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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish rigidity and vanishing theorems for Dirac operators twisted by $E_{8}$ bundles.


## Introduction

Let $X$ be a closed smooth connected manifold which admits a nontrivial $S^{1}$ action. Let $P$ be an elliptic differential operator on $X$ commuting with the $S^{1}$ action. Then the kernel and cokernel of $P$ are finite dimensional representation of $S^{1}$. The equivariant index of $P$ is the virtual character of $S^{1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}(g, P)=\left.\operatorname{tr}\right|_{g} \operatorname{ker} P-\left.\operatorname{tr}\right|_{g} \text { coker } P, \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g \in S^{1}$. We call that $P$ is rigid with respect to this circle action if $\operatorname{Ind}(g, P)$ is independent of $g$.

It is well known that classical operators: the signature operator for oriented manifolds, the Dolbeault operator for almost complex manifolds and the Dirac operator for spin manifolds are rigid [2]. In 30], Witten considered the indices of Dirac-like operators on the free loop space $L X$. The Landweber-Stong-Ochanine elliptic genus ([20], [28]) is just the index of one of these operators. Witten conjectured that these elliptic operators should be rigid. See [19] for a brief early history of the subject. Witten's conjecture were first proved by Taubes [29] and Bott-Taubes [4]. Hirzebruch [13] and Krichever [18 proved Witten's conjecture for almost complex manifold case. Various aspects of mathematics are involved in these proofs. Taubes used analysis of Fredholm operators, Krichever used cobordism, Bott-Taubes and Hirzebruch used Lefschetz fixed point formula. In [22, 23], using modularity, Liu gives simple and unified proof as well as various generalizations of the Witten conjecture. Several new vanishing theorems are also found in [22, 23]. Liu-Ma [24, 25] and Liu-Ma-Zhang [26, 27] established family versions of rigidity and vanishing theorems.

In this paper, we study rigidity and vanishing properties for Dirac operators twisted by $E_{8}$ bundles. Let $X$ be an even dimensional closed spin manifold and $D$ the Dirac operator on $X$. Let $P$ be an (compact-) $E_{8}$ principal bundle over $X$. Let $W$ be the vector bundle over $X$ associated to the complex adjoint representation $\rho$ of $E_{8}$. The twisted Dirac operator $D^{W}$ plays a prominent role in string theory and $M$ theory. In 31, the index of such twisted operator is discovered as part of the phase of the $M$-theory
action. In [8], the partition function in $M$-theory, involving the index theory of an $E_{8}$ bundle, is compared with the partition function in type IIA string theory described by $K$-theory to test $M$-theory/Type IIA duality. In this paper, we are interested in the equivariant index of the operator $D^{W}$ and establish rigidity and vanishing theorems for this operator.

More precisely, let $X$ be a $2 k$ dimensional closed spin manifold, which admits a nontrivial $S^{1}$ action. Let $P$ be an (compact-) $E_{8}$ principal bundle over $X$ such that the $S^{1}$ action on $X$ can be lifted to $P$ as a left action which commutes with the free action of $E_{8}$ on $P$. Let $W$ be the complex vector bundle associated to the complex adjoint representation of $E_{8}$ mentioned above. Then the $S^{1}$ action on $P$ naturally induces an action on $W$ by $g \cdot[s, v]=[g \cdot s, v]$, where $[s, v]$ with $s \in P, v \in \mathbf{C}^{248}$, is the equivalent classes defining the elements in $W$ by the equivalent relations $(s, v) \sim\left(s \cdot h, \rho\left(h^{-1}\right)\right.$. $v$ ) for $h \in E_{8}$. Let $X^{S^{1}}$ be the fixed point manifold and $\pi$ be the projection from $X^{S^{1}}$ to a point $p$. Let $u$ be a fixed generator of $H^{2}\left(B S^{1}, \mathbf{Z}\right)$. We have the following theorem:

Theorem 0.1. Assume the action only has isolated fixed points and the restriction of the equivariant characteristic class $\frac{1}{30} c_{2}(W)_{S^{1}}-p_{1}(T X)_{S^{1}}$ to $X^{S^{1}}$ is equal to $n \cdot \pi^{*} u^{2}$ for some integer $n$.
(i) If $n<0$, then $\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D^{W}\right)$ is independent of $g$ and equal to $-\operatorname{Ind}\left(D^{T_{\mathbf{C}} X}\right)$, minus the index of the Rarita-Schwinger operator. In particular, one has $\operatorname{Ind} D^{W}=-\operatorname{Ind} D^{T_{\mathbf{C}} X}$ and when $k$ is odd, i.e. $\operatorname{dim} X \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, one has $\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D^{W}\right) \equiv 0$.
(ii) If $n=0$, then $\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D^{W}\right)$ is independent of $g$. Moreover, when $k$ is odd, one has $\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D^{W}\right) \equiv 0$.
(iii) If $n=2$ and $k$ is odd, then $\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D^{W}\right) \equiv 0$.

Actually we have established rigidity and vanishing results in more general settings concerning the twisted spin ${ }^{c}$ Dirac operators. See Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 for details. The above theorem is a corollary of Theorem 2.1. We prove our theorems by studying the modularity of Lefschetz numbers of certain elliptic operators involving the basic representation of the affine Kac-Moody algebra of $E_{8}$. In the rest of the paper, we will first briefly review the Jacobi theta functions and the basic representation for the affine $E_{8}$ by following [16] (see also [17]) as the preliminary knowledge in Section 1 and then state our theorems as well as give their proofs in Section 2.

## 1. Preliminaries

1.1. Jacobi theta functions. The four Jacobi theta-functions are defined as follows (cf. [5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(z, \tau)=2 q^{1 / 8} \sin (\pi z) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(1-q^{j}\right)\left(1-e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} z} q^{j}\right)\left(1-e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} z} q^{j}\right)\right] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta_{1}(z, \tau)=2 q^{1 / 8} \cos (\pi z) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(1-q^{j}\right)\left(1+e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} z} q^{j}\right)\left(1+e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} z} q^{j}\right)\right]  \tag{1.2}\\
\theta_{2}(z, \tau)=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(1-q^{j}\right)\left(1-e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} z} q^{j-1 / 2}\right)\left(1-e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} z} q^{j-1 / 2}\right)\right]  \tag{1.3}\\
\theta_{3}(z, \tau)=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(1-q^{j}\right)\left(1+e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} z} q^{j-1 / 2}\right)\left(1+e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} z} q^{j-1 / 2}\right)\right] \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $q=e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \tau}, \tau \in \mathbf{H}$, the upper half plane.
They are all holomorphic functions for $(z, \tau) \in \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$, where $\mathbf{C}$ is the complex plane.

Let $\theta^{\prime}(0, \tau)=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \theta(z, \tau)\right|_{z=0}$. One has the following Jacobi identity (c.f. (5]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}(0, \tau)=\pi \theta_{1}(0, \tau) \theta_{2}(0, \tau) \theta_{3}(0, \tau) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
S L(2, \mathbf{Z}):=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{3} & a_{4}
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4} \in \mathbf{Z}, a_{1} a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}=1\right\}
$$

be the modular group. Let $S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ be the two generators of $S L(2, \mathbf{Z})$. Their actions on $\mathbf{H}$ are given by

$$
S: \tau \mapsto-\frac{1}{\tau}, \quad T: \tau \mapsto \tau+1 .
$$

The actions on theta-functions by $S$ and $T$ are given by the following transformation formulas (cf. [5]),
$\theta(z, \tau+1)=e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{4}} \theta(z, \tau), \quad \theta(z,-1 / \tau)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} \tau z^{2}} \theta(\tau z, \tau) ;$
$\theta_{1}(z, \tau+1)=e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{4}} \theta_{1}(z, \tau), \quad \theta_{1}(z,-1 / \tau)=\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} \tau z^{2}} \theta_{2}(\tau z, \tau) ;$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{2}(z, \tau+1)=\theta_{3}(z, \tau), \quad \theta_{2}(z,-1 / \tau)=\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} \tau z^{2}} \theta_{1}(\tau z, \tau) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{3}(z, \tau+1)=\theta_{2}(z, \tau), \quad \theta_{3}(z,-1 / \tau)=\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} \tau z^{2}} \theta_{3}(\tau z, \tau) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

One also has the following formulas about how the theta functions vary along the lattice $\Gamma=\{a+b \tau \mid a, b \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ (cf. [5]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(z+a, \tau)=(-1)^{a} \theta(z, \tau), \theta(z+b \tau, \tau)=(-1)^{b} e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} b z-\pi \sqrt{-1} b^{2} \tau} \theta(z, \tau) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}(z+a, \tau)=(-1)^{a} \theta_{1}(z, \tau), \theta_{1}(z+b \tau, \tau)=e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} b z-\pi \sqrt{-1} b^{2} \tau} \theta_{1}(z, \tau) ; \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{2}(z+a, \tau)=\theta_{2}(z, \tau), \theta_{2}(z+b \tau, \tau)=(-1)^{b} e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} b z-\pi \sqrt{-1} b^{2} \tau} \theta_{2}(z, \tau) ; \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{3}(z+a, \tau)=\theta_{3}(z, \tau), \theta_{3}(z+b \tau, \tau)=e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} b z-\pi \sqrt{-1} b^{2} \tau} \theta_{3}(z, \tau) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.2. The basic representation for the affine $E_{8}$. In this subsection we briefly review the basic representation for the affine $E_{8}$ following [16] (see also [17]).

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the (complex) Lie algebra of $E_{8}$. Let $\langle$,$\rangle be the Killing form on$ $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the affine Lie algebra corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$
\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathbf{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbf{C} c,
$$

with bracket

$$
[P(t) \otimes x+\lambda c, Q(t) \otimes y+\mu c]=P(t) Q(t) \otimes[x, y]+\langle x, y\rangle \operatorname{Res}_{t=0}\left(\frac{d P(t)}{d t} Q(t)\right) c .
$$

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the affine Kac-Moody algebra obtained from $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by adding a derivation $t \frac{d}{d t}$ which operates on $\mathbf{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ in an obvious way and sends $c$ to 0 .

The basic representation $V\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)$ is the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module defined by the property that there is a nonzero vector $v_{0}$ (highest weight vector) in $V\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)$ such that $c v_{0}=v_{0},\left(\mathbf{C}[t] \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbf{C} t \frac{d}{d t}\right) v_{0}=0$. Setting $V_{i}:=\left\{v \in V\left(\Lambda_{0}\right) \left\lvert\, t \frac{d}{d t} v=-i v\right.\right\}$ gives a $\mathbf{Z}_{+}$-gradation by finite dimensional subspaces. Since $\left[\mathfrak{g}, t \frac{d}{d t}\right]=0$, each $V_{i}$ is a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, $V_{1}$ is the adjoint representation of $E_{8}$.

Fix a basis $\left\{Z_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{8}$ for the Cartan subalgebra. The character of the basic representation is given by
$\operatorname{ch}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{8}, \tau\right):=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{ch} V_{i}\right)\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{8}\right) q^{i}=\varphi(\tau)^{-8} \Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{8}, \tau\right)$,
where $\varphi(\tau)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)$ so that $\eta(\tau)=q^{1 / 24} \varphi(\tau)$ is the Dedekind $\eta$ function; $\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{8}, \tau\right)$ is the theta function defined on the root lattice $Q$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{8}, \tau\right)=\sum_{\gamma \in Q} q^{|\gamma|^{2} / 2} e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \gamma\left(\sum_{l=1}^{8} z_{l} Z_{l}\right)} . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is proved in [10] (cf. [11) that there is a basis for the $E_{8}$ root lattice such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(z_{1}, \cdots . z_{8}, \tau\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta\left(z_{l}, \tau\right)+\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta_{1}\left(z_{l}, \tau\right)+\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta_{2}\left(z_{l}, \tau\right)+\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta_{3}\left(z_{l}, \tau\right)\right) . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. $E_{8}$ Bundles and Rigidity

In this section we prove two rigidity and vanishing theorems for $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ manifolds with $E_{8}$ principal bundles. Theorem 0.1 is deduced from the first one (Theorem 2.1).

Let $X$ be a $2 k$ dimensional closed $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ manifold, which admits a nontrivial $S^{1}$ action that preserves the $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ structure. Let $L$ be the complex line bundle associated with the $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ structure of $X$. It's the associated line bundle of the $U(1)$-bundle $Q / \operatorname{spin}(2 k) \rightarrow Q / \operatorname{spin}^{c}(2 k) \cong X$, where $Q$ is the $\operatorname{spin}^{c}(2 k)$ principal bundle over $X$ determined by the $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ structure. We denote the first equivariant Chern class of $L$ by $c_{1}(X)_{S^{1}}$. Let $P$ be an $E_{8}$ principal bundle over $X$ such that the $S^{1}$ action on $X$ can be lifted to $P$ as a left action which commutes with the free action of $E_{8}$ on $P$. Let $W$ be the vector bundle associated to the complex adjoint representation of $E_{8}$ mentioned above. Then the $S^{1}$ action on $P$ naturally induces an action on $W$ as described in the introduction.

Let $g^{T X}$ be a Riemannian metric on $X$. Let $\nabla^{T X}$ be the Levi-Civita connection associated to $g^{T X}$. Denote the complexification of $T X$ by $T_{\mathbf{C}} X$. Let $g^{T_{\mathbf{C}} X}$ and $\nabla^{T_{\mathbf{C}} X}$ be the induced Hermitian metric and Hermitian connection on $T_{\mathbf{C}} X$. Let $h^{L}$ be a Hermitian metric on $L$ and $\nabla^{L}$ be a Hermitian connection. Let $\bar{L}$ be the complex conjugate of $L$ with the induced Hermitian metric and connection. Assume that the $S^{1}$ action on $X$ preserves the metrics and connections involved. Let $S_{c}(T X)=S_{c,+}(T X) \oplus S_{c,-}(T X)$ denote the bundle of spinors associated to the spin ${ }^{c}$ structure, $\left(T X, g^{T X}\right)$ and $\left(L, h^{L}\right)$. Then $S_{c}(T X)$ carries induced Hermitian metric and connection preserving the above $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$-grading. Let $D_{c, \pm}: \Gamma\left(S_{c, \pm}(T X)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(S_{c, \mp}(T X)\right)$ denote the induced $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operators (cf. [21). If $V$ is an equivariant complex vector bundle over $X$ with equivariant Hermitian metric $h^{V}$ and Hermitian connection $\nabla^{V}$, let $D_{c, \pm}^{V}: \Gamma\left(S_{c, \pm}(T X) \otimes V\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(S_{c, \mp}(T X) \otimes V\right)$ denote the induced twisted $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operators.

Theorem 2.1. Assume the action only has isolated fixed points and the restriction of the equivariant characteristic class

$$
\frac{1}{30} c_{2}(W)_{S^{1}}+3 c_{1}(X)_{S^{1}}^{2}-p_{1}(T X)_{S^{1}}
$$

to $X^{S^{1}}$ is equal to $n \cdot \pi^{*} u^{2}$ for some integer $n$.
(i) If $n<0$, then

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes W}\right)+\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right)+(L+\bar{L})\right)}\right) \equiv 0 .
$$

In particular,

$$
\operatorname{Ind} D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes W}+\operatorname{Ind} D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right)+(L+\bar{L})\right)}=0
$$

(ii) If $n=0$, then

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes W}\right)+\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right)+(L+\bar{L})\right)}\right)
$$

is independent of $g$. Moreover, when $k$ is odd, one has

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes W}\right)+\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right)+(L+\bar{L})\right)}\right) \equiv 0
$$

(iii) If $n=2$ and $k$ is odd, then

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes W}\right)+\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right)+(L+\bar{L})\right)}\right) \equiv 0
$$

Proof. Let $g=e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} t} \in S^{1}$ be the generator of the action group. Let $X^{S^{1}}=\{p\}$ be the set of fixed points. Let $T X \mid p=E_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{k}$ be the decomposition of the tangent bundle into the $S^{1}$-invariant 2-planes. Assume that $g$ acts on $E_{j}$ by $e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \alpha_{j} t}, \alpha_{j} \in \mathbf{Z}$. Assume $g$ acts on $\left.L\right|_{p}$ by $e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} c t}, c \in$ Z. Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}\left(\left.T M\right|_{p}\right)_{S^{1}}=(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_{j}{ }^{2} t^{2}, c_{1}\left(\left.L\right|_{p}\right)_{S^{1}}=2 \pi \sqrt{-1} c t . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $L \oplus \bar{L}$ by $L_{\mathbf{C}}$. If $E$ is a complex vector bundle over $X$, set $\widetilde{E}=$ $E-\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{rk}(E)} \in K(X)$.

Let $\Theta(X, L, \tau)$ be the virtual complex vector bundle over $X$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(X, L, \tau): & \left(\begin{array}{c}
\underset{m=1}{\infty} S_{q^{m}}\left(\widetilde{T_{\mathbf{C}} X}\right)
\end{array}\right) \otimes\left(\begin{array}{c}
\infty \\
\otimes=1 \\
\otimes_{q^{u}} \\
\left(\widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& \otimes\left(\underset{v=1}{\infty} \Lambda_{-q^{v-1 / 2}}\left(\widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\underset{w=1}{\infty} \Lambda_{q^{w-1 / 2}}\left(\widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $W_{i}(i=0,1, \cdots)$ be the associated bundles $P \times_{\rho_{i}} V_{i}$, where $V_{i}$ 's are the representations of $E_{8}$ as in $\S 1.2$. Then $W=W_{1}$.

Consider the twisted operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes \Theta(X, L, \tau) \otimes\left(\varphi^{8}(\tau) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W_{i} q^{i}\right) .} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding $q$-series, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Theta(X, L, \tau) \otimes\left(\varphi^{8}(\tau) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W_{i} q^{i}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
= & \left(1+\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-2 k\right) q+O\left(q^{2}\right)\right) \otimes\left(1+\widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}} q+O\left(q^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \otimes\left(1-\widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}} q^{1 / 2}-2 \widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}} q+O\left(q^{3 / 2}\right)\right) \otimes\left(1+\widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}} q^{1 / 2}-2 \widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}} q+O\left(q^{3 / 2}\right)\right) \\
& \otimes\left(1-8 q+O\left(q^{2}\right)\right) \otimes\left(1+W q+O\left(q^{2}\right)\right) \\
= & 1+\left(W-8+T_{\mathbf{C}} X-2 k-3 \widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}}-\widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}} \otimes \widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}}\right) q+O\left(q^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It's not hard to see that $\widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}} \otimes \widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}}=L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}-4(L+\bar{L})+6$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes \Theta(M, L, \tau) \otimes\left(\varphi^{8}(\tau) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W_{i} q^{i}\right)}  \tag{2.4}\\
= & D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L})}+D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes\left(W+T_{\mathbf{C}} X-\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right)+(L+\bar{L})-8-2 k\right)} q+O\left(q^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By the Atiyah-Bott-Segal-Singer Letschetz fixed point formula, for the


$$
\begin{gather*}
I(t, \tau)=2 \sum_{p}\left\{\frac{1}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{k}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\theta^{\prime}(0, \tau)}{\theta\left(\alpha_{j} t, \tau\right)} \frac{\theta_{1}(c t, \tau)}{\theta_{1}(0, \tau)} \frac{\theta_{2}(c t, \tau)}{\theta_{2}(0, \tau)} \frac{\theta_{3}(c t, \tau)}{\theta_{3}(0, \tau)}\right.  \tag{2.5}\\
\left.\cdot \varphi^{8}(\tau) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{ch}\left(W_{i} \mid{ }_{p}\right)_{S^{1}} q^{i}\right)\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the fixed point $p$, fixing an element $s \in P \mid p$, one can define a map $f_{s}: S^{1} \rightarrow E_{8}$ by $g \cdot s=s \cdot f_{s}(g)$. It's not hard to check that $f_{s}$ is a group homomorphism. Moreover, for $h \in E_{8}$, we have

$$
g \cdot(s \cdot h)=(g \cdot s) \cdot h=s \cdot f_{s}(g) \cdot h=(s \cdot h) \cdot\left(h^{-1} f_{s}(g) h\right)
$$

As all the maximal tori in $E_{8}$ are conjugate, then one may choose $s \in P \mid p$ such that $f_{s}: S^{1} \rightarrow E_{8} \operatorname{maps} S^{1}$ into the maximal torus $\mathfrak{t}$ that corresponds to the Cartan subalgebra such that the theta function $\Theta_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{8}, \tau\right)$ appears as in (1.16). For any unitary representation $\rho: E_{8} \rightarrow U(N)$, let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a maximal torus of $U(N)$ that contains $\rho(\mathfrak{t})$. Let

$$
\widehat{\mathfrak{T}} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\rho}} \widehat{\mathfrak{t}} \xrightarrow{\widehat{f_{s}}} \widehat{S^{1}}
$$

be the induced maps on the character groups. Assume $\widehat{f}_{s}\left(z_{i}\right)=\beta_{i} t$. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ are basis for $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}$. By definition,

$$
(\operatorname{ch} \rho)\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{8}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\widehat{\rho}\left(x_{i}\right)}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\operatorname{ch} \rho)\left(\beta_{1} t, \beta_{2} t, \cdots, \beta_{8} t\right) \\
= & \widehat{f_{s}}\left((\operatorname{ch} \rho)\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{8}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\left(\widehat{f_{s}} \circ \widehat{\rho}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)} \\
= & \operatorname{ch}\left(\left.\left(P \times{ }_{\rho} \mathbf{C}^{N}\right)\right|_{p}\right)_{S^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So for each $i$, we have $\operatorname{ch}\left(W_{i} \mid p\right)_{S^{1}}=\left(\operatorname{ch} V_{i}\right)\left(\beta_{1} t, \beta_{2} t, \cdots, \beta_{8} t\right)$. Then by (1.14) and (1.16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi^{8}(\tau) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{ch}\left(\left.W_{i}\right|_{p}\right)_{S^{1}} q^{i}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta\left(\beta_{l} t, \tau\right)+\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta_{1}\left(\beta_{l} t, \tau\right)+\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta_{2}\left(\beta_{l} t, \tau\right)+\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta_{3}\left(\beta_{l} t, \tau\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing both sides of (2.6), we can see by direct computation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
30 \cdot(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{8} \beta_{l}^{2} t^{2}=c_{2}\left(\left.W\right|_{p}\right)_{S^{1}} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I(t, \tau)=\sum_{p}\{ & \frac{1}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{k}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\theta^{\prime}(0, \tau)}{\theta\left(\alpha_{j} t, \tau\right)} \frac{\theta_{1}(c t, \tau)}{\theta_{1}(0, \tau)} \frac{\theta_{2}(c t, \tau)}{\theta_{2}(0, \tau)} \frac{\theta_{3}(c t, \tau)}{\theta_{3}(0, \tau)}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \left.\cdot\left(\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta\left(\beta_{l} t, \tau\right)+\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta_{1}\left(\beta_{l} t, \tau\right)+\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta_{2}\left(\beta_{l} t, \tau\right)+\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta_{3}\left(\beta_{l} t, \tau\right)\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

From the transformation laws of theta functions (1.10)-(1.13), for $a, b \in$ $2 \mathbf{Z}$, it's not hard to see that

$$
I(t+a \tau+b, \tau)=e^{-\pi \sqrt{-1}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{8} \beta_{l}^{2}+3 c^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} m_{j}^{2}\right)\left(b^{2} \tau+2 b \tau\right)} I(t, \tau) .
$$

Since when restricted to fixed points, $\frac{1}{30} c_{2}(W)_{S^{1}}+3 c_{1}(L)_{S^{1}}^{2}-p_{1}(T X)_{S^{1}}$ is equal to $n \cdot \pi^{*} u^{2}$, then for each fixed point, from (2.1) and (2.7) we have

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{8} \beta_{l}^{2}+3 c^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_{j}^{2}=n
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t+a \tau+b, \tau)=e^{-\pi \sqrt{-1} n\left(b^{2} \tau+2 b \tau\right)} I(t, \tau) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It's easy to deduce from (1.6) that

$$
\theta^{\prime}(0, \tau+1)=e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{4}} \theta^{\prime}(0, \tau), \quad \theta^{\prime}(0,-1 / \tau)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tau \theta^{\prime}(0, \tau)
$$

Using the above two formulas and the transformation laws of theta functions (1.6)-(1.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t, \tau+1)=I(t, \tau) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(\frac{t}{\tau},-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)=\tau^{k+4} e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{8} \beta_{l}^{2}+3 c^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_{j}^{2}\right) t^{2}}{\tau}} I(t, \tau)=\tau^{k+4} e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1} n t^{2}}{\tau}} I(t, \tau) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.9)-(2.11) tell us that $I(t, \tau)$ obeys the transformation laws that a Jacobi form (see [9]) should satisfy.

Next we shall prove that $I(t, \tau)$ is holomorphic for $(t, \tau) \in \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$. First, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. $I(t, \tau)$ is holomorphic for $(t, \tau) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{H}$.

The proof of this lemma is almost verbatimly same as the proof of Lemma 1.3 in [22]. We shall prove that $I(t, \tau)$ is actually holomorphic on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$. The possible polar divisor of $I(t, \tau)$ can be written in the form $t=\frac{m(c \tau+d)}{l}$ for integers $m, l, c, d$ with $(c, d)=1$. Assume $\frac{m(c \tau+d)}{l}$ is a pole for $I(t, \tau)$. Find integers $a, b$ such that $a d-b c=1$. Consider the function $I\left(\frac{t}{-c \tau+a}, \frac{d \tau-b}{-c \tau+a}\right)$. By (2.10) and (2.11), it's easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(\frac{t}{-c \tau+a}, \frac{d \tau-b}{-c \tau+a}\right)=f(t, \tau) \cdot I(t, \tau) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(t, \tau)$ is an entire function of $t$ for every $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$. If $\tau^{\prime}=\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}$, then $\tau=\frac{d \tau^{\prime}-b}{-c \tau^{\prime}+a}$ and $\frac{m\left(c \frac{d \tau^{\prime}-b}{-c \tau^{\prime}+a}+d\right)}{l}$ is a pole for the function $I\left(t, \frac{d \tau^{\prime}-b}{-c \tau^{\prime}+a}\right)$. However by (2.12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I\left(\frac{m\left(c \frac{d \tau^{\prime}-b}{-c \tau^{\prime}+a}+d\right)}{l}, \frac{d \tau^{\prime}-b}{-c \tau^{\prime}+a}\right) \\
= & I\left(\frac{\frac{m}{l}}{-c \tau^{\prime}+a}, \frac{d \tau^{\prime}-b}{-c \tau^{\prime}+a}\right) \\
= & f\left(\frac{m}{l}, \tau^{\prime}\right) \cdot I\left(\frac{m}{l}, \tau^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\frac{m}{\tau}$ is real, by Lemma 2.1, we get a contradiction. Therefore $I(t, \tau)$ is holomorphic for $(t, \tau) \in \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$.

Combining the transformation formulas (2.9)-(2.11) and the holomorphicity of $I(t, \tau)$ on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$, we see that $I(t, \tau)$ is a weak Jacobi form of index $\frac{n}{2}$ and weight $k+4$ over $(2 \mathbf{Z})^{2} \rtimes S L(2, \mathbf{Z})$. Here by weak Jacobi form, we don't require the regularity condition at the cusp but only require that at the cusp $q$ appears with nonnegative powers only. We refer to 9 for the precise definition of the Jacobi forms.

If $n=0$, by (2.9), we see that $I(t, \tau)$ is holomorphic on the torus

$$
\mathbf{C} / 2 \mathbf{Z}+2 \mathbf{Z} \tau
$$

and therefore must be independent of $t$. So, by (2.4), we see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L})}\right) \\
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes\left(W+T_{\mathbf{C}} X-\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right)+(L+\bar{L})-8-2 k\right)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

are both independent of $g$. So

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes W}\right)+\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right)+(L+\bar{L})\right)}\right)
$$

must be independent of $g$. The index density of the operator

$$
D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes W}+D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right)+(L+\bar{L})\right)}
$$

involves the characteristic forms

$$
\widehat{A}(T M), e^{c_{1}(L) / 2}\left(1+e^{-c_{1}(L)}\right), \operatorname{ch}(W), \operatorname{ch}\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} M\right), \operatorname{ch}(L+\bar{L}), \operatorname{ch}\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right),
$$

which are all of degree $4 l$ (noting that $W$ is the complexification of the real adjoint representation of compact $E_{8}$ ). Therefore by the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, $\operatorname{Ind} D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes W}+\operatorname{Ind} D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right)+(L+\bar{L})\right)}$ (i.e. when $g=i d)$ must be 0 when the dimension of the manifold is not divisible by 4 . So when $k$ is odd,

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes W}\right)+\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right)+(L+\bar{L})\right)}\right) \equiv 0 .
$$

This finishes the proof of part (ii).
If $n \neq 0$, i.e in the case of nonzero anomaly, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.2 (Theorem 1.2 in [9]). Let I be a weak Jacobi form of index $m$ and weight $h$. Then for fixed $\tau$, if not identically $0, I$ has exactly $2 m$ zeros in any fundamental domain for the action of the lattice on $\mathbf{C}$.

Lemma 2.3 (Theorem 2.2 in [9]). Let I be a weak Jacobi form of index $m$ and weight $h$. If $m=1$ and $h$ is odd, then $I$ is identically 0 .

We would like to point that Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 are stated in [9] for Jacobi forms. However, as in the proofs of them no regularity condition at the cusp are used, we state them here for weak Jacobi forms. See 9 for details.

If $n<0$, then by Lemma $2.2, I(t, \tau) \equiv 0$, therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L})}\right) \equiv 0 \\
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1+\bar{L}) \otimes\left(W+T_{\mathbf{C}} X-\left(L^{2}+\bar{L}^{2}\right)+(L+\bar{L})-8-2 k\right)}\right) \equiv 0
\end{gathered}
$$

So part (i) follows.
If $n=2$, as the the the weight of $I(t, \tau)$ is $k+4$, so part (iii) similarly follows clearly from Lemma 2.3.

Theorem 0.1 can be easily deduced from Theorem 2.1 as follows.
Proof of Theorem 0.1: When $X$ is a spin manifold, $L$ is trivial and $D_{c,+}=D$. By the Atiyah-Hirzebruch vanishing theorem ([2]), we have $\operatorname{Ind}(g, D) \equiv 0$. Moreover by the Witten rigidity theorem ([29, 4, 22], the operator $D^{T_{\mathrm{C}} X}$ is rigid. i.e. $\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D^{T_{\mathbf{C}} X}\right) \equiv \operatorname{Ind} D^{T_{\mathbf{C}} X}$. Also note that $\operatorname{Ind} D^{T_{\mathbf{C}} X}$ equals to 0 when $k$ is odd. Then the three parts in Theorem 0.1 easily follow from the corresponding three parts in Theorem 2.1.

For $\mathrm{Spin}^{c}$ manifolds, we have rigidity and vanishing theorem for another type of twisted operators.

Theorem 2.2. Assume the action only has isolated fixed points and the restriction of the equivariant characteristic class

$$
\frac{1}{30} c_{2}(W)_{S^{1}}+c_{1}(X)_{S^{1}}^{2}-p_{1}(T X)_{S^{1}}
$$

to $X^{S^{1}}$ is equal to $n \cdot \pi^{*} u^{2}$ for some integer $n$.
(i) If $n<0$, then

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes W}\right)+\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-(L+\bar{L})\right)}\right) \equiv 0
$$

In particular,

$$
\operatorname{Ind} D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes W}+\operatorname{Ind} D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-(L+\bar{L})\right)}=0
$$

(ii) If $n=0$, then

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes W}\right)+\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-(L+\bar{L})\right)}\right)
$$

is independent of $g$. Moreover, when $k$ is even, one has

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes W}\right)+\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-(L+\bar{L})\right)}\right) \equiv 0
$$

(iii) If $n=2$ and $k$ is even, then

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes W}\right)+\operatorname{Ind}\left(g, D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-(L+\bar{L})\right)}\right) \equiv 0
$$

Proof. We will use same notations as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Let $\Theta^{*}(X, L, \tau)$ be the virtual complex vector bundles over $X$ defined by

$$
\Theta^{*}(X, L, \tau):=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\otimes \\
\otimes=1
\end{array} S_{q^{m}}\left(\widetilde{T_{\mathbf{C}} X}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\otimes \otimes_{u=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{-q^{u}}\left(\widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}}\right)\right) . . ~
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider the twisted operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes \Theta^{*}(X, L, \tau) \otimes\left(\varphi^{8}(\tau) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W_{i} q^{i}\right) .} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding $q$-series, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Theta^{*}(X, L, \tau) \otimes\left(\varphi^{8}(\tau) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W_{i} q^{i}\right) \\
= & \left(1+\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-2 k\right) q+O\left(q^{2}\right)\right) \otimes\left(1-\widetilde{L_{\mathbf{C}}} q+O\left(q^{2}\right)\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
& \otimes\left(1-8 q+O\left(q^{2}\right)\right) \otimes\left(1+W q+O\left(q^{2}\right)\right) \\
= & 1+\left(W+T_{\mathbf{C}} X-(L+\bar{L})-2 k-6\right) q+O\left(q^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes \Theta^{*}(X, L, \tau) \otimes\left(\varphi^{8}(\tau) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W_{i} q^{i}\right)} \\
= & D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L})}+D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes\left(W+T_{\mathbf{C}} X-(L+\bar{L})-2 k-6\right)} q+O\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Atiyah-Bott-Segal-Singer Letschetz fixed point formula, for this


$$
\begin{align*}
& J(t, \tau)= 2 \sum_{p}\left\{\frac{1}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{k}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\theta^{\prime}(0, \tau)}{\theta\left(\alpha_{j} t, \tau\right)} \frac{\theta(c t, \tau)}{\theta_{1}(0, \tau) \theta_{2}(0, \tau) \theta_{3}(0, \tau)}\right.  \tag{2.16}\\
&\left.\cdot \varphi^{8}(\tau) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{ch}\left(\left.W_{i}\right|_{p}\right)_{S^{1}} q^{i}\right)\right\} \\
&= \sum_{p}\left\{\frac{1}{(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{k}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\theta^{\prime}(0, \tau)}{\theta\left(\alpha_{j} t, \tau\right)} \frac{\theta(c t, \tau)}{\theta_{1}(0, \tau) \theta_{2}(0, \tau) \theta_{3}(0, \tau)}\right. \\
&\left.\cdot\left(\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta\left(\beta_{l} t, \tau\right)+\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta_{1}\left(\beta_{l} t, \tau\right)+\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta_{2}\left(\beta_{l} t, \tau\right)+\prod_{l=1}^{8} \theta_{3}\left(\beta_{l} t, \tau\right)\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

As when restricted to fixed points, $\frac{1}{30} c_{2}(W)_{S^{1}}+c_{1}(L)_{S^{1}}^{2}-p_{1}(T X)_{S^{1}}$ is equal to $n \cdot \pi^{*} u^{2}$, then for each fixed point, we have

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{8} \beta_{l}^{2}+c^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_{j}^{2}=n
$$

Therefore, similar to (2.9), one can show that for $a, b \in 2 \mathbf{Z}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(t+a \tau+b, \tau)=e^{-\pi \sqrt{-1} n\left(b^{2} \tau+2 b \tau\right)} J(t, \tau) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can also show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(t, \tau+1)=J(t, \tau) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\frac{t}{\tau},-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)=\tau^{k+3} e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-\operatorname{In}} t^{2}}{\tau}} J(t, \tau) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

So similar to $I(t, \tau)$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1, combing Lemma 2.1 and the above transformation laws, we can prove that $J(t, \tau)$ is a weak Jacobi form of index $\frac{n}{2}$ and weight $k+3$ over $(2 \mathbf{Z})^{2} \rtimes S L(2, \mathbf{Z})$.

Then one can prove the three parts of Theorem 2.2 almost the same as those in Theorem 2.1. The only difference one needs to notice is that by the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, $\operatorname{Ind} D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes W}+\operatorname{Ind} D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-(L+\bar{L})\right)}$ must be 0 when the dimension of the manifold is divisible by 4 as the index density of the operator

$$
D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes W}+D_{c,+}^{(1-\bar{L}) \otimes\left(T_{\mathbf{C}} X-(L+\bar{L})\right)}
$$

is a differential form of degree $4 l+2$.
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