

CONFORMAL MAPPINGS AND FIRST EIGENVALUE OF LAPLACIAN ON SURFACES

BANG-YEN CHEN

ABSTRACT. In this note we give a simple relation between conformal mapping and the first eigenvalue of Laplacian for surfaces in Euclidean spaces.

1. STATEMENT OF MAIN THEOREM.

Let M be a compact Riemannian surface and Δ the Laplace-Beltrami operator acting on differential functions $C^\infty(M)$ on M . It is known that Δ is an elliptic operator. The operator Δ has an infinite sequence

$$(1.1) \quad 0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_p < \cdots \nearrow \infty$$

of eigenvalues.

Let $V_p = \{f \in C^\infty(M) : \Delta f = \lambda_p f\}$ be the eigenspace with eigenvalue λ_p . Then the dimension of V_p is finite, which is called the multiplicity of λ_p . Let $x : M \rightarrow E^m$ be an immersion of a surface M in E^m . Then the Euclidean metric of E^m induces a Riemannian metric on M . In this paper we shall consider only the induced metric on M . As in [4], we shall call an immersion $x : M \rightarrow E^m$ of order p if all coordinate functions of $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ are in V_p , where x_1, \dots, x_m are the Euclidean coordinates of x .

In the following we shall denote by $\lambda_1(x)$ and $A(x)$ respectively the first eigenvalue λ_1 and the area of M with respect to the immersion x when it is necessary.

In this paper, we shall prove the following *conformal inequality* for λ_1 .

Theorem 1. *Let $x : M \rightarrow E^m$ be an imbedding of order 1 from a compact surface M in E^m . If φ is a conformal mapping of E^m with $A(x) = A(\varphi \circ x)$,*

This article was published in Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, **7** (1979), 395–400.

then we have

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda_1(\varphi \circ x) \leq \lambda_1(x).$$

The equality holds when and only when φ is a rigid motion of E^m .

If c is a nonzero constant, then we have

$$\lambda_1(cx) = \frac{\lambda_1(x)}{c^2}, \quad A(cx) = c^2 A(x)$$

for the similarity transformation cx . Thus the assumption on the area in Theorem 1 is necessary. Moreover the assumption is generic in the sense that if $A(x) \neq A(\varphi \circ x)$, then by choosing a suitable similarity transformation ψ of E^m we have $A(x) = A(\psi \circ \varphi \circ x)$.

It seems to the author that inequality (1.2) is the only conformal inequality we know so far for spectra. Some applications of Theorem 1 will be given in the last section. A typical example reads as follows: *If M is a cyclide of Dupin given by an inversion of an anchor ring in E^3 with circles of radii a and b satisfy $a/b = \sqrt{2}$, then $\lambda_1 < 4\pi^2/A$.*

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

Let $x : M \rightarrow E^m$ be an imbedding of a compact surface M in E^m . Without loss of generality we may choose the center of gravity as the origin of E^m . Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be the Euclidean coordinates of E^m . Then we have $\int_M x_i dV = 0$. The minimal principle [1] then implies

$$(2.1) \quad \int_M |dx_i|^2 dV \geq \lambda_1 \int_M (x_i)^2 dV, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

The equality holds if and only if each x_i is in V_1 . On the other hand, since $|dx|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m |dx_i|^2 = 2$, (2.1) gives

$$(2.2) \quad 2A(x) \geq \lambda_1(x) \int_M |x|^2 dV.$$

Let $H = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace } \sigma$ be the mean curvature vector of x , σ the second fundamental form of x . Then we have [6]

$$(2.3) \quad A(x) + \int_M (x \cdot H) dV = 0.$$

Thus (2.2), (2.3) and the Schwartz inequality imply

$$2A \int_M |H|^2 dV \geq \lambda_1 \left(\int_M (|x| |H|) dV \right)^2 \\ \lambda_1 \left(\int_M (x \cdot H) dV \right)^2 \geq \lambda_1 A^2.$$

Consequently, we obtain the following result of Reilly [7]

$$(2.4) \quad \int_M |H|^2 dV \geq \frac{\lambda_1(x)}{2} A(x).$$

The equality holds if and only if $x - a$ is of order 1 for some vector a in E^m .

In the following, we denote by $TMC(x)$ the total mean curvature of x , i.e.,

$$TMC(x) = \int_M |H|^2 dV.$$

Suppose that $x : M \rightarrow E^m$ is an imbedding of order 1. Then we have

$$(2.5) \quad TMC(x) = \frac{\lambda_1(x)}{2} A(x).$$

If φ is a conformal mapping of E^m into E^m , then we have [3]

$$(2.6) \quad TMC(\varphi \circ x) = TMC(x).$$

Combining this with (2.4) and (2.5), we find

$$(2.7) \quad \lambda_1(\varphi \circ x) A(\varphi \circ x) \leq \lambda_1(x) A(x).$$

The equality holds if and only if $\varphi \circ x - b$ is of order 1 for some vector b in E^m . In particular, if $A(x) = A(\varphi \circ x)$, (2.7) gives

$$(2.8) \quad \lambda_1(\varphi \circ x) \leq \lambda_1(x).$$

If the equality of (2.8) holds, the $\varphi \circ x - b$ is also of order 1 for some vector b in E^m . By using a translation on E^m , we may also assume that the center of gravity of $\varphi \circ x$ is the origin too. In this case, $b = 0$ and $\varphi \circ x$ is of order 1. Consequently, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \Delta x = \lambda_1 x, \quad \bar{\Delta}(\varphi \circ x) = \lambda_1(\varphi \circ x),$$

where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(x) = \lambda_1(\varphi \circ x)$ and Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$ are the Laplace-Beltrami operators on M with respect to x and $\varphi \circ x$, respectively. From (2.9) and a theorem of Takahashi [8], we see that M is imbedded by x and $\varphi \circ x$ into the same hypersphere $S^{m-1}(r)$ of radius $r = \sqrt{2/\lambda_1}$ as minimal surfaces.

Now, by a result of Haantjes [5], we know that a conformal mapping on E^m is generated by translations, rotations, homothetic transformations and inversions centered at a fixed point. Since the centers of gravity of x and $\varphi \circ x$ are assumed to be at the same point 0, the conformal mapping φ is free of translation. Moreover, since M is imbedded both by x and $\varphi \circ x$ into the same hypersphere $S^{m-1}(r)$, φ is free of homothetic transformations (except the identity transformation). On the other hand, inversions centered at 0 are given in the following form:

$$\bar{x} = \frac{c^2}{(x \cdot x)} x$$

for nonzero constants c . Thus inversions centered at 0 always carry a hypersphere of radius r into a hypersphere of radius c^4/r^2 . In our case, since both surfaces given by x and $\varphi \circ x$ lie in the same hypersphere $S^{m-1}(r)$, φ is free of inversions too. Consequently, φ is given only by a rotation. Conversely, because the area and the spectrum of a surface are invariant under rigid motion (generated by translations and rotations), if φ is a rigid motion, the equality of (1.2) holds.

Remark 2.1. Theorem 1 shows that the estimates on total mean curvature for surfaces in E^m given in [2,7] are weak in general.

3. APPLICATIONS.

In this section we shall give the following applications of Theorem 1.

Let $S^1(1)$ be the unit circle in a plane E^2 . Then the product surface $T^2 = S^1(1) \times S^1(1)$ is a flat surface in E^4 with area $A = 4\pi^2$ and $\lambda_1 = 1$ [1]. A surface in $E^m \supset E^4$ is called a *conformal Clifford torus* if it is the image of the Clifford torus under a conformal mapping of E^m . The anchor ring in E^3 given by

$$\left((\sqrt{2} + \cos u)a \cos v, (\sqrt{2} + \cos u)a \sin v, a \sin v \right)$$

$$0 \leq u < 2\pi, \quad 0 \leq v < 2\pi,$$

is among the class of conformal Clifford tori. It is easy to see that the Clifford torus in E^4 is order 1. There exists no conformal Clifford torus of order 1 in E^3 .

Theorem 1 implies the following.

Theorem 2. *Let M be a conformal Clifford torus in E^m ($m \geq 3$) with area $4\pi^2$, Then we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda_1 \leq 1.$$

The equality holds if and only if M is a Clifford torus.

Let (x, y, z) be the Euclidean coordinates of E^3 and (u^1, \dots, u^5) be the Euclidean coordinates of E^5 . We consider the mapping defined by

$$\begin{aligned} u^1 &= \frac{1}{3}yz, \quad u^2 = \frac{1}{3}zx, \quad u^3 = \frac{1}{3}xy, \\ u^4 &= \frac{1}{6}(x^2 - y^2), \quad u^5 = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{3}}(x^2 + y^2 - 2z^2). \end{aligned}$$

This defines an isometric immersions of $S^2(1)$ into $S^5(1/\sqrt{3})$ as a minimal surface. Two points (x, y, z) and $(-x, -y, -z)$ of $S^2(1)$ are mapped into the same point of $S^4(1/\sqrt{3})$ and this mapping defines an imbedding of the real projective plane in $S^4(1/\sqrt{3}) \subset E^5$. This real projective plane imbedded in E^5 is called the *Veronese surface*. It is known that Veronese surface satisfies $A = 2\pi$ and $\lambda_1 = 6$ [1]. A surface in E^m ($m \geq 5$) is called a *conformal Veronese surface* if it is the image of the Veronese surfaces under a conformal mapping of E^m . There is no conformal Veronese surface of order 1 in E^4 .

From Theorem 1 we have

Theorem 3. *Let M be a conformal Veronese surface with area 2π . Then we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda_1 \leq 6.$$

The equality holds if and only if M is a Veronese surface.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Berger, P. Gauduchon and E. Mazet, *Le Spectre d'une variété Riemannienne*, Lecture Notes in Math. no. 194, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, .
- [2] D. Bleeker and J. Weiner, *Extrinsic bounds on λ_1 of Δ on a compact manifolds*, Comm. Math. Helv. **51** (1976), 601–609.
- [3] B. Y. Chen, *An invariant of conformal mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1973), 563–564.
- [4] B. Y. Chen, *On total curvature of immersed manifolds IV, Spectrum and total mean curvature*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **7** (1979), 301–311.

- [5] J. Haantjes, *Conformal representations of an n -dimensional Euclidean space with a non-definite fundamental form on itself*, Proc. Kon Ned. Akad. Amsterdam **40** (1937), 700–705.
- [6] C. C. Hsiung, *Isoperimetric inequalities for 2-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with boundary*, Ann. of Math. **73** (1961), 213–220.
- [7] R. C. Reilly, *On the first eigenvalues of the Laplacian for compact submanifolds of Euclidean space*, Comm. Math. Helv. **52** (1977), 525–533.
- [8] T. Takahashi, *Minimal immersions of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **18** (1966), 380–385.
- [9] J. White, *A global invariant of conformal mapping in space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **38** (1973), 162–164.

CHEN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824–1027, U.S.A.

E-mail address: bychen@math.msu.edu