

# UMBRAL MOONSHINE AND THE NIEMEIER LATTICES

Miranda C. N. Cheng\*

John F. R. Duncan†

Jeffrey A. Harvey‡

July 23, 2014

## Abstract

In this paper we relate umbral moonshine to the Niemeier lattices: the 23 even unimodular positive-definite lattices of rank 24 with non-trivial root systems. To each Niemeier lattice we attach a finite group by considering a naturally defined quotient of the lattice automorphism group, and for each conjugacy class of each of these groups we identify a vector-valued mock modular form whose components coincide with mock theta functions of Ramanujan in many cases. This leads to the umbral moonshine conjecture, stating that an infinite-dimensional module is assigned to each of the Niemeier lattices in such a way that the associated graded trace functions are mock modular forms of a distinguished nature. These constructions and conjectures extend those of our earlier paper, and in particular include the Mathieu moonshine observed by Eguchi–Ooguri–Tachikawa as a special case. Our analysis also highlights a correspondence between genus zero groups and Niemeier lattices. As a part of this relation we recognise the Coxeter numbers of Niemeier root systems with a type A component as exactly those levels for which the corresponding classical modular curve has genus zero.

---

*MSC2010:* 11F22, 11F37, 11F46, 11F50, 20C34, 20C35

\*Université Paris 7, UMR CNRS 7586, Paris, France. *E-mail:* [chengm@math.jussieu.fr](mailto:chengm@math.jussieu.fr)

†Department of Mathematics, Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, U.S.A. *E-mail:* [john.duncan@case.edu](mailto:john.duncan@case.edu)

‡Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, U.S.A. *E-mail:* [j-harvey@uchicago.edu](mailto:j-harvey@uchicago.edu)

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Notation . . . . .	9
<b>2</b>	<b>Groups</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Root Systems . . . . .	11
2.2	Lattices . . . . .	14
2.3	Genus Zero Groups . . . . .	16
2.4	Umbral Groups . . . . .	20
2.5	McKay Correspondence . . . . .	25
<b>3</b>	<b>Automorphic Forms</b>	<b>26</b>
3.1	Mock Modular Forms . . . . .	27
3.2	Jacobi Forms . . . . .	28
3.3	The Eichler–Zagier Operators and an ADE Classification . . . . .	30
3.4	From Meromorphic Jacobi Forms to Mock Modular Forms . . . . .	35
<b>4</b>	<b>The Umbral Mock Modular Forms</b>	<b>41</b>
4.1	The Umbral Shadows . . . . .	41
4.2	From Niemeier Lattices to Principal Moduli . . . . .	46
4.3	From Weight Zero Jacobi Forms to Umbral Mock Modular Forms . . . . .	49
<b>5</b>	<b>The Umbral McKay–Thompson Series</b>	<b>57</b>
5.1	Shadows . . . . .	58
5.2	Prime Lambencies . . . . .	59
5.3	Multiplicative Relations . . . . .	61
5.4	Mock Theta Functions . . . . .	65
5.5	Specification . . . . .	69
<b>6</b>	<b>Conjectures</b>	<b>73</b>
6.1	Modules . . . . .	73
6.2	Modularity . . . . .	74
6.3	Moonshine . . . . .	75
6.4	Discriminants . . . . .	77
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and Discussion</b>	<b>79</b>

<b>A</b>	<b>Special Functions</b>	<b>83</b>
A.1	Dedekind Eta Function . . . . .	83
A.2	Jacobi Theta Functions . . . . .	83
A.3	Higher Level Modular Forms . . . . .	84
A.4	Weight Zero Jacobi Forms . . . . .	84
<b>B</b>	<b>Characters</b>	<b>87</b>
B.1	Irreducible Characters . . . . .	88
B.2	Euler Characters . . . . .	94
<b>C</b>	<b>Coefficient Tables</b>	<b>102</b>
C.1	Lambency 2 . . . . .	103
C.2	Lambency 3 . . . . .	104
C.3	Lambency 4 . . . . .	106
C.4	Lambency 5 . . . . .	109
C.5	Lambency 6 . . . . .	113
C.6	Lambency 6+3 . . . . .	118
C.7	Lambency 7 . . . . .	120
C.8	Lambency 8 . . . . .	123
C.9	Lambency 9 . . . . .	127
C.10	Lambency 10 . . . . .	131
C.11	Lambency 10+5 . . . . .	134
C.12	Lambency 12 . . . . .	136
C.13	Lambency 12+4 . . . . .	138
C.14	Lambency 13 . . . . .	141
C.15	Lambency 14+7 . . . . .	145
C.16	Lambency 16 . . . . .	147
C.17	Lambency 18 . . . . .	149
C.18	Lambency 18+9 . . . . .	151
C.19	Lambency 22+11 . . . . .	153
C.20	Lambency 25 . . . . .	155
C.21	Lambency 30+15 . . . . .	157
C.22	Lambency 30+6,10,15 . . . . .	158
C.23	Lambency 46+23 . . . . .	159

---

<b>D</b>	<b>Decompositions</b>	<b>160</b>
D.1	Lambency 2 . . . . .	162
D.2	Lambency 3 . . . . .	163
D.3	Lambency 4 . . . . .	164
D.4	Lambency 5 . . . . .	165
D.5	Lambency 6 . . . . .	166
D.6	Lambency 6+3 . . . . .	167
D.7	Lambency 7 . . . . .	168
D.8	Lambency 8 . . . . .	169
D.9	Lambency 9 . . . . .	170
D.10	Lambency 10+5 . . . . .	172
D.11	Lambency 12+4 . . . . .	173
D.12	Lambency 13 . . . . .	174
D.13	Lambency 14+7 . . . . .	176
D.14	Lambency 30+6,10,15 . . . . .	177
	<b>References</b>	<b>178</b>

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we relate umbral moonshine to the Niemeier lattices. This relation associates one case of umbral moonshine to each of the 23 Niemeier lattices and in particular constitutes an extension of our previous work [1], incorporating 17 new instances. Moreover, this prescription displays interesting connections to genus zero groups (subgroups  $\Gamma < SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  that define a genus zero quotient of the upper-half plane) and extended Dynkin diagrams via McKay's correspondence.

To explain this moonshine relation, let us first recall what Niemeier lattices are. In 1973 Niemeier classified the even unimodular positive-definite lattices of rank 24 [2]. There are 24 of them, including the so-called *Leech lattice* discovered almost a decade earlier in the context of optimal lattice sphere packing in 24 dimensions [3]. Niemeier proved that the Leech lattice is the unique even, unimodular and positive-definite lattice of rank 24 with no root vectors (lattice vectors with norm-square 2), while the other 23 even unimodular rank 24-dimensional lattices all have root systems of the full rank 24. Moreover, these 23 lattices are uniquely labelled by their root systems, which are in turn uniquely specified by the following two conditions: first, they are unions of simply-laced root systems with the same Coxeter numbers; second, the total rank is 24.

We will refer to these 23 root systems as the *Niemeier root systems* and the 23 corresponding lattices as the *Niemeier lattices*. In this paper we associate a finite group and a set of vector-valued mock modular forms to each of these 23 Niemeier lattices. The main result of the present paper is then the *umbral moonshine conjecture* relating the two.

To understand this statement let us recall what one means by moonshine. This term was first introduced in mathematics to describe the remarkable *monstrous moonshine* phenomenon. The study of monstrous moonshine was initiated by John McKay's observation that  $196883 + 1 = 196884$ , where the summands on the left are degrees of irreducible representations of the *Fischer-Griess monster*  $\mathbb{M}$  and the number on the right is the coefficient of  $q$  in the Fourier expansion of the *normalised elliptic modular invariant*

$$J(\tau) = \sum_{m \geq -1} a(m) q^m = q^{-1} + 196884 q + 21493760 q^2 + 864299970 q^3 + \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

where we write  $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ . Following Thompson's idea [4] that  $J(\tau)$  should be the graded dimension of an infinite-dimensional module for  $\mathbb{M}$ , this observation was later expanded into the full *monstrous moonshine conjecture* by Conway and Norton [5], conjecturing that the graded

character  $T_g(\tau)$  attached to the monster module

$$V = \bigoplus_{m \geq -1} V_m \tag{1.2}$$

and  $g \in \mathbb{M}$  should be a principal modulus for a certain genus zero group  $\Gamma_g < SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . (When a discrete group  $\Gamma < SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  has the property that  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$  is isomorphic to the Riemann sphere minus finitely many points, there exists a holomorphic function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  that generates the field of  $\Gamma$ -invariant functions on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Such a function  $f$  is called a *principal modulus*, or *Hauptmodul*, for  $\Gamma$ .) We refer to [6] or the introduction of [1] for a more detailed account of monstrous moonshine.

In 2010 the study of a new type of moonshine was triggered by an observation of Eguchi–Ooguri–Tachikawa, which constituted an analogue of McKay’s observation in monstrous moonshine. In the work of Eguchi–Taormina and Eguchi–Ooguri–Taormina–Yang in the 1980’s [7–9], these authors encountered a  $q$ -series

$$H^{(2)}(\tau) = 2q^{-1/8}(-1 + 45q + 231q^2 + 770q^3 + 2277q^4 + \dots) \tag{1.3}$$

in the decomposition of the elliptic genus of a  $K3$  surface into irreducible characters of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  superconformal algebra. It was later understood by Eguchi–Hikami [10] that the above  $q$ -series is a mock modular form. See §3 for the definition of mock modular forms. Subsequently the coincidence between the numbers 45, 231, 770, 2277, ... and the dimensions of irreducible representations of  $M_{24}$  was pointed out in [11]. This observation was later extended into a *Mathieu moonshine* conjecture in [12–15] by providing the corresponding twisted characters, the mock modular forms  $H_g^{(2)}$ , and was moreover related in a more general context to the  $K3$ -compactification of superstring theory in [12]. Very recently, the existence of an infinite-dimensional  $M_{24}$ -module underlying the mock modular form (1.3) and those constructed in [12–15] was shown by T. Gannon [16], although the nature of this  $M_{24}$ -module remains mysterious. See [17] and [18] for a review of this  $M_{24}$ -mock modular relation, and see [19–23] for recent developments in this direction.

Meanwhile, it was found that Mathieu moonshine is but one example of a more general phenomenon, *umbral moonshine*. In [1] we associated a finite group  $G^{(\ell)}$  and a vector-valued mock modular form  $H_g^{(\ell)} = (H_{g,r}^{(\ell)})$  with  $(\ell - 1)$ -components for every conjugacy class  $[g]$  of  $G^{(\ell)}$  to each of the six positive integers  $\ell$  such that  $\ell - 1$  divides 12, and conjectured that there exists an infinite-dimensional  $G^{(\ell)}$ -module, the *umbral module*, with the property that its graded character coincides with the mock modular form  $H_g^{(\ell)}$  for every conjugacy class  $[g] \subset G^{(\ell)}$ .

Despite the discovery of this more general framework of umbral moonshine, encompassing Mathieu moonshine as a special case and displaying various beautiful properties, many questions remained unanswered. For example: why these specific umbral groups  $G^{(\ell)}$ ? Why are they labelled by divisors of the number 12? What is the structure underlying all these instances of moonshine?

In the present paper we provide partial answers to the above questions. We present evidence that there exists an instance of umbral moonshine naturally associated to each of the 23 Niemeier lattices. As a Niemeier lattice is uniquely determined by its root system  $X$ , in the main text we shall use  $X$  (or equivalently the corresponding *lambency*; see Tables 2-3) to label the instances of umbral moonshine. In particular, we construct in each instance an *umbral group*  $G^X$  as the quotient of the automorphism group of the corresponding Niemeier lattice  $L^X$  by the normal subgroup generated by reflections in root vectors. This property gives a uniform construction as well as a concrete understanding of the umbral groups.

Similarly, we provide a prescription that attaches to each of the 23 Niemeier lattices a distinguished vector-valued modular form—the *umbral mock modular form*  $H^X$ —which conjecturally encodes the dimensions of the homogeneous subspaces of the corresponding umbral module. The Niemeier lattice uniquely specifies the shadow of the mock modular form through a map which associates a unary theta series of a specific type to each of the irreducible simply-laced ADE root systems, as well as unions of such root systems where all the irreducible components have the same Coxeter number. As will be explained in §3.3, this map bears a strong resemblance to the ADE classification by Cappelli–Itzykson–Zuber of modular invariant combinations of the characters of the  $\widehat{A}_1$  affine Lie algebra [24]. When applied to the Niemeier root systems, we dictate the resulting unary theta series to be the shadow of the corresponding umbral mock modular form. Together with the natural requirement that  $H^X$  satisfies an optimal growth condition the specification of the shadow uniquely fixes the desired umbral form (cf. Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2).

By associating a case of umbral moonshine to each Niemeier lattice we extend our earlier work on umbral moonshine to include 17 more instances. In fact, the 6 instances discussed in the earlier paper, labelled by the 6 divisors of 12, correspond to *pure A-type* Niemeier root systems containing only A-type irreducible components. There are 8 pure A-type Niemeier root systems, one for each divisor  $\ell - 1$  of 24, and they are given simply as the union of  $\frac{24}{\ell-1}$  copies of  $A_{\ell-1}$ . This new proposal relating Niemeier lattices and umbral moonshine can be regarded as a completion of our earlier work [1], in that it includes Niemeier root systems with D- or/and E- components and sheds important light on the underlying structure of umbral moonshine.

More properties of umbral moonshine reveal themselves as new instances are included and

as the structure of umbral moonshine is examined in light of the connection to Niemeier lattices. Recall that in [1] we observed a connection between the (extended) Dynkin diagrams and some of the groups  $G^{(\ell)}$  via McKay's correspondence for subgroups of  $SU(2)$ . In the present paper we observe that the same holds for many of the new instances of umbral moonshine, and the result presents itself as a natural sequence of extended Dynkin diagrams with decreasing rank, starting with  $\widehat{E}_8$  and ending with  $\widehat{A}_1$ . Moreover, we observe an interesting relation between umbral moonshine and the genus zero groups  $\Gamma < SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  through the shadows of the former and the principal moduli for the latter. As will be discussed in §2.3 and §4.2, our construction attaches a principal modulus for a genus zero group to each Niemeier lattice. In particular, we recognise the Coxeter numbers of the root systems with an A-type component as exactly those levels for which the corresponding classical modular curve has genus zero.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In §2 we give some background on Niemeier lattices, define the umbral finite groups, and discuss the mysterious relation to extended ADE diagrams and genus zero quotients of the upper-half plane. In §3 we introduce various automorphic objects that play a role in umbral moonshine, including (mock) modular forms and Jacobi forms of the weak, meromorphic, and mock type. For later use we also introduce the Eichler–Zagier (or Atkin–Lehner) map on Jacobi forms, and an ADE classification of such maps. In §4 we focus on the umbral mock modular forms, which are conjecturally the generating functions of the dimensions of the homogeneous subspaces of the umbral modules. In §4-5 we give explicit formulas for these umbral mock modular forms as well as most of the umbral McKay–Thompson series. This is achieved partially with the help of *multiplicative relations*, relating McKay–Thompson series in different instances of umbral moonshine corresponding to Niemeier lattices with one Coxeter number being the multiple of the other. In §6 we present the main results of the paper, which are the umbral moonshine conjectures relating the umbral groups and umbral mock modular forms, and a counterpart for umbral moonshine of the genus zero property of monstrous moonshine. We also observe certain discriminant properties relating the exponents of the powers of  $q$  in the mock modular forms and the imaginary quadratic number fields over which the homogeneous submodules of the umbral modules are defined, extending the discriminant properties observed in [1]. Finally, we present some conclusions and discussions in §7.

To provide the data and evidence in support of our conjectures, this paper also contains four appendices. In Appendix A we describe some modular forms and Jacobi forms which are utilised in the paper. In Appendix B we present tables of irreducible characters as well as the characters of certain naturally defined (signed) permutation representations of the 23 umbral groups. In Appendix C we provide the first few dozen coefficients of all the umbral McKay–Thompson series. In Appendix D, using the tables in Appendix B and C, we explicitly present



decompositions into irreducible representations for the first 10 or so homogeneous subspaces of the umbral modules for all instances of umbral moonshine.

## 1.1 Notation

We conclude this introduction with a guide to the most important and frequently used notation, and indications as to where the relevant definitions can be found.

- $X$  A root system (cf. §2.1). Usually  $X$  is a union of irreducible simply-laced root systems with the same Coxeter number; for example, a Niemeier root system (cf. §2.2).
- $m$  The Coxeter number of an irreducible root system  $X$  (cf. §2.1), or the Coxeter number of any irreducible component of  $X$  when all such numbers coincide.
- $r$  The rank of a root system  $X$  (cf. §2.1).
- $\pi^X$  The (formal) product of Frame shapes of Coxeter elements of irreducible components of a root system  $X$  (cf. §2.1).
- $W^X$  The Weyl group of a root system  $X$  (cf. §2.1).
- $L^X$  The Niemeier lattice attached to the Niemeier root system  $X$  (cf. §2.2).
- $X_A$  The union of irreducible components of type A in a Niemeier root system  $X$  (cf. §2.2). Similarly for  $X_D$  and  $X_E$ .
- $d_A^X$  The number of irreducible components of type A in the root system  $X$  (cf. §2.2). Similarly for  $d_D^X$  and  $d_E^X$ .
- $d^X$  The total number of irreducible components of the root system  $X$  (cf. §2.3).
- $\Gamma^X$  The genus zero subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  attached to the Niemeier root system  $X$  (cf. §2.3).
- $T^X$  A certain principal modulus for  $\Gamma^X$ , for  $X$  a Niemeier root system (cf. §2.3).
- $f^X$  A certain modular form of weight 2 for  $\Gamma^X$ , for  $X$  Niemeier root system (cf. §§2.3,4.2).
- $\ell$  A lambency. A symbol that encodes a genus zero group  $\Gamma^X$  attached to a Niemeier root system  $X$ , and thereby also  $X$  (cf. §2.3).
- $G^X$  The umbral group attached to the Niemeier root system  $X$  (cf. §2.4). Also denoted  $G^{(\ell)}$  for  $\ell$  the lambency corresponding to  $X$ .
- $\bar{G}^X$  A naturally defined quotient of  $G^X$  (cf. §2.4). Also denoted  $\bar{G}^{(\ell)}$ .
- $\tilde{\chi}^X$  A twisted Euler character. A certain naturally defined character of  $G^X$  (cf. §2.4). Similarly for  $\tilde{\chi}^{X_A}$ ,  $\chi^{X_A}$ ,  $\bar{\chi}^{X_A}$ , &c.
- $D^{(\ell)}$  The finite subgroup of  $SU(2)$  attached to the umbral group  $G^{(\ell)}$  for  $2 < \ell < 11$  (cf. §2.5).
- $\Delta^{(\ell)}$  The extended Dynkin diagram of rank  $11 - \ell$  attached to the umbral group  $G^{(\ell)}$  for  $\ell$  as above (cf. §2.5).

- $m$  Usually the index of a Jacobi form (cf. §3.2). Often this is chosen to coincide with the Coxeter number  $m$  of some root system  $X$ , in which case we write  $m$  for both (cf. §3.3).
- $\theta_m$  The vector-valued function whose components are the standard index  $m$  theta functions  $\theta_{m,r}$  for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$  (cf. §3.2).
- $\Omega^X$  The  $2m \times 2m$  matrix attached to a simply-laced root system  $X$  with all irreducible components having Coxeter number  $m$  (cf. §3.3).
- $\mathcal{W}^X$  The Eichler–Zagier operator on Jacobi forms of index  $m$  attached to a simply-laced root system  $X$  with all irreducible components having Coxeter number  $m$  (cf. §3.3).
- $\psi^P$  The polar part of a meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi$  (cf. §3.4).
- $\psi^F$  The finite part of a meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi$  (cf. §3.4).
- $\mu_{m,j}$  A generalised Appell–Lerch sum of index  $m$  (cf. §3.4). The function  $\mu_{1,0}$  is a meromorphic Jacobi form of weight 1 and index 1 with vanishing finite part. More generally, scalar multiples of  $\mu_{m,0}$  arise as polar parts of certain meromorphic Jacobi forms of weight 1 and index  $m$ .
- $h$  Usually a vector-valued mock modular form, with components  $h_r$  for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ , obtained from the theta expansion of the finite part of a meromorphic Jacobi form of weight 1 and index  $m$  (cf. §3.4).
- $S_m$  The vector-valued cusp form of weight  $3/2$  whose components are the unary theta series  $S_{m,r}$  for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$  (cf. §3.4), related to  $\theta_m$  by  $S_{m,r}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial_z \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z)|_{z=0}$ .
- $S^X$  The vector-valued cusp form of weight  $3/2$  attached to a simply-laced root system  $X$  with all irreducible components having the same Coxeter number (cf. §4.1). An umbral shadow in case  $X$  is a Niemeier root system.
- $\psi^X$  The unique meromorphic Jacobi form of weight 1 and index  $m$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.1, if such a function exists, where  $X$  is a simply-laced root system for which all irreducible components have Coxeter number  $m$  (cf. §4.1).
- $H^X$  The unique vector-valued mock modular form with shadow  $S^X$  whose components furnish the theta expansion of the finite part of  $\psi^X$ , if  $\psi^X$  exists (cf. §4.1). An umbral mock modular form in case  $X$  is a Niemeier root system (cf. §4.3), and, in this situation, also denoted  $H^{(\ell)}$  for  $\ell$  the lambency corresponding to  $X$ .
- $\sigma^X$  The skew-holomorphic Jacobi cusp form of weight 2 and index  $m$  naturally attached to  $X$ , where  $X$  is a simply-laced root system for which all irreducible components have Coxeter number  $m$  (cf. §4.2).
- $H_g^X$  The umbral McKay–Thompson series attached to  $g \in G^X$  for  $X$  a Niemeier root system (cf. §5). A vector-valued mock modular form of weight  $1/2$ . Also denoted  $H_g^{(\ell)}$  for  $\ell$  the lambency corresponding to  $X$ .

- $S_g^X$  The vector-valued cusp form conjectured to be the shadow of  $H_g^X$ , for  $g \in G^X$  and  $X$  a Niemeier root system (cf. §§5.1,6.2).
- $\Omega_g^X$  The  $2m \times 2m$  matrix attached to  $g \in G^X$  for  $X$  a Niemeier root system with Coxeter number  $m$  (cf. §5.1).
- $K^X$  The umbral module attached to the Niemeier root system  $X$ . A conjectural  $G^X$ -module with graded-super-characters given by the  $H_g^X$  (cf. §6.1).
- $n_g$  The order of the image of an element  $g \in G^X$  in the quotient group  $\bar{G}^X$  (cf. §6.2).
- $h_g$  The unique positive integer such that  $n_g h_g$  is the product of the shortest and longest cycle lengths in the cycle shape  $\tilde{\Pi}_g^X$  for  $g \in G^X$  and  $X$  a Niemeier root system (cf. §6.2).
- $\tilde{\Pi}_g^X$  The cycle shape attached to  $g \in G^X$  via the permutation representation of  $G^X$  with twisted Euler character  $\tilde{\chi}^X$  (cf. §§2.4,6.2,B.2). Similarly for  $\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$ ,  $\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$ , &c.
- $\nu_g^X$  The multiplier system of  $H_g^X$  (cf. §6.2).

## 2 Groups

### 2.1 Root Systems

In this subsection we give a brief summary of simply-laced root systems and their corresponding *Dynkin diagrams*. Standard references for this material include [25] and [26].

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space of rank  $r$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  equipped with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . For  $v \in V$  define the hyperplane  $H_v$  to be the set of elements of  $V$  orthogonal to  $v$  and the reflection in the hyperplane  $H_v$  to be the linear map  $r_v : V \rightarrow V$  defined by

$$r_v(v') = v' - 2 \frac{\langle v, v' \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle} v. \quad (2.1)$$

A finite subset  $X \subset V$  of non-zero vectors is a rank  $r$  crystallographic *root system* if

- $X$  spans  $V$ ,
- $r_\alpha(X) \in X$  for all  $\alpha \in X$ ,
- $X \cap \mathbb{R}\alpha = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$  for all  $\alpha \in X$ ,
- $2\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in X$ .

Given a root system  $X$  we say that  $X$  is *irreducible* provided that it can not be partitioned into proper subsets  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  with  $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle = 0$  for all  $\alpha_1 \in X_1$  and  $\alpha_2 \in X_2$ . If  $X$  is an irreducible root system then there are at most two values for the length  $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle^{1/2}$  that occur. If all roots have the same length then the irreducible root system is called *simply-laced*.

It is possible to choose a subset of roots in  $X$  that form a basis of  $V$ . We define a subset  $\Phi = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r\} \subset X$  to be a set of *simple roots* provided that

- $\Phi$  is a basis for  $V$ ,
- each root  $\alpha \in X$  can be written as a linear combination of the  $f_i$  with integer coefficients

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i f_i \quad (2.2)$$

and with either all  $n_i \leq 0$  or all  $n_i \geq 0$ .

Given a choice of simple roots we define the positive roots of  $X$  to be those  $\alpha$  for which all  $n_i \geq 0$  in (2.2). The negative roots are those for which all  $n_i \leq 0$ . We also define the *height* of  $\alpha$  as in (2.2) by setting

$$\text{ht}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i. \quad (2.3)$$

To each irreducible root system we can associate a connected Dynkin diagram as follows. We associate a node to each simple root. The nodes associated to two distinct simple roots  $f_i, f_j$  are then either not connected if  $\langle f_i, f_j \rangle = 0$  or connected by  $N_{ij}$  lines with

$$N_{ij} = \frac{2\langle f_i, f_j \rangle}{\langle f_i, f_i \rangle} \frac{2\langle f_j, f_i \rangle}{\langle f_j, f_j \rangle} \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (2.4)$$

The Dynkin diagrams associated to simply-laced irreducible root systems all have  $N_{ij} = \{0, 1\}$  and are of type  $A_n, D_n, E_6, E_7, E_8$  as shown in Figure 1. Here the subscript indicates the rank of the associated root system, and in the figure we choose a specific enumeration of simple roots for later use in §2.4.

The height function defines a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on the set of roots. Every irreducible root system has a unique root  $\theta$  of largest height with respect to a given set of simple roots  $\Phi = \{f_i\}$  with an expansion

$$\theta = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i f_i \quad (2.5)$$

where the  $a_i$  are a set of integers known as the *Coxeter labels* of the root system or Dynkin diagram. If we append the negative of this highest root (the lowest root) to the simple roots of the simply-laced root system, we obtain the extended Dynkin diagrams of type  $\widehat{A}_n, \widehat{D}_n, \widehat{E}_6, \widehat{E}_7, \widehat{E}_8$ . These are shown in Figure 2, where we indicate the lowest root with a filled in circle and the simple roots with empty circles.




Figure 1: The ADE Dynkin diagrams

Given an irreducible root system  $X$ , its *Coxeter number*  $m = m(X)$  is the sum

$$m = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i. \quad (2.6)$$

An equivalent definition of the Coxeter number may be given in terms of the Weyl group of  $X$ . The *Weyl group*  $W^X$  is the group generated by the reflections  $r_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in X$ . The product  $w = r_1 r_2 \dots r_r$  of reflections  $r_i := r_{f_i}$  in simple roots  $f_i \in \Phi$  is called a *Coxeter element* of  $W^X$  and is uniquely determined up to conjugacy in  $W^X$ , meaning that different choices of simple roots and different orderings of the simple roots chosen lead to conjugate elements of  $W^X$ . The Coxeter number  $m = m(X)$  is then the order of any Coxeter element of  $X$ .

We obtain a finer invariant of  $X$  by considering the eigenvalues of a Coxeter element of  $W^X$ . Say  $u_1, \dots, u_r$  are the *Coxeter exponents* of  $X$  if a Coxeter element  $w$  has eigenvalues  $e^{2\pi i u_1/m}, \dots, e^{2\pi i u_r/m}$  (counting multiplicity). This data is conveniently recorded using the notion of *Frame shape*, whereby a formal product  $\prod_i n_i^{k_i}$  (with  $n_i, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n_i > 0$ ) serves as a shorthand for the rational polynomial  $\prod_i (x^{n_i} - 1)^{k_i}$ . For each Coxeter element there is a Frame shape  $\pi^X$ —the *Coxeter Frame shape* of  $X$ —such that the corresponding polynomial function coincides with the characteristic polynomial  $\prod_{i=1}^r (x - e^{2\pi i u_i/m})$  of  $w$ . These Frame shapes will play a prominent role in what follows. They are given along with the corresponding Coxeter




Figure 2: The extended ADE Dynkin diagrams

numbers in Table 1.

## 2.2 Lattices

A *lattice* is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module equipped with a symmetric bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We say that a lattice  $L$  is positive-definite if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  induces a positive-definite inner product on the vector space  $L_{\mathbb{R}} = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $L$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module the natural map  $L \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{R}}$  is an embedding and we may identify  $L$  with its image in  $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Say that  $L$  is integral if we have  $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $\lambda, \mu \in L$  and say that  $L$  is even if we have  $\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  for each  $\lambda \in L$ . (An even lattice is necessarily integral.) The dual of  $L$  is the lattice  $L^* \subset L_{\mathbb{R}}$  defined by setting

$$L^* = \{ \lambda \in L_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle \lambda, L \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z} \}. \tag{2.7}$$

Clearly, if  $L$  is integral then  $L^*$  contains  $L$ . In the case that  $L^*$  coincides with (the image of)  $L$  (in  $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ ) we say that  $L$  is unimodular. For an even lattice  $L$  we call  $L_2 = \{ \lambda \in L \mid \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle = 2 \}$  the set of *roots* of  $L$ .

	$A_{m-1}$	$D_{1+m/2}$	$E_6$	$E_7$	$E_8$
Coxeter number	$m$	$m$	12	18	30
Coxeter exponents	$1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1$	$1, 3, 5, \dots, m-1, m/2$	$1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11$	$1, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 17$	$1, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29$
Coxeter Frame shapes	$\frac{m}{1}$	$\frac{2 \cdot m}{1 \cdot (m/2)}$	$\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 12}{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 6}$	$\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 18}{1 \cdot 6 \cdot 9}$	$\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 30}{1 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdot 15}$

Table 1: Coxeter numbers, exponents, and Frame shapes

The Leech lattice is the unique (up to isomorphism) even, unimodular, positive-definite lattice of rank 24 with no roots [27], and is named for its discoverer, John Leech [3, 28]. Shortly after Leech's work, the unimodular even positive-definite lattices of rank 24 were classified by Niemeier [2]; we refer to those with non-empty root sets as the Niemeier lattices. There are exactly 23 Niemeier lattices up to isomorphism, and if  $L$  is such a lattice then its isomorphism type is determined by its root set  $L_2$ , which is a union of irreducible simply-laced root systems (cf. §2.1). Say a root system  $X$  is a Niemeier root system if it occurs as  $L_2$  for some Niemeier lattice  $L$ . The Niemeier root systems are precisely the 23 root systems satisfying the two conditions that first, they are unions of simply-laced root systems with the same Coxeter numbers, and second, the total rank is 24. Explicitly, they are

$$A_1^{24}, A_2^{12}, A_3^8, A_4^6, A_5^4 D_4, A_6^4, A_7^2 D_5^2, A_8^3, A_9^2 D_6, A_{11} D_7 E_6, A_{12}^2, A_{15} D_9, A_{17} E_7, A_{24}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$D_4^6, D_6^4, D_8^3, D_{10} E_7^2, D_{12}^2, D_{16} E_8, D_{24}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$E_6^4, E_8^3. \quad (2.10)$$

In (2.8) we list the Niemeier root systems containing a type  $A$  component, in (2.9) we list the root systems containing a type  $D$  component but no type  $A$  component, and the remaining two root systems, having only type  $E$  components, appear in (2.10). We will call them the  $A$ -type,  $D$ -type, and the  $E$ -type Niemeier root systems, respectively. We say that a Niemeier root system  $X$  has *Coxeter number*  $m$  if  $m$  is the Coxeter number of any simple component of  $X$ .

Since all the simple components of a Niemeier root system have the same Coxeter number all the type  $A$  components appearing have the same rank, and similarly for components of type  $D$  and  $E$ . So we can write

$$X = X_A X_D X_E \quad (2.11)$$

where  $X_A = A_{m-1}^{d_A^X}$  for some non-negative integer  $d_A^X$  (or  $X_A = \emptyset$ ), and  $m$  the Coxeter number of  $X$ , and similarly for  $X_D$  and  $X_E$ . For example,

$$\text{if } X = A_7^2 D_5^2 \text{ then } m = 8, X_A = A_7^2, X_D = D_5^2, d_A^X = d_D^X = 2 \text{ and } X_E = \emptyset. \quad (2.12)$$

Before finishing this subsection we will comment on the relation between the Niemeier lattices and the Leech lattice. The covering radius of the Leech lattice is  $\sqrt{2}$  according to [29], meaning that  $R = \sqrt{2}$  is the minimal positive  $R$  such that the 24-dimensional vector space  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  is covered by placing a closed ball of radius  $R$  at each point of  $\Lambda$ ,

$$\sqrt{2} = \sup_{x \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}} \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|x - \lambda\|. \quad (2.13)$$

A point  $x \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$  that realizes the maximum value  $\sqrt{2} = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|x - \lambda\|$  is called a *deep hole* of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $x \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a deep hole and let  $V_x$  be the set of vertices of  $x$ ,

$$V_x = \left\{ \lambda \in \Lambda \mid \|x - \lambda\| = \sqrt{2} \right\}. \quad (2.14)$$

It is shown in [29] that if  $\lambda, \lambda' \in V_x$  with  $\lambda \neq \lambda'$  then  $\|\lambda - \lambda'\|^2 \in \{4, 6, 8\}$ . Following [29] define the hole diagram attached to  $x$  by joining vertices  $\lambda, \lambda' \in V_x$  with a single edge if  $\|\lambda - \lambda'\|^2 = 6$ , and by joining them with a double edge if  $\|\lambda - \lambda'\|^2 = 8$ . The vertices  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  are disjointed in case  $\|\lambda - \lambda'\|^2 = 4$ . Then the diagram so obtained is the extended Dynkin diagram corresponding to a Niemeier root system, and all Niemeier root systems arise in this way [29]. Conversely, from each Niemeier lattice one can obtain a different “holy” construction of the Leech lattice [30].

### 2.3 Genus Zero Groups

In this section we attach a genus zero subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  to each of the 23 Niemeier root systems.

If  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  that is commensurable with the modular group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  then its natural action on the boundary  $\widehat{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\mathbf{i}\infty\}$  of the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$  restricts to  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\mathbf{i}\infty\}$ . The orbits of  $\Gamma$  on  $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$  are called the *cusps* of  $\Gamma$ , and the quotient space

$$X_{\Gamma} = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \cup \widehat{\mathbb{Q}} \quad (2.15)$$

is naturally a compact Riemann surface (cf. e.g. [31, §1.5]). We adopt the common practice of saying that  $\Gamma$  has *genus zero* in case  $X_{\Gamma}$  is a genus zero surface.

For  $n$  a positive integer the *Hecke congruence group* of level  $n$ , denoted  $\Gamma_0(n)$ , is defined by



setting

$$\Gamma_0(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ cn & d \end{pmatrix} \middle| a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bcn = 1 \right\}. \quad (2.16)$$

Say  $e$  is an *exact divisor* of  $n$ , and write  $e||n$ , if  $e|n$  and  $(e, n/e) = 1$ . According to [5] the normaliser  $N(\Gamma_0(n))$  of  $\Gamma_0(n)$  in  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is commensurable with  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and admits the description

$$N(\Gamma_0(n)) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} \begin{pmatrix} ae & b/h \\ cn/h & de \end{pmatrix} \middle| a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, e||n/h, ade - bcn/eh^2 = 1 \right\} \quad (2.17)$$

where  $h$  is the largest divisor of 24 such that  $h^2$  divides  $n$ . So if  $e||n$  then we obtain a coset  $W_n(e)$  for  $\Gamma_0(n)$  in its normaliser by setting

$$W_n(e) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} \begin{pmatrix} ae & b \\ cn & de \end{pmatrix} \middle| a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, e||n, ade - bcn/e = 1 \right\}. \quad (2.18)$$

Observe that the product of any two elements of  $W_n(e)$  lies in  $W_n(1) = \Gamma_0(n)$ . More generally, the operation  $e * f = ef/(e, f)^2$  equips the set of exact divisors of  $n$  with a group structure isomorphic to that obtained by multiplication of Atkin–Lehner involutions,  $W_n(e)W_n(f) = W_n(e * f)$ . So for  $S$  a subgroup of the group of exact divisors of  $n$  we may define a group  $\Gamma_0(n) + S$ , containing and normalizing  $\Gamma_0(n)$ , by taking the union of the Atkin–Lehner cosets  $W_n(e)$  for  $e \in S$ . It is traditional [5] to simplify this notation by writing  $\Gamma_0(n) + e, f, \dots$  in place of  $\Gamma_0(n) + \{1, e, f, \dots\}$ . Thus we have

$$\Gamma_0(n) + S = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} \begin{pmatrix} ae & b \\ cn & de \end{pmatrix} \middle| a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, e \in S, ade - bcn/e = 1 \right\}. \quad (2.19)$$

The positive integers occurring as Coxeter numbers of the A-type Niemeier root systems (cf. (2.8)) are

$$2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 18, 25. \quad (2.20)$$

Observe that these are exactly the positive integers  $n > 1$  for which the Hecke congruence group  $\Gamma_0(n)$  has genus zero (cf. e.g. [32]). The Coxeter numbers of the D-type Niemeier root systems

(cf. (2.9)) are

$$6, 10, 14, 18, 22, 30, 46, \quad (2.21)$$

and these are exactly the even integers  $2n$  such that the group  $\Gamma_0(2n)+n$  has genus zero [32]. We will demonstrate momentarily that the root system  $E_6^4$ , having Coxeter number 12, is naturally attached to the genus zero group  $\Gamma_0(12)+4$ , and  $E_8^3$ , having Coxeter number 30, is naturally attached to the genus zero group  $\Gamma_0(30)+6, 10, 15$ . As such, we obtain a correspondence between the 23 Niemeier root systems and the genus zero groups of the form

$$\Gamma_0(n), \quad \Gamma_0(2n)+n, \quad \Gamma_0(12)+4, \quad \Gamma_0(30)+6, 10, 15. \quad (2.22)$$

Write  $\Gamma^X$  for the genus zero subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  associated in this way to a Niemeier root system  $X$ . Write  $T^X$  for the unique principal modulus for  $\Gamma^X$  that has an expansion

$$T^X = q^{-1} - d^X + O(q) \quad (2.23)$$

about the infinite cusp, where  $d^X$  denotes the number of irreducible components of  $X$ . Then we may recover  $T^X$ , and hence also  $\Gamma^X$ , directly, as follows, from the Coxeter Frame shapes (cf. §2.1) of the irreducible components of  $X$ .

Define the Coxeter Frame shape  $\pi^X$  of an arbitrary root system  $X$  to be the product of Coxeter Frame shapes of the irreducible components of  $X$ . Next, for a Frame shape  $\pi = \prod_i n_i^{k_i}$  define the associated *eta product*  $\eta_\pi$  by setting

$$\eta_\pi(\tau) = \prod_i \eta(n_i \tau)^{k_i}, \quad (2.24)$$

and observe that if  $X$  is simply laced and  $\pi^X$  is the Coxeter Frame shape of  $X$  then

$$\frac{1}{\eta_{\pi^X}(\tau)} = q^{-r/24}(1 - d^X q + O(q^2)) \quad (2.25)$$

where  $r$  denotes the rank of  $X$  and  $d^X$  is the number of irreducible components. We may also consider the *lambda sum*  $\lambda_\pi$  attached to a Frame shape  $\pi$ , which is the function

$$\lambda_\pi(\tau) = \sum_i k_i \lambda_{n_i}(\tau) \quad (2.26)$$

where  $\lambda_n(\tau)$  is defined in (A.9). Observe that if  $\pi = \prod_i n_i^{k_i}$  is such that  $\sum_i k_i = 0$  then

Table 2: Niemeier Root Systems and Principal Moduli

$X$	$A_1^{24}$	$A_2^{12}$	$A_3^8$	$A_4^6$	$A_5^4 D_4$	$A_6^4$	$A_7^2 D_5^2$
$\ell$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\pi^X$	$\frac{2^{24}}{1^{24}}$	$\frac{3^{12}}{1^{12}}$	$\frac{4^8}{1^8}$	$\frac{5^6}{1^6}$	$\frac{2^1 6^5}{1^3 3^1}$	$\frac{7^4}{1^4}$	$\frac{2^2 8^4}{1^4 4^2}$
$\Gamma^X$	$2B$	$3B$	$4C$	$5B$	$6E$	$7B$	$8E$
$X$	$A_9^3$	$A_9^2 D_6$	$A_{11} D_7 E_6$	$A_{12}^2$	$A_{15} D_9$	$A_{17} E_7$	$A_{24}$
$\ell$	9	10	12	13	16	18	25
$\pi^X$	$\frac{9^3}{1^3}$	$\frac{2^1 10^3}{1^3 5^1}$	$\frac{2^2 3^1 12^3}{1^3 4^1 6^2}$	$\frac{13^2}{1^2}$	$\frac{2^1 16^2}{1^2 8^1}$	$\frac{2^1 3^1 18^2}{1^2 6^1 9^1}$	$\frac{25^1}{1^1}$
$\Gamma^X$	$9B$	$10E$	$12I$	$13B$	$16B$	$18D$	$(25Z)$
$X$	$D_6^4$	$D_6^4$	$D_8^3$	$D_{10} E_7^2$	$D_{12}^2$	$D_{16} E_8$	$D_{24}$
$\ell$	6+3	10+5	14+7	18+9	22+11	30+15	46+23
$\pi^X$	$\frac{2^6 6^6}{1^6 3^6}$	$\frac{2^4 10^4}{1^4 5^4}$	$\frac{2^3 14^3}{1^3 7^3}$	$\frac{2^3 3^2 18^3}{1^3 6^2 9^3}$	$\frac{2^2 22^2}{1^2 11^2}$	$\frac{2^2 3^1 5^1 30^2}{1^2 6^1 10^1 15^2}$	$\frac{2^1 46^1}{1^1 23^1}$
$\Gamma^X$	$6C$	$10B$	$14B$	$18C$	$22B$	$30G$	$46AB$
$X$	$E_6^4$	$E_8^3$					
$\ell$	12+4	30+6,10,15					
$\pi^X$	$\frac{2^4 3^4 12^4}{1^4 4^4 6^4}$	$\frac{2^3 3^3 5^3 30^3}{1^3 6^3 10^3 15^3}$					
$\Gamma^X$	$12B$	$30A$					

$$\lambda_\pi = q \partial_q \log \eta_\pi.$$

The Coxeter Frame shapes of the Niemeier root systems are given in Table 2. By inspection we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $X$  is a Niemeier root system and  $\pi^X$  is the Coxeter Frame shape of  $X$  then*

$$T^X = \frac{1}{\eta_{\pi^X}} \quad (2.27)$$

is the unique principal modulus for  $\Gamma^X$  satisfying  $T^X = q^{-1} - d^X + O(q)$  as  $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$ .

*Remark 2.2.* Niemeier's classification of even unimodular positive-definite lattices of rank 24 together with Proposition 2.1 imply that if  $X$  is the root system of an even unimodular positive-

definite lattice of rank 24 then the eta product of the Coxeter Frame shape of  $X$  is a principal modulus for a genus zero subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . It would be desirable to have a conceptual proof of this fact.

The relation between the  $T^X$  and umbral moonshine will be discussed in §4.2, where the weight two Eisenstein forms

$$f^X = \lambda_{\pi^X} \tag{2.28}$$

will play a prominent role. (Cf. Table 6.) We have  $\sum_i k_i = 0$  when  $\pi^X = \prod_i n_i^{k_i}$  for every Niemeier root system  $X$ , so the functions  $f^X$  and  $T^X$  are related by

$$f^X = \lambda_{\pi} = q\partial_q \log \eta_{\pi} = -q\partial_q \log T^X \tag{2.29}$$

for  $\pi = \pi^X$ .

It is interesting to note that all of the  $\Gamma^X$ , except for  $X = A_{24}$ , appear in monstrous moonshine as genus zero groups for whom monstrous McKay–Thompson series serve as principal moduli. Indeed, all of the Frame shapes  $\pi^X$ , except for  $X = A_{24}$ , are Frame shapes of elements of Conway’s group  $Co_0$ , the automorphism group of the Leech lattice (cf. [5, §7]). We observe that for the cases that  $\pi^X$  is the Frame shape of an element in  $Co_0$  the corresponding centralizer in  $Co_0$  typically contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $G^X$ .

We include the ATLAS names [33] (see also [5]) for the monstrous conjugacy classes corresponding to the groups  $\Gamma^X$  via monstrous moonshine in the rows labelled  $\Gamma^X$  in Table 2. Extending the notation utilised in [1] we assign *lambencies*  $\ell$ —now symbols rather than integers—to each Niemeier system  $X$  according to the prescription of Table 2. The lambencies then serve to name the groups  $\Gamma^X$  also, according to the convention that  $n$  corresponds to  $\Gamma_0(n)$ , and  $12 + 4$  corresponds to  $\Gamma_0(12) + 4$ , &c. It will be convenient in what follows to sometimes use  $(\ell)$  in place of  $X$ , writing  $G^{(\ell)}$ ,  $H^{(\ell)}$ , &c., to label the finite groups and mock modular forms associated to the corresponding Niemeier root system.

## 2.4 Umbral Groups

Given a Niemeier root system  $X$  we may consider the automorphism group of the associated Niemeier lattice  $L^X$ . The reflections in roots of  $L^X$  generate a normal subgroup of the full automorphism group of  $L^X$ —the Weyl group of  $X$ —which we denote  $W^X$ . We define  $G^X$  to

be the corresponding quotient,

$$G^X = \text{Aut}(L^X)/W^X. \quad (2.30)$$

The particular groups  $G^X$  arising in this way are displayed in Table 3. Observe<sup>1</sup> that the group  $G^{(\ell)}$  of [1] appears here as  $G^X$  for  $X$  the unique root system with a component  $A_{\ell-1}$ . In fact, the  $G^{(\ell)}$  of [1] are exactly those  $G^X$  for which  $X$  is of the form  $X = A_{\ell-1}^d$  with even  $d$ . It will develop that, for every Niemeier root system  $X$ , the representation theory of  $G^X$  is intimately related to a set of vector-valued mock modular forms  $H_g^X$ , to be introduced in §4-5.

Table 3: Umbral Groups

$X$	$A_1^{24}$	$A_2^{12}$	$A_3^8$	$A_4^6$	$A_5^4 D_4$	$A_6^4$	$A_7^2 D_5^2$
$\ell$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$G^X$	$M_{24}$	$2.M_{12}$	$2.AGL_3(2)$	$GL_2(5)/2$	$GL_2(3)$	$SL_2(3)$	$Dih_4$
$\bar{G}^X$	$M_{24}$	$M_{12}$	$AGL_3(2)$	$PGL_2(5)$	$PGL_2(3)$	$PSL_2(3)$	$2^2$
$X$	$A_8^3$	$A_9^2 D_6$	$A_{11} D_7 E_6$	$A_{12}^2$	$A_{15} D_9$	$A_{17} E_7$	$A_{24}$
$\ell$	9	10	12	13	16	18	25
$G^X$	$Dih_6$	4	2	4	2	2	2
$\bar{G}^X$	$Sym_3$	2	1	2	1	1	1
$X$	$D_4^6$	$D_6^4$	$D_8^3$	$D_{10} E_7^2$	$D_{12}^2$	$D_{16} E_8$	$D_{24}$
$\ell$	6+3	10+5	14+7	18+9	22+11	30+15	46+23
$G^X$	$3.Sym_6$	$Sym_4$	$Sym_3$	2	2	1	1
$\bar{G}^X$	$Sym_6$	$Sym_4$	$Sym_3$	2	2	1	1
$X$	$E_6^4$	$E_8^3$					
$\ell$	12+4	30+6,10,15					
$G^X$	$GL_2(3)$	$Sym_3$					
$\bar{G}^X$	$PGL_2(3)$	$Sym_3$					

As mentioned in §2.3, it will often be useful to use the lambencies to label the groups and mock modular forms associated to a given Niemeier root system. To this end we define  $G^{(n)} = G^X$  in case  $\Gamma_0(n)$  has genus zero and  $X$  is the unique A-type Niemeier root system with

<sup>1</sup>We are grateful to George Glauberman for first alerting us to this fact.

Coxeter number  $n$  (cf. (2.8)). We define  $G^{(2n+n)} = G^X$  when  $\Gamma_0(2n) + n$  has genus zero and  $X$  is the unique D-type Niemeier root system with Coxeter number  $2n$  (cf. (2.9)). We write  $G^{(12+4)}$  for  $G^X$  when  $X = E_6^4$  and we write  $G^{(30+6,10,15)}$  for  $G^X$  when  $X = E_8^3$ .

Observe that the subgroup  $\widehat{W}^X < \text{Aut}(L^X)$  consisting of automorphisms of  $L^X$  that stabilize the irreducible components of  $X$  is also normal in  $\text{Aut}(L^X)$ . Define  $\bar{G}^X$  to be the corresponding quotient,

$$\bar{G}^X = \text{Aut}(L^X)/\widehat{W}^X, \quad (2.31)$$

so that  $\bar{G}^X$  is precisely the group of permutations of the irreducible components of  $X$  induced by automorphisms of  $L^X$ , and is a quotient of  $G^X$  (viz., the quotient by  $\widehat{W}^X/W^X$ ) since  $W^X < \widehat{W}^X$ . It turns out that  $\widehat{W}^X/W^X$  has order 2 when  $X_A \neq \emptyset$  or  $X = X_E = E_6^4$ , has order 3 when  $X = X_D = D_4^6$ , and is trivial otherwise.

*Remark 2.3.* In terms of the notation of [30] we have  $W^X = G_0$ ,  $\widehat{W}^X/W^X \simeq G_1$ ,  $\bar{G}^X \simeq G_2$  and  $G^X \simeq G_1G_2$ .

The groups  $G^X$  and  $\bar{G}^X$  come naturally equipped with various permutation representations. To see this choose a set  $\Phi$  of simple roots for  $L^X$ , meaning a set which is the union of sets of simple roots for each irreducible root sublattice of  $L^X$ . Then  $\Phi$  constitutes a basis for the 24-dimensional space  $L_{\mathbb{R}}^X$ , and for each  $g \in G^X$  there is a unique element in the pre-image of  $g$  under  $\text{Aut}(L^X) \rightarrow G^X$  that belongs to the subgroup  $\text{Aut}(L^X, \Phi)$ , consisting of automorphisms of  $L^X$  that stabilize  $\Phi$  as a set (i.e. act as permutations of the irreducible root subsystems followed by permutations—corresponding to Dynkin diagram automorphisms—of simple roots within irreducible root subsystems). Thus we obtain a section  $G^X \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L^X)$  of the projection  $\text{Aut}(L^X) \rightarrow G^X$  whose image is  $\text{Aut}(L^X, \Phi)$ , and composition with the natural map  $\text{Aut}(L^X, \Phi) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{\Phi}$  defines a permutation representation of  $G^X$  on  $\Phi$ . Write  $\Phi = \Phi_A \cup \Phi_D \cup \Phi_E$  where  $\Phi_A$  contains the roots in  $\Phi$  belonging to type  $A$  components of  $X$ , and similarly for  $\Phi_D$  and  $\Phi_E$ . Then the decomposition  $\Phi = \Phi_A \cup \Phi_D \cup \Phi_E$  is stable under  $G^X$ , since  $\text{Aut}(L^X)$  cannot mix roots that belong to non-isomorphic root systems, so we obtain maps  $G^X \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{\Phi_A}$ ,  $G^X \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{\Phi_D}$  and  $G^X \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{\Phi_E}$ . Write  $g \mapsto \tilde{\chi}_g^X$  for the character of  $G^X$  attached to the representation  $G^X \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{\Phi}$ , write  $g \mapsto \tilde{\chi}_g^{X_A}$  for that attached to  $G^X \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{\Phi_A}$ , and interpret  $\tilde{\chi}^{X_D}$  and  $\tilde{\chi}^{X_E}$  similarly. Observe that  $\tilde{\chi}^X$  (and hence also  $\tilde{\chi}^{X_A}$ ,  $\tilde{\chi}^{X_D}$  and  $\tilde{\chi}^{X_E}$ ) are independent of the choice of  $\Phi$ . We set  $\tilde{\chi}^{X_A} = 0$  in case  $\Phi_A$  is empty, and similarly for  $\tilde{\chi}^{X_D}$  and  $\tilde{\chi}^{X_E}$ . We have

$$\tilde{\chi}^X = \tilde{\chi}^{X_A} + \tilde{\chi}^{X_D} + \tilde{\chi}^{X_E}. \quad (2.32)$$

The characters  $\tilde{\chi}^{X_A}$ , &c., are naturally decomposed further as follows. Suppose that  $X_A \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $X_A = A_{m-1}^{d_A}$  for  $d_A = d_A^X$  (cf. (2.11)) and we may write

$$\Phi_A = \{f_j^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_A, 1 \leq j \leq m-1\} \quad (2.33)$$

where the superscript indicates the  $A_{m-1}$  component to which the simple root  $f_j^i$  belongs, and the inner products between the  $f_j^i$  for varying  $j$  are as described by the labeling in Figure 1 (so that  $\langle f_j^i, f_k^i \rangle$  is  $-1$  or  $0$  according as the nodes labelled  $f_j$  and  $f_k$  are joined by an edge or not). Then for fixed  $j$  the vectors  $\{f_j^i + f_{m-j}^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_A\}$  define a permutation representation of degree  $d_A$  for  $G^X$ . We denote the corresponding character  $g \mapsto \bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$  since the isomorphism type of the representation is evidently independent of the choice of  $j$ . Observe that  $\bar{\chi}^{X_A}$  is generally not a faithful character since permutations of  $\Phi_A$  arising from diagram automorphisms, exchanging  $f_j^i$  with  $f_{m-j}^i$  for some  $i$ , act trivially. The vectors  $\{f_j^i - f_{m-j}^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_A\}$  also span  $G^X$ -invariant subspaces of  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi_A < L_{\mathbb{R}}^X$ , with different  $j$  in the range  $0 < j < m/2$  furnishing isomorphic (signed permutation) representations; we denote the corresponding character  $g \mapsto \chi_g^{X_A}$ . Since the  $f_j^i$  are linearly independent we can conclude that

$$\tilde{\chi}^{X_A} = \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor \bar{\chi}^{X_A} + \left\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rceil \chi^{X_A} \quad (2.34)$$

by counting the possibilities for  $j$  in each case.

If  $\Phi_D$  is non-empty then  $m$  is even and  $X_D = D_{m/2+1}^{d_D}$  for  $d_D = d_D^X$  (cf. (2.11)). Write now

$$\Phi_D = \{f_j^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_D, 1 \leq j \leq m/2+1\} \quad (2.35)$$

where, similar to the above, the superscript indicates the  $D_{m/2+1}$  component to which the simple root  $f_j^i$  belongs, and the inner products between the  $f_j^i$  for varying  $j$  are as described in Figure 1. Suppose first that  $m > 6$ . Then  $m/2+1 > 4$  and the only non-trivial diagram automorphism of  $D_{m/2+1}$  has order 2 and interchanges  $f_{m/2}^i$  and  $f_{m/2+1}^i$ . So we find that for  $1 \leq j < m/2$  the sets  $\{f_j^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_D\}$  serve as bases for isomorphic permutation representations of degree  $d_D$  for  $G^X$ , as does  $\{f_{m/2}^i + f_{m/2+1}^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_D\}$ ; we denote the character of this (i.e. any one of these) permutation representation(s) by  $g \mapsto \bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$ . We define  $\chi^{X_D}$  to be the (signed permutation) character of the representation spanned by the vectors  $\{f_{m/2}^i - f_{m/2+1}^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_D\}$ , and we have

$$\tilde{\chi}^{X_D} = \frac{m}{2} \bar{\chi}^{X_D} + \chi^{X_D} \quad (2.36)$$

when  $X_D \neq \emptyset$  and  $m > 6$ . In case  $m = 6$  the group of diagram automorphisms of  $D_{m/2+1} = D_4$  is a copy of  $S_3$ , acting transitively on the sets  $\{f_1^i, f_3^i, f_4^i\}$  (for fixed  $i$ ), so we define  $\bar{\chi}^{X_D}$  to be the character attached to the (permutation) representation of  $G^X$  spanned by  $\{f_2^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_D\}$  (or equivalently,  $\{f_1^i + f_3^i + f_4^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_D\}$ ) and define  $\check{\chi}^{X_D}$  to be the character of the representation spanned by the vectors  $\{f_1^i - f_3^i, f_1^i - f_4^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_D\}$ . Evidently

$$\tilde{\chi}^{X_D} = 2\bar{\chi}^{X_D} + \check{\chi}^{X_D} \quad (2.37)$$

in case  $m = 6$ . In preparation for §5.1, where the characters defined here will be used to specify certain vector-valued cusp forms of weight  $3/2$ , we define  $\chi_g^{X_D} = \text{sgn}_g^{X_D} \bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$  for  $g \in G^X$  when  $X = A_4^5 D_4$  or  $X = D_4^6$ —the two cases for which  $X$  involves  $D_4$ —where  $\text{sgn}_g^{X_D} = \pm 1$  is the function defined as follows. Write the image of  $g \in G^X$  in  $\text{Sym}_{\Phi_D}$  as a product  $g_d \circ g_p$  where  $g_p \cdot f_j^i = f_j^{\pi(i)}$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , for some permutation  $\pi \in \text{Sym}_{d_D^X}$ , and  $g_d \cdot f_j^i = f_{\sigma_i(j)}^i$  for some permutations  $\sigma_i \in \text{Sym}_4$ . Then set  $\text{sgn}_g^{X_D} = \prod_{i=1}^{d_D^X} \text{sgn}(\sigma_i)$ .

If  $\Phi_E = E_n^{d_E}$  for  $d_E = d_E^X > 0$  then we may identify  $f_j^i \in \Phi_E$  such that

$$\Phi_E = \{f_j^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_E, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \quad (2.38)$$

and, as above, the superscripts enumerate simple components of  $X_E$  and the subscripts indicate inner products for simple vectors within a component as per Figure 1. Define  $\bar{\chi}^{X_E}$  to be the character of  $G^X$  attached to the permutation representation spanned by the set  $\{f_3^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_E\}$  (for example). In case  $n = 6$  write  $\chi^{X_E}$  for the character of  $G^X$  attached to the representation afforded by  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{f_1^i - f_5^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_E\}$ . We have

$$\tilde{\chi}^{X_E} = n\bar{\chi}^{X_E} \quad (2.39)$$

when  $n \in \{7, 8\}$  since for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$  the set  $\{f_j^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_E\}$  spans a representation with character  $\bar{\chi}^{X_E}$  in these cases, and

$$\tilde{\chi}^{X_E} = 4\bar{\chi}^{X_E} + 2\chi^{X_E} \quad (2.40)$$

when  $n = 6$ , the invariant subspace with character  $2\chi^{X_E}$  being spanned by the vectors  $f_1^i - f_5^i$  and  $f_2^i - f_4^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d_E$ .

We call the functions  $\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$ ,  $\chi_g^{X_A}$ ,  $\bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$ ,  $\chi_g^{X_D}$ , &c., the *twisted Euler characters* attached to  $G^X$ . They are given explicitly in the tables of §B.2. As mentioned above, we will use them to attach a vector-valued cusp form  $S_g^X$  to each  $g \in G^X$  for  $X$  a Niemeier root system in §5.1.



## 2.5 McKay Correspondence

The *McKay correspondence* [34] relates finite subgroups of  $SU(2)$  to the extended Dynkin diagrams of ADE type by associating irreducible representations of the finite groups to nodes of the corresponding diagrams. A beautiful explanation for this can be given in terms of resolutions of simple singularities  $\mathbb{C}^2/G$  for  $G < SU(2)$  [35, 36]. In §3.5 of [1] we observed a curious connection between the umbral groups  $G^{(\ell)}$  and certain finite subgroups  $D^{(\ell)} < SU(2)$ , for the cases  $\ell \in \{3, 4, 5, 7\}$ , such that the lambency  $\ell$  and the rank  $r$  of the Dynkin diagram attached to  $D^{(\ell)}$  via McKay's correspondence are related by  $\ell + r = 11$ . In this section we describe an extension of this observation, relating the umbral group  $G^{(\ell)}$  to a finite subgroup  $D^{(\ell)} < SU(2)$ , for each  $\ell$  in  $\{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ .

In [1] it was observed that a Dynkin diagram of rank  $11 - \ell$  may be attached to each  $G^{(\ell)}$  for  $\ell \in \{3, 4, 5, 7\}$  in the following manner. If  $p = (25 - \ell)/(\ell - 1)$  then  $p$  is a prime and there is a unique (up to conjugacy) subgroup  $\bar{L}^{(\ell)} < \bar{G}^{(\ell)}$  such that  $\bar{L}^{(\ell)}$  is isomorphic to  $PSL_2(p)$  and acts transitively in the degree  $24/(\ell - 1)$  permutation representation of  $G^{(\ell)}$  defined in [1, §3.3]. Now  $\bar{L}^{(\ell)}$  has a unique (up to isomorphism) subgroup  $\bar{D}^{(\ell)}$  of index  $p$  in  $\bar{L}^{(\ell)}$ —a fact which is peculiar to the particular  $p$  arising—and  $\bar{D}^{(\ell)}$  is a finite subgroup of  $SO(3)$  whose preimage  $D^{(\ell)}$  in  $SU(2)$  realises the extended diagram  $\Delta^{(\ell)}$  corresponding (cf. Figure 2) to a Dynkin diagram of rank  $11 - \ell$  via McKay's correspondence. In the present setting, with groups  $G^{(\ell)}$  defined for all  $\ell$  such that  $\Gamma_0(\ell)$  has genus zero, and in particular for  $3 \leq \ell \leq 10$ , it is possible to extend this correspondence as follows.

Table 4: The McKay Correspondence in Umbral Moonshine

$X$	$A_2^{12}$	$A_3^8$	$A_4^6$	$A_5^4 D_4$	$A_6^4$	$A_7^2 D_5^2$	$A_8^3$	$A_9^2 D_6$
$\ell$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$p$	11	7	5	4	3	2	2	2
$G^{(\ell)}$	$2.M_{12}$	$2.AGL_3(2)$	$GL_2(5)/2$	$GL_2(3)$	$SL_2(3)$	$Dih_4$	$Dih_6$	4
$D^{(\ell)}$	$2.Alt_5$	$2.Sym_4$		$Dih_6$	$Q_8$	4	3	2
$\bar{G}^{(\ell)}$	$M_{12}$	$AGL_3(2)$	$PGL_2(5)$	$PGL_2(3)$	$PSL_2(3)$	$2^2$	$PSL_2(2)$	2
$\bar{L}^{(\ell)}$	$PSL_2(11)$	$PSL_2(7)$	$PSL_2(5)$	$PGL_2(3)$	$PSL_2(3)$	$2^2$	$PSL_2(2)$	2
$\bar{D}^{(\ell)}$	$Alt_5$	$Sym_4$	$Alt_4$	$Sym_3$	$2^2$	2	3	1
$\Delta^{(\ell)}$	$\widehat{E}_8$	$\widehat{E}_7$	$\widehat{E}_6$	$\widehat{D}_5$	$\widehat{D}_4$	$\widehat{A}_3$	$\widehat{A}_2$	$\widehat{A}_1$

Since  $(25 - \ell)/(\ell - 1)$  is not an integer for  $\ell \in \{6, 8, 10\}$  we seek a new definition of  $p$ . Armed with the Niemeier root systems attached to each  $G^{(\ell)}$  we set  $p = d_A - 1$  in case  $X = X_A = A_{\ell-1}^{d_A}$

has only A-type components, and set  $p = d_A$  otherwise. This definition yields values coincident with the former one when  $(25-\ell)/(\ell-1)$  is an integer. Next we seek a subgroup  $\bar{L}^{(\ell)} < \bar{G}^{(\ell)}$  acting transitively on the irreducible components of  $X_A$  and  $X_D$  that has a unique up to isomorphism index  $p$  subgroup  $\bar{D}^{(\ell)}$ ,

$$[\bar{L}^{(\ell)} : \bar{D}^{(\ell)}] = p. \quad (2.41)$$

Such  $\bar{L}^{(\ell)}$  and  $\bar{D}^{(\ell)}$  exist for each  $3 \leq \ell \leq 10$  and are given explicitly in Table 4. In the new cases  $\ell \in \{6, 8, 9, 10\}$  the groups  $\bar{L}^{(\ell)}$  and  $\bar{G}^{(\ell)}$  coincide.

The main observation of this section is the following.

*For every  $3 \leq \ell \leq 10$  the group  $\bar{D}^{(\ell)}$  is the image in  $SO(3)$  of a finite subgroup  $D^{(\ell)} < SU(2)$  that is attached, via McKay's correspondence, to the extended diagram  $\Delta^{(\ell)}$  corresponding to a Dynkin diagram of rank  $11 - \ell$ .*

The group  $D^{(\ell)}$  is even a subgroup of  $G^{(\ell)}$ —the pre image under the natural map  $G^X \rightarrow \bar{G}^X$ —except in the case that  $\ell = 5$ . (We refer to [1, §3.4] for a discussion of this exceptional case.) To aid in the reading of Table 4 we note here the exceptional isomorphisms

$$PGL_2(5) \simeq Sym_5, \quad PSL_2(5) \simeq Alt_5, \quad (2.42)$$

$$PGL_2(3) \simeq Sym_4, \quad PSL_2(3) \simeq Alt_4, \quad (2.43)$$

$$PGL_2(2) \simeq PSL_2(2) \simeq Sym_3. \quad (2.44)$$

In [1] we used the common abbreviation  $L_n(q)$  for  $PSL_n(q)$ .

Recall from §3.5 of [1] the following procedure for obtaining a length 8 sequence of Dynkin diagrams. Start with the (finite type)  $E_8$  Dynkin diagram, being star shaped with three branches, and construct a sequence of diagrams iteratively by removing the end node from a branch of maximal length at each iteration. In this way we obtain  $E_8, E_7, E_6, D_5, D_4, A_3, A_2, A_1$ , and it is striking to observe that our list  $\Delta^{(\ell)}$ , obtained by applying the McKay correspondence to distinguished subgroups of the  $G^{(\ell)}$ , is exactly the sequence obtained from this by replacing (finite type) Dynkin diagrams with their corresponding extended diagrams.

### 3 Automorphic Forms

In this section we discuss modular objects that play a role in the moonshine relation between mock modular forms and finite groups that is the main focus of this paper.

In what follows we take  $\tau$  in the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , and adopt the shorthand notation  $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$ . We also define  $q = e(\tau)$  and  $y = e(z)$  and write

$$\gamma\tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \quad (3.1)$$

for the natural action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ , and write

$$\gamma(\tau, z) = \left( \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z}{c\tau + d} \right) \quad (3.2)$$

for the action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ . We set

$$j(\gamma, \tau) = (c\tau + d)^{-1} \quad (3.3)$$

and choose the principal branch of the logarithm (i.e.  $x^s = |x|^s e^{i\theta s}$  when  $x = |x|e^{i\theta}$  and  $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$ ) to define non-integer exponentials.

### 3.1 Mock Modular Forms

We briefly recall modular forms, mock modular forms, and their vector-valued generalisations.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of the group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  that is commensurable with the modular group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . For  $w \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$  say that a non-zero function  $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a *multiplier system* for  $\Gamma$  with weight  $w$  if

$$\psi(\gamma_1)\psi(\gamma_2)j(\gamma_1, \gamma_2\tau)^w j(\gamma_2, \tau)^w = \psi(\gamma_1\gamma_2)j(\gamma_1\gamma_2, \tau)^w \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ . Given such a multiplier system  $\psi$  for  $\Gamma$  we may define the  $(\psi, w)$ -action of  $\Gamma$  on the space  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H})$  of holomorphic functions on the upper half-plane by setting

$$(f|_{\psi, w}\gamma)(\tau) = f(\gamma\tau)\psi(\gamma)j(\gamma, \tau)^w \quad (3.5)$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H})$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . We then say that  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H})$  is an (*unrestricted*) *modular form* with multiplier  $\psi$  and weight  $w$  for  $\Gamma$  in the case that  $f$  is invariant for this action; i.e.  $f|_{\psi, w}\gamma = f$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . We say that an unrestricted modular form  $f$  for  $\Gamma$  with multiplier  $\psi$  and weight  $w$  is a *weakly holomorphic modular form* in case  $f$  has at most exponential growth at the cusps of  $\Gamma$ . We say that  $f$  is a *modular form* if  $(f|_{\tilde{\psi}, w}\sigma)(\tau)$  remains bounded as  $\Im(\tau) \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $\sigma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and we say  $f$  is a *cuspidal form* if  $(f|_{\tilde{\psi}, w}\sigma)(\tau) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\Im(\tau) \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $\sigma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Suppose that  $\psi$  is a multiplier system for  $\Gamma$  with weight  $w$ , and  $g$  is a modular form for  $\Gamma$  with the conjugate multiplier system  $\bar{\psi}: \gamma \mapsto \overline{\psi(\gamma)}$  and dual weight  $2 - w$ . Then we may use  $g$  to twist the  $(\psi, w)$ -action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H})$  by setting

$$(f|_{\psi, w, g}\gamma)(\tau) = f(\gamma\tau)\psi(\gamma)j(\gamma, \tau)^w + e\left(\frac{w-1}{4}\right) \int_{-\gamma^{-1}\infty}^{\infty} (\tau' + \tau)^{-w} \overline{g(-\bar{\tau}')} d\tau'. \quad (3.6)$$

With this definition, we say that  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{H})$  is an (*unrestricted*) *mock modular form* with multiplier  $\psi$ , weight  $w$  and shadow  $g$  for  $\Gamma$  if  $f$  is invariant for this action; i.e.  $f|_{\psi, w, g}\gamma = f$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . We say that an unrestricted mock modular form  $f$  for  $\Gamma$  with multiplier  $\psi$ , weight  $w$  and shadow  $g$  is a *weakly holomorphic mock modular form* in case  $f$  has at most linear exponential growth at the cusps of  $\Gamma$ . From this point of view a (weakly holomorphic) modular form is a (weakly holomorphic) mock modular form with vanishing shadow. This notion of mock modular form developed from the Maass form theory due to Bruinier–Funke [37], and from Zwegers’ work [38] on Ramanujan’s mock theta functions.

In this paper we will consider the generalisation of the above definition to *vector-valued* (*weakly holomorphic*) *mock modular forms* with  $n$  components, where the multiplier  $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a (projective) representation of  $\Gamma$ . From the definition (3.6), it is not hard to see that the multiplier  $\psi$  of a (vector-valued) mock modular form is necessarily the inverse of that of its shadow. To avoid clutter, we omit the adjective “weakly holomorphic” in the rest of the paper when there is no room for confusion.

Following Zwegers [38] and Zagier [39] we define a *mock theta function* to be a  $q$ -series  $h = \sum_n a_n q^n$  such that for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$  the assignment  $\tau \mapsto q^\lambda h|_{q=e(\tau)}$  defines a mock modular form of weight  $1/2$  whose shadow is a unary (i.e. attached to a quadratic form in one variable) theta series of weight  $3/2$ . In §6 we conjecture that specific sets of mock theta functions appear as McKay–Thompson series associated to infinite-dimensional modules for the groups  $G^X$  (cf. §2.4), where  $X$  is a Niemeier root system.

## 3.2 Jacobi Forms

We first discuss Jacobi forms following [40]. For every pair of integers  $k$  and  $m$ , we define the  $m$ -action of the group  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  and the  $(k, m)$ -action of the group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on the space of holomorphic functions  $\phi: \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as

$$(\phi|_m(\lambda, \mu))(\tau, z) = e(m(\lambda^2\tau + 2\lambda z)) \phi(\tau, z + \lambda\tau + \mu) \quad (3.7)$$

$$(\phi|_{k, m}\gamma)(\tau, z) = e\left(-m\frac{cz^2}{c\tau+d}\right) j(\gamma, \tau)^k \phi(\gamma(\tau, z)) \quad (3.8)$$

where  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We say a holomorphic function  $\phi: \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an (*unrestricted*) *Jacobi form* of weight  $k$  and index  $m$  for the Jacobi group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$  if it is invariant under the above actions,  $\phi = \phi|_{k,m}\gamma$  and  $\phi = \phi|_m(\lambda, \mu)$ , for all  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and for all  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . In what follows we refer to the transformations (3.7) and (3.8) as the *elliptic* and *modular* transformations, respectively.

The invariance of  $\phi(\tau, z)$  under  $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$  and  $z \rightarrow z + 1$  implies a Fourier expansion

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{n,r \in \mathbb{Z}} c(n, r) q^n y^r \quad (3.9)$$

and the elliptic transformation can be used to show that  $c(n, r)$  depends only on the *discriminant*  $D = r^2 - 4mn$  and on  $r \bmod 2m$ . In other words, we have  $c(n, r) = C_{\tilde{r}}(r^2 - 4mn)$  where  $\tilde{r} \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$  and  $r = \tilde{r} \bmod 2m$ , for some appropriate function  $D \mapsto C_{\tilde{r}}(D)$ . An unrestricted Jacobi form is called a *weak Jacobi form*, a (*strong*) *Jacobi form*, or a *Jacobi cusp form* when the Fourier coefficients satisfy  $c(n, r) = 0$  whenever  $n < 0$ ,  $C_{\tilde{r}}(D) = 0$  whenever  $D > 0$ , or  $C_{\tilde{r}}(D) = 0$  whenever  $D \geq 0$ , respectively. In a slight departure from the notation in [40] we denote the space of weak Jacobi forms of weight  $k$  and index  $m$  by  $J_{k,m}$ .

In what follows we will need two further generalisations of the above definitions. The first is relatively straightforward and replaces  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  by a finite index subgroup  $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  in the modular transformation law. (One has to consider Fourier expansions (3.9) for each cusp of  $\Gamma$ .) The second is more subtle and leads to *meromorphic Jacobi forms* which obey the modular and elliptic transformation laws but are such that the functions  $z \mapsto \phi(\tau, z)$  are allowed to have poles lying at values of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  corresponding to torsion points of the elliptic curve  $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z})$ . Our treatment of meromorphic Jacobi forms (cf. §3.4) mostly follows [38] and [41]. We will only consider functions with simple poles in  $z$ .

The elliptic transformation (3.7) implies (cf. [40]) that a (weak) Jacobi form of weight  $k$  and index  $m$  admits an expansion

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{r \pmod{2m}} \tilde{h}_{m,r}(\tau) \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) \quad (3.10)$$

in terms of the *index  $m$  theta functions*,

$$\theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k=r \pmod{2m}}} q^{k^2/4m} y^k. \quad (3.11)$$

Recall that the vector-valued function  $\theta_m = (\theta_{m,r})$  satisfies

$$\theta_m\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, -\frac{z}{\tau}\right) = \sqrt{-i\tau} e\left(\frac{mz^2}{\tau}\right) \mathbf{S} \theta_m(\tau, z), \quad \theta_m(\tau + 1, z) = \mathbf{T} \theta_m(\tau, z), \quad (3.12)$$

where  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{T}$  are the  $2m \times 2m$  unitary matrices with entries

$$\mathbf{S}_{rr'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} e\left(\frac{rr'}{2m}\right), \quad \mathbf{T}_{rr'} = e\left(\frac{r^2}{4m}\right) \delta_{r,r'}. \quad (3.13)$$

From this we can see that  $\tilde{h} = (\tilde{h}_{m,r})$  is a  $2m$ -component vector transforming as a weight  $k - 1/2$  modular form for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , with a multiplier system represented by the matrices  $\mathbf{S}^\dagger$  and  $\mathbf{T}^\dagger$ , satisfying  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^\dagger = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^\dagger = I_{2m}$ , and corresponding to the modular transformations  $S$  and  $T$ , respectively. (See [42].) Moreover, the invariance under the modular transformation (3.8) with  $\gamma = -I_2$  implies that  $\phi(\tau, -z) = (-1)^k \phi(\tau, z)$ . Combining this with the identity  $\theta_{m,-r}(\tau, z) = \theta_{m,r}(\tau, -z)$  we see that

$$\tilde{h}_{m,r} = (-1)^k \tilde{h}_{m,-r}. \quad (3.14)$$

### 3.3 The Eichler–Zagier Operators and an ADE Classification

We now turn to a discussion of the Eichler–Zagier operators on Jacobi forms and establish an ADE classification of maps satisfying a certain positivity condition.

Recall from §3.2 that a Jacobi form of weight  $k$  and index  $m$  admits a decomposition (3.10) into a combination of theta functions  $\theta_{m,r}$  and the  $2m$  components  $\tilde{h}_{m,r}$  of a vector-valued modular form  $\tilde{h}_m$  of weight  $k - 1/2$ . On the other hand, one can also consider the following question: for a given vector-valued modular form  $\tilde{h}_m$ , is the expression in (3.10) the only combination of  $\theta_{m,r}$  and  $\tilde{h}_{m,r}$  that has the right transformation property to be a weight  $k$ , index  $m$  Jacobi form? In other words, we would like to consider all  $2m \times 2m$  matrices  $\Omega$  such that

$$\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega \cdot \theta_m = \sum_{r,r' \pmod{2m}} \tilde{h}_{m,r} \Omega_{r,r'} \theta_{m,r'} \quad (3.15)$$

is again a weight  $k$  index  $m$  Jacobi form.

From (3.12)-(3.13) as well as the transformation under  $\gamma = -I_2$ , we see that this condition amounts to considering the commutants  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{T}$  satisfying

$$\mathbf{S}^\dagger \Omega \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{T}^\dagger \Omega \mathbf{T} = \Omega. \quad (3.16)$$

In particular, as  $\Omega$  commutes with  $\mathbf{S}^2$  we see that it has the reflection symmetry

$$\Omega_{r,r'} = \Omega_{-r,-r'}. \quad (3.17)$$

Such commutants have been classified in [43]. For each positive integer  $m$ , the space of  $2m \times 2m$  matrices satisfying (3.16) has dimension given by the number of divisors of  $m$ ,  $\sigma_0(m) = \sum_{d|m} 1$ , and is spanned by the set of linearly independent matrices  $\{\Omega_m(n_1), \Omega_m(n_2), \dots, \Omega_m(n_{\sigma_0(m)})\}$  whose entries are given by

$$\Omega_m(n_i)_{r,r'} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r + r' = 0 \pmod{2n_i} \text{ and } r - r' = 0 \pmod{2m/n_i}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

where  $1 = n_1 < n_2 < \dots < m = n_{\sigma_0(m)}$  are the divisors of  $m$ . It is easy to check that these matrices automatically satisfy (3.17).

Note that

$$\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega_m(n) \cdot \theta_m = (-1)^k \tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega_m(m/n) \cdot \theta_m, \quad (3.19)$$

as is evident from the definition (3.18) of  $\Omega_m(n)$  as well as the reflection property (3.14) of the components  $\tilde{h}_{m,r}$  of  $\tilde{h}_m$ .

In fact, as we will now show, for a given vector-valued modular form  $\tilde{h}_m = (\tilde{h}_{m,r})$  and any given divisor  $n$  of  $m$ , the new Jacobi form  $\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega_m(n) \cdot \theta_m$  can be obtained from the original one  $\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \theta_m$  via a natural operator—the so-called Eichler–Zagier operator [40]—on Jacobi forms.

Given positive integers  $n, m$  such that  $n|m$ , we define an *Eichler–Zagier operator*  $\mathcal{W}_m(n)$  acting on a function  $f: \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by setting

$$(f|\mathcal{W}_m(n))(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a,b=0}^{n-1} e\left(m\left(\frac{a^2}{n^2}\tau + 2\frac{a}{n}z + \frac{ab}{n^2}\right)\right) f\left(\tau, z + \frac{a}{n}\tau + \frac{b}{n}\right). \quad (3.20)$$

It is easy to see that the operator  $\mathcal{W}_m(n)$  commutes with the index  $m$  elliptic transformation (3.7)

$$f|\mathcal{W}_m(n)|_m(\lambda, \mu) = f|_m(\lambda, \mu)|\mathcal{W}_m(n) \quad (3.21)$$

for all  $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$  and in particular preserves the invariance under elliptic transformations. Moreover, one can easily check that the modular invariance (3.8) is also preserved. As a result  $\mathcal{W}_m(n)$  maps an unrestricted Jacobi form of weight  $k$  and index  $m$  to another unrestricted Jacobi form of the same weight and index. Moreover, when  $n||m$  this operation is an involution on the space of strong Jacobi forms. This involution is sometimes referred to as an *Atkin–Lehner involution* for

Jacobi forms due to its intimate relation to Atkin–Lehner involutions for modular forms [44, 45]. We will explain and utilise some aspects of this relation in §4.2.

For later use, we define the more general operator  $\mathcal{W} = \sum_{n_i|m} c_i \mathcal{W}_m(n_i)$  by setting

$$f|\mathcal{W} = \sum_{n_i|m} c_i (f|\mathcal{W}_m(n_i)). \quad (3.22)$$

The relation between the Eichler–Zagier operators  $\mathcal{W}$  and the transformation on Jacobi forms

$$\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \theta_m \mapsto \tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega \cdot \theta_m, \quad (3.23)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a linear combination of the matrices  $\Omega_m(n)$  in (3.18), can be seen via the action of the former on the theta functions  $\theta_{m,r}$ . Notice that

$$\theta_{m,r}|\mathcal{W}_m(n) = \sum_{r' \pmod{2m}} \Omega_m(n)_{r,r'} \theta_{m,r'}. \quad (3.24)$$

In terms of the  $2m$ -component vector  $\theta_m = (\theta_{m,r})$ , we have

$$\theta_m|\mathcal{W}_m(n) = \Omega_m(n) \cdot \theta_m, \quad (3.25)$$

which immediately leads to

$$\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \theta_m|\mathcal{W}_m(n) = \tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega_m(n) \cdot \theta_m. \quad (3.26)$$

In other words, the Jacobi forms we discussed above in terms of the matrices  $\Omega_m(n)$  are simply the images of the original Jacobi forms under the corresponding Eichler–Zagier operators. This property makes it obvious that  $\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega_m(n) \cdot \theta_m$  is also a Jacobi form since  $\mathcal{W}_m(n)$  preserves the transformation under the Jacobi group. This relation will be important in the discussion in §4.

Apart from the modularity (Jacobi form) condition, it is also natural to impose a certain positivity condition. As we will see, this additional condition leads to an ADE classification of the matrices  $\Omega$ . To explain this positivity condition, first recall that all the entries of the matrices  $\Omega_m(n)$  for any divisor  $n$  of  $m$  are non-negative integers and it might seem that any positivity condition would be redundant. However, we have also seen that the description of the theta-coefficients  $\tilde{h}_{m,r}$  of a weight  $k$ , index  $m$  Jacobi form as a vector with  $2m$  components has some redundancy since different components are related to each other by  $\tilde{h}_{m,r} = (-1)^k \tilde{h}_{m,-r}$  (cf. (3.14)). For the purpose of the present paper we will from now on consider only the case



$X$	$m(X)$	$\pi^X$	$\Omega^X$
$A_{m-1}$	$m$	$\frac{m}{1}$	$\Omega_m(1)$
$D_{m/2+1}$	$m$	$\frac{2 \cdot m}{1 \cdot (m/2)}$	$\Omega_m(1) + \Omega_m(m/2)$
$E_6$	12	$\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 12}{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 6}$	$\Omega_{12}(1) + \Omega_{12}(4) + \Omega_{12}(6)$
$E_7$	18	$\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 18}{1 \cdot 6 \cdot 9}$	$\Omega_{18}(1) + \Omega_{18}(6) + \Omega_{18}(9)$
$E_8$	30	$\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 30}{1 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdot 15}$	$\Omega_{30}(1) + \Omega_{30}(6) + \Omega_{30}(10) + \Omega_{30}(15)$

 Table 5: The ADE classification of matrices  $\Omega$  producing Jacobi forms  $\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega \cdot \theta_m$ 

of odd  $k$ , where there are at most  $m - 1$  independent components in  $(\tilde{h}_{m,r})$ . In this case, using the property (3.17) we can rewrite the Jacobi form  $\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega \cdot \theta_m$  as

$$\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega \cdot \theta_m = \sum_{r,r'=1}^{m-1} \tilde{h}_{m,r} (\Omega_{r,r'} - \Omega_{r,-r'}) (\theta_{m,r'} - \theta_{m,-r'}). \quad (3.27)$$

As a result, it is natural to consider the  $2m \times 2m$  matrices  $\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma_0(m)} c_i \Omega_m(n_i)$  where  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  are the (distinct) divisors of  $m$  that satisfy the corresponding positivity and integrality condition

$$\Omega_{r,r'} - \Omega_{r,-r'} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ for all } r, r' = 1, \dots, m-1, \quad (3.28)$$

with a natural normalisation

$$\Omega_{1,1} - \Omega_{1,-1} = 1. \quad (3.29)$$

Evidently, they are in one-to-one correspondence with the non-negative integer combinations of  $(\tilde{h}_{m,r})$  and  $(\theta_{m,r'} - \theta_{m,-r'})$ , with  $r, r' = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ , with the coefficient of the term  $\tilde{h}_{m,1} \theta_{m,1}$  equal to 1. From a conformal field theory point of view this is precisely the requirement of having a unique ground state in the theory.

It turns out that this problem has been studied by Cappelli–Itzykson–Zuber [24]. They found a beautiful ADE classification of such  $2m \times 2m$  matrices  $\Omega$  (see Proposition 2 of [24]) and we present these matrices in Table 5, denoting by  $\Omega^X$  the matrix corresponding to the irreducible simply-laced root system  $X$ . The motivation of [24] was very different from ours: these authors were interested in classifying the modular invariant combinations of chiral and anti-chiral characters of the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{A}_1$  (the  $SU(2)$  current algebra). However, as the modular transformation of the  $\widehat{A}_1$  characters at level  $m - 2$  is very closely related to that of the index  $m$  theta functions  $\theta_{m,r}$ , the relevant matrices are also the commutants of the same  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{T}$  matrices satisfying (3.16).

The relation between the Eichler–Zagier operators and the  $\Omega_m(n)$  matrices discussed earlier makes it straightforward to extend the above ADE classification to an ADE classification of Eichler–Zagier operators. Combining the results of the above discussion, we arrive at the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any integer  $m$  and any odd integer  $k$ , and any vector-valued modular form  $\tilde{h}_m = (\tilde{h}_{m,r})$  such that  $\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \theta_m$  is an (unrestricted) weight  $k$ , index  $m$  Jacobi form, suppose  $\Omega$  coincides with a matrix  $\Omega^X$  corresponding to an irreducible simply-laced root system  $X$  with Coxeter number  $m$  via Table 5. Then the combination  $\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega \cdot \theta_m$  is also a weight  $k$ , index  $m$  (unrestricted) Jacobi form which moreover satisfies the positivity condition*

$$\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega \cdot \theta_m = \sum_{r,r'=1}^{m-1} c_{r,r'} \tilde{h}_{m,r} (\theta_{m,r'} - \theta_{m,-r'}), \quad c_{r,r'} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad c_{1,1} = 1. \quad (3.30)$$

Conversely, any  $2m \times 2m$  matrix  $\Omega$  for which the above statement is true necessarily coincides with a matrix  $\Omega^X$  corresponding to an irreducible simply-laced root system  $X$  with Coxeter number  $m$ . Moreover, the resulting (unrestricted) Jacobi form is the image of the original Jacobi form  $\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \theta_m$  under the Eichler–Zagier operator  $\mathcal{W}^X$  defined by replacing  $\Omega_m(n)$  in  $\Omega^X$  with  $\mathcal{W}_m(n)$  (cf. (3.32)).

*Proof.* First, the Jacobi form condition on  $\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega \cdot \theta_m$  requires that  $\Omega$  satisfies the commutant condition (3.16). It was shown in [43] (cf. Proposition 1 of [24]) that the space of such  $2m \times 2m$  matrices are spanned by  $\{\Omega_m(n_1), \Omega_m(n_2), \dots, \Omega_m(n_{\sigma_0(m)})\}$  given in (3.18).

Next, the positivity and integrality conditions on  $c_{r,r'}$  are equivalent to those on the entries  $\Omega_{r,r'} - \Omega_{r,-r'}$  given in (3.28-3.29). The linear combinations of  $\Omega_m(n_i)$  satisfying (3.28-3.29) were shown in [24] to correspond to ADE root systems via Table 5. Finally, the equality (3.32) follows from the equality (3.25).  $\square$

The relation between  $\Omega^X$  and the ADE root system  $X$  lies in the following two facts. First,  $\Omega^X$  is a  $2m \times 2m$  matrix where  $m$  is the Coxeter number of  $X$ . Moreover,  $\Omega_{r,r}^X - \Omega_{r,-r}^X = \alpha_r^X$  for  $r = 1, \dots, m-1$  coincides with the multiplicity of  $r$  as a Coxeter exponent of  $X$  (cf. Table 1). Note the striking similarity between the expression for  $\Omega^X$  and the denominator of the Coxeter Frame shape  $\pi^X$  (cf. §2.1). For instance,  $\Omega^X = \Omega_m(1)$  for  $X = A_{m-1}$  is nothing but the  $2m \times 2m$  identity matrix.

More generally, for a union  $X = \bigcup_i X_i$  of simply-laced root systems with the same Coxeter number, we let

$$\Omega^X = \sum_i \Omega^{X_i}, \quad \text{and similarly} \quad \mathcal{W}^X = \sum_i \mathcal{W}^{X_i}. \quad (3.31)$$

Then we have the relation

$$(\tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \theta_m) | \mathcal{W}^X = \tilde{h}_m^T \cdot \Omega^X \cdot \theta_m \quad (3.32)$$

among different (odd) weight  $k$  and index  $m$  Jacobi forms corresponding to the same vector-valued modular form  $\tilde{h}_m = (\tilde{h}_{m,r})$ . Note that the operator  $\mathcal{W}^X$  is in general no longer an involution and often not even invertible. The Eichler–Zagier operators  $\mathcal{W}^X$  corresponding to Niemeier root systems (cf. §2.2) will play a central role in §5.

The  $\widehat{A}_1$  characters, which have led to the ADE classification of Cappelli–Itzykson–Zuber, are rather ubiquitous in two-dimensional conformal field theory. They can be viewed as the building blocks of, for instance, the characters of  $N = 4$  super-conformal algebra and the partition functions of  $N = 2$  minimal models (cf. e.g. [9, 43]). Moreover, the positivity and the integrality condition (3.28–3.29) that are necessary to obtain the ADE classification are completely natural from the point of view of the conformal field theories. This might be seen as suggesting a relationship between umbral moonshine and two-dimensional conformal field theories. The concrete realisation of such a relationship is beyond the scope of the present paper.

### 3.4 From Meromorphic Jacobi Forms to Mock Modular Forms

In §3.1 we have seen the definition of mock modular form and its vector-valued generalisation. One of the natural places where such vector-valued mock modular forms occur is in the theta expansion of meromorphic Jacobi forms. To be more precise, following [38] and [41] we will establish a uniform way to separate a meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi$  into its *polar* and *finite* parts

$$\psi(\tau, z) = \psi^P(\tau, z) + \psi^F(\tau, z). \quad (3.33)$$

The finite part will turn out to be a mock Jacobi form, admitting a theta expansion as in (3.10), whose theta-coefficients are the components of a vector-valued mock modular form. Up to this point, all the mock modular forms playing a role in umbral moonshine, as well as other interesting examples including many of Ramujanjan’s mock theta functions, can be obtained in this way as theta-coefficients of finite parts of meromorphic Jacobi forms.

For the purpose of this paper we will focus on the case of weight 1 Jacobi forms with simple poles as a function of  $z$ . Consider such a Jacobi form  $\psi$  with a pole at  $z = z_s$ , where  $z_s$  is a point inside the fundamental cell  $\alpha\tau + \beta$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in (-1, 0]$ . The elliptic transformation (3.7) then forces  $\psi$  to have poles at all  $z \in z_s + \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ . With this property in mind, in the rest of the paper we will only write down the location of the poles inside the fundamental cell. To capture

this translation property of the poles, following [41] we define an averaging operator

$$\text{Av}_m [F(y)] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{mk^2} y^{2mk} F(q^k y) \quad (3.34)$$

which takes a function of  $y = e(z)$  with polynomial growth and returns a function of  $z$  which is invariant under the index  $m$  elliptic transformations (3.7). For a given pole  $z = z_s$  of a weight 1 index  $m$  meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi$ , we will consider the image  $\psi_{z_s}^P$  under  $\text{Av}_m$  of a suitably chosen meromorphic function  $F_{z_s}(y)$  that has a pole at  $z = z_s$ , such that  $\psi - \psi_{z_s}^P$  is regular at all  $z \in z_s + \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ .

In the remaining part of this subsection we will first review (following [38,41]) this construction of mock modular forms in more detail, and then extend the discussion of the Eichler–Zagier operators to meromorphic Jacobi forms and study how they act on the polar and the finite part separately. This will allow us to establish an ADE classification of mock Jacobi forms of a specific type in the next section and constitutes a crucial element in the construction of the umbral mock modular forms  $H^X$ .

#### *A Simple Pole at $z = 0$*

To start with, consider a meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi(\tau, z)$  of weight 1 and index  $m$ , with a simple pole at  $z = 0$  and no other poles. Define the polar part of  $\psi$  to be

$$\psi^P(\tau, z) = \chi(\tau) \text{Av}_m \left[ \frac{y+1}{y-1} \right] \quad (3.35)$$

where  $\chi(\tau)/\pi i$  is the residue of  $\psi(\tau, z)$  at  $z = 0$ . For the applications in the present paper we need only consider the case that  $\chi(\tau) = \chi$  is a constant. With this definition, one can easily check that  $\psi^F = \psi - \psi^P$  is indeed a holomorphic function with no poles in  $z$ .

Note that

$$\mu_{m,0}(\tau, z) = \text{Av}_m \left[ \frac{y+1}{y-1} \right], \quad (3.36)$$

where we define the generalised Appell–Lerch sum

$$\mu_{m,j}(\tau, z) = (-1)^{1+2j} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{mk^2} y^{2mk} \frac{(yq^k)^{-2j} + (yq^k)^{-2j+1} + \dots + (yq^k)^{1+2j}}{1 - yq^k} \quad (3.37)$$

for  $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ . The function  $\mu_{m,0}$  enjoys the following relation to the modular group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Define

the completion of  $\mu_{m,0}(\tau, z)$  by setting

$$\widehat{\mu}_m(\tau, \bar{\tau}, z) = \mu_{m,0}(\tau, z) - e\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{r \pmod{2m}} \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) \int_{-\bar{\tau}}^{i\infty} (\tau' + \tau)^{-1/2} \overline{S_{m,r}(-\bar{\tau}')} d\tau', \quad (3.38)$$

where  $S_{m,r}(\tau)$  denotes the unary theta series

$$S_{m,r}(\tau) = -S_{m,-r}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) \Big|_{z=0}, \quad (3.39)$$

then  $\widehat{\mu}_m$  transforms like a Jacobi form of weight 1 and index  $m$  for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  but is clearly no longer holomorphic whenever  $m > 1$ . From the above definition and the transformation (3.13) of the theta functions, we see that  $S_m = (S_{m,r})$  is a (vector-valued) weight  $3/2$  cusp form for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Returning to our weight 1 index  $m$  Jacobi form  $\psi$ , assumed to have a simple pole at  $z = 0$ , it is now straightforward to see that the finite part  $\psi^F = \psi - \psi^P$ , a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ , has a completion given by

$$\widehat{\psi}^F = \psi^F + \chi e\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{r \pmod{2m}} \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) \int_{-\bar{\tau}}^{i\infty} (\tau' + \tau)^{-1/2} \overline{S_{m,r}(-\bar{\tau}')} d\tau' \quad (3.40)$$

that transforms like a Jacobi form of weight 1 and index  $m$  for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . As such,  $\psi^F$  is an example of a *mock Jacobi form* (cf. [41, §7.2]). Since both  $\psi$  and  $\psi^P$  are invariant under the index  $m$  elliptic transformation, so is the finite part  $\psi^F$ . This fact guarantees a theta expansion of  $\psi^F$  analogous to that of a (weak) Jacobi form (3.10)

$$\psi^F(\tau, z) = \sum_{r \pmod{2m}} h_r(\tau) \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z), \quad (3.41)$$

where  $h = (h_r)$  is a weight  $1/2$  vector-valued holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{H}$  whose completion

$$\widehat{h}_r(\tau) = h_r(\tau) + \chi e\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \int_{-\bar{\tau}}^{i\infty} (\tau' + \tau)^{-1/2} \overline{S_{m,r}(-\bar{\tau}')} d\tau' \quad (3.42)$$

transforms as a weight  $1/2$  vector-valued modular form with  $2m$  components. As such, we conclude that  $h = (h_r)$  is a vector-valued mock modular form for the modular group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  with shadow  $\chi S_m = (\chi S_{m,r})$ .

Note that  $S_1$  vanishes identically. This is a reflection of the fact that  $\mu_{1,0}$ , in contrast to the  $\mu_{m,0}$  for  $m > 1$ , coincides with its completion, and is thus (already) a meromorphic Jacobi

form, of weight 1 and index 1. By construction it has simple poles at  $z \in \mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$  and nowhere else, and we also have the explicit formula

$$\mu_{1,0}(\tau, z) = -i \frac{\theta_1(\tau, 2z) \eta(\tau)^3}{\theta_1(\tau, z)^2} = \frac{y+1}{y-1} - (y^2 - y^{-2})q + \dots \quad (3.43)$$

(See §A.2 for  $\theta_1(\tau, z)$ .) The function  $\mu_{1,0}$  is further distinguished by being a meromorphic Jacobi form with vanishing finite part; a ‘‘Cheshire cat’’ in the language of [41, §8.5]. It will play a distinguished role in §4, where it will serve as a device for producing meromorphic Jacobi forms of weight 1 from (weak, holomorphic) Jacobi forms of weight 0.

#### *Simple Poles at $n$ -Torsion Points*

Next we would like to consider the more general situation in which we have a weight 1 index  $m$  meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi$  with simple poles at more general torsion points  $z \in \mathbb{Q}\tau + \mathbb{Q}$ . We introduce the row vector with two elements  $s = (\alpha \ \beta)$  to label the pole at  $z_s = \alpha\tau + \beta$  and write  $y_s = e(z_s)$ . For the purpose of this paper we will restrict our attention to the  $n$ -torsion points satisfying  $nz \in \mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $n$  is a divisor of the index  $m$ . Focus on a pole located at say  $z_s = \alpha\tau + \beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ . Again following [41], we require the corresponding polar term to be given by the formula

$$\psi_{z_s}^P(\tau, z) = \pi i \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_s}(\psi(\tau, z)) \operatorname{Av}_m \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y}{y_s} & -2m\alpha \\ \frac{y}{y_s} & \frac{y/y_s + 1}{y/y_s - 1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.44)$$

generalising (3.35). One can easily check that  $\psi - \psi_{z_s}^P$  has no pole at  $z \in z_s + \mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$ .

As before, the above polar part is invariant under the elliptic transformation by construction. To discuss its variance under the modular group, first notice that the transformation  $(\tau, z) \mapsto \gamma(\tau, z)$  maps the pole at  $z_s$  to a different pole according to  $s \mapsto s\gamma$ . As a result, to obtain a mock Jacobi form for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  from a meromorphic Jacobi form with poles at  $n$ -torsion points  $z_s$  (where  $n$  is the smallest integer such that  $z_s \in \frac{\tau}{n}\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ ), we should consider meromorphic Jacobi forms that have poles at all the  $n$ -torsion points. Moreover, the modular transformation of  $\psi$  dictates that the residues of the poles satisfy  $D_s(\gamma\tau) = D_{s\gamma}(\tau)$ , where we have defined, after [41],

$$D_s(\tau) = e(m\alpha z_s) \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_s}(\psi(\tau, z)). \quad (3.45)$$

More specifically, we would like to consider the situation where  $\psi$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=-\frac{a}{n}\tau - \frac{b}{n}} \psi(\tau, z) = \chi e(-ma(a\tau + b)/n^2)/n\pi i, \text{ for } a, b = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (3.46)$$

corresponding to the simplest case where the function  $D_s(\tau)$  is just a constant. Without loss of generality we will also assume for the moment that  $\psi$  has no other poles, as the more general situation can be obtained by taking linear combinations. In this case, using (3.44) it is not hard to see that the polar parts contributed by the poles at these  $n$ -torsion points are given by the images under the Eichler–Zagier operator  $\mathcal{W}_m(n)$  (cf. (3.20)) of the polar term contributed by the simple pole at the origin, so that

$$\psi^P = \sum_{a,b=0}^{n-1} \psi^P_{-\frac{a}{n}\tau - \frac{b}{n}} = \chi \mu_{m,0} | \mathcal{W}_m(n). \quad (3.47)$$

From (3.38) and the fact that the Eichler–Zagier operators preserve the Jacobi transformations, we immediately see how considering Jacobi forms with simple poles at torsion points leads us to vector-valued mock modular forms with more general shadows. In this case, from (3.25), (3.38) and (3.47) it is straightforward to see that the completion of the polar part

$$\widehat{\psi}^P = \psi^P - \chi e(-\frac{1}{8}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{r,r' \pmod{2m}} \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) \Omega_m(n)_{r,r'} \int_{-\bar{\tau}}^{i\infty} (\tau' + \tau)^{-1/2} \overline{S_{m,r'}(-\bar{\tau}')} d\tau' \quad (3.48)$$

again transforms like a Jacobi form of weight 1 and index  $m$  for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Following the same argument as before, we conclude that the theta-coefficients of the finite part

$$\psi^F = \psi - \psi^P = \sum_{r \pmod{2m}} h_r(\tau) \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z), \quad (3.49)$$

define a vector-valued mock modular form  $h = (h_r)$ , whose completion is given by

$$\widehat{h}_r(\tau) = h_r(\tau) + \chi e(-\frac{1}{8}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{r' \pmod{2m}} \Omega_m(n)_{r,r'} \int_{-\bar{\tau}}^{i\infty} (\tau' + \tau)^{-1/2} \overline{S_{m,r'}(-\bar{\tau}')} d\tau', \quad (3.50)$$

and whose shadow is hence given by a vector of unary theta series whose  $r$ -th component equals

$$\sum_{r' \pmod{2m}} \Omega_m(n)_{r,r'} S_{m,r'} \quad (3.51)$$

where  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, this means that the vector-valued mock modular forms arising from meromorphic Jacobi forms in this way are closely related to mock theta functions, as their shadows are always given by unary theta series.

Finally, we also note that the Eichler–Zagier operators and the operations of extracting polar and finite parts are commutative in the following sense.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose  $\psi$  is a weight 1 index  $m$  meromorphic Jacobi form with simple poles at  $\tilde{n}$ -torsion points with  $\tilde{n}|m$  and with no poles elsewhere. Then for any positive integer  $n$  such that  $n|m$  and  $(n, \tilde{n}) = 1$  we have*

$$(\psi|W_m(n))^P = \psi^P|W_m(n). \quad (3.52)$$

*Proof.* Denote the set of poles of  $\psi$  in the unit cell by  $S$ , and focus on the pole of  $\psi$  at  $z_* = -\tilde{a}/\tilde{n}\tau - \tilde{b}/\tilde{n} \in S$ . From the action of  $W_m(n)$  we see that  $\psi|W_m(n)$  has poles at all  $z \in z_* + \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z} + \frac{\tau}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ . Focussing on the pole at  $z = z_s = z_* - (a\tau/n + b/n)$ , from (3.20) we get

$$\text{Res}_{z=z_s}(\psi|W_m(n))(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{n} e\left(\frac{2maz_s}{n}\right) e\left(\frac{ma}{n^2}(a\tau + b)\right) \text{Res}_{z=z_*}(\psi(\tau, z)), \quad (3.53)$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi|W_m(n))^P(\tau, z) &= \frac{\pi i}{n} \sum_{z_* = -\frac{\tilde{a}}{\tilde{n}}\tau - \frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{n}} \in S} \text{Res}_{z=z_*}(\psi(\tau, z)) \\ &\times \sum_{a,b=0}^{n-1} e\left(\frac{2maz_s}{n}\right) e\left(\frac{ma}{n^2}(a\tau + b)\right) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{mk^2} y^{2mk} (q^k y/y_s)^{2m\left(\frac{a}{n} + \frac{\tilde{a}}{\tilde{n}}\right)} \frac{q^k y/y_s + 1}{q^k y/y_s - 1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $y_s$  denotes  $y_s = e(z_s) = e(-(\tilde{a}/\tilde{n} + a/n)\tau - (\tilde{b}/\tilde{n} + b/n))$  in the second line.

By direct comparison using (3.20) and (3.44), this is exactly  $\psi^P|W_m(n)$  and this finishes the proof.  $\square$

Since all the operations involved are linear, we also have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Consider  $\psi$  as defined as in Proposition 3.2 and let  $W = \sum_i c_i W_m(n_i)$  where the  $n_i$  are divisors of  $m$  satisfying  $(n_i, \tilde{n}) = 1$ . Then*

$$(\psi|W)^P = \psi^P|W \quad (3.54)$$

and

$$(\psi|W)^F = \psi^F|W. \quad (3.55)$$

Moreover, if we denote the theta-coefficients of  $\psi^F$  by  $h_\psi = ((h_\psi)_r)$  and its shadow by  $S_\psi = ((S_\psi)_r)$  with  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ , then the theta-coefficients of  $(\psi|W)^F$  form a vector-valued mock modular form  $h_{\psi|W}$  satisfying

$$h_{\psi|W} = \Omega h_\psi, \quad (3.56)$$



with shadow given by

$$S_{\psi|\mathcal{W}} = \Omega S_{\psi} \quad (3.57)$$

where  $\Omega = \sum_i c_i \Omega_m(n_i)$  (cf. (3.18)).

As a result, the relations (3.32) between different Jacobi forms of the same index can be applied separately to the polar and the finite part. In the present paper we will mostly be concerned with the application of the above to the case that  $\tilde{n} = 1$ . For later use it will be useful to note the following property.

**Lemma 3.4.** *For  $\psi$  and  $n$  as defined as in Proposition 3.2, we have*

$$\psi^F|\mathcal{W}(n) = -\psi^F|\mathcal{W}(m/n), \quad \widehat{\psi}^F|\mathcal{W}(n) = -\widehat{\psi}^F|\mathcal{W}(m/n). \quad (3.58)$$

*Proof.* From the property (3.47) of  $\psi^P$  and the elliptic transformation of  $\mu_{m,0}$ , it follows that  $\psi^P(\tau, z) = -\psi^P(\tau, -z)$  and therefore  $\psi^F(\tau, z) = -\psi^F(\tau, -z)$ . As such, the vector-valued mock modular form  $h = (h_r)$  arising from the theta expansion (3.41) of  $\psi^F$  satisfies  $h_r = -h_{-r}$ . Together with  $S_{m,r} = -S_{m,-r}$ , the lemma follows from the action of  $\mathcal{W}_m(n)$  (3.25) on  $\theta_m = (\theta_{m,r})$  and the definition (3.18) of the matrix  $\Omega_m(n)$ .  $\square$

## 4 The Umbral Mock Modular Forms

Following the general discussion of the relevant automorphic objects in the previous section, in this section we will start specifying concretely the vector-valued mock modular forms which encode, according to our conjecture, the graded dimensions of certain infinite-dimensional modules for the umbral groups defined in §2. We will specify the shadows of these functions—the *umbral mock modular forms*—in §4.1. Subsequently, in §4.2 we will show how these shadows distinguish the Niemeier root systems through a relation to genus zero groups and their principal moduli. Afterwards we will provide explicit expressions for the umbral forms of the A-type Niemeier root systems by specifying a set of weight 0 weak Jacobi forms. The umbral forms of D- and E-type Niemeier root systems will be specified in the next section.

### 4.1 The Umbral Shadows

In §3.4 we have seen how the theta expansion of the finite part of a meromorphic Jacobi form gives rise to a vector-valued mock modular form, and how different configurations of poles lead to different shadows. The shadows of the mock modular forms obtained in this way are always given by unary theta series. In this subsection we will see how the ADE classification

discussed in §3.3 leads to particular cases of the above construction. Moreover, by combining the ADE classification and the construction of mock modular forms from meromorphic Jacobi forms discussed in §3.4, we will associate a specific shadow  $S^X$ , or equivalently a pole structure of the corresponding meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi^X$ , to each of the Niemeier root systems  $X$ .

Consider a meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi$  with weight 1 and index  $m$ . Recall from (3.47) that the contribution to its polar part  $\psi^P$  from the simple poles at the  $n$ -torsion points with residues satisfying (3.46), is given by

$$\sum_{a,b=0}^{n-1} \psi_{-\frac{a}{n}\tau - \frac{b}{n}}^P = \chi \mu_{m,0} | \mathcal{W}_m(n). \quad (4.1)$$

Clearly, one may consider a linear combination of expressions as in (4.1). Consider a weight 1 index  $m$  meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi$  with poles at  $n_1, \dots, n_\kappa$ -torsion points where  $n_j | m$ , and where each  $n_j$  contributes  $c_j e(-ma(a\tau + b)/n_j^2)/n_j \pi i$  to the residue of the pole located at  $-\frac{a}{n_j}\tau - \frac{b}{n_j}$ . From the above discussion it follows that its polar part is given by

$$\psi^P = \mu_{m,0} | \mathcal{W}, \text{ where } \mathcal{W} = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} c_i \mathcal{W}_m(n_i). \quad (4.2)$$

By taking a linear combination of expressions as in (3.48) we see that its completion, given by

$$\widehat{\psi}^P = \psi^P - e(-\frac{1}{8}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{r,r' \pmod{2m}} \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) \Omega_{r,r'} \int_{-\bar{\tau}}^{i\infty} (\tau' + \tau)^{-1/2} \overline{S_{m,r'}(-\bar{\tau}')} d\tau', \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} c_i \Omega_m(n_i)$ , transforms like a Jacobi form of weight 1 and index  $m$  for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Immediately we conclude that the theta-coefficients of the finite part  $\psi^F = \psi - \psi^P$  constitute a vector-valued mock modular form with (the  $r$ -th component of) the shadow given by

$$\sum_{r' \pmod{2m}} \Omega_{r,r'} S_{m,r'}. \quad (4.4)$$

Now, recall that in §3.3 we used the reflection property  $\tilde{h}_{m,r} = -\tilde{h}_{m,-r}$  to impose a positivity condition which then led to an ADE classification (cf. Theorem 3.1). Analogously, in the context of meromorphic Jacobi forms we also have a natural positivity condition that we want to impose. Using the reflection property  $S_{m,r} = -S_{m,-r}$ , for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$  (cf. (3.39)), we may

instead consider a  $(m - 1)$ -component vector with the  $r$ -th component given by

$$\sum_{r'=1}^{m-1} (\Omega_{r,r'} - \Omega_{r,-r'}) S_{m,r'}, \quad r = 1, \dots, m - 1. \quad (4.5)$$

Requiring that each component of this  $(m - 1)$ -component vector is a non-negative linear combination of the unary theta series  $S_{m,r}$ , for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ , with the normalisation  $\Omega_{1,1} - \Omega_{1,-1} = 1$ , from Theorem 3.1 we immediately see that such  $\Omega$  (and, equivalently,  $\mathcal{W}$ ) are classified by ADE root systems. More precisely, to each irreducible simply-laced root system  $X$  with Coxeter number  $m$  we associate a  $2m$ -vector-valued cusp form  $S^X$ , of weight  $3/2$  for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , with  $r$ -th component given by

$$S_r^X = \sum_{r' \bmod 2m} \Omega_{r,r'}^X S_{m,r'}, \quad (4.6)$$

where  $m$  denotes the Coxeter number of  $X$  and the matrix  $\Omega^X$  is defined as in Table 5. For instance, we have

$$S_r^{A_{m-1}} = S_{m,r}. \quad (4.7)$$

For the D-series root systems with even rank we have

$$S_r^{D_{2n}} = \begin{cases} S_{4n-2,r} + S_{4n-2,4n-2-r} & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

and in the case that  $n$  is odd we have

$$S_r^{D_n} = \begin{cases} S_{2n-2,r} & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \\ S_{2n-2,2n-2-r} & \text{if } r \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

For  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$  and  $E_8$  we have

$$S_r^{E_6} = \begin{cases} S_{12,1} + S_{12,7} & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ or } r = 7, \\ S_{12,4} + S_{12,8} & \text{if } r = 4 \text{ or } r = 8, \\ S_{12,5} + S_{12,11} & \text{if } r = 5 \text{ or } r = 11, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

$$S_r^{E_7} = \begin{cases} S_{18,1} + S_{18,17} & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ or } r = 17, \\ S_{18,9} & \text{if } r = 3 \text{ or } r = 15, \\ S_{18,5} + S_{18,13} & \text{if } r = 5 \text{ or } r = 13, \\ S_{18,7} + S_{18,11} & \text{if } r = 7 \text{ or } r = 11, \\ S_{18,3} + S_{18,9} + S_{18,15} & \text{if } r = 9, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

$$S_r^{E_8} = \begin{cases} S_{30,1} + S_{30,11} + S_{30,19} + S_{30,29} & \text{if } r \in \{1, 11, 19, 29\}, \\ S_{30,7} + S_{30,13} + S_{30,17} + S_{30,23} & \text{if } r \in \{7, 13, 17, 23\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

where for simplicity we have only specified  $S_r^{E_n}$  for  $r \in \{1, \dots, m-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ . The remaining components are determined by the rule  $S_{-r}^{E_n} = -S_r^{E_n}$ .

Following (3.31), more generally for a union  $X = \bigcup_i X_i$  of simply-laced root systems with the same Coxeter number we have  $S^X = \sum_i S^{X_i}$ . With this definition,  $S^X$  is given by the matrix  $\Omega^X$  as

$$S^X = (S_r^X) \quad , \quad S_r^X = \sum_{r' \bmod 2m} \Omega_{r,r'}^X S_{m,r'}. \quad (4.13)$$

From the above discussion we see that the cusp form  $S^X$  arises naturally as the shadow of a vector-valued mock modular form obtained from the theta expansion of a meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi^X$  with simple poles at  $z \in \frac{\tau}{n_i}\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{n_i}\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $n_i|m$  such that  $c_i > 0$  in  $\Omega^X = \sum_i c_i \Omega_m(n_i)$ , whose polar part is given by

$$(\psi^X)^P = \mu_{m,0}(\tau, z) |W^X. \quad (4.14)$$

It is not hard to see that such meromorphic Jacobi forms exist for any simply-laced root system  $X$  where all the irreducible components have the same Coxeter number. Choose an arbitrary weight 0, index  $m-1$  weak Jacobi form  $\phi$  with  $\phi(\tau, 0) \neq 0$  and assume, without loss of generality, that  $\phi(\tau, 0) = 1$ . Recall from the definition of weak Jacobi forms in §3.2 that, if  $\phi(\tau, z)$  is a weight 0 weak Jacobi form then  $\phi(\tau, 0)$  is a weight 0 modular form, which is necessarily a constant. As such, by multiplying with the meromorphic Jacobi form  $\mu_{1,0}$  of weight 1 and index 1 (cf. (3.43)) we obtain a weight 1 index  $m$  meromorphic Jacobi form with simple poles at  $z \in \mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$  and nowhere else, and with the polar part given by  $\mu_{m,0}$ . (See [1, §2.3] for a more detailed discussion, but note that  $\mu_{1,0}$  is denoted there also by  $\Psi_{1,1}$ .) The Corollary

3.3 then shows that its image  $-\mu_{1,0}\phi|\mathcal{W}^X$  under the corresponding Eichler–Zagier operator is a weight 1 index  $m$  meromorphic Jacobi with polar part coinciding with (4.14).

Besides specifying the poles of the meromorphic Jacobi form, in what follows we will also require an *optimal growth* condition (equivalent to the optimal growth condition formulated in [41], with its name derived from the fact that it guarantees the slowest possible growth of the coefficients of the corresponding mock Jacobi form). It turns out that there does not always exist a meromorphic Jacobi form with polar part given by (4.14) that moreover satisfies this optimal growth condition for an arbitrary simply-laced root system  $X$ , but when it does exist it is unique.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a simply-laced root system with all irreducible components having the same Coxeter number  $m$ . There exists at most one weight 1 index  $m$  meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi^X$  satisfying the following two conditions. First, its polar part  $(\psi^X)^P$  is given by (4.14). Second, its finite part*

$$(\psi^X)^F = \psi^X - (\psi^X)^P = \sum_r h_r^X \theta_{m,r} \quad (4.15)$$

*satisfies the optimal growth condition*

$$q^{1/4m} h_r^X(\tau) = O(1) \quad (4.16)$$

*as  $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* If there are two distinct meromorphic Jacobi forms satisfying the above conditions, then their difference is necessarily a weight 1 index  $m$  weak Jacobi form of optimal growth in the sense of [41]. But Theorem 9.7 of [41] is exactly the statement that no such weak Jacobi form exists.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a simply-laced root system with all irreducible components having the same Coxeter number  $m$ . Then there exists at most one vector-valued mock modular form  $h^X$  for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  with shadow  $S^X$  that satisfies the optimal growth condition (4.16).*

*Proof.* Let  $h^X$  be a vector-valued mock modular form satisfying the above conditions. Consider  $\psi' = (\psi^X)^P + \sum_r h_r^X \theta_{m,r}$  with  $(\psi^X)^P$  given by (4.14). From the fact that the multiplier of a mock modular form is the inverse of that of its shadow and from the discussion in §3.4,  $\psi'$  is a weight 1 index  $m$  meromorphic Jacobi form satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.1. It then follows from Theorem 4.1 that such  $h^X$  is unique if it exists.  $\square$

So far our discussion has been very general, applicable to any simply-laced root system with all irreducible components having the same Coxeter number. In the next subsection we will see how the cusp forms  $S^X$  with  $X$  given by one of the 23 Niemeier root systems play a distinguished role. The  $S^X$  for  $X$  a Niemeier root system are called the *umbral shadows*,

$$S_r^X = \sum_{r' \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{r,r'}^X S_{m,r'}. \quad (4.17)$$

We will demonstrate the existence of meromorphic Jacobi forms  $\psi^X$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.1 for  $X$  an A-type Niemeier root system in Proposition 4.6, and for D- and E-type Niemeier root systems in Proposition 5.2. The resulting vector-valued functions defined by the theta-coefficients of the finite parts of these  $\psi^X$  are the umbral mock modular forms, to be denoted  $H^X = (H_r^X)$ . The first few dozen Fourier coefficients of the umbral mock modular forms are tabulated in Appendix C. For some of the Niemeier root systems  $X$ , the meromorphic Jacobi forms  $\psi^X$  are closely related to some of the meromorphic Jacobi forms analysed in [41, §9.5]; for other Niemeier root systems  $X$ , the corresponding shadows  $S^X$  fall outside the range of analysis in [41] and this is why we find mock Jacobi forms of optimal growth for values of  $m$  other than those appearing in the work of Dabholkar–Murthy–Zagier.

## 4.2 From Niemeier Lattices to Principal Moduli

In the last subsection we have seen how ADE root systems have an intimate relation to meromorphic Jacobi forms. More precisely, to a simply-laced root system with all irreducible components having the same Coxeter number  $m$ , we associate a pole structure for meromorphic Jacobi forms of weight 1 and index  $m$ . Equivalently, we associate a weight  $3/2$  vector-valued cusp form to every such root system, which plays the role of the shadow of the mock modular form arising from the meromorphic Jacobi form via the relation discussed in §3.4. In this subsection we see how the shadows  $S^X$  attached to Niemeier root systems are distinguished, and in particular, how they are related to the genus zero groups  $\Gamma^X$  of §2.3.

Recall [45] that a *skew-holomorphic Jacobi form* of weight 2 and index  $m$  is a smooth function  $\tilde{\phi}(\tau, z)$  on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$  which is periodic in both  $\tau$  and  $z$  with period 1, transforms under the  $S$ -transformation as

$$\tilde{\phi}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{z}{\tau}\right) e\left(-m\frac{z^2}{\tau}\right) = \bar{\tau}|\tau| \tilde{\phi}(\tau, z) \quad (4.18)$$

and has a Fourier expansion

$$\tilde{\phi}(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{\Delta, r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \Delta = r^2 \pmod{4m}}} C_{\tilde{\phi}}(\Delta, r) e\left(\frac{r^2 - \Delta}{4m} \Re(\tau) + \frac{r^2 + |\Delta|}{4m} i \Im(\tau) + rz\right) \quad (4.19)$$

where  $C_{\tilde{\phi}}(\Delta, r) = 0$  for  $\Delta < 0$ . We denote the space of such functions by  $J_{2,m}^+$ . Recall that an integer  $\Delta$  is called a fundamental discriminant if  $\Delta = 1$  or  $\Delta$  is the discriminant of a quadratic number field. Following Skoruppa [45] (see also [46]), given a pair  $(\Delta_0, r_0)$  where  $\Delta_0$  is a positive fundamental discriminant that is a square modulo  $4m$  and  $\Delta_0 = r_0^2 \pmod{4m}$ , we may associate a weight 2 modular form

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Delta_0, r_0}(\tilde{\phi}) = c_{\tilde{\phi}}(\Delta_0, r_0) + \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n \sum_{a|n} \left(\frac{\Delta_0}{a}\right) C_{\tilde{\phi}}\left(\Delta_0 \frac{n^2}{a^2}, r_0 \frac{n}{a}\right) \quad (4.20)$$

for  $\Gamma_0(m)$  to each  $\tilde{\phi} \in J_{2,m}^+$ , where  $\left(\frac{\Delta_0}{a}\right)$  denotes the Jacobi symbol and  $c_{\tilde{\phi}}(\Delta_0, r_0)$  denotes a suitably chosen constant term.

From the discussion in §3.3, it is not difficult to see that given a simply-laced root system  $X$  with each of its irreducible components having the same Coxeter number  $m$ , we may consider the following skew-holomorphic form

$$\sigma^X = (\overline{S^X})^T \cdot \theta_m = \sum_{r \pmod{2m}} \overline{S_r^X(\tau)} \theta_{m,r}(\tau, z) = \sum_{r, r' \pmod{2m}} \overline{S_{m,r}(\tau)} \Omega_{r,r'}^X \theta_{m,r'}(\tau, z) \quad (4.21)$$

of weight 2 and index  $m$ .

Applying  $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta_0, r_0}$  with the simplest choice  $(\Delta_0, r_0) = (1, 1)$  to the skew-holomorphic Jacobi form  $\sigma^X$ , and using the fact that the Jacobi symbol  $\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) = 1$  for all positive integers  $a$ , we arrive at a weight 2 form on  $\Gamma_0(m)$

$$f^X(\tau) = \mathcal{S}_{1,1}(\sigma^X)(\tau) = \frac{r}{24} + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \alpha_r^X \sum_{\varepsilon=\pm 1} \sum_{k=-\lfloor \frac{\varepsilon r}{2m} \rfloor}^{\infty} (2km + \varepsilon r) \frac{q^{2km + \varepsilon r}}{1 - q^{2km + \varepsilon r}} \quad (4.22)$$

where  $r$  is the rank of the root system  $X$  and  $\alpha_r^X$  is the multiplicity of the multiplicity of  $r$  as a Coxeter exponent of  $X$ , which also coincides with the “diagonal” coefficient  $\Omega_{r,r}^X - \Omega_{r,-r}^X$  of  $S_{m,r}$  in the  $r$ -th component of the vector-valued cusp form  $S^X = (S_r^X)$  (cf. Table 1 and §3.3).

For  $X = A_{m-1}$ , one can easily see from the fact that  $\alpha_r^X = 1$  for all  $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$  that the associated weight-two form is nothing but the following Eisenstein form at level  $m$  (cf.

$X$	$m(X)$	$\pi^X$	$f^X$
$A_{m-1}$	$m$	$\frac{m}{1}$	$\lambda_m$
$D_{m/2+1}$	$m$	$\frac{2 \cdot m}{1 \cdot (m/2)}$	$\lambda_2 + \lambda_m - \lambda_{m/2}$
$E_6$	12	$\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 12}{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 6}$	$\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_{12} - \lambda_4 - \lambda_6$
$E_7$	18	$\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 18}{1 \cdot 6 \cdot 9}$	$\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_{18} - \lambda_6 - \lambda_9$
$E_8$	30	$\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 30}{1 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdot 15}$	$\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5 + \lambda_{30} - \lambda_6 - \lambda_{10} - \lambda_{15}$

 Table 6: The weight 2 modular forms  $f^X$ 

(A.9))

$$f^{A_{m-1}}(\tau) = \lambda_m(\tau) = q\partial_q \log \left( \frac{\eta(m\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \right) = \frac{m-1}{24} + \sum_{k>0} \sigma(k)(q^k - mq^{mk}). \quad (4.23)$$

One can compute the function  $f^X$  in a similar way for the D- and E-series and arrive at the result in Table 6. From this table one observes that the weight two form  $f^X$  has a close relation to the Coxeter Frame shape  $\pi^X$ , and hence also to the matrix  $\Omega^X$  according to Table 5. We now discuss this further.

From  $\Omega^X$  where  $X$  has Coxeter number  $m$ , we can obtain a map on the space spanned by the weight two modular forms  $\{\lambda_n(\tau), n|m\}$  of level  $m$  by replacing each  $\Omega_m(m_1)$  in  $\Omega^X$  with the operator  $w_m(m_1)$  which acts on Eisenstein forms according to

$$\lambda_{m_2}|w_m(m_1) = \lambda_{m_1 * m_2} - \lambda_{m_1}, \quad (4.24)$$

where  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are assumed to divide  $m$ , and  $m_1 * m_2 = m_1 m_2 / (m_1, m_2)^2$  (cf. §2.3). Then the weight two form corresponding to a simply-laced root system  $X$  with Coxeter number  $m$  is nothing but

$$f^X = \lambda_m|w^X = \lambda_{\pi^X} \quad (4.25)$$

where  $\pi^X$  is the Coxeter Frame shape of  $X$  (cf. §2.1) and  $\lambda_\pi$ , for  $\pi$  an arbitrary Frame shape, is defined in §2.3.

The reader will notice that the map  $w_m(e)$  acts in the same way as the Atkin–Lehner involution  $W_m(e)$  (2.18) on modular forms for  $\Gamma_0(m)$  in the cases where  $e||m$ . (Notice that the set  $W_m(e)$  is empty if  $e$  is not an exact divisor of  $m$ .) Indeed, from the definition of the Eisenstein form (A.9) one can compute that

$$(\lambda_f|W_m(e))(\tau) = (\lambda_f|_{\psi=1, w=2\gamma})(\tau) = e(cm\tau + de)^{-2} \lambda_f\left(\frac{ae\tau+b}{cm\tau+de}\right) = \lambda_{e*f}(\tau) - \lambda_e(\tau), \quad (4.26)$$



where  $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} \begin{pmatrix} ae & b \\ cm & de \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  (cf. (3.5)). On the other hand, from (3.25) we see that the skew-holomorphic form  $\sigma^X$  can be obtained as the image of the Eichler–Zagier operator

$$\sigma^X = \sigma^{A_{m-1}} | \mathcal{W}^X. \quad (4.27)$$

Taken together, at a given  $m$  and for a given union  $X$  of simply-laced root systems with Coxeter number  $m$  we have the equality

$$f^X = \mathcal{S}_{1,1}(\sigma^{A_{m-1}} | \mathcal{W}^X) = \sum_i \mathcal{S}_{1,1}(\sigma^{A_{m-1}} | \mathcal{W}_m(e_i)), \quad (4.28)$$

where we have written  $\mathcal{W}^X = \sum_i \mathcal{W}_m(e_i)$  explicitly in terms of its different components. At the same time, for the cases that all  $e_i || m$ , we also have

$$f^X = \sum_i f^{A_{m-1}} | \mathcal{W}_m(e_i). \quad (4.29)$$

For these cases, we note that the equality  $f^{A_{m-1}} | \mathcal{W}_m(e_i) = \mathcal{S}_{1,1}(\sigma^{A_{m-1}} | \mathcal{W}_m(e_i))$  can be viewed as a consequence of the relation between the Eichler–Zagier operators and the Atkin–Lehner involutions observed in [44, 45]. Due to this relation, the Eichler–Zagier operators that define involutions are sometimes referred to as Atkin–Lehner involutions on Jacobi forms in the literature.

We conclude this section by observing that if  $X$  is a Niemeier root system then

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,1}(\sigma^X) = f^X = -q \partial_q \log T^X \quad (4.30)$$

where  $\sigma^X$  is the skew-holomorphic Jacobi form defined by  $S^X$  in (4.21) and  $T^X$  is the principal modulus for  $\Gamma^X$  defined in §2.3. In this way we obtain a direct connection between the umbral shadows  $S^X$  and the genus zero groups  $\Gamma^X$  attached to Niemeier root systems in §2.3.

### 4.3 From Weight Zero Jacobi Forms to Umbral Mock Modular Forms

The goal of this subsection is to construct the umbral mock modular form  $H^X$  which (conjecturally) encodes the graded dimension of the umbral module  $K^X$  (cf. §6.1) for every A-type Niemeier root system  $X$ . In §4.1 we have seen how to associate an umbral shadow  $S^X$  to a Niemeier root system  $X$ . Equivalently, we can associate a pole structure, which together with the optimal growth condition (4.16) determines a (t most one) meromorphic weight 1 index  $m$  Jacobi form  $\psi^X$  according to Theorem 4.1. In this subsection we will explicitly construct mero-

morphic Jacobi forms  $\psi^X$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.1 for  $X$  an A-type root system via certain weight 0 Jacobi forms  $\phi^X$  (cf. Proposition 4.6). After obtaining these  $\psi^X$ , the procedure discussed in §3.4 then immediately leads to the umbral forms  $H^X$ . It is also possible to specify the D- and E-type Niemeier root systems in a similar way. However, the discussion would become somewhat less illuminating and we will instead specify these in an arguably more elegant way in §5.

Our strategy in the present subsection is the following. As mentioned in §4.1, if we take a weight 0 index  $m - 1$  weak Jacobi form  $\phi$  with  $\phi(\tau, 0) = 1$ , then  $-\mu_{1,0}\phi|\mathcal{W}^X$  is a weight 1 index  $m$  meromorphic Jacobi whose pole structure is automatically of the desired form (cf. (3.43)). Namely, it always leads to a vector-valued mock modular form whose shadow is given by  $S^X$ . In this subsection we will see how to select (uniquely) a weight 0 form  $\phi^X$  such that the resulting weight 1 Jacobi form

$$\psi^X = -\mu_{1,0}\phi^X|\mathcal{W}^X \quad (4.31)$$

satisfies the optimal growth condition (4.16).

For the simplest cases this optimal growth condition can be rephrased in terms of weight 0 Jacobi forms using the language of characters of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  superconformal algebra. Recall from [7, 8] that this algebra contains subalgebras isomorphic to the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{A}_1$  as well as the Virasoro algebra, and in a unitary representation the former of these acts with level  $m - 1$ , for some integer  $m > 1$ , and the latter with central charge  $c = 6(m - 1)$ . The unitary irreducible highest weight representations  $V_{h,j}^{(m)}$  are labelled by the two “quantum numbers”  $h$  and  $j$  which are the eigenvalues of  $L_0$  and  $\frac{1}{2}J_0^3$ , respectively, when acting on the highest weight state. (We adopt a normalisation of the  $SU(2)$  current  $J^3$  such that the zero mode  $J_0^3$  has integer eigenvalues.) In the Ramond sector of the superconformal algebra there are two types of highest weight representations: the *short* (or *BPS*, *supersymmetric*) ones with  $h = \frac{m-1}{4}$  and  $j \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{m-1}{2}\}$ , and the *long* (or *non-BPS*, *non-supersymmetric*) ones with  $h > \frac{m-1}{4}$  and  $j \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots, \frac{m-1}{2}\}$ . Their (*Ramond*) *characters*, defined as

$$\text{ch}_{h,j}^{(m)}(\tau, z) = \text{tr}_{V_{h,j}^{(m)}} \left( (-1)^{J_0^3} y^{J_0^3} q^{L_0 - c/24} \right), \quad (4.32)$$

are given by

$$\text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4},j}^{(m)}(\tau, z) = \frac{\mu_{m,j}(\tau, z)}{\mu_{1,0}(\tau, z)} \quad (4.33)$$

and

$$\text{ch}_{h,j}^{(m)}(\tau, z) = (-1)^{2j} q^{h - \frac{m-1}{4} - \frac{j^2}{m}} \frac{\theta_{m,2j}(\tau, z) - \theta_{m,-2j}(\tau, z)}{\mu_{1,0}(\tau, z)} \quad (4.34)$$

in the short and long cases, respectively, [8], where the function  $\mu_{m,j}(\tau, z)$  is defined as in (3.37).

*Lambencies 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 13*

It turns out that for the pure A-type Niemeier root systems given as the union of  $24/(\ell - 1)$  copies of  $A_{\ell-1}$  for  $(\ell - 1)|12$ , the relevant criterion for  $\phi^{(\ell)}$  is that of an *extremal Jacobi form* [1, §2.5]. The idea of an extremal Jacobi form can be viewed as a generalisation of the concept of an extremal Virasoro character, a notion that was introduced in [47] and discussed in [48] in the context of pure  $AdS_3$  gravity.

With the above definitions, following [1], for  $m$  a positive integer and  $\phi$  a weak Jacobi form with weight 0 and index  $m - 1$  we say  $\phi$  is *extremal* if it admits an expression

$$\phi = a_{\frac{m-1}{4},0} \text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4},0}^{(m)} + a_{\frac{m-1}{4},\frac{1}{2}} \text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4},\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} + \sum_{0 < r < m} \sum_{\substack{n > 0 \\ r^2 - 4mn < 0}} a_{\frac{m-1}{4}+n,\frac{r}{2}} \text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4}+n,\frac{r}{2}}^{(m)} \quad (4.35)$$

for some  $a_{h,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Note the restriction on  $n$  in the last summation in (4.35). Write  $J_{0,m-1}^{\text{ext}}$  for the subspace of  $J_{0,m-1}$  consisting of extremal weak Jacobi forms.

We recall here that the extremal condition has a very natural interpretation in terms of the mock modular forms  $h = (h_r)$  of weight  $1/2$  via the relation

$$\psi = -\mu_{1,0}\phi, \quad \psi^F = \sum_{r \bmod 2m} h_r \theta_{m,r}, \quad (4.36)$$

discussed in §4.1. More precisely, the extremal condition is equivalent to the condition that

$$h_r = r \delta_{r,2,1} a_{\frac{m-1}{4},\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\frac{1}{4m}} + O\left(q^{\frac{1}{4m}}\right) \quad (4.37)$$

as  $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$ , which clearly implies the optimal growth condition  $q^{1/4m} h_r(\tau) = O(1)$  of Theorem 4.1.

In [1] we proved that  $\dim J_{0,m-1}^{\text{ext}} = 1$  in case  $m - 1$  divides 12, and vanishes otherwise, at least when  $m \leq 25$ . (Cf. [1, §2.5].) Explicitly, if  $m - 1$  divides 12 then the one-dimensional space  $J_{0,m-1}^{\text{ext}}$  of extremal Jacobi forms with index  $m - 1$  is spanned by  $\varphi_1^{(m)}$ , using the basis defined in §A.4. These weight 0 forms  $\varphi_1^{(m)}$  arising from the extremal condition (4.35) will determine the unique weight 1 meromorphic Jacobi forms  $\psi^X$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.1 for  $X \in \{A_1^{24}, A_2^{12}, A_3^8, A_4^6, A_6^4, A_{12}^2\}$  according to (4.31) where  $m$  is the Coxeter number of  $X$ .

*Lambencies 9, 25*

In order to include the other two pure A-type Niemeier root systems ( $X = A_8^3$  and  $X = A_{24}$ ), it is sufficient to weaken the extremal condition slightly and consider weight 0 and index  $m - 1$  Jacobi forms admitting an expression

$$\phi = a_{\frac{m-1}{4},0} \text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4},0}^{(m)} + a_{\frac{m-1}{4},\frac{1}{2}} \text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4},\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} + \sum_{0 < r < m} \sum_{\substack{n > 0 \\ r^2 - 4mn \leq 0}} a_{\frac{m-1}{4}+n,\frac{r}{2}} \text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4}+n,\frac{r}{2}}^{(m)} \quad (4.38)$$

for some  $a_{h,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Notice that we have weakened the bound from  $r^2 - 4mn < 0$  to  $r^2 - 4mn \leq 0$  in the last summand. This is directly related to the fact that for  $m = 9, 25$  there exists  $0 < r < m$  such that  $r^2 = 0 \pmod{4m}$ .

There exists at most one solution to (4.38) up to rescaling (look ahead to Lemma 4.3), and inspection reveals that at  $m = 9, 25$  we have the non-zero solutions  $\varphi_1^{(9)}$  and  $\varphi_1^{(25)}$ , in the notation of §A.4. These Jacobi forms will determine, by way of (4.31), the unique weight 1 meromorphic Jacobi forms  $\psi^X$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.1 for  $X \in \{A_8^3, A_{24}\}$ .

*Lambencies* 6, 10

In order to capture  $X = A_5^4 D_4$  and  $X = A_9^2 D_6$  at  $m = 6$  and  $m = 10$  (the cases with  $m$  given by the product of two distinct primes), we will relax the extremal condition further and consider weight 0 and index  $m - 1$  Jacobi forms admitting an expression

$$\phi = a_{\frac{m-1}{4},0} \text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4},0}^{(m)} + a_{\frac{m-1}{4},\frac{1}{2}} \text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4},\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} + \sum_{0 < r < m} \sum_{\substack{n > 0 \\ r^2 - 4mn \leq 1}} a_{\frac{m-1}{4}+n,\frac{r}{2}} \text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4}+n,\frac{r}{2}}^{(m)} \quad (4.39)$$

for some  $a_{h,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ . For this more general condition we also have a uniqueness property.

**Proposition 4.3.** *For a given index  $m - 1$  the dimension of the space of Jacobi forms satisfying (4.39) for some  $a_{h,j} \in \mathbb{C}$  is at most 1.*

*Proof.* If  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are two weight 0 Jacobi forms that can be written as in (4.39), there exists a linear combination  $\phi$  of  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfying

$$\phi = aq^{-\frac{1}{4m}} \frac{\theta_{m,1} - \theta_{m,-1}}{\mu_{1,0}} + \sum_{0 < r < m} \sum_{\substack{n > 0 \\ r^2 - 4mn \leq 1}} a_{\frac{m-1}{4}+n,\frac{r}{2}} \text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4}+n,\frac{r}{2}}^{(m)} \quad (4.40)$$

for some  $a, a_{h,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ , where we have used that

$$2 \text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4},0}^{(m)} + \text{ch}_{\frac{m-1}{4},\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} + q^{-\frac{1}{4m}} \frac{\theta_{m,1} - \theta_{m,-1}}{\mu_{1,0}} = 0. \quad (4.41)$$

Equivalently,  $\psi = \mu_{1,0}\phi$  is a weight 1 index  $m$  weak Jacobi form with Fourier expansion  $\psi(\tau, z) = \sum_{n,\ell} c(n, \ell)q^n y^\ell$ , where  $c(n, \ell) = 0$  for  $\ell^2 - 4mn > 1$ . But such a weight 1 index  $m$  weak Jacobi form does not exist according to Theorem 9.7 of [41], based on the fact that there is no (strong) Jacobi form of weight 1 and index  $m$  for any positive integer  $m$ , as shown earlier by Skoruppa [49]. We therefore conclude that  $\phi = 0$ , and  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are linearly dependent.  $\square$

This more general condition (4.39) singles out the weight 0 Jacobi forms  $\varphi_1^{(6)}$  and  $\varphi_1^{(10)}$  (cf. §A.4) at  $m \in \{6, 10\}$  in addition to those already mentioned, for which  $m$  is prime or the square of a prime. As above, these weight 0 forms will determine, by way of (4.31), the unique weight 1 meromorphic Jacobi forms  $\psi^X$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.1 for  $X \in \{A_5^4 D_6, A_9^2 D_6\}$ .

*Remark 4.4.* We expect that the space of solutions to (4.39) is zero-dimensional for all but finitely many  $m$ . The discussion of weight 1 Jacobi forms in [41, §9] suggests that Jacobi forms satisfying (4.39) might only exist for these values  $m \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 25\}$  which are among those of relevance to umbral moonshine.

*Lambencies* 8, 12, 16, 18

We are left with the A-type Niemeier root systems with Coxeter numbers that are not square-free and not squares of primes: they are  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2, A_{11} D_7 E_6, A_{15} D_9, A_{17} E_7$  with  $m = 8, 12, 16, 18$  respectively. To discuss these cases, let us first point out a subtlety in our procedure for determining the weight 1 meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi^X$  from a weight 0 meromorphic Jacobi form  $\phi^X$  using (4.31). Although the resulting weight 1 form  $\psi^X$  is unique following Theorem 4.1, in general the corresponding weight 0 form  $\phi^X$  is not. In other words, there could be more than one  $\phi^X$  satisfying (4.31) for a given  $\psi^X$ . For the A-type cases with  $m \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 25\}$  discussed above, there is no such ambiguity since the matrix  $\Omega^X$  corresponding to the Eichler–Zagier operator  $\mathcal{W}^X$  is invertible. On the other hand, the matrix  $\Omega^X$  is not invertible for  $X \in \{A_7^2 D_5^2, A_{11} D_7 E_6, A_{15} D_9, A_{17} E_7\}$ , corresponding to  $m \in \{8, 12, 16, 18\}$ . At the same time, in these cases there exists a unique  $d > 1$  such that  $d^2$  is a proper divisor of  $m$  and correspondingly, there is an interesting feature in the space of Jacobi forms. This is due to the fact (cf. [41, §4.4]) that if  $\psi_{k, m/d^2}(\tau, z)$  transforms as a weight  $k$  index  $m/d^2$  Jacobi form then  $\psi_{k, m/d^2}(\tau, dz)$  transforms as a weight  $k$  index  $m$  Jacobi form. It turns out that the umbral forms discussed above, in particular those with lambency  $\ell = 2, 3, 4$ , help to determine the weight 0 forms  $\phi^X$  at lambency  $\ell = 8, 12, 16, 18$  by requiring the “square relation”

$$-\mu_{1,0}\phi^{(\ell)}|\mathcal{W}_\ell(d)(\tau, z) = \frac{\ell/d^2 - 1}{24} \psi^{(\ell/d^2)}(\tau, dz), \quad (4.42)$$

where  $d$  is the unique integer such that  $d^2$  is a proper divisor of  $\ell$  different from 1, and  $\psi^{(\ell/d^2)}$  is the weight 1 meromorphic Jacobi form with index  $\ell/d^2$  that we have constructed above via (4.31). This extra condition (4.42) eliminates the kernel of the Eichler–Zagier operator  $\mathcal{W}^X$  and renders our choice for  $\phi^X$  unique. Notice that, following Table 3 we have used the lambency  $\ell$  to denote the Niemeier root system  $X$ , and the former simply coincides with the Coxeter number for the A-type cases discussed in this subsection.

To specify this particular choice of  $\phi^X$ , let us impose the following condition. For a non-square-free  $m$  which is not a square of a prime, we consider the weight 0 index  $m - 1$  Jacobi forms  $\phi$ , such that the finite part of the weight 1 index  $m$  Jacobi form  $-\mu_{1,0}\phi|(\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{W}_m(m/d))$  is a mock Jacobi form with expansion  $\sum_{n,\ell} c(n, \ell)q^n y^\ell$  and  $c(n, r) = 0$  whenever  $r^2 - 4mn > 1$ , i.e.

$$(-\mu_{1,0}\phi|(\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{W}_m(m/d)))^F(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{n,r \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0 \\ r^2 - 4mn \leq 1}} c(n, r)q^n y^r. \quad (4.43)$$

From the above discussion we arrive at the following uniqueness property for such Jacobi

forms.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Consider integers  $m, \tilde{m}, d$  satisfying  $m = \tilde{m}d^2$  and  $\tilde{m}, d > 1$ . Given any meromorphic weight 1 index  $n$  Jacobi form  $\psi^{(\tilde{m})}(\tau, z)$ , there exists at most one weight 0 index  $m - 1$  weak Jacobi form  $\phi$  satisfying (4.43) and the square relation*

$$-\mu_{1,0}\phi|\mathcal{W}_m(d)(\tau, z) = \psi^{(\tilde{m})}(\tau, dz). \quad (4.44)$$

*Proof.* Assume that there are two weight 0 index  $m - 1$  weak Jacobi forms  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfying

$$\mu_{1,0}\phi_1|\mathcal{W}_m(d)(\tau, z) = \mu_{1,0}\phi_2|\mathcal{W}_m(d)(\tau, z) = \psi^{(\tilde{m})}(\tau, dz) \quad (4.45)$$

and therefore  $(\mu_{1,0}\phi_1|\mathcal{W}_m(d))^F = (\mu_{1,0}\phi_2|\mathcal{W}_m(d))^F$ . It then follows from Lemma 3.4 that

$$(\mu_{1,0}\phi_1|\mathcal{W}_m(m/d))^F = (\mu_{1,0}\phi_2|\mathcal{W}_m(m/d))^F. \quad (4.46)$$

Note that we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(\tau, 0)\mu_{m,0}|\mathcal{W}_m(d) &= (\mu_{1,0}\phi_1|\mathcal{W}_m(d))^P \\ &= (\mu_{1,0}\phi_2|\mathcal{W}_m(d))^P \\ &= \phi_2(\tau, 0)\mu_{m,0}|\mathcal{W}_m(d), \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

which leads to the equality between the two constants  $\phi_1(\tau, 0) = \phi_2(\tau, 0)$ , and hence

$$(\mu_{1,0}\phi_1|(\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{W}_m(m/d)))^P = (\mu_{1,0}\phi_2|(\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{W}_m(m/d)))^P. \quad (4.48)$$

Next, assume that  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  both satisfy (4.43). Then  $\mu_{1,0}(\phi_1 - \phi_2)|(\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{W}_m(m/d))$  is a weight 1 index  $m$  weak Jacobi form with expansion  $\sum_{n,\ell} c(n, \ell)q^n y^\ell$ , where  $c(n, \ell) = 0$  for  $\ell^2 - 4mn > 1$ , which can only be identically zero (cf. [41], Theorem 9.7) and we arrive at

$$\mu_{1,0}\phi_1|(\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{W}_m(m/d)) = \mu_{1,0}\phi_2|(\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{W}_m(m/d)). \quad (4.49)$$

Combining with (4.46) and using Corollary 3.3 we obtain  $(\mu_{1,0}\phi_1)^F = (\mu_{1,0}\phi_2)^F$ . Again, the polar part  $(\mu_{1,0}\phi)^P$  of the meromorphic Jacobi form  $\mu_{1,0}\phi$  for any weight 0 index  $m - 1$  weak Jacobi form  $\phi$  is given by  $\phi(\tau, 0)\mu_{m,0}$ . This proves that  $\mu_{1,0}\phi_1 = \mu_{1,0}\phi_2$  and hence  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ .  $\square$

At  $m \in \{8, 12, 16, 18\}$ , applying the above Proposition and choosing

$$\psi^{(\tilde{m})} = -\mu_{1,0}\phi^{(\tilde{m})}, \quad \tilde{m} = 2, 3, 4, \tag{4.50}$$

with  $\phi^{(\tilde{m})}$  as in Table 7 gives the weight 0 forms we need in order to specify the remaining umbral mock modular forms  $H^X$  for  $X$  of A-type.

The explicit expressions for  $\phi^X$  for all A-type Niemeier root systems  $X$  are given in Table 7, where the basis we use for weight 0 weak Jacobi forms is summarised in §A.4 and  $\varphi_0^{(\ell)}$  denotes the constant  $\varphi_0^{(\ell)} = \varphi_1^{(\ell)}(\tau, 0)$ .

Table 7: Weight Zero Jacobi Forms

$X$	$A_1^{24}$	$A_2^{12}$	$A_3^8$	$A_4^6$	$A_5^4 D_4$	$A_6^4$	$A_8^3$	$A_9^2 D_6$	$A_{12}^2$	$A_{24}$
$\ell$	2	3	4	5	6	7	9	10	13	25
$\varphi_0^{(\ell)}\phi^{(\ell)}$	$\varphi_1^{(2)}$	$\varphi_1^{(3)}$	$\varphi_1^{(4)}$	$\varphi_1^{(5)}$	$\varphi_1^{(6)}$	$\varphi_1^{(7)}$	$\varphi_1^{(9)}$	$\varphi_1^{(10)}$	$\varphi_1^{(13)}$	$\varphi_1^{(25)}$
$X$	$A_7^2 D_5^2$		$A_{11} D_7 E_6$			$A_{15} D_9$				
$\ell$	8		12			16				
$\varphi_0^{(\ell)}\phi^{(\ell)}$	$\varphi_1^{(8)} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_2^{(8)}$		$\varphi_1^{(12)} + \varphi_2^{(12)}$			$\varphi_1^{(16)} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_2^{(16)}$				
$X$	$A_{17} E_7$									
$\ell$	18									
$\varphi_0^{(\ell)}\phi^{(\ell)}$	$\varphi_1^{(18)} + \frac{1}{3}\varphi_3^{(18)} + 4\xi(\varphi_1^{(12)} + 2\varphi_2^{(12)} + \frac{1}{3}\varphi_3^{(12)})$									

Given  $\phi^X$  and using the Eichler–Zagier operator  $\mathcal{W}^X$  defined in §3.3, the formula (4.31) gives the weight 1 meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi^X$ . From there, using the method described in §3.4 we can separate it into the polar and the finite part  $\psi^X = (\psi^X)^P + (\psi^X)^F$  in a canonical way. As can be verified by inspection, the choices of  $\phi^X$  specified in this subsection determine solutions to the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $X$  be one of the 14 Niemeier root systems with an A-type component (cf. (2.8)) and let  $\phi^X$  be as specified in Table 7. Then the meromorphic Jacobi form  $\psi^X$  determined by (4.31) is the unique such function satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.1. Write*

$$(\psi^X)^F = \sum_{r \pmod{2m}} H_r^X \theta_{m,r}, \tag{4.51}$$



then  $H^X = (H_r^X)$  is the unique vector-valued mock modular form with shadow  $S^X$  satisfying the optimal growth condition (cf. Corollary 4.2).

As a result, the weight 0 weak Jacobi forms constructed in this subsection define the umbral mock modular forms  $H^X$  for each of the A-type Niemeier root systems (cf. (2.8)). The first few dozen coefficients of the components  $H_r^X$  are given in Appendix C. It is a reflection of the close relationship between the notions of optimal growth formulated here and in [41] that the umbral forms  $H^X$  attached to A-type Niemeier root systems are closely related to the mock modular forms of weight 1 that appear in §A.2 of [41].

## 5 The Umbral McKay–Thompson Series

The purpose of this section is to discuss the *umbral McKay–Thompson series*  $H_g^X$  conjecturally defining the graded character of the group  $G^X$  attached to the umbral module  $K^X$  (cf. §6.1). In §5.1 we specify their mock modular properties. In §5.2 we discuss the McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^X$  attached to the five A-type Niemeier root systems  $X$  with prime Coxeter numbers. Subsequently, in §5.3 we discuss the *multiplicative relations* relating the McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^X$  and  $H_{g'}^{X'}$  attached to different Niemeier root systems  $X$  and  $X'$  with the Coxeter number of one of the root systems being an integer multiple of the other. In §5.4 we collect the relations between certain McKay–Thompson series and known mock theta series. As we discuss in §5.5 in detail, these relations, together with the constructions presented in §4.3 and §5.2, are sufficient to determine most of the umbral McKay–Thompson series completely. More specifically, we determine all of the umbral McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^X$  attached to all conjugacy classes  $[g]$  of the umbral group  $G^X$  corresponding to all the A-type Niemeier root systems, except for  $X = A_6^4, A_{12}^2$ , corresponding to lambencies 7, 13 (cf. (2.3)), for which we provide partial specifications. We also determine all of the umbral McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^X$  for all conjugacy classes  $[g]$  of the umbral group  $G^X$  corresponding to all the D-type Niemeier root systems, except for the lambencies  $10 + 5$  and  $22 + 11$ . For these D-type Niemeier root systems  $10 + 5$  and  $22 + 11$  we specify all the McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^X$  except for  $[g] = 4A \subset G^{(10+5)}$  and  $[g] = 2A \subset G^{(22+11)}$ . For  $X = E_6^4$  we specify  $H_g^X$  except for  $[g] \in \{6A, 8AB\}$ , while for  $X = E_8^3$  we omit the cases  $[g] \in \{2A, 3A\}$ . We also provide the first few dozen coefficients of all the umbral McKay–Thompson series in Appendix C. The conjugacy class names are defined in §B.1.

## 5.1 Shadows

In §2.4 we described the umbral groups  $G^X$  and attached twisted Euler characters  $\bar{\chi}^{X_A}$ ,  $\chi^{X_A}$ ,  $\bar{\chi}^{X_D}$ ,  $\chi^{X_D}$ , &c., to the A-, D-, and E-components of each Niemeier root system. In this section we will explain how to use these characters to define a function  $S_g^X$ , for each  $g \in G^X$ , which turns out to be the shadow of the vector-valued mock modular form  $H_g^X$ .

Let  $X$  be a Niemeier root system and suppose that  $m$  is the Coxeter number of  $X$ . Then given  $g \in G^X$  we define  $2m \times 2m$  matrices  $\Omega_g^{X_A}$ ,  $\Omega_g^{X_D}$ , and  $\Omega_g^{X_E}$ , with entries indexed by  $\mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ , as follows. We define  $\Omega_g^{X_A}$  by setting

$$\Omega_g^{X_A} = \chi_g^{X_A} P_m^0 + \bar{\chi}_g^{X_A} P_m^1 \quad (5.1)$$

where  $P_m^s$  is the diagonal matrix (of size  $2m \times 2m$ , with entries indexed by  $\mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ ) with  $r$ -th diagonal equal to 1 or 0 according as  $r = s \pmod{2}$  or not,

$$(P_m^s)_{r,r'} = \delta_{r,s(2)} \delta_{r,r'(2m)}. \quad (5.2)$$

In (5.2) we write  $\delta_{i,j(n)}$  for the function that is 1 when  $i = j \pmod{n}$  and 0 otherwise. Note that  $P_m^0 + P_m^1 = \Omega_m(1)$  is the  $2m \times 2m$  identity matrix. According to the convention that  $\chi_g^{X_A} = \bar{\chi}^{X_A} = 0$  if  $X_A = \emptyset$  we have  $\Omega_g^{X_A} = 0$  for all  $g \in G^X$  in case  $X_A$  is empty; i.e. in case there are no type A components in the Niemeier root system  $X$ .

If  $X_D \neq \emptyset$  then  $m$  is even. For  $m > 6$  we define  $\Omega_g^{X_D}$  by setting

$$\Omega_g^{X_D} = \chi_g^{X_D} P_m^0 + \bar{\chi}_g^{X_D} P_m^1 + \chi^{X_D} \Omega_m(m/2), \quad (5.3)$$

whilst for  $m = 6$ —an exceptional case due to triality for  $D_4$ —we define  $\Omega = \Omega_g^{X_D}$  so that

$$\Omega_{r,r'} = \begin{cases} \tilde{\chi}_g^{X_D} \delta_{r,r'(12)}, & \text{if } r = 0, 3 \pmod{6}, \\ \bar{\chi}_g^{X_D} \delta_{r,r'(12)} + \chi_g^{X_D} \delta_{r,-r'(6)} \delta_{r,r'(4)}, & \text{if } r = 1, 5 \pmod{6}, \\ \chi_g^{X_D} \delta_{r,r'(12)} + \chi_g^{X_D} \delta_{r,-r'(6)} \delta_{r,r'(4)}, & \text{if } r = 2, 4 \pmod{6}. \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

The matrices  $\Omega_g^{X_E}$  are defined by setting

$$\Omega_g^{X_E} = \begin{cases} (\chi_g^{X_E} P_m^0 + \bar{\chi}_g^{X_E} P_m^1)(\Omega_m(1) + \Omega_m(4)) + \chi_g^{X_E} \Omega_m(6) & \text{if } m = 12, \\ \bar{\chi}_g^{X_E} (\Omega_m(1) + \Omega_m(6) + \Omega_m(9)), & \text{if } m = 18, \\ \bar{\chi}_g^{X_E} (\Omega_m(1) + \Omega_m(6) + \Omega_m(10) + \Omega_m(15)), & \text{if } m = 30. \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

Now for  $X$  a Niemeier root system we set  $\Omega_g^X = \Omega_g^{X_A} + \Omega_g^{X_D} + \Omega_g^{X_E}$ , and we define  $S_g^X$  by setting

$$S_g^X = \Omega_g^X \cdot S_m. \quad (5.6)$$

This generalises the construction (4.13). We conjecture (cf. §6.2) that the vector-valued function  $S_g^X$  is the shadow of the mock modular form  $H_g^X$  attached to  $g \in G^X$ . We will specify (most of) the  $H_g^X$  explicitly in the remainder of §5.

*Remark 5.1.* The matrices  $\Omega^X$ , corresponding to the case where  $[g]$  is the identity class, admit an ADE classification as explained in §3.3. It is natural to ask what the criteria are that characterise these matrices  $\Omega_g^X$ , attached as above to elements  $g \in G^X$  via the twisted Euler characters defined in §2.4.

## 5.2 Prime Lambencies

In this subsection we review the mock modular forms  $H_g^X = (H_{g,r}^X)$  conjecturally encoding the graded characters of the umbral module  $K^X$  (cf. Conjecture 6.1) of the umbral group  $G^X$  for the five Niemeier root systems  $X$  with prime Coxeter numbers. Explicitly, these are the root systems  $X = A_1^{24}, A_2^{12}, A_4^6, A_6^4, A_{12}^2$  with  $\ell = 2, 3, 5, 7, 13$ , and the corresponding McKay–Thompson series are denoted  $H_g^{(\ell)} = (H_{g,r}^{(\ell)})$  with  $r = 1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1$ , using the notation given in Table 3. In the next subsection we will see that they determine many of the umbral McKay–Thompson series attached to the other Niemeier root systems with non-prime Coxeter numbers. The discussion of this subsection follows that of [1]. The McKay–Thompson series for  $\ell = 2$ ,  $X = A_1^{24}$  were first computed in [12–15].

In order to give explicit formulas for the mock modular forms  $H_g^{(\ell)} = (H_{g,r}^{(\ell)})$ , we consider a slightly different function

$$\widehat{H}_{g,r}^{(\ell)} = H_{g,r}^{(\ell)} - \frac{\chi_r^{(\ell)}(g)}{\chi^{(\ell)}} H_r^{(\ell)}, \quad (5.7)$$

where  $H^{(\ell)} = (H_r^{(\ell)})$  is the umbral form specified in §4.3 corresponding to the identity class  $1_A$

and whose Fourier coefficients are given in Appendix C with  $H_r^{(\ell)} = H_{1A,r}^{(\ell)}$ . We also let

$$\chi_r^{(\ell)}(g) = \chi_g^{X_A} \text{ for } r = 0 \pmod{2}, \quad \chi_r^{(\ell)}(g) = \bar{\chi}_g^{X_A} \text{ for } r = 1 \pmod{2}, \quad (5.8)$$

and

$$\chi^{(\ell)} = \chi_{1A}^{X_A} = \bar{\chi}_{1A}^{X_A} = \frac{24}{\ell-1} \quad (5.9)$$

for

$$X_A = X = A_{\ell-1}^{24/(\ell-1)} \quad (5.10)$$

where the characters  $\chi_g^{X_A}$  and  $\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$  are defined in §2.4 and given explicitly in §B.2. Following the discussion in §5.1 we note that the combination (5.7) of  $H_{g,r}^{(\ell)}$  and  $H_r^{(\ell)}$  has the property of being a modular form rather than a mock modular form, as the shadows of the two contributions to  $\widehat{H}_{g,r}^{(\ell)}$  cancel.

Subsequently, we define weight two modular forms

$$F_g^{(\ell)} = \sum_{r=1}^{\ell-1} \widehat{H}_{g,r}^{(\ell)} S_{\ell,r} \quad (5.11)$$

where  $S_{\ell,r}$  is again the unary theta series given in (3.39). For  $\ell > 3$  we also specify further weight two modular forms by setting

$$F_g^{(\ell),2} = \sum_{r=1}^{\ell-1} \widehat{H}_{g,r}^{(\ell)} S_{\ell,\ell-r}. \quad (5.12)$$

As explained in detail in [1], specifying  $F_g^{(\ell)}$  and  $F_g^{(\ell),2}$  is sufficient to determine  $H_g^{(\ell)}$  uniquely for  $\ell = 2, 3, 5$ . In the case  $\ell = 2$  there is only one term in the sum (5.11) and it is straightforward to obtain  $\widehat{H}_{g,r}^{(\ell)}$  from the weight 2 form  $F_g^{(\ell)}$ . In the case  $\ell = 3, 5$ , we also utilise the following fact obeyed by the conjugacy classes of  $G^{(\ell)}$ .

For any umbral group  $G^{(\ell)}$  corresponding to an A-type Niemeier root lattice with Coxeter number  $\ell > 2$ , for a given conjugacy class  $[g]$  with  $\chi_1^{(\ell)}(g) > 0$ , there exists a (not necessarily different) conjugacy class  $[g']$  with the property

$$\chi_1^{(\ell)}(g) = \chi_1^{(\ell)}(g'), \quad \chi_2^{(\ell)}(g) = -\chi_2^{(\ell)}(g') \quad (5.13)$$

and the order of  $g$  and  $g'$  are either the same or related by a factor of 2 or  $1/2$ . For such paired classes we have

$$H_{g,r}^{(\ell)} + (-1)^r H_{g',r}^{(\ell)} = 0. \quad (5.14)$$

In particular,  $H_{g,even}^{(\ell)} = 0$  for the self-paired classes. For  $\ell = 7, 13$ , this serves to constrain the function  $H_g^{(\ell)}$  and supports the claims regarding their modular properties discussed in §6.2. We refer the readers to §4 of [1] for explicit expressions for the weight two forms  $F_g^{(\ell)}$  and  $F_g^{(\ell),2}$ .

Note that, from the discussion in §5.1, the relation (5.13) implies that the shadows attached to such paired classes satisfy

$$S_{g,r}^{(\ell)} + (-1)^r S_{g',r}^{(\ell)} = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

Therefore, the paired relation (5.14) can be viewed as a consequence of (the validity of) Conjecture 6.5.

### 5.3 Multiplicative Relations

In this subsection we will describe a web of relations, the multiplicative relations, among the mock modular forms attached to different Niemeier lattices. The nomenclature comes from the fact that these relations occur only among mock modular forms attached to Niemeier root systems with one Coxeter number being an integer multiple of the other. To simplify the discussion we will distinguish the following two types. The *horizontal relations* relate umbral McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^X$  and  $H_g^{X'}$  attached to different Niemeier root systems with the same Coxeter number, *i.e.*  $m(X) = m(X')$ . The *vertical relations* connect umbral McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^X$  and  $H_g^{X'}$  attached to different Niemeier root systems  $X$  and  $X'$  with  $m(X) | m(X')$  and  $m(X) \neq m(X')$ .

First we will discuss the horizontal relations, summarised in Table 8. Note that there are five pairs of Niemeier root systems that share the same Coxeter number  $m \in \{6, 10, 12, 18, 30\}$ . Let us choose such a pair  $(X', X)$ . From the definition of the umbral shadows (4.13) and the generalisation in §5.1 to the non-identity conjugacy classes, we see that it can happen that the  $r'$ -th component  $S_{g',r'}^{X'}$  of the shadow attached to the conjugacy class  $[g']$  of the umbral group  $G^{X'}$  is expressible as a linear combination of the components  $S_{g,r}^X$  of the shadow attached to the conjugacy class  $[g]$  of the umbral group  $G^X$ . It turns out that for all five equal-Coxeter-number pairs of Niemeier root systems, this indeed happens for various pairs  $([g'], [g])$  of conjugacy classes.

Note that the relation between the shadows

$$S_{g',r'}^{X'} = \sum_r c_{r',r} S_{g,r}^X \quad (5.16)$$

$X'$	$X$	$([g'], [g])$	Relations
6+3	6	(1A, 1A)	$H_{g',r}^{X'} = H_{g,r}^X + H_{g,6-r}^X$
		(2A, 2B)	
		(3B, 3A)	$H_{g',r}^{X'} = H_{g,r}^X - H_{g,6-r}^X$
		(4A, 8AB)	
10+5	10	(1A, 1A)	$H_{g',r}^{X'} = H_{g,r}^X + H_{g,10-r}^X$
		(2A, 4AB)	
12+4	12	(2B, 4AB)	$H_{g',r}^{X'} = H_{g,r}^X - H_{g,10-r}^X$
		(1A, 1A)	$H_{g',r}^{X'} = H_{g,r}^X + H_{g,6+r}^X, r = 1, 5$
(2A, 2A)	$H_{g',4}^{X'} = H_{g,4}^X + H_{g,8}^X$		
18+9	18	(1A, 1A)	$H_{g',r}^{X'} = H_{g,r}^X + H_{g,18-r}^X$
		(2A, 1A)	
30+6,10,15	30+15	(1A, 1A)	$H_{g',1}^{X'} = H_{g,1}^X + H_{g,11}^X$ $H_{g',7}^{X'} = H_{g,7}^X + H_{g,13}^X$

Table 8: Horizontal Relations.

is a necessary but insufficient condition for the linear relation

$$H_{g',r'}^{X'} = \sum_r c_{r',r} H_{g,r}^X \quad (5.17)$$

between the corresponding McKay–Thompson series to hold, since the coincidence of the shadow guarantees the coincidence of the corresponding mock modular form only up to the addition of a modular form. Nevertheless, it turns out that in umbral moonshine the relation between the McKay–Thompson series (5.17) holds whenever the relation between the shadow (5.16) holds non-trivially with  $S_{g',r'}^{X'}, S_{g,r}^X \neq 0$ . This fact, together with the more general multiplicative relations (5.21), can again be viewed as the consequence of the conjectured uniqueness of such mock modular forms (Conjecture 6.5). See Table 8 for the list of such horizontal relations. In particular, for the identity element a relation  $H_r^{X'} = \sum_r c_{r',r} H_r^X$  holds for some  $c_{r',r} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all the five pairs  $(X', X)$  of Niemeier root systems with the same Coxeter numbers.

Note that, together with the discussion in §4.3 and Proposition 3.2, this implies more specifically that the umbral mock modular forms  $H_r^{X'}$  can be obtained as the theta-coefficients of the

finite part of the meromorphic weight 1 Jacobi form

$$\psi^{X'} = -\mu_{1,0}\phi^{X'}|\mathcal{W}^{X'}, \quad \phi^{X'} = \phi^X \quad (5.18)$$

for the four pairs  $(X', X) \in \{(6, 6+3), (10, 10+5), (12, 12+4), (18, 18+9)\}$  with A-type root systems  $X$  and with the weight 0 Jacobi forms given in Table 7.

In fact, a linear relation between the shadows attached to different Niemeier root systems can happen more generally and not just among those with the same Coxeter numbers. The first indication that non-trivial relations might exist across different Coxeter numbers is the following property of the building blocks of the umbral shadow. As one can easily check, the unary theta function  $S_m = (S_{m,r})$  defined in (3.39) at a given index  $m$  can be re-expressed in terms of those at a higher index as

$$S_{m,r}(\tau) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1} S_{nm, r+2m\ell}(n\tau) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} S_{nm, 2m\ell-r}(n\tau), \quad (5.19)$$

for any positive integer  $n$ . The above equality makes it possible to have the relation

$$\sum_{r'} c_{r,r'} S_{g',r'}^{X'}(k\tau) = S_{g,r}^X(\tau) \quad (5.20)$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . We will see that this relation between the umbral shadows does occur for many pairs of Niemeier root systems  $(X', X)$  with  $m(X') = km(X)$ . Moreover, whenever this relation holds non-trivially with  $S_{g',r'}^{X'}, S_{g,r}^X \neq 0$ , the corresponding relation among the McKay–Thompson series

$$\sum_{r'} c_{r,r'} H_{g',r'}^{X'}\left(\frac{m(X')}{m(X)}\tau\right) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau) \quad (5.21)$$

also holds.

We summarise a minimal set of such relations in Table 9. In Tables 8 and 9, when it is not explicitly specified, the relation holds for all values of  $r$  such that all  $H_{g',r_1}^{X'}$  and  $H_{g,r_2}^X$  appearing on both sides of the equation have  $1 \leq r_1 \leq m(X')$  and  $1 \leq r_2 \leq m(X)$ . From the relations recorded in these tables as well as the paired relations (5.58) and (5.62), many further relations can be derived. For example, combining the relations between the  $(1A, 2BC)$  classes for  $(X', X) = (16, 8)$ , the  $(2BC, 4C)$  classes for  $(X', X) = (8, 4)$  and the  $(4C, 8A)$  classes at  $(X', X) = (4, 2)$ , one can deduce that there is a multiplicative relation  $\sum_{n=0}^7 (-1)^n H_{1A, 2n+1}^{(16)}(8\tau) = H_{8A, 1}^{(2)}(\tau)$ . See §5.5 for more detailed information.

These multiplicative relations form an intricate web relating umbral moonshine at different

$X'$	$X$	$([g'], [g])$	Relations
4	2	(1A, 2A), (2B, 4A) (2C, 4B), (3A, 6A) (4A, 4C), (4C, 8A) (6BC, 12A), (7AB, 14AB)	$(H_{g',1}^{X'} - H_{g',3}^{X'})(2\tau) = H_{g,1}^X(\tau)$
		(1A, 3A), (2B, 6A) (8AB, 12A)	$\sum_{n=0}^2 (-1)^n H_{g',1+2n}^{X'}(3\tau) = H_{g,1}^X(\tau)$
6	3	(1A, 2B), (2A, 2C) (2B, 4C), (4A, 4B) (3A, 6C), (6A, 6D) (8AB, 8CD)	$(H_{g',r}^{X'} - H_{g',6-r}^{X'})(2\tau) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$
		(1A, 3A), (2B, 6C)	$(H_{g',r}^{X'} + H_{g',r+6}^{X'} - H_{g',6-r}^{X'})(3\tau) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$
6+3	2	(5A, 15AB)	$\sum_{n=0}^2 (-1)^n H_{g',1+2n}^{X'}(3\tau) = H_{g,1}^X(\tau)$
8	4	(1A, 2C), (2BC, 4C) (4A, 4B)	$(H_{g',r}^{X'} - H_{g',8-r}^{X'})(2\tau) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$
		(1A, 3A), (2B, 6C)	$(H_{g',r}^{X'} + H_{g',r+6}^{X'} - H_{g',6-r}^{X'})(3\tau) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$
10	2	(1A, 5A), (4AB, 10A)	$\sum_{n=0}^4 (-1)^n H_{g',1+2n}^{X'}(5\tau) = H_{g,1}^X(\tau)$
		(1A, 2C), (4AB, 4CD)	$(H_{g',r}^{X'} - H_{g',10-r}^{X'})(2\tau) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$
10+5	2	(3A, 15AB)	$(H_{g',1}^{X'} - H_{g',3}^{X'} + H_{g',5}^{X'}/2)(5\tau) = H_{g,1}^X(\tau)$
12	4	(1A, 3A)	$(H_{g',r}^{X'} + H_{g',r+8}^{X'} - H_{g',8-r}^{X'})(3\tau) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$
		(1A, 2B)	$(H_{g',r}^{X'} - H_{g',12-r}^{X'})(2\tau) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$
12+4	6	(3A, 3A) (2B, 8AB)	$(H_{g',1}^{X'} - H_{g',5}^{X'})(2\tau) = (H_{g,1}^X - H_{g,5}^X)(\tau)$ $H_{g,4}^{X'}(2\tau) = (H_{g,2}^X + H_{g,4}^X)(\tau)$
14+7	2	(1A, 7AB), (2A, 14AB) (3A, 21AB)	$(-\frac{1}{2}H_{g',7}^{X'} + \sum_{n=0}^2 (-1)^n H_{g',1+2n}^{X'})(7\tau) = H_{g,1}^X(\tau)$
16	8	(1A, 2BC)	$(H_{g',r}^{X'} - H_{g',16-r}^{X'})(2\tau) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$
18	6	(1A, 3A)	$(H_{g',r}^{X'} - H_{g',12-r}^{X'} + H_{g',12+r}^{X'})(3\tau) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$
		(1A, 2B)	$3(H_{g',r}^{X'} - H_{g',18-r}^{X'})(2\tau) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$
22+11	2	(1A, 11A)	$(-\frac{1}{2}H_{g',11}^{X'} + \sum_{n=0}^4 (-1)^n H_{g',1+2n}^{X'})(11\tau) = H_{g,1}^X(\tau)$
25	5	(1A, 5A)	$(\sum_{n=0}^2 H_{g',10n+r}^{X'} - \sum_{n=1}^2 H_{g',10n-r}^{X'})(5\tau) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$
30+15	2	(1A, 15AB)	$(-\frac{1}{2}H_{g',15}^{X'} + \sum_{n=0}^6 (-1)^n H_{g',1+2n}^{X'})(15\tau) = H_{g,1}^X(\tau)$
		(1A, 3A)	$(H_{g',r}^{X'} + H_{g',10-r}^{X'} - H_{g',10+r}^{X'})(3\tau) = H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$
46+23	2	(1A, 23AB)	$(-\frac{1}{2}H_{g',23}^{X'} + \sum_{n=0}^{10} (-1)^n H_{g',1+2n}^{X'})(23\tau) = H_{g,1}^X(\tau)$

Table 9: Vertical Relations.






Figure 3: Multiplicative Relations.

lambencies. We summarise this web in Figure 3, where the horizontal relations are indicated by arrowed dashed lines and the vertical relations are indicated by solid lines.

We will finish this section with a discussion of a curious property of the multiplicative relations. Note that these relations occur among the McKay–Thomson series attached to  $[g] \subset G^X$  and  $[g'] \subset G^{X'}$  with  $ord(g') m(X')$  coinciding with  $ord(g) m(X)$  up to a factor of 2. This property can be understood as a consequence of the relation between the level  $n_g$  of the automorphy group  $\Gamma_0(n_g)$  of the McKay–Thomson series  $H_g^X$  and the order  $ord(g)$  of the group element (cf. §6.2). The extra factor of 2 can be understood as a consequence of the structure  $G^X = 2.\bar{G}^X$  of the associated umbral groups (cf. §2.4).

### 5.4 Mock Theta Functions

In this subsection we record relations between the McKay–Thompson series of umbral moonshine and known mock theta functions. Many of the mock theta functions arising appear either in Ramanujan’s last letter to Hardy or in his lost notebook [50]. In what follows we will give

explicit expressions for the mock theta series using the  $q$ -Pochhammer symbol

$$(a; q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k). \quad (5.22)$$

For lambency 2, two of the functions  $H_g^{(2)}(\tau)$  are related to Ramanujan's mock theta functions of orders 2 and 8 through

$$\begin{aligned} H_{4B}^{(2)}(\tau) &= -2q^{-1/8}\mu(q) = -2q^{-1/8} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n^2} (q; q^2)_n}{(-q^2; q^2)_n^2} \\ H_{8A}^{(2)}(\tau) &= -2q^{-1/8}U_0(q) = -2q^{-1/8} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2} (-q; q^2)_n}{(-q^4; q^4)_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

For  $\ell = 3$  we encounter the following order 3 mock theta functions of Ramanujan:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2B,1}^{(3)}(\tau) &= H_{2C,1}^{(3)}(\tau) = H_{4C,1}^{(3)}(\tau) = -2q^{-1/12}f(q^2) \\ H_{6C,1}^{(3)}(\tau) &= H_{6D,1}^{(3)}(\tau) = -2q^{-1/12}\chi(q^2) \\ H_{8C,1}^{(3)}(\tau) &= H_{8D,1}^{(3)}(\tau) = -2q^{-1/12}\phi(-q^2) \\ H_{2B,2}^{(3)}(\tau) &= -H_{2C,2}^{(3)}(\tau) = -4q^{2/3}\omega(-q) \\ H_{6C,2}^{(3)}(\tau) &= -H_{6D,2}^{(3)}(\tau) = 2q^{2/3}\rho(-q), \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(q) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1+q)^2(1+q^2)^2 \cdots (1+q^n)^2} \\ \phi(q) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1+q^2)(1+q^4) \cdots (1+q^{2n})} \\ \chi(q) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1-q+q^2)(1-q^2+q^4) \cdots (1-q^n+q^{2n})} \\ \omega(q) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n(n+1)}}{(1-q)^2(1-q^3)^2 \cdots (1-q^{2n+1})^2} \\ \rho(q) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n(n+1)}}{(1+q+q^2)(1+q^3+q^6) \cdots (1+q^{2n+1}+q^{4n+2})}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

For  $\ell = 4$  and  $\ell = 16$  we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{2C,1}^{(4)}(\tau) &= q^{-\frac{1}{16}} (-2S_0(q) + 4T_0(q)), \\
 H_{2C,3}^{(4)}(\tau) &= q^{\frac{7}{16}} (2S_1(q) - 4T_1(q)), \\
 H_{4C,1}^{(4)}(\tau) &= -2q^{-\frac{1}{16}} S_0(q), \\
 H_{4C,3}^{(4)}(\tau) &= 2q^{\frac{7}{16}} S_1(q)
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

and

$$H_{1A,2}^{(16)}(\tau) = H_{1A,14}^{(16)} = 2q^{-1/16} T_0(-q) = \frac{1}{2} \left( H_{2C,1}^{(4)}(\tau) - H_{4C,1}^{(4)}(\tau) \right) \tag{5.27}$$

$$H_{1A,4}^{(16)}(\tau) = H_{1A,12}^{(16)} = 2q^{-1/4} V_1(q)$$

$$H_{1A,6}^{(16)}(\tau) = H_{1A,10}^{(16)} = 2q^{7/16} T_1(-q) \tag{5.28}$$

to the order 8 mock theta functions

$$S_0(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2} (-q; q^2)_n}{(-q^2; q^2)_n} \tag{5.29}$$

$$S_1(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n(n+2)} (-q; q^2)_n}{(-q^2; q^2)_n} \tag{5.30}$$

$$T_0(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{(n+1)(n+2)} (-q^2; q^2)_n}{(-q; q^2)_{n+1}} \tag{5.31}$$

$$T_1(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n(n+1)} (-q^2; q^2)_n}{(-q; q^2)_{n+1}} \tag{5.32}$$

$$V_1(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{(n+1)^2} (-q; q^2)_n}{(q; q^2)_{n+1}} \tag{5.33}$$

discussed in [51]. We also have  $H_{2A,r}^{(16)} = -H_{1A,r}^{(16)}$  for  $r = 2, 4, 6, 10, 12, 14$ .

For  $\ell = 5$  we encounter four of Ramanujan's order 10 mock theta functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{2BC,1}^{(5)}(\tau) &= H_{4CD,1}^{(5)}(\tau) = -2q^{-\frac{1}{20}} X(q^2) \\
 H_{2BC,3}^{(5)}(\tau) &= H_{4CD,3}^{(5)}(\tau) = -2q^{-\frac{9}{20}} \chi_{10}(q^2) \\
 H_{2C,2}^{(5)}(\tau) &= -H_{2B,2}^{(5)}(\tau) = 2q^{-\frac{1}{5}} \psi_{10}(-q) \\
 H_{2C,4}^{(5)}(\tau) &= -H_{2B,4}^{(5)}(\tau) = -2q^{\frac{1}{5}} \phi_{10}(-q)
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

given by

$$\phi_{10}(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(q; q^2)_{n+1}} \quad (5.35)$$

$$\psi_{10}(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n+1)(n+2)/2}}{(q; q^2)_{n+1}} \quad (5.36)$$

$$X(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n^2}}{(-q; q)_{2n}} \quad (5.37)$$

$$\chi_{10}(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{(n+1)^2}}{(-q; q)_{2n+1}}. \quad (5.38)$$

At  $\ell = 6$  and  $\ell = 6 + 3$  we find

$$H_{2B,3}^{(6)}(\tau) = -2q^{-3/8}\psi_6(q) \quad (5.39)$$

$$H_{2A,1}^{(6+3)}(\tau) = -2q^{-1/24}\phi_6(q) \quad (5.40)$$

$$H_{3B,1}^{(6+3)}(\tau) = -2q^{-1/24}\gamma_6(q) \quad (5.41)$$

$$H_{2B,1}^{(6+3)}(\tau) = -2q^{-1/24}f(q) \quad (5.42)$$

$$H_{4B,1}^{(6+3)}(\tau) = -2q^{-1/24}\phi(-q) \quad (5.43)$$

$$H_{6B,1}^{(6+3)}(\tau) = -2q^{-1/24}\chi(q) \quad (5.44)$$

where  $f(q), \phi(q), \chi(q)$  are third order mock theta functions given earlier and

$$\psi_6(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{(n+1)^2} (q; q^2)_n}{(-q; q)_{2n+1}} \quad (5.45)$$

$$\phi_6(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n^2} (q; q^2)_n}{(-q; q)_{2n}} \quad (5.46)$$

$$\gamma_6(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2} (q; q)_n}{(q^3; q^3)_n} \quad (5.47)$$

are sixth order mock theta functions.

For  $\ell = 8$

$$H_{1A,2}^{(8)}(\tau) = H_{1A,6}^{(8)} = 4q^{-1/4}A(q) = 4q^{-1/4} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{(n+1)}(-q^2; q^2)_n}{(q; q^2)_{n+1}} \quad (5.48)$$

$$H_{1A,4}^{(8)}(\tau) = 4q^{1/2}B(q) = 4q^{1/2} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^n(-q; q^2)_n}{(q; q^2)_{n+1}} \quad (5.49)$$

where  $A(q)$ ,  $B(q)$  are both second order mock theta functions discussed in [52].

For  $\ell = 12$  and  $\ell = 12 + 4$  we have

$$H_{1A,2}^{(12)}(\tau) = H_{1A,10}^{(12)}(\tau) = -2q^{-4/48}\sigma(q) \quad (5.50)$$

$$H_{1A,4}^{(12)}(\tau) = H_{1A,8}^{(12)}(\tau) = 2q^{2/3}\omega(q) \quad (5.51)$$

$$H_{1A,1}^{(12+4)}(\tau) = -q^{-1/48}\left(f(q^{1/2}) - f(-q^{1/2})\right) \quad (5.52)$$

where  $\sigma(q)$  is the order 6 mock theta function

$$\sigma(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n+1)(n+2)/2}(-q; q)_n}{(q; q^2)_{n+1}} \quad (5.53)$$

and  $\omega(q)$  and  $f(q)$  are third order mock theta functions given in (5.25).

For  $\ell = 30 + 6, 10, 15$  we find four of Ramanujan's mock theta functions of order 5:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1A,1}^{(30+6,10,15)}(\tau) &= q^{-1/120}(2\chi_0(q) - 4) \\ H_{1A,7}^{(30+6,10,15)}(\tau) &= 2q^{71/120}\chi_1(q) \\ H_{2A,1}^{(30+6,10,15)}(\tau) &= -2q^{-1/120}\phi_0(-q) \\ H_{2A,7}^{(30+6,10,15)}(\tau) &= 2q^{-49/120}\phi_1(-q) \end{aligned} \quad (5.54)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_0(\tau) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^n}{(q^{n+1}; q)_n} \\ \chi_1(\tau) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^n}{(q^{n+1}; q)_{n+1}} \\ \phi_0(\tau) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{n^2}(-q; q^2)_n \\ \phi_1(\tau) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{(n+1)^2}(-q; q^2)_n. \end{aligned} \quad (5.55)$$

## 5.5 Specification

In this subsection we will combine the different types of data on the McKay–Thompson series discussed in §4.3 and §5.2-5.4, and explain how they lead to explicit expressions for the umbral McKay–Thompson series.

First we will note one more relation among umbral McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^X$  and  $H_g^X$

attached to different conjugacy classes of the same umbral group  $G^X$ . Notice that for all the A-type Niemeier root systems as well as  $X = E_6^4$ , the corresponding umbral group takes the form

$$G^X = 2.\bar{G}^X \quad (5.56)$$

and the corresponding McKay–Thompson series display the following paired relation. For the A-type Niemeier root system  $X$ , for every conjugacy class  $[g] \subset G^X$  with  $\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A} > 0$  there is a unique conjugacy class  $[g']$  with

$$\chi_g^{X_A} = -\chi_{g'}^{X_A}, \quad \bar{\chi}_g^{X_A} = \bar{\chi}_{g'}^{X_A}, \quad (5.57)$$

that we say to be paired with  $[g]$ . For such paired classes, the corresponding McKay–Thompson series satisfy the relation

$$H_{g,r}^X + (-1)^r H_{g',r}^X = 0. \quad (5.58)$$

This generalises the paired property for the pure A-series discussed in §5.2. Similarly, for  $X = E_6^4$  we have for every conjugacy class  $[g] \subset G^X$  with  $\chi_g^{X_E} > 0$  a conjugacy class  $[g']$  with

$$\chi_g^{X_E} = -\chi_{g'}^{X_E}, \quad \bar{\chi}_g^{X_E} = \bar{\chi}_{g'}^{X_E}, \quad (5.59)$$

that is paired with  $[g]$ . For such paired classes, the corresponding McKay–Thompson series again satisfy the relation (5.58).

For the lambency  $\ell = 6 + 3$ , corresponding to  $X = D_4^6$ , the corresponding umbral group has the form

$$G^X = 3.\bar{G}^X \quad (5.60)$$

and the conjugacy classes with  $\bar{\chi}^{X_D}(g) > 0$  form pairs satisfying

$$\chi_g^{X_D} = \chi_{g'}^{X_D}, \quad \check{\chi}_g^{X_D} + 2\check{\chi}_{g'}^{X_D} = 0. \quad (5.61)$$

For these paired conjugacy classes, the McKay–Thompson series have the property

$$H_{g,1}^X - H_{g',1}^X = 0, \quad H_{g,3}^X + 2H_{g',3}^X = 0. \quad (5.62)$$

In particular, we have  $H_{g,3}^{(6+3)} = 0$  for all the self-paired classes. From the discussion in §5.1 we see that the relation between the twisted Euler characters and the paired relations is implied by the shadows of the umbral McKay–Thompson series, just as the multiplicative relations

discussed in the §5.3. As a result, one can view the relations (5.58) and (5.62) as a consequence of the apparently general phenomenon that the umbral McKay–Thompson series are determined by their mock modular properties together with the optimal growth condition, cf. Conjecture 6.5.

In the rest of this subsection we will tie these relations together and discuss each lambency separately. First of all, for all the A-type Niemeier root systems

$$\ell \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 18, 25\}, \quad (5.63)$$

the discussion in §4.3 specifies all  $H^{(\ell)} = (H_{1A,r}^{(\ell)})$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$  and it remains only to specify  $H_g^{(\ell)}$  with  $[g] \subset G^X$  different from the identity class. For  $\ell = 2, 3, 5$ , the discussion in §5.2 specifies all the McKay–Thompson series. For  $\ell = 7, 13$ , the discussion in §5.2 gives partial information on all the McKay–Thompson series. For  $\ell = 4$ , the vertical relations in Table 9 specify all the odd components of  $H_{g,r}^{(4)}$  for all conjugacy classes  $[g] \in G^{(4)}$  except for  $[g] = 4B, 8A$ . The odd components for  $[g] = 4B, 8A$  can be specified by the identities

$$\begin{aligned} (H_{4B,1}^{(4)} - H_{4B,3}^{(4)})(\tau) &= -2 \frac{\eta(\tau/2)\eta(\tau)^4}{\eta(\tau)^2\eta(4\tau)^2}, \\ (H_{8A,1}^{(4)} - H_{8A,3}^{(4)})(\tau) &= -2 \frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{\eta(\tau/2)\eta(4\tau)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.64)$$

We are left to determine the second components  $H_{g,2}^{(4)}(\tau)$ , which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{3A,2}^{(4)}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{4}H_2^{(4)}(\tau) + \frac{1}{2\eta(2\tau)^3} \left( -3\Lambda_2(\tau) - 4\Lambda_3(\tau) + \Lambda_6(\tau) \right), \\ H_{7AB,2}^{(4)}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{8}H_2^{(4)}(\tau) + \frac{1}{12\eta(2\tau)^3} \left( -7\Lambda_2(\tau) - 4\Lambda_7(\tau) + \Lambda_{14}(\tau) + 28f_{14}(\tau) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.65)$$

Together with the paired relation (5.58), Table 9, and

$$H_{g,2}^{(4)}(\tau) = 0 \quad \text{for all } [g] \notin \{1A, 2A, 3A, 6A, 7AB, 14AB\}, \quad (5.66)$$

(5.64)-(5.65) completely specifies all  $H_g^{(4)} = (H_{g,r}^{(4)})$  for all  $[g] \subset G^{(4)}$ .

For  $\ell = 6$ , the vertical relations in Table 9 to the McKay–Thompson series of lambencies  $\ell = 2, 3$  suffice to specify all  $H_g^{(6)} = (H_{g,r}^{(6)})$  except for the components  $r = 1, 5$  of the classes 3A and 6A. Subsequently, the relation to  $H_{1A,r}^{(18)}$  in Table 9 and the paired relation (5.58) determines  $H_{3A}^{(18)}$  and  $H_{6A}^{(18)}$ .

For  $\ell = 8$ , the vertical relations to  $\ell = 4$  recorded in Table 9, together with the paired

relation (5.58) and  $H_{2BC,2n}^{(8)} = H_{4A,2n}^{(8)} = 0$  for all  $n = 1, 2, 3$  specify all the  $H_g^{(8)} = (H_{g,r}^{(8)})$ .

For  $\ell = 9$ , the vertical relations to  $\ell = 3$  recorded in Table 9 together with the paired relation (5.58) determine all  $H_g^{(9)}$  except for  $[g] = 3A, 6A$ . To determine  $H_{3A}^{(9)}$  and  $H_{6A}^{(9)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (H_{3A,1}^{(9)} - H_{3A,5}^{(9)} + H_{3A,7}^{(9)})(3\tau) &= -6 \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(12\tau)\eta(18\tau)^2}{\eta(6\tau)\eta(9\tau)\eta(36\tau)} \\ (H_{3A,2}^{(9)} - H_{3A,4}^{(9)} + H_{3A,8}^{(9)})(3\tau) &= -3 \left( \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau)\eta(3\tau)^2}{\eta(4\tau)^2\eta(9\tau)} - \frac{\eta(2\tau)^6\eta(12\tau)\eta(18\tau)^2}{\eta(\tau)\eta(4\tau)^4\eta(6\tau)\eta(9\tau)\eta(36\tau)} \right) \\ H_{3A,3}^{(9)}(\tau) = H_{3A,6}^{(9)}(\tau) = H_{6A,3}^{(9)}(\tau) = H_{6A,6}^{(9)}(\tau) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Together with the paired relation this determines all  $H_{3A,r}^{(9)}$  and  $H_{6A,r}^{(9)}$  and finishes the specification for  $\ell = 9$ .

For  $\ell = 10$ , the vertical relations to  $\ell = 2$  and  $\ell = 5$  recorded in Table 9 specify all  $H_g^{(10)}$ . For  $\ell = 12, 16, 18, 25$ , there is only one conjugacy class  $2A$  except for the identity class. The paired relation (5.58) relating the McKay–Thompson series for the  $2A$  class to that of the identity class then determines all  $H_g^{(\ell)}$ .

Next we turn to the D-type Niemeier root systems. For  $\ell = 6 + 3$ , the horizontal relations in Table 8 determine all McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^{(6+3)}$ , except for  $[g] \in \{3C, 6C\}$  that are given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{3C,1}^{(6+3)}(\tau) &= -2 \frac{\eta^2(\tau)}{\eta(3\tau)}, \quad H_{6C,1}^{(6+3)}(\tau) = -2 \frac{\eta(2\tau)\eta(3\tau)}{\eta(6\tau)}, \\ H_{3C,3}^{(6+3)} &= H_{6C,3}^{(6+3)} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.67}$$

For  $\ell = 10 + 5$ , the horizontal relations in Table 8 and the vertical relations in Table 9 determine all McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^{(10+5)}$ , except for  $[g] = 4A$ . For  $\ell = 14 + 7$ , the vertical relations in Table 9 determine all McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^{(14+7)}$ . For  $\ell = 18 + 9$ , the horizontal relations in Table 8 determine all McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^{(18+9)}$ . For  $\ell = 22 + 11$ , the vertical relations in Table 9 determine the umbral form  $H_{1A}^{(22+11)}$ . For  $\ell = 30 + 15$  and  $\ell = 46 + 23$ , the vertical relations in Table 9 determine the only McKay–Thompson series  $H_{1A}^{(\ell)}$  attached to the corresponding Niemeier root system.

Finally we discuss the McKay–Thompson series attached to the two E-type Niemeier root systems. For  $\ell = 12 + 4$ , the horizontal relations in Table 8 and the vertical relations in Table 9 determine all the McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^{(12+4)}$  except for  $[g] \in \{6A, 8AB\}$ . For  $\ell = 30 + 6, 10, 15$ , the horizontal relations in Table 8 and 9 determine the umbral mock modular form  $H_{1A}^{(30+6,10,15)}$ .



Focusing on the umbral mock modular form  $H^X = H_{1A}^X$  corresponding to the identity class, one can explicitly check that the above specification determines the unique vector-valued mock modular form satisfying the conditions of Corollary 4.2.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $X$  be one of the  $D$ - or  $E$ -type Niemeier root systems (cf. (2.9), (2.10)). The vector-valued mock modular form  $H^X = H_{1A}^X$  specified above is the unique vector-valued mock modular form with shadow  $S^X$  satisfying the optimal growth condition (cf. Corollary 4.2).*

Together with Proposition 4.6, this proposition establishes our construction of the unique vector-valued mock modular form  $H^X$  corresponding to all 23 Niemeier root systems  $X$ .

## 6 Conjectures

In this section we pose the umbral moonshine conjectures connecting the umbral groups  $G^X$  and the mock modular forms  $H_g^X$  discussed in the previous sections.

### 6.1 Modules

In this section we formulate a conjecture that relates the umbral McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^X$  to an infinite-dimensional  $G^X$ -module  $K^X$ .

Recall that a *super-space*  $V$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space  $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ . If  $T : V \rightarrow V$  is a linear operator preserving the grading then the *super-trace* of  $T$  is given by  $\text{str}_V T = \text{tr}_{V_0} T - \text{tr}_{V_1} T$  where  $\text{tr}_W T$  denotes the usual trace of  $T$  on  $W$ . We say that  $V$  is purely even (odd) when  $V = V_0$  ( $V_1$ ). If  $V$  is a  $G$ -module, with  $G$ -action preserving the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, then the function  $g \mapsto \text{str}_V g$  is called the *super-character* of  $G$  determined by  $V$ .

**Conjecture 6.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Niemeier root system and let  $m$  be the Coxeter number of  $X$ .*

*There exists a naturally defined  $\mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}$ -graded super-module*

$$K^X = \bigoplus_{r \bmod 2m} K_r^X = \bigoplus_{r \bmod 2m} \bigoplus_{\substack{D \in \mathbb{Z} \\ D=r^2 \bmod 4m}} K_{r,-D/4m}^X \tag{6.1}$$

for  $G^X$  such that the graded super-character attached to an element  $g \in G^X$  coincides with the vector-valued mock modular form

$$c^X H_{g,r}^X(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{D \in \mathbb{Z} \\ D=r^2 \bmod 4m}} \text{str}_{K_{r,-D/4m}^X}(g) q^{-D/4m}, \tag{6.2}$$

where  $c^X = 1$  except for  $X = A_8^3$ , for which  $c^X = 3$ . Moreover, the homogeneous component  $K_{r,d}^X$  of  $K^X$  is purely even if  $d > 0$ .

The reason for the exceptional value  $c^X = 3$  for  $X = A_8^3$  is the curious fact that there are no integer combinations of irreducible characters of  $G^X$  that coincide with the coefficients  $q^{-D/36}$ ,  $D = -27\lambda^2$  for some integer  $\lambda$ , of  $H_{g,r}^X(\tau)$  (cf. Conjecture 6.11). For example, the minimal positive integer  $c$  for which  $g \mapsto cH_{g,6}^X$  is a graded virtual super-character of  $G^X$  is  $c = c^X = 3$ .

Combining the above conjecture and the paired relations (5.58) and (5.62) of the McKay–Thompson series we arrive at the following.

**Conjecture 6.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Niemeier root system and set  $c = \#G^X / \#\bar{G}^X$ . Then the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded  $G^X$ -module  $K_r^X$  is a faithful representation of  $G^X$  when  $r \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$  and factors through  $\bar{G}^X$  otherwise.*

As discussed in §2, we have  $c = 2$  for  $\ell \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 18, 25, 12 + 4\}$  and  $c = 3$  for  $\ell = 6 + 3$ , and  $c = 1$  in the remaining 8 cases.

As mentioned in §1, for the special case  $X = A_1^{24}$ , Conjecture 6.1 has been shown to be true by T. Gannon in [16], although the construction of  $K^X$  is still absent. In this case, we have  $c = 1$  and hence Conjecture 6.2 is automatically true. It should be possible to apply the techniques similar to that in [16] to prove Conjecture 6.1 for other Niemeier root systems  $X$ .

## 6.2 Modularity

We have attached a cusp form  $S_g^X$  to each  $g \in G^X$  in §5.1 by utilising the naturally defined permutation representations of  $G^X$ , and the corresponding twisted Euler characters, that are described in §2.4. We begin this section with an explicit formulation of the conjecture that these cusp forms describe the shadows of the super-characters attached to the conjectural  $G^X$ -module  $K^X$ .

In preparation for the statement define  $n_g$  and  $h_g$  for  $g \in G^X$  as follows. Take  $n_g$  to be the order of the image of  $g$  in  $\bar{G}^X$  (cf. §2.4), and set  $h_g = N_g/n_g$  where  $N_g$  denotes the product of the shortest and longest cycle lengths of the permutation which is the image of  $g$  under  $G^X \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{\Phi}$ . These values are on display in the tables of §B.2. They may also be read off from the cycle shapes  $\tilde{\Pi}_g^X$  and  $\bar{\Pi}_g^{XA}$ ,  $\bar{\Pi}_g^{XD}$ , &c., attached to the permutation representations constructed in §2.4, for  $n_g$  is the maximum of the cycle lengths appearing in  $\bar{\Pi}_g^{XA}$ ,  $\bar{\Pi}_g^{XD}$  and  $\bar{\Pi}_g^{XE}$ , and if  $\tilde{\Pi}_g^X = j_1^{m_1} \cdots j_k^{m_k}$  with  $j_1^{m_1} < \cdots < j_k^{m_k}$  and  $m_i > 0$  then  $h_g = j_1 j_k / n_g$ .

**Conjecture 6.3.** *The graded super-characters (6.2) for fixed  $X$  and  $g \in G^X$  and varying  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$  define the components of a vector-valued mock modular form  $H_g^X$  of weight  $1/2$  on*

$\Gamma_0(n_g)$  with shadow function  $S_g^X$ .

Let  $\nu_g^X$  denote the multiplier system of  $H_g^X$ . Since the multiplier system of a mock modular form is the inverse of the multiplier system of its shadow, Conjecture 6.3 completely determines the modular properties of  $H_g^X$ —i.e. the matrix-valued function  $\nu_g^X$ —when  $S_g^X$  is non-vanishing. However, it may happen that  $S_g^X$  vanishes identically and  $H_g^X$  is a(n honest) modular form. The following conjecture puts a strong restriction on  $\nu_g^X$  even in the case of vanishing shadow.

**Conjecture 6.4.** *The multiplier system  $\nu_g^X$  for  $H_g^X$  coincides with the inverse of the multiplier system for  $S^X$  when restricted to  $\Gamma_0(n_g h_g)$ .*

### 6.3 Moonshine

We now formulate a conjecture which may be regarded as the analogue of the principal modulus property (often referred to as the genus zero property) of monstrous moonshine.

The monstrous McKay–Thompson series  $T_g$ , for  $g$  an element of the monster, are distinguished in that each one is a principal modulus with pole at infinity for a genus zero group  $\Gamma_g$ , meaning that  $T_g$  is a  $\Gamma_g$ -invariant holomorphic function on the upper-half plane having a simple pole at the infinite cusp of  $\Gamma_g$ , but having no poles at any other cusps of  $\Gamma_g$ . Equivalently,  $T_g$  satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad & T_g|_{1,0}\gamma = T_g \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_g, \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad & qT_g(\tau) = O(1) \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow i\infty, \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad & T_g(\tau) = O(1) \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ whenever } \infty \notin \Gamma_g\alpha,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6.3}$$

for some group  $\Gamma_g$ , where  $T_g|_{1,0}\gamma$  is the function  $\tau \mapsto T_g(\gamma\tau)$  by definition (cf. (3.5)). Note that the existence of a non-constant function  $T_g$  satisfying the conditions (6.3) implies that the group  $\Gamma_g$  has genus zero, for such a function necessarily induces an isomorphism from  $X_{\Gamma_g}$  (cf. (2.15)) to the Riemann sphere. As such, if we assume that both  $T_g$  and  $T'_g$  satisfy these conditions and both  $qT_g$  and  $qT'_g$  have the expansion  $1 + O(q)$  near  $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$ , then  $T_g$  and  $T'_g$  differ by an additive constant; i.e.  $T'_g = T_g + C$  for some  $C \in \mathbb{C}$ . In other words, the space of solutions to (6.3) is 1 or 2 dimensional, according as the genus of  $X_{\Gamma_g}$  is positive or 0.

Observe the similarity between condition (ii) of (6.3) and the optimal growth condition (4.16). Since  $q^{-1}$  is the minimal polar term possible for a non-constant  $\Gamma_g$ -invariant function on the upper-half plane, assuming that the stabiliser of infinity in  $\Gamma_g$  is generated by  $\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , the condition (ii) is an optimal growth condition on modular forms of weight 0; the coefficients of a form with higher order poles will grow more quickly. The condition (iii) naturally extends

this to the situation that  $\Gamma_g$  has more than one cusp.

Accordingly, we now formulate an analogue of (6.3)—and an extension of the optimal growth condition (4.16) to vector-valued mock modular forms of higher level—as follows. Suppose that  $\nu$  is a (matrix-valued) multiplier system on  $\Gamma_0(n)$  with weight  $1/2$ , and suppose, for the sake of concreteness, that  $\nu$  coincides with the inverse of the multiplier system of  $S^X$ , for some Niemeier root system  $X$ , when restricted to  $\Gamma_0(N)$  for some  $N$  with  $n|N$ . Observe that, under these hypotheses, every component  $H_r$  has a Fourier expansion in powers of  $q^{1/4m}$  where  $m$  is the Coxeter number of  $X$ , so  $q^{-1/4m}$  is the smallest order pole that any component of  $H$  may have. Say that a vector-valued function  $H = (H_r)$  is a mock modular form of *optimal growth* for  $\Gamma_0(n)$  with multiplier  $\nu$ , weight  $1/2$  and shadow  $S$  if

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & H|_{1/2, \nu, S}\gamma = H \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_0(n), \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & q^{1/4m}H_r(\tau) = O(1) \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow i\infty \text{ for all } r, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & H_r(\tau) = O(1) \text{ for all } r \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}, \text{ whenever } \infty \notin \Gamma_g\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

In condition (i) of (6.4) we write  $|_{\nu, 1/2, S}$  for the weight  $1/2$  action of  $\Gamma_0(n)$  with multiplier  $\nu$  and twist by  $S$  (cf. (3.6)), on holomorphic vector-valued functions on the upper-half plane.

Recall that  $\nu_g^X$  denotes the multiplier system of  $H_g^X$ , and  $S_g^X$  is its shadow. Recall also that  $n_g$  denotes the order of (the image of)  $g \in G^X$  in the quotient group  $\bar{G}^X$ . We now conjecture that the umbral McKay–Thompson series all have optimal growth in the sense of (6.4), and this serves as a direct analogue of the Conway–Norton conjecture of monstrous moonshine, that all the monstrous McKay–Thompson series are principal moduli for genus zero subgroups of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ; or equivalently, that they are all functions of optimal growth in the sense of (6.3).

**Conjecture 6.5.** *Let  $X$  be a Niemeier root system and let  $g \in G^X$ . Then  $H_g^X$  is the unique, up to scale, mock modular form of optimal growth for  $\Gamma_0(n_g)$  with multiplier  $\nu_g^X$ , weight  $1/2$  and shadow  $S_g^X$ .*

Conjecture 6.5 should serve as an important step in obtaining a characterisation of the mock modular forms of umbral moonshine. Note that the above conjecture has been proven in §4.1 (cf. Corollary 4.2) for the identity class of  $G^X$  for all Niemeier root systems  $X$ . Note that in the case of the identity class we have  $\Gamma_0(n) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  which has the cusp (representative) at  $i\infty$  as the only cusp. As a result, the more general conditions in (6.4) reduce to the condition (4.16) discussed in §4. For  $X = A_1^{24}$ , this conjecture was proven for all conjugacy classes of  $G^X = M_{24}$  in [53]. See also [54] for related results in this case.

## 6.4 Discriminants

One of the most striking features of umbral moonshine is the apparently intimate relation between the number fields on which the irreducible representations of  $G^X$  are defined and the discriminants of the vector-valued mock modular form  $H^X$ . In this subsection we will discuss this “discriminant property”, extending the discussion in [1].

First, for a Niemeier root system with Coxeter number  $m$  we observe that the discriminants of the components  $H_r^X$  of the mock modular form  $H^X = H_{1A}^X$  determine some important properties of the representations of  $G^X$ . Here we say that an integer  $D$  is a *discriminant of  $H^X$*  if there exists a term  $q^d = q^{-\frac{D}{4m}}$  with non-vanishing Fourier coefficient in at least one of the components. The following result can be verified explicitly using the tables in §§B,D.

**Proposition 6.6.** *Let  $X$  be one of the 23 Niemeier root systems. If  $n > 1$  is an integer satisfying*

1. *there exists an element of  $G^X$  of order  $n$ , and*
2. *there exists an integer  $\lambda$  that satisfies at least one of the following conditions and such that  $D = -n\lambda^2$  is a discriminant of  $H^X$ . First,  $(n, \lambda) = 1$ , and second,  $\lambda^2$  is a proper divisor of  $n$ ,*

*then there exists at least one pair of irreducible representations  $\varrho$  and  $\varrho^*$  of  $G^X$  and at least one element  $g \in G^X$  such that  $\mathrm{tr}_\varrho(g)$  is not rational but*

$$\mathrm{tr}_\varrho(g), \mathrm{tr}_{\varrho^*}(g) \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n}) \tag{6.5}$$

*and  $n$  divides  $\mathrm{ord}(g)$ .*

The list of integers  $n$  satisfying the two conditions of Proposition 6.6 is given in Table 10. We omit from the table lambencies of Niemeier root systems for which there exists no integer  $n$  satisfying these conditions.

From now on we say that an irreducible representation  $\varrho$  of the umbral group  $G^X$  is of *type  $n$*  if  $n$  is an integer satisfying the two conditions of Proposition 6.6 and the character values of  $\varrho$  generate the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ . Evidently, irreducible representations of type  $n$  come in pairs  $(\varrho, \varrho^*)$  with  $\mathrm{tr}_{\varrho^*}(g)$  the complex conjugate of  $\mathrm{tr}_\varrho(g)$  for all  $g \in G^X$ . The list of all irreducible representations of type  $n$  is also given in Table 10. (See §B.1 for the character tables of the  $G^X$  and our notation for irreducible representations.)

Recall that the *Frobenius–Schur indicator* of an irreducible ordinary representation of a finite group is 1,  $-1$  or 0 according as the representation admits an invariant symmetric bilinear form,

an invariant skew-symmetric bilinear form, or no invariant bilinear form, respectively. The representations admitting no invariant bilinear form are precisely those whose character values are not all real. We can now state the next observation.

**Proposition 6.7.** *For each Niemeier root system  $X$ , an irreducible representation  $\varrho$  of  $G^X$  has Frobenius–Schur indicator 0 if and only if it is of type  $n$  for some  $n$  defined in Proposition 6.6.*

The Schur index of an irreducible representation  $\varrho$  of a finite group  $G$  is the smallest positive integer  $s$  such that there exists a degree  $s$  extension  $k$  of the field generated by the character values  $\text{tr}_\varrho(g)$  for  $g \in G$  such that  $\varrho$  can be realised over  $k$ . Inspired by Proposition 6.7 we make the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 6.8.** *If  $\varrho$  is an irreducible representation of  $G^X$  of type  $n$  then the Schur index of  $\varrho$  is equal to 1.*

In other words, we conjecture that the irreducible  $G^X$ -representations of type  $n$  can be realised over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ . For  $X = A_1^{24}$  this speculation is in fact a theorem, since it is known [55] that the Schur indices for  $M_{24}$  are always 1. For  $X = A_2^{12}$  it is also known [55] that the Schur indices for  $\bar{G}^{(3)} = M_{12}$  are also always 1. Moreover, the representations of  $G^{(3)} \simeq 2.\bar{G}^{(3)}$  with characters  $\chi_{16}$  and  $\chi_{17}$  in the notation of Table 12 have been constructed explicitly over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$  in [56]. Finally, Proposition 6.7 constitutes a non-trivial consistency check for Conjecture 6.8 since the Schur index is at least 2 for a representation with Frobenius–Schur indicator equal to  $-1$ .

$X$	$n$	$(\varrho, \varrho^*)$
$A_1^{24}$	7, 15, 23	$(\chi_3, \chi_4), (\chi_5, \chi_6), (\chi_{10}, \chi_{11}), (\chi_{12}, \chi_{13}), (\chi_{15}, \chi_{16})$
$A_2^{12}$	5, 8, 11, 20	$(\chi_4, \chi_5), (\chi_{16}, \chi_{17}), (\chi_{20}, \chi_{21}), (\chi_{22}, \chi_{23}), (\chi_{25}, \chi_{26})$
$A_3^8$	3, 7	$(\chi_2, \chi_3), (\chi_{13}, \chi_{14}), (\chi_{15}, \chi_{16})$
$A_4^6$	4	$(\chi_8, \chi_9), (\chi_{10}, \chi_{11}), (\chi_{12}, \chi_{13})$
$A_5^4 D_4$	8	$(\chi_6, \chi_7)$
$A_6^4$	3	$(\chi_2, \chi_3), (\chi_6, \chi_7)$
$A_9^2 D_6$	4	$(\chi_3, \chi_4)$
$A_{12}^2$	4	$(\chi_3, \chi_4)$
$D_4^6$	15	$(\chi_{12}, \chi_{13})$
$E_6^4$	8	$(\chi_6, \chi_7)$

Table 10: The irreducible representations of type  $n$ .

Equipped with the preceding discussion we are now ready to state our main observation for

the discriminant property of umbral moonshine.

**Proposition 6.9.** *Let  $X$  be a Niemeier root system with Coxeter number  $m$ . Let  $n$  be one of the integers in Table 10 and let  $\lambda_n$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $D = -n\lambda_n^2$  is a discriminant of  $H^X$ . Then  $K_{r,-D/4m}^X = \varrho_n \oplus \varrho_n^*$  where  $\varrho_n$  and  $\varrho_n^*$  are dual irreducible representations of type  $n$ . Conversely, if  $\varrho$  is an irreducible representation of type  $n$  and  $-D$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $K_{r,-D/4m}^X$  has  $\varrho$  as an irreducible constituent then there exists an integer  $\lambda$  such that  $D = -n\lambda^2$ .*

Extending this we make the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 6.10.** *Let  $X$  be a Niemeier root system with Coxeter number  $m$ . If  $D$  is a discriminant of  $H^X$  which satisfies  $D = -n\lambda^2$  for some integer  $\lambda$  then the representation  $K_{r,-D/4m}^X$  has at least one dual pair of irreducible representations of type  $n$  arising as irreducible constituents.*

Conjecture (6.10) has been verified for the case  $X = A_1^{24}$  in [57].

We conclude this section with conjectures arising from the observation (cf. §D) that the conjectural  $G^X$ -module  $K_{r,d}^X$  is typically isomorphic to several copies of a single representation. We say a  $G$ -module  $V$  is a *doublet* if it is isomorphic to the direct sum of two copies of a single representation of  $G$ , and interpret the term *sextet* similarly.

**Conjecture 6.11.** *Let  $X$  be a Niemeier root system and let  $m$  be the Coxeter number of  $X$ . Then the representation  $K_{r,-D/4m}^X$  is a doublet if and only if  $D \neq 0$  and  $D \neq -n\lambda^2$  for any integer  $\lambda$  and for any  $n$  listed in Table 10 corresponding to  $X$ . If  $X = A_8^3$  then the representation  $K_{r,-D/4m}^X$  is a sextet if and only if  $D \neq -27\lambda^2$  for some integer  $\lambda$ .*

In particular, for the nine Niemeier root systems

$$A_7^2 D_5^2, A_{11} D_7 E_6, D_6^4, D_8^3, D_{10} E_7^2, D_{12}^2, D_{16} E_8, D_{24}, E_8^3, \quad (6.6)$$

that have no irreducible representation with vanishing Frobenius–Schur indicator and have no terms with zero discriminant, we conjecture that all the representations  $K_{r,-D/4m}^X$  corresponding to  $H_g^X$  are doublets.

## 7 Conclusions and Discussion

Let us start by recapitulating the main results of this paper. Taking the 23 Niemeier lattices as the starting point, in §2.4 we identify a finite group  $G^X$ —the umbral group—for each Niemeier root system  $X$ . On the other hand, using the ADE classification discussed in §3.3 and Theorem

4.1, we identify a distinguished vector-valued mock modular form  $H^X$ —the umbral form—for each Niemeier root system  $X$ . We then conjecture (among other things)

1. (Conjecture 6.1) that the mock modular form  $H^X$  encodes the graded super-dimension of a certain infinite-dimensional,  $\mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}$ -graded module  $K^X$  for  $G^X$ ,
2. (Conjecture 6.3) that the graded super-characters  $H_g^X$  arising from the action of  $G^X$  on  $K^X$  are vector-valued mock modular forms with concretely specified shadows  $S_g^X$ , and
3. (Conjecture 6.5) that the umbral McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^X$  are uniquely determined by an optimal growth property which is directly analogous to the genus zero property of monstrous moonshine.

To lend evidence in support of these conjectures we explicitly identify (almost all of) the umbral McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^X$ .

In this way, from the 23 Niemeier root systems we obtain 23 instances of umbral moonshine, encompassing all the 6 instances previously discussed in [1] and in particular the case with  $G^X = M_{24}$  first discussed in the context of the  $K3$  elliptic genus [11]. Apart from uncovering 17 new instances, we believe that the relation to Niemeier lattices sheds important light on the underlying structure of umbral moonshine. First, the construction of the umbral group  $G^X$  is now completely uniform:  $G^X$  is the outer-automorphism group of the corresponding Niemeier lattice (cf. (2.30)). Second, it provides an explanation for why the 6 instances discussed in [1] are naturally labelled by the divisors of 12: they correspond to Niemeier root systems given by evenly many copies (viz.,  $24/(\ell - 1)$ ) of an A-type root system  $A_{\ell-1}$ . Third, it also sheds light on the relation between umbral moonshine and meromorphic weight 1 Jacobi forms as well as weight 0 Jacobi forms. For as we have seen in §3.4, the umbral forms  $H^X$  can be constructed uniformly by taking theta-coefficients of finite parts of certain weight 1 meromorphic Jacobi forms, but in general the relevant meromorphic Jacobi form has simple poles not only at the origin but also at non-trivial torsion points whenever the corresponding root system has a D- or E-type root system as an irreducible component. As a result, in those cases the relation to weight 0 Jacobi forms is less direct as the Eichler–Zagier operator  $\mathcal{W}^X$  of (4.31) is no longer proportional to the identity. In particular, in these cases the umbral mock modular form  $H^X$  does not arise in a direct way from the decomposition of a weight 0 (weak) Jacobi form into irreducible characters for the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  superconformal algebra.

Recall that the relevant weight 0 Jacobi form in the construction described in §4.3 coincides with the elliptic genus of a  $K3$  surface in the case of the Niemeier root system  $X = A_1^{24}$  ( $\ell = 2$ ,  $G^X = M_{24}$ ). As the relation to weight 0 forms becomes less straightforward in the more general cases, the relation between umbral moonshine and sigma models, or in fact any kind



of conformal field theory, also becomes more opaque. An interesting question is therefore the following. What, if any, kind of physical theory or geometry should attach to the more general instances of umbral moonshine?

To add to this puzzle, the Borcherds lift of the  $K3$  elliptic genus is a Siegel modular form which also plays an important role in type II as well as heterotic string theory compactified on  $K3 \times T^2$  [58–60]. As pointed out in [12] and refined in [17], Mathieu moonshine in this context (corresponding here to  $X = A_1^{24}$ ) leads to predictions regarding Siegel modular forms which have been partially proven in [61]. Furthermore, this Siegel modular form also serves as the square of the denominator function of a generalised Kac–Moody algebra developed by Gritsenko–Nikulin in the context of mirror symmetry for  $K3$  surfaces [62–66]. As discussed in detail in [1, §5.5], many of these relations to string theory and  $K3$  geometry extend to some of the other 5 instances of umbral moonshine discussed in that paper. Since the relation between umbral forms and weight 0 modular forms is modified when D- or E-type root systems are involved, it would be extremely interesting to determine how the above-mentioned relations to string theory,  $K3$  surfaces, and generalised Kac–Moody algebras manifest in the more general cases.

Regarding  $K3$  surfaces, note that Niemeier lattices have a long history of application to this field, and the study of the symmetries of  $K3$  surfaces in particular. See for instance [67]. See [68] for an analysis involving all of the Niemeier lattices. It would be interesting to explore the extent to which recent work [22, 23] applying the Niemeier lattice  $L^X$  to the  $X = A_1^{24}$  case of umbral moonshine can be extended to other Niemeier root systems in light of [68].

In another direction, the physical context of Mathieu moonshine has been extended recently to  $K3$  compactifications of heterotic string theory with 8 supercharges [69]. As the structure of theories with 8 supercharges is much less rigid than those with 16 supercharges, one might speculate that a suitable generalisation of [69] could provide physical realisations of more instances of umbral moonshine.

Apart from posing the umbral moonshine conjecture, in this paper we have also noted various intricate and mysterious properties of this new moonshine story. An important example is the close relation between Niemeier lattices and the genus zero groups discussed in §2.3 and §4.2. Another is the multiplicative relations discussed in §5.3 between McKay–Thompson series attached to different Niemeier root systems that plays an important role in the explicit specification of the umbral McKay–Thompson series in §5.5. While we have a concrete description of these two properties in terms of mock modular forms and related structures, their origin is still unclear. We also observe empirically discriminant relations between number fields underlying irreducible representations of  $G^X$  and the discriminants of the vector-valued mock modular form

$H^X$  (§6.4) extending the observations made in [1, §5.4]. It would be extremely interesting to have a representation theoretic explanation of these relations.

Last but not least, the construction of the umbral modules  $K^X$  is clearly an important next step in unraveling the mystery of umbral moonshine.

## Acknowledgements

We owe particular thanks to George Glauberman for alerting us to the connection between the umbral groups of our previous paper and the stabilisers of deep holes in the Leech lattice. This observation was a crucial catalyst for the present work. We also thank Daniel Allcock, Kathrin Bringmann, Scott Carnahan, Noam Elkies, Igor Frenkel, Terry Gannon, Ching Hung Lam, Geoffrey Mason, Atsushi Matsuo, Sameer Murthy, Ken Ono, Erik Verlinde and Don Zagier for helpful comments and discussions. We thank the referees for numerous helpful suggestions and comments. MC would like to thank the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, Stanford University and the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics for hospitality. The work of JH was supported by NSF grant 1214409.

## A Special Functions

### A.1 Dedekind Eta Function

The *Dedekind eta function*, denoted  $\eta(\tau)$ , is a holomorphic function on the upper half-plane defined by the infinite product

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where  $q = e(\tau) = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ . It is a modular form of weight  $1/2$  for the modular group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  with multiplier  $\epsilon : SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ , which means that

$$\epsilon(\gamma)\eta(\gamma\tau)\text{jac}(\gamma, \tau)^{1/4} = \eta(\tau) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

for all  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\text{jac}(\gamma, \tau) = (c\tau + d)^{-2}$ . The multiplier system  $\epsilon$  may be described explicitly as

$$\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} e(-b/24), & c = 0, d = 1 \\ e(-(a+d)/24c + s(d, c)/2 + 1/8), & c > 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where  $s(d, c) = \sum_{m=1}^{c-1} (d/c)((md/c))$  and  $((x))$  is 0 for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x - [x] - 1/2$  otherwise. We can deduce the values  $\epsilon(a, b, c, d)$  for  $c < 0$ , or for  $c = 0$  and  $d = -1$ , by observing that  $\epsilon(-\gamma) = \epsilon(\gamma)e(1/4)$  for  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

### A.2 Jacobi Theta Functions

We define the *Jacobi theta functions*  $\theta_i(\tau, z)$  as follows for  $q = e(\tau)$  and  $y = e(z)$ .

$$\theta_1(\tau, z) = -iq^{1/8}y^{1/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 - yq^n)(1 - y^{-1}q^{n-1}) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\theta_2(\tau, z) = q^{1/8}y^{1/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 + yq^n)(1 + y^{-1}q^{n-1}) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\theta_3(\tau, z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 + yq^{n-1/2})(1 + y^{-1}q^{n-1/2}) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\theta_4(\tau, z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 - yq^{n-1/2})(1 - y^{-1}q^{n-1/2}) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Note that there are competing conventions for  $\theta_1(\tau, z)$  in the literature and our normalisation may differ from another by a factor of  $-1$  (or possibly  $\pm i$ ).

### A.3 Higher Level Modular Forms

The congruence subgroups of the modular group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  that are most relevant for this paper are the *Hecke congruence groups*

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), c = 0 \pmod{N} \right\}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

A modular form for  $\Gamma_0(N)$  is said to have *level*  $N$ . For  $N$  a positive integer a modular form of weight 2 for  $\Gamma_0(N)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_N(\tau) &= q\partial_q \log \left( \frac{\eta(N\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \right) \\ &= \frac{N-1}{24} + \sum_{k>0} \sigma(k)(q^k - Nq^{Nk}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where  $\sigma(k)$  is the divisor function  $\sigma(k) = \sum_{d|k} d$ . The function  $\lambda_N$  is, of course, only non-zero when  $N > 1$ .

Observe that a modular form on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  is a modular form on  $\Gamma_0(M)$  whenever  $N|M$ , and for some small  $N$  the space of forms of weight 2 is spanned by the  $\lambda_d(\tau)$  for  $d$  a divisor of  $N$ .

A discussion of the ring of weak Jacobi forms of higher level can be found in [70].

### A.4 Weight Zero Jacobi Forms

According to [71] the graded ring  $J_{0,*} = \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} J_{0,m-1}$ , of weak Jacobi forms with weight 0 and integral index (cf. §3.2), is finitely generated, by  $\varphi_1^{(2)}$ ,  $\varphi_1^{(3)}$  and  $\varphi_1^{(4)}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1^{(2)} &= 4(f_2^2 + f_3^2 + f_4^2), \\ \varphi_1^{(3)} &= 2(f_2^2 f_3^2 + f_3^2 f_4^2 + f_4^2 f_2^2), \\ \varphi_1^{(4)} &= 4f_2^2 f_3^2 f_4^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

and  $f_i(\tau, z) = \theta_i(\tau, z)/\theta_i(\tau, 0)$  for  $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$  (cf. §A.2). If we work over  $\mathbb{Z}$  then we must include

$$\varphi_1^{(5)} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \varphi_1^{(4)} \varphi_1^{(2)} - (\varphi_1^{(3)})^2 \right) \quad (\text{A.11})$$

as a generator also, so that  $J_{0,*}^{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}[\varphi_1^{(2)}, \varphi_1^{(3)}, \varphi_1^{(4)}, \varphi_1^{(5)}]$ . The ring  $J_{0,*}$  has an ideal

$$J_{0,*}(q) = \bigoplus_{m>1} J_{0,m-1}(q) = \left\{ \phi \in J_{0,*} \mid \phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{n,r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n>0}} c(n,r) q^n y^r \right\} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

consisting of Jacobi forms that vanish in the limit as  $\tau \rightarrow i\infty$  (i.e. have vanishing coefficient of  $q^0 y^r$ , for all  $r$ , in their Fourier expansion). This ideal is principal and generated by a weak Jacobi form of weight 0 and index 6 given by

$$\zeta(\tau, z) = \frac{\theta_1(\tau, z)^{12}}{\eta(\tau)^{12}} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

(cf. §A.2 for  $\theta_1$  and  $\eta$ ). Gritsenko shows [71] that for any positive integer  $m$  the quotient  $J_{0,m-1}/J_{0,m-1}(q)$  is a vector space of dimension  $m-1$  admitting a basis consisting of weight 0 index  $m-1$  weak Jacobi forms  $\varphi_n^{(m)}$  (denoted  $\psi_{0,m-1}^{(n)}$  in [71]) for  $1 \leq n \leq m-1$  such that the coefficient of  $q^0 y^k$  in  $\varphi_n^{(m)}$  vanishes for  $|k| > n$  but does not vanish for  $|k| = n$ . In fact Gritsenko works in the subring  $J_{0,*}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of Jacobi forms having integer Fourier coefficients and his  $\varphi_n^{(m)}$  furnish a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $J_{0,*}^{\mathbb{Z}}/J_{0,*}^{\mathbb{Z}}(q)$ . In what follows we record explicit formulas for some of the  $\varphi_n^{(m)}$ .

Following [71] we define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1^{(7)} &= \varphi_1^{(3)} \varphi_1^{(5)} - (\varphi_1^{(4)})^2, \\ \varphi_1^{(9)} &= \varphi_1^{(3)} \varphi_1^{(7)} - (\varphi_1^{(5)})^2, \\ \varphi_1^{(13)} &= \varphi_1^{(5)} \varphi_1^{(9)} - 2(\varphi_1^{(7)})^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

and define  $\varphi_1^{(m)}$  for the remaining positive integers  $m$  according to the following recursive procedure. For  $(12, m-1) = 1$  and  $m > 5$  we set

$$\varphi_1^{(m)} = (12, m-5) \varphi_1^{(m-4)} \varphi_1^{(5)} + (12, m-3) \varphi_1^{(m-2)} \varphi_1^{(3)} - 2(12, m-4) \varphi_1^{(m-3)} \varphi_1^{(4)}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

For  $(12, m-1) = 2$  and  $m > 10$  we set

$$\varphi_1^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( (12, m-5) \varphi_1^{(m-4)} \varphi_1^{(5)} + (12, m-3) \varphi_1^{(m-2)} \varphi_1^{(3)} - 2(12, m-4) \varphi_1^{(m-3)} \varphi_1^{(4)} \right). \quad (\text{A.16})$$

For  $(12, m-1) = 3$  and  $m > 9$  we set

$$\varphi_1^{(m)} = \frac{2}{3} (12, m-4) \varphi_1^{(m-3)} \varphi_1^{(4)} + \frac{1}{3} (12, m-7) \varphi_1^{(m-6)} \varphi_1^{(7)} - (12, m-5) \varphi_1^{(m-4)} \varphi_1^{(5)}. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

For  $(12, m - 1) = 4$  and  $m > 16$  we set

$$\varphi_1^{(m)} = \frac{1}{4}((12, m - 13)\varphi_1^{(m-12)}\varphi_1^{(13)} + (12, m - 5)\varphi_1^{(m-4)}\varphi_1^{(5)} - (12, m - 9)\varphi_1^{(m-8)}\varphi_1^{(9)}). \quad (\text{A.18})$$

For  $(12, m - 1) = 6$  and  $m > 18$  we set

$$\varphi_1^{(m)} = \frac{1}{3}(12, m - 4)\varphi_1^{(m-3)}\varphi_1^{(4)} + \frac{1}{6}(12, m - 7)\varphi_1^{(m-6)}\varphi_1^{(7)} - \frac{1}{2}(12, m - 5)\varphi_1^{(m-4)}\varphi_1^{(5)}. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Finally, for  $(12, m - 1) = 12$  and  $m > 24$  we set

$$\varphi_1^{(m)} = \frac{1}{6}(12, m - 4)\varphi_1^{(m-3)}\varphi_1^{(4)} - \frac{1}{4}(12, m - 5)\varphi_1^{(m-4)}\varphi_1^{(5)} + \frac{1}{12}(12, m - 7)\varphi_1^{(m-6)}\varphi_1^{(7)}, \quad (\text{A.20})$$

where we have set

$$\varphi_1^{(25)} = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_1^{(21)}\varphi_1^{(5)} - \varphi_1^{(19)}\varphi_1^{(7)} + \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_1^{(13)})^2. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

The  $\varphi_2^{(m)}$  are defined by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2^{(3)} &= (\varphi_1^{(2)})^2 - 24\varphi_1^{(3)}, \\ \varphi_2^{(4)} &= \varphi_1^{(2)}\varphi_1^{(3)} - 18\varphi_1^{(4)}, \\ \varphi_2^{(5)} &= \varphi_1^{(2)}\varphi_1^{(4)} - 16\varphi_1^{(5)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.22})$$

and

$$\varphi_2^{(m)} = (12, m - 4)\varphi_1^{(m-3)}\varphi_1^{(4)} - (12, m - 5)\varphi_1^{(m-4)}\varphi_1^{(5)} - (12, m - 1)\varphi_1^{(m)} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

for  $m > 5$ , and the remaining  $\varphi_n^{(m)}$  for  $2 \leq m \leq 25$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{(m)} &= \varphi_{n-1}^{(m-3)}\varphi_1^{(4)}, \\ \varphi_{m-2}^{(m)} &= (\varphi_1^{(2)})^{m-3}\varphi_1^{(3)}, \\ \varphi_{m-1}^{(m)} &= (\varphi_1^{(2)})^{m-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

where the first equation of (A.24) holds for  $3 \leq n \leq m - 3$ .

## B Characters

In §B.1 we give character tables (with power maps and Frobenius–Schur indicators) for each group  $G^X$  for  $X$  a Niemeier root system. These were computed with the aid of the computer algebra package GAP4 [72]. We use the abbreviations  $a_n = \sqrt{-n}$  and  $b_n = (-1 + \sqrt{-n})/2$  in these tables.

The tables in §B.2 furnish cycle shapes and character values—the twisted Euler characters—attached to the representations of the groups  $G^X$  described in §2.4. Using this data we can obtain explicit expressions for the shadows  $S_g^X$  of the vector-valued mock modular forms  $H_g^X$  according to the prescription of §5.1.







Table 13: Character table of  $G^X \simeq 2.AGL_3(2)$ ,  $X = A_3^8$

$[g]$	FS	1A	2A	2B	4A	4B	2C	3A	6A	6B	6C	8A	4C	7A	14A	7B	14B
$[g^2]$		1A	1A	1A	2A	2B	1A	3A	3A	3A	3A	4A	2C	7A	7A	7B	7B
$[g^3]$		1A	2A	2B	4A	4B	2C	1A	2A	2B	2B	8A	4C	7B	14B	7A	14A
$[g^7]$		1A	2A	2B	4A	4B	2C	3A	6A	6B	6C	8A	4C	1A	2A	1A	2A
$\chi_1$	+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	○	3	3	3	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	1	1	$\overline{b_7}$	$\overline{b_7}$	$\overline{b_7}$	$\overline{b_7}$
$\chi_3$	○	3	3	3	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	1	1	$\overline{b_7}$	$\overline{b_7}$	$b_7$	$b_7$
$\chi_4$	+	6	6	6	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1
$\chi_5$	+	7	7	7	-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	0	0	0	0
$\chi_6$	+	8	8	8	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	1	1	1	1
$\chi_7$	+	7	7	-1	3	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	0	0	0	0
$\chi_8$	+	7	7	-1	-1	-1	3	1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	0	0	0	0
$\chi_9$	+	14	14	-2	2	-2	2	-1	-1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\chi_{10}$	+	21	21	-3	1	1	-3	0	0	0	0	-1	1	0	0	0	0
$\chi_{11}$	+	21	21	-3	-3	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0
$\chi_{12}$	+	8	-8	0	0	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0	0	1	-1	1	-1
$\chi_{13}$	○	8	-8	0	0	0	0	-1	1	$a_3$	$\overline{a_3}$	0	0	1	-1	1	-1
$\chi_{14}$	○	8	-8	0	0	0	0	-1	1	$\overline{a_3}$	$a_3$	0	0	1	-1	1	-1
$\chi_{15}$	○	24	-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\overline{b_7}$	$-\overline{b_7}$	$b_7$	$-b_7$
$\chi_{16}$	○	24	-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$b_7$	$-b_7$	$\overline{b_7}$	$-\overline{b_7}$

Table 14: Character table of  $G^X \simeq GL_2(5)/2$ ,  $X = A_4^6$

$[g]$	FS	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A	5A	10A	4A	4B	4C	4D	12A	12B
$[g^2]$		1A	1A	1A	1A	3A	3A	5A	5A	2A	2A	2C	2C	6A	6A
$[g^3]$		1A	2A	2B	2C	1A	2A	5A	10A	4B	4A	4D	4C	4B	4A
$[g^5]$		1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A	1A	2A	4A	4B	4C	4D	12A	12B
$\chi_1$	+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
$\chi_3$	+	4	4	0	0	1	1	-1	-1	2	2	0	0	-1	-1
$\chi_4$	+	4	4	0	0	1	1	-1	-1	-2	-2	0	0	1	1
$\chi_5$	+	5	5	1	1	-1	-1	0	0	1	1	-1	-1	1	1
$\chi_6$	+	5	5	1	1	-1	-1	0	0	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1
$\chi_7$	+	6	6	-2	-2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\chi_8$	○	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	$a_1$	$-a_1$	$a_1$	$-a_1$	$a_1$	$-a_1$
$\chi_9$	○	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	$-a_1$	$a_1$	$-a_1$	$a_1$	$-a_1$	$a_1$
$\chi_{10}$	○	4	-4	0	0	1	-1	-1	1	$2a_1$	$-2a_1$	0	0	$-a_1$	$a_1$
$\chi_{11}$	○	4	-4	0	0	1	-1	-1	1	$-2a_1$	$2a_1$	0	0	$a_1$	$-a_1$
$\chi_{12}$	○	5	-5	1	-1	-1	1	0	0	$a_1$	$-a_1$	$-a_1$	$a_1$	$a_1$	$-a_1$
$\chi_{13}$	○	5	-5	1	-1	-1	1	0	0	$-a_1$	$a_1$	$a_1$	$-a_1$	$-a_1$	$a_1$
$\chi_{14}$	+	6	-6	-2	2	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0



Table 17: Character table of  $G^X \simeq SL_2(3)$ ,  $X = A_6^4$

$[g]$	FS	1A	2A	4A	3A	6A	3B	6B
$[g^2]$		1A	1A	2A	3B	3A	3A	3B
$[g^3]$		1A	2A	4A	1A	2A	1A	2A
$\chi_1$	+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	○	1	1	1	$b_3$	$\bar{b}_3$	$\bar{b}_3$	$b_3$
$\chi_3$	○	1	1	1	$\bar{b}_3$	$b_3$	$b_3$	$\bar{b}_3$
$\chi_4$	+	3	3	-1	0	0	0	0
$\chi_5$	-	2	-2	0	-1	1	-1	1
$\chi_6$	○	2	-2	0	$-\bar{b}_3$	$b_3$	$-b_3$	$\bar{b}_3$
$\chi_7$	○	2	-2	0	$-b_3$	$\bar{b}_3$	$-\bar{b}_3$	$b_3$

Table 18: Character table of  $G^X \simeq Dih_4$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$

$[g]$	FS	1A	2A	2B	2C	4A
$[g^2]$		1A	1A	1A	1A	2A
$\chi_1$	+	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	+	1	1	-1	-1	1
$\chi_3$	+	1	1	-1	1	-1
$\chi_4$	+	1	1	1	-1	-1
$\chi_5$	+	2	-2	0	0	0

Table 19: Character table of  $G^X \simeq Dih_6$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

$[g]$	FS	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A
$[g^2]$		1A	1A	1A	1A	3A	3A
$[g^3]$		1A	2A	2B	2C	1A	2A
$\chi_1$	+	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	+	1	1	-1	-1	1	1
$\chi_3$	+	2	2	0	0	-1	-1
$\chi_4$	+	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1
$\chi_5$	+	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1
$\chi_6$	+	2	-2	0	0	-1	1

Table 20: Character table of  $G^X \simeq 4$ , for  $X \in \{A_9^2 D_6, A_{12}^2\}$ 

$[g]$	FS	1A	2A	4A	4B
$[g^2]$		1A	1A	2A	2A
$\chi_1$	+	1	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	+	1	1	-1	-1
$\chi_3$	○	1	-1	$a_1$	$\bar{a}_1$
$\chi_4$	○	1	-1	$\bar{a}_1$	$a_1$

 Table 21: Character table of  $G^X \simeq PGL_2(3) \simeq Sym_4$ ,  $X = D_6^4$ 

$[g]$	FS	1A	2A	3A	2B	4A
$[g^2]$		1A	1A	3A	1A	2A
$[g^3]$		1A	2A	1A	2B	4A
$\chi_1$	+	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	+	1	1	1	-1	-1
$\chi_3$	+	2	2	-1	0	0
$\chi_4$	+	3	-1	0	1	-1
$\chi_5$	+	3	-1	0	-1	1

 Table 22: Character table of  $G^X \simeq 2$ , for  $X \in \{A_{11} D_7 E_6, A_{15} D_9, A_{17} E_7, A_{24}, D_{10} E_7^2, D_{12}^2\}$ 

$[g]$	FS	1A	2A
$[g^2]$		1A	1A
$\chi_1$	+	1	1
$\chi_2$	+	1	-1

 Table 23: Character table of  $G^X \simeq Sym_3$ ,  $X \in \{D_8^3, E_8^3\}$ 

$[g]$	FS	1A	2A	3A
$[g^2]$		1A	1A	3A
$[g^3]$		1A	2A	1A
$\chi_1$	+	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	+	1	-1	1
$\chi_3$	+	2	0	-1

## B.2 Euler Characters

The tables in this section describe the twisted Euler characters and associated cycle shapes attached to each group  $G^X$  in §2.4. According to the prescription of §5.1 the character values  $\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}, \chi_g^{X_A}, \&c.$ , can be used to describe the shadows of the vector-valued mock modular forms  $H_g^X$  attached to each  $g \in G^X$  by umbral moonshine. We also identify symbols  $n_g/h_g$  which are used in §6.2 to formulate conjectures about the modularity of  $H_g^X$ . By definition  $n_g$  is the order of the image of  $g \in G^X$  in  $\bar{G}^X$  and  $h_g = N_g/n_g$  where  $N_g$  denotes the product of shortest and longest cycle lengths appearing in the cycle shape  $\tilde{\Pi}_g^X$ .

Note that we have  $\tilde{\Pi}_g^X = \tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A} = \tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$  in case  $X = A_1^{24}$ . More generally, we will have  $\tilde{\Pi}_g^X = \tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$  when  $X = X_A$  (cf. §2.2), and similarly when  $X = X_D$  or  $X = X_E$ , so we suppress the row (that would otherwise be) labelled  $\tilde{\Pi}_g^X$  in these cases.

Table 24: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 2$ ,  $X = A_1^{24}$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	4C	5A	6A	6B
$n_g/h_g$	1 1	2 1	2 2	3 1	3 3	4 2	4 1	4 4	5 1	6 1	6 6
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	24	8	0	6	0	0	4	0	4	2	0
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{24}$	$1^8 2^8$	$2^{12}$	$1^6 3^6$	$3^8$	$2^4 4^4$	$1^4 2^2 4^4$	$4^6$	$1^4 5^4$	$1^2 2^2 3^2 6^2$	$6^4$
$[g]$	7AB	8A	10A	11A	12A	12B	14AB	15AB	21AB	23AB	
$n_g/h_g$	7 1	8 1	10 2	11 1	12 2	12 12	14 1	15 1	21 3	23 1	
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	3	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^3 7^3$	$1^2 2^1 4^1 8^2$	$2^2 10^2$	$1^2 11^2$	$2^1 4^1 6^1 12^1$	$12^2$	$1^1 2^1 7^1 14^1$	$1^1 3^1 5^1 15^1$	$3^1 21^1$	$1^1 23^1$	

Table 25: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 3$ ,  $X = A_2^{12}$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4A	2B	2C	3A	3A	6A	3B	6B	4B	4C	5A	10A	12A	6C	6D	8AB	8CD	20AB	11AB	22AB
$n_g/h_g$	1 1	1 4	2 8	2 1	2 2	3 1	3 4	3 3	12	4 2	4 1	5 1	5 4	6 24	6 1	6 2	8 4	8 1	10 8	11 1	11 4	
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	12	12	0	4	3	3	0	0	0	4	2	2	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	12	-12	0	4	-4	3	-3	0	0	0	2	-2	0	1	-1	0	0	1	0	0	1	-1
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{12}$	$2^6$	$1^4 2^4$	$1^4 2^4$	$1^3 3^3$	$1^3 3^3$	$3^4$	$2^2 4^2$	$1^4 4^2$	$1^2 5^2$	$1^4 4^2$	$1^2 5^2$	$1^2 5^2$	$6^2$	$1^1 2^1 3^1 6^1$	$1^1 2^1 3^1 6^1$	$4^1 8^1$	$1^2 2^1 8^1$	$2^1 10^1$	$1^1 11^1$	$1^1 11^1$	
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{24}$	$2^{12}$	$4^6$	$1^8 2^8$	$2^{12}$	$1^6 3^6$	$2^3 6^3$	$3^8$	$6^4$	$2^4 4^4$	$1^4 2^4 4^4$	$1^4 5^4$	$2^2 10^2$	$1^2 2^2 3^2 6^2$	$2^2 6^2$	$4^2 8^2$	$1^2 2^1 4^1 8^2$	$4^1 20^1$	$1^2 11^2$	$2^1 22^1$		

Table 26: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 4$ ,  $X = A_3^8$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	4B	2C	3A	6A	6BC	8A	4C	7AB	14AB
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 2	2 2	2 4	4 4	2 1	3 1	3 2	6 2	4 8	4 1	7 1	7 2
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	8	8	0	0	0	4	2	2	0	0	2	1	1
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	8	-8	0	0	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0	1	-1
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^8$	$1^8$	$2^4$	$2^4$	$4^2$	$1^4 2^2$	$1^2 3^2$	$1^2 3^2$	$2^1 6^1$	$4^2$	$1^2 2^1 4^1$	$1^1 7^1$	$1^1 7^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{24}$	$1^8 2^8$	$2^{12}$	$2^4 4^4$	$4^6$	$1^8 2^8$	$1^6 3^6$	$1^2 2^2 3^2 6^2$	$2^3 6^3$	$4^2 8^2$	$1^4 2^2 4^4$	$1^3 7^3$	$1^1 2^1 7^1 14^1$

Table 27: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 5$ ,  $X = A_4^6$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A	5A	10A	4AB	4CD	12AB
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 4	2 2	2 1	3 3	3 12	5 1	5 4	2 8	4 1	6 24
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	6	6	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	2	0
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	6	-6	-2	2	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^6$	$1^6$	$1^2 2^2$	$1^2 2^2$	$3^2$	$3^2$	$1^1 5^1$	$1^1 5^1$	$2^3$	$1^2 4^1$	$6^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{24}$	$2^{12}$	$2^{12}$	$1^8 2^8$	$3^8$	$6^4$	$1^4 5^4$	$2^2 10^2$	$4^6$	$1^4 2^2 4^4$	$12^2$

Table 28: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 6$ ,  $X = A_5^4 D_4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	3A	6A	8AB
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 2	2 1	2 2	3 1	3 2	4 2
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	4	4	2	0	1	1	0
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	4	-4	0	0	1	-1	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^4$	$1^4$	$1^2 2^1$	$2^2$	$1^1 3^1$	$1^1 3^1$	$4^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{20}$	$1^4 2^8$	$1^6 2^7$	$2^2 4^4$	$1^5 3^5$	$1^1 2^2 3^1 6^2$	$4^1 8^2$
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi_g^{X_D}$	1	1	-1	1	1	1	-1
$\tilde{\chi}_g^{X_D}$	2	2	0	2	-1	-1	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^1$	$1^1$	$1^1$	$1^1$	$1^1$	$1^1$	$1^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^4$	$1^4$	$1^2 2^1$	$1^4$	$1^1 3^1$	$1^1 3^1$	$1^2 2^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{24}$	$1^8 2^8$	$1^8 2^8$	$1^4 2^2 4^4$	$1^6 3^6$	$1^2 2^2 3^2 6^2$	$1^2 2^1 4^1 8^2$

Table 29: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 6 + 3$ ,  $X = D_4^6$

$[g]$	1A	3A	2A	6A	3B	6C	4A	12A	5A	15AB	2B	2C	4B	6B	6C		
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 3	2 1	2 3	3 1	3 3	4 2	4 6	5 1	5 3	2 1	2 2	4 1	6 1	6 6		
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$	6	6	2	2	3	0	0	0	1	1	4	0	2	1	0		
$\chi_g^{X_D}$	6	6	2	2	3	0	0	0	1	1	-4	0	-2	-1	0		
$\tilde{\chi}_g^{X_D}$	12	-6	4	-2	0	0	0	0	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0		
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^6$	$1^6$	$1^2 2^2$	$1^2 2^2$	$1^3 3^1$	$3^2$	$2^1 4^1$	$2^1 4^1$	$1^1 5^1$	$1^1 5^1$	$1^4 2^1$	$2^3$	$1^2 4^1$	$1^1 2^1 3^1$	$6^1$		
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^{24}$	$1^6 3^6$	$1^8 2^8$	$1^2 2^2 3^2 6^2$	$1^6 3^6$	$3^8$	$2^4 4^4$	$2^1 4^1 6^1$	$1^1 2^1$	$1^4 5^4$	$1^1 3^1 5^1$	$1^1 5^1$	$1^2 2^8$	$2^{12}$	$1^4 2^2 4^4$	$1^2 2^2 3^2 6^2$	$6^4$

Table 30: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 7$ ,  $X = A_6^4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4A	3AB	6AB
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 4	2 8	3 1	3 4
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	4	4	0	1	1
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	4	-4	0	1	-1
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^4$	$1^4$	$2^2$	$1^1 3^1$	$1^1 3^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{24}$	$2^{12}$	$4^6$	$1^6 3^6$	$2^3 6^3$

Table 31: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 8$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	4A
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 2	2 1	2 1	2 4
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	2	2	0	2	0
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	2	-2	0	0	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^2$	$1^2$	$2^1$	$1^2$	$2^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{14}$	$1^2 2^6$	$2^7$	$1^8 2^3$	$2^1 4^3$
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$	2	2	2	0	0
$\chi_g^{X_D}$	2	-2	0	0	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^2$	$1^2$	$1^2$	$2^1$	$2^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^{10}$	$1^6 2^2$	$1^8 2^1$	$2^5$	$2^3 4^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^X$	$1^{24}$	$1^8 2^8$	$1^8 2^8$	$1^8 2^8$	$2^4 4^4$



Table 32: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 9$ ,  $X = A_8^3$ 

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 4	2 1	2 2	3 3	3 12
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	3	3	1	1	0	0
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	3	-3	1	-1	0	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^3$	$1^3$	$1^1 2^1$	$1^1 2^1$	$3^1$	$3^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{24}$	$2^{12}$	$1^8 2^8$	$2^{12}$	$3^8$	$6^4$

 Table 33: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 10$ ,  $X = A_9^2 D_6$ 

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 2	2 2
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	2	2	0
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	2	-2	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^2$	$1^2$	$2^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{18}$	$1^2 2^8$	$2^1 4^4$
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$	1	1	1
$\chi_g^{X_D}$	1	1	-1
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^1$	$1^1$	$1^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^6$	$1^6$	$1^4 2^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^X$	$1^{24}$	$1^8 2^8$	$1^4 2^2 4^4$

 Table 34: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 10 + 5$ ,  $X = D_6^4$ 

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A	2B	4A
$n_g h_g$	1 1	2 2	3 1	2 1	4 4
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$	4	0	1	2	0
$\chi_g^{X_D}$	4	0	1	-2	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^4$	$2^2$	$1^1 3^1$	$1^2 2^1$	$4^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^{24}$	$2^{12}$	$1^6 3^6$	$1^8 2^8$	$4^6$

Table 35: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 12$ ,  $X = A_{11}D_7E_6$ 

$[g]$	1A	2A
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 2
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	1	1
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	1	-1
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^1$	$1^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{11}$	$1^1 2^5$
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$	1	1
$\chi_g^{X_D}$	1	-1
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^1$	$1^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^7$	$1^5 2^1$
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_E}$	1	1
$\chi_g^{X_E}$	1	-1
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_E}$	$1^1$	$1^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_E}$	$1^6$	$1^2 2^2$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^X$	$1^{24}$	$1^8 2^8$

 Table 36: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 12 + 4$ ,  $X = E_6^4$ 

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	3A	6A	8AB
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 2	2 1	2 4	3 1	3 2	4 8
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_E}$	4	4	2	0	1	1	0
$\chi_g^{X_E}$	4	-4	0	0	1	-1	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_E}$	$1^4$	$1^4$	$1^2 2^1$	$2^2$	$1^1 3^1$	$1^1 3^1$	$4^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_E}$	$1^{24}$	$1^8 2^8$	$1^8 2^8$	$2^4 4^4$	$1^6 3^6$	$1^2 2^2 3^2 6^2$	$4^2 8^2$

Table 37: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 13$ ,  $X = A_{12}^2$ 

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 4	2 8
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	2	2	0
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	2	-2	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^2$	$1^2$	$2^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{24}$	$2^{12}$	$4^6$

 Table 38: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 14 + 7$ ,  $X = D_8^3$ 

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A
$n_g h_g$	1 1	2 1	3 3
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$	3	1	0
$\chi_g^{X_D}$	3	1	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^3$	$1^1 2^1$	$3^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^{24}$	$1^8 2^8$	$3^8$

 Table 39: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 16$ ,  $X = A_{15}D_9$ 

$[g]$	1A	2A
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 2
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	1	1
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	1	-1
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^1$	$1^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{15}$	$1^1 2^7$
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$	1	1
$\chi_g^{X_D}$	1	-1
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^1$	$1^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^9$	$1^7 2^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^X$	$1^{24}$	$1^8 2^8$

Table 40: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 18$ ,  $X = A_{17}E_7$

$[g]$	1A	2A
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 2
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	1	1
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	1	-1
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^1$	$1^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{17}$	$1^1 2^8$
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_E}$	1	1
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_E}$	$1^1$	$1^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_E}$	$1^7$	$1^7$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^X$	$1^{24}$	$1^8 2^8$

Table 41: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 18 + 9$ ,  $X = D_{10}E_7^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A
$n_g h_g$	1 1	2 1
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$	1	1
$\chi_g^{X_D}$	1	-1
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^1$	$1^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^{10}$	$1^8 2^1$
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_E}$	2	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_E}$	$1^2$	$2^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_E}$	$1^{14}$	$2^7$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^X$	$1^{24}$	$1^8 2^8$

Table 42: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 22 + 11$ ,  $X = D_{12}^2$ 

$[g]$	1A	2A
$n_g h_g$	1 1	2 2
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_D}$	2	0
$\chi_g^{X_D}$	2	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^2$	$2^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_D}$	$1^{24}$	$2^{12}$

 Table 43: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 25$ ,  $X = A_{24}$ 

$[g]$	1A	2A
$n_g h_g$	1 1	1 4
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_A}$	1	1
$\chi_g^{X_A}$	1	-1
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^1$	$1^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_A}$	$1^{24}$	$2^{12}$

 Table 44: Twisted Euler characters and Frame shapes at  $\ell = 30 + 6, 10, 15$ ,  $X = E_8^3$ 

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A
$n_g h_g$	1 1	2 1	3 3
$\bar{\chi}_g^{X_E}$	3	1	0
$\bar{\Pi}_g^{X_E}$	$1^3$	$1^1 2^1$	$3^1$
$\tilde{\Pi}_g^{X_E}$	$1^{24}$	$1^8 2^8$	$3^8$

## C Coefficient Tables

In this section we furnish tables of Fourier coefficients of small degree for the vector-valued mock modular forms  $H_g^X$  attached to elements  $g \in G^X$ . For each Niemeier root system  $X$  and each conjugacy class  $[g] \in G^X$  we give a table that displays the coefficients of  $H_{g,r}^X$  for sufficiently many  $r$  that any other component coincides with one of these up to sign. For instance, we always have  $H_{g,r}^X = -H_{g,-r}^X$ , so it suffices to list the  $H_{g,r}^X$  for  $0 < r < m$  when the Coxeter number of  $X$  is  $m$ . When  $X$  has no A-type components there are further redundancies, so that  $H_{g,2}^X = H_{g,4}^X = 0$  and  $H_{g,1}^X = H_{g,5}^X$ , for example, when  $X = D_4^6$ . Recall that  $H_g^X$  is conjectured to coincide with the graded trace function of the umbral module  $K^X$  for all Niemeier root systems  $X$  except for  $X = A_3^8$ , and the relation has an additional factor of 3 when  $X = A_3^8$  (cf. Conjecture 6.1).

For a Niemeier root system  $X$  with Coxeter number  $m$ , the first row of each table labels the conjugacy classes, and the first column labels exponents of  $q^{1/4m}$ , so that the entry in the row labelled  $d$  and the column labelled  $nZ$  in the table captioned  $H_{g,r}^X$  is the coefficient of  $q^{d/4m}$  in the Fourier expansion of  $H_{g,r}^X$  for  $[g] = nZ$ . Occasionally the functions  $H_g^X$  and  $H_{g'}^X$  coincide for non-conjugate  $g$  and  $g'$  and when this happens we condense information into a single column, writing  $7AB$  in Table 45, for example, to indicate that the entries in that column are Fourier coefficients for both  $H_{7A}^{(2)}$  and  $H_{7B}^{(2)}$ .

C.1 Lambency 2

Table 45: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,1}^{(2)} = H_{g,1}^X$  for  $X = A_1^{24}$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	4C	5A	6A	6B	7AB	8A	10A	11A	12A	12B	14AB	15AB	21AB	23AB	
$\Gamma_g$	1	2	2 2	3	3 3	4 2	4	4 4	5	6	6 6	7	8	10 2	11	12 2	12 12	14	15	21 3	23	
-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
7	90	-6	10	0	6	-6	2	2	0	0	-2	-1	-2	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	-1	-2
15	462	14	-18	-6	0	-2	-2	6	2	2	0	0	-2	2	0	-2	0	0	-1	0	0	2
23	1540	-28	20	10	-14	4	-4	-4	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0	0	-1
31	4554	42	-38	0	12	-6	2	-6	-6	0	4	4	-2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2	0
39	11592	-56	72	-18	0	-8	8	0	2	-2	0	0	0	0	2	-2	0	0	2	0	0	0
47	27830	86	-90	20	-16	6	-2	6	0	-4	0	-2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	-2	0
55	61686	-138	118	0	30	6	-10	-2	6	0	-2	2	-2	2	-2	0	-2	2	0	2	0	0
63	131100	188	-180	-30	0	-4	4	-12	0	2	0	-3	0	0	2	2	0	-1	0	0	0	0
71	265650	-238	258	42	-42	-14	10	10	-10	2	6	0	-2	0	-2	0	-2	0	2	0	0	0
79	521136	336	-352	0	42	0	-8	16	6	0	2	0	-4	-2	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	2
87	988770	-478	450	-60	0	18	-14	-6	0	-4	0	6	2	0	2	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0
95	1830248	616	-600	62	-70	-8	8	-16	8	-2	-6	0	0	0	2	-2	2	0	2	0	0	0
103	3303630	-786	830	0	84	-18	22	6	0	0	-4	-6	2	0	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	2
111	5844762	1050	-1062	-90	0	10	-6	18	-18	6	0	0	2	0	-2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	2
119	10139734	-1386	1334	118	-110	22	-26	-10	4	6	2	-4	-2	4	0	-2	2	0	-2	0	2	0
127	17301060	1764	-1740	0	126	-12	12	-28	0	0	6	0	0	0	-4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
135	29051484	-2212	2268	-156	0	-36	28	12	14	-4	0	0	-4	-2	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0
143	48106430	2814	-2850	170	-166	14	-18	38	0	-6	-6	8	-2	0	-2	2	2	0	0	0	2	-2
151	78599556	-3612	3540	0	210	36	-36	-20	-24	0	-6	0	0	0	2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0
159	126894174	4510	-4482	-228	0	-18	14	-42	14	4	0	-6	-2	-2	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
167	202537080	-5544	5640	270	-282	-40	48	16	0	6	6	4	4	0	-2	2	-2	0	0	0	-2	0
175	319927608	6936	-6968	0	300	24	-16	48	18	0	4	-7	4	2	0	0	0	-1	0	0	-1	0
183	500376870	-8666	8550	-360	0	54	-58	-18	0	-8	0	0	-2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
191	775492564	10612	-10556	400	-392	-28	28	-60	-36	-8	-8	0	0	0	-4	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0
199	1191453912	-12936	13064	0	462	-72	64	32	12	0	-10	12	-4	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
207	1815754710	15862	-15930	-510	0	22	-34	78	0	10	0	0	-6	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0	-1
215	2745870180	-19420	19268	600	-600	84	-76	-36	30	8	8	-10	4	-2	0	0	0	-2	0	2	0	0
223	4122417420	23532	-23460	0	660	-36	36	-84	0	0	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	-2	0	2	0	0
231	6146311620	-28348	28548	-762	0	-92	100	36	-50	-10	0	-6	4	-2	-2	0	-2	0	2	-2	0	0
239	9104078592	34272	-34352	828	-840	48	-40	96	22	-12	-8	0	4	-2	4	0	0	0	0	-2	0	0
247	13401053820	-41412	41180	0	966	108	-116	-44	0	0	-10	0	-4	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	-2

C.2 Lambency 3

Table 46: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,1}^{(3)} = H_{g,1}^X$  for  $X = A_2^{12}$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4A	2B	2C	3A	6A	3B	3 3	3 12	4 2	4	5	5 4	6 24	6	6 2	8 4	8	10 8	11	11 4
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8	2	2 2	3	3 4	3 3	3 12	4 2	4	5	5 4	6 24	6	6 2	8 4	8	10 8	11	11 4	
-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
11	32	32	8	0	0	-4	-4	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	-2	-1	-1
23	110	110	-10	-2	-2	2	2	2	2	6	-2	0	0	2	-2	-2	2	2	0	0	0	0
35	288	288	8	0	0	0	0	-6	-6	0	0	-2	-2	2	0	0	0	0	0	-2	2	2
47	660	660	-20	4	4	-6	-6	6	6	-4	4	0	0	-2	-2	-2	4	0	0	0	0	0
59	1408	1408	32	0	0	4	4	4	4	0	0	-2	-2	-4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
71	2794	2794	-30	-6	-6	4	4	-8	-8	2	-6	4	4	0	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0	0
83	5280	5280	40	0	0	-12	-12	6	6	0	0	0	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
95	9638	9638	-58	6	6	8	8	2	2	-10	6	-2	-2	2	0	0	-2	-2	2	2	2	2
107	16960	16960	80	0	0	4	4	-14	-14	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2	-2
119	29018	29018	-102	-6	-6	-16	-16	8	8	10	-6	-2	-2	0	0	0	2	2	-2	0	0	0
131	48576	48576	112	0	0	12	12	6	6	0	0	6	6	-2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
143	79530	79530	-150	10	10	6	6	-24	-24	-6	10	0	0	0	-2	-2	2	2	0	0	0	0
155	127776	127776	200	0	0	-24	-24	18	18	0	0	-4	-4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
167	202050	202050	-230	-14	-14	18	18	12	12	10	-14	0	0	4	-2	-2	2	-2	0	2	2	2
179	314688	314688	272	0	0	12	12	-30	-30	0	0	-2	-2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
191	483516	483516	-348	12	12	-36	-36	24	24	-12	12	6	6	0	0	0	-4	0	2	0	0	0
203	733920	733920	440	0	0	24	24	12	12	0	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
215	1101364	1101364	-508	-12	-12	16	16	-44	-44	20	-12	-6	-6	-4	0	0	-4	4	2	0	0	0
227	1635680	1635680	600	0	0	-52	-52	32	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
239	2406116	2406116	-740	20	20	38	38	20	20	-20	20	-4	-4	4	2	2	4	0	0	-2	-2	-2
251	3507680	3507680	888	0	0	20	20	-64	-64	0	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2	0	0
263	5071000	5071000	-1040	-24	-24	-68	-68	46	46	16	-24	0	0	-2	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0	0
275	7274464	7274464	1208	0	0	52	52	28	28	0	0	-6	-6	-4	0	0	0	0	0	-2	-1	-1
287	10359030	10359030	-1450	22	22	30	30	-84	-84	-26	22	0	0	-4	-2	-2	-2	-2	0	0	0	0
299	14650176	14650176	1744	0	0	-96	-96	60	60	0	0	-4	-4	4	0	0	0	0	4	2	2	2
311	20585334	20585334	-2018	-26	-26	66	66	36	36	30	-26	14	14	4	-2	-2	-2	2	2	0	0	0
323	28747840	28747840	2320	0	0	40	40	-116	-116	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
335	39914402	39914402	-2750	34	34	-130	-130	86	86	-30	34	-8	-8	-2	-2	-2	2	2	0	0	0	0
347	55114400	55114400	3240	0	0	92	92	50	50	0	0	0	0	-6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
359	75704904	75704904	-3712	-40	-40	54	54	-156	-156	32	-40	-6	-6	-4	2	2	0	-4	-2	0	0	0



Table 47: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,2}^{(3)} = H_{g,2}^X$  for  $X = A_2^{12}$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4A	2B	2C	3A	6A	3B	6B	4B	4C	5A	10A	12A	6C	6D	8AB	8CD	20AB	11AB	22AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8	2	2 2	3	3 4	3 3	3 2	4 2	4	5	5 4	6 24	6	6 2	8 4	8	10 8	11	11 4
8	20	-20	0	-4	4	2	-2	-4	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0	-2	2
20	88	-88	0	8	-8	-2	2	4	-4	0	0	-2	2	0	2	-2	0	0	0	0	0
32	220	-220	0	-12	12	4	-4	4	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44	560	-560	0	16	-16	2	-2	-4	4	0	0	0	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0	-1	1
56	1144	-1144	0	-24	24	-8	8	4	-4	0	0	4	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68	2400	-2400	0	32	-32	6	-6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0	2	-2
80	4488	-4488	0	-40	40	6	-6	-12	12	0	0	-2	2	0	2	-2	0	0	0	0	0
92	8360	-8360	0	56	-56	-10	10	8	-8	0	0	0	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0	0	0
104	14696	-14696	0	-72	72	8	-8	8	-8	0	0	-4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
116	25544	-25544	0	88	-88	2	-2	-16	16	0	0	4	-4	0	-2	2	0	0	0	2	-2
128	42660	-42660	0	-116	116	-18	18	12	-12	0	0	0	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0	2	-2
140	70576	-70576	0	144	-144	16	-16	4	-4	0	0	-4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
152	113520	-113520	0	-176	176	12	-12	-24	24	0	0	0	0	0	4	-4	0	0	0	0	0
164	180640	-180640	0	224	-224	-26	26	16	-16	0	0	0	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0	-2	2
176	281808	-281808	0	-272	272	18	-18	12	-12	0	0	8	-8	0	-2	2	0	0	0	-1	1
188	435160	-435160	0	328	-328	10	-10	-32	32	0	0	0	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0	0	0
200	661476	-661476	0	-404	404	-42	42	24	-24	0	0	-4	4	0	-2	2	0	0	0	2	-2
212	996600	-996600	0	488	-488	30	-30	12	-12	0	0	0	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0	0	0
224	1482536	-1482536	0	-584	584	20	-20	-52	52	0	0	-4	4	0	4	-4	0	0	0	0	0
236	2187328	-2187328	0	704	-704	-50	50	40	-40	0	0	8	-8	0	-2	2	0	0	0	0	0
248	3193960	-3193960	0	-840	840	40	-40	28	-28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
260	4629152	-4629152	0	992	-992	20	-20	-64	64	0	0	-8	8	0	-4	4	0	0	0	0	0
272	6650400	-6650400	0	-1184	1184	-78	78	48	-48	0	0	0	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0	-2	2
284	9490536	-9490536	0	1400	-1400	54	-54	24	-24	0	0	-4	4	0	2	-2	0	0	0	0	0
296	13441032	-13441032	0	-1640	1640	36	-36	-96	96	0	0	12	-12	0	4	-4	0	0	0	0	0
308	18920240	-18920240	0	1936	-1936	-100	100	68	-68	0	0	0	0	0	4	-4	0	0	0	-2	2
320	26457464	-26457464	0	-2264	2264	74	-74	44	-44	0	0	-6	6	0	-2	2	0	0	0	0	0
332	36792560	-36792560	0	2640	-2640	38	-38	-124	124	0	0	0	0	0	-6	6	0	0	0	2	-2
344	50865232	-50865232	0	-3088	3088	-140	140	88	-88	0	0	-8	8	0	-4	4	0	0	0	0	0
356	69966336	-69966336	0	3584	-3584	102	-102	48	-48	0	0	16	-16	0	2	-2	0	0	0	0	0

C.3 Lambency 4

Table 48: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,1}^{(4)} = H_{g,1}^X$  for  $X = A_3^8$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	4B	2C	3A	6A	6BC	8A	4C	7AB	14AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 2	2 2	2 4	4 4	2	3	3 2	6 2	4 8	4	7	7 2
-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
15	14	14	-2	6	-2	-2	2	2	-2	2	-2	0	0
31	42	42	-6	-6	2	2	0	0	0	2	-2	0	0
47	86	86	6	6	-2	-2	-4	-4	0	-2	2	2	2
63	188	188	-4	-12	-4	4	2	2	2	0	0	-1	-1
79	336	336	0	16	0	-8	0	0	0	0	-4	0	0
95	616	616	-8	-16	0	8	-2	-2	-2	4	0	0	0
111	1050	1050	10	18	2	-6	6	6	-2	-2	2	0	0
127	1764	1764	-12	-28	-4	12	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0
143	2814	2814	14	38	-2	-18	-6	-6	2	2	-2	0	0
159	4510	4510	-18	-42	6	14	4	4	0	2	-2	2	2
175	6936	6936	24	48	0	-16	0	0	0	-4	4	-1	-1
191	10612	10612	-28	-60	-4	28	-8	-8	-4	-4	0	0	0
207	15862	15862	22	78	-2	-34	10	10	-2	2	-6	0	0
223	23532	23532	-36	-84	4	36	0	0	0	4	0	-2	-2
239	34272	34272	48	96	0	-40	-12	-12	0	0	4	0	0
255	49618	49618	-46	-126	-6	50	10	10	2	-6	2	2	2
271	70758	70758	54	150	-2	-66	0	0	0	6	-6	2	2
287	100310	100310	-74	-170	6	70	-10	-10	-2	6	-2	0	0
303	140616	140616	88	192	0	-72	18	18	-2	-4	8	0	0
319	195888	195888	-96	-232	-8	96	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0
335	270296	270296	104	272	0	-120	-22	-22	2	4	-8	-2	-2
351	371070	371070	-130	-306	6	126	18	18	2	6	-2	0	0
367	505260	505260	156	348	4	-140	0	0	0	-4	8	0	0
383	684518	684518	-170	-410	-10	174	-22	-22	-2	-10	2	2	2
399	921142	921142	182	486	-2	-202	28	28	-4	6	-10	-2	-2
415	1233708	1233708	-228	-540	12	220	0	0	0	8	-4	0	0
431	1642592	1642592	272	608	0	-248	-34	-34	2	-8	12	0	0
447	2177684	2177684	-284	-708	-12	292	32	32	4	-8	4	-2	-2
463	2871918	2871918	318	814	-2	-346	0	0	0	6	-14	0	0
479	3772468	3772468	-380	-908	12	380	-38	-38	-2	12	0	0	0
495	4932580	4932580	436	1020	4	-412	46	46	-2	-8	12	2	2
511	6425466	6425466	-486	-1174	-14	490	0	0	0	-14	2	-2	-2
527	8335418	8335418	538	1338	-6	-566	-52	-52	4	10	-14	0	0
543	10776290	10776290	-622	-1494	18	610	50	50	2	14	-6	0	0
559	13879290	13879290	714	1666	2	-678	0	0	0	-10	18	-2	-2
575	17818766	17818766	-786	-1898	-18	790	-58	-58	-6	-14	2	0	0
591	22798188	22798188	860	2148	-4	-900	72	72	-4	8	-20	0	0



Table 50: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,3}^{(4)} = H_{g,3}^X$  for  $X = A_3^8$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	4B	2C	3A	6A	6BC	8A	4C	7AB	14AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 2	2 2	2 4	4 4	2	3	3 2	6 2	4 8	4	7	7 2
7	6	6	6	-2	-2	-2	0	0	0	2	2	-1	-1
23	28	28	-4	4	-4	4	-2	-2	2	0	0	0	0
39	56	56	8	0	0	-8	2	2	2	-4	0	0	0
55	138	138	-6	2	2	10	0	0	0	-2	2	-2	-2
71	238	238	14	-10	-2	-10	-2	-2	2	2	2	0	0
87	478	478	-18	6	-2	14	4	4	0	2	-2	2	2
103	786	786	18	-6	2	-22	0	0	0	-2	-2	2	2
119	1386	1386	-22	10	2	26	-6	-6	2	2	2	0	0
135	2212	2212	36	-12	-4	-28	4	4	0	4	4	0	0
151	3612	3612	-36	20	-4	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
167	5544	5544	40	-16	0	-48	-6	-6	-2	-4	-4	0	0
183	8666	8666	-54	18	2	58	8	8	0	-2	2	0	0
199	12936	12936	72	-32	0	-64	0	0	0	4	4	0	0
215	19420	19420	-84	36	-4	76	-8	-8	0	0	-4	2	2
231	28348	28348	92	-36	4	-100	10	10	2	-4	-4	-2	-2
247	41412	41412	-108	44	4	116	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
263	59178	59178	138	-62	-6	-126	-12	-12	0	6	6	0	0
279	84530	84530	-158	66	-6	154	14	14	-2	2	-2	-2	-2
295	118692	118692	180	-68	4	-188	0	0	0	-8	-4	0	0
311	166320	166320	-208	88	8	216	-18	-18	2	-4	4	0	0
327	230092	230092	252	-108	-4	-244	16	16	0	8	4	2	2
343	317274	317274	-294	122	-6	282	0	0	0	2	-6	-1	-1
359	432964	432964	324	-132	4	-340	-20	-20	0	-8	-8	0	0
375	588966	588966	-378	150	6	390	24	24	0	-2	6	0	0
391	794178	794178	450	-190	-6	-430	0	0	0	10	10	0	0
407	1067220	1067220	-508	220	-12	500	-30	-30	2	0	-4	0	0
423	1423884	1423884	572	-228	4	-588	30	30	2	-12	-8	0	0
439	1893138	1893138	-654	266	10	666	0	0	0	-2	6	2	2
455	2501434	2501434	762	-326	-6	-742	-32	-32	0	10	10	-2	-2
471	3294256	3294256	-864	360	-8	848	40	40	0	4	-8	0	0
487	4314912	4314912	960	-392	8	-984	0	0	0	-12	-12	0	0
503	5633596	5633596	-1092	452	12	1108	-44	-44	0	0	8	-4	-4
519	7320670	7320670	1262	-522	-10	-1234	46	46	2	18	14	0	0
535	9483336	9483336	-1416	592	-16	1400	0	0	0	4	-8	2	2
551	12233330	12233330	1570	-646	10	-1598	-58	-58	-2	-18	-14	4	4
567	15734606	15734606	-1778	726	14	1798	62	62	-2	-6	10	-1	-1
583	20161302	20161302	2022	-850	-10	-1994	0	0	0	18	14	0	0
599	25761288	25761288	-2264	944	-16	2240	-72	-72	3	4	-12	0	0

C.4 Lambency 5

Table 51: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,1}^{(5)} = H_{g,1}^X$  for  $X = A_4^6$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A	5A	10A	4AB	4CD	12AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 2	2	3 3	3 12	5	5 4	2 8	4	6 24
-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
19	8	8	0	0	2	2	-2	-2	4	0	-2
39	18	18	2	2	0	0	-2	-2	-6	2	0
59	40	40	0	0	-2	-2	0	0	4	0	-2
79	70	70	-2	-2	4	4	0	0	-6	-2	0
99	120	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0
119	208	208	0	0	-2	-2	-2	-2	-8	0	-2
139	328	328	0	0	4	4	-2	-2	12	0	0
159	510	510	-2	-2	0	0	0	0	-18	-2	0
179	792	792	0	0	-6	-6	2	2	20	0	2
199	1180	1180	4	4	4	4	0	0	-24	4	0
219	1728	1728	0	0	0	0	-2	-2	24	0	0
239	2518	2518	-2	-2	-8	-8	-2	-2	-30	-2	0
259	3600	3600	0	0	6	6	0	0	40	0	-2
279	5082	5082	2	2	0	0	2	2	-42	2	0
299	7120	7120	0	0	-8	-8	0	0	48	0	0
319	9838	9838	-2	-2	10	10	-2	-2	-58	-2	2
339	13488	13488	0	0	0	0	-2	-2	72	0	0
359	18380	18380	4	4	-10	-10	0	0	-80	4	-2
379	24792	24792	0	0	12	12	2	2	84	0	0
399	33210	33210	-6	-6	0	0	0	0	-102	-6	0
419	44248	44248	0	0	-14	-14	-2	-2	116	0	2
439	58538	58538	2	2	14	14	-2	-2	-130	2	2
459	76992	76992	0	0	0	0	2	2	144	0	0
479	100772	100772	-4	-4	-16	-16	2	2	-168	-4	0
499	131160	131160	0	0	18	18	0	0	196	0	-2
519	169896	169896	8	8	0	0	-4	-4	-216	8	0
539	219128	219128	0	0	-22	-22	-2	-2	236	0	2
559	281322	281322	-6	-6	24	24	2	2	-270	-6	0
579	359712	359712	0	0	0	0	2	2	312	0	0
599	458220	458220	4	4	-24	-24	0	0	-336	4	0
619	581416	581416	0	0	28	28	-4	-4	372	0	0
639	735138	735138	-6	-6	0	0	-2	-2	-426	-6	0
659	926472	926472	0	0	-30	-30	2	2	476	0	2
679	1163674	1163674	10	10	34	34	4	4	-526	10	2
699	1457040	1457040	0	0	0	0	0	0	576	0	0
719	1819056	1819056	-8	-8	-42	-42	-4	-4	-644	-8	-2
739	2264376	2264376	0	0	42	42	-4	-4	724	0	-2

Table 52: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,2}^{(5)} = H_{g,2}^X$  for  $X = A_4^6$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A	5A	10A	4AB	4CD	12AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 2	2	3 3	3 12	5	5 4	2 8	4	6 24
16	10	-10	2	-2	-2	2	0	0	0	0	0
36	30	-30	-2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
56	52	-52	4	-4	4	-4	2	-2	0	0	0
76	108	-108	-4	4	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0
96	180	-180	4	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
116	312	-312	-8	8	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0
136	488	-488	8	-8	-4	4	-2	2	0	0	0
156	792	-792	-8	8	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0
176	1180	-1180	12	-12	4	-4	0	0	0	0	0
196	1810	-1810	-14	14	-2	2	0	0	0	0	0
216	2640	-2640	16	-16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
236	3868	-3868	-20	20	4	-4	-2	2	0	0	0
256	5502	-5502	22	-22	-6	6	2	-2	0	0	0
276	7848	-7848	-24	24	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0
296	10912	-10912	32	-32	4	-4	2	-2	0	0	0
316	15212	-15212	-36	36	-4	4	2	-2	0	0	0
336	20808	-20808	40	-40	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0
356	28432	-28432	-48	48	4	-4	2	-2	0	0	0
376	38308	-38308	52	-52	-8	8	-2	2	0	0	0
396	51540	-51540	-60	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
416	68520	-68520	72	-72	12	-12	0	0	0	0	0
436	90928	-90928	-80	80	-8	8	-2	2	0	0	0
456	119544	-119544	88	-88	0	0	4	-4	0	0	0
476	156728	-156728	-104	104	8	-8	-2	2	0	0	0
496	203940	-203940	116	-116	-12	12	0	0	0	0	0
516	264672	-264672	-128	128	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0
536	341188	-341188	148	-148	16	-16	-2	2	0	0	0
556	438732	-438732	-164	164	-12	12	2	-2	0	0	0
576	560958	-560958	182	-182	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0
596	715312	-715312	-208	208	16	-16	2	-2	0	0	0
616	907720	-907720	232	-232	-20	20	0	0	0	0	0
636	1148928	-1148928	-256	256	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0
656	1447904	-1447904	288	-288	20	-20	4	-4	0	0	0
676	1820226	-1820226	-318	318	-18	18	-4	4	0	0	0
696	2279520	-2279520	352	-352	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
716	2847812	-2847812	-396	396	20	-20	2	-2	0	0	0
736	3545636	-3545636	436	-436	-28	28	-4	4	0	0	0
756	4404384	-4404384	-480	480	0	0	4	-4	0	0	0

Table 53: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,3}^{(5)} = H_{g,3}^X$  for  $X = A_4^6$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A	5A	10A	4AB	4CD	12AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 2	2	3 3	3 12	5	5 4	2 8	4	6 24
11	8	8	0	0	2	2	-2	-2	-4	0	2
31	22	22	-2	-2	-2	-2	2	2	2	-2	2
51	48	48	0	0	0	0	-2	-2	0	0	0
71	90	90	2	2	0	0	0	0	6	2	0
91	160	160	0	0	-2	-2	0	0	-8	0	-2
111	270	270	-2	-2	0	0	0	0	6	-2	0
131	440	440	0	0	2	2	0	0	-4	0	2
151	700	700	4	4	-2	-2	0	0	8	4	2
171	1080	1080	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12	0	0
191	1620	1620	-4	-4	6	6	0	0	16	-4	-2
211	2408	2408	0	0	-4	-4	-2	-2	-12	0	0
231	3522	3522	2	2	0	0	2	2	18	2	0
251	5048	5048	0	0	2	2	-2	-2	-28	0	2
271	7172	7172	-4	-4	-4	-4	2	2	24	-4	0
291	10080	10080	0	0	0	0	0	0	-24	0	0
311	13998	13998	6	6	6	6	-2	-2	34	6	-2
331	19272	19272	0	0	-6	-6	2	2	-44	0	-2
351	26298	26298	-6	-6	0	0	-2	-2	42	-6	0
371	35600	35600	0	0	8	8	0	0	-48	0	0
391	47862	47862	6	6	-6	-6	2	2	62	6	2
411	63888	63888	0	0	0	0	-2	-2	-72	0	0
431	84722	84722	-6	-6	8	8	2	2	78	-6	0
451	111728	111728	0	0	-10	-10	-2	-2	-80	0	-2
471	146520	146520	8	8	0	0	0	0	96	8	0
491	191080	191080	0	0	10	10	0	0	-124	0	2
511	248008	248008	-8	-8	-14	-14	-2	-2	128	-8	2
531	320424	320424	0	0	0	0	4	4	-132	0	0
551	412088	412088	8	8	14	14	-2	-2	160	8	-2
571	527800	527800	0	0	-14	-14	0	0	-188	0	-2
591	673302	673302	-10	-10	0	0	2	2	198	-10	0
611	855616	855616	0	0	16	16	-4	-4	-216	0	0
631	1083444	1083444	12	12	-18	-18	4	4	248	12	2
651	1367136	1367136	0	0	0	0	-4	-4	-288	0	0
671	1719362	1719362	-14	-14	20	20	2	2	314	-14	-4
691	2155592	2155592	0	0	-22	-22	2	2	-332	0	-2
711	2694276	2694276	12	12	0	0	-4	-4	384	12	0
731	3357664	3357664	0	0	28	28	4	4	-440	0	4
751	4172746	4172746	-14	-14	-26	-26	-4	-4	470	-14	2

Table 54: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,4}^{(5)} = H_{g,4}^X$  for  $X = A_4^6$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A	5A	10A	4AB	4CD	12AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 2	2	3 3	3 12	5	5 4	2 8	4	6 24
4	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	0	0	0
24	12	-12	-4	4	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0
44	20	-20	4	-4	-4	4	0	0	0	0	0
64	50	-50	-6	6	2	-2	0	0	0	0	0
84	72	-72	8	-8	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0
104	152	-152	-8	8	-4	4	2	-2	0	0	0
124	220	-220	12	-12	4	-4	0	0	0	0	0
144	378	-378	-14	14	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0
164	560	-560	16	-16	-4	4	0	0	0	0	0
184	892	-892	-20	20	4	-4	2	-2	0	0	0
204	1272	-1272	24	-24	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0
224	1940	-1940	-28	28	-4	4	0	0	0	0	0
244	2720	-2720	32	-32	8	-8	0	0	0	0	0
264	3960	-3960	-40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
284	5500	-5500	44	-44	-8	8	0	0	0	0	0
304	7772	-7772	-52	52	8	-8	2	-2	0	0	0
324	10590	-10590	62	-62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
344	14668	-14668	-68	68	-8	8	-2	2	0	0	0
364	19728	-19728	80	-80	12	-12	-2	2	0	0	0
384	26772	-26772	-92	92	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0
404	35624	-35624	104	-104	-16	16	4	-4	0	0	0
424	47592	-47592	-120	120	12	-12	2	-2	0	0	0
444	62568	-62568	136	-136	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0
464	82568	-82568	-152	152	-16	16	-2	2	0	0	0
484	107502	-107502	174	-174	18	-18	2	-2	0	0	0
504	140172	-140172	-196	196	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0
524	180940	-180940	220	-220	-20	20	0	0	0	0	0
544	233576	-233576	-248	248	20	-20	-4	4	0	0	0
564	298968	-298968	280	-280	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0
584	382632	-382632	-312	312	-24	24	2	-2	0	0	0
604	486124	-486124	348	-348	28	-28	4	-4	0	0	0
624	617112	-617112	-392	392	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0
644	778768	-778768	432	-432	-32	32	-2	2	0	0	0
664	981548	-981548	-484	484	32	-32	-2	2	0	0	0
684	1230732	-1230732	540	-540	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0
704	1541244	-1541244	-596	596	-36	36	4	-4	0	0	0
724	1921240	-1921240	664	-664	40	-40	0	0	0	0	0
744	2391456	-2391456	-736	736	0	0	-4	4	0	0	0



C.5 Lambency 6

Table 55: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,1}^{(6)} = H_{g,1}^X$  for  $X = A_5^4 D_4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	3A	6A	8AB
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 1	2 1'	3 1	3 1'	4 2'
-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
23	4	4	0	4	-2	-2	0
47	12	12	0	-4	0	0	0
71	18	18	-2	2	0	0	-2
95	34	34	2	-6	-2	-2	-2
119	56	56	0	8	2	2	0
143	90	90	2	-6	0	0	2
167	128	128	-4	8	-4	-4	0
191	206	206	2	-10	2	2	-2
215	294	294	-2	14	0	0	2
239	424	424	4	-16	-2	-2	0
263	598	598	-6	14	4	4	-2
287	846	846	6	-18	0	0	-2
311	1152	1152	-4	24	-6	-6	0
335	1598	1598	6	-26	2	2	2
359	2138	2138	-10	26	2	2	-2
383	2886	2886	10	-34	-6	-6	-2
407	3834	3834	-6	42	6	6	2
431	5078	5078	10	-42	2	2	2
455	6622	6622	-18	46	-8	-8	-2
479	8682	8682	14	-54	6	6	-2
503	11214	11214	-14	62	0	0	2
527	14476	14476	20	-68	-8	-8	4
551	18530	18530	-22	74	8	8	-2
575	23684	23684	24	-84	2	2	-4
599	30006	30006	-22	102	-12	-12	2
623	38018	38018	26	-110	8	8	2
647	47792	47792	-36	112	2	2	-4
671	60012	60012	36	-132	-12	-12	-4
695	74942	74942	-34	150	14	14	2
719	93392	93392	44	-160	2	2	4
743	115782	115782	-54	174	-18	-18	-2
767	143388	143388	52	-196	12	12	-4
791	176716	176716	-52	220	4	4	4
815	217416	217416	64	-240	-18	-18	4
839	266496	266496	-76	256	18	18	-4
863	326074	326074	78	-286	4	4	-6
887	397548	397548	-80	324	-24	-24	4
911	483966	483966	90	-346	18	18	6
935	587236	587236	-108	372	4	4	-4
959	711394	711394	114	-414	-26	-26	-6

Table 56: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,2}^{(6)} = H_{g,2}^X$  for  $X = A_5^4 D_4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	3A	6A	8AB
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 1	2 1'	3 1	3 1'	4 2'
20	8	-8	0	0	2	-2	0
44	16	-16	0	0	-2	2	0
68	32	-32	0	0	2	-2	0
92	56	-56	0	0	2	-2	0
116	88	-88	0	0	-2	2	0
140	144	-144	0	0	0	0	0
164	224	-224	0	0	2	-2	0
188	328	-328	0	0	-2	2	0
212	488	-488	0	0	2	-2	0
236	704	-704	0	0	2	-2	0
260	992	-992	0	0	-4	4	0
284	1400	-1400	0	0	2	-2	0
308	1936	-1936	0	0	4	-4	0
332	2640	-2640	0	0	-6	6	0
356	3584	-3584	0	0	2	-2	0
380	4816	-4816	0	0	4	-4	0
404	6408	-6408	0	0	-6	6	0
428	8480	-8480	0	0	2	-2	0
452	11136	-11136	0	0	6	-6	0
476	14512	-14512	0	0	-8	8	0
500	18832	-18832	0	0	4	-4	0
524	24288	-24288	0	0	6	-6	0
548	31136	-31136	0	0	-10	10	0
572	39760	-39760	0	0	4	-4	0
596	50520	-50520	0	0	6	-6	0
620	63888	-63888	0	0	-12	12	0
644	80512	-80512	0	0	4	-4	0
668	101032	-101032	0	0	10	-10	0
692	126280	-126280	0	0	-14	14	0
716	157344	-157344	0	0	6	-6	0
740	195360	-195360	0	0	12	-12	0
764	241752	-241752	0	0	-18	18	0
788	298296	-298296	0	0	6	-6	0
812	366960	-366960	0	0	12	-12	0
836	450112	-450112	0	0	-20	20	0
860	550688	-550688	0	0	8	-8	0
884	671968	-671968	0	0	16	-16	0
908	817840	-817840	0	0	-26	26	0
932	993088	-993088	0	0	10	-10	0
956	1203048	-1203048	0	0	18	-18	0

Table 57: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,3}^{(6)} = H_{g,3}^X$  for  $X = A_5^4 D_4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	3A	6A	8AB
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 1	2 1'	3 1	3 1'	4 2'
15	6	6	-2	-2	0	0	2
39	18	18	2	2	0	0	2
63	30	30	-2	-2	0	0	-2
87	60	60	4	4	0	0	0
111	90	90	-6	-6	0	0	2
135	156	156	4	4	0	0	0
159	228	228	-4	-4	0	0	0
183	360	360	8	8	0	0	0
207	510	510	-10	-10	0	0	2
231	762	762	10	10	0	0	2
255	1062	1062	-10	-10	0	0	-2
279	1518	1518	14	14	0	0	-2
303	2070	2070	-18	-18	0	0	2
327	2880	2880	16	16	0	0	0
351	3870	3870	-18	-18	0	0	-2
375	5256	5256	24	24	0	0	0
399	6948	6948	-28	-28	0	0	4
423	9270	9270	30	30	0	0	2
447	12120	12120	-32	-32	0	0	-4
471	15912	15912	40	40	0	0	0
495	20562	20562	-46	-46	0	0	2
519	26646	26646	46	46	0	0	2
543	34110	34110	-50	-50	0	0	-2
567	43710	43710	62	62	0	0	-2
591	55440	55440	-72	-72	0	0	4
615	70362	70362	74	74	0	0	2
639	88536	88536	-80	-80	0	0	-4
663	111390	111390	94	94	0	0	-2
687	139140	139140	-108	-108	0	0	4
711	173712	173712	112	112	0	0	0
735	215568	215568	-120	-120	0	0	-4
759	267246	267246	142	142	0	0	-2
783	329610	329610	-158	-158	0	0	6
807	406080	406080	168	168	0	0	4
831	498042	498042	-182	-182	0	0	-6
855	610062	610062	206	206	0	0	-2
879	744306	744306	-230	-230	0	0	6
903	906930	906930	242	242	0	0	2
927	1101210	1101210	-262	-262	0	0	-6
951	1335282	1335282	298	298	0	0	-2

Table 58: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,4}^{(6)} = H_{g,4}^X$  for  $X = A_5^4 D_4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	3A	6A	8AB
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 1	2 1'	3 1	3 1'	4 2'
8	4	-4	0	0	-2	2	0
32	12	-12	0	0	0	0	0
56	24	-24	0	0	0	0	0
80	40	-40	0	0	-2	2	0
104	72	-72	0	0	0	0	0
128	116	-116	0	0	2	-2	0
152	176	-176	0	0	-4	4	0
176	272	-272	0	0	2	-2	0
200	404	-404	0	0	2	-2	0
224	584	-584	0	0	-4	4	0
248	840	-840	0	0	0	0	0
272	1184	-1184	0	0	2	-2	0
296	1640	-1640	0	0	-4	4	0
320	2264	-2264	0	0	2	-2	0
344	3088	-3088	0	0	4	-4	0
368	4152	-4152	0	0	-6	6	0
392	5564	-5564	0	0	2	-2	0
416	7384	-7384	0	0	4	-4	0
440	9712	-9712	0	0	-8	8	0
464	12728	-12728	0	0	2	-2	0
488	16552	-16552	0	0	4	-4	0
512	21388	-21388	0	0	-8	8	0
536	27520	-27520	0	0	4	-4	0
560	35216	-35216	0	0	8	-8	0
584	44832	-44832	0	0	-12	12	0
608	56848	-56848	0	0	4	-4	0
632	71768	-71768	0	0	8	-8	0
656	90208	-90208	0	0	-14	14	0
680	113008	-113008	0	0	4	-4	0
704	141040	-141040	0	0	10	-10	0
728	175376	-175376	0	0	-16	16	0
752	217416	-217416	0	0	6	-6	0
776	268656	-268656	0	0	12	-12	0
800	330940	-330940	0	0	-20	20	0
824	406568	-406568	0	0	8	-8	0
848	498056	-498056	0	0	14	-14	0
872	608472	-608472	0	0	-24	24	0
896	741560	-741560	0	0	8	-8	0
920	901504	-901504	0	0	16	-16	0
944	1093312	-1093312	0	0	-26	26	0

Table 59: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,5}^{(6)} = H_{g,5}^X$  for  $X = A_5^4 D_4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	3A	6A	8AB
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 1	2 1'	3 1	3 1'	4 2'
23	6	6	2	-2	0	0	-2
47	8	8	-4	0	2	2	0
71	24	24	4	0	0	0	0
95	28	28	-4	4	-2	-2	0
119	62	62	6	-2	2	2	-2
143	80	80	-8	0	2	2	0
167	142	142	10	-2	-2	-2	2
191	194	194	-10	2	2	2	-2
215	306	306	10	-6	0	0	-2
239	404	404	-16	4	-4	-4	0
263	622	622	18	-2	4	4	2
287	824	824	-16	8	2	2	0
311	1178	1178	22	-6	-4	-4	-2
335	1564	1564	-28	4	4	4	0
359	2178	2178	30	-6	0	0	2
383	2844	2844	-32	12	-6	-6	0
407	3876	3876	36	-12	6	6	-4
431	5024	5024	-44	8	2	2	0
455	6690	6690	50	-14	-6	-6	2
479	8616	8616	-52	16	6	6	0
503	11286	11286	58	-18	0	0	-2
527	14384	14384	-72	16	-10	-10	0
551	18632	18632	80	-16	8	8	4
575	23578	23578	-82	26	4	4	-2
599	30122	30122	94	-30	-10	-10	-6
623	37882	37882	-110	26	10	10	2
647	47948	47948	120	-28	2	2	4
671	59848	59848	-128	40	-14	-14	0
695	75120	75120	144	-40	12	12	-4
719	93184	93184	-164	40	4	4	0
743	116018	116018	182	-46	-16	-16	6
767	143142	143142	-194	54	12	12	-2
791	176978	176978	210	-62	2	2	-6
815	217108	217108	-244	60	-20	-20	0
839	266838	266838	266	-66	18	18	6
863	325716	325716	-280	84	6	6	0
887	397942	397942	314	-90	-20	-20	-6
911	483524	483524	-352	84	20	20	0
935	587726	587726	382	-98	2	2	6

C.6 Lambency 6+3

Table 60: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,1}^{(6+3)} = H_{g,1}^X$  for  $X = D_4^6$

$[g]$	1A	3A	2A	6A	3B	3C	4A	12A	5A	15AB	2B	2C	4B	6B	6C
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 1	2 1'	3 1	3 3	4 2	4 2'	5 1	5 1'	2 1'	2 4'	4 1'	6 1'	6 6'
-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
23	10	10	2	2	-2	4	-2	-2	0	0	-2	6	2	-2	0
47	20	20	-4	-4	2	2	0	0	0	0	4	-4	0	-2	2
71	42	42	2	2	0	-6	-2	-2	2	2	-6	2	-2	0	2
95	62	62	-2	-2	-4	2	-2	-2	2	2	6	-10	-2	0	2
119	118	118	6	6	4	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-6	10	2	0	-2
143	170	170	-6	-6	2	-4	2	2	0	0	10	-6	2	-2	0
167	270	270	6	6	-6	6	2	2	0	0	-14	10	-2	-2	-2
191	400	400	-8	-8	4	4	-4	-4	0	0	12	-12	0	0	0
215	600	600	8	8	0	-6	0	0	0	0	-12	20	4	0	2
239	828	828	-12	-12	-6	6	0	0	-2	-2	20	-20	0	2	-2
263	1220	1220	12	12	8	2	0	0	0	0	-24	16	-4	0	-2
287	1670	1670	-10	-10	2	-10	-2	-2	0	0	22	-26	-2	-2	-2
311	2330	2330	18	18	-10	8	-2	-2	0	0	-26	30	2	-2	0
335	3162	3162	-22	-22	6	0	2	2	2	2	34	-30	2	-2	0
359	4316	4316	20	20	2	-10	0	0	-4	-4	-40	32	-4	2	2
383	5730	5730	-22	-22	-12	6	-2	-2	0	0	42	-46	-2	0	2
407	7710	7710	30	30	12	0	-2	-2	0	0	-42	54	6	0	0
431	10102	10102	-34	-34	4	-14	2	2	2	2	54	-50	2	0	-2
455	13312	13312	32	32	-14	16	0	0	2	2	-68	60	-4	-2	0
479	17298	17298	-38	-38	12	6	-2	-2	-2	-2	66	-70	-2	0	2
503	22500	22500	44	44	0	-18	0	0	0	0	-72	80	4	0	2
527	28860	28860	-52	-52	-18	12	4	4	0	0	92	-84	4	2	0
551	37162	37162	58	58	16	4	2	2	2	2	-102	90	-6	0	0
575	47262	47262	-58	-58	6	-18	-6	-6	2	2	106	-110	-2	-2	-2
599	60128	60128	72	72	-22	20	-4	-4	-2	-2	-116	132	8	-2	0
623	75900	75900	-84	-84	18	6	4	4	0	0	136	-136	0	-2	2
647	95740	95740	84	84	4	-26	0	0	0	0	-156	140	-8	0	2
671	119860	119860	-92	-92	-26	22	-4	-4	0	0	164	-172	-4	2	2
695	150062	150062	110	110	26	2	-2	-2	2	2	-178	190	6	2	-2
719	186576	186576	-120	-120	6	-30	4	4	-4	-4	208	-200	4	-2	-2
743	231800	231800	128	128	-34	26	4	4	0	0	-236	220	-8	-2	-2
767	286530	286530	-142	-142	24	6	-6	-6	0	0	246	-250	-2	0	2
791	353694	353694	158	158	6	-36	-2	-2	4	4	-262	282	10	2	0
815	434524	434524	-180	-180	-38	28	4	4	4	4	308	-300	4	2	0
839	533334	533334	190	190	36	6	2	2	-6	-6	-342	322	-10	0	-2
863	651790	651790	-202	-202	10	-44	-6	-6	0	0	358	-370	-6	-2	-4
887	795490	795490	234	234	-44	40	-2	-2	0	0	-394	414	10	-4	0
911	967490	967490	-262	-262	38	14	6	6	0	0	442	-430	6	-2	2
935	1174962	1174962	274	274	6	-54	2	2	2	2	-490	470	-10	2	2

Table 61: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,3}^{(6+3)} = H_{g,3}^X$  for  $X = D_4^6$

$[g]$	1A	3A	2A	6A	3B	3C	4A	12A	5A	15AB	2B	2C	4B	6B	6C
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 1	2 1'	3 1	3 3	4 2	4 2'	5 1	5 1'	2 1'	2 4'	4 1'	6 1'	6 6'
15	12	-6	-4	2	0	0	4	-2	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0
39	36	-18	4	-2	0	0	4	-2	-4	2	0	0	0	0	0
63	60	-30	-4	2	0	0	-4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
87	120	-60	8	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
111	180	-90	-12	6	0	0	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
135	312	-156	8	-4	0	0	0	0	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0
159	456	-228	-8	4	0	0	0	0	-4	2	0	0	0	0	0
183	720	-360	16	-8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
207	1020	-510	-20	10	0	0	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
231	1524	-762	20	-10	0	0	4	-2	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0
255	2124	-1062	-20	10	0	0	-4	2	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0
279	3036	-1518	28	-14	0	0	-4	2	-4	2	0	0	0	0	0
303	4140	-2070	-36	18	0	0	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
327	5760	-2880	32	-16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
351	7740	-3870	-36	18	0	0	-4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
375	10512	-5256	48	-24	0	0	0	0	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0
399	13896	-6948	-56	28	0	0	8	-4	-4	2	0	0	0	0	0
423	18540	-9270	60	-30	0	0	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
447	24240	-12120	-64	32	0	0	-8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
471	31824	-15912	80	-40	0	0	0	0	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0
495	41124	-20562	-92	46	0	0	4	-2	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0
519	53292	-26646	92	-46	0	0	4	-2	-8	4	0	0	0	0	0
543	68220	-34110	-100	50	0	0	-4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
567	87420	-43710	124	-62	0	0	-4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
591	110880	-55440	-144	72	0	0	8	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
615	140724	-70362	148	-74	0	0	4	-2	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0
639	177072	-88536	-160	80	0	0	-8	4	-8	4	0	0	0	0	0
663	222780	-111390	188	-94	0	0	-4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
687	278280	-139140	-216	108	0	0	8	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
711	347424	-173712	224	-112	0	0	0	0	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0
735	431136	-215568	-240	120	0	0	-8	4	6	-3	0	0	0	0	0
759	534492	-267246	284	-142	0	0	-4	2	-8	4	0	0	0	0	0
783	659220	-329610	-316	158	0	0	12	-6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
807	812160	-406080	336	-168	0	0	8	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
831	996084	-498042	-364	182	0	0	-12	6	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0
855	1220124	-610062	412	-206	0	0	-4	2	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0
879	1488612	-744306	-460	230	0	0	12	-6	-8	4	0	0	0	0	0
903	1813860	-906930	484	-242	0	0	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
927	2202420	-1101210	-524	262	0	0	-12	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
951	2670564	-1335282	596	-298	0	0	-4	2	4	-2	0	0	0	0	0

C.7 Lambency 7

Table 62:  $H_{g,1}^{(7)}, X = A_6^4$

Table 63:  $H_{g,2}^{(7)}, X = A_6^4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4A	3AB	6AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8	3	3 4
-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
27	4	4	4	1	1
55	6	6	-2	0	0
83	10	10	2	-2	-2
111	20	20	-4	2	2
139	30	30	6	0	0
167	42	42	-6	0	0
195	68	68	4	2	2
223	96	96	-8	0	0
251	130	130	10	-2	-2
279	188	188	-12	2	2
307	258	258	10	0	0
335	350	350	-10	-4	-4
363	474	474	18	3	3
391	624	624	-16	0	0
419	826	826	18	-2	-2
447	1090	1090	-22	4	4
475	1410	1410	26	0	0
503	1814	1814	-26	-4	-4
531	2338	2338	26	4	4
559	2982	2982	-34	0	0
587	3774	3774	38	-6	-6
615	4774	4774	-42	4	4
643	5994	5994	42	0	0
671	7494	7494	-50	-6	-6
699	9348	9348	60	6	6
727	11586	11586	-62	0	0
755	14320	14320	64	-8	-8
783	17654	17654	-74	8	8
811	21654	21654	86	0	0
839	26488	26488	-88	-8	-8
867	32334	32334	94	9	9
895	39324	39324	-108	0	0
923	47680	47680	120	-8	-8
951	57688	57688	-128	10	10
979	69600	69600	136	0	0
1007	83760	83760	-152	-12	-12
1035	100596	100596	172	12	12

$[g]$	1A	2A	4A	3AB	6AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8	3	3 4
24	4	-4	0	-2	2
52	12	-12	0	0	0
80	20	-20	0	2	-2
108	32	-32	0	-1	1
136	48	-48	0	0	0
164	80	-80	0	2	-2
192	108	-108	0	-3	3
220	168	-168	0	0	0
248	232	-232	0	4	-4
276	328	-328	0	-2	2
304	444	-444	0	0	0
332	620	-620	0	2	-2
360	812	-812	0	-4	4
388	1104	-1104	0	0	0
416	1444	-1444	0	4	-4
444	1904	-1904	0	-4	4
472	2460	-2460	0	0	0
500	3208	-3208	0	4	-4
528	4080	-4080	0	-6	6
556	5244	-5244	0	0	0
584	6632	-6632	0	8	-8
612	8400	-8400	0	-6	6
640	10524	-10524	0	0	0
668	13224	-13224	0	6	-6
696	16408	-16408	0	-8	8
724	20436	-20436	0	0	0
752	25216	-25216	0	10	-10
780	31120	-31120	0	-8	8
808	38148	-38148	0	0	0
836	46784	-46784	0	8	-8
864	56976	-56976	0	-12	12
892	69432	-69432	0	0	0
920	84144	-84144	0	12	-12
948	101904	-101904	0	-12	12
976	122868	-122868	0	0	0
1004	148076	-148076	0	14	-14
1032	177656	-177656	0	-16	16
1060	213072	-213072	0	0	0



Table 64:  $H_{g,3}^{(7)}$ ,  $X = A_6^4$

Table 65:  $H_{g,4}^{(7)}$ ,  $X = A_6^4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4A	3AB	6AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8	3	3 4
19	6	6	-2	0	0
47	12	12	4	0	0
75	22	22	-2	1	1
103	36	36	4	0	0
131	58	58	-6	-2	-2
159	90	90	2	0	0
187	132	132	-4	0	0
215	190	190	6	-2	-2
243	274	274	-6	1	1
271	384	384	8	0	0
299	528	528	-8	0	0
327	722	722	10	2	2
355	972	972	-12	0	0
383	1300	1300	12	-2	-2
411	1724	1724	-12	2	2
439	2256	2256	16	0	0
467	2938	2938	-22	-2	-2
495	3806	3806	22	2	2
523	4890	4890	-22	0	0
551	6244	6244	28	-2	-2
579	7940	7940	-28	2	2
607	10038	10038	30	0	0
635	12620	12620	-36	-4	-4
663	15814	15814	38	4	4
691	19722	19722	-46	0	0
719	24490	24490	50	-2	-2
747	30310	30310	-50	4	4
775	37362	37362	58	0	0
803	45908	45908	-68	-4	-4
831	56236	56236	68	4	4
859	68646	68646	-74	0	0
887	83556	83556	84	-6	-6
915	101436	101436	-92	6	6
943	122790	122790	102	0	0
971	148254	148254	-106	-6	-6
999	178566	178566	118	6	6
1027	214548	214548	-132	0	0
1055	257190	257190	142	-6	-6

$[g]$	1A	2A	4A	3AB	6AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8	3	3 4
12	4	-4	0	1	-1
40	12	-12	0	0	0
68	16	-16	0	-2	2
96	36	-36	0	0	0
124	48	-48	0	0	0
152	84	-84	0	0	0
180	116	-116	0	2	-2
208	180	-180	0	0	0
236	244	-244	0	-2	2
264	360	-360	0	0	0
292	480	-480	0	0	0
320	676	-676	0	-2	2
348	896	-896	0	2	-2
376	1224	-1224	0	0	0
404	1588	-1588	0	-2	2
432	2128	-2128	0	1	-1
460	2736	-2736	0	0	0
488	3588	-3588	0	0	0
516	4576	-4576	0	4	-4
544	5904	-5904	0	0	0
572	7448	-7448	0	-4	4
600	9500	-9500	0	2	-2
628	11892	-11892	0	0	0
656	14992	-14992	0	-2	2
684	18628	-18628	0	4	-4
712	23256	-23256	0	0	0
740	28688	-28688	0	-4	4
768	35532	-35532	0	3	-3
796	43560	-43560	0	0	0
824	53528	-53528	0	-4	4
852	65256	-65256	0	6	-6
880	79656	-79656	0	0	0
908	96564	-96564	0	-6	6
936	117196	-117196	0	4	-4
964	141360	-141360	0	0	0
992	170600	-170600	0	-4	4
1020	204848	-204848	0	8	-8
1048	245988	-245988	0	0	0

Table 66:  $H_{g,5}^{(7)}$ ,  $X = A_6^4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4A	3AB	6AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8	3	3 4
3	2	2	2	-1	-1
31	6	6	-2	0	0
59	14	14	-2	2	2
87	22	22	-2	-2	-2
115	36	36	4	0	0
143	56	56	0	2	2
171	82	82	2	-2	-2
199	126	126	-2	0	0
227	182	182	6	2	2
255	250	250	-6	-2	-2
283	354	354	2	0	0
311	490	490	-6	4	4
339	656	656	8	-4	-4
367	882	882	-6	0	0
395	1180	1180	4	4	4
423	1550	1550	-10	-4	-4
451	2028	2028	12	0	0
479	2638	2638	-10	4	4
507	3394	3394	10	-5	-5
535	4362	4362	-14	0	0
563	5562	5562	18	6	6
591	7032	7032	-16	-6	-6
619	8886	8886	14	0	0
647	11166	11166	-18	6	6
675	13940	13940	28	-7	-7
703	17358	17358	-26	0	0
731	21536	21536	24	8	8
759	26594	26594	-30	-10	-10
787	32742	32742	38	0	0
815	40180	40180	-36	10	10
843	49124	49124	36	-10	-10
871	59916	59916	-44	0	0
899	72852	72852	52	12	12
927	88296	88296	-56	-12	-12
955	106788	106788	52	0	0
983	128816	128816	-64	14	14
1011	154948	154948	76	-14	-14

Table 67:  $H_{g,6}^{(7)}$ ,  $X = A_6^4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4A	3AB	6AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8	3	3 4
20	4	-4	0	-2	2
48	4	-4	0	1	-1
76	12	-12	0	0	0
104	12	-12	0	0	0
132	32	-32	0	2	-2
160	36	-36	0	0	0
188	64	-64	0	-2	2
216	80	-80	0	2	-2
244	132	-132	0	0	0
272	160	-160	0	-2	2
300	252	-252	0	3	-3
328	312	-312	0	0	0
356	448	-448	0	-2	2
384	572	-572	0	2	-2
412	792	-792	0	0	0
440	992	-992	0	-4	4
468	1348	-1348	0	4	-4
496	1680	-1680	0	0	0
524	2220	-2220	0	-6	6
552	2776	-2776	0	4	-4
580	3600	-3600	0	0	0
608	4460	-4460	0	-4	4
636	5712	-5712	0	6	-6
664	7044	-7044	0	0	0
692	8892	-8892	0	-6	6
720	10932	-10932	0	6	-6
748	13656	-13656	0	0	0
776	16672	-16672	0	-8	8
804	20672	-20672	0	8	-8
832	25116	-25116	0	0	0
860	30856	-30856	0	-8	8
888	37352	-37352	0	8	-8
916	45564	-45564	0	0	0
944	54884	-54884	0	-10	10
972	66572	-66572	0	11	-11
1000	79848	-79848	0	0	0
1028	96256	-96256	0	-14	14

C.8 Lambency 8

Table 68:  $H_{g,1}^{(8)}$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2BC	4A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 2'	2 1	2 4'
-1	-2	-2	-2	-2
31	2	2	-2	2
63	4	4	0	-4
95	8	8	0	0
127	12	12	0	-4
159	14	14	-2	6
191	28	28	0	-4
223	36	36	0	4
255	50	50	2	-6
287	70	70	-2	6
319	96	96	0	-8
351	126	126	-2	6
383	174	174	2	-10
415	220	220	-4	12
447	292	292	4	-12
479	380	380	0	12
511	490	490	2	-14
543	610	610	-6	18
575	790	790	2	-18
607	986	986	-2	18
639	1240	1240	4	-24
671	1546	1546	-6	26
703	1926	1926	6	-26
735	2364	2364	-4	28
767	2934	2934	6	-34
799	3574	3574	-10	38
831	4384	4384	8	-40
863	5334	5334	-6	38
895	6482	6482	10	-46
927	7814	7814	-14	54
959	9466	9466	10	-54
991	11362	11362	-10	58
1023	13646	13646	14	-66
1055	16312	16312	-16	72
1087	19498	19498	14	-78
1119	23178	23178	-14	82
1151	27598	27598	18	-90
1183	32662	32662	-22	102
1215	38678	38678	22	-106
1247	45646	45646	-18	110

Table 69:  $H_{g,2}^{(8)}$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2BC	4A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 2'	2 1	2 4'
28	4	-4	0	0
60	8	-8	0	0
92	12	-12	0	0
124	20	-20	0	0
156	32	-32	0	0
188	44	-44	0	0
220	64	-64	0	0
252	92	-92	0	0
284	124	-124	0	0
316	172	-172	0	0
348	232	-232	0	0
380	304	-304	0	0
412	404	-404	0	0
444	528	-528	0	0
476	680	-680	0	0
508	876	-876	0	0
540	1120	-1120	0	0
572	1416	-1416	0	0
604	1788	-1788	0	0
636	2248	-2248	0	0
668	2796	-2796	0	0
700	3476	-3476	0	0
732	4304	-4304	0	0
764	5292	-5292	0	0
796	6500	-6500	0	0
828	7948	-7948	0	0
860	9672	-9672	0	0
892	11748	-11748	0	0
924	14224	-14224	0	0
956	17156	-17156	0	0
988	20648	-20648	0	0
1020	24784	-24784	0	0
1052	29652	-29652	0	0
1084	35412	-35412	0	0
1116	42188	-42188	0	0
1148	50120	-50120	0	0
1180	59440	-59440	0	0
1212	70344	-70344	0	0
1244	83052	-83052	0	0
1276	97896	-97896	0	0

Table 70:  $H_{g,3}^{(8)}$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2BC	4A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 2'	2 1	2 4'
23	4	4	0	-4
55	10	10	2	2
87	14	14	-2	-2
119	26	26	2	2
151	36	36	0	-4
183	58	58	2	2
215	76	76	-4	-4
247	116	116	4	4
279	154	154	-2	-6
311	216	216	4	8
343	282	282	-6	-6
375	390	390	6	6
407	500	500	-4	-12
439	666	666	6	10
471	848	848	-8	-8
503	1108	1108	8	12
535	1400	1400	-8	-16
567	1798	1798	10	14
599	2240	2240	-12	-16
631	2842	2842	14	18
663	3524	3524	-12	-20
695	4406	4406	14	22
727	5418	5418	-18	-22
759	6722	6722	18	26
791	8206	8206	-18	-34
823	10082	10082	22	34
855	12238	12238	-26	-34
887	14918	14918	26	38
919	18012	18012	-24	-44
951	21806	21806	30	46
983	26176	26176	-36	-48
1015	31510	31510	38	54
1047	37650	37650	-38	-62
1079	45050	45050	42	66
1111	53582	53582	-50	-66
1143	63816	63816	52	72
1175	75578	75578	-50	-86
1207	89584	89584	56	88
1239	105694	105694	-66	-90

Table 71:  $H_{g,4}^{(8)}$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2BC	4A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 2'	2 1	2 4'
16	4	-4	0	0
48	8	-8	0	0
80	16	-16	0	0
112	24	-24	0	0
144	36	-36	0	0
176	56	-56	0	0
208	80	-80	0	0
240	112	-112	0	0
272	160	-160	0	0
304	216	-216	0	0
336	288	-288	0	0
368	392	-392	0	0
400	516	-516	0	0
432	672	-672	0	0
464	880	-880	0	0
496	1128	-1128	0	0
528	1440	-1440	0	0
560	1840	-1840	0	0
592	2320	-2320	0	0
624	2912	-2912	0	0
656	3648	-3648	0	0
688	4536	-4536	0	0
720	5616	-5616	0	0
752	6936	-6936	0	0
784	8516	-8516	0	0
816	10416	-10416	0	0
848	12720	-12720	0	0
880	15456	-15456	0	0
912	18720	-18720	0	0
944	22632	-22632	0	0
976	27248	-27248	0	0
1008	32728	-32728	0	0
1040	39232	-39232	0	0
1072	46872	-46872	0	0
1104	55872	-55872	0	0
1136	66472	-66472	0	0
1168	78880	-78880	0	0
1200	93400	-93400	0	0
1232	110400	-110400	0	0

Table 72:  $H_{g,5}^{(8)}$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2BC	4A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 2'	2 1	2 4'
7	2	2	-2	2
39	8	8	0	0
71	10	10	-2	2
103	22	22	2	-2
135	28	28	-4	4
167	48	48	4	0
199	64	64	-4	0
231	100	100	4	-4
263	126	126	-6	6
295	188	188	4	-4
327	244	244	-4	4
359	340	340	8	-4
391	430	430	-10	6
423	588	588	8	-4
455	742	742	-10	6
487	984	984	12	-8
519	1234	1234	-14	10
551	1598	1598	14	-10
583	1994	1994	-14	10
615	2546	2546	18	-14
647	3142	3142	-22	14
679	3964	3964	20	-12
711	4870	4870	-22	14
743	6064	6064	28	-16
775	7398	7398	-30	22
807	9132	9132	28	-20
839	11076	11076	-32	20
871	13558	13558	38	-26
903	16358	16358	-42	30
935	19868	19868	44	-28
967	23854	23854	-46	30
999	28788	28788	52	-36
1031	34384	34384	-60	40
1063	41256	41256	60	-40
1095	49090	49090	-62	42
1127	58566	58566	74	-50
1159	69382	69382	-82	54
1191	82394	82394	82	-54
1223	97234	97234	-90	58

Table 73:  $H_{g,6}^{(8)}$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2BC	4A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 2'	2 1	2 4'
28	4	-4	0	0
60	8	-8	0	0
92	12	-12	0	0
124	20	-20	0	0
156	32	-32	0	0
188	44	-44	0	0
220	64	-64	0	0
252	92	-92	0	0
284	124	-124	0	0
316	172	-172	0	0
348	232	-232	0	0
380	304	-304	0	0
412	404	-404	0	0
444	528	-528	0	0
476	680	-680	0	0
508	876	-876	0	0
540	1120	-1120	0	0
572	1416	-1416	0	0
604	1788	-1788	0	0
636	2248	-2248	0	0
668	2796	-2796	0	0
700	3476	-3476	0	0
732	4304	-4304	0	0
764	5292	-5292	0	0
796	6500	-6500	0	0
828	7948	-7948	0	0
860	9672	-9672	0	0
892	11748	-11748	0	0
924	14224	-14224	0	0
956	17156	-17156	0	0
988	20648	-20648	0	0
1020	24784	-24784	0	0
1052	29652	-29652	0	0
1084	35412	-35412	0	0
1116	42188	-42188	0	0
1148	50120	-50120	0	0
1180	59440	-59440	0	0
1212	70344	-70344	0	0
1244	83052	-83052	0	0

Table 74:  $H_{g,7}^{(8)}$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$ 

$[g]$	1A	2A	2BC	4A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 2'	2 1	2 4'
15	2	2	2	2
47	2	2	-2	2
79	8	8	4	0
111	6	6	-2	-2
143	18	18	2	2
175	16	16	-4	0
207	34	34	6	2
239	40	40	-4	0
271	66	66	6	2
303	72	72	-8	0
335	120	120	8	0
367	140	140	-8	-4
399	202	202	10	2
431	248	248	-12	0
463	346	346	14	2
495	412	412	-12	-4
527	566	566	14	6
559	678	678	-18	-2
591	900	900	20	4
623	1092	1092	-20	-4
655	1406	1406	22	6
687	1692	1692	-28	-4
719	2170	2170	30	2
751	2608	2608	-28	-8
783	3266	3266	34	10
815	3930	3930	-38	-6
847	4878	4878	42	6
879	5828	5828	-44	-12
911	7178	7178	46	10
943	8552	8552	-56	-8
975	10420	10420	60	12
1007	12404	12404	-60	-12
1039	14992	14992	68	16
1071	17756	17756	-76	-12
1103	21358	21358	82	14
1135	25212	25212	-84	-20
1167	30108	30108	92	20
1199	35464	35464	-104	-16
1231	42140	42140	112	20

C.9 Lambency 9

Table 75:  $H_{g,1}^{(9)}, X = A_8^3$

Table 76:  $H_{g,2}^{(9)}, X = A_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A	$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2	2 2	3 3	3 12	$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2	2 2	3 3	3 12
-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	32	4	-4	0	0	-2	2
35	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	6	-6	2	-2	0	0
71	4	4	0	0	-2	-2	104	8	-8	0	0	2	-2
107	4	4	0	0	-2	-2	140	16	-16	0	0	-2	2
143	6	6	-2	-2	0	0	176	18	-18	-2	2	0	0
179	12	12	0	0	0	0	212	30	-30	2	-2	0	0
215	16	16	0	0	-2	-2	248	40	-40	0	0	-2	2
251	20	20	0	0	2	2	284	54	-54	2	-2	0	0
287	30	30	-2	-2	0	0	320	74	-74	-2	2	2	-2
323	40	40	0	0	-2	-2	356	102	-102	2	-2	0	0
359	54	54	2	2	0	0	392	126	-126	-2	2	0	0
395	72	72	0	0	0	0	428	174	-174	2	-2	0	0
431	92	92	0	0	-4	-4	464	218	-218	-2	2	-4	4
467	116	116	0	0	2	2	500	284	-284	4	-4	2	-2
503	156	156	0	0	0	0	536	356	-356	-4	4	2	-2
539	196	196	0	0	-2	-2	572	460	-460	4	-4	-2	2
575	242	242	-2	-2	2	2	608	564	-564	-4	4	0	0
611	312	312	0	0	0	0	644	716	-716	4	-4	2	-2
647	388	388	0	0	-2	-2	680	884	-884	-4	4	-4	4
683	476	476	0	0	2	2	716	1098	-1098	6	-6	0	0
719	594	594	-2	-2	0	0	752	1342	-1342	-6	6	4	-4
755	728	728	0	0	-4	-4	788	1658	-1658	6	-6	-4	4
791	890	890	2	2	2	2	824	2000	-2000	-8	8	2	-2
827	1092	1092	0	0	0	0	860	2456	-2456	8	-8	2	-2
863	1322	1322	-2	-2	-4	-4	896	2960	-2960	-8	8	-4	4
899	1600	1600	0	0	4	4	932	3582	-3582	10	-10	0	0
935	1938	1938	2	2	0	0	968	4294	-4294	-10	10	4	-4
971	2324	2324	0	0	-4	-4	1004	5174	-5174	10	-10	-4	4
1007	2782	2782	-2	-2	4	4	1040	6156	-6156	-12	12	0	0
1043	3336	3336	0	0	0	0	1076	7378	-7378	14	-14	4	-4
1079	3978	3978	2	2	-6	-6	1112	8748	-8748	-12	12	-6	6
1115	4720	4720	0	0	4	4	1148	10400	-10400	16	-16	2	-2
1151	5610	5610	-2	-2	0	0	1184	12280	-12280	-16	16	4	-4
1187	6636	6636	0	0	-6	-6	1220	14544	-14544	16	-16	-6	6
1223	7830	7830	2	2	6	6	1256	17084	-17084	-20	20	2	-2
1259	9236	9236	0	0	2	2	1292	20140	-20140	20	-20	4	-4
1295	10846	10846	-2	-2	-8	-8	1328	23590	-23590	-22	22	-8	8
1331	12720	12720	0	0	6	6	1364	27656	-27656	24	-24	2	-2

Table 77:  $H_{g,3}^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2	2 2	3 3	3 12
27	4	4	0	0	0	0
63	6	6	-2	-2	0	0
99	12	12	0	0	0	0
135	18	18	2	2	0	0
171	24	24	0	0	0	0
207	36	36	0	0	0	0
243	52	52	0	0	0	0
279	72	72	0	0	0	0
315	96	96	0	0	0	0
351	126	126	-2	-2	0	0
387	168	168	0	0	0	0
423	222	222	2	2	0	0
459	288	288	0	0	0	0
495	366	366	-2	-2	0	0
531	468	468	0	0	0	0
567	594	594	2	2	0	0
603	744	744	0	0	0	0
639	930	930	-2	-2	0	0
675	1156	1156	0	0	0	0
711	1434	1434	2	2	0	0
747	1764	1764	0	0	0	0
783	2160	2160	0	0	0	0
819	2640	2640	0	0	0	0
855	3210	3210	2	2	0	0
891	3888	3888	0	0	0	0
927	4692	4692	-4	-4	0	0
963	5652	5652	0	0	0	0
999	6786	6786	2	2	0	0
1035	8112	8112	0	0	0	0
1071	9678	9678	-2	-2	0	0
1107	11520	11520	0	0	0	0
1143	13674	13674	2	2	0	0
1179	16188	16188	0	0	0	0
1215	19116	19116	-4	-4	0	0
1251	22536	22536	0	0	0	0
1287	26508	26508	4	4	0	0
1323	31108	31108	0	0	0	0
1359	36438	36438	-2	-2	0	0

Table 78:  $H_{g,4}^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2	2 2	3 3	3 12
20	2	-2	-2	2	2	-2
56	8	-8	0	0	2	-2
92	10	-10	-2	2	-2	2
128	18	-18	2	-2	0	0
164	26	-26	-2	2	2	-2
200	42	-42	2	-2	0	0
236	50	-50	-2	2	2	-2
272	78	-78	2	-2	0	0
308	100	-100	-4	4	-2	2
344	140	-140	4	-4	2	-2
380	180	-180	-4	4	0	0
416	244	-244	4	-4	-2	2
452	302	-302	-6	6	2	-2
488	404	-404	4	-4	2	-2
524	502	-502	-6	6	-2	2
560	648	-648	8	-8	0	0
596	806	-806	-6	6	2	-2
632	1024	-1024	8	-8	-2	2
668	1250	-1250	-10	10	2	-2
704	1574	-1574	10	-10	2	-2
740	1916	-1916	-12	12	-4	4
776	2372	-2372	12	-12	2	-2
812	2876	-2876	-12	12	2	-2
848	3530	-3530	14	-14	-4	4
884	4240	-4240	-16	16	4	-4
920	5168	-5168	16	-16	2	-2
956	6186	-6186	-18	18	-6	6
992	7460	-7460	20	-20	2	-2
1028	8894	-8894	-22	22	2	-2
1064	10664	-10664	24	-24	-4	4
1100	12634	-12634	-26	26	4	-4
1136	15070	-15070	26	-26	4	-4
1172	17790	-17790	-30	30	-6	6
1208	21080	-21080	32	-32	2	-2
1244	24794	-24794	-34	34	2	-2
1280	29250	-29250	38	-38	-6	6
1316	34248	-34248	-40	40	6	-6
1352	40234	-40234	42	-42	4	-4



Table 79:  $H_{g,5}^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2	2 2	3 3	3 12
11	4	4	0	0	-2	-2
47	6	6	2	2	0	0
83	12	12	0	0	0	0
119	16	16	0	0	-2	-2
155	24	24	0	0	0	0
191	36	36	0	0	0	0
227	52	52	0	0	-2	-2
263	68	68	0	0	2	2
299	96	96	0	0	0	0
335	130	130	2	2	-2	-2
371	168	168	0	0	0	0
407	224	224	0	0	2	2
443	292	292	0	0	-2	-2
479	372	372	0	0	0	0
515	480	480	0	0	0	0
551	608	608	0	0	-4	-4
587	764	764	0	0	2	2
623	962	962	2	2	2	2
659	1196	1196	0	0	-4	-4
695	1478	1478	-2	-2	2	2
731	1832	1832	0	0	2	2
767	2248	2248	0	0	-2	-2
803	2744	2744	0	0	2	2
839	3348	3348	0	0	0	0
875	4064	4064	0	0	-4	-4
911	4910	4910	2	2	2	2
947	5924	5924	0	0	2	2
983	7116	7116	0	0	-6	-6
1019	8516	8516	0	0	2	2
1055	10184	10184	0	0	2	2
1091	12132	12132	0	0	-6	-6
1127	14404	14404	0	0	4	4
1163	17084	17084	0	0	2	2
1199	20202	20202	2	2	-6	-6
1235	23824	23824	0	0	4	4
1271	28054	28054	-2	-2	4	4
1307	32956	32956	0	0	-8	-8
1343	38626	38626	2	2	4	4

Table 80:  $H_{g,6}^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2	2 2	3 3	3 12
0	1	-1	-1	1	0	0
36	6	-6	2	-2	0	0
72	6	-6	-2	2	0	0
108	14	-14	2	-2	0	0
144	18	-18	-2	2	0	0
180	30	-30	2	-2	0	0
216	36	-36	-4	4	0	0
252	60	-60	4	-4	0	0
288	72	-72	-4	4	0	0
324	108	-108	4	-4	0	0
360	132	-132	-4	4	0	0
396	186	-186	6	-6	0	0
432	230	-230	-6	6	0	0
468	312	-312	8	-8	0	0
504	384	-384	-8	8	0	0
540	504	-504	8	-8	0	0
576	618	-618	-10	10	0	0
612	798	-798	10	-10	0	0
648	972	-972	-12	12	0	0
684	1236	-1236	12	-12	0	0
720	1494	-1494	-14	14	0	0
756	1872	-1872	16	-16	0	0
792	2256	-2256	-16	16	0	0
828	2790	-2790	18	-18	0	0
864	3348	-3348	-20	20	0	0
900	4098	-4098	22	-22	0	0
936	4896	-4896	-24	24	0	0
972	5942	-5942	26	-26	0	0
1008	7068	-7068	-28	28	0	0
1044	8514	-8514	30	-30	0	0
1080	10080	-10080	-32	32	0	0
1116	12060	-12060	36	-36	0	0
1152	14226	-14226	-38	38	0	0
1188	16920	-16920	40	-40	0	0
1224	19884	-19884	-44	44	0	0
1260	23520	-23520	48	-48	0	0
1296	27540	-27540	-52	52	0	0
1332	32424	-32424	56	-56	0	0

Table 81:  $H_{g,7}^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2	2 2	3 3	3 12
23	2	2	-2	-2	2	2
59	4	4	0	0	-2	-2
95	8	8	0	0	2	2
131	12	12	0	0	0	0
167	18	18	-2	-2	0	0
203	24	24	0	0	0	0
239	38	38	2	2	2	2
275	52	52	0	0	-2	-2
311	66	66	-2	-2	0	0
347	92	92	0	0	2	2
383	124	124	0	0	-2	-2
419	156	156	0	0	0	0
455	206	206	-2	-2	2	2
491	268	268	0	0	-2	-2
527	338	338	2	2	2	2
563	428	428	0	0	2	2
599	538	538	-2	-2	-2	-2
635	672	672	0	0	0	0
671	842	842	2	2	2	2
707	1040	1040	0	0	-4	-4
743	1274	1274	-2	-2	2	2
779	1568	1568	0	0	2	2
815	1922	1922	2	2	-4	-4
851	2328	2328	0	0	0	0
887	2824	2824	-4	-4	4	4
923	3416	3416	0	0	-4	-4
959	4106	4106	2	2	2	2
995	4936	4936	0	0	4	4
1031	5906	5906	-2	-2	-4	-4
1067	7040	7040	0	0	2	2
1103	8392	8392	4	4	4	4
1139	9960	9960	0	0	-6	-6
1175	11784	11784	-4	-4	0	0
1211	13936	13936	0	0	4	4
1247	16434	16434	2	2	-6	-6
1283	19316	19316	0	0	2	2
1319	22680	22680	-4	-4	6	6

Table 82:  $H_{g,8}^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	2C	3A	6A
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2	2 2	3 3	3 12
8	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
44	2	-2	-2	2	2	-2
80	6	-6	2	-2	0	0
116	2	-2	-2	2	2	-2
152	12	-12	4	-4	0	0
188	10	-10	-2	2	-2	2
224	20	-20	4	-4	2	-2
260	20	-20	-4	4	2	-2
296	36	-36	4	-4	0	0
332	38	-38	-6	6	2	-2
368	66	-66	6	-6	0	0
404	70	-70	-6	6	-2	2
440	104	-104	8	-8	2	-2
476	120	-120	-8	8	0	0
512	172	-172	8	-8	-2	2
548	194	-194	-10	10	2	-2
584	276	-276	12	-12	0	0
620	316	-316	-12	12	-2	2
656	418	-418	14	-14	4	-4
692	494	-494	-14	14	2	-2
728	640	-640	16	-16	-2	2
764	746	-746	-18	18	2	-2
800	960	-960	20	-20	0	0
836	1124	-1124	-20	20	-4	4
872	1408	-1408	24	-24	4	-4
908	1658	-1658	-26	26	2	-2
944	2054	-2054	26	-26	-4	4
980	2398	-2398	-30	30	4	-4
1016	2952	-2952	32	-32	0	0
1052	3450	-3450	-34	34	-6	6
1088	4186	-4186	38	-38	4	-4
1124	4898	-4898	-42	42	2	-2
1160	5892	-5892	44	-44	-6	6
1196	6864	-6864	-48	48	6	-6
1232	8220	-8220	52	-52	0	0
1268	9558	-9558	-54	54	-6	6
1304	11348	-11348	60	-60	8	-8

**C.10 Lambency 10**

Table 83:  $H_{g,1}^{(10)}, X = A_9^2 D_6$

Table 84:  $H_{g,2}^{(10)}, X = A_9^2 D_6$

Table 85:  $H_{g,3}^{(10)}, X = A_9^2 D_6$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB	$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB	$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 2'	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 2'	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 2'
-1	-2	-2	-2	36	2	-2	0	31	2	2	-2
39	2	2	2	76	4	-4	0	71	6	6	2
79	2	2	-2	116	8	-8	0	111	8	8	0
119	2	2	2	156	8	-8	0	151	14	14	2
159	6	6	-2	196	14	-14	0	191	16	16	-4
199	8	8	4	236	20	-20	0	231	26	26	2
239	10	10	-2	276	24	-24	0	271	34	34	-2
279	14	14	2	316	36	-36	0	311	48	48	4
319	20	20	-4	356	48	-48	0	351	60	60	-4
359	24	24	4	396	60	-60	0	391	84	84	4
399	34	34	-6	436	80	-80	0	431	104	104	-4
439	40	40	4	476	104	-104	0	471	140	140	4
479	54	54	-6	516	128	-128	0	511	170	170	-6
519	72	72	8	556	164	-164	0	551	222	222	6
559	90	90	-6	596	208	-208	0	591	274	274	-6
599	106	106	6	636	256	-256	0	631	348	348	8
639	140	140	-8	676	318	-318	0	671	422	422	-10
679	170	170	10	716	396	-396	0	711	532	532	8
719	210	210	-10	756	480	-480	0	751	644	644	-8
759	258	258	10	796	588	-588	0	791	796	796	12
799	316	316	-12	836	720	-720	0	831	956	956	-12
839	378	378	14	876	864	-864	0	871	1172	1172	12
879	466	466	-14	916	1048	-1048	0	911	1400	1400	-12
919	554	554	14	956	1260	-1260	0	951	1702	1702	14
959	672	672	-16	996	1504	-1504	0	991	2018	2018	-18
999	804	804	20	1036	1800	-1800	0	1031	2430	2430	18
1039	960	960	-20	1076	2144	-2144	0	1071	2880	2880	-16
1079	1132	1132	20	1116	2540	-2540	0	1111	3436	3436	20
1119	1362	1362	-22	1156	3010	-3010	0	1151	4044	4044	-24
1159	1602	1602	26	1196	3560	-3560	0	1191	4806	4806	22
1199	1894	1894	-26	1236	4184	-4184	0	1231	5636	5636	-24
1239	2226	2226	26	1276	4920	-4920	0	1271	6652	6652	28
1279	2622	2622	-30	1316	5776	-5776	0	1311	7770	7770	-30
1319	3062	3062	34	1356	6744	-6744	0	1351	9128	9128	32
1359	3600	3600	-36	1396	7880	-7880	0	1391	10624	10624	-32
1399	4184	4184	36	1436	9188	-9188	0	1431	12420	12420	36
1439	4884	4884	-40	1476	10672	-10672	0	1471	14404	14404	-40
1479	5678	5678	46	1516	12396	-12396	0	1511	16764	16764	40
1519	6598	6598	-46	1556	14368	-14368	0	1551	19390	19390	-42
1559	7618	7618	46	1596	16608	-16608	0	1591	22480	22480	48

Table 86:  $H_{g,4}^{(10)}, X = A_9^2D_6$

Table 87:  $H_{g,5}^{(10)}, X = A_9^2D_6$

Table 88:  $H_{g,6}^{(10)}, X = A_9^2D_6$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB	$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB	$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 2'	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 2'	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 2'
24	4	-4	0	15	2	2	2	4	2	-2	0
64	6	-6	0	55	6	6	-2	44	4	-4	0
104	8	-8	0	95	8	8	0	84	8	-8	0
144	14	-14	0	135	14	14	-2	124	12	-12	0
184	20	-20	0	175	18	18	2	164	16	-16	0
224	28	-28	0	215	30	30	-2	204	24	-24	0
264	40	-40	0	255	34	34	2	244	32	-32	0
304	52	-52	0	295	54	54	-2	284	44	-44	0
344	68	-68	0	335	68	68	4	324	62	-62	0
384	92	-92	0	375	92	92	-4	364	80	-80	0
424	120	-120	0	415	114	114	2	404	104	-104	0
464	152	-152	0	455	158	158	-2	444	136	-136	0
504	196	-196	0	495	190	190	6	484	174	-174	0
544	248	-248	0	535	252	252	-4	524	220	-220	0
584	312	-312	0	575	308	308	4	564	280	-280	0
624	392	-392	0	615	394	394	-6	604	348	-348	0
664	484	-484	0	655	480	480	8	644	432	-432	0
704	596	-596	0	695	608	608	-8	684	540	-540	0
744	736	-736	0	735	726	726	6	724	664	-664	0
784	898	-898	0	775	912	912	-8	764	812	-812	0
824	1092	-1092	0	815	1096	1096	8	804	992	-992	0
864	1328	-1328	0	855	1342	1342	-10	844	1204	-1204	0
904	1600	-1600	0	895	1602	1602	10	884	1456	-1456	0
944	1924	-1924	0	935	1958	1958	-10	924	1760	-1760	0
984	2312	-2312	0	975	2318	2318	14	964	2112	-2112	0
1024	2762	-2762	0	1015	2802	2802	-14	1004	2524	-2524	0
1064	3288	-3288	0	1055	3316	3316	12	1044	3016	-3016	0
1104	3912	-3912	0	1095	3968	3968	-16	1084	3588	-3588	0
1144	4632	-4632	0	1135	4674	4674	18	1124	4256	-4256	0
1184	5472	-5472	0	1175	5566	5566	-18	1164	5040	-5040	0
1224	6456	-6456	0	1215	6514	6514	18	1204	5944	-5944	0
1264	7588	-7588	0	1255	7716	7716	-20	1244	6996	-6996	0
1304	8900	-8900	0	1295	9016	9016	24	1284	8224	-8224	0
1344	10424	-10424	0	1335	10598	10598	-26	1324	9636	-9636	0
1384	12176	-12176	0	1375	12336	12336	24	1364	11264	-11264	0
1424	14192	-14192	0	1415	14454	14454	-26	1404	13152	-13152	0
1464	16520	-16520	0	1455	16752	16752	32	1444	15314	-15314	0
1504	19180	-19180	0	1495	19536	19536	-32	1484	17800	-17800	0
1544	22232	-22232	0	1535	22592	22592	32	1524	20664	-20664	0
1584	25740	-25740	0	1575	26220	26220	-36	1564	23928	-23928	0

Table 89:  $H_{g,7}^{(10)}$ ,  $X = A_9^2 D_6$

Table 90:  $H_{g,8}^{(10)}$ ,  $X = A_9^2 D_6$

Table 91:  $H_{g,9}^{(10)}$ ,  $X = A_9^2 D_6$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB	$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB	$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 2'	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 2'	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 1'	2 2'
31	4	4	0	16	2	-2	0	39	0	0	0
71	4	4	0	56	4	-4	0	79	4	4	0
111	10	10	2	96	4	-4	0	119	2	2	2
151	10	10	-2	136	8	-8	0	159	8	8	0
191	20	20	0	176	12	-12	0	199	4	4	0
231	24	24	0	216	16	-16	0	239	12	12	0
271	38	38	2	256	22	-22	0	279	12	12	0
311	42	42	-2	296	32	-32	0	319	22	22	-2
351	66	66	2	336	40	-40	0	359	20	20	0
391	78	78	-2	376	52	-52	0	399	40	40	0
431	110	110	2	416	72	-72	0	439	38	38	2
471	132	132	-4	456	88	-88	0	479	58	58	-2
511	178	178	2	496	116	-116	0	519	64	64	0
551	214	214	-2	536	148	-148	0	559	96	96	0
591	284	284	4	576	182	-182	0	599	102	102	2
631	336	336	-4	616	232	-232	0	639	146	146	-2
671	436	436	4	656	288	-288	0	679	160	160	0
711	520	520	-4	696	352	-352	0	719	218	218	-2
751	658	658	6	736	436	-436	0	759	250	250	2
791	778	778	-6	776	536	-536	0	799	326	326	-2
831	972	972	4	816	648	-648	0	839	366	366	2
871	1156	1156	-4	856	788	-788	0	879	480	480	0
911	1420	1420	8	896	956	-956	0	919	544	544	4
951	1678	1678	-10	936	1144	-1144	0	959	684	684	-4
991	2044	2044	8	976	1376	-1376	0	999	786	786	2
1031	2404	2404	-8	1016	1652	-1652	0	1039	978	978	-2
1071	2906	2906	10	1056	1960	-1960	0	1079	1118	1118	6
1111	3404	3404	-12	1096	2336	-2336	0	1119	1380	1380	-4
1151	4078	4078	10	1136	2772	-2772	0	1159	1578	1578	2
1191	4774	4774	-10	1176	3268	-3268	0	1199	1916	1916	-4
1231	5674	5674	14	1216	3860	-3860	0	1239	2204	2204	4
1271	6610	6610	-14	1256	4544	-4544	0	1279	2646	2646	-6
1311	7814	7814	14	1296	5326	-5326	0	1319	3032	3032	4
1351	9082	9082	-14	1336	6244	-6244	0	1359	3632	3632	-4
1391	10674	10674	18	1376	7300	-7300	0	1399	4156	4156	8
1431	12364	12364	-20	1416	8504	-8504	0	1439	4916	4916	-8
1471	14462	14462	18	1456	9904	-9904	0	1479	5636	5636	4
1511	16704	16704	-20	1496	11512	-11512	0	1519	6638	6638	-6

C.11 Lambency 10+5

Table 92:  $H_{g,1}^{(10+5)}$ ,  $X = D_6^4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A	2B	4A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 2	3 1	2 1'	4 4'
-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
39	2	2	2	2	2
79	6	-2	0	-2	2
119	4	4	-2	0	0
159	14	-2	2	-2	-2
199	12	4	0	4	0
239	22	-2	-2	-2	2
279	26	2	2	2	-2
319	42	-6	0	-2	-2
359	44	4	-4	4	0
399	74	-6	2	-6	2
439	78	6	0	2	-2
479	112	-8	-2	-4	0
519	136	8	4	8	0
559	186	-6	0	-6	2
599	208	8	-2	4	0
639	286	-10	4	-6	-2
679	330	10	0	10	2
719	428	-12	-4	-8	4
759	508	12	4	8	0
799	642	-14	0	-10	-2
839	744	16	-6	12	0
879	946	-14	4	-14	2
919	1098	18	0	10	-2
959	1356	-20	-6	-12	-4
999	1590	22	6	18	2
1039	1938	-22	0	-18	2
1079	2250	26	-6	14	-2
1119	2742	-26	6	-18	-2
1159	3180	28	0	24	0
1199	3810	-30	-6	-22	2
1239	4430	30	8	22	-2
1279	5268	-36	0	-24	-4
1319	6094	38	-8	30	2
1359	7232	-40	8	-32	4
1399	8340	44	0	28	0
1439	9800	-48	-10	-32	-4
1479	11314	50	10	42	2
1519	13236	-52	0	-40	4
1559	15200	56	-10	36	0

Table 93:  $H_{g,3}^{(10+5)}$ ,  $X = D_6^4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A	2B	4A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 2	3 1	2 1'	4 4'
31	6	-2	0	-2	2
71	10	2	-2	2	-2
111	18	2	0	-2	-2
151	24	0	0	4	0
191	36	-4	0	-4	0
231	50	2	2	2	2
271	72	0	0	-4	0
311	90	2	0	6	2
351	126	-2	0	-6	2
391	162	2	0	6	-2
431	214	-2	-2	-6	-2
471	272	0	2	8	0
511	348	-4	0	-8	0
551	436	4	-2	8	0
591	558	-2	0	-10	-2
631	684	4	0	12	0
671	858	-6	0	-14	2
711	1052	4	2	12	0
751	1302	-2	0	-14	-2
791	1574	6	-4	18	2
831	1928	-8	2	-16	0
871	2328	8	0	16	0
911	2820	-4	0	-20	0
951	3380	4	2	24	0
991	4062	-10	0	-26	2
1031	4834	10	-2	26	-2
1071	5786	-6	2	-26	-2
1111	6840	8	0	32	0
1151	8122	-14	-2	-34	2
1191	9580	12	4	32	0
1231	11310	-10	0	-38	-2
1271	13262	14	-4	42	2
1311	15584	-16	2	-44	4
1351	18210	18	0	46	-2
1391	21298	-14	-2	-50	-2
1431	24784	16	4	56	0
1471	28866	-22	0	-58	2
1511	33468	20	-6	60	0
1551	38846	-18	2	-66	-2
1591	44886	22	0	74	2

Table 94:  $H_{g,5}^{(10+5)}$ ,  $X = D_6^4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A	2B	4A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 2	3 1	2 1'	4 4'
15	4	4	-2	0	0
55	12	-4	0	0	0
95	16	0	4	0	0
135	28	-4	-2	0	0
175	36	4	0	0	0
215	60	-4	0	0	0
255	68	4	-4	0	0
295	108	-4	0	0	0
335	136	8	4	0	0
375	184	-8	-2	0	0
415	228	4	0	0	0
455	316	-4	4	0	0
495	380	12	-4	0	0
535	504	-8	0	0	0
575	616	8	4	0	0
615	788	-12	-4	0	0
655	960	16	0	0	0
695	1216	-16	4	0	0
735	1452	12	-6	0	0
775	1824	-16	0	0	0
815	2192	16	8	0	0
855	2684	-20	-4	0	0
895	3204	20	0	0	0
935	3916	-20	4	0	0
975	4636	28	-8	0	0
1015	5604	-28	0	0	0
1055	6632	24	8	0	0
1095	7936	-32	-8	0	0
1135	9348	36	0	0	0
1175	11132	-36	8	0	0
1215	13028	36	-10	0	0
1255	15432	-40	0	0	0
1295	18032	48	8	0	0
1335	21196	-52	-8	0	0
1375	24672	48	0	0	0
1415	28908	-52	12	0	0
1455	33504	64	-12	0	0
1495	39072	-64	0	0	0
1535	45184	64	16	0	0
1575	52440	-72	-12	0	0

C.12 Lambency 12

Table 95:  $H_{1A}^{(12)}, X = A_{11}D_7E_6$

$4k\ell, r$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	-2										
48	0	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
96	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	0	0
144	2	4	4	6	6	6	4	4	2	2	0
192	2	6	8	8	10	8	8	6	6	2	2
240	4	6	10	12	10	12	10	8	4	4	0
288	6	10	14	16	18	16	16	12	10	6	4
336	6	14	16	20	22	22	16	16	10	6	2
384	10	16	24	28	30	28	28	20	18	10	6
432	10	22	30	36	36	36	32	28	18	14	4
480	14	28	40	44	50	48	44	36	28	16	10
528	20	34	46	58	58	60	52	44	32	22	6
576	24	44	62	72	80	76	72	58	46	28	18
624	26	56	74	88	94	94	82	72	50	34	14
672	36	66	94	112	120	116	110	88	72	44	22
720	44	82	112	136	144	144	128	112	80	56	22
768	52	102	142	164	182	176	164	136	108	66	36
816	64	120	168	202	210	216	194	164	120	82	34
864	78	148	206	244	266	260	244	202	158	102	54
912	90	178	242	292	314	312	280	244	182	120	52
960	114	210	298	352	382	376	352	292	230	148	76
1008	130	254	348	420	448	450	410	352	262	178	80
1056	158	302	420	496	544	536	498	420	328	210	108
1104	188	354	490	592	632	636	580	496	376	254	110
1152	220	420	588	700	762	752	704	592	464	302	154
1200	254	496	686	820	884	888	810	700	528	354	164
1248	306	578	812	968	1050	1044	974	820	642	420	210
1296	356	680	940	1132	1220	1224	1124	968	736	496	230
1344	414	796	1108	1320	1442	1432	1334	1132	886	578	292
1392	482	922	1282	1544	1658	1668	1540	1320	1010	680	314
1440	564	1074	1502	1792	1954	1944	1814	1544	1204	796	398
1488	646	1248	1726	2076	2250	2258	2078	1792	1374	922	434
1536	758	1438	2014	2408	2620	2616	2442	2076	1626	1074	534



Table 96:  $H_{2A}^{(12)}$ ,  $X = A_{11}D_7E_6$

$4k\ell, r$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	-2										
48	0	-2	2	-2	2	-2	0	0	0	0	0
96	2	-2	4	-4	4	-4	4	-2	2	0	0
144	2	-4	4	-6	6	-6	4	-4	2	-2	0
192	2	-6	8	-8	10	-8	8	-6	6	-2	2
240	4	-6	10	-12	10	-12	10	-8	4	-4	0
288	6	-10	14	-16	18	-16	16	-12	10	-6	4
336	6	-14	16	-20	22	-22	16	-16	10	-6	2
384	10	-16	24	-28	30	-28	28	-20	18	-10	6
432	10	-22	30	-36	36	-36	32	-28	18	-14	4
480	14	-28	40	-44	50	-48	44	-36	28	-16	10
528	20	-34	46	-58	58	-60	52	-44	32	-22	6
576	24	-44	62	-72	80	-76	72	-58	46	-28	18
624	26	-56	74	-88	94	-94	82	-72	50	-34	14
672	36	-66	94	-112	120	-116	110	-88	72	-44	22
720	44	-82	112	-136	144	-144	128	-112	80	-56	22
768	52	-102	142	-164	182	-176	164	-136	108	-66	36
816	64	-120	168	-202	210	-216	194	-164	120	-82	34
864	78	-148	206	-244	266	-260	244	-202	158	-102	54
912	90	-178	242	-292	314	-312	280	-244	182	-120	52
960	114	-210	298	-352	382	-376	352	-292	230	-148	76
1008	130	-254	348	-420	448	-450	410	-352	262	-178	80
1056	158	-302	420	-496	544	-536	498	-420	328	-210	108
1104	188	-354	490	-592	632	-636	580	-496	376	-254	110
1152	220	-420	588	-700	762	-752	704	-592	464	-302	154
1200	254	-496	686	-820	884	-888	810	-700	528	-354	164
1248	306	-578	812	-968	1050	-1044	974	-820	642	-420	210
1296	356	-680	940	-1132	1220	-1224	1124	-968	736	-496	230
1344	414	-796	1108	-1320	1442	-1432	1334	-1132	886	-578	292
1392	482	-922	1282	-1544	1658	-1668	1540	-1320	1010	-680	314
1440	564	-1074	1502	-1792	1954	-1944	1814	-1544	1204	-796	398
1488	646	-1248	1726	-2076	2250	-2258	2078	-1792	1374	-922	434
1536	758	-1438	2014	-2408	2620	-2616	2442	-2076	1626	-1074	534

C.13 Lambency 12+4

Table 97: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,1}^{(12+4)} = H_{g,1}^X$  for  $X = E_6^4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	3A	6A	8AB
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 2'	2 1	2 4'	3 1	3 2'	4 8'
-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
47	4	4	0	4	-2	-2	0
95	6	6	-2	-2	0	0	2
143	10	10	2	2	-2	-2	-2
191	12	12	0	-4	0	0	0
239	20	20	0	4	2	2	0
287	22	22	-2	-2	-2	-2	2
335	34	34	2	2	-2	-2	2
383	42	42	-2	-6	0	0	-2
431	54	54	2	6	0	0	2
479	66	66	-2	-6	0	0	2
527	92	92	4	4	2	2	0
575	106	106	-2	-6	-2	-2	-2
623	136	136	0	8	-2	-2	0
671	164	164	-4	-4	2	2	0
719	208	208	4	8	-2	-2	0
767	246	246	-2	-10	0	0	-2
815	308	308	4	12	2	2	0
863	358	358	-6	-10	-2	-2	2
911	442	442	6	10	-2	-2	-2
959	524	524	-4	-12	2	2	0
1007	628	628	4	12	-2	-2	0
1055	738	738	-6	-14	0	0	2
1103	892	892	8	12	4	4	0
1151	1030	1030	-6	-18	-2	-2	-2
1199	1228	1228	4	20	-2	-2	0
1247	1430	1430	-10	-18	2	2	2
1295	1690	1690	10	18	-2	-2	-2
1343	1954	1954	-6	-22	-2	-2	-2
1391	2296	2296	8	24	4	4	0
1439	2642	2642	-10	-22	-4	-4	2
1487	3088	3088	12	24	-2	-2	0
1535	3556	3556	-12	-28	4	4	-4
1583	4120	4120	12	32	-2	-2	0
1631	4722	4722	-14	-30	0	0	2
1679	5472	5472	16	32	6	6	0
1727	6242	6242	-14	-38	-4	-4	-2
1775	7184	7184	12	40	-4	-4	0
1823	8194	8194	-18	-38	4	4	2
1871	9392	9392	20	40	-4	-4	0
1919	10680	10680	-16	-48	0	0	-4

Table 98: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,4}^{(12+4)} = H_{g,4}^X$  for  $X = E_6^4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	3A	6A	8AB
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 2'	2 1	2 4'	3 1	3 2'	4 8'
32	4	-4	0	0	-2	2	0
80	8	-8	0	0	2	-2	0
128	12	-12	0	0	0	0	0
176	16	-16	0	0	-2	2	0
224	24	-24	0	0	0	0	0
272	32	-32	0	0	2	-2	0
320	40	-40	0	0	-2	2	0
368	56	-56	0	0	2	-2	0
416	72	-72	0	0	0	0	0
464	88	-88	0	0	-2	2	0
512	116	-116	0	0	2	-2	0
560	144	-144	0	0	0	0	0
608	176	-176	0	0	-4	4	0
656	224	-224	0	0	2	-2	0
704	272	-272	0	0	2	-2	0
752	328	-328	0	0	-2	2	0
800	404	-404	0	0	2	-2	0
848	488	-488	0	0	2	-2	0
896	584	-584	0	0	-4	4	0
944	704	-704	0	0	2	-2	0
992	840	-840	0	0	0	0	0
1040	992	-992	0	0	-4	4	0
1088	1184	-1184	0	0	2	-2	0
1136	1400	-1400	0	0	2	-2	0
1184	1640	-1640	0	0	-4	4	0
1232	1936	-1936	0	0	4	-4	0
1280	2264	-2264	0	0	2	-2	0
1328	2640	-2640	0	0	-6	6	0
1376	3088	-3088	0	0	4	-4	0
1424	3584	-3584	0	0	2	-2	0
1472	4152	-4152	0	0	-6	6	0
1520	4816	-4816	0	0	4	-4	0
1568	5564	-5564	0	0	2	-2	0
1616	6408	-6408	0	0	-6	6	0
1664	7384	-7384	0	0	4	-4	0
1712	8480	-8480	0	0	2	-2	0
1760	9712	-9712	0	0	-8	8	0
1808	11136	-11136	0	0	6	-6	0
1856	12728	-12728	0	0	2	-2	0
1904	14512	-14512	0	0	-8	8	0

Table 99: McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,5}^{(12+4)} = H_{g,5}^X$  for  $X = E_6^4$

$[g]$	1A	2A	2B	4A	3A	6A	8AB
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	1 2'	2 1	2 4'	3 1	3 2'	4 8'
23	2	2	-2	2	2	2	-2
71	6	6	2	-2	0	0	-2
119	6	6	-2	-2	0	0	2
167	14	14	2	-2	2	2	2
215	12	12	-4	4	0	0	0
263	24	24	4	0	0	0	0
311	26	26	-2	2	2	2	2
359	40	40	4	0	-2	-2	0
407	42	42	-6	2	0	0	-2
455	68	68	4	-4	2	2	0
503	72	72	-4	0	0	0	0
551	102	102	6	-2	0	0	2
599	116	116	-8	4	2	2	0
647	156	156	8	-4	0	0	0
695	178	178	-6	2	-2	-2	2
743	236	236	8	-4	2	2	0
791	262	262	-10	6	-2	-2	-2
839	342	342	10	-2	0	0	-2
887	394	394	-10	2	4	4	2
935	490	490	10	-6	-2	-2	2
983	558	558	-14	6	0	0	-2
1031	698	698	14	-6	2	2	-2
1079	796	796	-12	4	-2	-2	0
1127	972	972	16	-4	0	0	0
1175	1114	1114	-18	10	4	4	-2
1223	1342	1342	18	-10	-2	-2	-2
1271	1534	1534	-18	6	-2	-2	2
1319	1840	1840	20	-8	4	4	0
1367	2092	2092	-24	12	-2	-2	0
1415	2488	2488	24	-8	-2	-2	0
1463	2842	2842	-22	10	4	4	2
1511	3334	3334	26	-10	-2	-2	2
1559	3796	3796	-32	12	-2	-2	-4
1607	4450	4450	30	-14	4	4	-2
1655	5050	5050	-30	10	-2	-2	2
1703	5874	5874	34	-14	0	0	2
1751	6666	6666	-38	18	6	6	-2
1799	7712	7712	40	-16	-4	-4	0
1847	8734	8734	-38	14	-2	-2	2
1895	10068	10068	44	-20	6	6	0

C.14 Lambency 13

Table 100:  $H_{g,1}^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8
-1	-2	-2	-2
51	2	2	2
103	2	2	-2
155	0	0	0
207	2	2	-2
259	2	2	2
311	4	4	0
363	6	6	2
415	6	6	-2
467	8	8	4
519	12	12	-4
571	14	14	2
623	14	14	-2
675	20	20	4
727	24	24	-4
779	28	28	4
831	36	36	-4
883	42	42	6
935	50	50	-6
987	62	62	6
1039	70	70	-6
1091	84	84	8
1143	102	102	-6
1195	118	118	6
1247	136	136	-8
1299	162	162	10
1351	190	190	-10
1403	216	216	8
1455	254	254	-10
1507	292	292	12
1559	336	336	-12
1611	392	392	12
1663	446	446	-14
1715	510	510	14
1767	592	592	-16
1819	672	672	16
1871	764	764	-16
1923	876	876	20

Table 101:  $H_{g,2}^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8
48	0	0	0
100	2	-2	0
152	4	-4	0
204	4	-4	0
256	6	-6	0
308	8	-8	0
360	8	-8	0
412	12	-12	0
464	16	-16	0
516	20	-20	0
568	24	-24	0
620	32	-32	0
672	36	-36	0
724	48	-48	0
776	56	-56	0
828	68	-68	0
880	80	-80	0
932	100	-100	0
984	112	-112	0
1036	140	-140	0
1088	164	-164	0
1140	192	-192	0
1192	224	-224	0
1244	268	-268	0
1296	306	-306	0
1348	364	-364	0
1400	420	-420	0
1452	488	-488	0
1504	560	-560	0
1556	656	-656	0
1608	744	-744	0
1660	864	-864	0
1712	988	-988	0
1764	1134	-1134	0
1816	1292	-1292	0
1868	1484	-1484	0
1920	1676	-1676	0
1972	1920	-1920	0

Table 102:  $H_{g,3}^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8
43	2	2	-2
95	2	2	2
147	4	4	0
199	6	6	2
251	8	8	-4
303	10	10	2
355	14	14	-2
407	18	18	2
459	22	22	-2
511	26	26	2
563	34	34	-2
615	44	44	4
667	52	52	-4
719	64	64	4
771	78	78	-2
823	96	96	4
875	114	114	-6
927	136	136	4
979	164	164	-4
1031	194	194	6
1083	230	230	-6
1135	270	270	6
1187	318	318	-6
1239	374	374	6
1291	434	434	-10
1343	506	506	10
1395	592	592	-8
1447	686	686	10
1499	792	792	-12
1551	914	914	10
1603	1054	1054	-10
1655	1214	1214	14
1707	1394	1394	-14
1759	1594	1594	14
1811	1822	1822	-14
1863	2084	2084	16
1915	2374	2374	-18
1967	2698	2698	18

Table 103:  $H_{g,4}^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8
36	2	-2	0
88	4	-4	0
140	4	-4	0
192	8	-8	0
244	8	-8	0
296	12	-12	0
348	16	-16	0
400	22	-22	0
452	24	-24	0
504	36	-36	0
556	40	-40	0
608	52	-52	0
660	64	-64	0
712	80	-80	0
764	92	-92	0
816	116	-116	0
868	136	-136	0
920	168	-168	0
972	196	-196	0
1024	238	-238	0
1076	272	-272	0
1128	332	-332	0
1180	384	-384	0
1232	456	-456	0
1284	528	-528	0
1336	620	-620	0
1388	712	-712	0
1440	840	-840	0
1492	960	-960	0
1544	1120	-1120	0
1596	1280	-1280	0
1648	1484	-1484	0
1700	1688	-1688	0
1752	1952	-1952	0
1804	2216	-2216	0
1856	2544	-2544	0
1908	2888	-2888	0
1960	3304	-3304	0

Table 104:  $H_{g,5}^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8
27	2	2	2
79	4	4	0
131	6	6	2
183	6	6	-2
235	10	10	2
287	14	14	-2
339	16	16	0
391	22	22	-2
443	30	30	2
495	36	36	-4
547	46	46	2
599	58	58	-2
651	68	68	4
703	86	86	-2
755	106	106	2
807	124	124	-4
859	152	152	4
911	184	184	-4
963	216	216	4
1015	258	258	-6
1067	308	308	4
1119	362	362	-6
1171	426	426	6
1223	502	502	-6
1275	584	584	8
1327	684	684	-8
1379	798	798	6
1431	920	920	-8
1483	1070	1070	10
1535	1238	1238	-10
1587	1422	1422	10
1639	1638	1638	-10
1691	1884	1884	12
1743	2156	2156	-12
1795	2468	2468	12
1847	2822	2822	-14
1899	3212	3212	16
1951	3660	3660	-16

Table 105:  $H_{g,6}^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8
16	2	-2	0
68	4	-4	0
120	4	-4	0
172	8	-8	0
224	8	-8	0
276	16	-16	0
328	16	-16	0
380	24	-24	0
432	28	-28	0
484	38	-38	0
536	44	-44	0
588	60	-60	0
640	68	-68	0
692	88	-88	0
744	104	-104	0
796	132	-132	0
848	152	-152	0
900	190	-190	0
952	220	-220	0
1004	268	-268	0
1056	312	-312	0
1108	376	-376	0
1160	432	-432	0
1212	520	-520	0
1264	596	-596	0
1316	708	-708	0
1368	812	-812	0
1420	956	-956	0
1472	1092	-1092	0
1524	1280	-1280	0
1576	1460	-1460	0
1628	1696	-1696	0
1680	1932	-1932	0
1732	2236	-2236	0
1784	2536	-2536	0
1836	2924	-2924	0
1888	3308	-3308	0
1940	3792	-3792	0

Table 106:  $H_{g,7}^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8
3	2	2	-2
55	2	2	2
107	4	4	0
159	8	8	0
211	10	10	-2
263	12	12	0
315	16	16	0
367	22	22	2
419	26	26	-2
471	34	34	2
523	44	44	0
575	54	54	2
627	68	68	-4
679	82	82	2
731	102	102	-2
783	124	124	4
835	148	148	-4
887	176	176	4
939	214	214	-2
991	256	256	4
1043	300	300	-4
1095	356	356	4
1147	420	420	-4
1199	494	494	6
1251	580	580	-8
1303	674	674	6
1355	786	786	-6
1407	918	918	6
1459	1060	1060	-8
1511	1226	1226	6
1563	1418	1418	-6
1615	1632	1632	8
1667	1874	1874	-10
1719	2150	2150	10
1771	2464	2464	-8
1823	2816	2816	12
1875	3214	3214	-14

Table 107:  $H_{g,8}^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8
40	4	-4	0
92	4	-4	0
144	6	-6	0
196	6	-6	0
248	12	-12	0
300	12	-12	0
352	20	-20	0
404	24	-24	0
456	32	-32	0
508	36	-36	0
560	52	-52	0
612	56	-56	0
664	76	-76	0
716	88	-88	0
768	112	-112	0
820	128	-128	0
872	164	-164	0
924	184	-184	0
976	232	-232	0
1028	268	-268	0
1080	324	-324	0
1132	372	-372	0
1184	452	-452	0
1236	512	-512	0
1288	616	-616	0
1340	704	-704	0
1392	832	-832	0
1444	950	-950	0
1496	1120	-1120	0
1548	1268	-1268	0
1600	1486	-1486	0
1652	1688	-1688	0
1704	1956	-1956	0
1756	2220	-2220	0
1808	2568	-2568	0
1860	2896	-2896	0
1912	3336	-3336	0

Table 108:  $H_{g,9}^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8
23	2	2	-2
75	4	4	0
127	4	4	0
179	6	6	2
231	8	8	0
283	12	12	0
335	14	14	-2
387	20	20	0
439	26	26	-2
491	30	30	2
543	40	40	0
595	50	50	2
647	60	60	0
699	74	74	2
751	90	90	-2
803	108	108	4
855	134	134	-2
907	158	158	2
959	188	188	-4
1011	226	226	2
1063	266	266	-2
1115	314	314	2
1167	372	372	-4
1219	436	436	4
1271	508	508	-4
1323	596	596	4
1375	692	692	-4
1427	802	802	6
1479	932	932	-4
1531	1074	1074	6
1583	1238	1238	-6
1635	1430	1430	6
1687	1640	1640	-8
1739	1878	1878	6
1791	2150	2150	-6
1843	2456	2456	8
1895	2800	2800	-8

Table 109:  $H_{g,10}^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8
4	2	-2	0
56	0	0	0
108	4	-4	0
160	4	-4	0
212	8	-8	0
264	8	-8	0
316	12	-12	0
368	12	-12	0
420	20	-20	0
472	20	-20	0
524	32	-32	0
576	34	-34	0
628	48	-48	0
680	52	-52	0
732	72	-72	0
784	78	-78	0
836	104	-104	0
888	116	-116	0
940	148	-148	0
992	164	-164	0
1044	208	-208	0
1096	232	-232	0
1148	288	-288	0
1200	324	-324	0
1252	396	-396	0
1304	444	-444	0
1356	536	-536	0
1408	604	-604	0
1460	720	-720	0
1512	812	-812	0
1564	960	-960	0
1616	1080	-1080	0
1668	1268	-1268	0
1720	1428	-1428	0
1772	1664	-1664	0
1824	1872	-1872	0

Table 110:  $H_{g,11}^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8
35	2	2	2
87	2	2	2
139	2	2	-2
191	4	4	0
243	4	4	0
295	8	8	0
347	10	10	-2
399	10	10	2
451	16	16	0
503	20	20	0
555	22	22	-2
607	28	28	0
659	36	36	0
711	44	44	0
763	54	54	-2
815	64	64	0
867	76	76	0
919	94	94	2
971	114	114	-2
1023	130	130	2
1075	156	156	0
1127	188	188	0
1179	216	216	-4
1231	254	254	2
1283	300	300	0
1335	346	346	2
1387	404	404	-4
1439	470	470	2
1491	542	542	-2
1543	630	630	2
1595	724	724	-4
1647	828	828	4
1699	954	954	-2
1751	1100	1100	4
1803	1250	1250	-6
1855	1428	1428	4

Table 111:  $H_{g,12}^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	4AB
$\Gamma_g$	1	1 4	2 8
12	0	0	0
64	2	-2	0
116	0	0	0
168	4	-4	0
220	0	0	0
272	4	-4	0
324	2	-2	0
376	8	-8	0
428	4	-4	0
480	12	-12	0
532	8	-8	0
584	16	-16	0
636	16	-16	0
688	24	-24	0
740	20	-20	0
792	36	-36	0
844	32	-32	0
896	48	-48	0
948	48	-48	0
1000	68	-68	0
1052	68	-68	0
1104	96	-96	0
1156	98	-98	0
1208	128	-128	0
1260	136	-136	0
1312	176	-176	0
1364	184	-184	0
1416	240	-240	0
1468	252	-252	0
1520	312	-312	0
1572	340	-340	0
1624	416	-416	0
1676	448	-448	0
1728	548	-548	0
1780	592	-592	0
1832	708	-708	0



**C.15 Lambency 14+7**

Table 112:  $H_{g,1}^{(14+7)}$ ,  $X = D_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 1	3 3
-1	-2	-2	-2
55	2	2	2
111	0	0	0
167	4	0	-2
223	2	-2	2
279	6	2	0
335	6	-2	0
391	8	0	2
447	6	-2	0
503	16	4	-2
559	14	-2	2
615	18	2	0
671	22	-2	-2
727	32	4	2
783	30	-2	0
839	46	2	-2
895	44	-4	2
951	60	4	0
1007	68	-4	-4
1063	86	2	2
1119	90	-6	0
1175	122	6	-4
1231	128	-4	2
1287	156	4	0
1343	178	-6	-2
1399	220	8	4
1455	234	-6	0
1511	290	6	-4
1567	316	-8	4
1623	378	10	0
1679	424	-8	-2
1735	496	8	4
1791	546	-10	0
1847	656	12	-4
1903	718	-10	4
1959	834	10	0
2015	930	-14	-6
2071	1078	14	4

Table 113:  $H_{g,3}^{(14+7)}$ ,  $X = D_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 1	3 3
47	2	-2	2
103	6	2	0
159	6	-2	0
215	10	2	-2
271	10	-2	-2
327	18	2	0
383	18	-2	0
439	30	2	0
495	30	-2	0
551	44	4	2
607	48	-4	0
663	66	2	0
719	72	-4	0
775	96	4	0
831	108	-4	0
887	138	6	0
943	154	-6	-2
999	198	6	0
1055	218	-6	2
1111	270	6	0
1167	306	-6	0
1223	372	8	0
1279	420	-8	0
1335	504	8	0
1391	566	-10	2
1447	678	10	0
1503	762	-10	0
1559	902	10	2
1615	1012	-12	-2
1671	1188	12	0
1727	1332	-12	0
1783	1554	14	0
1839	1746	-14	0
1895	2016	16	0
1951	2260	-16	-2
2007	2604	16	0
2063	2912	-20	2
2119	3340	20	-2

Table 114:  $H_{g,5}^{(14+7)}$ ,  $X = D_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 1	3 3
31	4	0	-2
87	6	-2	0
143	8	0	2
199	12	0	0
255	18	2	0
311	20	0	2
367	28	0	-2
423	36	0	0
479	44	0	2
535	54	-2	0
591	72	0	0
647	84	0	0
703	106	2	-2
759	126	-2	0
815	152	0	2
871	184	0	-2
927	222	2	0
983	258	-2	0
1039	310	2	-2
1095	366	-2	0
1151	430	2	4
1207	502	-2	-2
1263	594	2	0
1319	684	0	0
1375	802	2	-2
1431	924	-4	0
1487	1070	2	2
1543	1234	-2	-2
1599	1428	4	0
1655	1628	-4	2
1711	1874	2	-4
1767	2142	-2	0
1823	2446	2	4
1879	2782	-6	-2
1935	3180	4	0
1991	3598	-2	4
2047	4094	6	-4
2103	4626	-6	0

Table 115:  $H_{g,7}^{(14+7)}$ ,  $X = D_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 1	3 3
7	2	-2	2
63	6	2	0
119	8	0	-4
175	14	2	2
231	12	-4	0
287	24	0	0
343	26	-2	2
399	36	4	0
455	44	-4	-4
511	60	4	0
567	66	-2	0
623	92	4	-4
679	100	-4	4
735	132	4	0
791	156	-4	0
847	194	6	2
903	216	-8	0
959	276	4	0
1015	316	-4	4
1071	384	8	0
1127	440	-8	-4
1183	530	6	2
1239	600	-8	0
1295	728	8	-4
1351	820	-12	4
1407	972	12	0
1463	1112	-8	-4
1519	1300	12	4
1575	1470	-14	0
1631	1724	12	-4
1687	1948	-12	4
1743	2256	16	0
1799	2552	-16	-4
1855	2944	16	4
1911	3312	-16	0
1967	3812	20	-4
2023	4278	-22	6
2079	4884	20	0

C.16 Lambency 16

Table 116:  $H_{1A}^{(16)}, X = A_{15}D_9$

$4k\ell, r$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
0	-2														
64	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
128	0	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0
192	0	2	2	2	4	4	2	4	2	2	2	2	0	0	0
256	2	2	4	4	4	4	6	4	4	4	4	2	2	0	2
320	0	2	4	6	4	6	6	6	4	4	4	2	0	2	0
384	2	4	6	6	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	4	4	2	2
448	4	4	6	8	8	10	10	8	8	8	4	6	2	2	0
512	2	6	8	10	12	12	14	12	12	10	10	6	6	2	2
576	2	8	10	12	14	16	14	16	12	12	10	8	4	4	2
640	4	8	14	16	18	18	20	18	18	16	14	10	8	4	4
704	6	10	14	18	20	22	22	24	20	18	14	12	8	6	0
768	6	14	18	22	28	28	30	28	28	22	22	16	12	8	6
832	8	14	22	28	28	34	34	32	28	28	22	18	12	8	2
896	10	18	26	32	38	40	42	40	38	34	30	22	18	10	6
960	10	22	30	38	44	48	46	48	44	40	32	28	18	14	4
1024	14	24	38	46	52	56	60	56	56	48	42	32	26	14	10
1088	14	30	42	54	60	66	68	66	60	56	46	38	24	18	6
1152	18	36	52	62	74	78	82	80	76	66	60	46	36	22	14
1216	22	40	56	74	82	92	92	92	84	78	62	54	38	24	10
1280	24	48	70	86	100	106	112	108	104	92	82	62	50	30	16
1344	26	56	80	98	114	124	124	128	116	106	90	74	50	36	14
1408	34	64	92	116	134	144	152	144	140	124	108	86	66	40	22
1472	38	74	104	132	152	166	168	168	156	144	120	98	70	48	18
1536	44	86	124	152	180	192	200	196	188	166	148	116	90	56	32
1600	50	96	140	178	198	220	226	224	208	192	160	132	94	64	28
1664	58	112	162	202	234	252	264	258	246	220	194	152	118	74	38
1728	64	130	182	230	266	290	294	296	276	252	214	178	126	86	36
1792	76	144	212	264	306	330	346	336	324	290	252	202	156	96	52
1856	84	166	238	300	342	376	386	384	358	330	280	230	166	112	48
1920	96	190	274	340	398	428	446	440	422	376	330	264	202	130	68
1984	112	212	306	388	442	486	498	496	468	428	362	300	220	144	64
2048	126	244	350	438	510	550	576	564	540	486	426	340	260	166	86

Table 117:  $H_{2A}^{(16)}$ ,  $X = A_{15}D_9$

$4k\ell, r$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
0	-2														
64	0	0	2	-2	0	-2	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
128	0	-2	2	-2	2	-2	4	-2	2	-2	2	0	0	0	0
192	0	-2	2	-2	4	-4	2	-4	2	-2	2	-2	0	0	0
256	2	-2	4	-4	4	-4	6	-4	4	-4	4	-2	2	0	2
320	0	-2	4	-6	4	-6	6	-6	4	-4	4	-2	0	-2	0
384	2	-4	6	-6	8	-8	8	-8	8	-6	6	-4	4	-2	2
448	4	-4	6	-8	8	-10	10	-8	8	-8	4	-6	2	-2	0
512	2	-6	8	-10	12	-12	14	-12	12	-10	10	-6	6	-2	2
576	2	-8	10	-12	14	-16	14	-16	12	-12	10	-8	4	-4	2
640	4	-8	14	-16	18	-18	20	-18	18	-16	14	-10	8	-4	4
704	6	-10	14	-18	20	-22	22	-24	20	-18	14	-12	8	-6	0
768	6	-14	18	-22	28	-28	30	-28	28	-22	22	-16	12	-8	6
832	8	-14	22	-28	28	-34	34	-32	28	-28	22	-18	12	-8	2
896	10	-18	26	-32	38	-40	42	-40	38	-34	30	-22	18	-10	6
960	10	-22	30	-38	44	-48	46	-48	44	-40	32	-28	18	-14	4
1024	14	-24	38	-46	52	-56	60	-56	56	-48	42	-32	26	-14	10
1088	14	-30	42	-54	60	-66	68	-66	60	-56	46	-38	24	-18	6
1152	18	-36	52	-62	74	-78	82	-80	76	-66	60	-46	36	-22	14
1216	22	-40	56	-74	82	-92	92	-92	84	-78	62	-54	38	-24	10
1280	24	-48	70	-86	100	-106	112	-108	104	-92	82	-62	50	-30	16
1344	26	-56	80	-98	114	-124	124	-128	116	-106	90	-74	50	-36	14
1408	34	-64	92	-116	134	-144	152	-144	140	-124	108	-86	66	-40	22
1472	38	-74	104	-132	152	-166	168	-168	156	-144	120	-98	70	-48	18
1536	44	-86	124	-152	180	-192	200	-196	188	-166	148	-116	90	-56	32
1600	50	-96	140	-178	198	-220	226	-224	208	-192	160	-132	94	-64	28
1664	58	-112	162	-202	234	-252	264	-258	246	-220	194	-152	118	-74	38
1728	64	-130	182	-230	266	-290	294	-296	276	-252	214	-178	126	-86	36
1792	76	-144	212	-264	306	-330	346	-336	324	-290	252	-202	156	-96	52
1856	84	-166	238	-300	342	-376	386	-384	358	-330	280	-230	166	-112	48
1920	96	-190	274	-340	398	-428	446	-440	422	-376	330	-264	202	-130	68
1984	112	-212	306	-388	442	-486	498	-496	468	-428	362	-300	220	-144	64
2048	126	-244	350	-438	510	-550	576	-564	540	-486	426	-340	260	-166	86

C.17 Lambency 18

Table 118:  $H_{1A}^{(18)}, X = A_{17}E_7$

$4kl, r$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
0	-2																
72	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
144	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
216	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
288	0	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	2	2	2	0	0
360	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	2	0	0	0
432	2	2	4	4	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	4	2	2	2	2
504	0	4	4	4	6	8	6	8	6	6	6	4	2	4	2	0	0
576	2	4	6	8	8	8	10	8	10	8	8	6	6	4	4	2	2
648	2	4	6	8	10	10	10	12	10	10	8	8	6	6	2	2	0
720	2	6	8	10	12	12	14	14	14	12	12	10	8	6	6	2	2
792	4	6	10	12	12	16	16	16	14	14	12	12	8	6	4	4	0
864	4	8	12	14	18	18	20	20	20	18	18	14	14	10	8	4	4
936	4	10	12	16	20	22	20	24	22	20	18	16	12	12	6	4	2
1008	6	10	16	20	24	26	28	26	28	26	24	20	18	12	10	6	4
1080	6	14	18	24	26	30	32	32	30	30	26	24	18	16	10	8	2
1152	8	16	22	26	34	36	38	38	38	34	34	28	24	18	16	8	6
1224	10	16	24	32	36	40	42	44	42	42	34	32	26	22	14	10	2
1296	10	20	30	38	44	48	52	52	52	48	46	38	34	26	20	12	8
1368	10	24	34	42	50	56	56	60	56	54	50	44	34	30	20	12	4
1440	14	26	40	50	58	64	70	68	70	64	60	52	46	34	28	16	10
1512	16	32	44	56	66	74	76	80	76	74	66	60	48	40	26	20	8
1584	18	36	52	64	78	84	90	92	92	84	80	68	60	46	36	22	12
1656	20	40	58	76	84	96	102	104	100	98	86	80	64	52	36	24	8
1728	24	46	68	86	102	110	120	120	120	112	106	90	80	62	48	30	18
1800	26	54	74	96	114	126	130	136	132	126	114	104	84	70	48	32	14
1872	32	58	88	110	130	144	154	154	154	146	136	118	102	78	62	38	20
1944	34	68	98	124	144	162	170	176	170	166	150	134	110	92	64	44	18
2016	38	78	112	140	168	184	196	200	200	186	176	152	132	104	80	48	28
2088	46	86	124	160	184	208	218	224	220	212	190	172	144	116	82	56	22
2160	50	98	142	180	214	234	252	254	254	240	224	196	170	134	102	64	36
2232	54	110	158	200	236	264	276	288	278	268	246	220	180	150	108	72	30
2304	64	122	180	228	268	296	318	320	322	304	284	248	216	168	130	82	42

Table 119:  $H_{2A}^{(18)}, X = A_{17}E_7$

$4kl, r$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
0	-2																
72	0	-2	0	0	2	-2	0	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
144	0	0	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0
216	0	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-4	2	-2	2	-2	0	-2	0	0	0
288	0	-2	2	-2	4	-4	4	-4	4	-2	4	-2	2	-2	2	0	0
360	2	-2	2	-4	4	-4	4	-4	4	-4	2	-4	2	-2	0	0	0
432	2	-2	4	-4	6	-6	6	-6	6	-6	6	-4	4	-2	2	-2	2
504	0	-4	4	-4	6	-8	6	-8	6	-6	6	-4	2	-4	2	0	0
576	2	-4	6	-8	8	-8	10	-8	10	-8	8	-6	6	-4	4	-2	2
648	2	-4	6	-8	10	-10	10	-12	10	-10	8	-8	6	-6	2	-2	0
720	2	-6	8	-10	12	-12	14	-14	14	-12	12	-10	8	-6	6	-2	2
792	4	-6	10	-12	12	-16	16	-16	14	-14	12	-12	8	-6	4	-4	0
864	4	-8	12	-14	18	-18	20	-20	20	-18	18	-14	14	-10	8	-4	4
936	4	-10	12	-16	20	-22	20	-24	22	-20	18	-16	12	-12	6	-4	2
1008	6	-10	16	-20	24	-26	28	-26	28	-26	24	-20	18	-12	10	-6	4
1080	6	-14	18	-24	26	-30	32	-32	30	-30	26	-24	18	-16	10	-8	2
1152	8	-16	22	-26	34	-36	38	-38	38	-34	34	-28	24	-18	16	-8	6
1224	10	-16	24	-32	36	-40	42	-44	42	-42	34	-32	26	-22	14	-10	2
1296	10	-20	30	-38	44	-48	52	-52	52	-48	46	-38	34	-26	20	-12	8
1368	10	-24	34	-42	50	-56	56	-60	56	-54	50	-44	34	-30	20	-12	4
1440	14	-26	40	-50	58	-64	70	-68	70	-64	60	-52	46	-34	28	-16	10
1512	16	-32	44	-56	66	-74	76	-80	76	-74	66	-60	48	-40	26	-20	8
1584	18	-36	52	-64	78	-84	90	-92	92	-84	80	-68	60	-46	36	-22	12
1656	20	-40	58	-76	84	-96	102	-104	100	-98	86	-80	64	-52	36	-24	8
1728	24	-46	68	-86	102	-110	120	-120	120	-112	106	-90	80	-62	48	-30	18
1800	26	-54	74	-96	114	-126	130	-136	132	-126	114	-104	84	-70	48	-32	14
1872	32	-58	88	-110	130	-144	154	-154	154	-146	136	-118	102	-78	62	-38	20
1944	34	-68	98	-124	144	-162	170	-176	170	-166	150	-134	110	-92	64	-44	18
2016	38	-78	112	-140	168	-184	196	-200	200	-186	176	-152	132	-104	80	-48	28
2088	46	-86	124	-160	184	-208	218	-224	220	-212	190	-172	144	-116	82	-56	22
2160	50	-98	142	-180	214	-234	252	-254	254	-240	224	-196	170	-134	102	-64	36
2232	54	-110	158	-200	236	-264	276	-288	278	-268	246	-220	180	-150	108	-72	30
2304	64	-122	180	-238	268	-296	318	-320	322	-304	284	-248	216	-168	130	-82	42

**C.18 Lambency 18+9**

Table 120:  $H_{g,1}^{(18+9)}$ ,  $X = D_{10}E_7^2$  Table 121:  $H_{g,3}^{(18+9)}$ ,  $X = D_{10}E_7^2$  Table 122:  $H_{g,5}^{(18+9)}$ ,  $X = D_{10}E_7^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	$[g]$	1A	2A	$[g]$	1A	2A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 1	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 1	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 1
-1	-2	-2	63	2	-2	47	2	2
71	0	0	135	2	2	119	4	0
143	2	-2	207	4	0	191	4	0
215	0	0	279	4	0	263	8	0
287	2	-2	351	6	-2	335	6	2
359	2	2	423	6	2	407	12	0
431	4	0	495	10	-2	479	12	0
503	0	0	567	10	2	551	16	0
575	6	-2	639	14	-2	623	18	2
647	4	0	711	14	2	695	26	-2
719	6	-2	783	20	0	767	24	0
791	6	2	855	22	2	839	36	0
863	10	-2	927	28	-4	911	38	2
935	6	2	999	30	2	983	48	0
1007	14	-2	1071	38	-2	1055	52	0
1079	10	2	1143	42	2	1127	68	0
1151	18	-2	1215	52	-4	1199	70	2
1223	18	2	1287	56	4	1271	90	-2
1295	22	-2	1359	70	-2	1343	98	2
1367	18	2	1431	76	4	1415	118	-2
1439	32	-4	1503	92	-4	1487	130	2
1511	30	2	1575	100	4	1559	158	-2
1583	38	-2	1647	120	-4	1631	168	0
1655	38	2	1719	132	4	1703	204	0
1727	52	-4	1791	154	-6	1775	224	4
1799	48	4	1863	170	6	1847	262	-2
1871	68	-4	1935	200	-4	1919	288	0
1943	64	4	2007	220	4	1991	338	-2
2015	80	-4	2079	254	-6	2063	364	4
2087	86	6	2151	278	6	2135	430	-2
2159	104	-4	2223	322	-6	2207	470	2
2231	104	4	2295	354	6	2279	538	-2
2303	134	-6	2367	404	-8	2351	592	4
2375	136	4	2439	444	8	2423	680	-4
2447	162	-6	2511	506	-6	2495	738	2
2519	170	6	2583	556	8	2567	846	-2
2591	206	-6	2655	630	-10	2639	928	4
2663	210	6	2727	690	10	2711	1048	-4
2735	254	-6	2799	780	-8	2783	1150	2
2807	262	6	2871	854	10	2855	1300	-4

Table 123:  $H_{g,7}^{(18+9)}$ ,  $X = D_{10}E_7^2$  Table 124:  $H_{g,9}^{(18+9)}$ ,  $X = D_{10}E_7^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	$[g]$	1A	2A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 1	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 1
23	2	-2	63	4	0
95	4	0	135	4	0
167	6	-2	207	8	0
239	6	2	279	8	0
311	10	-2	351	12	0
383	12	0	423	12	0
455	14	-2	495	20	0
527	18	2	567	20	0
599	22	-2	639	28	0
671	26	2	711	28	0
743	34	-2	783	40	0
815	38	2	855	44	0
887	44	-4	927	56	0
959	54	2	999	60	0
1031	66	-2	1071	76	0
1103	72	4	1143	84	0
1175	88	-4	1215	104	0
1247	102	2	1287	112	0
1319	116	-4	1359	140	0
1391	136	4	1431	152	0
1463	156	-4	1503	184	0
1535	176	4	1575	200	0
1607	208	-4	1647	240	0
1679	234	6	1719	264	0
1751	266	-6	1791	308	0
1823	304	4	1863	340	0
1895	346	-6	1935	400	0
1967	386	6	2007	440	0
2039	442	-6	2079	508	0
2111	498	6	2151	556	0
2183	560	-8	2223	644	0
2255	628	8	2295	708	0
2327	708	-8	2367	808	0
2399	788	8	2439	888	0
2471	892	-8	2511	1012	0
2543	990	10	2583	1112	0
2615	1102	-10	2655	1260	0
2687	1232	8	2727	1380	0
2759	1374	-10	2799	1560	0



**C.19 Lambency 22+11**

Table 125:  $H_{g,1}^{(22+11)}$ ,  $X = D_{12}^2$     Table 126:  $H_{g,3}^{(22+11)}$ ,  $X = D_{12}^2$     Table 127:  $H_{g,5}^{(22+11)}$ ,  $X = D_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	$[g]$	1A	2A	$[g]$	1A	2A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 2	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 2	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 2
-1	-2	-2	79	0	0	63	2	2
87	2	2	167	2	2	151	2	-2
175	0	0	255	2	-2	239	4	0
263	0	0	343	4	0	327	2	-2
351	0	0	431	2	-2	415	6	2
439	2	2	519	4	0	503	6	-2
527	0	0	607	4	0	591	6	2
615	4	0	695	6	2	679	8	0
703	2	-2	783	4	0	767	12	0
791	2	2	871	10	2	855	10	-2
879	2	-2	959	8	0	943	14	2
967	4	0	1047	12	0	1031	16	0
1055	2	-2	1135	10	-2	1119	18	2
1143	6	2	1223	16	0	1207	22	-2
1231	2	-2	1311	16	0	1295	26	2
1319	6	2	1399	20	0	1383	26	-2
1407	6	-2	1487	18	-2	1471	34	2
1495	10	2	1575	26	2	1559	38	-2
1583	6	-2	1663	26	-2	1647	42	2
1671	12	0	1751	34	2	1735	46	-2
1759	10	-2	1839	32	0	1823	58	2
1847	12	4	1927	42	2	1911	60	-4
1935	14	-2	2015	42	-2	1999	70	2
2023	18	2	2103	54	2	2087	78	-2
2111	14	-2	2191	54	-2	2175	88	4
2199	24	4	2279	66	2	2263	96	-4
2287	18	-2	2367	70	-2	2351	112	4
2375	26	2	2455	82	2	2439	120	-4
2463	26	-2	2543	84	-4	2527	140	4
2551	32	4	2631	102	2	2615	152	-4
2639	30	-2	2719	106	-2	2703	168	4
2727	42	2	2807	126	2	2791	184	-4
2815	40	-4	2895	130	-2	2879	212	4
2903	48	4	2983	152	4	2967	226	-6
2991	48	-4	3071	160	-4	3055	256	4
3079	60	4	3159	186	2	3143	280	-4
3167	58	-6	3247	192	-4	3231	310	6
3255	76	4	3335	224	4	3319	338	-6
3343	72	-4	3423	238	-2	3407	378	6
3431	84	4	3511	272	4	3495	406	-6

Table 128:  $H_{g,7}^{(22+11)}$ ,  $X = D_{12}^2$     Table 129:  $H_{g,9}^{(22+11)}$ ,  $X = D_{12}^2$     Table 130:  $H_{g,11}^{(22+11)}$ ,  $X = D_{12}^2$

$[g]$	1A	2A	$[g]$	1A	2A	$[g]$	1A	2A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 2	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 2	$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 2
39	2	-2	7	2	2	55	4	0
127	4	0	95	2	-2	143	4	0
215	2	2	183	4	0	231	4	0
303	6	2	271	6	-2	319	4	0
391	4	0	359	6	2	407	8	0
479	8	0	447	8	0	495	8	0
567	8	0	535	8	0	583	12	0
655	12	0	623	10	-2	671	12	0
743	10	-2	711	14	2	759	16	0
831	16	0	799	14	-2	847	16	0
919	16	0	887	18	2	935	24	0
1007	20	0	975	22	-2	1023	20	0
1095	22	-2	1063	26	2	1111	28	0
1183	28	0	1151	28	0	1199	32	0
1271	28	0	1239	34	2	1287	40	0
1359	38	2	1327	38	-2	1375	40	0
1447	38	-2	1415	44	4	1463	52	0
1535	48	0	1503	50	-2	1551	52	0
1623	52	0	1591	58	2	1639	68	0
1711	62	2	1679	64	-4	1727	72	0
1799	64	0	1767	74	2	1815	84	0
1887	80	0	1855	82	-2	1903	92	0
1975	84	0	1943	94	2	1991	108	0
2063	100	0	2031	108	-4	2079	112	0
2151	106	-2	2119	120	4	2167	136	0
2239	126	2	2207	132	-4	2255	148	0
2327	132	0	2295	150	2	2343	168	0
2415	158	2	2383	168	-4	2431	180	0
2503	166	-2	2471	188	4	2519	212	0
2591	192	0	2559	208	-4	2607	224	0
2679	208	0	2647	232	4	2695	260	0
2767	238	2	2735	256	-4	2783	280	0
2855	254	-2	2823	286	6	2871	316	0
2943	294	2	2911	316	-4	2959	344	0
3031	312	0	2999	348	4	3047	392	0
3119	354	2	3087	386	-6	3135	416	0
3207	382	-2	3175	426	6	3223	472	0
3295	432	0	3263	466	-6	3311	512	0
3383	460	0	3351	518	6	3399	572	0

C.20 Lambency 25

Table 131:  $H_{1A}^{(25)}, X = A_{24}$

$4k\ell, r$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
0	-2																								
100	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
400	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
500	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
600	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	4	2	4	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	0	0	2
700	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	2	4	4	2	4	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
800	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	0	0
900	0	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0
1000	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	0	2	2
1100	0	2	2	4	4	4	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	0	0	0	0
1200	0	2	4	4	6	6	6	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	6	6	6	6	6	4	4	2	2	2	2
1300	2	2	4	6	6	6	8	6	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	6	6	4	4	2	2	2	0	0	0
1400	2	2	4	6	6	8	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	8	8	6	6	6	6	4	6	2	2	2
1500	2	4	4	6	8	8	10	10	12	10	12	10	10	10	10	8	8	6	6	6	4	6	4	2	2
1600	2	4	6	8	8	8	12	12	12	14	12	14	12	12	12	10	10	8	8	8	4	4	4	2	2
1700	2	4	6	8	8	10	12	14	14	14	14	14	14	12	12	10	10	10	10	8	4	4	2	2	0
1800	2	6	10	12	14	14	14	16	16	16	16	18	16	16	14	14	12	12	12	8	4	6	6	2	2
1900	4	4	8	10	12	14	16	16	18	18	18	18	18	16	16	14	12	10	10	6	6	6	4	2	0
2000	2	6	10	12	14	16	18	20	20	22	22	22	20	20	18	18	14	14	14	12	10	6	6	4	2
2100	2	8	10	12	16	18	20	22	24	22	24	22	22	22	20	16	18	18	14	12	10	8	4	4	2
2200	4	8	12	16	18	20	22	24	26	28	26	26	26	26	22	22	18	18	14	12	8	8	4	4	4
2300	4	8	12	16	20	22	26	26	28	28	30	28	28	26	26	22	22	16	16	12	10	6	4	4	0
2400	4	10	14	18	22	26	28	32	32	34	34	34	32	32	30	28	24	24	22	18	16	12	10	6	4
2500	6	10	16	20	24	28	32	32	36	36	36	36	36	32	32	28	26	26	22	20	14	12	8	6	2
2600	6	12	16	24	28	32	34	38	40	42	40	42	40	40	34	34	30	26	22	20	14	12	6	4	4
2700	6	14	20	24	30	34	38	42	44	44	46	44	44	42	40	34	34	28	24	20	16	10	8	2	2
2800	8	14	22	28	32	40	44	46	48	52	50	52	50	48	44	42	36	34	28	24	18	14	8	6	6
2900	8	16	24	30	36	42	48	50	54	54	56	54	54	50	48	44	40	34	30	24	20	12	10	2	2
3000	8	18	26	34	42	48	52	58	60	62	62	64	60	60	54	52	46	42	34	30	22	18	10	8	8
3100	10	18	28	38	44	50	58	60	66	68	68	66	66	62	60	54	50	42	38	28	24	16	10	4	4
3200	10	22	32	42	50	58	64	70	72	76	76	74	74	72	66	62	54	50	42	36	26	22	14	8	8
3300	12	24	34	44	56	62	70	74	80	80	84	82	80	76	74	64	62	52	46	36	30	20	14	6	6
3400	14	26	38	50	60	70	76	84	88	92	90	92	90	86	80	76	66	62	50	44	34	26	14	10	10
3500	14	28	42	54	66	74	84	90	96	98	100	98	98	92	88	80	74	62	56	44	36	24	18	6	6

Table 132:  $H_{2A}^{(25)}$ ,  $X = A_{24}$

$4k\ell, r$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
0	-2																								
100	0	0	0	-2	0	0	2	0	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200	0	0	0	-2	2	0	0	-2	2	-2	0	-2	2	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300	0	-2	0	0	2	0	2	-2	2	0	2	-2	0	-2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
400	0	0	2	-2	0	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	0	-2	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0
500	0	0	0	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
600	0	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	4	-4	2	-4	2	-4	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	0	-2	0	-2	0
700	0	0	2	-2	2	-2	4	-4	4	-4	2	-4	2	-4	2	-2	2	-2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
800	0	-2	2	-2	2	-4	4	-4	4	-4	4	-4	4	-4	4	-4	2	-2	2	-2	0	-2	2	0	0
900	0	-2	2	-2	4	-4	4	-4	4	-4	6	-4	4	-4	4	-2	4	-2	2	-2	2	0	0	0	0
1000	2	-2	2	-4	4	-4	4	-6	6	-6	4	-6	6	-6	4	-4	4	-4	4	-2	2	-2	0	-2	0
1100	0	-2	2	-4	4	-4	4	-6	6	-6	6	-6	6	-6	4	-4	4	-4	4	-2	2	0	0	0	0
1200	0	-2	4	-4	6	-6	6	-8	8	-8	8	-8	8	-8	6	-6	6	-6	4	-4	2	-2	2	0	0
1300	2	-2	4	-6	6	-6	8	-8	8	-8	8	-8	8	-8	6	-6	6	-6	4	-4	2	-2	2	0	0
1400	2	-2	4	-6	6	-8	8	-10	10	-10	10	-10	10	-10	8	-8	6	-6	4	-6	2	-2	2	0	-2
1500	2	-4	4	-6	8	-8	10	-10	12	-10	12	-10	10	-10	10	-8	8	-6	6	-4	-6	2	-2	2	0
1600	2	-4	6	-8	8	-10	12	-12	12	-14	12	-14	12	-12	12	-10	8	-8	8	-6	4	-4	2	2	0
1700	2	-4	6	-8	10	-10	12	-14	14	-14	14	-14	14	-12	12	-10	10	-8	8	-6	4	-4	2	2	0
1800	2	-6	6	-10	12	-14	14	-16	16	-16	16	-18	16	-16	14	-14	12	-12	8	-8	6	-6	2	2	0
1900	4	-4	8	-10	12	-14	16	-16	18	-18	18	-18	18	-16	16	-14	12	-10	10	-6	6	-4	2	2	0
2000	2	-6	10	-12	14	-16	18	-20	20	-22	22	-22	20	-20	18	-18	14	-14	12	-10	6	-6	4	2	0
2100	2	-8	10	-12	16	-18	20	-22	24	-22	24	-22	22	-22	20	-16	18	-14	12	-10	8	-4	4	2	0
2200	4	-8	12	-16	18	-20	22	-24	26	-28	26	-26	26	-26	22	-22	18	-18	14	-12	8	-4	4	2	0
2300	4	-8	12	-16	20	-22	26	-26	28	-28	30	-28	28	-26	26	-22	22	-16	16	-12	10	-6	4	2	0
2400	4	-10	14	-18	22	-26	28	-32	32	-34	34	-34	32	-32	30	-28	24	-22	18	-16	12	-10	6	4	0
2500	6	-10	16	-20	24	-28	32	-32	36	-36	36	-36	36	-32	32	-28	26	-22	20	-14	12	-8	6	2	0
2600	6	-12	16	-24	28	-32	34	-38	40	-42	40	-42	40	-40	34	-34	30	-26	22	-20	-14	12	6	4	0
2700	6	-14	20	-24	30	-34	38	-42	44	-44	46	-44	44	-42	40	-34	34	-28	22	-20	-14	-12	6	4	0
2800	8	-14	22	-28	32	-40	44	-46	48	-52	50	-52	50	-48	44	-42	36	-34	28	-24	-20	-14	8	2	0
2900	8	-16	24	-30	36	-42	48	-50	54	-54	56	-54	54	-50	48	-44	40	-34	30	-24	-20	-12	10	2	0
3000	8	-18	26	-34	42	-48	52	-58	60	-62	62	-64	60	-60	54	-52	46	-42	34	-30	-22	-18	10	2	0
3100	10	-18	28	-38	44	-50	58	-60	66	-68	68	-66	66	-62	60	-54	50	-42	38	-28	-24	-16	10	4	0
3200	10	-22	32	-42	50	-58	64	-70	72	-76	76	-76	74	-72	66	-62	54	-50	42	-36	-26	-22	14	2	0
3300	12	-24	34	-44	56	-62	70	-74	80	-80	84	-82	80	-76	74	-64	62	-52	46	-36	-30	-20	14	2	0
3400	14	-26	38	-50	60	-70	76	-84	88	-92	90	-92	90	-86	80	-76	66	-62	50	-44	-34	-26	14	2	0
3500	14	-28	42	-54	66	-74	84	-90	96	-98	100	-98	98	-92	88	-80	74	-62	56	-44	-36	-24	18	2	0

C.21 Lambency 30+15

Table 133:  $H_{1A}^{(30+15)}$ ,  $X = D_{16}E_8$

$4k\ell, r$	1	3	5	7	9	11	13	15
0	-2							
120	0	0	2	0	2			
240	0	2	0	2	2	2	2	2
360	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2
480	0	2	2	2	2	4	2	4
600	2	0	2	2	4	2	4	2
720	0	2	2	4	4	4	4	4
840	0	2	4	2	4	4	4	4
960	0	2	2	6	4	6	6	6
1080	2	2	4	4	6	6	6	4
1200	0	4	4	6	6	8	6	8
1320	2	2	4	6	8	6	8	8
1440	0	4	6	8	8	10	10	10
1560	2	2	8	6	10	10	10	8
1680	2	6	6	10	10	12	12	12
1800	2	4	8	10	12	12	14	12
1920	0	6	8	12	14	16	14	16
2040	4	6	10	12	16	14	18	14
2160	2	8	10	16	16	20	18	20
2280	2	6	14	14	18	20	20	20
2400	2	10	12	20	20	24	24	24
2520	4	8	16	18	24	24	26	24
2640	2	12	16	22	26	30	28	28
2760	6	10	18	24	28	28	32	30
2880	4	14	20	28	30	36	36	36
3000	6	12	24	26	36	36	38	36
3120	4	16	22	34	38	42	42	44
3240	6	16	28	34	42	44	48	44
3360	4	20	30	40	44	52	50	52
3480	10	18	32	40	50	52	58	52
3600	6	24	34	48	54	62	62	62
3720	8	22	40	48	60	64	66	64
3840	8	28	40	58	64	72	74	76
3960	12	26	46	58	72	76	80	76
4080	8	34	48	66	76	88	86	88
4200	14	32	54	70	84	88	96	92
4320	10	38	58	80	90	102	104	104
4440	14	38	66	78	100	106	112	108
4560	14	44	66	94	106	120	122	122
4680	18	44	76	96	116	126	132	128
4800	14	52	80	108	124	142	142	144

**C.22 Lambency 30+6,10,15**

Table 134:  $H_{g,1}^{(30+6,10,15)}$ ,  $X = E_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 1	3 3
-1	-2	-2	-2
119	2	2	2
239	2	-2	2
359	4	0	-2
479	2	-2	2
599	6	2	0
719	4	0	-2
839	6	2	0
959	6	-2	0
1079	10	2	-2
1199	6	-2	0
1319	12	0	0
1439	10	-2	-2
1559	14	2	2
1679	14	-2	2
1799	18	2	0
1919	14	-2	2
2039	24	4	0
2159	22	-2	-2
2279	26	2	2
2399	26	-2	2
2519	34	2	-2
2639	30	-2	0
2759	42	2	0
2879	40	-4	-2
2999	48	4	0
3119	48	-4	0
3239	58	2	-2
3359	56	-4	2
3479	72	4	0
3599	70	-2	-2
3719	80	4	2
3839	84	-4	0
3959	100	4	-2
4079	96	-4	0
4199	116	4	2
4319	116	-4	-4
4439	134	6	2
4559	140	-4	2

Table 135:  $H_{g,7}^{(30+6,10,15)}$ ,  $X = E_8^3$

$[g]$	1A	2A	3A
$\Gamma_g$	1 1	2 1	3 3
71	2	-2	2
191	4	0	-2
311	4	0	-2
431	6	2	0
551	6	-2	0
671	8	0	2
791	8	0	2
911	12	0	0
1031	10	-2	-2
1151	14	2	2
1271	16	0	-2
1391	18	2	0
1511	18	-2	0
1631	24	0	0
1751	24	0	0
1871	30	2	0
1991	30	-2	0
2111	36	0	0
2231	38	-2	2
2351	46	2	-2
2471	46	-2	-2
2591	54	2	0
2711	60	0	0
2831	66	2	0
2951	68	-4	2
3071	82	2	-2
3191	84	0	0
3311	98	2	2
3431	102	-2	0
3551	114	2	0
3671	122	-2	2
3791	138	2	0
3911	144	-4	0
4031	162	2	0
4151	174	-2	0
4271	192	4	0
4391	200	-4	2
4511	226	2	-2
4631	238	-2	-2

C.23 Lambency 46+23

Table 136:  $H_{1A}^{(46+23)}$ ,  $X = D_{24}$

$4k\ell, r$	1	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	17	19	21	23
0	-2											
184	2	0	0	0	2	0	2					
368	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	2		
552	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	2	2
736	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	0	2	2	2
920	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	2	0
1104	0	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
1288	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	0
1472	0	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4
1656	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	2	4	2	2	2
1840	0	2	0	2	2	2	2	4	2	4	2	4
2024	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	4
2208	0	0	2	2	2	4	2	4	4	4	4	4
2392	2	0	2	2	2	2	4	2	4	4	4	2
2576	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
2760	0	0	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
2944	0	2	2	4	2	4	4	6	4	6	6	4
3128	2	0	2	2	4	4	4	4	6	4	6	4
3312	0	2	2	4	4	6	4	6	6	6	6	6
3496	2	2	2	4	4	4	6	6	6	6	6	4
3680	0	2	2	4	4	6	6	8	6	8	6	8
3864	0	2	4	2	6	6	6	6	8	6	8	8
4048	0	2	2	6	6	6	6	8	8	8	8	8
4232	2	2	4	4	6	6	8	8	8	8	8	8
4416	0	2	4	6	6	8	8	10	10	10	10	10
4600	2	2	4	4	8	6	10	8	10	10	10	8
4784	0	4	4	6	6	10	10	10	10	12	10	12
4968	0	2	6	6	8	8	10	10	12	10	12	12
5152	0	4	4	8	8	10	12	12	12	14	12	12
5336	2	2	6	6	10	10	12	12	14	12	14	12
5520	0	4	6	8	10	12	12	14	14	16	14	16
5704	2	4	6	8	10	10	14	14	14	16	16	14
5888	0	4	6	10	10	14	14	16	16	18	16	16
6072	2	4	8	8	12	14	16	16	18	16	18	16
6256	2	6	6	12	12	16	16	18	18	20	18	20
6440	2	4	8	10	14	14	18	18	20	20	20	20
6624	0	6	8	12	14	18	18	22	22	22	22	24
6808	4	4	10	12	16	16	20	20	22	22	24	20
6992	2	6	8	14	16	20	20	24	24	26	24	24
7176	2	6	12	12	18	20	22	24	26	24	26	26
7360	2	8	10	16	18	22	24	28	26	30	28	28

## D Decompositions

As explained in §5 (see also §6.1) our conjectural proposals for the umbral McKay–Thompson series  $H_{g,r}^X(\tau) = \sum_d c_{g,r}^X(d)q^d$  (cf. §§5,C) determine the  $G^X$ -modules  $K_{r,d}^X$  up to isomorphism for  $d > 0$ , at least for those values of  $d$  for which we can identify all the Fourier coefficients  $c_{g,r}^X(d)$ . In this section we furnish tables of explicit decompositions of  $K_{r,d}^X$  into irreducible representations for  $G^X$ , for the first few values of  $d$ . The coefficient  $c_r^X(d)$  of  $H_{g,r}^X$  is non-zero only when  $d = n - r^2/4m$  for some integer  $n \geq 0$ , where  $m$  denotes the Coxeter number of  $X$ . For each of the tables in this section the rows are labelled by the values  $4md$ , so that the entry in row  $k$  and column  $\chi_i$  indicates the multiplicity of the irreducible representation of  $G^X$  with character  $\chi_i$  (in the notation of §B.1) appearing in the  $G^X$ -module  $K_{r,k/4m}^X$ . One can observe that these tables support Conjectures 6.1, 6.10 and 6.11, as well as Conjecture 6.2.

As we have seen in §2.4, for some Niemeier root systems, especially those with higher Coxeter numbers, the umbral groups  $G^X$  are very small and the decompositions of the  $G^X$ -module into irreducibles are extremely simple and do not need to be tabulated. For those cases we give instead the following expression for the module in terms of the umbral McKay–Thompson series  $H_g^X$  whose low order coefficients are tabulated in §C.

For the Niemeier root system  $X = A_9^2D_6$  corresponding to  $\ell = 10$ , we have  $G^X \cong 4$  and the decomposition is simply given by

$$\sum_{\substack{d>0 \\ 40d \equiv -r^2 \pmod{40}}} q^d K_{r,d}^{(10)} = \frac{\chi_3 + \chi_4}{2} H_{1A,r}^{(10)}, \quad r \text{ even}, \quad (\text{D.1})$$

$$\sum_{\substack{d>0 \\ 40d \equiv -r^2 \pmod{40}}} q^d K_{r,d}^{(10)} = \frac{\chi_1 + \chi_2}{2} H_{1A,r}^{(10)} + \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2} H_{4AB,r}^{(10)}, \quad r \text{ odd}. \quad (\text{D.2})$$

For the six Niemeier root systems

$$A_{11}D_7E_6, A_{15}D_9, A_{17}E_7, A_{24}, D_{10}E_7^2, D_{12}^2, \quad (\text{D.3})$$

corresponding to  $\ell \in \{12, 16, 18, 25, 18+9, 22+11\}$  the associated umbral group is  $G^X \cong 2$  and the decomposition of the representations is simply given by

$$\sum_{\substack{d>0 \\ 4md \equiv -r^2 \pmod{4m}}} q^d K_{r,d}^X = \frac{\chi_1 + \chi_2}{2} H_{1A,r}^X + \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2} H_{2A,r}^X, \quad (\text{D.4})$$

where  $m$  again denotes the Coxeter number of  $X$ . In particular, for the A-type cases above,



begin  $A_{11}D_7E_6$ ,  $A_{15}D_9$ ,  $A_{17}E_7$ , and  $A_{24}$ , this reduces to

$$\sum_{\substack{d>0 \\ 4md=-r^2 \pmod{4m}}} q^d K_{r,d}^X = \chi_1 H_{1A,r}^X, \quad r \text{ odd}, \quad (\text{D.5})$$

$$\sum_{\substack{d>0 \\ 4md=-r^2 \pmod{4m}}} q^d K_{r,d}^X = \chi_2 H_{1A,r}^X, \quad r \text{ even}, \quad (\text{D.6})$$

where  $m$  again denotes the Coxeter number of  $X$ .

For the two Niemeier lattices  $D_{16}E_8$  and  $D_{24}$  the umbral group is  $G^X \cong 1$  and the decomposition is completely trivial.

D.1 Lambency 2

Table 137: Decomposition of  $K_1^{(2)} = K_1^X$  for  $X = A_1^{24}$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$	$\chi_8$	$\chi_9$	$\chi_{10}$	$\chi_{11}$	$\chi_{12}$	$\chi_{13}$	$\chi_{14}$	$\chi_{15}$	$\chi_{16}$	$\chi_{17}$	$\chi_{18}$	$\chi_{19}$	$\chi_{20}$	$\chi_{21}$	$\chi_{22}$	$\chi_{23}$	$\chi_{24}$	$\chi_{25}$	$\chi_{26}$	
-1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
63	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	2	2	6
79	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	4	4	8	10	
87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	6	4	4	4	2	8	10	8	14	12	22	24	26	40
95	0	2	0	0	2	2	2	4	4	6	6	8	8	4	8	8	8	12	12	12	18	26	30	40	38	40	80
103	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	2	6	10	10	14	14	18	14	14	16	16	26	30	28	44	44	70	80	84	136
111	0	0	0	0	8	8	4	6	14	16	16	24	24	22	24	24	34	38	46	58	80	86	128	126	132	254	
119	0	0	2	2	8	8	12	8	18	38	38	40	40	46	44	44	46	78	86	88	138	144	218	238	246	424	
127	0	2	2	2	18	18	18	22	36	50	50	72	72	68	72	72	100	122	140	170	232	252	378	382	400	742	
135	0	2	8	8	25	25	30	26	54	94	94	116	116	130	124	124	140	212	246	262	392	410	630	670	704	1222	
143	0	6	6	6	50	50	58	100	148	148	148	194	194	192	202	202	256	342	388	454	654	704	1044	1074	1120	2058	
151	0	4	18	18	68	68	80	72	150	252	252	318	318	346	332	332	394	582	664	722	1062	1116	1702	1800	1880	3320	
159	0	14	20	20	126	126	128	138	254	390	390	516	516	520	536	536	676	904	1036	1196	1716	1836	2764	2846	2980	5408	
167	2	20	40	40	182	182	214	200	396	652	652	814	814	872	860	860	1020	1476	1684	1862	2742	2902	4384	4622	4828	8572	
175	2	32	55	55	314	314	328	346	640	988	988	1298	1298	1336	1348	1348	1686	2302	2630	3000	4324	4616	6950	7204	7532	13620	
183	2	40	98	98	460	460	512	496	972	1590	1590	2020	2020	2144	2118	2118	2546	3638	4162	4624	6768	7166	10856	11376	11898	21204	

D.2 Lambency 3

Table 138:  $K_1^{(3)} = K_1^X$  for  $X = A_2^{12}$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$	$\chi_8$	$\chi_9$	$\chi_{10}$	$\chi_{11}$	$\chi_{12}$	$\chi_{13}$	$\chi_{14}$	$\chi_{15}$
-1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	2
59	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2
71	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	4	4	6
83	0	0	0	2	2	4	4	2	2	2	4	6	6	8	10
95	0	0	2	0	0	4	4	8	8	6	6	10	12	14	18
107	0	2	2	4	4	8	12	8	8	8	14	16	22	28	30

Table 139:  $K_2^{(3)} = K_2^X$  for  $X = A_2^{12}$

	$\chi_{16}$	$\chi_{17}$	$\chi_{18}$	$\chi_{19}$	$\chi_{20}$	$\chi_{21}$	$\chi_{22}$	$\chi_{23}$	$\chi_{24}$	$\chi_{25}$	$\chi_{26}$
8	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
32	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1
56	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	2
68	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	4
80	2	2	0	0	1	1	6	6	4	8	8
92	0	0	2	4	6	6	8	8	12	14	14
104	2	2	0	4	6	6	20	20	16	24	24
116	2	2	6	8	12	12	26	26	36	44	44

D.3 Lambency 4

Table 140:  $K_1^{(4)} = K_1^X$  for  $X = A_3^8$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$	$\chi_8$	$\chi_9$	$\chi_{10}$	$\chi_{11}$
-1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
47	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0
63	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	2	2	4
79	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	0	4	6	4
95	0	2	2	2	2	4	2	4	6	8	12
111	2	2	2	6	6	6	8	6	10	18	14
127	0	4	4	6	10	10	6	12	18	26	30
143	2	6	6	14	14	18	18	10	32	46	40
159	4	10	10	18	24	26	20	26	44	68	76

Table 141:  $K_3^{(4)} = K_3^X$  for  $X = A_3^8$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$	$\chi_8$	$\chi_9$	$\chi_{10}$	$\chi_{11}$
7	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
39	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
55	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	2
71	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	4	4
87	2	0	0	2	2	2	4	4	6	6	8
103	0	2	2	2	6	6	4	2	6	14	12
119	2	2	2	8	4	8	6	8	18	20	22
135	2	8	8	8	14	14	12	10	20	36	34
151	4	6	6	18	16	20	20	22	42	54	56

Table 142:  $K_2^{(4)} = K_2^X$  for  $X = A_3^8$

	$\chi_{12}$	$\chi_{13}$	$\chi_{14}$	$\chi_{15}$	$\chi_{16}$
12	0	1	1	0	0
28	0	0	0	1	1
44	2	0	0	2	2
60	0	2	2	4	4
76	2	2	2	8	8
92	6	4	4	14	14
108	6	9	9	24	24
124	14	14	14	40	40
140	24	20	20	66	66
156	32	36	36	104	104

D.4 Lambency 5

Table 143:  $K_1^{(5)}, X = A_4^6$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$
-1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
39	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
59	0	0	2	0	2	2	2
79	0	2	2	4	2	2	4
99	2	0	6	2	6	4	6
119	0	2	6	8	8	10	10
139	4	2	14	10	14	12	16
159	2	6	14	20	20	22	26
179	8	4	28	22	36	32	40

Table 145:  $K_2^{(5)}, X = A_4^6$

	$\chi_8$	$\chi_9$	$\chi_{10}$	$\chi_{11}$	$\chi_{12}$	$\chi_{13}$	$\chi_{14}$
16	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
36	0	0	1	1	1	1	2
56	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
76	0	0	4	4	4	4	6
96	2	2	6	6	8	8	8
116	2	2	10	10	12	12	18
136	4	4	16	16	22	22	22
156	6	6	26	26	32	32	42
176	12	12	40	40	50	50	56
196	13	13	60	60	74	74	94

Table 144:  $K_3^{(5)}, X = A_4^6$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$
11	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
31	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
51	0	0	2	2	2	2	2
71	2	0	4	2	4	4	4
91	0	2	4	6	6	8	8
111	2	2	10	8	12	10	14
131	4	4	14	16	18	18	22
151	8	4	24	22	30	30	34
171	8	10	34	38	44	46	54
191	14	14	58	52	68	64	82

Table 146:  $K_4^{(5)}, X = A_4^6$

	$\chi_8$	$\chi_9$	$\chi_{10}$	$\chi_{11}$	$\chi_{12}$	$\chi_{13}$	$\chi_{14}$
4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
24	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
44	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
64	0	0	2	2	1	1	4
84	2	2	2	2	4	4	2
104	0	0	4	4	6	6	10
124	4	4	8	8	10	10	8
144	1	1	13	13	14	14	22
164	6	6	18	18	26	26	24
184	6	6	30	30	34	34	50

D.5 Lambency 6

Table 147:  $K_1^{(6)}$ ,  $X = A_5^4 D_4$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$
-1	-2	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	2	0	0
47	0	0	0	2	2
71	0	2	2	2	2
95	0	0	2	4	6
119	4	4	6	6	6
143	4	2	6	12	12
167	4	6	14	16	14
191	8	8	14	26	28
215	14	14	28	36	34
239	16	14	32	54	56

Table 150:  $K_2^{(6)}$ ,  $X = A_5^4 D_4$

	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$	$\chi_8$
20	0	0	2
44	2	2	2
68	2	2	6
92	4	4	10
116	8	8	14
140	12	12	24
164	18	18	38
188	28	28	54
212	40	40	82
236	58	58	118
260	84	84	164

Table 148:  $K_3^{(6)}$ ,  $X = A_5^4 D_4$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$
15	0	0	0	2	0
39	2	0	2	2	2
63	0	2	2	4	4
87	4	2	6	6	8
111	2	4	6	14	10
135	8	6	14	18	20
159	8	10	18	30	28
183	18	14	32	42	46
207	18	22	40	68	62
231	36	30	66	92	96

Table 151:  $K_4^{(6)}$ ,  $X = A_5^4 D_4$

	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$	$\chi_8$
8	1	1	0
32	1	1	2
56	2	2	4
80	4	4	6
104	6	6	12
128	9	9	20
152	16	16	28
176	22	22	46
200	33	33	68
224	50	50	96
248	70	70	140
272	98	98	198

Table 149:  $K_5^{(6)}$ ,  $X = A_5^4 D_4$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$
23	0	0	0	0	2
47	0	2	0	2	0
71	2	0	2	2	4
95	0	2	4	4	2
119	4	2	4	6	10
143	2	6	6	12	8
167	8	2	12	16	20
191	6	12	16	26	22
215	14	10	24	36	42
239	12	20	36	54	46
263	32	22	50	74	82

D.6 Lambency 6+3

Table 152:  $K_1^{(6+3)}$ ,  $X = D_4^6$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$	$\chi_8$	$\chi_9$	$\chi_{10}$	$\chi_{11}$
-1	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
71	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
95	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0
119	0	0	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	0	2
143	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	2	2	4	2
167	0	2	0	2	4	2	6	4	4	2	4
191	0	0	4	2	2	4	8	4	4	8	6
215	2	0	4	6	6	2	14	8	8	6	8
239	0	0	6	2	4	8	18	10	10	14	12
263	2	4	8	12	10	8	26	16	16	14	18
287	0	2	14	10	8	12	38	20	20	26	22
311	4	4	14	18	22	16	52	30	30	28	32
335	4	4	24	18	18	22	70	38	38	50	44
359	6	6	28	36	32	28	96	56	56	54	60
383	6	6	40	34	36	44	128	70	70	86	78

Table 153:  $K_3^{(6+3)}$ ,  $X = D_4^6$

	$\chi_{12}$	$\chi_{13}$	$\chi_{14}$	$\chi_{15}$	$\chi_{16}$
15	1	1	0	0	0
39	0	0	0	2	0
63	0	0	0	0	2
87	0	0	2	2	2
111	2	2	0	2	4
135	1	1	4	4	6
159	2	2	2	6	10
183	2	2	8	10	14
207	6	6	6	12	22
231	6	6	16	20	30
255	10	10	16	24	46
279	10	10	28	40	62
303	20	20	30	50	88
327	22	22	52	74	118
351	34	34	60	94	164
375	41	41	94	134	216
399	62	62	108	172	292

D.7 Lambency 7

Table 154:  $K_1^{(7)}$ ,  $X = A_6^4$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$
-1	-2	0	0	0
27	2	1	1	0
55	0	0	0	2
83	0	2	2	2
111	2	0	0	6
139	4	4	4	6
167	2	2	2	12
195	8	6	6	16
223	6	6	6	26
251	12	14	14	30

Table 157:  $K_2^{(7)}$ ,  $X = A_6^4$

	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$
24	2	0	0
52	2	2	2
80	2	4	4
108	6	5	5
136	8	8	8
164	12	14	14
192	20	17	17
220	28	28	28
248	36	40	40
276	56	54	54

Table 155:  $K_3^{(7)}$ ,  $X = A_6^4$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$
19	0	0	0	2
47	2	2	2	2
75	2	1	1	6
103	4	4	4	8
131	2	4	4	16
159	8	8	8	22
187	10	10	10	34
215	16	18	18	46
243	22	21	21	70
271	34	34	34	94

Table 158:  $K_4^{(7)}$ ,  $X = A_6^4$

	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$
12	0	1	1
40	2	2	2
68	4	2	2
96	6	6	6
124	8	8	8
152	14	14	14
180	18	20	20
208	30	30	30
236	42	40	40
264	60	60	60

Table 156:  $K_5^{(7)}$ ,  $X = A_6^4$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$
3	0	1	1	0
31	0	0	0	2
59	2	0	0	4
87	0	2	2	6
115	4	4	4	8
143	6	4	4	14
171	6	8	8	20
199	10	10	10	32
227	18	16	16	44
255	18	20	20	64

Table 159:  $K_6^{(7)}$ ,  $X = A_6^4$

	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$
20	2	0	0
48	0	1	1
76	2	2	2
104	2	2	2
132	4	6	6
160	6	6	6
188	12	10	10
216	12	14	14
244	22	22	22
272	28	26	26



### D.8 Lambency 8

Consider the case  $\ell = 8$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$ . For the components with  $r = 0 \pmod 2$  we have the module structure

$$\sum_{\substack{d>0 \\ 32d=-r^2 \pmod{32}}} q^d K_{r,d}^{(8)} = \frac{1}{2} \chi_5 H_r^{(8)}. \tag{D.7}$$

Table 160:  $K_1^{(8)}$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3 + \chi_4$
-1	-2	0	0
31	0	2	0
63	0	0	2
95	2	2	2
127	2	2	4
159	4	6	2
191	6	6	8
223	10	10	8
255	12	10	14
287	18	20	16

Table 162:  $K_3^{(8)}$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3 + \chi_4$
23	0	0	2
55	4	2	2
87	2	4	4
119	8	6	6
151	8	8	10
183	16	14	14
215	16	20	20
247	32	28	28
279	36	38	40
311	58	54	52

Table 161:  $K_5^{(8)}$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3 + \chi_4$
7	0	2	0
39	2	2	2
71	2	4	2
103	6	4	6
135	6	10	6
167	14	10	12
199	14	18	16
231	26	22	26
263	30	36	30
295	48	44	48

Table 163:  $K_7^{(8)}$ ,  $X = A_7^2 D_5^2$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3 + \chi_4$
15	2	0	0
47	0	2	0
79	4	0	2
111	0	2	2
143	6	4	4
175	2	6	4
207	12	6	8
239	8	12	10
271	20	14	16
303	14	22	18

D.9 Lambency 9

Table 164:  $K_1^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$
-1	-6	0	0
35	0	0	0
71	0	0	6
107	0	0	6
143	0	6	6
179	6	6	12
215	6	6	18
251	12	12	18
287	12	18	30
323	18	18	42

Table 167:  $K_2^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$
32	0	0	6
68	0	6	6
104	6	6	6
140	6	6	18
176	12	6	18
212	12	18	30
248	18	18	42
284	24	30	54
320	42	36	72
356	48	54	102

Table 165:  $K_5^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$
11	0	0	6
47	6	0	6
83	6	6	12
119	6	6	18
155	12	12	24
191	18	18	36
227	24	24	54
263	36	36	66
299	48	48	96
335	66	60	132

Table 168:  $K_4^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$
20	6	0	0
56	6	6	6
92	6	0	12
128	6	12	18
164	18	12	24
200	18	24	42
236	30	24	48
272	36	42	78
308	54	42	102
344	66	78	138

Table 166:  $K_7^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$
23	0	6	0
59	0	0	6
95	6	6	6
131	6	6	12
167	6	12	18
203	12	12	24
239	24	18	36
275	24	24	54
311	30	36	66
347	48	48	90

Table 169:  $K_8^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$
8	0	6	0
44	6	0	0
80	0	6	6
116	6	0	0
152	0	12	12
188	6	0	12
224	6	18	18
260	18	6	18
296	12	24	36
332	30	12	36

Table 170:  $K_3^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$
27	2	2	4
63	0	6	6
99	6	6	12
135	12	6	18
171	12	12	24
207	18	18	36
243	26	26	52
279	36	36	72
315	48	48	96
351	60	66	126
387	84	84	168
423	114	108	222
459	144	144	288
495	180	186	366
531	234	234	468
567	300	294	594
603	372	372	744
639	462	468	930
675	578	578	1156

Table 171:  $K_6^{(9)}$ ,  $X = A_8^3$

	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$	$\chi_6$
0	2	-1	1
36	0	6	6
72	6	0	6
108	4	10	14
144	12	6	18
180	12	18	30
216	24	12	36
252	24	36	60
288	42	30	72
324	48	60	108
360	72	60	132
396	84	102	186
432	124	106	230
468	144	168	312
504	204	180	384
540	240	264	504
576	324	294	618
612	384	414	798
648	504	468	972

**D.10 Lambency 10+5**

Table 172:  $K_1^{(10+5)}$ ,  $X = D_6^4$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$
-1	-2	0	0	0	0
39	2	0	0	0	0
79	0	0	0	0	2
119	0	0	2	0	0
159	0	2	0	2	2
199	2	0	2	2	0
239	0	0	2	2	4
279	2	2	2	4	2
319	0	2	2	6	6
359	2	0	6	6	4
399	2	4	4	8	12
439	4	4	8	10	8
479	2	4	8	14	16
519	10	6	12	18	14
559	6	8	14	22	26

Table 174:  $K_5^{(10+5)}$ ,  $X = D_6^4$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$
15	0	0	2	0	0
55	0	0	0	2	2
95	2	2	0	2	2
135	0	0	2	4	4
175	2	2	4	4	4
215	2	2	4	8	8
255	2	2	8	8	8
295	4	4	8	14	14
335	8	8	12	16	16
375	6	6	14	24	24
415	10	10	20	28	28
455	14	14	24	40	40
495	16	16	36	46	46
535	20	20	40	64	64
575	28	28	52	76	76

Table 173:  $K_3^{(10+5)}$ ,  $X = D_6^4$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$
31	0	0	0	0	2
71	0	0	2	2	0
111	0	2	2	2	2
151	2	0	2	4	2
191	0	2	2	4	6
231	4	2	4	6	6
271	2	4	6	8	10
311	6	2	8	12	10
351	4	6	10	14	18
391	8	6	14	22	18
431	6	10	18	26	28
471	14	10	22	36	32
511	12	16	28	42	46
551	20	16	38	56	52
591	20	26	46	68	72

**D.11 Lambency 12+4**

Table 175:  $K_1^{(12+4)}$ ,  $X = E_6^4$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$
-1	-2	0	0	0	0
47	0	0	2	0	0
95	0	0	0	2	0
143	0	0	2	0	2
191	0	0	0	2	2
239	2	2	2	2	2
287	0	0	2	4	2
335	2	0	4	4	4
383	0	2	2	6	6
431	4	2	6	6	6

Table 177:  $K_4^{(12+4)}$ ,  $X = E_6^4$

	$\chi_6$	$\chi_7$	$\chi_8$
32	1	1	0
80	0	0	2
128	1	1	2
176	2	2	2
224	2	2	4
272	2	2	6
320	4	4	6
368	4	4	10
416	6	6	12
464	8	8	14

Table 176:  $K_5^{(12+4)}$ ,  $X = E_6^4$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$	$\chi_5$
23	0	2	0	0	0
71	0	0	0	0	2
119	0	0	0	2	0
167	2	0	0	2	2
215	0	2	2	2	0
263	2	0	2	2	4
311	2	2	2	4	2
359	2	0	4	4	6
407	0	4	4	6	4
455	4	2	4	8	10

**D.12 Lambency 13**

Table 178:  $K_1^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$
-1	-2	0
51	2	0
103	0	2
155	0	0
207	0	2
259	2	0
311	2	2
363	4	2
415	2	4
467	6	2

Table 181:  $K_2^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$
48	0	0
100	1	1
152	2	2
204	2	2
256	3	3
308	4	4
360	4	4
412	6	6
464	8	8
516	10	10

Table 179:  $K_3^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$
43	0	2
95	2	0
147	2	2
199	4	2
251	2	6
303	6	4
355	6	8
407	10	8
459	10	12
511	14	12

Table 182:  $K_4^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$
36	1	1
88	2	2
140	2	2
192	4	4
244	4	4
296	6	6
348	8	8
400	11	11
452	12	12
504	18	18

Table 180:  $K_5^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$
27	2	0
79	2	2
131	4	2
183	2	4
235	6	4
287	6	8
339	8	8
391	10	12
443	16	14
495	16	20

Table 183:  $K_6^{(13)}, X = A_{12}^2$

	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$
16	1	1
68	2	2
120	2	2
172	4	4
224	4	4
276	8	8
328	8	8
380	12	12
432	14	14
484	19	19

Table 184:  $K_7^{(13)}$ ,  $X = A_{12}^2$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$
3	0	2
55	2	0
107	2	2
159	4	4
211	4	6
263	6	6
315	8	8
367	12	10
419	12	14
471	18	16

Table 187:  $K_8^{(13)}$ ,  $X = A_{12}^2$

	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$
40	2	2
92	2	2
144	3	3
196	3	3
248	6	6
300	6	6
352	10	10
404	12	12
456	16	16
508	18	18

Table 185:  $K_9^{(13)}$ ,  $X = A_{12}^2$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$
23	0	2
75	2	2
127	2	2
179	4	2
231	4	4
283	6	6
335	6	8
387	10	10
439	12	14
491	16	14

Table 188:  $K_{10}^{(13)}$ ,  $X = A_{12}^2$

	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$
4	1	1
56	0	0
108	2	2
160	2	2
212	4	4
264	4	4
316	6	6
368	6	6
420	10	10
472	10	10

Table 186:  $K_{11}^{(13)}$ ,  $X = A_{12}^2$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$
35	2	0
87	2	0
139	0	2
191	2	2
243	2	2
295	4	4
347	4	6
399	6	4
451	8	8
503	10	10

Table 189:  $K_{12}^{(13)}$ ,  $X = A_{12}^2$

	$\chi_3$	$\chi_4$
12	0	0
64	1	1
116	0	0
168	2	2
220	0	0
272	2	2
324	1	1
376	4	4
428	2	2
480	6	6

**D.13 Lambency 14+7**

Table 190:  $K_1^{(14+7)}$ ,  $X = D_8^3$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$
-1	-2	0	0
55	2	0	0
111	0	0	0
167	0	0	2
223	0	2	0
279	2	0	2
335	0	2	2
391	2	2	2
447	0	2	2
503	4	0	6

Table 192:  $K_5^{(14+7)}$ ,  $X = D_8^3$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$
31	0	0	2
87	0	2	2
143	2	2	2
199	2	2	4
255	4	2	6
311	4	4	6
367	4	4	10
423	6	6	12
479	8	8	14
535	8	10	18

Table 191:  $K_3^{(14+7)}$ ,  $X = D_8^3$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$
47	0	2	0
103	2	0	2
159	0	2	2
215	2	0	4
271	0	2	4
327	4	2	6
383	2	4	6
439	6	4	10
495	4	6	10
551	10	6	14

Table 193:  $K_7^{(14+7)}$ ,  $X = D_8^3$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$
7	0	2	0
63	2	0	2
119	0	0	4
175	4	2	4
231	0	4	4
287	4	4	8
343	4	6	8
399	8	4	12
455	4	8	16
511	12	8	20



**D.14 Lambency 30+6,10,15**

Table 194:  $K_1^{(30+6,10,15)}$ ,  $X = E_8^3$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$
-1	-2	0	0
119	2	0	0
239	0	2	0
359	0	0	2
479	0	2	0
599	2	0	2
719	0	0	2
839	2	0	2
959	0	2	2
1079	2	0	4

Table 195:  $K_7^{(30+6,10,15)}$ ,  $X = E_8^3$

	$\chi_1$	$\chi_2$	$\chi_3$
71	0	2	0
191	0	0	2
311	0	0	2
431	2	0	2
551	0	2	2
671	2	2	2
791	2	2	2
911	2	2	4
1031	0	2	4
1151	4	2	4

## References

- [1] M. C. N. Cheng, J. F. R. Duncan, and J. A. Harvey, “Umbral Moonshine,” [arXiv:1204.2779](https://arxiv.org/abs/1204.2779) [math.RT].
- [2] H.-V. Niemeier, “Definite quadratische Formen der Dimension 24 und Diskriminante 1,” *J. Number Theory* **5** (1973) 142–178.
- [3] J. Leech, “Notes on Sphere Packings,” *Canad. J. Math.* **19** (1967) 251–267.
- [4] J. G. Thompson, “Finite groups and modular functions,” *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **11** (1979) no. 3, 347–351.
- [5] J. H. Conway and S. P. Norton, “Monstrous Moonshine,” *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **11** (1979) 308–339.
- [6] T. Gannon, *Moonshine beyond the monster. The bridge connecting algebra, modular forms and physics*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [7] T. Eguchi and A. Taormina, “Unitary representations of the  $N = 4$  superconformal algebra,” *Phys. Lett. B* **196** (1987) no. 1, 75–81.  
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(87\)91679-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(87)91679-0).
- [8] T. Eguchi and A. Taormina, “Character formulas for the  $N = 4$  superconformal algebra,” *Phys. Lett. B* **200** (1988) no. 3, 315–322.  
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(88\)90778-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(88)90778-2).
- [9] T. Eguchi, H. Ooguri, A. Taormina, and S.-K. Yang, “Superconformal Algebras and String Compactification on Manifolds with  $SU(N)$  Holonomy,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B315** (1989) 193.
- [10] T. Eguchi and K. Hikami, “Superconformal Algebras and Mock Theta Functions,” *J.Phys.A* **42:304010,2009** (Dec., 2008) , 0812.1151 [math-ph].
- [11] T. Eguchi, H. Ooguri, and Y. Tachikawa, “Notes on the K3 Surface and the Mathieu group  $M_{24}$ ,” *Exper.Math.* **20** (2011) 91–96, [arXiv:1004.0956](https://arxiv.org/abs/1004.0956) [hep-th].
- [12] M. C. N. Cheng, “K3 Surfaces,  $N = 4$  Dyons, and the Mathieu Group  $M_{24}$ ,” 1005.5415 [hep-th].
- [13] M. R. Gaberdiel, S. Hohenegger, and R. Volpato, “Mathieu twining characters for  $K3$ ,” *JHEP* **1009** (2010) 058, [arXiv:1006.0221](https://arxiv.org/abs/1006.0221) [hep-th].
- [14] M. R. Gaberdiel, S. Hohenegger, and R. Volpato, “Mathieu Moonshine in the elliptic genus of K3,” *JHEP* **1010** (2010) 062, [arXiv:1008.3778](https://arxiv.org/abs/1008.3778) [hep-th].

- 
- [15] T. Eguchi and K. Hikami, “Note on Twisted Elliptic Genus of K3 Surface,” *Phys.Lett.* **B694** (2011) 446–455, [arXiv:1008.4924 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [16] T. Gannon, “Much ado about Mathieu,” [arXiv:1211.5531 \[math.RT\]](#).
- [17] M. C. N. Cheng and J. F. R. Duncan, “The Largest Mathieu Group and (Mock) Automorphic Forms,” 1201.4140 [\[math.RT\]](#).
- [18] M. R. Gaberdiel and R. Volpato, “Mathieu Moonshine and Orbifold  $K3$ ’s,” [arXiv:1206.5143 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] T. Creutzig, G. Hoehn, and T. Mieziaki, “The McKay-Thompson series of Mathieu Moonshine modulo two,” [arXiv:1211.3703 \[math.NT\]](#).
- [20] M. R. Gaberdiel, D. Persson, H. Ronellenfitsch, and R. Volpato, “Generalised Mathieu Moonshine,” [arXiv:1211.7074 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] M. R. Gaberdiel, D. Persson, and R. Volpato, “Generalised Moonshine and Holomorphic Orbifolds,” [arXiv:1302.5425 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [22] A. Taormina and K. Wendland, “Symmetry-surfing the Moduli Space of Kummer  $K3$ ’s,” [arXiv:1303.2931 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] A. Taormina and K. Wendland, “A Twist in the  $M_{24}$  Moonshine Story,” [arXiv:1303.3221 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] A. Cappelli, C. Itzykson, and J. Zuber, “The ADE Classification of Minimal and  $A_1^{(1)}$  Conformal Invariant Theories,” *Commun.Math.Phys.* **113** (1987) 1.
- [25] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6*. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley.
- [26] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 9.
- [27] J. H. Conway, “A characterisation of Leech’s lattice,” *Invent. Math.* **7** (1969) 137–142.
- [28] J. Leech, “Some Sphere Packings in Higher Space,” *Canad. J. Math.* **16** (1964) 657–682.
- [29] J. H. Conway, R. A. Parker, and N. J. A. Sloane, “The covering radius of the Leech lattice,” *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **380** (1982) no. 1779, 261–290.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1982.0042>.
- [30] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, “Twenty-three constructions for the Leech lattice,” *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **381** (1982) no. 1781, 275–283.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1982.0071>.

- [31] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*. Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, No. 11. Iwanami Shoten, Publishers, Tokyo, 1971. Kanô Memorial Lectures, No. 1.
- [32] C. R. Ferenbaugh, “The genus-zero problem for  $n|h$ -type groups,” *Duke Math. J.* **72** (1993) no. 1, 31–63.
- [33] J. Conway, R. Curtis, S. Norton, R. Parker, and R. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups. Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups. With comput. assist. from J. G. Thackray*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1985.
- [34] J. McKay, “Graphs, singularities, and finite groups,” in *The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups (Univ. California, Santa Cruz, Calif., 1979)*, vol. 37 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pp. 183–186. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980.
- [35] P. Slodowy, *Simple Singularities and Simple Algebraic Groups*, vol. 815 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [36] G. Gonzalez-Sprinberg and J.-L. Verdier, “Construction géométrique de la correspondance de McKay,” *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **16** (1983) no. 3, 409–449 (1984). [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASENS\\_1983\\_4\\_16\\_3\\_409\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASENS_1983_4_16_3_409_0).
- [37] J. H. Bruinier and J. Funke, “On two geometric theta lifts,” *Duke Math. J.* **125** (2004) no. 1, 45–90. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12513-8>.
- [38] S. Zwegers, *Mock Theta Functions*. PhD thesis, Utrecht University, 2002.
- [39] D. Zagier, “Ramanujan’s mock theta functions and their applications (after Zwegers and Ono-Bringmann),” *Astérisque* (2009) no. 326, Exp. No. 986, vii–viii, 143–164 (2010). Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2007/2008.
- [40] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms*. Birkhäuser, 1985.
- [41] A. Dabholkar, S. Murthy, and D. Zagier, “Quantum Black Holes, Wall Crossing, and Mock Modular Forms,” [arXiv:1208.4074 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.4074).
- [42] G. Shimura, “On modular forms of half integral weight,” *Ann. of Math. (2)* **97** (1973) 440–481.
- [43] D. Gepner and Z.-a. Qiu, “Modular Invariant Partition Functions for Parafermionic Field Theories,” *Nucl.Phys.* **B285** (1987) 423.
- [44] N.-P. Skoruppa and D. Zagier, “Jacobi forms and a certain space of modular forms,” *Invent. Math.* **94** (1988) no. 1, 113–146. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01394347>.

- [45] N.-P. Skoruppa, “Explicit formulas for the Fourier coefficients of Jacobi and elliptic modular forms,” *Invent. Math.* **102** (1990) no. 3, 501–520.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01233438>.
- [46] N.-P. Skoruppa, “Heegner cycles, modular forms and Jacobi forms,” *Sém. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux (2)* **3** (1991) no. 1, 93–116.  
[http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB\\_1991\\_\\_3\\_1\\_93\\_0](http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_1991__3_1_93_0).
- [47] G. Hoehn, “Selbstduale Vertexoperatorsuperalgebren und das Babymonster (Self-dual Vertex Operator Super Algebras and the Baby Monster),” *Bonner Mathematische Schriften, Vol. 286*, (June, 2007) 1–85, Bonn 1996, 0706.0236 [math.QA].
- [48] E. Witten, “Three-Dimensional Gravity Revisited,” 0706.3359 [hep-th].
- [49] N.-P. Skoruppa, *Über den Zusammenhang zwischen Jacobiformen und Modulformen halbganzen Gewichts*. Bonner Mathematische Schriften [Bonn Mathematical Publications], 159. Universität Bonn Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 1985. Dissertation, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität, Bonn, 1984.
- [50] S. Ramanujan, *The lost notebook and other unpublished papers*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. With an introduction by George E. Andrews.
- [51] B. Gordon and R. J. McIntosh, “Some Eighth Order Mock Theta Functions,” *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **62** (2000) no. 2, 321–335.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0024610700008735>.
- [52] R. J. McIntosh, “Second Order Mock Theta Functions,” *Canad. Math. Bull.* **50** (2007) no. 2, 284–290. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2007-028-9>.
- [53] M. C. N. Cheng and J. F. R. Duncan, “On Rademacher Sums, the Largest Mathieu Group, and the Holographic Modularity of Moonshine,” 1110.3859 [math.RT].
- [54] M. C. N. Cheng and J. F. R. Duncan, “On the Discrete Groups of Mathieu Moonshine,” *ArXiv e-prints* (Dec., 2012), arXiv:1212.0906 [math.NT].
- [55] M. Benard, “Schur indexes of sporadic simple groups,” *J. Algebra* **58** (1979) no. 2, 508–522. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(79\)90177-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(79)90177-7).
- [56] R. S. Margolin, “Representations of  $M_{12}$ ,” *J. Algebra* **156** (1993) no. 2, 362–369.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1993.1078>.
- [57] T. Creutzig, G. Hoehn, and T. Miezaki, “The McKay-Thompson series of Mathieu Moonshine modulo two,” *ArXiv e-prints* (Nov., 2012), arXiv:1211.3703 [math.NT].
- [58] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, and H. Verlinde, “Counting Dyons in N=4 String Theory,” *Nucl.Phys.B* **484:543-561,1997** (Nucl.Phys.B484:543-561,1997), hep-th/9607026.

- [59] T. Kawai, “ $N = 2$  Heterotic String Threshold Correction,  $K3$  Surface and Generalized Kac-Moody Superalgebra,” *Phys.Lett.* **B372** (1996) 59–64, [arXiv:hep-th/9512046](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [60] G. Lopes Cardoso, G. Curio, and D. Lust, “Perturbative couplings and modular forms in  $N=2$  string models with a Wilson line,” *Nucl.Phys.* **B491** (1997) 147–183, [arXiv:hep-th/9608154](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [61] M. Raum, “ $M_{24}$ -twisted Product Expansions are Siegel Modular Forms,” [arXiv:1208.3453](#) [[math.NT](#)].
- [62] V. A. Gritsenko and V. V. Nikulin, “ $K3$  surfaces, Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras and mirror symmetry,” *Math. Res. Lett.* **3** (1996) no. 2, 211–229.
- [63] V. A. Gritsenko and V. V. Nikulin, “The Arithmetic Mirror Symmetry and Calabi-Yau manifolds,” *Comm. Math. Phys.* **210** (2000) no. 1, 1–11.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002200050769>.
- [64] V. A. Gritsenko and V. V. Nikulin, “Automorphic forms and Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras. I,” *Internat. J. Math.* **9** (1998) no. 2, 153–199.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X98000105>.
- [65] V. A. Gritsenko and V. V. Nikulin, “Automorphic forms and Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras. II,” *Internat. J. Math.* **9** (1998) no. 2, 201–275.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X98000117>.
- [66] V. A. Gritsenko and V. V. Nikulin, “Siegel Automorphic Form Corrections of Some Lorentzian Kac-Moody Lie algebras,” *Amer. J. Math.* **119** (1997) no. 1, 181–224.  
[http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/american\\_journal\\_of\\_mathematics/v119/119.1gritsenko.pdf](http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/american_journal_of_mathematics/v119/119.1gritsenko.pdf).
- [67] S. Kondō, “Niemeier lattices, Mathieu groups, and finite groups of symplectic automorphisms of  $K3$  surfaces. With an appendix by Shigeru Mukai,” *Duke Math. J* **92**, No.3 (1998) 593–603.
- [68] V. V. Nikulin, “Kählerian  $K3$  Surfaces and Niemeier Lattices, I,” [arXiv:1109.2879](#) [[math.AG](#)].
- [69] M. C. Cheng, X. Dong, J. Duncan, J. Harvey, S. Kachru, *et al.*, “Mathieu Moonshine and  $N=2$  String Compactifications,” [arXiv:1306.4981](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [70] H. Aoki and T. Ibukiyama, “Simple graded rings of Siegel modular forms, differential operators and Borcherds products,” *Int. J. Math.* **16**, No. 3 (2005) 249–279.

- 
- [71] V. Gritsenko, “Elliptic genus of Calabi-Yau manifolds and Jacobi and Siegel modular forms,” *Algebra i Analiz* **11** (1999) no. 5, 100–125.
- [72] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4*, 2005. (<http://www.gap-system.org>).