac{\bar{k}_1}{u_0}, \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation:

$$(6.174) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 X_3 - q X_2^2 - q X_3^2 - \sqrt{q} \frac{\bar{k}_1}{u_0} X_2 - \sqrt{q} \frac{1}{u_0} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1^{-1}) X_3 + \frac{1}{u_0^2} = 0.$$

Corollary 6.9. In the semi-classical limit $q \rightarrow 1$ the elements X_1, X_2, X_3 generate the centre of \mathcal{H}_{III} and the cubic relation (6.174) tends to the PIII monodromy manifold:

$$(6.175) \quad X_1 X_2 X_3 - X_2^2 - X_3^2 - \frac{\bar{k}_1}{u_0} X_2 - \frac{\bar{u}_1}{u_0} X_3 + \frac{1}{u_0^2} = 0.$$

Corollary 6.10. The elements $\hat{X}_i = e X_i e$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where X_1, X_2, X_3 are defined by (6.173), generate the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}e$, they satisfy the quantum commutation relations:

$$(6.176) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_2 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right) \hat{X}_3 - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \omega_3 e, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_3 &= 0, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_1 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q}\right) \hat{X}_2 - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \omega_2 e, \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation:

$$(6.177) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 - q \hat{X}_2^2 - q \hat{X}_3^2 + \sqrt{q} \omega_2 \hat{X}_2 + \sqrt{q} \omega_3 \hat{X}_3 + \omega_4 e = 0,$$

where

$$\omega_2 = -\frac{\bar{k}_1}{u_0}, \quad \omega_3 = -\frac{1}{u_0} \left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{u_1} \right), \quad \omega_4 = \frac{1}{u_0^2}.$$

6.4. Spherical sub-algebra of $\mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_7}$ and $PIII^{D_7}$ cubic.

Lemma 6.11. *The generators X, W, T_0, T_1 of the confluent Cherednik algebra $\mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_7}$ satisfy the following relations*

$$(6.178) \quad T_1 X T_1 = -a T_1, \quad (T_1 + 1) W (T_1 + 1) = a (T_1 + 1),$$

$$(6.179) \quad T_0 W T_0 = -\frac{1}{q} T_0, \quad T_0 X T_0 = T_0.$$

Proof. The relations (6.178) follow by multiplying (1.54) by T_1 on the right or by $T_1 + 1$ on the left respectively and using (1.52). Analogously relations (6.179) follow by multiplying (1.55) by T_0 on the right or on the left respectively and using (1.53). \square

Proposition 6.12. *The following three elements:*

$$(6.180) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1 &= X + W, \\ X_2 &= T_1 T_0 + T_0 (T_1 + 1) \\ X_3 &= \frac{q}{q^2 - 1} \left(q^{1/2} X_2 X_1 - q^{-1/2} X_1 X_2 \right) - \frac{1}{q+1} \left(\left(\sqrt{q} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \right) T_1 + \sqrt{q} \right), \end{aligned}$$

commute with $e = 1 + T_1$, they satisfy the quantum commutation relations:

$$(6.181) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_3 &= 0, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_1 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) X_2 - \frac{q-1}{q} a, \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation:

$$(6.182) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 X_3 - q X_2^2 - q X_3^2 + a X_2 + \left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} (T_1 + 1) \right) X_3 = 0.$$

Proof. To prove the first commutation relation is equivalent to prove the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(q + \frac{1}{q} \right) X_2 X_1 X_2 - X_1 X_2^2 - X_2^2 X_1 - \frac{q-1}{q} \left((q-1) T_1 + q \right) X_2 + \\ + \frac{q-1}{q} X_2 \left(T_1 - \frac{1}{q} T_1 + 1 \right) X_2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

while the second commutation relation is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q^2 + 1}{q^2 - 1} X_1 X_2 X_1 - \frac{q}{q^2 - 1} X_1^2 X_2 - \frac{q}{q^2 - 1} X_2 X_1^2 - \frac{1}{q+1} X_1 \left((q-1) T_1 + q \right) + \\ + \frac{1}{q+1} \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) T_1 + 1 \right) X_1 = \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) X_2 - \frac{q-1}{q} a. \end{aligned}$$

To prove these relations we need to expand everything in terms of X, W, T_0, T_1 and use the algebra relations (6.178, 6.179) to eliminate X, W as much as possible, and relations (1.51, ... 1.55) to push X, W all the way to the left. We obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} X_2 X_1 X_2 &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) (T_0 + T_0 T_1 + T_1 T_0 + a(q+1) T_0 T_1 T_0 + 2 T_1 T_0 T_1) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{q} (X + W) T_0 T_1 T_0 + \left(\frac{1}{q} X + qW \right) (T_0 T_1 T_0 T_1) + \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{q} W + qX \right) T_1 T_0 T_1 T_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$X_1 X_2^2 = (X + W) (T_0 T_1 T_0 + T_0 T_1 T_0 T_1 + T_1 T_0 T_1 T_0),$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_2^2 X_1 &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2} \right) (T_0 + T_0 T_1 + T_1 T_0 + (q+1) T_1 T_0 T_1) + \\ &+ \left(a \left(q^2 - \frac{1}{q^2} \right) + \frac{1}{q^2} (X + W) \right) T_0 T_1 T_0 + \left(\frac{1}{q^2} X + q^2 W \right) T_0 T_1 T_0 T_1 + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left(\frac{1}{q^2} W + q^2 X \right) T_1 T_0 T_1 T_0, \\
 X_2 X_1^2 &= \frac{q^2 - 1}{q^2} \left((q - 1)a + (X + W) \left((q + 1)T_1 + a(1 + q^2)T_0 + 1 \right) \right) \\
 & + 2(T_0 T_1 + T_1 T_0) + \frac{1}{q^2} (X^2 + W^2) T_0 + \left(\frac{1}{q^2} X^2 + q^2 W^2 \right) T_0 T_1 + \\
 & + \left(q^2 X^2 + \frac{1}{q^2} W^2 \right) T_1 T_0 + \left(q^2 - \frac{1}{q^2} - 2 \right) T_0 \\
 X_1^2 X_2 &= (X^2 + W^2) (T_0 + T_0 T_1 + T_1 T_0) + 2T_0 T_1 + 2T_1 T_0 + 2T_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is then a straightforward computation to arrive at the final quantum commutation relations. In a similar way we can proceed to prove the cubic relation (6.182) - we omit this for brevity. \square

Corollary 6.13. In the semi-classical limit $q \rightarrow 1$, the elements X_1, X_2, X_3 become central and the cubic relation (6.182) tends to the the $PIII^{D_7}$ monodromy manifold:

$$(6.183) \quad X_3 X_2 X_1 - 2(X_2^2 - X_3^2) + a X_2 + X_3 = 0.$$

Proof. To prove that for $q \rightarrow 1$, X_1, X_2, X_3 become central is again a straightforward algebraic manipulation. The $PIII^{D_7}$ monodromy manifold (6.183) is obtained as term of order $i\pi\hbar$ in the series expansion of (6.182), for $q = e^{-i\pi\hbar}$, the only subtile point is to realise that

$$\frac{q-1}{q} \left(\sqrt{q} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \right) \sim (\hbar^2)$$

so that the term $T_1 X_2$ disappears. \square

Corollary 6.14. Define $\hat{X}_i = e X_i e$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where X_1, X_2, X_3 are defined by (6.180) and

$$e = 1 + T_1.$$

Then $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_3$ generate the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_7}e$, they satisfy the quantum commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.184) \quad & q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_2 = \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) \hat{X}_3 - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) e, \\
 & q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_3 = 0, \\
 & q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_1 = \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) \hat{X}_2 - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{a}{\sqrt{q}} e,
 \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation:

$$(6.185) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 - q \hat{X}_2^2 - q \hat{X}_3^2 + a \hat{X}_2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \hat{X}_3 = 0.$$

Proof. The fact that \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2 and \hat{X}_3 generate the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_7}e$ follows easily from the fact that $eT_0e = \hat{X}_2$, $eXe = eX_1 + e = \hat{X}_1 + e$.

To prove the quantum commutation relations, it is enough to observe that e is idempotent and to prove that X_1, X_2, X_3 commute with e . Once we have this, we can just multiply (6.181) and by e and use the fact that $eX_i X_j = e^2 X_i X_j =$

$eX_i eX_j = \hat{X}_i \hat{X}_j$. In a similar way we can prove (6.185) by multiplying (6.182) by e three times.

Let us prove that $[e, X_{1,2}] = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} [e, X_1] &= [1 + T_1, X + X^{-1}] = T_1 X + T_1 W - X T_1 - W T_1 = \\ &= -a + X^{-1}(T_1 + 1) - W + a + X T_1 - X T_1 - W T_1 = 0. \\ [e, X_2] &= [1 + T_1, T_1 T_0 + T_0(T_1 + 1)] = T_1^2 T_0 + T_1 T_0(T_1 + 1) - T_1 T_0 T_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. \square

6.5. Spherical sub-algebra of $\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_8}}$ and $PIII^{D_8}$ cubic. Here all proofs are a simple limit as $a \rightarrow 0$ of the proofs of the previous Sub-section and will be omitted.

Lemma 6.15. *The generators X, W, T_0, T_1 of the confluent Cherednik algebra $\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_8}}$ satisfy the following relations*

$$(6.186) \quad T_1 X T_1 = 0, \quad (T_1 + 1)W(T_1 + 1) = 0,$$

$$(6.187) \quad T_0 W T_0 = -\frac{1}{q}T_0, \quad T_0 X T_0 = T_0.$$

Proposition 6.16. *The following three elements:*

$$(6.188) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1 &= X + W, \\ X_2 &= T_1 T_0 + T_0(T_1 + 1) \\ X_3 &= \frac{q}{q^2 - 1} \left(q^{1/2} X_2 X_1 - q^{-1/2} X_1 X_2 \right) - \frac{1}{q+1} \left(\left(\sqrt{q} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \right) T_1 + \sqrt{q} \right), \end{aligned}$$

commute with $e = 1 + T_1$, satisfy the following quantum commutation relations:

$$(6.189) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_3 &= 0, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_1 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) X_2, \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation:

$$(6.190) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 X_3 - q X_2^2 - q X_3^2 + \left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} (T_1 + 1) \right) X_3 = 0.$$

Corollary 6.17. In the semi-classical limit $q \rightarrow 1$, the elements X_1, X_2, X_3 become central and the cubic relation (6.190) tends to the the $PIII^{D_8}$ monodromy manifold:

$$(6.191) \quad X_3 X_2 X_1 - 2(X_2^2 - X_3^2) + X_3 = 0.$$

Corollary 6.18. Define $\hat{X}_i = eX_i e$, $i = 1, 2,$, where X_1, X_2, X_3 are defined by (6.188) and

$$e = 1 + T_1.$$

Then \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2 generate the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}_{III^{D_8}}e$, the satisfy the quantum commutation relations:

$$(6.192) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_2 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) \hat{X}_3 - \frac{q-1}{\sqrt{q}} e, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_3 &= 0, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_1 &= \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) \hat{X}_2, \end{aligned}$$

and lie on the following quantum cubic

$$(6.193) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 - q \hat{X}_2^2 - q \hat{X}_3^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \hat{X}_3 = 0.$$

6.6. Spherical sub-algebra of \mathcal{H}_{II} and PII monodromy manifold.

Proposition 6.19. *The following three elements:*

$$(6.194) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1 &= \check{V}_1 V_1 + (V_1 + 1)(\check{V}_1 + 1), \\ X_2 &= \check{V}_1 V_0 + (V_0 + 1)(\check{V}_1 + 1) \\ X_3 &= q^{1/2} V_1 V_0 + q^{-1/2} (V_0 + 1)(V_1 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

commute with $e = 1 + \check{V}_1$, satisfy the following quantum commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_2 &= \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{1}{u_0} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} (\check{V}_1 + 1)), \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_3 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{1}{u_0}, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_1 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{1}{u_0}, \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation:

$$(6.195) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 X_3 + \sqrt{q} \frac{1}{u_0} X_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q} u_0} X_1 + \frac{1}{u_0^2} + \frac{1}{u_0} \left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} (\check{V}_1 + 1) \right) - \\ - \sqrt{q} \frac{1}{u_0} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} (\check{V}_1 + 1)) X_3. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6.20. In the semi-classical limit $q \rightarrow 1$ the elements X_1, X_2, X_3 belong to the centre of \mathcal{H}_{II} and the cubic relation (6.195) tends to the PII monodromy manifold:

$$(6.196) \quad X_1 X_2 X_3 + \frac{1}{u_0} X_1 + \frac{1}{u_0} X_2 + \frac{1}{u_0} X_3 + \frac{1 - u_0}{u_0^2} = 0.$$

Corollary 6.21. The elements $\hat{X}_i = e X_i e$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where X_1, X_2, X_3 are defined by (6.194), generate the spherical sub-algebra $e \mathcal{H}_{II} e$, satisfy the quantum commutation relations:

$$(6.197) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_2 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \omega_3 e, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_3 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \omega_1 e, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_1 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \omega_2 e, \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation

$$(6.198) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 + \sqrt{q} \omega_2 \hat{X}_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \omega_1 \hat{X}_1 + \sqrt{q} \omega_3 \hat{X}_3 + \omega_4 e = 0,$$

where

$$(6.199) \quad \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \frac{1}{u_0}, \quad \omega_3 = \frac{\sqrt{q}}{u_0}, \quad \omega_4 = \frac{1}{u_0^2} - \frac{\sqrt{q}}{u_0}.$$

6.7. Spherical sub-algebra of \mathcal{H}_I and PI monodromy manifold.

Proposition 6.22. *The following three elements:*

$$(6.200) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1 &= \check{V}_1 V_1 + (V_1 + 1)(\check{V}_1 + 1), \\ X_2 &= \check{V}_1 V_0 + V_0(\check{V}_1 + 1) \\ X_3 &= q^{1/2} V_1 V_0 + q^{-1/2} V_0(V_1 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

commute with e , satisfy the following quantum commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_2 &= \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} (\check{V}_1 + 1) \right), \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_3 &= 0, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_1 X_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_3 X_1 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation:

$$(6.201) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} X_2 X_1 X_3 + \sqrt{q} X_2 - \sqrt{q} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{V}_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} (\check{V}_1 + 1)) X_3 + 1 = 0.$$

Corollary 6.23. In the semi-classical limit $q \rightarrow 1$ the elements X_1, X_2, X_3 belong to the centre of \mathcal{H}_I and the cubic relation (6.201) tends to the PI monodromy manifold:

$$(6.202) \quad X_1 X_2 X_3 + X_2 + X_3 + 1 = 0.$$

Corollary 6.24. The elements $\hat{X}_i = e X_i e$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where X_1, X_2, X_3 are defined by (6.200), generate the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}_I e$, satisfy the quantum commutation relations:

$$(6.203) \quad \begin{aligned} q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_2 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \sqrt{q} e, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_3 &= 0, \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_3 \hat{X}_1 &= - \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) e, \end{aligned}$$

and the quantum cubic relation

$$(6.204) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_3 + \sqrt{q} \hat{X}_2 + q \hat{X}_3 + e = 0.$$

7. CONFLUENT ZHEDANOV ALGEBRAS AND Q-ASKEY SCHEME

In this Section we prove that the spherical sub-algebra of each confluent Cherednik algebra is isomorphic to the corresponding confluent Zhedanov algebra. Moreover we give a faithful representation of the confluent Zhedanov algebras and show that they act as symmetries of some elements of the q-Askey scheme. Throughout this section many results on q -orthogonal polynomials are used, they can be found in [21] (see also [1] and [15] and references therein).

Theorem 7.1. *The map:*

$$i : \mathcal{Z}_d \rightarrow e\mathcal{H}_d e,$$

defined by

$$(7.205) \quad \begin{aligned} i(K_0) &:= \frac{1}{u_1} \hat{X}_2, & i(K_1) &:= \hat{X}_1, & i(1) &:= e \\ i(K_2) &= u_1 \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right) \hat{X}_3 + \left(\sqrt{q} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \right) \frac{q}{(q-1)^2} B e, \end{aligned}$$

where $d = III, III^{D_7}, III^{D_8}, IV, V$, $u_1 = 1$ for $d=I, II$, and B, D_0, D_1 are given here below, is an algebra isomorphism.

• \mathcal{Z}_V :

$$(7.206) \quad \begin{aligned} B &= u_1 \frac{(q-1)^2}{q} \left(\bar{k}_1 - \frac{1}{u_0} \left(\frac{\sqrt{q}}{u_1} - \frac{u_1}{\sqrt{q}} \right) \right), \\ D_0 &= u_1 \frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q} \left(\frac{\bar{k}_1}{\sqrt{q}u_0} - \left(\frac{1}{u_1} - \frac{u_1}{q} \right) \right), \\ D_1 &= -u_1^2 \frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}u_0}, \end{aligned}$$

• \mathcal{Z}_{IV}

$$(7.207) \quad \begin{aligned} B &= u_1 \frac{(q-1)^2}{q} \left(-1 - \frac{1}{u_0} \left(\frac{\sqrt{q}}{u_1} - \frac{u_1}{\sqrt{q}} \right) \right) \\ D_0 &= -\frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{u_1}{u_0}, \quad D_1 = -\frac{u_1^2}{u_0} \frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

• \mathcal{Z}_{III}

$$(7.208) \quad B = -\frac{u_1}{u_0} \frac{(q-1)^2}{q} \left(\frac{\sqrt{q}}{u_1} - \frac{u_1}{\sqrt{q}} \right), \quad D_0 = u_1 \frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\frac{\bar{k}_1}{u_0} \right),$$

• $\mathcal{Z}_{III^{D_7}}$

$$(7.209) \quad B = \frac{(q-1)^2}{q}, \quad D_0 = -\frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q^2} a,$$

• $\mathcal{Z}_{III^{D_8}}$

$$(7.210) \quad B = \frac{(q-1)^2}{q}.$$

Proof. It is a straightforward computation to show that the defining relations for each \mathcal{Z}_d are mapped to the quantum commutation relations for $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_3$ in $e\mathcal{H}_d$, where $d = III, III^{D_7}, III^{D_8}, IV, V$, therefore i is an algebra isomorphism by construction. \square

Remark 7.2. Observe that the quantum commutation relations (6.197) and (6.203) for $e\mathcal{H}_{II}$ and $e\mathcal{H}_{Ie}$ respectively are degenerated, in the sense that the quantum commutators are all central. This makes it impossible to define a good isomorphism i such that $i(K_2)$ can be used to define \hat{X}_3 . For this reason the isomorphisms between $e\mathcal{H}_{II}$ and \mathcal{Z}_{II} and the one between $e\mathcal{H}_{Ie}$ and \mathcal{Z}_I remain conjectural.

Now in each case we give a faithful representation the confluent Zhedanov algebras either on the space of symmetric Laurent polynomials \mathcal{L}_{sym} or on the space of polynomials \mathcal{P} . In order to prove that our representation is faithful, we need first two lemmata (these can be proved very similarly to the results contained in Section 2 of [22], so we omit the proofs.).

Lemma 7.3. *The Zhedanov algebra \mathcal{Z}_d , $d = I, II, III, III^{D_7}, III^{D_8}, IV, V$, can be equivalently described as the algebra with two generators K_0, K_1 and two relations:*

$$(7.211) \quad \begin{aligned} (q + q^{-1})K_1K_0K_1 - K_1^2K_0 - K_0K_1^2 &= BK_1 + (q - q^{-1})^2 K_0 + D_0, \\ (q + q^{-1})K_0K_1K_0 - K_0^2K_1 - K_1K_0^2 &= BK_0 + D_1, \end{aligned}$$

where the parameters B , D_0 and D_1 are chosen like in (1.64), and admits the following Casimir:

$$\begin{aligned} Q = & (K_1 K_0)^2 - (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2})K_0 K_1 K_0 K_1 + (q + q^{-1})(q - q^{-1})^2 K_0^2 + \\ & + (q + q^{-1})K_0^2 K_1^2 + B((q + 1 + q^{-1})K_0 K_1 + K_1 K_0) + \\ & + (q + 1 + q^{-1})(D_0 K_0 + D_1 K_1) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.4. *The quotiented Zhedanov algebra $\mathcal{Z}_d \setminus \langle Q = Q_0 \rangle$, has elements*

$$K_0^n (K_1 K_0)^l K_1^m, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad l = 0, 1,$$

as a basis.

7.1. Representation of \mathcal{Z}_V and continuous dual q -Haun polynomials.

Lemma 7.5. *The confluent Zhedanov algebra $\mathcal{Z}_V \setminus \langle Q = Q_0 \rangle$ admits the following representation on the space \mathcal{L}_{sym} of symmetric Laurent polynomials:*

$$(7.212) \quad (K_1 f)[x] := \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) f[x],$$

$$(7.213) \quad \begin{aligned} (K_0 f)[x] := & \frac{(1-ax)(1-bx)(1-cx)}{(1-x^2)(1-qx^2)} (f[qx] - f[x]) + f[x] - \\ & - x \frac{(a-x)(b-x)(c-x)}{(1-x^2)(q-x^2)} (f[q^{-1}x] - f[x]), \end{aligned}$$

where $a = -\frac{u_1}{k_1}$, $b = u_1 k_1$, $c = -\frac{\sqrt{q}}{u_0}$.

Proof. Let us express the confluent Zhedanov algebra structure constants by the parameters a, b, c :

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{(q-1)^2}{q} \left(\left(1 + \frac{ab}{q}\right) c + \left(\frac{b}{a} + 1\right) a \right), \\ D_0 &= -\frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q} \left(\left(\frac{b}{a} + 1\right) ac + \left(1 + \frac{ab}{q}\right) \right), \\ D_1 &= -\frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q} \left(1 + \frac{cd}{q}\right) abc, \end{aligned}$$

then it is a straightforward computation to prove that the operators satisfy the relations (1.61,1.62,1.63), it can be found in notebook 8 in [25]. \square

Lemma 7.6. *The continuous dual q -Haun polynomials:*

$$p_n(x; a, b, c, d) := \frac{(ab, ac; q)_n}{a^n} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, ax, ax^{-1} \\ ab, ac, \end{matrix}; q, q \right),$$

where $a = -\frac{u_1}{k_1}$, $b = u_1 k_1$, $c = -\frac{\sqrt{q}}{u_0}$, are eigenfunctions of the K_0 operator:

$$K_0 p_n = q^{-n} p_n.$$

Proof. Note that the confluent Zhedanov algebra $\mathcal{Z}_V \setminus \langle Q = Q_0 \rangle$ is obtained as the limit for $d \rightarrow 0$ of the general Zhedanov algebra $\mathcal{Z} \setminus \langle Q = Q_0 \rangle$. Analogously, the representation (7.212, 7.213) is the limit as $d \rightarrow 0$ of the representation (2.78,2.79) of the general Zhedanov algebra and the continuous dual q -Haun polynomials are obtained as limit for $d \rightarrow 0$ of the Askey–Wilson polynomials. \square

Lemma 7.7. *The representation (7.212,7.213) is faithful.*

Proof. This proof follows the same lines as the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [22], where we replace the Askey-Wilson polynomials by the dual q -Haun polynomials. \square

7.2. Big q -Jacobi polynomials. In Lemma 6.4 we obtained an action of the automorphism γ defined in sub-section 2.1 on the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}_V e$. On the confluent Zhedanov algebra this action produces the following result:

Lemma 7.8. ?? *The transformation*

$$\gamma(K_0, K_1) = \left(k_1 u_1 K_1, \frac{1}{u_1} \left(K_0 + \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(q+1)(q-1)^2} [K_2, K_1] \right) \right),$$

is an isomorphism mapping \mathcal{Z}_V to \mathcal{Z}_V^γ , which is the algebra generated by K_0^γ, K_1^γ with relations:

$$(7.214) \quad \begin{aligned} (q+q^{-1})K_1^\gamma K_0^\gamma K_1^\gamma - (K_1^\gamma)^2 K_0^\gamma - K_0^\gamma (K_1^\gamma)^2 &= B^\gamma K_1^\gamma + D_0^\gamma, \\ (q+q^{-1})K_0^\gamma K_1^\gamma K_0^\gamma - (K_0^\gamma)^2 K_1^\gamma - K_1^\gamma (K_0^\gamma)^2 &= B^\gamma K_0^\gamma + C_1^\gamma K_1^\gamma + D_1^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(7.215) \quad \begin{aligned} B^\gamma &= -\frac{(q-1)^2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{u_0} (qk_1 - k_1 u_1^2 - \sqrt{q}(k_1^2 - 1)u_0 u_1) \\ D_0^\gamma &= -\frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{k_1 u_1}{u_0}, \quad C_1^\gamma = \frac{(q^2 - 1)^2 k_1^2 u_1^2}{q^2}, \\ D_1^\gamma &= -\frac{u_1 k_1 (q+1)(q-1)^2}{u_0 q^2} (k_1 u_0 (q - u_1^2) - \sqrt{q}(k_1^2 - 1)u_1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 6.4 and Theorem 7.1. \square

Lemma 7.9. *The confluent Zhedanov algebra $\mathcal{Z}_V^\gamma \setminus \langle Q = Q_0 \rangle$ admits the following representation on the space \mathcal{P} of polynomials:*

$$(7.216) \quad (K_1^\gamma f)[x] := x f[x],$$

$$(7.217) \quad \begin{aligned} (K_0^\gamma f)[x] &:= \frac{q(\lambda c x + a(x(1+b) - c(1+q - \lambda x)))}{\lambda^2 x^2} f[x] + \\ &+ \frac{(\lambda x - qa)(\lambda x - qc)}{\lambda^2 x^2} f\left[\frac{x}{q}\right] + \frac{q(\lambda x - 1)a(b\lambda x - c)}{x^2} f[qx], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(7.218) \quad \lambda = u_1, \quad a = -\frac{k_1 u_1}{\sqrt{q} u_0}, \quad b = -\frac{k_1 u_0 u_1}{\sqrt{q}}, \quad c = -\frac{u_1^2}{q}.$$

Proof. Indeed the generators defined by (7.216, 7.217) satisfy the relations (7.214) for

$$\begin{aligned} B^\gamma &= (q-1)^2 \frac{c + a(1+b+c)}{\lambda}, \quad D_0^\gamma = -(q+1)(q-1)^2 \frac{ac}{\lambda^2}, \\ C_1^\gamma &= q \left(q - \frac{1}{q} \right)^2 ab, \quad D_1^\gamma = -(q-1)^2 (q+1) \frac{a(c + b(1+a+c))}{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

and for the choice (7.218) these formulae coincide with (7.215) (see notebook 9 in [25]). \square

The proof of the following two results is obtained by taking substituting $x \rightarrow \frac{x}{\varepsilon}$, $a \rightarrow \varepsilon \lambda$, $b \rightarrow \frac{aq}{\varepsilon \lambda}$, $c \rightarrow \frac{cq}{\varepsilon \lambda}$, $d \rightarrow \varepsilon \lambda \frac{b}{c}$ and taking the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the analogous results for the Askey Wilson polynomials in [22].

Lemma 7.10. *The big q -Jacobi polynomials:*

$$P_n(x; a, b, c, d) := {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, abq^{n+1}, x \\ aq, cq, \end{matrix} ; q, q \right),$$

are eigenfunctions of the K_0 operator:

$$K_0 P_n[\lambda x] = \frac{1 + q^{2n+1} ab}{q^n} P_n[\lambda x].$$

Lemma 7.11. *The representation (7.216, 7.217) is faithful.*

7.3. Representation of \mathcal{Z}_{IV} and Big q -Laguerre Polynomials. Note that the algebra \mathcal{H}_{IV} can be obtained as limit of the algebra \mathcal{H}_V^γ by rescaling $V_0^\gamma \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon} V_0^\gamma$, $\check{V}_0^\gamma \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \check{V}_0^\gamma$, $k_0 \rightarrow \varepsilon$, $u_0 \rightarrow \varepsilon u_0$. This shows that the confluent Zhedanov algebra \mathcal{Z}_{IV} can be obtained from \mathcal{Z}_V^γ in the limit $b \rightarrow 0$. This leads to the following results:

Lemma 7.12. *The confluent Zhedanov algebra $\mathcal{Z}_{IV} \setminus \langle Q = Q_0 \rangle$ admits the following representation on the space \mathcal{L}_{sym} of symmetric Laurent polynomials:*

$$(7.219) \quad (K_1 f)[x] := x f[x],$$

$$(7.220) \quad (K_0 f)[x] := \frac{q(\lambda c x + a(x - c(1 + q - \lambda x)))}{\lambda^2 x^2} f[x] + \frac{(\lambda x - qa)(\lambda x - qc)}{\lambda^2 x^2} f\left[\frac{x}{q}\right] - \frac{q(\lambda x - 1)ac}{x^2} f[qx],$$

where

$$(7.221) \quad \lambda = u_1, \quad a = -\frac{u_1}{\sqrt{q}u_0}, \quad c = -\frac{u_1^2}{q}.$$

Proof. Indeed the generators defined by (7.219, 7.220) satisfy the relations (7.211) for

$$B = (q-1)^2 \frac{c + a(1+c)}{\lambda}, \quad D_0^\gamma = -(q+1)(q-1)^2 \frac{ac}{\lambda^2},$$

$$D_1 = -(q-1)^2 (q+1) \frac{ac}{\lambda},$$

(see notebook 10 in [25]) and for the choice (7.221) these formulae coincide with (7.207). \square

Lemma 7.13. *The big q -Laguerre polynomials:*

$$P_n(x; a, c, d) := {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, 0, x \\ aq, cq, \end{matrix} ; q, q \right),$$

are eigenfunctions of the K_0 operator:

$$K_0 P_n[\lambda x] = q^{-n} P_n[\lambda x].$$

Lemma 7.14. *The representation (7.219, 7.220) is faithful.*

7.4. Representation of \mathcal{Z}_{III} and Al-Salam-Chihara Polynomials.

Lemma 7.15. *The confluent Zhedanov algebra \mathcal{Z}_{III} admits the following representation on the space \mathcal{L}_{sym} of symmetric Laurent polynomials:*

$$(7.222) \quad (K_1 f)[x] := \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) f[x],$$

$$(7.223) \quad (K_0 f)[x] := -\frac{x(1-ax)(1-bx)c}{(1-x^2)(1-qx^2)} (f[qx] - f[x]) + f[x] - x \frac{(a-x)(b-x)c}{(1-x^2)(q-x^2)} (f[q^{-1}x] - f[x]),$$

where $a = -\frac{u_1}{k_1}$, $b = k_1 u_1$ and $c = -\frac{\sqrt{q}}{u_0}$.

Proof. Let us express the confluent Zhedanov algebra structure constants (7.208) by the parameters a, b, c :

$$(7.224) \quad B = \frac{(q-1)^2}{q} \left(1 + \frac{ab}{q}\right) c, \quad D_0 = -\frac{(q+1)(q-1)^2}{q^2} (a+b)c, \quad D_1 = 0,$$

then it is a straightforward computation (see notebook 11 in [25]) to prove that the operators (7.222, 7.223) satisfy (7.211). \square

Lemma 7.16. *The Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials:*

$$Q_n(x; a, b, c, d) := \frac{(ab; q)_n}{a^n} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, ax, ax^{-1} \\ ab, 0 \end{matrix}; q, q \right),$$

are eigenfunctions of the following operator

$$K_0^\beta := \frac{q}{q^2-1} \left(K_0 K_1 - q K_1 K_0 - \frac{(a+b)(q-1)}{q} \right),$$

with eigenvalues

$$\frac{1}{q^n} - 1 + \frac{1+a+b-ab}{q+1}.$$

Remark 7.17. Note that now the operator K_0 does not act nicely on the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials; we had to replace it by the new operator K_0^β . This is due to the fact that in terms of generators T_0, T_1, X, W and parameters a, b, c , the algebra \mathcal{H}_{III} is obtained as a limiting case of \mathcal{H}_V for $c \rightarrow \infty$, while the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials are obtained by the continuous dual q -Haun polynomials in the limit $c \rightarrow 0$. It is straightforward to show that the operator K_0^β is the image of K_0 under the following transformation:

$$\beta(T_0, T_1, X) = \left(-\frac{q}{c} W T_0 - 1, T_1, X \right) \quad \beta(a, b, c) = \left(a, b, \frac{q}{c} \right).$$

This transformation is an isomorphism between the algebra \mathcal{H}_{III} in the representation (4.110, . . . , 4.114) and the algebra \mathcal{H}_{III}^β generated by T_0, T_1, X, W and relations:

$$(7.225) \quad T_0^2 + T_0 = 0,$$

$$(7.226) \quad q T_0 W = X(T_0 + 1).$$

Lemma 7.18. *The representation (7.222, 7.223) is faithful.*

7.5. Representation of $\mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_7}$ and continuous Big q -Hermite Polynomials.

Note that the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_7}$ can be obtained as limit of the algebra \mathcal{H}_{III} by taking the limit $b \rightarrow 0$ and $c \rightarrow 1$ (see notebook 12 in [25]). This leads to the following results:

Lemma 7.19. *The confluent Zhedanov algebra admits the following representation on the space \mathcal{L}_{sym} of symmetric Laurent polynomials:*

$$(7.227) \quad (K_1 f)[x] := \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) f[x],$$

$$(K_0 f)[x] := -\frac{x(1-ax)}{(1-x^2)(1-qx^2)} (f[qx] - f[x]) + f[x] -$$

$$(7.228) \quad x^2 \frac{(a-x)}{(1-x^2)(q-x^2)} (f[q^{-1}x] - f[x]).$$

Lemma 7.20. *The continuous big q -Hermite polynomials:*

$$H_n(x; a, b, c, d) := \frac{1}{a^n} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, ax, ax^{-1} \\ 0, 0 \end{matrix}; q, q \right),$$

are eigenfunctions of the following operator

$$K_0^\beta := \frac{q}{q^2-1} \left(K_0 K_1 - q K_1 K_0 - \frac{a(q-1)}{q} \right),$$

with eigenvalues

$$\frac{1}{q^n} - 1 + \frac{1+a}{q+1}.$$

Lemma 7.21. *The representation (7.227, 7.228) is faithful.*

7.6. Representation of $\mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_8}$ and continuous q -Hermite Polynomials. Note that the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_8}$ can be obtained as limit of the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{III}^{D_7}$ by taking the limit $a \rightarrow 0$. This leads to the following results (see notebook 13 in [25]):

Lemma 7.22. *The confluent Zhedanov algebra $\mathcal{Z}_{III}^{D_8}$ admits the following representation on the space \mathcal{L}_{sym} of symmetric Laurent polynomials:*

$$(7.229) \quad (K_1 f)[x] := \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) f[x],$$

$$(K_0 f)[x] := -\frac{qx(1+x^2)}{(q-x^2)(qx^2-1)} f[x] - \frac{z^3}{(x^2-1)(x^2-q)} f\left[\frac{x}{q}\right] -$$

$$(7.230) \quad -\frac{x}{(x^2-1)(qx^2-1)} f[qx]$$

Lemma 7.23. *The continuous q -Hermite polynomials:*

$$H_n(x; a, b, c, d) := x^n {}_2\phi_0 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, 0 \\ - \end{matrix}; q, \frac{q^n}{x^2} \right),$$

are eigenfunctions of the following operator

$$K_0^\beta := \frac{q}{q^2-1} (K_0 K_1 - q K_1 K_0),$$

with eigenvalues

$$\frac{1}{q^n} - 1 + \frac{1}{q+1}.$$

Lemma 7.24. *The representation (7.229, 7.230) is faithful.*

7.7. Representation of \mathcal{Z}_{II} and little q -Laguerre/Wall polynomials. The following results can be proved by taking $c \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ and $x \rightarrow \frac{qx}{\varepsilon}$ and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the results proved for \mathcal{Z}_{IV} (see also notebook 14 in [25]).

Lemma 7.25. *The confluent Zhedanov algebra $\mathcal{Z}_{II} \setminus \langle Q = Q_0 \rangle$ admits the following representation on the space \mathcal{P} of polynomials:*

$$(7.231) \quad (K_1 f)[x] := x f[x],$$

$$(7.232) \quad (K_0 f)[x] := \frac{1+a}{x} f[x] + \frac{x-1}{x} f\left[\frac{x}{q}\right] - \frac{a}{x} f[qx],$$

with

$$B = \frac{(q-1)^2(1+a)}{q}, \quad D_1 = -\frac{(q-1)^2(1+q)}{q}a.$$

Lemma 7.26. *The little q -Laguerre polynomials:*

$$p_n(x; a, c, d) := {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, 0 \\ aq, \end{matrix}; q, qx \right),$$

are eigenfunctions of the K_0 operator:

$$K_0 p_n[x] = q^{-n} p_n[x].$$

Lemma 7.27. *The representation (7.231, 7.232) is faithful.*

7.8. Representation of \mathcal{Z}_I and a special case of the little q -Laguerre/Wall polynomials. The confluent Zhedanov algebra \mathcal{Z}_I can be obtained from \mathcal{Z}_{II} in the limit $a \rightarrow 0$. This leads to the following results:

Lemma 7.28. *The confluent Zhedanov algebra $\mathcal{Z}_I \setminus \langle Q = Q_0 \rangle$ admits the following representation on the space \mathcal{P} of polynomials:*

$$(7.233) \quad (K_1 f)[x] := x f[x],$$

$$(K_0 f)[x] := \frac{1}{x} f[x] + \frac{x-1}{x} f\left[\frac{x}{q}\right],$$

with

$$(7.234) \quad B = \frac{(q-1)^2}{q}.$$

Lemma 7.29. *The little q -Laguerre polynomials with $a = 0$:*

$$p_n(x; 0, c, d) := {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, 0 \\ 0, \end{matrix}; q, qx \right),$$

are eigenfunctions of the K_0 operator:

$$K_0 p_n[x] = q^{-n} p_n[x].$$

Lemma 7.30. *The representation (7.233, 7.234) is faithful.*

8. THE CHEREDNIK ALGEBRA OF TYPE \check{C}_1C_1 AS QUANTISATION OF THE MONODROMY GROUP OF THE SIXTH PAINLEVÉ EQUATION

We start by recalling without proof some very well known facts about the Painlevé sixth equation and its relation to the monodromy preserving deformations equations [19, 20].

The sixth Painlevé sixth equation [13, 38, 14],

$$(8.235) \quad \begin{aligned} y_{tt} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{y-1} + \frac{1}{y-t} \right) y_t^2 - \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t-1} + \frac{1}{y-t} \right) y_t + \\ &+ \frac{y(y-1)(y-t)}{t^2(t-1)^2} \left[\alpha + \beta \frac{t}{y^2} + \gamma \frac{t-1}{(y-1)^2} + \delta \frac{t(t-1)}{(y-t)^2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

describes the monodromy preserving deformations of a rank 2 meromorphic connection over \mathbb{P}^1 with four simple poles a_1, a_2, a_3 and ∞ (for example we may choose $a_1 = 0, a_2 = t, a_3 = 1$):

$$(8.236) \quad \frac{d\Phi}{d\lambda} = \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{A_k(t)}{\lambda - a_k} \Phi,$$

where⁴

$$(8.237) \quad \text{eigen}(A_i) = \pm \frac{\theta_i}{2}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \quad A_\infty := -A_1 - A_2 - A_3$$

$$(8.238) \quad A_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\theta_\infty}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\theta_\infty}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the parameters $\theta_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \infty$ are related to the PVI parameters by

$$\alpha = \frac{(\theta_\infty - 1)^2}{2}, \quad \beta = -\frac{\theta_1^2}{2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\theta_3^2}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{1 - \theta_2^2}{2}.$$

The precise dependence of the matrices A_1, A_2, A_3 on the PVI solution $y(t)$ and its first derivative $y_t(t)$ can be found in [20].

The solution $\Phi(\lambda)$ of the system (8.236) is a multi-valued analytic function in the punctured Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \infty\}$ and its multivaluedness is described by the so-called monodromy matrices, i.e. the images of the generators of the fundamental group under the anti-homomorphism

$$\rho : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \infty\}, \lambda_0) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

In this paper we fix the base point λ_0 at infinity and the generators of the fundamental group to be l_1, l_2, l_3 , where each $l_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, encircles only the pole a_i once and l_1, l_2, l_3 are oriented in such a way that

$$(8.239) \quad M_1 M_2 M_3 M_\infty = \mathbb{1},$$

where $M_\infty = \exp(2\pi i A_\infty)$.

⁴For simplicity sake, we are recalling here the main facts about the isomonodromic approach in the case when the parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ and θ_∞ are not integers. This is just a technical restriction, all the results proved in the paper are actually valid also when we lift such restriction.

8.1. Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and monodromy manifold. Let us denote by $\mathcal{F}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_\infty)$ the moduli space of rank 2 meromorphic connection over \mathbb{P}^1 with four simple poles a_1, a_2, a_3, ∞ of the form (8.236). Let $\mathcal{M}(G_1, G_2, G_3, G_\infty)$ denote the moduli of monodromy representations ρ up to Jordan equivalence, with the local monodromy data of G_i 's prescribed by

$$G_i := \text{Tr}(M_i) = 2 \cos(\pi\theta_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \infty.$$

Then the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence

$$\mathcal{F}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_\infty)/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_1, G_2, G_3, G_\infty)/GL_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

where Γ is the gauge group [3], is defined by associating to each Fuchsian system its monodromy representation class. The representation space $\mathcal{M}(G_1, G_2, G_3, G_\infty)/GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is realised as an affine cubic surface (see [18])

$$(8.240) \quad G_{12}^2 + G_{23}^2 + G_{31}^2 + G_{12}G_{23}G_{31} - \omega_3G_{12} - \omega_1G_{23} - \omega_2G_{31} + \omega_\infty = 0,$$

where G_{12}, G_{23}, G_{31} defined as:

$$G_{ij} = \text{Tr}(M_i M_j), \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

and

$$\omega_{ij} := G_i G_j + G_k G_\infty, \quad k \neq i, j, \quad \omega_\infty = G_0^2 + G_t^2 + G_1^2 + G_\infty^2 + G_0 G_t G_1 G_\infty - 4.$$

In [17], Iwasaki proved that the triple (G_{12}, G_{23}, G_{31}) satisfying the cubic relation (8.240) provides a set of coordinates on a large open subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{M}(G_1, G_2, G_3, G_\infty)$. In this paper, we restrict to such open set.

8.2. Teichmüller theory of the 4-holed Riemann sphere. The moduli space $\mathcal{F}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_\infty)$ of rank 2 meromorphic connections over \mathbb{P}^1 with four simple poles a_1, a_2, a_3, ∞ can be obtained as a quotient of the Teichmüller space of the 4-holed Riemann sphere by the mapping class group. This allows us to use the combinatorial description of the Teichmüller space of the 4-holed Riemann sphere in terms of fat-graphs to produce a good parameterisation of the monodromy manifold of PVI [6].

We recall that according to Fock [10] [11], the fat graph associated to a Riemann surface $\Sigma_{g,n}$ of genus g and with n holes is a connected three-valent graph drawn without self-intersections on $\Sigma_{g,n}$ with a prescribed cyclic ordering of labelled edges entering each vertex; it must be a maximal graph in the sense that its complement on the Riemann surface is a set of disjoint polygons (faces), each polygon containing exactly one hole (and becoming simply connected after gluing this hole). In the case of a Riemann sphere $\Sigma_{0,4}$ with 4 holes, the fat-graph is represented in Fig.1 (the fourth hole is the outside of the graph).

The geodesic length functions, which are traces of hyperbolic elements in the Fuchsian group $\Delta_{g,s}$ such that in Poincaré uniformisation:

$$\Sigma_{g,s} \sim \mathbb{H}/\Delta_{g,s},$$

are obtained by decomposing each hyperbolic matrix $\gamma \in \Delta_{g,s}$ into a product of the so-called *right, left and edge matrices*: [10] [11]

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{s_i} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\exp\left(\frac{s_i}{2}\right) \\ \exp\left(-\frac{s_i}{2}\right) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$




FIGURE 2. The fat graph of the 4 holed Riemann sphere. The dashed geodesic corresponds to G_{12} .

Let us consider the closed geodesics γ_{ij} encircling the i -th and j -th holes without self intersections (for example γ_{12} is drawn in Fig.1), then their geodesic length functions can be obtained as [6]:

$$(8.241) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{23} &= -\text{Tr}(RE_{s_2}RE_{p_2}RE_{s_2}RE_{s_3}RE_{p_3}RE_{s_3}R), \\ G_{31} &= -\text{Tr}(LE_{s_3}RE_{p_3}RE_{s_3}RE_{s_1}RE_{p_1}RX_{s_1}), \\ G_{12} &= -\text{Tr}(E_{s_1}RE_{p_1}RE_{s_1}RE_{s_2}RE_{p_2}RE_{s_2}L), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to:⁵

$$(8.242) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{23} &= -e^{s_2+s_3} - e^{-s_2-s_3} - e^{-s_2+s_3} - G_2e^{s_3} - G_3e^{-s_2} \\ G_{31} &= -e^{s_3+s_1} - e^{-s_3-s_1} - e^{-s_3+s_1} - G_3e^{s_1} - G_1e^{-s_3}, \\ G_{12} &= -e^{s_1+s_2} - e^{-s_1-s_2} - e^{-s_1+s_2} - G_1e^{s_2} - G_2e^{-s_1} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_i = e^{\frac{p_i}{2}} + e^{-\frac{p_i}{2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

and

$$G_\infty = e^{s_1+s_2+s_3} + e^{-s_1-s_2-s_3}.$$

Since each conjugacy class in the fundamental group $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \infty\}$ can be represented by a closed geodesic, we can make the following identification:

$$G_{ij} := \text{Tr}(M_i M_j),$$

and indeed it is a straightforward computation to show that G_{12}, G_{23}, G_{31} defined as in (8.242) indeed lie on the cubic (8.240).

From this identification and form (8.242) we can deduce the following parameterisation of the monodromy matrices:

$$(8.243) \quad \begin{aligned} M_1 &= E_{s_1}RE_{p_1}RX_{s_1}, \\ M_2 &= -RE_{s_2}RE_{p_2}RE_{s_2}L, \\ M_3 &= -LE_{s_3}RE_{p_3}RE_{s_3}R. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in this parameterisation

$$\text{Tr}(M_i) = G_i = e^{\frac{p_i}{2}} + e^{-\frac{p_i}{2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

⁵Note that for simplicity we have actually shifted the shear coordinates $s_i \rightarrow s_i + \frac{p_i}{2}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$

ans $M_\infty = (M_1 M_2 M_3)^{-1}$ is not diagonal but has eigenvalues $e^{\pm(s_1+s_2+s_3)}$.

8.3. Quantisation. It is a well known fact that given any polynomial $\phi \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ the following formulae define a Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{C}[u, v, w]$:

$$(8.244) \quad \{x_1, x_2\} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_3}, \quad \{x_2, x_3\} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1}, \quad \{x_3, x_1\} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_2},$$

and ϕ itself is a central element for this bracket, so that the quotient space

$$\mathbb{C}[u, v, w]/\langle \phi=0 \rangle$$

inherits the Poisson algebra structure. This fact implies that the manifold of the monodromy monodromy of the sixth Painlevé equation (8.240) admits a natural Poisson bracket defined as in (8.244) in which we put

$$x_1 := G_{23}, \quad x_2 = G_{31}, \quad x_3 := G_{12},$$

and

$$\phi = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_1 x_2 x_3 - \omega_1 x_1 - \omega_2 x_2 - \omega_3 x_3 + \omega_\infty.$$

This Poisson algebra is induced by the Poisson algebras of geodesic length functions constructed in [5] by postulating the Poisson relations on the level of the shear coordinates s_α of the Teichmüller space. In our case these are:

$$\{s_1, s_2\} = \{s_2, s_3\} = \{s_3, s_1\} = 1,$$

while the perimeters p_1, p_2, p_3 are assumed to be Casimirs. It is worth reminding that the exponentials of the shear coordinates satisfy the log-canonical Poisson bracket.

The quantum Painlevé cubic can be obtained by introducing the Hermitian operators S_1, S_2, S_3 subject to the commutation inherited from the Poisson bracket of s_i :

$$[S_i, S_{i+1}] = i\pi\hbar\{s_i, s_{i+1}\} = i\pi\hbar, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad i + 3 \equiv i,$$

while the central elements, i.e. perimeters p_1, p_2, p_3 and $S_1 + S_2 + S_3$ remain non-deformed, so that the constants $\omega_i^{(d)}$ remain non-deformed [6].

The Hermitian operators $x_1^\hbar, x_2^\hbar, x_3^\hbar$ corresponding to x_1, x_2, x_3 are introduced as follows: consider the classical expressions for x_1, x_2, x_3 in terms of s_1, s_2, s_3 and p_1, p_2, p_3 . Write each product of exponential terms as the exponential of the sum of the exponents and replace those exponents by their quantum version, for example the classical x_1 is

$$x_1 = -e^{s_2+s_3} - e^{-\bar{s}_2-\bar{s}_3} - e^{-s_2+s_3} - G_2 e^{s_3} - G_3 e^{-s_2},$$

and its quantum version is defined as

$$x_1^\hbar = -e^{S_2+S_3} - e^{-\bar{S}_2-\bar{S}_3} - e^{-S_2+S_3} - G_2 e^{S_3} - G_3 e^{-S_2}.$$

Then $x_1^\hbar, x_2^\hbar, x_3^\hbar$ satisfy the following quantum algebra [6]:

$$(8.245) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} x_i^\hbar x_{i+1}^\hbar - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_{i+1}^\hbar x_i^\hbar = \left(\frac{1}{q} - q \right) x_k^\hbar + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}) \omega_k^{(d)}$$

and satisfy the following quantum cubic relations:

$$(8.246) \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} x_3^\hbar x_1^\hbar x_2^\hbar + q(x_3^\hbar)^2 + q^{-1}(x_1^\hbar)^2 + q(x_2^\hbar)^2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega_3 x_3^\hbar - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_1 x_1^\hbar - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_2 x_2^\hbar + \omega_\infty = 0.$$

Note that the relations (8.245) are very similar to the defining relations (2.90) of the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}e$, with actually one basic difference: here $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$

and ω_∞ are scalars, while in the spherical sub-algebra $e\mathcal{H}e$ they are commuting operators. This observation triggered the present paper which started as a journey to understand this similarity on a deep level. The main step in this process was the realisation that applying the same procedure of quantisation as above to the monodromy matrices one lands on the Cherednik algebra of type \check{C}_1C_1 :

Theorem 8.1. *Consider the parameterisation (8.243) of the monodromy matrices of the Fuchsian system (8.236):*

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{s_1} \\ e^{-s_1} & -e^{\frac{p_1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{p_1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} -e^{\frac{p_2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{p_2}{2}} - e^{s_2} & -e^{\frac{p_2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{p_2}{2}} - e^{s_2} - e^{-s_2} \\ e^{s_2} & e^{s_2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} -e^{\frac{p_3}{2}} - e^{-\frac{p_3}{2}} - e^{-s_3} & -e^{-s_3} \\ e^{\frac{p_3}{2}} + e^{-\frac{p_3}{2}} + e^{-s_3} + e^{-s_3} & e^{-s_3} \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_\infty &= \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-s_1-s_2-s_3} & 0 \\ s_\infty & -e^{s_1+s_2+s_3} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_\infty &= \left(e^{\frac{p_3}{2}} + e^{-\frac{p_3}{2}}\right) e^{-s_1-s_2} + \left(e^{\frac{p_2}{2}} + e^{-\frac{p_2}{2}}\right) e^{-s_1+s_3} + \left(e^{\frac{p_1}{2}} + e^{-\frac{p_1}{2}}\right) e^{s_2+s_3} + \\ &+ e^{-s_1-s_2-s_3} + e^{-s_1-s_2+s_3} + e^{-s_1+s_2+s_3}, \end{aligned}$$

introduce their quantum version by replacing each s_i by its quantum analogue S_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1^{\hbar} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{S_1} \\ e^{-S_1} & -e^{\frac{p_1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{p_1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_2^{\hbar} &= \begin{pmatrix} -e^{\frac{p_2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{p_2}{2}} - e^{S_2} & -e^{\frac{p_2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{p_2}{2}} - e^{S_2} - e^{-S_2} \\ e^{S_2} & e^{S_2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_3^{\hbar} &= \begin{pmatrix} -e^{\frac{p_3}{2}} - e^{-\frac{p_3}{2}} - e^{-S_3} & -e^{-S_3} \\ e^{\frac{p_3}{2}} + e^{-\frac{p_3}{2}} + e^{-S_3} + e^{-S_3} & e^{-S_3} \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_\infty^{\hbar} &= \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-S_1-S_2-S_3} & 0 \\ s_\infty^{\hbar} & -e^{S_1+S_2+S_3} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_\infty^{\hbar} &= \left(e^{\frac{p_3}{2}} + e^{-\frac{p_3}{2}}\right) e^{-S_1-S_2} + \left(e^{\frac{p_2}{2}} + e^{-\frac{p_2}{2}}\right) e^{-S_1+S_3} + \left(e^{\frac{p_1}{2}} + e^{-\frac{p_1}{2}}\right) e^{S_2+S_3} + \\ &+ e^{-S_1-S_2-S_3} + e^{-S_1-S_2+S_3} + e^{-S_1+S_2+S_3}, \end{aligned}$$

then the matrices $M_1^{\hbar}, M_2^{\hbar}, M_3^{\hbar}, M_\infty^{\hbar}$ are elements of $SL(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ and satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (M_1^{\hbar} + e^{\frac{p_1}{2}} \mathbb{E})(M_1^{\hbar} + e^{-\frac{p_1}{2}} \mathbb{E}) &= 0, \\ (M_2^{\hbar} + e^{\frac{p_2}{2}} \mathbb{E})(M_2^{\hbar} + e^{-\frac{p_2}{2}} \mathbb{E}) &= 0, \\ (M_3^{\hbar} + e^{\frac{p_3}{2}} \mathbb{E})(M_3^{\hbar} + e^{-\frac{p_3}{2}} \mathbb{E}) &= 0, \\ (M_\infty^{\hbar} + e^{s_1+s_2+s_3} \mathbb{E})(M_\infty^{\hbar} + e^{s_1-s_2-s_3} \mathbb{E}) &= 0, \\ (8.247) \quad M_\infty^{\hbar} M_1^{\hbar} M_2^{\hbar} M_3^{\hbar} &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbb{E} is the 2×2 identity matrix.

This theorem shows that we can interpret the Cherednik algebra as quantisation of the monodromy group of the sixth Painlevé equation, in fact the matrices defined by (1.6), (1.7), (1.8), (1.9) are simply obtained as iM_3 , iM_2 , iM_1 and iM_∞ respectively so that Theorem 1.1 can be stated as follows:

Theorem 8.2. *The map:*

$$(8.248) \quad V_0 \rightarrow iM_3^{\hbar}, \quad V_1 \rightarrow iM_2^{\hbar}, \quad \check{V}_1 \rightarrow iM_1^{\hbar}, \quad \check{V}_0 \rightarrow iM_\infty^{\hbar},$$

where $M_1^{\hbar}, M_2^{\hbar}, M_3^{\hbar}, M_\infty^{\hbar}$ are defined as in (8.247), gives an embedding of \mathcal{H} into $\text{Mat}(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$. In other words, the matrices iM_3 , iM_2 , iM_1 and iM_∞ in $GL(2, \mathbb{T}_q)$ satisfy the relations (1.1, 1.2, 1.3) and (1.4), in which the quantum ordering is dictated by the matrix product ordering and

$$u_1 = -ie^{-\frac{p_1}{2}}, \quad k_0 = -ie^{-\frac{p_3}{2}}, \quad k_1 = -ie^{-\frac{p_2}{2}}, \quad u_0 = -ie^{-S_1 - S_2 - S_3}.$$

Acknowledgements. The author is specially grateful to M. Balagovic, Yu. Berest, O. Chalyck, F. Eshmatov, T. Koornwinder, C. Korff, M. Noumi, V. Rubtsov, J. Stokman and P. Terwilliger for interesting discussions on this subject. This research was supported by the EPSRC and by the Hausdorff Institute.

REFERENCES

- [1] Askey R., Wilson J. A., Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalise Jacobi polynomials, *Memoirs of the AMS*, **319** (1985).
- [2] Bleher P. and Its A., Semiclassical asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials, Riemann-Hilbert problem, and universality in the matrix model, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **150** (1999), no. 1:185–266.
- [3] Bolibruch A.A., The 21-st Hilbert problem for linear Fuchsian systems, *Developments in mathematics: the Moscow school* Chapman and Hall, London, (1993).
- [4] Borodin A. and Deift P., Fredholm determinants, Jimbo–Miwa–Ueno τ -functions, and representation theory, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **55** (2002) 1160–1230.
- [5] Chekhov L., Teichmüller theory of bordered surfaces, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, **3** (2007) Paper 066, 37 pp. (electronic).
- [6] Chekhov L., Mazzocco M., Shear coordinate description of the quantised versal unfolding of a D_4 singularity, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43**, (2010) 442002, 13 pages.
- [7] Cherednik I., Double affine Hecke algebras, Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and Macdonald’s operators, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (1992), no.9:171–180.
- [8] Clarkson P. A., Special polynomials associated with rational solutions of the Painlevé equations and applications to soliton equations, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **6** (2006), no. 2:329–401.
- [9] Eshmatov A. and Eshmatov F., Notes on isomorphism between skein algebra and DAHA, *private communication*, (2012).
- [10] Fock V.V., Combinatorial description of the moduli space of projective structures, <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9312193>.
- [11] Fock V.V., Dual Teichmüller spaces, <http://arxiv.org/abs/dg-ga/9702018>.
- [12] Forrester, P. J.; Witte, N. S., Application of the τ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: PIV, PII and the GUE, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **219** (2001), no. 2:357–398.
- [13] Fuchs R., Ueber lineare homogene Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung mit drei im Endlichen gelegenen wesentlich singulären, *Stellen. Math. Ann.*, **63** (1907) 301–321.
- [14] Garnier R., Solution du probleme de Riemann pour les systemes différentielles linéaires du second ordre, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, **43** (1926) 239–352.
- [15] Gasper G., Raman M., Basic hypergeometric series, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, **35**, Cambridge University Press (1990).
- [16] Ito T. and Terwilliger P., Double affine Hecke algebras of rank 1 and the \mathbb{Z}_3 -symmetric Askey–Wilson relations, *SIGMA* **6** (2010) 065, 9 pages.
- [17] Iwasaki K., An Area-Preserving Action of the Modular Group on Cubic Surfaces and the Painlevé VI Equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **242** (2003) 185–219.

- [18] Jimbo M., Monodromy Problem and the Boundary Condition for Some Painlevé Equations, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **18** (1982) 1137–1161.
- [19] Jimbo M., Miwa T. and Ueno K., Monodromy preserving deformations of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients I, *Physica 2D*, **2**, (1981), no. 2, 306–352
- [20] Jimbo M. and Miwa T., Monodromy preserving deformations of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients II, *Physica 2D*, **2** (1981), no. 3, 407–448.
- [21] Koekoek R., Lesky P., Swarttouw R. F., Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials and Their q -Analogues, *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2010).
- [22] Koornwinder T. H., The relationship between Zhedanov’s algebra $AW(3)$ and the double affine Hecke algebra in the rank one case, *SIGMA* **3** (2007), 063, 15 pp.
- [23] Koornwinder T. H., Zhedanov’s algebra $AW(3)$ and the double affine Hecke algebra in the rank one case. II. The spherical subalgebra, *SIGMA* **4** (2008), 052, 17 pp.
- [24] Macdonald I. G., Affine Hecke algebra and orthogonal polynomials, *Cambridge University Press*, (2003)
- [25] Mazzocco M., Mathematica notebooks, on <http://homepages.lboro.ac.uk/~mamm4/notebooks.html>.
- [26] Mazzocco M., Rubtsov V., Confluence on the Painlevé Monodromy Manifolds, their Poisson Structure and Quantisation, *arXiv:1212.6723*, (2012)
- [27] Hurst D., Lamm D., Merino O., Obar R., Pfister H., Walker M., Wavrik J., Yu L., Camino J., Griffin J., Ovall J., Shaheen T., Shoppole J., NCAAlgebra, a non-commutative algebra package running under Mathematica, <http://www.math.ucsd.edu/~ncalg/>.
- [28] M. Noumi, S. Okada, K. Okamoto and H. Umemura, Special polynomials associated with the Painlevé equations. II, in: *Integrable Systems and Algebraic Geometry*, M.-H. Saito, Y. Shimizu and R. Ueno (eds.), *World Scientific*, Singapore (1998) 349–372.
- [29] Noumi M., and Stokman J. V., Askey–Wilson polynomials: an affine Hecke algebraic approach, *Laredo Lectures on Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions*, *Adv. Theory Spec. Funct. Orthogonal Polynomials*, *Nova Sci. Publ.*, Hauppauge, NY (2004) 111–144.
- [30] Noumi M., Yamada Y., Symmetries in the fourth Painlevé equation and Okamoto polynomials, *Nagoya Math. J.* **153** (1999), 53–86.
- [31] Oblomkov A., Double affine Hecke algebras of rank 1 and affine cubic surfaces, *IMRN* **2004**, no.18:877–912.
- [32] Okamoto K., On the τ -function of the Painlevé equations, *Physica D2* (1981), 525–535.
- [33] Okamoto K., Studies on the Painlevé equations III. Second and fourth Painlevé equations, PII and PIV, *Math. Ann.* **275** (1986), 221–255.
- [34] Okamoto K., Studies on the Painlevé equations IV. Third Painlevé equation PIII, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **30** (1987), 305–332.
- [35] Sahi S. Nonsymmetric Koornwinder polynomials and duality, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **150**, (1999) no1:267–282.
- [36] Saito M., van der Put M., Moduli spaces for linear differential equations and the Painlevé equations, *arXiv:0902.1/02v5* (2009).
- [37] Sakai H., Rational Surfaces Associated with Affine Root Systems and Geometry of the Painlevé Equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **220** (2001) 165–229.
- [38] Schlesinger L., Ueber eine Klasse von Differential System Beliebiger Ordnung mit Festen Kritischer Punkten, *J. fur Math.*, **141**, (1912), 96–145.
- [39] Stokman J. V., Difference Fourier transforms for nonreduced root systems, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **9** (2003) no3:409–494.
- [40] Terwilliger, P. The universal Askey-Wilson algebra, *SIGMA* **7** (2011), 069, 24 pages, arXiv:1104.2813.
- [41] Terwilliger, P. The Universal Askey-Wilson Algebra and DAHA of Type (C_1^N, C_1) , *SIGMA* **9** (2013), 047, 40 pages. arXiv:1202.4673
- [42] Tracy, C.A. and Widom, H., Fredholm Determinants, Differential Equations and Matrix Models, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **163** (1994) 33–72.
- [43] Umemura H., Painlevé equations in the past 100 Years, *A.M.S. Translations* **204** (2001), 81–110.
- [44] van der Put M., Families of Linear Differential Equations and the Painlevé equations, *Séminaires et Congrès*, **27** (2013) 203–220.
- [45] Vorobev A. P., On rational solutions of the second Painlevé equation, *Diff. Eqns.* **1** (1965), 58–59.

- [46] Yablonskii A. I., On rational solutions of the second Painlevé equation, *Vesti Akad. Navuk. BSSR Ser. Fiz. Tkh. Nauk.* **3** (1959), 30–35.
- [47] Yamada Y., Special polynomials and generalized Painlevé equations, in: *Combinatorial Methods in Representation Theory*, K. Koike, M. Kashiwara, S. Okada, I. Terada and H. F. Yamada (eds.), *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **28**, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, Japan, (2000) 391–400.
- [48] Zhedanov A. S., "Hidden symmetry" of Askey–Wilson polynomials, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.*, **89** (1991) 1146–1157.