

# On estimates of solutions of Fokker–Planck–Kolmogorov equations with potential terms and non uniformly elliptic diffusion matrices

S.V. Shaposhnikov

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University,  
119991 Moscow, Russia, starticle@mail.ru

## Abstract

We consider Fokker–Planck–Kolmogorov equations with unbounded coefficients and obtain upper estimates of solutions. We also obtain new estimates involving Lyapunov functions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this work is to obtain upper estimates of solutions of the Fokker–Planck–Kolmogorov equation

$$\partial_t \mu = \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} (a^{ij} \mu) - \partial_{x_i} (b^i \mu) + c \mu. \quad (1.1)$$

Throughout the summation over repeated indices is meant. Let  $T > 0$ . We shall say that a locally finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$  is given by a flow of Borel measures  $(\mu_t)_{t \in (0, T)}$  if for every Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  the mapping  $t \rightarrow \mu_t(B)$  is measurable and for every function  $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T))$  one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)} u(x, t) \mu(dx dt) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x, t) \mu_t(dx) dt.$$

A typical example is  $\mu(B) = P(x_t \in B) dt$ , where  $x_t$  is a random process. Set

$$Lu = a^{ij} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} u + b^i \partial_{x_i} u + cu.$$

We shall say that a measure  $\mu = (\mu_t)_{t \in (0, T)}$  satisfies equation (1.1) if  $a^{ij}$ ,  $b^i$  and  $c$  are locally integrable with respect to the measure  $|\mu|$  (the total variation of  $\mu$ ) and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\partial_t u(x, t) + Lu(x, t)] \mu_t(dx) dt = 0$$

for every  $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T))$ . The measure  $\mu$  satisfies the initial condition  $\mu|_{t=0} = \nu$ , where  $\nu$  is a Borel locally finite measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , if for every function  $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  there holds the equality

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta(x) \mu_t(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta(x) \nu(dx).$$

The following assertion is trivial and can be found in [3], [9].

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\mu = (\mu_t)_{t \in (0, T)}$  be a solution of equation (1.1), let  $u \in C^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T))$  be such that  $u(t, x) = 0$  if  $x \notin U$  for some ball  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then there exists a set  $J_u \subset (0, T)$  of full Lebesgue measure in  $(0, T)$  such that for all  $s, t \in J_u$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x, t) \mu_t(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x, s) \mu_s(dx) + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\partial_\tau u(x, \tau) + Lu(x, \tau)] \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau.$$

Moreover, if, in addition,  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T])$ , the measure  $\mu = (\mu_t)_{0 < t < T}$  satisfies the initial condition  $\mu|_{t=0} = \nu$  and  $a^{ij}$ ,  $b^i$ ,  $c \in L^1(U \times [0, T], \mu)$ , then we may assume that for every  $t \in J_u$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x, t) \mu_t(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x, 0) \nu(dx) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\partial_\tau u(x, \tau) + Lu(x, \tau)] \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau.$$

<sup>1</sup>AMS Subject Classification: 35K10, 35K65, 60J35

We shall say that a Borel measure  $\sigma$  is a subprobability on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  if  $\sigma \geq 0$  and  $\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d) \leq 1$ . A subprobability measure  $\sigma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is probability if  $\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d) = 1$ .

A function  $V \in C^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T])$  is termed a Lyapunov function if for every closed interval  $[a, b] \subset (0, J)$  one has

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{t \in [a, b]} V(x, t) = +\infty.$$

We shall obtain  $L^p$  and  $L^\infty$  local and global estimates of the densities of solutions of equation (1.1). Our main interest is in the case of unbounded coefficients of the operator  $L$ . If the coefficients are globally bounded or have a linear growth, then there are well-known Gaussian estimates (see, e.g., [1] and [13]).

Global boundedness of the densities (with upper estimates) for solutions of the Cauchy problem for equation (1.1) without any restrictions on the growth of coefficients is established in [6] for sufficiently regular initial conditions. More precisely, the existence of a density of the initial condition with finite entropy is required. In [2], [10], [11] and [16] the transition kernels of the semigroup  $\{T_t\}$  are investigated such that for every nonnegative bounded continuous function  $f$  the function  $T_t f$  is the minimal nonnegative solution of the Cauchy problem  $\partial_t u = Lu$ ,  $u|_{t=0} = f$ . It is assumed there that the coefficients are locally Hölder continuous and the diffusion matrix  $A$  is uniformly nondegenerate and continuously differentiable. Moreover, the coefficients do not depend on  $t$ . The principal results of the cited papers give certain upper estimates of the kernel densities and the continuity of semigroup  $T_t$  in various functional classes. The conditions on the coefficients in these papers are formulated in terms of certain Lyapunov functions. The kernel of  $\{T_t\}$  satisfies equation (1.1), but the initial condition is Dirac's measure, so the results from [6] do not apply.

In [14] and [15], some estimates of densities are obtained for arbitrary initial conditions. The main idea of these works is to deduce global bounds from local estimates in [4] by using appropriate scalings. Note that in [14] and [15] the coefficients  $b$  and  $c$  are assumed to be only integrable, but the diffusion matrix is assumed to be uniformly bounded, uniformly nondegenerate and uniformly Lipschitzian.

In the present work we generalize the results from [14] and [15] to the case where the diffusion matrix can be unbounded and need not be uniformly elliptic. Moreover, we generalize the estimates from [11] and [16] involving Lyapunov functions. The main difference between the estimates from [11], [16] and the usual estimates with Lyapunov functions is that the former do not depend on the initial condition.

Let us consider an example, illustrating our results. Assume that  $d = d_1 + d_2 \geq 2$ , and will write  $x = (x', x'')$ , where  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ ,  $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ . Let  $r > 2$ ,  $k > r$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . Set

$$A(x, t) = e^{|x'|^{r-\delta} - |x''|^{r-\delta}} I, \quad b(x, t) = -|x|^{r-2} e^{|x'|^{r-\delta} - |x''|^{r-\delta}}, \quad c(x, t) = -|x|^k.$$

Let  $\varrho$  is a density of a nonnegative solution  $\mu = (\mu_t)$ , satisfying the condition

$$\mu_t(\mathbb{R}^d) \leq \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c(x, s) d\mu_s ds.$$

Then there holds the following estimate

$$\varrho(x, t) \leq c_1 \exp(-c_2 |x|^r) \exp(c_3 t^{-\frac{r}{k-r}})$$

for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$  and some positive numbers  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$ . Note, that the estimate does not depend on the initial condition  $\nu$ . We consider more general situation in Example (3.9).

It is worth mentioning that various lower estimates are considered in [7]. The existence and uniqueness problems are investigated in [3] and [9]. A recent survey on elliptic and parabolic equations for measures is given in [5].

The next section is concerned with estimates involving Lyapunov functions. In the last section we obtain local and global  $L^p$  and  $L^\infty$  estimates and investigate the behavior of densities at infinity.

## 2. ESTIMATES WITH LYAPUNOV FUNCTIONS

In this section we assume that  $c \leq 0$  and investigate a solution  $\mu$  that is given by a family of nonnegative measures  $\mu_t$  such that  $|c| \in L^1(\mu)$  and

$$\mu_t(\mathbb{R}^d) \leq \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c(x, s) \mu_s(dx) ds. \quad (2.1)$$

In particular,  $\mu_t$  are subprobability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . There are no other restrictions on the coefficients  $a^{ij}$ ,  $b^i$  and  $c$ .

Note that the kernels considered in [10] satisfy condition (2.1). Moreover, in the case of globally bounded coefficients any solution  $\mu$  which is given by a family of subprobability measures  $(\mu_t)_{t \in (0, T)}$  satisfies condition (2.1). Note also that if  $c$  is continuous and  $\mu_t$  is a weak limit of a sequence of measures  $\mu_t^n$  satisfying (2.1), then condition (2.1) is fulfilled for each  $\mu_t$ . Hence this condition is fulfilled for every solution  $\mu$  obtained as a limit of solutions of equations with bounded coefficients. Thus, this is a natural condition that is a generalization of the hypothesis that  $\mu_t$  is a subprobability measure for almost all  $t$  in the case  $c = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mu = (\mu_t)_{0 < t < T}$  be a solution of the Cauchy problem  $\partial_t \mu = L^* \mu$ ,  $\mu|_{t=0} = \nu$  such that  $c \leq 0$ ,  $\mu_t$  and  $\nu$  are subprobability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and condition (2.1) holds. Assume that there exists a Lyapunov function  $V$  such that for some positive functions  $K, H \in L^1((0, T))$  one has*

$$\partial_t V(x, t) + LV(x, t) \leq K(t) + H(t)V(x, t).$$

Assume also that  $V(\cdot, 0) \in L^1(\nu)$ . Then for almost all  $t \in (0, T)$

$$\mu_t(\mathbb{R}^d) = \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c(x, s) \mu_s(dx) ds$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(x, t) \mu_t(dx) \leq Q(t) + R(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(x, 0) \nu(dx),$$

where

$$R(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t H(s) ds\right), \quad Q(t) = R(t) \int_0^t \frac{K(s)}{R(s)} ds.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta_N \in C^2([0, +\infty))$  be such that  $0 \leq \zeta' \leq 1$ ,  $\zeta'' \leq 0$ , and  $\zeta_N(s) = s$  if  $s \leq N - 1$  and  $\zeta(s) = N$  if  $s > N + 1$ . Substitute the function  $u = \zeta_N(V) - N$  in the equality in Lemma 1.1. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, t)) \mu_t(dx) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, s)) \mu_s(dx) + \\ &+ \left( \mu_t(\mathbb{R}^d) - \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c(x, \tau) \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau \right) N + \\ &+ \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \zeta'_N(V)(\partial_t V + LV) + \zeta''_N(V) |\sqrt{A} \nabla V|^2 \right) \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau + \\ &+ \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c(\zeta_N(V) - \zeta'_N(V)V) \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $z\zeta'_N(z) \leq \zeta_N(z)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, t)) \mu_t(dx) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, s)) \mu_s(dx) + \\ &\quad \left( \mu_t(\mathbb{R}^d) - \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c(x, \tau) \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau \right) N + \\ &\quad + \int_s^t K(\tau) + H(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, \tau)) \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $s \rightarrow 0$ , we arrive at the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, t)) d\mu_t &\leq \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, 0)) d\nu + \left( \mu_t(\mathbb{R}^d) - \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c(x, \tau) \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau \right) N + \\ &\quad + \int_0^t K(\tau) + H(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, \tau)) \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau. \quad (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mu_t(\mathbb{R}^d) \leq \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c(x, \tau) \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau,$$

the last inequality can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, t)) \mu_t(dx) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, 0)) \nu(dx) + \\ &\quad + \int_0^t K(\tau) + H(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, \tau)) \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, t)) \mu_t(dx) \leq Q(t) + R(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta_N(V(x, 0)) \nu(dx).$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the required estimate. Note that if

$$\mu_t(\mathbb{R}^d) < \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c(x, \tau) \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(x, t) \mu_t(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(x, 0) \nu(dx) - \int_0^t K(\tau) + H(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(x, \tau) \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau = -\infty,$$

which is impossible. Hence

$$\mu_t(\mathbb{R}^d) = \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c(x, \tau) \mu_\tau(dx) d\tau,$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\mu = (\mu_t)_{0 < t < T}$  be a solution of the Cauchy problem  $\partial_t \mu = L^* \mu$ ,  $\mu|_{t=0} = \nu$ , where  $c \leq 0$ ,  $\mu_t$  and  $\nu$  are subprobability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and condition (2.1) holds. Let a positive function  $W \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be such that  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} W(x) = +\infty$ .*

(i) *If for some number  $C > 0$  and almost every  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$  there holds the inequality*

$$LW(x, t) \leq C + CW(x),$$

then for almost every  $t \in (0, T)$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W(x) \mu_t(dx) \leq \exp(Ct) + \exp(Ct) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W(x) \nu(dx).$$

(ii) Let  $G$  be a positive continuous increasing function on  $[0, +\infty)$  such that

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{sG(s)} < +\infty.$$

Let  $\eta$  be a continuous function on  $[0, T)$  defined by the equality

$$t = \int_0^{\eta(t)} \frac{ds}{sG(s^{-\delta})}, \quad \delta \in (0, 1).$$

If for some number  $C > 0$  and almost every  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$  there holds the inequality

$$LW(x, t) \leq C - W(x)G(W(x)),$$

then for almost every  $t \in (0, T)$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W(x) \mu_t(dx) \leq \frac{1}{(1-\delta)\eta^\delta(t)} + \frac{C}{\eta(t)} \int_0^t \eta(s) ds.$$

(iii) Let  $G$  and  $\eta$  be the functions mentioned in (ii). Assume that for some number  $C > 0$  and almost every  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$  there holds the inequality

$$LW(x, t) + \eta(t)|\sqrt{A(x, t)}\nabla W(x)|^2 \leq C - W(x)G(W(x)).$$

Then for almost every  $t \in (0, T)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(\eta(t)W(x)) \mu_t(dx) \leq \exp\left((1-\delta)^{-1}\eta^{1-\delta}(t) + C \int_0^t \eta(s) ds\right).$$

*Proof.* In order to prove (i) it is enough to apply Theorem 2.1 with  $H(t) = K(t) = C$  and  $V(x, t) = W(x)$ .

Let us prove (ii). Let  $V(x, t) = \eta(t)W(x)$ . Set

$$\partial_t V(x, t) + LV(x, t) \leq \eta'(t)W(x) - \eta(t)W(x)G(W(x)) + C\eta(t).$$

Note that for all nonnegative numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$

$$\alpha\beta \leq \alpha G^{-1}(\alpha) + \beta G(\beta),$$

where  $G^{-1}$  is the inverse function to  $G$ . Applying this inequality with  $\alpha = \eta'/\eta$  and  $\beta = W$ , we obtain

$$\partial_t V(x, t) + LV(x, t) \leq \eta'(t)G^{-1}(\eta'(t)/\eta(t)) + C\eta(t) = \frac{\eta'(t)}{\eta^\delta(t)} + C\eta(t),$$

because our assumptions imply that  $\eta'(t) = \eta(t)G(\eta^{-\delta}(t))$ .

Applying Theorem 2.1 with  $H(t) = 0$  and  $K(t) = \frac{\eta'(t)}{\eta^\delta(t)} + C\eta(t)$ , we arrive at to the required inequality.

Let us prove (iii). Let  $V(x, t) = \exp(\eta(t)W(x))$ . Then

$$\partial_t V(x, t) + LV(x, t) \leq \left[ \eta'(t)W(x) - \eta(t)W(x)G(W(x)) + C\eta(t) \right] \exp(\eta(t)W(x)).$$

Hence

$$\partial_t V(x, t) + LV(x, t) \leq \left[ \frac{\eta'(t)}{\eta^\delta(t)} + C\eta(t) \right] \exp(\eta(t)W(x)).$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 with  $K(t) = 0$  and

$$H(t) = \frac{\eta'(t)}{\eta^\delta(t)} + C\eta(t),$$

we obtain the required assertion.  $\square$

Let us consider several examples.

**Example 2.3.** Set  $V(x, t) = |x|^r$ , where  $r \geq 2$ . Then

$$LV(x, t) = r|x|^{r-2}\text{trace } A(x, t) + r(r-2)|x|^{r-4}(A(x, t)x, x) + r|x|^{r-2}(b(x, t), x) + |x|^r c(x, t).$$

Assume that for some numbers  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2 > 0$  and all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T]$  we have

$$r\text{trace } A(x, t) + r(r-2)|x|^{-2}(A(x, t)x, x) + r(b(x, t), x) + |x|^2 c(x, t) \leq C_1 + C_2|x|^2.$$

Let  $|x|^r \in L^1(\nu)$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^r \mu_t(dx) \leq e^{C_3 t} + e^{C_3 t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^r \nu(dx)$$

for almost every  $t \in (0, T)$  and some  $C_3 > 0$ .

**Example 2.4.** Set  $V(x, t) = \exp(\alpha|x|^r)$ , where  $r \geq 2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} LV(x, t) = \exp(\alpha|x|^r) & \left[ \alpha r|x|^{r-2}\text{trace } A(x, t) + \right. \\ & + \alpha r(r-2)|x|^{r-4}(A(x, t)x, x) + \alpha^2 r^2 |x|^{2r-4}(A(x, t)x, x) + \\ & \left. + \alpha r|x|^{r-2}(b(x, t), x) + c(x, t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that there exists a number  $C_1$  such that for every  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha r|x|^{r-2}\text{trace } A(x, t) + \\ + \alpha r(r-2)|x|^{r-4}(A(x, t)x, x) + \alpha^2 r^2 |x|^{2r-4}(A(x, t)x, x) + \\ + \alpha r|x|^{r-2}(b(x, t), x) + c(x, t) \leq C_1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\exp(|x|^r) \in L^1(\nu)$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(\alpha|x|^r) \mu_t(dx) \leq e^{C_2 t} + e^{C_2 t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(\alpha|x|^r) \nu(dx).$$

for almost all  $t \in (0, T)$ .

**Example 2.5.** Let  $k > 2$  and  $r \geq 2$ . Assume that

$$r\text{trace } A(x, t) + r(r-2)|x|^{-2}(A(x, t)x, x) + r(b(x, t), x) + |x|^2 c(x, t) \leq C_1 - C_2|x|^k,$$

where  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$ . Then

$$L|x|^r \leq C_3 - C_3|x|^{r+k-2}$$

for some  $C_3 > 0$ . Set  $W(x) = |x|^r$  and  $G(z) = C_3 z^\sigma$ , where  $\sigma = (k-2)/r > 0$ . Hence

$$LW(x, t) \leq C_3 - WG(W(x)).$$

Then  $\eta(t) = C_4 t^{\frac{1}{\delta\sigma}}$ , where  $C_4$  depends on  $C_3$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ . By Corollary 2.2 we obtain the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^r \mu_t(dx) \leq \frac{\gamma}{t^{\frac{r}{k-2}}},$$

where  $\gamma$  depends on  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\sigma$ .

**Example 2.6.** Let  $r > 2$  and  $k > r$ . Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha r|x|^{r-2}\text{trace } A(x, t) + \\ + \alpha r(r-2)|x|^{r-4}(A(x, t)x, x) + \alpha^2 r^2 |x|^{2r-4}(A(x, t)x, x) + \\ + \alpha r|x|^{r-2}(b(x, t), x) + c(x, t) \leq C_1 - C_2|x|^k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$ . Then

$$L \exp(\alpha|x|^r) \leq C_3 - C_3|x|^k \exp(\alpha|x|^r)$$

for some  $C_3 > 0$ . Set  $W(x) = \exp(\alpha|x|^r)$  and  $G(z) = C_3|\ln z|^\sigma$  if  $z \geq 2$ , where  $\sigma = \frac{k}{r} > 1$ . We obtain

$$LW(x, t) \leq C_3 - WG(W(x)).$$

Then  $\eta(t) = C_4 \exp(-C_5 t^{\frac{-1}{\sigma-1}})$ , where  $C_4 > 0$  and  $C_5 > 0$  depend on  $C_3$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ . By Corollary 2.2 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(\alpha|x|^r) \mu_t(dx) \leq \gamma_1 \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_2}{t^{\frac{k-r}{r}}}\right),$$

where  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  depend on  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ .

**Example 2.7.** Let  $r > 2$ ,  $k > 2$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha r \text{trace } A(x, t) + \alpha r(r-2)|x|^{-2}(A(x, t)x, x) + \\ + \alpha r(b(x, t), x) + \alpha|x|^2 c(x, t) + \alpha^2 r^2 |x|^{r-2}(A(x, t)x, x) \leq C_1 - C_2|x|^k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$ . Then

$$\alpha L|x|^r + \alpha^2 r^2 |x|^{2r-4}(A(x, t)x, x) \leq C_3 - C_3|x|^{k+r-2}.$$

Set  $W(x) = \alpha|x|^r$  and  $G(z) = C_3 \alpha^{-(1+\sigma)/\sigma} z^\sigma$ , where  $\sigma = \frac{k-2}{r} > 0$ . We obtain

$$LW(x, t) + |\sqrt{A(x, t)} \nabla W(x)|^2 \leq C_3 - WG(W(x)).$$

Hence we can apply Corollary 2.2 with  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\eta(t) = C_4 t^{\frac{1}{\delta\sigma}}$ , where  $C_4$  depends on  $C_3$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ . Thus, for every  $\beta > \frac{r}{k-2}$  we obtain the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(\alpha t^\beta |x|^r) \mu_t(dx) \leq \gamma_1 \exp\left(\gamma_2 (t^{\beta - \frac{r}{k-2}} + t^{\beta+1})\right),$$

where the numbers  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  depend on  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $r$  and  $\beta$ .

Note that the estimates in Examples 2.6 and 2.7 do not depend on the initial condition. If we apply these estimates to the transition probabilities  $P(y, 0, t, dx)$  of the corresponding processes, then the resulting estimates will be uniform in  $y$ . Such estimates for kernels of diffusion semigroups (with possibly rapidly growing drifts) were first obtained in [11] and [16].

### 3. LOCAL AND GLOBAL BOUNDS OF SOLUTIONS

In this section we obtain local and global  $L^p$  and  $L^\infty$  estimates of densities of solutions. The main idea is to use a modification of Moser's iteration method (see [12]). We start with local estimates and then we obtain global estimates by using local one and a suitable scaling.

Let  $\mu = (\mu_t)_{t \in (0, T)}$  be a nonnegative solution of equation (1.1).

We assume that  $A = (a^{ij})$  is a symmetric matrix satisfying the following condition:

(H1) for some number  $p > d + 2$ , every ball  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and every segment  $J \subset (0, T)$  one has

$$\sup_{t \in J} \|a^{i,j}(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{1,p}(U)} < \infty$$

and

$$0 < \lambda(U, J) := \inf\{(A(x, t)\xi, \xi) : |\xi| = 1, (x, t) \in U \times J\}.$$

We also suppose that

(H2) for some number  $p > d + 2$ , every ball  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and every closed interval  $J \subset (0, T)$  one has

$$b, c \in L^p(U \times J) \quad \text{or} \quad b, c \in L^p(U \times J, \mu).$$

According to [4, Corollary 3.9] and [8, Corollary 2.2], conditions (H1) and (H2) yield existence of a Hölder continuous density  $\varrho$  of the solution  $\mu$  with respect to Lebesgue measure. Moreover, for every ball  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and every closed interval  $J \subset (0, T)$  we have  $\varrho(\cdot, t) \in W^{1,p}(U)$  and

$$\int_J \|\varrho(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{1,p}(U)}^p dt < \infty.$$

Set  $B^i = b^i - \partial_{x_j} a^{ij}$ . Then we can rewrite equation (1.1) in the divergence form

$$\partial_t \varrho = \operatorname{div}(A \nabla \varrho - B \varrho) + c \varrho, \quad (3.1)$$

which is understood in the sense of the integral identity

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [-\varrho \partial_t \varphi + (A \nabla \varrho, \nabla \varphi)] dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [(B, \nabla \varphi) \varrho + c \varrho \varphi] dx dt \quad (3.2)$$

for every function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T))$ .

Recall the following embedding theorem (see [6, Lemma 3.1] or [1]).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $J$  be a closed interval in  $(0, T)$  and let  $u(\cdot, t) \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be such that  $x \mapsto u(x, t)$  has compact support for almost all  $t \in J$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending only on  $d$  such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^{2(d+2)/d}(\mathbb{R}^d \times J)} \leq C \left( \sup_{t \in J} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times J)} \right).$$

Note that now we do not assume that  $c$  is a nonpositive function.

Let  $c^+(x, t) = \max\{c(x, t), 0\}$ .

The following lemma is the key step of our proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $m \geq 1$ . Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a ball and let  $[s_1, s_2] \subset (0, T)$ . Assume that  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T))$  is such that the support of  $\psi$  is contained in  $U \times (0, T)$  and  $\psi(x, s_1) = 0$  for every  $x$ . Then there exists a constant  $C(d)$  depending only on  $d$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_U |\varrho^m \psi|^{2(d+2)/d} dx dt \right)^{d/(d+2)} \leq \\ & \leq 32C(d)m^2(1 + \lambda^{-1}) \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_U [|\psi| |\psi_t| + \|A\| |\nabla \psi|^2 + |\sqrt{A^{-1}} B|^2 \psi^2 + c^+ \psi^2] \varrho^{2m} dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\|A(x, t)\| = \min_{|\xi|=1} (A(x, t)\xi, \xi)$  and  $\lambda = \lambda(U, [s_1, s_2])$  is defined as above.

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a smooth function on  $[0, +\infty)$  such that  $f \geq 0$ ,  $f' \geq 0$ ,  $f'' \geq 0$ . Substituting the function  $\varphi = f'(\varrho)\psi^2$  in equality (3.2), for any  $t \in [s_1, s_2]$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\varrho(x, t)) \psi^2(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\varrho(x, s_1)) \psi^2(x) dx + \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{3} \int_{s_1}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\sqrt{A} \nabla \varrho|^2 f''(\varrho) \psi^2 dx d\tau \leq \\ & \int_{s_1}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 2|\psi| |\psi_t| f(\varrho) + 3|\sqrt{A} \nabla \psi|^2 \frac{f'(\varrho)^2}{f''(\varrho)} + 3|\sqrt{A^{-1}} B|^2 \varrho^2 f''(\varrho) \psi^2 + \\ & \quad + 2|(B, \nabla \psi)| \psi \varrho f'(\varrho) + c^+ \varrho f'(\varrho) \psi^2 dx d\tau. \end{aligned}$$



To this end, it is enough to note that

$$2(A\nabla\varrho, \nabla\psi)\psi f'(\varrho) \leq 3^{-1}|\sqrt{A}\nabla\varrho|^2 f''(\varrho)\psi^2 + 3|\sqrt{A}\nabla\psi|^2 \frac{f'(\varrho)^2}{f''(\varrho)},$$

$$(B, \nabla\varrho)\varrho f''(\varrho)\psi^2 \leq 3^{-1}|\sqrt{A}\nabla\varrho|^2 f''(\varrho)\psi^2 + 3|\sqrt{A^{-1}B}|^2 \varrho^2 f''(\varrho)\psi^2.$$

Set  $f(\varrho) = \varrho^{2m}$ . Recall that  $\psi(x, s_1) = 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [s_1, s_2]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho^{2m}(x, t)\psi^2(x) dx + \frac{4m-2}{3m} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\sqrt{A}\nabla(\varrho^m\psi)|^2 dx d\tau \leq \\ \leq 32m^2 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [|\psi||\psi_t| + |\sqrt{A}\nabla\psi|^2 + |\sqrt{A^{-1}B}|^2\psi^2 + c^+\psi^2] \varrho dx d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Now our assertion follows from Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** ( $L^p$ -estimates) *Let  $p \geq 2(d+2)/d$ . Let  $U$  and  $U'$  be balls in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\overline{U'} \subset U$ . Let also  $[s_1, s_2] \subset (0, T)$ . Then, for every  $s \in (s_1, s_2)$ , there exists a number  $C > 0$  depending on  $U, U', s, s_1, d$  and  $p$  such that*

$$\|\varrho\|_{L^p(U' \times [s, s_2])} \leq C(1 + \lambda^{-1})^\gamma \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_U [1 + \|A\|^\gamma + |c^+|^\gamma + |\sqrt{A^{-1}B}|^{2\gamma}] \varrho dx dt,$$

where  $\gamma = (d+2)/2p'$ ,  $p' = p/(p-1)$  and  $\lambda = \lambda(U, [s_1, s_2])$ ,  $\|A\|$  are defined as above.

*Proof.* Set  $m = dp/2(d+2)$  and

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{4m}{(2m-1)d}, \quad \alpha' = 1 + \frac{(2m-1)d}{4m}, \quad \delta = \frac{4}{d(2m-1) + 4m}.$$

Note that  $m \geq 1$ . Let us fix a function  $\psi = \zeta(x)\eta(t)$ , where  $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(U)$ ,  $\zeta(x) = 1$  if  $x \in U'$ ,  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ ,  $\eta \in C_0^\infty((s_1, T))$ ,  $\eta(t) = 1$  if  $t \in [s, s_2]$ ,  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$  and

$$|\partial_t \eta(t)| \leq K\eta^{1-\delta}(t), \quad |\nabla \zeta(x)| \leq K\zeta^{1-\delta}(x)$$

for some number  $K > 0$  and every  $(x, t) \in U \times [s_1, s_2]$ . Note that  $K$  depends only on  $U, U', s$  and  $s_1$ . Applying Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_U |\varrho^m \psi|^{2(d+2)/d} dx dt \right)^{d/(d+2)} \leq \\ \leq 32C(d)m^2(1 + \lambda^{-1}) \int_s^{s_2} \int_U [|\psi||\psi_t| + \|A\| |\nabla\psi|^2 + |\sqrt{A^{-1}B}|^2\psi^2 + c^+\psi^2] \varrho^{2m} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality with exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$ , we estimate the integral in the right side of the last inequality by the following expression:

$$K^2 \left( \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_U (1 + \|A\| + |\sqrt{A^{-1}B}|^2 + c^+) \varrho^{2m} dx dt \right)^{1/\alpha'} \left( \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_U |\varrho^m \psi|^{2(d+2)/d} dx dt \right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

Applying the inequality  $xy \leq \varepsilon x^\alpha + C(\alpha, \varepsilon)y^{\alpha'}$  with sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we obtain our assertion.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** ( $L^\infty$ -estimates) *Let  $\gamma > (d+2)/2$ . Let  $U$  and  $U'$  be balls in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\overline{U'} \subset U$ . Let also  $[s_1, s_2] \subset (0, T)$ . Then, for every  $s \in (s_1, s_2)$ , there exists a number  $C > 0$  depending on  $U, U', s, s_1, d$  and  $\gamma$  such that*

$$\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(U' \times [s, s_2])} \leq C(1 + \lambda^{-1})^\gamma \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_U [1 + \|A\|^\gamma + |c^+|^\gamma + |\sqrt{A^{-1}B}|^{2\gamma}] \varrho dx dt,$$

where  $\lambda = \lambda(U, [s_1, s_2])$ ,  $\|A\|$  are defined as above.

*Proof.* If  $\varrho \equiv 0$  on  $U \times [s_1, s_2]$ , then the assertion is trivial. Let us consider the case where  $\varrho \not\equiv 0$ . Multiplying the solution  $\varrho$  by the number

$$(1 + \lambda^{-1})^{-\gamma} \left( \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_U [1 + \|A\|^\gamma + |c^+|^\gamma + |\sqrt{A^{-1}}B|^{2\gamma}] \varrho \, dx \, dt \right)^{-1},$$

we can assume that

$$(1 + \lambda^{-1})^\gamma \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_U [1 + \|A\|^\gamma + |c^+|^\gamma + |\sqrt{A^{-1}}B|^{2\gamma}] \varrho \, dx \, dt = 1.$$

In this case in order to prove the theorem it is enough to find a number  $C$  depending only on  $U, U', s, s_1, s_2, d$  and  $\gamma$  such that

$$\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(U' \times [s, s_2])} \leq C.$$

Let  $U = U(x_0, R)$ ,  $U' = U(x_0, R')$  and  $R' < R$ . Set  $R_n = R' + (R - R')2^{-n}$ ,  $s_n = s - (s - s_1)2^{-n}$  and  $U_n = U(x_0, R_n)$ . Let us consider the following system of increasing domains:

$$Q_n = U_n \times [s_n, s_2], \quad Q_0 = U \times [s_1, s_2].$$

For each  $n$  we fix a function  $\psi_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T))$  in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, that is,  $\psi(x, t) = 1$  if  $(x, t) \in Q_{n+1}$ ,  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ , the support of  $\psi$  is contained in  $U_n \times (s_n, T)$  and  $|\partial_t \psi_n(x, t)| + |\nabla \psi_n(x, t)| \leq K^n$  for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and some number  $K > 1$  depending only on the numbers  $s, s_1, R, R'$ .

Applying Lemma 3.2 and Hölder's inequality with exponents  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$ , we obtain

$$\left( \int_{Q_n} |\varrho^m \psi_n|^{2(d+2)/d} \, dx \, dt \right)^{d/(d+2)} \leq 32m^2 C(d, s) K^{2n} \left( \int_{Q_n} \varrho^{(2m-1)\gamma'+1} \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/\gamma'}.$$

Set

$$p_{n+1} = \beta p_n + (\gamma' - 1)\gamma'^{-1}, \quad p_1 = \gamma' + 1, \quad \beta = (d+2)d^{-1}\gamma'^{-1}.$$

Note that  $\beta^{n-1} p_1 \leq p_n \leq \beta^{n-1} (p_1 + 1)$ . Taking  $m = p_{n+1} d / (2d + 4)$ , we obtain

$$\|\varrho\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}(Q_{n+1})} \leq C^{m\beta^{-n}} \|\varrho\|_{L^{p_n}(Q_n)}^{p_n/(p_n + \gamma' - 1)},$$

where the number  $C$  depends only on  $K, d$ , and  $\gamma$ . Finally, note that  $\sum_n n\beta^{-n} < \infty$  and according to Theorem 3.3 the norm  $\|\varrho\|_{L^{p_1}(Q_1)}$  is estimated by a number depending only on the numbers  $p_1, d, s, s_1, U$ , and  $U_1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** (i) Note that the constant  $C$  in Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.3 does not depend on  $s_2$ .

(ii) If  $c \leq 0$ , then all the inequalities above will be true without the coefficient  $c$  in the right-hand side.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $\gamma > (d+2)/2$ ,  $\kappa > 0$  and  $t_0 \in (0, T)$ . Then there exists a number  $C > 0$  depending only on  $\kappa, t_0, d$  and  $\gamma$  such that for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (t_0, T)$*

$$\varrho(x, t) \leq C(1 + \lambda^{-1}(x, t))^\gamma \int_{t_0/2}^t \int_{U(x, \kappa)} (1 + \|A\|^\gamma + |c^+|^\gamma + |\sqrt{A^{-1}}B|^{2\gamma}) \varrho \, dy \, d\tau,$$

where

$$\lambda(x, t) = \inf \{ (A(y, \tau)\xi, \xi) : |\xi| = 1, \quad (y, \tau) \in U(x, \kappa) \times [t_0/2, t] \}.$$

In particular, if  $\mu_t(dx) = \varrho(x, t) \, dx$  is a subprobability measure for almost all  $t \in (0, T)$ , the functions  $\|A\|^\gamma, |c^+|^\gamma, |B|^{2\gamma}$  are in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times (t_0/2, T), \mu)$  and the function  $\|A\|^{-1}$  is uniformly bounded, then  $\varrho \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (t_0, T))$ .

*Proof.* Let us shift the point  $x$  to 0 and apply Theorem 3.4 with the balls  $U = U(x, \kappa)$  and  $U' = U(x, \kappa/2)$  and points  $s_1 = t_0/2, s = t_0, s_2 = t$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $\gamma > (d + 2)/2$  and  $\Theta \in (0, 1)$ . Then there exists a number  $C > 0$  depending only on  $\gamma$ ,  $d$  and  $\Theta$  such that for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$*

$$\varrho(x, t) \leq C(1 + \lambda^{-1}(x, t))^{\gamma} t^{-(d+2)/2} \int_{\Theta t}^t \int_{U(x, \sqrt{\bar{t}})} (1 + \|A\|^{\gamma} + t^{2\gamma}|c^+|^{\gamma} + t^{2\gamma}|\sqrt{A^{-1}}B|^{2\gamma}) \varrho \, dy \, d\tau,$$

where

$$\lambda(x, t) = \inf \{ (A(y, \tau)\xi, \xi) : |\xi| = 1, \quad (y, \tau) \in U(x, \sqrt{\bar{t}}) \times [\Theta t, t] \}.$$

In particular, if  $\mu_t(dx) = \varrho(x, t) \, dx$  is a subprobability measure for almost all  $t \in (0, T)$ , the functions  $\|A\|^{\gamma}$ ,  $|c^+|^{\gamma}$ ,  $|B|^{2\gamma}$  are in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T), \mu)$  and the function  $\|A\|^{-1}$  is uniformly bounded, then there exists a number  $\tilde{C} > 0$  such that

$$\varrho(x, t) \leq \tilde{C} t^{-d/2} \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T).$$

*Proof.* In order to prove the estimate at a point  $(x_0, t_0)$  it suffices to change variables  $x \mapsto (x - x_0)/\sqrt{t_0}$  and  $t \mapsto t/t_0$  and apply Theorem 3.4 with the balls  $U = U(0, 1)$  and  $U' = U(0, 1/2)$  and points  $s_1 = \Theta$ ,  $s = (1 + \Theta)/2$ ,  $s_2 = 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $\Phi \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T))$  and  $\Phi > 0$ . Set*

$$\tilde{c} = c + (\partial_t \Phi + \operatorname{div}(A \nabla \Phi) + B \nabla \Phi) \Phi^{-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = B + \Phi^{-1} A \nabla \Phi.$$

Let  $\gamma > (d + 2)/2$  and  $\Theta \in (0, 1)$ . Then there exists a number  $C > 0$  depending only on  $\gamma$ ,  $d$  and  $\Theta$  such that for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(x, t) &\leq C \Phi(x, t)^{-1} (1 + \lambda^{-1}(x, t))^{\gamma} \times \\ &\quad \times t^{-(d+2)/2} \int_{\Theta t}^t \int_{U(x, \sqrt{\bar{t}})} (1 + \|A\|^{\gamma} + t^{2\gamma}|\tilde{c}^+|^{\gamma} + t^{2\gamma}|\sqrt{A^{-1}}\tilde{B}|^{2\gamma}) \Phi \varrho \, dy \, d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda$  is defined in the previous corollary. In particular, if

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x, t) \varrho(x, t) \, dx < \infty,$$

the functions  $\|A\|^{\gamma} \Phi$ ,  $|\tilde{c}^+|^{\gamma} \Phi$ ,  $|\tilde{B}|^{2\gamma} \Phi$  are in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T), \mu)$  and the function  $\|A\|^{-1}$  is uniformly bounded, then there exists a number  $\tilde{C} > 0$  such that

$$\varrho(x, t) \leq \tilde{C} t^{-d/2} \Phi(x, t)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to observe that the function  $\Phi \varrho$  satisfies equation (3.1) with the new coefficients  $\tilde{c}$  and  $\tilde{B}$ .  $\square$

Let us now consider two typical examples. We shall assume that  $c \leq 0$  and that  $\mu_t(dx) = \varrho(x, t) \, dx$  is a subprobability solution of the Cauchy problem for equation (1.1) with the initial condition  $\nu$  such that  $|c| \in L^1(\mu)$  and

$$\mu_t(\mathbb{R}^d) \leq \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c(x, s) \mu_s(dx) \, ds.$$

We obtain upper estimates of  $\varrho$  in several different situations.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $r > 2$  and  $k > r$ . Assume that  $c \leq 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha r |x|^{r-2} \operatorname{trace} A(x, t) + \\ &\quad + \alpha r (r - 2) |x|^{r-4} (A(x, t)x, x) + \alpha^2 r^2 |x|^{2r-4} (A(x, t)x, x) + \\ &\quad + \alpha r |x|^{r-2} (b(x, t), x) + c(x, t) \leq C - C|x|^k \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C > 0$  and all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$ . Suppose also that for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$  we have

$$C_1 \exp(-\kappa_1 |x|^{r-\delta}) \leq \|A(x, t)\| \leq C_2 \exp(\kappa_2 |x|^{r-\delta}),$$

and

$$|b^i(x, t)| + |\partial_{x_j} a^{ij}(x, t)| \leq C_3 \exp(\kappa_3 |x|^{r-\delta})$$

with some positive numbers  $C_1, C_2, C_3, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$  and  $\delta \in (0, r)$ . Let  $\alpha' \in (0, \alpha)$ . Then the density  $\varrho$  satisfies the inequality

$$\varrho(x, t) \leq C_4 \exp(-\alpha' |x|^r) \exp(C_5 t^{-\frac{r}{k-r}})$$

for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$  and some positive numbers  $C_4$  and  $C_5$ .

*Proof.* According to Example 2.6 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(\alpha |x|^r) d\mu_t \leq \gamma_1 \exp(\gamma_2 t^{-\frac{r}{k-r}})$$

for almost every  $t \in (0, T)$  and some numbers  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ . Set  $\Phi(x) = \exp(\alpha' |x|^r)$ . Note that  $\tilde{c}^+ \leq \gamma_3$  and

$$(1 + \|A\|^\gamma + t^{2\gamma} |\sqrt{A^{-1} \tilde{B}}|^{2\gamma}) \Phi \leq \gamma_4 \exp(\alpha |x|^r)$$

for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$  and some number  $\gamma_3$ . Now the desired estimates follow from Corollary 3.8.  $\square$

**Example 3.10.** Let  $r > 2, k > 2, \gamma > d + 2, \alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > r/(k - 2)$ . Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha r \operatorname{trace} A(x, t) + \alpha r (r - 2) |x|^{-2} (A(x, t)x, x) + \\ & + \alpha r (b(x, t), x) + |x|^2 c(x, t) + \alpha^2 r^2 |x|^{r-2} (A(x, t)x, x) \leq C - C |x|^k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C > 0$ . Suppose also that for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$  we have

$$C_1 (1 + |x|^{\frac{m}{\gamma}})^{-1} \leq \|A(x, t)\| \leq C_2 (1 + |x|^{\frac{m}{\gamma}})$$

and

$$|b^i(x, t)|^{2\gamma} + |\partial_{x_j} a^{ij}(x, t)|^{2\gamma} \leq C_3 (1 + |x|^m)$$

with some positive numbers  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  and  $m \geq \gamma \max\{r - 1, r\beta^{-1}\}$ . Let  $\alpha' \in (0, \alpha)$ . Then the density  $\varrho$  satisfies the inequality

$$\varrho(x, t) \leq C_4 t^{-\frac{8m\beta + rd - 4\gamma r}{2r}} \exp(-\alpha' t^\beta |x|^r)$$

for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$  and some positive numbers  $C_4$  and  $C_5$ .

*Proof.* According to Example 2.7 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(\alpha t^\beta |x|^r) d\mu_t \leq \gamma_1$$

for all  $t \in (0, T)$  and some number  $\gamma_1$ . Note that for every  $p \geq 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  one has

$$|x|^p \leq \gamma_2 t^{-\frac{\beta p}{r}} \exp(\varepsilon t^\beta |x|^r),$$

so we can apply Corollary 3.8 with  $\Phi(x, t) = \exp(\alpha t^\beta |x|^r)$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The author expresses his deep gratitude to V.I. Bogachev for fruitful discussions and remarks. The author thanks the organizers for a very nice conference in Gaeta.

This work was supported by the RFBR projects 11-01-00348-a, 12-01-33009, 11-01-12018-ofi-m-2011, and the program SFB 701 at the University of Bielefeld.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Aronson D.G., Serrin J. Local behavior of solutions of quasilinear parabolic equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 1967, v. 25, p. 81–122.
- [2] Aibeche A., Laidoune K., Rhandi A. Time dependent Lyapunov functions for some Kolmogorov semigroups perturbed by unbounded potentials. *Arch. Math.*, 2010, v. 94, n. 6, p. 565–577.
- [3] Bogachev V.I., Da Prato G., Röckner M. On parabolic equations for measures. *Comm. Partial Diff. Eq.*, 2008, v. 33, n. 1–3, p. 397–418.
- [4] Bogachev V.I., Krylov N.V., Röckner M. On regularity of transition probabilities and invariant measures of singular diffusions under minimal conditions. *Comm. Partial Diff. Eq.*, 2001, v. 26, n. 11–12, p. 2037–2080.
- [5] Bogachev V.I., Krylov N.V., Röckner M. Elliptic and parabolic equations for measures. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 2009, v. 64, n. 6, p. 5–116 (in Russian); English transl.: *Russian Math. Surveys*, 2009, v. 64, n. 6, p. 973–1078.
- [6] Bogachev V.I., Rockner M., Shaposhnikov S.V. Global regularity and bounds for solutions of parabolic equations for probability measures. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 2006, v. 50, n. 4, p. 561–581.
- [7] Bogachev V.I., Rockner M., Shaposhnikov S.V. Estimates of densities of stationary distributions and transition probabilities of diffusion processes. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 2008, v. 52, n. 2, p. 209–236.
- [8] Bogachev V.I., Rockner M., Shaposhnikov S.V. Positive Densities of Transition Probabilities of Diffusion Processes *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 2009, v. 53, n. 2, p. 194–215.
- [9] Bogachev V.I., Röckner M., Shaposhnikov S.V. On uniqueness problems related to the Fokker–Planck–Kolmogorov equation for measures. *J. Math. Sci. (New York)*, 2011, v. 179, n. 1, p. 1–41.
- [10] Laidoune K., Metafune G., Pallara D. and Rhandi A. Global Properties of Transition Kernels Associated with Second-order Elliptic Operators. *Parabolic Problems. Progress in Nonlinear Diff. Eq. and Their Appl.*, 2011, v. 80, p. 415–432.
- [11] Metafune G., Pallara D., Rhandi A. Global properties of transition probabilities of singular diffusions. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 2009, v. 54, n. 1, p. 116–148.
- [12] Moser J. A Harnack inequality for parabolic differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 1964, v. 17, p. 101–134.
- [13] Porper F.O., Eidel’man S.D. Two-sided estimates of fundamental solutions of second-order parabolic equations, and some applications. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 1984, v. 39, n. 3, p. 119–178.
- [14] Shaposhnikov S.V. Regularity and Qualitative Properties of Solutions of Parabolic Equations for Measures. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 2012, v. 56, n. 2, p. 252–279.
- [15] Shaposhnikov S.V. Estimates of solutions of parabolic equations for measures. *Doklady Mathematics*, 2010, v. 82, n. 2, p. 769–772.
- [16] Spina C. Kernel estimates for a class of Kolmogorov semigroups. *Arch. Math.*, 2008, v. 91, n. 3, p. 265–279.