

Cofibrant models of diagrams: mixed Hodge structures in rational homotopy

Joana Cirici

ABSTRACT. We study the homotopy theory of a certain type of diagram categories whose vertices are in variable categories with a functorial path, leading to a good calculation of the homotopy category in terms of cofibrant objects. The theory is applied to the category of mixed Hodge diagrams of differential graded algebras. Using Sullivan's minimal models, we prove a multiplicative version of Beilinson's Theorem on mixed Hodge complexes. As a consequence, we obtain functoriality for the mixed Hodge structures on the rational homotopy type of complex algebraic varieties. In this context, the mixed Hodge structures on homotopy groups obtained by Morgan's theory follow from the derived functor of the indecomposables of mixed Hodge diagrams.

1. Introduction

Since the development of Sullivan's rational homotopy theory [Sul77], minimal models have found significant applications of both topological and geometric origin, one of the first and most striking being the Formality Theorem of Deligne-Griffiths-Morgan-Sullivan [DGMS75] for compact Kähler manifolds. Also using Sullivan's minimal models and based on Deligne's mixed Hodge theory [Del71], Morgan [Mor78] proved the existence of functorial mixed Hodge structures on the rational homotopy groups of smooth complex algebraic varieties. His results were independently extended to the singular case by Hain [Hai87] and Navarro [Nav87]. Both works depend on the initial constructions of Morgan. The main objects under consideration in Morgan's theory are mixed Hodge diagrams of differential graded algebras, a multiplicative analogue of the mixed Hodge complexes of Deligne [Del74] involving differential graded algebras over \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{C} respectively and encoding the weight and Hodge filtrations up to filtered quasi-isomorphisms.

Bousfield and Gugenheim [BG76] reformulated Sullivan's rational homotopy theory of differential graded algebras in the context of Quillen model categories [Qui67]. Following this line, it would be desirable to establish an analogous formulation for mixed Hodge diagrams of differential graded algebras. In this sense, though sufficient for its original purposes, Morgan's theory of mixed Hodge diagrams is incomplete, since it provides the existence of certain minimal models, but these are not shown to be cofibrant or minimal in any abstract categorical framework. Moreover, Morgan allows morphisms between diagrams to be homotopy commutative and does not claim any composition law. As a consequence, his results fall out of the realm of categories. This is one aspect that motivates the present work.

Driven by motivic and Deligne cohomology, Beilinson [Bei86] studied the homotopy category of mixed Hodge complexes and proved that it is equivalent to the derived category of mixed Hodge structures, allowing an interpretation of Deligne's cohomology in terms of extensions of mixed Hodge structures. Our objective in this paper is to prove a multiplicative analogue of Beilinson's equivalence, allowing to understand the results of Deligne, Beilinson, Morgan, Hain and Navarro within a common homotopical framework.

Date: September 25, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 18G55, 32S35, 55P62.

Key words and phrases. homotopical algebra, mixed Hodge theory, rational homotopy, diagram categories, mixed Hodge diagrams, minimal models, Cartan-Eilenberg categories.

Financial support by the Dahlem Research School - Marie Curie Action through PCOFUND-GA-2010-267228.

The axioms for Quillen's model categories are very powerful and they provide, not only a precise description of the maps in the homotopy category, but also other higher homotopical structures. As a counterpart, there exist interesting categories from the homotopical point of view, which do not satisfy all the axioms. This is the case of diagram categories involving filtrations, where more specific techniques have to be introduced.

In this paper we develop an abstract homotopy theory for certain diagram categories with vertices in variable categories. We follow the homotopical approach of Cartan-Eilenberg categories introduced by Guillén-Navarro-Pascual-Roig [GNPR10], a weaker framework than the one provided by Quillen model structures, but sufficient to study homotopy categories and to extend the classical theory of derived additive functors, to non-additive settings. An important observation is that in this setting, one can consider minimal models as a particular case of cofibrant ones, parallel to Sullivan's theory. The theory is then applied to the category of mixed Hodge diagrams of differential graded algebras: we describe morphisms in the homotopy category of mixed Hodge diagrams in terms of certain homotopy classes of morphisms between Sullivan minimal algebras carrying mixed Hodge structures. Together with Navarro's functorial construction of mixed Hodge diagrams [Nav87], this gives functoriality for the mixed Hodge structures on the rational homotopy type of complex algebraic varieties. In this context, the mixed Hodge structures on the rational homotopy groups obtained by Morgan's theory follow from the derived functor of the indecomposables of mixed Hodge diagrams. Our approach can be applied to broader settings, such as the study of complex analytic spaces with a class of compactifications, for which the Hodge and weight filtrations can be defined (see [GN02] and [Tot02]), but do not satisfy the strong properties of mixed Hodge theory.

The present paper is a natural extension of previous works written by the author jointly with F. Guillén: here we generalize the results of [CG13] to the multiplicative setting, using the construction of minimal models for mixed Hodge diagrams appearing in [CG12].

We describe the content of the different sections. Let I be a small category and let $\mathcal{C} : I \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ be a functor with values in the category of categories. Denote by $\int_I \mathcal{C}$ the Grothendieck construction of the functor \mathcal{C} (see e.g. [Tho79]). The category of diagrams $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ associated with \mathcal{C} is defined as the category of sections of the projection $\int_I \mathcal{C} \rightarrow I$. Objects of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ are given by families of objects $\{A_i \in \mathcal{C}(i)\}$ for every $i \in I$, together with morphisms $\{\varphi_u : \mathcal{C}(u)(A_i) \rightarrow A_j\}$ for every map $u : i \rightarrow j$ of I . Morphisms of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ are families of level-wise morphisms in $\mathcal{C}(i)$ making the corresponding diagrams commute. It is already an important question in abstract homotopy theory to know whether given compatible homotopical structures on the categories $\mathcal{C}(i)$, there exists an induced homotopical structure on $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ with level-wise weak equivalences. For categories of diagrams \mathcal{C}^I associated with a constant functor there are partial answers in terms of Quillen model structures: if \mathcal{C} is cofibrantly generated, or I has a Reedy structure, then the category \mathcal{C}^I inherits a level-wise model structure (see for example [Hov99], Theorem 5.2.5). It is also well known that if \mathcal{C} is a Brown category of (co)fibrant objects [Bro73], then \mathcal{C}^I inherits a Brown category structure, with weak equivalences and (co)fibrations defined level-wise. Here we study this question in the context of Cartan-Eilenberg categories and provide a positive answer for a certain type of diagram categories whose vertices are endowed with a functorial path.

In Section 2 we introduce P-categories and study their homotopy theory. A *P-category* is given by a category \mathcal{C} with a functorial path $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and two classes of morphisms \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{W} of *fibrations* and *weak equivalences* satisfying certain axioms close to those of Brown categories of cofibrant objects, together with a homotopy lifting property with respect to trivial fibrations (see Definition 2.15). The functorial path defines a notion of homotopy between morphisms of \mathcal{C} . We introduce a notion of cofibrant object and show that if \mathcal{C} is cofibrant, then every weak equivalence $w : A \rightarrow B$ induces a bijection $w_* : [C, A] \rightarrow [C, B]$ between homotopy classes of morphisms. In Theorem 2.24 we show that if every object has a cofibrant model, then \mathcal{C} admits a Cartan-Eilenberg structure with level-wise weak equivalences. In particular, the inclusion induces an equivalence of categories $\pi\mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$.

In Section 3 we develop the basic examples of P-categories: these are the category of topological spaces and the category of differential graded algebras. We also provide a criterion of structure transfer and apply it to two fundamental examples appearing in mixed Hodge theory: the categories of filtered and bifiltered differential graded algebras.

In Section 4 we study the homotopy theory of diagram categories. It is quite immediate, that if the vertices of a diagram category $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ are endowed with compatible P-category structures, then the diagram category inherits a level-wise P-category structure. However, the characterization and existence of cofibrant models of diagrams is not straightforward, and requires a rectification theory of homotopy commutative morphisms. We focus our study to diagrams indexed by a finite directed category of binary degree (see 4.3). This includes the diagrams of zig-zag type appearing in mixed Hodge theory. We call *ho-morphisms* those maps between diagrams that commute up to fixed homotopies. In general, ho-morphisms cannot be composed. However, the level-wise functorial path of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ defines a notion of homotopy between ho-morphisms. Consider the full subcategory of level-wise cofibrant diagrams. Its objects, together with the homotopy classes of ho-morphisms define a category $\pi^h\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$ (see Theorem 4.28). In Theorem 4.32 we show that if the categories \mathcal{C}_i have enough cofibrant models, then the category of diagrams $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ admits a Cartan-Eilenberg structure with the same weak equivalences. In particular there is an equivalence of categories $\pi^h\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ho}(\Gamma\mathcal{C})$. We also consider a relative situation suitable to study mixed Hodge diagrams (see Theorem 4.33).

Section 5 is devoted to the applications to multiplicative mixed Hodge theory. A *mixed Hodge diagram of differential graded algebras* is given by a filtered dga $(A_{\mathbb{Q}}, W)$ defined over \mathbb{Q} , a bifiltered dga $(A_{\mathbb{C}}, W, F)$ defined over \mathbb{C} , together with a string of filtered quasi-isomorphisms $(A_{\mathbb{Q}}, W) \otimes \mathbb{C} \longleftrightarrow (A_{\mathbb{C}}, W)$ over \mathbb{C} . In addition, the filtrations should satisfy certain axioms, making the triple $(H(A_{\mathbb{Q}}), \text{Dec}W, F)$ into a graded mixed Hodge structure. Denote by **MHD** the category of mixed Hodge diagrams, and by **MHDGA**_{min} the full subcategory of *minimal mixed Hodge dga's*: these are Sullivan minimal dga's A over \mathbb{Q} with filtrations W on A and F on $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ such that for each $n \geq 0$ the triple $(A^n, \text{Dec}W, F)$ is a mixed Hodge structure. In Theorem 5.6 we prove a multiplicative version of Beilinson's Theorem on mixed Hodge complexes (Theorem 3.4 of [Bei86], see also Theorem 4.11 of [CG13]), by showing that the category **MHD** admits a Cartan-Eilenberg structure with cofibrant minimal models in **MHDGA**_{min}, where the weak equivalences are level-wise quasi-isomorphisms compatible with filtrations. As a consequence, we obtain an equivalence of categories $\pi^h\mathbf{MHDGA}_{min} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ho}(\mathbf{MHD})$. As a corollary we show, using Navarro's functorial construction of mixed Hodge diagrams, that the rational homotopy type of every complex algebraic variety is endowed functorial mixed Hodge structures (see Corollary 5.7). This solves the lack of functoriality of Morgan's theory. Furthermore, in Theorem 5.14 we derive the functor of indecomposables of 1-connected mixed Hodge diagrams. This leads to a more precise and alternative construction of functorial mixed Hodge structures on the rational homotopy groups of simply connected varieties (see Corollary 5.15).

2. P-categories and Cofibrant Models

In the present section we introduce P-categories. These are categories with a functorial path and two distinguished classes of morphisms, called *fibrations* and *weak equivalences*, satisfying a list of axioms similar to those of Brown categories of fibrant objects [Bro73]. We introduce a notion of cofibrant object in terms of a lifting property with respect to trivial fibrations and prove that every P-category with enough cofibrant models is a Cartan-Eilenberg category with the same weak equivalences. As a consequence, the homotopy category is equivalent to the quotient category of cofibrant objects modulo homotopy. Basic examples of P-categories are the category of topological spaces or the category of commutative differential graded algebras over a field of characteristic zero.

2.1. Categories with a functorial path

We recall the main results on categories with a functorial path (see also Section I.4 of [KP97]).

Definition 2.1. A *functorial path* on a category \mathcal{C} is a functor $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ together with natural transformations

$$1 \xrightarrow{\iota} P \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\delta^0} \\ \xrightarrow{\delta^1} \end{array} 1 \quad \text{such that } \delta^0 \iota = \delta^1 \iota = 1.$$

Definition 2.2. Let $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ be two morphisms of \mathcal{C} . A *homotopy from f to g* is a morphism $h : A \rightarrow P(B)$ of \mathcal{C} such that $\delta_B^0 h = f$ and $\delta_B^1 h = g$. We use the notation $h : f \simeq g$.

Lemma 2.3 ([KP97], Lemma I.2.3). *The homotopy relation defined by a functorial path is reflexive and compatible with the composition.*

2.4. We shall consider extra structure on the path. The notion dual to the functorial path is that of a functorial cylinder. A basic example of such construction is the product $X \times I$ of a topological space X with the unit interval $I = [0, 1]$. In this case one has the following operators:

1. Symmetry. The automorphism of I defined by $t \mapsto 1 - t$ makes the homotopy relation into a symmetric relation.
2. Interchange. There is an automorphism of $I^2 := I \times I$ defined by $(t, s) \mapsto (s, t)$.
3. Product. There is a map $I^2 \rightarrow I$ given by $(t, s) \mapsto ts$.
4. Diagonal map. There is a map $I \rightarrow I^2$ given by $t \mapsto (t, t)$.

We next axiomatize these transformations in their dual version.

Given a functorial path P , we denote $P^0 = 1$, $P^1 = P$, $P^2 = PP$, \dots . For all $0 \leq s \leq n$ we have natural transformations

$$P^n \xrightarrow{\iota^{n,s}} P^{n+1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(\delta^0)^{n,s}} \\ \xrightarrow{(\delta^1)^{n,s}} \end{array} P^n, \quad \begin{cases} \iota^{n,s} := P^s(\iota_{P^{n-s}}) \\ (\delta^k)^{n,s} := P^s(\delta_{P^{n-s}}^k) \end{cases}.$$

Definition 2.5 ([KP97], Def. I.4.1). A *symmetry* of P is a natural automorphism $\tau : P \rightarrow P$ such that $\tau_A \tau_A = 1_{P(A)}$, $\tau_A \iota_A = \iota_A$ and $\delta_A^k \tau_A = \delta_A^{1-k}$ for $k = 0, 1$.

Lemma 2.6 ([KP97], Prop I.4.5). *The homotopy relation defined by a functorial path with a symmetry is a symmetric relation.*

Definition 2.7 ([KP97], Def. I.4.6). A *coproduct* of P is a natural transformation $c : P \rightarrow P^2$ such that:

- (a) The triple (P, δ^1, c) is a comonad, i.e. for $A \in \mathcal{C}$ one has commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(A) & \xrightarrow{c_A} & P^2(A) \\ c_A \downarrow & & \downarrow c_{P(A)} \\ P^2(A) & \xrightarrow{P(c_A)} & P^3(A) \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P(A) & \xleftarrow{\delta_{P(A)}^1} & P^2(A) & \xrightarrow{P(\delta_A^1)} & P(A) \\ & \swarrow 1_{P(A)} & \uparrow c_A & \nearrow 1_{P(A)} & \\ & & P(A) & & \end{array}.$$

- (b) For all $A \in \mathcal{C}$ the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\iota_A} & P(A) \\ \iota_A \downarrow & & \downarrow c_A \\ P(A) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{P(A)}} & P^2(A) \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P(A) & \xleftarrow{\delta_{P(A)}^0} & P^2(A) & \xrightarrow{P(\delta_A^0)} & P(A) \\ & \swarrow \iota_A \delta_A^0 & \uparrow c_A & \nearrow \iota_A \delta_A^0 & \\ & & P(A) & & \end{array}.$$

Lemma 2.8. *For all $A \in \mathcal{C}$ the map c_A is a homotopy from $\iota_A \delta_A^0$ to $1_{P(A)}$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition. □

Definition 2.9 ([KP97], Def. I.4.7). An *interchange* of P is a natural automorphism $\mu : P^2 \rightarrow P^2$ such that $\delta_{P(A)}^k \mu_A = P(\delta_A^k)$ and $P(\delta_A^k) \mu_A = \delta_{P(A)}^k$ for $k = 0, 1$.

Definition 2.10. A *folding map* of P is a natural transformation $\nabla : P^2 \rightarrow P$ such that $\delta_A^k \nabla_A = \delta_A^k \delta_{P(A)}^k$, for $k = 0, 1$, and $\nabla_A \iota_{P(A)} = 1_{P(A)}$.

The transformations defined so far give rise to other useful transformations. In particular we shall use the dual abstract version of the map $I^3 \rightarrow I$ given by $(t, s, l) \mapsto t(s + l - sl)$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let P be a functorial path with a symmetry τ and a coproduct c . There is a natural transformation $\hat{c} : P \rightarrow P^3$ satisfying*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \quad \delta_{P^2(A)}^0 \hat{c}_A = P(\delta_{P(A)}^0) \hat{c}_A = c_A \\ (ii) \quad \delta_{P^2(A)}^1 \hat{c}_A = P(\delta_{P(A)}^1) \hat{c}_A = \iota_{P(A)} \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (iii) \quad P^2(\delta_A^0) \hat{c}_A = \iota_{P(A)} \iota_A \delta_A^0 \\ (iv) \quad P^2(\delta_A^1) \hat{c}_A = \tau_{P(A)} P(\tau_A) c_A \tau_A \end{array} \right. .$$

Proof. Let $c' : P \rightarrow P^2$ be the natural transformation defined by $c'_A := P(\tau_A) c_A \tau_A$. Then c' satisfies the same properties of the coproduct c , with the maps δ^0 and δ^k interchanged. Define $\hat{c}_A := c'_{P(A)} \circ c_A$. The above identities follow from the naturality of τ and c . \square

Denote by \sim the congruence of \mathcal{C} transitively generated by the homotopy relation: $f \sim g$ if there is a chain of homotopies $f \simeq \dots \simeq g$.

Definition 2.12. A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{C} is a *homotopy equivalence* if there exists a morphism $g : B \rightarrow A$ satisfying $fg \sim 1_B$ and $gf \sim 1_A$.

Denote by \mathcal{S} the class of homotopy equivalences of \mathcal{C} . This class is closed by composition and contains all isomorphisms.

Proposition 2.13. *Let \mathcal{C} be a category with a functorial path, together with a symmetry and a coproduct. Then the categories $\pi\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}/\sim$ and $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$ are canonically isomorphic.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.8 the map $\iota_A : A \rightarrow P(A)$ is a homotopy equivalence for all $A \in \mathcal{C}$. The result follows from Proposition 1.3.3 of [GNPR10]. \square

We will use the following constructions.

Definition 2.14. (1) Assume that the pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}(f) & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & P(B) \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \delta_B^0 \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array} .$$

exists. Then $\mathcal{P}(f)$ is called the *mapping path* of f .

(2) Assume that the pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}(f, f') & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & P(B) \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow (\delta_B^0, \delta_B^1) \\ A \times A' & \xrightarrow{f \times f'} & B \times B \end{array} .$$

exists. Then $\mathcal{P}(f, f')$ is called the *double mapping path* of f and f' .

With these notations we have $\mathcal{P}(f, 1_B) = \mathcal{P}(f)$.

2.2. Axioms for a P-category

Let \mathcal{C} be a category with finite products and a final object e . Assume that \mathcal{C} has a functorial path P , together with a symmetry τ , an interchange μ , a coproduct c and a folding map ∇ . Assume as well that \mathcal{C} has two distinguished classes of maps \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{W} called *fibrations* and *weak equivalences* respectively. A map will be called a *trivial fibration* if it is both a fibration and a weak equivalence. As is customary, the symbol $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ will be used for weak equivalences, while \rightarrow will denote a fibration.

Definition 2.15. The quadruple $(\mathcal{C}, P, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{W})$ is called a *P-category* if the following axioms are satisfied:

- (P₁) The classes \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{W} contain all isomorphisms and are closed by composition. The class \mathcal{W} satisfies the two out of three property. The map $A \rightarrow e$ is a fibration for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (P₂) The map $\iota_A : A \rightarrow P(A)$ is a weak equivalence and $(\delta_A^0, \delta_A^1) : P(A) \rightarrow A \times A$ is a fibration. The maps δ_A^0 and δ_A^1 are trivial fibrations, for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (P₃) Given a diagram $A \xrightarrow{u} C \xleftarrow{v} B$, where v is a fibration, the fibre product $A \times_C B$ exists, and the projection $\pi_1 : A \times_C B \rightarrow A$ is a fibration. In addition, if v is a trivial fibration, then π_1 is so, and if u is a weak equivalence, then $\pi_2 : A \times_C B \rightarrow B$ is also a weak equivalence.
- (P₄) The path preserves fibrations and weak equivalences and is compatible with the fibre product: $P(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$, $P(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathcal{W}$ and $P(A \times_C B) = PA \times_{PC} PB$.
- (P₅) For every fibration $v : A \rightarrow B$, the map \bar{v} defined by the following diagram is a fibration.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 P(A) & & & & \\
 & \searrow^{P(v)} & & & \\
 & & P(B) & & \\
 & \searrow^{\bar{v}} & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow (\delta_B^0, \delta_B^1) \\
 & & P(v, v) & \longrightarrow & P(B) \\
 & \searrow^{(\delta_A^0, \delta_A^1)} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & A \times A & \xrightarrow{v \times v} & B \times B
 \end{array}$$

Remark 2.16. A category satisfying axioms (P₁) to (P₃) is a Brown category of fibrant objects with a functorial path [Bro73]. Axiom (P₅) is dual to the *relative cylinder axiom* of Baues [Bau89], and can be described as a cubical homotopy lifting property in dimension 2 (see [KP97], pag. 86). Baues introduced P-categories as an abstract example of a fibration category. Although our notion of a P-category differs substantially from the notion introduced by Baues, we borrow the same name. The axioms for Baues fibration categories are very similar to those of Anderson-Brown-Cisinski fibration categories, the latter including conditions relative to limits, such as closure of fibrations under transfinite compositions. The motivation behind these additional axioms lies in the construction of homotopy colimits indexed by small diagrams. We refer to [Bau89], [Cis10] and [RB07] for details.

Our objective in this section is to study the homotopy category $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) := \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$. We first prove some useful results that are a consequence of the axioms.

Lemma 2.17 (cf. [Bro73], Factorization Lemma). *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism in a P-category \mathcal{C} . Define maps $p := \pi_1$, $q := \delta_B^1 \pi_2$, and $\iota := (1_A, \iota_B f)$. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xleftarrow{p} & \mathcal{P}(f) & \xrightarrow{q} & B \\
 & \searrow & \uparrow \iota & \nearrow f & \\
 & & A & &
 \end{array}$$

commutes. In addition:

- (1) *The map p is a trivial fibration, and q is a fibration.*

- (2) The map ι is a homotopy equivalence with homotopy inverse p .
(3) If f is a weak equivalence, then q is a trivial fibration.

Proof. Since δ_B^0 is a fibration, the mapping path $\mathcal{P}(f)$ exists by (P₃). From the definitions it is immediate that the above diagram commutes.

Assertion (1) follows analogously to the proof of Brown's Factorization Lemma [Bro73] in its dual version. Assertion (3) follows from (1) and the two out of three property of \mathcal{W} . We prove (2). Since $p\iota = 1$, it suffices to define a homotopy from ιp to the identity. Let h be the morphism defined by the following pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{P}(f) & \xrightarrow{c_B \pi_2} & P^2(B) \\
\downarrow \iota_A \pi_1 & \searrow h & \downarrow P(\delta_B^0) \\
P(\mathcal{P}(f)) & \longrightarrow & P^2(B) \\
\downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
P(A) & \xrightarrow{P(f)} & P(B)
\end{array} ,$$

where c is the coproduct of the path (see Definition 2.7) and satisfies $P(\delta_B^0)c_B = \iota_B \delta_B^0$. Using the naturality of ι one sees that the above solid diagram commutes. Hence h is well defined. By (P₄), the pull-back $P(\mathcal{P}(f))$ is a path object of $\mathcal{P}(f)$ and for $k = 0, 1$ we have

$$\delta_{\mathcal{P}(f)}^k h = (\delta_A^k P(\pi_1), \delta_{P(B)}^k P(\pi_2))(\iota_A \pi_1, c_B \pi_2) = (\pi_1, \delta_{P(B)}^k c_B \pi_2).$$

Since $\delta_{P(B)}^0 c_B = \iota_B \delta_B^0$ and $\delta_{P(B)}^1 c_B = 1_B$, it follows that $\delta_{\mathcal{P}(f)}^0 h = (\pi_1, \iota_B \delta_B^0 \pi_2) = (1_A, \iota_B f)\pi_1 = \iota p$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{P}(f)}^1 h = (\pi_1, \pi_2) = 1$. Hence h is a homotopy from ιp to the identity. \square

Axiom (P₅) states that for a fibration $v : A \twoheadrightarrow B$, the induced morphism $\bar{v} : P(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(v, v)$ is a fibration. We prove an analogous statement for weak equivalences.

Lemma 2.18. *Let $w : A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$ be weak equivalence in a P -category \mathcal{C} . Then the induced map*

$$\bar{w} := ((\delta_A^0, \delta_A^1), P(w)) : P(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(w, w)$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. By (P₃) the maps $1_A \times w$ and $w \times 1_B$ are weak equivalences. Therefore the composition $w \times w$ is also a weak equivalence. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& P(A) & \\
\bar{w} \swarrow & & \downarrow P(w) \\
\mathcal{P}(w, w) & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & P(B) \\
\pi_1 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow (\delta_B^0, \delta_B^1) \\
A \times A & \xrightarrow{w \times w} & B \times B
\end{array} .$$

By (P₃), the projection π_2 is a weak equivalence. By (P₄), the map $P(w)$ is a weak equivalence. Hence \bar{w} is a weak equivalence by the two out of three property of \mathcal{W} . \square

Lemma 2.19. *The map π_A defined by the following pull-back diagram is a trivial fibration.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 P^2(A) & & & & \\
 \downarrow \delta_{P(A)}^0 & \searrow P(\delta_A^1) & & & \\
 & \mathcal{P}(\delta_A^1) & \longrightarrow & P(A) & \\
 & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \delta_A^0 & \\
 & P(A) & \xrightarrow{\delta_A^1} & A &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Define a map p_A via the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{P}(\delta_A^1, \delta_A^1) & & & & \\
 \downarrow \pi_1 & \searrow p_A & & & \\
 & \mathcal{P}(\delta_A^1) & \longrightarrow & P(A) & \\
 & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \delta_A^0 & \\
 P(A) \times P(A) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & P(A) & \xrightarrow{\delta_A^1} & A
 \end{array}$$

This map is a base extension of the trivial fibration $\delta_A^1 : P(A) \rightarrow A$, by $\delta_A^1 \pi_2 : \mathcal{P}(\delta_A^1) \rightarrow A$. Therefore by (P₃) it is a trivial fibration. The map $\pi_A : P^2(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\delta_A^1)$ is the composition

$$P^2(A) \xrightarrow{\overline{\delta_A^1}} \mathcal{P}(\delta_A^1, \delta_A^1) \xrightarrow{p_A} \mathcal{P}(\delta_A^1),$$

where $\overline{\delta_A^1}$ is a trivial fibration by (P₅) and Lemma 2.18. Therefore π_A is a trivial fibration. \square

2.3. Cofibrant models

Definition 2.20. An object C of a P-category \mathcal{C} is called *cofibrant* if for any solid diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & A \\
 & \nearrow g & \downarrow w \\
 C & \xrightarrow{f} & B
 \end{array}$$

in which w is a trivial fibration, there exists a dotted arrow g making the diagram commute.

The following is a homotopy lifting property for cofibrant objects with respect to trivial fibrations.

Lemma 2.21. *Let C be a cofibrant object of a P-category \mathcal{C} , and let $v : A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$ be a trivial fibration. For every commutative solid diagram of \mathcal{C}*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C & & & & \\
 \downarrow h & \searrow (f_0, f_1) & & & \\
 & P(A) & \xrightarrow{(\delta_A^0, \delta_A^1)} & A \times A & \\
 & \downarrow P(v) & & \downarrow v \times v & \\
 & P(B) & \xrightarrow{(\delta_B^0, \delta_B^1)} & B \times B &
 \end{array} ,$$

there exists a dotted arrow \tilde{h} , making the diagram commute. In other words: every homotopy $h : v f_0 \simeq v f_1$ lifts to a homotopy $\tilde{h} : f_0 \simeq f_1$ such that $P(v)\tilde{h} = h$.

Proof. Let $H = (f_0, f_1, h)$ and consider the solid diagram of \mathcal{C}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & P(A) \\ & \nearrow \tilde{h} & \downarrow \wr \bar{v} \\ C & \xrightarrow{H} & \mathcal{P}(v, v) \end{array} .$$

By (P₅) and Lemma 2.18 the map $\bar{v} = ((\delta_A^0, \delta_A^1), P(v))$ is a trivial fibration. Since C is cofibrant, there exists \tilde{h} such that $\bar{v}\tilde{h} = H$. Hence $(\delta_A^0, \delta_A^1)\tilde{h} = (f_0, f_1)$ and $P(v)\tilde{h} = h$. \square

Proposition 2.22 (cf. [GM81], Corollary 10.7). *The homotopy relation in a P-category is an equivalence relation for morphisms whose source is cofibrant.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 the homotopy relation is reflexive. By Lemma 2.6 it is symmetric. We prove transitivity. Let C be a cofibrant object and let $f, f', f'' : C \rightarrow A$ be morphisms together with homotopies $h : f \simeq f'$ and $h' : f' \simeq f''$. Consider the solid diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & P^2(A) \\ & \nearrow \mathcal{L} & \downarrow \wr \pi_A \\ C & \xrightarrow{(h, h')} & \mathcal{P}(\delta_A^1) \end{array} .$$

By Lemma 2.19 the map $\pi_A = (\delta_{P(A)}^0, P(\delta_A^1))$ is a trivial fibration. Since C is cofibrant, there exists a dotted arrow \mathcal{L} such that $\pi_A \mathcal{L} = (h, h')$. Therefore $\delta_{P(A)}^0 \mathcal{L} = h$ and $P(\delta_A^1) \mathcal{L} = h'$.

Let $h \tilde{+} h' := \nabla_A \mathcal{L}$, where ∇ is the folding map (see Definition 2.10) and satisfies $\delta^k \nabla = \delta^k \delta^k = \delta^k P(\delta^k)$. Then $\delta_A^0(h \tilde{+} h') = f$ and $\delta_A^1(h \tilde{+} h') = f''$. Hence $h \tilde{+} h' : f \simeq f''$. \square

Given a cofibrant object C of a P-category \mathcal{C} , denote by $[C, A] := \mathcal{C}(C, A) / \simeq$ the class of maps from C to A modulo homotopy. Denote by \mathcal{C}_{cof} the full subcategory of cofibrant objects of \mathcal{C} and by $\pi \mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}}$ the quotient category $\pi \mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}}(A, B) = [A, B]$.

Proposition 2.23 (cf. [GM81], Theorem 10.8). *Let C be a cofibrant object of a P-category \mathcal{C} . Every weak equivalence $w : A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$ of \mathcal{C} induces a bijection $w_* : [C, A] \rightarrow [C, B]$.*

Proof. We first prove surjectivity. Let $w : A \rightarrow B$ be a weak equivalence. By Lemma 2.17, for every morphism $f : C \rightarrow B$ we have a solid diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & A \\ & & \uparrow p \\ & & \mathcal{P}(w) \\ & \nearrow g' & \downarrow \wr q \\ C & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array} ,$$

where q is a trivial fibration, $qu = w$ and $\iota p \simeq 1$. Since C is cofibrant, there exists g' such that $qg' = f$. Let $g := pg'$. Then $wg = q\iota pg' \simeq qg' = f$. Therefore $[wg] = [f]$, and w_* is surjective.

To prove injectivity, let $f_0, f_1 : C \rightarrow B$ be two morphisms of \mathcal{C} such that $h : wf_0 \simeq wf_1$. Let $H = (f_0, f_1, h)$ and consider the solid diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & P(A) \\ & \nearrow G & \downarrow \wr \bar{w} \\ C & \xrightarrow{H} & \mathcal{P}(w, w) \end{array} .$$

By Lemma 2.18 the map $\bar{w} = ((\delta_A^0, \delta_A^1), P(w))$ is a weak equivalence. Since \bar{w}_* is surjective, there exists a dotted arrow G such that $\bar{w}G \simeq H$. It follows that $f_0 \simeq \delta_A^0 G \simeq \delta_A^1 G \simeq f_1$. Then $f_0 \simeq f_1$ by Lemma 2.22. \square

It follows from Proposition 2.23, that if a P-category \mathcal{C} has enough cofibrant models, then the triple $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ is a left Cartan-Eilenberg category. In particular the inclusion induces an equivalence of categories $\pi\mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$. We prove this statement in a more general situation, that of a subcategory of a P-category having enough cofibrant models.

Theorem 2.24. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, P, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{W})$ be a P-category and let \mathcal{D} be a full subcategory of \mathcal{C} such that:*

- (i) *The mapping path $\mathcal{P}(f)$ of a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ between objects of \mathcal{D} is an object of \mathcal{D} .*
- (ii) *There is a full subcategory $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}}$ such that for every object D of \mathcal{D} there exists an object $M \in \mathcal{M}$ together with a weak equivalence $M \xrightarrow{\sim} D$.*

Then the triple $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ is a left Cartan-Eilenberg category and \mathcal{M} is a full subcategory of cofibrant models. The inclusion induces an equivalence of categories $\pi\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$.

Proof. By (i), the functorial path of \mathcal{C} restricts to a functorial path in \mathcal{D} . By (P₂) the class \mathcal{S} of strong equivalences is contained in the saturation of \mathcal{W} . Hence the triple $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ is a category with strong and weak equivalences. By Proposition 2.23 every object in \mathcal{M} is Cartan-Eilenberg cofibrant in $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$. Hence by (ii), the triple $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ is a Cartan-Eilenberg category with left models in \mathcal{M} . By Theorem 2.3.4 of [GNPR10], the inclusion induces an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}, \mathcal{D}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$, where $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}, \mathcal{D}]$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$ whose objects are in \mathcal{M} . The equivalence $\pi\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}, \mathcal{D}]$ follows from Proposition 2.13. \square

3. Examples of P-categories

The archetypal example of a P-category is given by the category of topological spaces, with the weak equivalences being continuous maps that induce isomorphisms on all homotopy groups. From the algebraic side, the basic example is given by the category of differential graded algebras over a field of characteristic zero, with the class of weak equivalences defined by those morphisms inducing isomorphisms on the cohomology groups. In this section we present both examples in detail. We also provide a criterion of structure transfer and apply it to two fundamental examples appearing in mixed Hodge theory: the categories of filtered and bifiltered differential graded algebras.

3.1. Topological spaces

Let $I = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ denote the unit interval. Given a topological space X , let $P(X) := X^I$ be the set of all maps $\sigma : I \rightarrow X$ with the compact open topology. Define structural maps $\iota_X : X \rightarrow P(X)$ and $\delta_X^k : P(X) \rightarrow X$ by $\iota_X(x)(t) = x$, and $\delta_X^k(\sigma) = \sigma(k)$, for $k = 0, 1$. The structure for the functorial path P (symmetry, coproduct, interchange and folding map) is obtained from the maps of 2.4, through the bijection $\text{Top}(X, P(Y)) \cong \text{Top}(X \times I, Y)$.

Definition 3.1. A morphism $v : X \rightarrow Y$ of topological spaces is called *Serre fibration* if for every $n \geq 0$, and any commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \downarrow i_0 & \nearrow H & \downarrow v \\ U \times I & \xrightarrow{G} & B \end{array},$$

where U is the unit disk of \mathbb{R}^n , a dotted arrow H exists, making the diagram commute.

Definition 3.2. A map $w : X \rightarrow Y$ of topological spaces is called *weak homotopy equivalence* if the induced map $w_* : \pi_0(X) \rightarrow \pi_0(Y)$ is a bijection and $w_* : \pi_n(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y, w(x))$ is an isomorphism for every $x \in X$ and every $n \geq 1$.

With the above definitions it follows that every CW-complex is cofibrant in the sense of Definition 2.20. We have:

Proposition 3.3. *The category \mathbf{Top} of topological spaces with the classes $\mathcal{F} = \{\text{Serre fibrations}\}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \{\text{weak homotopy equivalences}\}$ and the functorial path $P(X) = X^I$ is a P -category. The inclusion induces an equivalence of categories $\pi\mathbf{CW} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Top})$.*

Proof. Axioms (P₁) to (P₄) are standard. A proof of (P₅) can be found in [Bau77], pag. 133. Every CW-complex is cofibrant, and every space is weakly equivalent to a CW-complex (see for example [Qui67], [DS95], [Hov99]). The equivalence follows from Theorem 2.24. \square

3.2. Differential graded algebras

Consider the category $\mathbf{DGA}_{\mathbf{k}}$ of dga's over a field \mathbf{k} of characteristic 0. The field \mathbf{k} is the initial object, and 0 is the final object. The functorial path is defined by

$$P(A) = A[t, dt] = A \otimes (t, dt) \text{ and } P(f) = f \otimes 1,$$

together with structural maps $\iota_A = 1_A \otimes 1$, and $\delta_A^k(a(t)) = a(k)$, for $k = 0, 1$. For $n \geq 1$,

$$P^n(A) = A[t_1, dt_1, \dots, t_n dt_n] = A \otimes (t_1, dt_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (t_n, dt_n).$$

The following maps are defined dually to the maps of 2.4.

1. Symmetry. Define $\tau_A : A[t, dt] \rightarrow A[t, dt]$ by $t \mapsto 1 - t$.
2. Interchange. Define $\mu_A : A[t, dt, s, ds] \rightarrow A[t, dt, s, ds]$ by $t \mapsto s$ and $s \mapsto t$.
3. Coproduct. Define $c_A : A[t, dt] \rightarrow A[t, dt, s, ds]$ by $t \mapsto ts$,
4. Folding map. Define $\nabla_A : A[t, dt, s, ds] \rightarrow A[t, dt]$ by $t \mapsto t$ and $s \mapsto t$.

Denote by $\mathbf{DGA}_{\mathbf{k}}^0$ the category of 0 -connected dga's: these are the dga's (A, d) such that the unit $\eta : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow A$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbf{k} \cong H^0(A)$. By Sullivan's theory of minimal models ([Sul77], see also [BG76], [GM81] or [FHT01]), every 0 -connected dga has a Sullivan minimal model, and Sullivan minimal dga's are cofibrant. Denote by $\mathbf{Smin}_{\mathbf{k}}$ the full subcategory of Sullivan minimal dga's. We have:

Proposition 3.4. *The category $\mathbf{DGA}_{\mathbf{k}}$ with $\mathcal{F} = \{\text{surjections}\}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \{\text{quasi-isomorphisms}\}$, and the functorial path $P(A) = A[t, dt]$, is a P -category. The inclusion induces an equivalence of categories $\pi\mathbf{Smin}_{\mathbf{k}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{DGA}_{\mathbf{k}}^0)$.*

Proof. The only non-trivial axiom is (P₅). The double mapping path of $v : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ is

$$\mathcal{P}(v, v) = \{(a_0, a_1, b(t)) \in A \times A \times B[t, dt]; b(i) = v(a_i)\},$$

and the map $\bar{v} : A[t, dt] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(v, v)$ is given by $\bar{v}(a(t)) = (a(0), a(1), (v \otimes 1)(a(t)))$.

Let $(a_0, a_1, b(t)) \in \mathcal{P}(v, v)$. Since $v \otimes 1$ is surjective, there exists an element $\tilde{b}(t) \in A[t, dt]$ such that $(v \otimes 1)\tilde{b}(t) = b(t)$. Let $a(t) := (a_0 - \tilde{b}(0))(1 - t) + (a_1 - \tilde{b}(1))t + \tilde{b}(t)$. Then $\bar{v}(a(t)) = (a_0, a_1, b(t))$. Therefore \bar{v} is surjective, and (P₅) is satisfied.

By Proposition 7.7 of [BG76], every 0 -connected dga has a Sullivan minimal model. By Proposition 6.4 of loc. cit. every Sullivan minimal dga is cofibrant. The equivalence of categories follows from Theorem 2.24 with $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{DGA}_{\mathbf{k}}$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{DGA}_{\mathbf{k}}^0$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{Smin}_{\mathbf{k}}$. \square

3.3. Transfer of structures

Let \mathcal{C} be a category with finite products and a final object. Assume that \mathcal{C} has a functorial path P , together with a symmetry, an interchange, a coproduct and a folding map.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $(\mathcal{D}, P, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{W})$ be a P -category and $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a functor such that:*

- (i) *For every object $A \in \mathcal{C}$, $T(P(A)) = P(T(A))$, $T(\iota_A) = \iota_{T(A)}$, and $T(\delta_A^k) = \delta_{T(A)}^k$.*
- (ii) *Given morphisms $A \xrightarrow{u} C \xleftarrow{v} B$ of \mathcal{C} , where $T(v)$ is a fibration, the fibre product $A \times_C B$ exists, and satisfies $P(A \times_C B) = PA \times_{P(C)} P(B)$ and $T(A \times_C B) = T(A) \times_{T(C)} T(B)$.*

Then the tuple $(\mathcal{C}, P, T^{-1}(\mathcal{F}), T^{-1}(W))$ is a P -category.

Proof. Axiom (P_1) is trivial. Axiom (P_2) follows from (i). The rest follows from (ii). \square

3.4. Filtered differential graded algebras

Denote by $\mathbf{FDGA}_{\mathbf{k}}$ the category of filtered dga's over \mathbf{k} . The base field \mathbf{k} is considered as a filtered dga with the trivial filtration and the unit map $\eta : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow A$ is filtered. We will restrict to filtered dga's (A, W) whose filtration is regular and exhaustive: for each $n \geq 0$ there exists $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $W_q A^n = 0$, and $A = \cup_p W_p A$.

The spectral sequence associated with a filtered dga A is compatible with the multiplicative structure. Hence for all $r \geq 0$, the term $E_r^{*,*}(A)$ is a bigraded dga with differential d_r of bidegree $(r, 1 - r)$. For the rest of this section we fix an integer $r \geq 0$. We adopt the following definition of [HT90] (see also [CG12]).

Definition 3.6. A morphism of filtered dga's $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called E_r -quasi-isomorphism (resp. E_r -surjection) if $E_r(f) : E_r(A) \rightarrow E_r(B)$ is a quasi-isomorphism (resp. surjective).

3.7. Let $\Lambda(t, dt)$ be the free dga with generators t and dt of degree 0 and 1 respectively. For $r \geq 0$, define an increasing filtration $\sigma[r]$ on $\Lambda(t, dt)$ by letting t be of weight 0 and dt of weight $-r$ and extending multiplicatively. Note that $\sigma[0]$ is the trivial filtration, and $\sigma[1]$ is the bête filtration.

Definition 3.8. The r -path $P_r(A)$ of a filtered dga A is the dga $A \otimes \Lambda(t, dt)$ with the filtration defined by the convolution of W and $\sigma[r]$. We have:

$$W_p P_r(A) = \sum_q W_{p-q} A \otimes \sigma[r]_q \Lambda(t, dt) = (W_p A \otimes \Lambda(t)) \oplus (W_{p+r} A \otimes \Lambda(t)dt).$$

Proposition 3.9. The category $\mathbf{FDGA}_{\mathbf{k}}$ together with the classes $\mathcal{F}_r = \{E_r\text{-surjections}\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_r = \{E_r\text{-quasi-isomorphisms}\}$ and the path $P_r(A)$ is a P -category.

Proof. We show that the functor $E_r : \mathbf{FDGA}_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \mathbf{DGA}_{\mathbf{k}}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.5. The isomorphism $E_r(P_r(A)) \cong E_r(A) \otimes \Lambda(t, dt)$ gives the compatibility of E_r with the r -path. Consider filtered morphisms $(A, F) \xrightarrow{u} (C, F) \xleftarrow{v} (B, F)$, where v is an E_r -fibration. Then

$$A \times_C B = \text{Ker} \left(A \times B \xrightarrow{u-v} C \right).$$

Since $E_r(v)$ is surjective, by Proposition 1.1.11 of [Del71], the map v is strictly compatible with filtrations and hence $u - v$ is so. Therefore $E_r \text{Ker}(u - v) = \text{Ker} E_r(u - v)$ and E_r is compatible with fibre products. The result follows from Lemma 3.5 together with Proposition 3.4. \square

For the applications to mixed Hodge theory we shall be interested in the P -category structure of $\mathbf{FDGA}_{\mathbf{k}}$ associated with E_1 -quasi-isomorphisms. Likewise, in the category $\mathbf{F}^2\mathbf{DGA}_{\mathbf{k}}$ of bifiltered dga's we shall consider the P -category structure associated with the class of $E_{1,0}$ -quasi-isomorphisms.

Definition 3.10. A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of bifiltered dga's is called $E_{1,0}$ -quasi-isomorphism (resp. $E_{1,0}$ -surjection) if for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $E_1(\text{Gr}_F^p f, W)$ is a quasi-isomorphism (resp. surjective).

Definition 3.11. The $(1, 0)$ -path object of a bifiltered dga A is the bifiltered dga defined by

$$W_p F^q P_{1,0}(A) = (W_p F^q A \otimes \Lambda(t)) \oplus (W_{p+1} F^q A \otimes \Lambda(t)dt).$$

Proposition 3.12. The category $\mathbf{F}^2\mathbf{DGA}_{\mathbf{k}}$ together with the classes $\mathcal{F}_{1,0} = \{E_{1,0}\text{-surjections}\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{1,0} = \{E_{1,0}\text{-quasi-isomorphisms}\}$ and the path $P_{1,0}(A)$ is a P -category.

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 3.9. \square

4. Diagram Categories

We study the homotopy theory of diagram categories. It is quite straightforward, that if the vertex categories are endowed with compatible P-category structures, there is a P-category structure on the diagram category defined level-wise. However, in general, level-wise cofibrant models do not give cofibrant models of the diagram category. In the context of Cartan-Eilenberg categories, we show that if we consider a weaker class of strong equivalences than the one associated with the functorial path, one obtains a Cartan-Eilenberg structure on the diagram category with level-wise weak equivalences, which is still useful to describe the morphisms in the homotopy category in terms of certain homotopy classes of morphisms up to homotopy between level-wise cofibrant objects.

4.1. Level-wise P-category structure

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{C} : I \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ be a functor from a small category I , to the category of categories Cat . For all $i \in I$, denote $\mathcal{C}_i := \mathcal{C}(i) \in \text{Cat}$, and $u_* := \mathcal{C}(u) \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{C}_j)$, for all $u : i \rightarrow j$. The *category $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ of diagrams associated with the functor \mathcal{C}* is defined as follows:

- An object A of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ is given by a family of objects $\{A_i \in \mathcal{C}_i\}$, for all $i \in I$, together with a family of morphisms $\varphi_u : u_*(A_i) \rightarrow A_j$, called *comparison morphisms*, for every map $u : i \rightarrow j$. Such an object is denoted as

$$A = \left(A_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_u} A_j \right).$$

- A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ is a family of morphisms $\{f_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i\}$ of \mathcal{C}_i , for all $i \in I$, such that for every map $u : i \rightarrow j$ of I , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u_*(A_i) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_u} & A_j \\ u_*(f_i) \downarrow & & \downarrow f_j \\ u_*(B_i) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_u} & B_j \end{array}$$

commutes in \mathcal{C}_j . Denote $f = (f_i) : A \rightarrow B$.


By an abuse of notation, we will omit the notation of the functors u_* and write A_i for $u_*(A_i)$ and f_i for $u_*(f_i)$, whenever there is no danger of confusion.

Remark 4.2. The category of diagrams $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ associated with \mathcal{C} is the category of sections of the projection functor $\pi : \int_I \mathcal{C} \rightarrow I$, where $\int_I \mathcal{C}$ is the Grothendieck construction of \mathcal{C} (see [Tho79]). If $\mathcal{C} : I \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ is the constant functor $i \mapsto \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}(u)$ is the identity functor of a category \mathcal{C} , for all $u : i \rightarrow j$, then $\Gamma\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^I$ is the diagram category of objects of \mathcal{C} under I .


4.3. We will restrict our study of diagram categories satisfying the following axioms:

- (I₁) The index category I is finite and has a *degree function* $|\cdot| : \text{Ob}(I) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that $|i| < |j|$ for every non-identity morphism $u : i \rightarrow j$ of I .
- (I₂) For all $i \in I$, the category \mathcal{C}_i is equipped with a functorial path P , together with two classes \mathcal{F}_i and \mathcal{W}_i such that $(\mathcal{C}_i, P, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{W}_i)$ is a P-category.
- (I₃) For all $u : i \rightarrow j$ the functor $u_* : \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_j$ preserves path objects, fibrations, weak equivalences and fibre products.

A category I satisfying (I₁) is a particular case of a Reedy category for which $I^+ = I$. The main examples of such categories are given by finite zig-zags



but other diagram shapes are admitted. For example:



All objects at the bottom of the diagrams have degree 0, and the ones at the top have degree 1.

Definition 4.4. A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ is called *weak equivalence* (resp. *fibration*) if for all $i \in I$, the maps f_i are weak equivalences (resp. fibrations) of \mathcal{C}_i . Denote by \mathcal{W} (resp. \mathcal{F}) the class of weak equivalences (resp. fibrations) of the diagram category $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 4.5. The *path object* $P(A)$ of a diagram A of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ is the diagram defined by

$$P(A) = \left(P(A_i) \xrightarrow{P(\varphi_u)} P(A_j) \right).$$

There are natural morphisms of diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xleftarrow{\delta_A^0} & P(A) & \xrightarrow{\delta_A^1} & A \\ & \searrow & \uparrow & \swarrow & \\ & & A & & \end{array},$$

defined level-wise. This defines a functorial path on $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$.

Let $A \xrightarrow{u} C \xleftarrow{v} B$ be a diagram of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$, and assume that for all $i \in I$, the fibre product $A_i \times_{C_i} B_i$ exists. Then the fibre product $A \times_C B$ is given level-wise by $(A \times_C B)_i = A_i \times_{B_i} C_i$. The comparison morphism $\psi_u : (A \times_C B)_i \rightarrow (A \times_C B)_j$ is given by $\psi_u = (\varphi_u^A \pi_1, \varphi_u^B \pi_2)$, where φ_u^A and φ_u^B denote the comparison morphisms of A and B respectively.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ be a diagram category satisfying conditions (I₁)-(I₃) of 4.3. Then $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ is a P -category with path objects, fibrations, weak equivalences and fibre products defined level-wise.*

Proof. The functor $\Gamma\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{C}_i$ induced by the inclusion $I_{dis} \rightarrow I$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.5. \square

Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of homotopy equivalences of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$. If $f = (f_i)$ is in \mathcal{S} , then $f_i \in \mathcal{S}_i$. In particular, since $\mathcal{S}_i \subset \mathcal{W}_i$ we have $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{W}$. Hence the triple $(\Gamma\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W})$ is a category with strong and weak equivalences. Our objective is to study the homotopy category $\text{Ho}(\Gamma\mathcal{C}) := \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$. Note that in general, this differs from the category of diagrams $\Gamma\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ associated with the level-wise localized categories.

4.2. Morphisms up to homotopy

The characterization and existence of cofibrant models of a diagram category $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ involves a rectification of homotopy commutative morphisms. We solve this problem by studying the factorization of morphisms commuting up to fixed homotopies into the composition of morphisms in a certain localized category $\Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}]$, with $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{W}$. The following is a simple example illustrating the procedure that we will conduct.

Example 4.7 (Model of a morphism of dga's). Consider a diagram of morphisms of dga's

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A_1 \\ f_0 \downarrow & \searrow F & \downarrow f_1 \\ B_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B_1 \end{array}$$

where $F : A_0 \rightarrow B_1[t, dt]$ is a homotopy from $f_1\varphi$ to φf_0 . Consider the mapping path

$$\mathcal{P}(f_i) = \{(a, b(t)) \in A_i \times B_i[t, dt]; f_i(a) = b(0)\}, i = 0, 1$$

and define morphisms $p_i : \mathcal{P}(f_i) \rightarrow A_i$ and $q_i : \mathcal{P}(f_i) \rightarrow B_i$ by letting $p_i(a, b(t)) = a$, and $q_i(a, b(t)) = b(t)$. The maps q_i and p_i are quasi-isomorphisms of dga's, for $i = 0, 1$. Define a morphism $\psi : \mathcal{P}(f_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(f_1)$ by letting $\psi(a, b(t)) = (\varphi(a), F(a))$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A_1 \\ p_0 \uparrow & & \uparrow p_1 \\ \mathcal{P}(f_0) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{P}(f_1) \\ q_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow q_1 \\ B_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B_1 \end{array}$$

commutes. The key point of this construction resides in the definition of the morphism ψ (which depends on the homotopy F , and only on the first variable), and the morphisms q_i (whose definition depends on whether the index i is a source or a target in the index category). Note also that the morphisms p_i are homotopy equivalences.

Definition 4.8. A *ho-morphism* $f : A \rightsquigarrow B$ between two objects of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ is pair of families $f = (f_i, F_u)$ indexed by $i \in I$ and $u \in I(i, j)$, where:

- (i) $f_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C}_i , and
- (ii) $F_u : A_i \rightarrow P(B_j)$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C}_j satisfying $\delta_{B_j}^0 F_u = f_j \varphi_u$ and $\delta_{B_j}^1 F_u = \varphi_u f_i$. Hence F_u is a homotopy of morphisms of \mathcal{C}_j making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{\varphi_u} & A_j \\ f_i \downarrow & \searrow F & \downarrow f_j \\ B_i & \xrightarrow{\varphi_u} & B_j \end{array}$$

commute up to homotopy.

Denote by $\Gamma\mathcal{C}^h(A, B)$ the set of ho-morphisms from A to B . Every morphism $f = (f_i) : A \rightarrow B$ can be made into a ho-morphism $f = (f_i, F_u) : A \rightsquigarrow B$ by letting $F_u = \iota_{B_j} f_j \varphi_u = \iota_{B_j} \varphi_u f_i$. This defines an inclusion of sets

$$\Gamma\mathcal{C}(A, B) \subset \Gamma\mathcal{C}^h(A, B).$$

The composition of ho-morphisms is not well defined. This is due to the fact that the homotopy relation between objects of \mathcal{C}_i is not transitive in general. However, we can compose ho-morphisms with morphisms. The following is straightforward.

Lemma 4.9. Let $f : A \rightsquigarrow B$ be a ho-morphism and let $g : A' \rightarrow A$ and $h : B \rightarrow B'$ be morphisms of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$. There are ho-morphisms $fg : A' \rightsquigarrow B$ and $hf : A \rightsquigarrow B'$, given by

$$fg = (f_i g_i, F_u g_i), \text{ and } hf = (h_i f_i, P(h_j) F_u).$$

If f is a morphism, then fg and hf coincide with the standard composition of morphisms of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 4.10. Let $f, g : A \rightsquigarrow B$ be two ho-morphisms. A *homotopy* from f to g is a ho-morphism $h : A \rightsquigarrow P(B)$ such that $\delta_B^0 h = f$ and $\delta_B^1 h = g$. We use the notation $h : f \simeq g$.

Equivalently, such a homotopy is given by a family of pairs $h = (h_i, H_u)$ where:

- (i) $h_i : A_i \rightarrow P(B_i)$ satisfies $\delta_{B_i}^0 h_i = f_i$ and $\delta_{B_i}^1 h_i = g_i$, i.e., h_i is a homotopy from f_i to g_i .

(ii) $H_u : A_i \rightarrow P^2(B_j)$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C}_j satisfying

$$\begin{cases} P(\delta_{B_j}^0)H_u = F_u, \\ P(\delta_{B_j}^1)H_u = G_u, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \delta_{P(B_j)}^0 H_u = h_j \varphi_u, \\ \delta_{P(B_j)}^1 H_u = \psi_u h_i \end{cases}.$$

Definition 4.11. A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ is said to be a *ho-equivalence* if there exists a ho-morphism $g : B \rightsquigarrow A$ together with chains of homotopies of ho-morphisms $gf \simeq \cdots \simeq 1_A$ and $fg \simeq \cdots \simeq 1_B$.

Denote by \mathcal{H} the closure by composition of the class of ho-equivalences.

Lemma 4.12. We have $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \overline{\mathcal{W}}$. In particular, the triple $(\Gamma\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W})$ is a category with strong and weak equivalences.

Proof. If f and g are homotopic morphisms of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$, then they are also homotopic as ho-morphisms. Therefore $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}$. If f is a ho-equivalence, then f_i is a morphism of \mathcal{S}_i , for all $i \in I$. Since $\mathcal{S}_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{W}}_i$, it follows that $\mathcal{H} \subset \overline{\mathcal{W}}$. \square

4.3. Factorization of ho-morphisms

Our next objective is to prove a Brown-type Factorization Lemma for ho-morphisms.

Definition 4.13. Let $f : A \rightsquigarrow B$ be a ho-morphism. The *mapping path* of f is the diagram

$$\mathcal{P}^h(f) = \left(\mathcal{P}(f_i) \xrightarrow{\psi_u} \mathcal{P}(f_j) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{P}(f_i)$ is the mapping path of f_i and the comparison morphism $\psi_u : \mathcal{P}(f_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(f_j)$ is defined by $\psi_u = (\varphi_u, F_u)\pi_1$ via the pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{P}(f_i) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & A_i & & \\ & & \searrow^{F_u} & & \\ & & \mathcal{P}(f_j) & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & P(B_j) \\ & \searrow^{\varphi_u} & \downarrow \pi_1 & \lrcorner & \downarrow \delta_{B_j}^0 \\ & & A_j & \xrightarrow{f_j} & B_j \end{array}.$$

Remark 4.14. Since $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ is a P-category, every morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ has a mapping path $\mathcal{P}(f)$. We can consider f as a ho-morphism, by letting $F_u = \iota f_j \varphi_u$, so that it has an associated mapping path $\mathcal{P}^h(f)$. The comparison morphisms of $\mathcal{P}(f)$ and $\mathcal{P}^h(f)$ do not coincide, but are only homotopic.

4.15. For $i \in I$, consider the first projection maps $p_i := \pi_1 : \mathcal{P}(f_i) \rightarrow A_i$. For $u : i \rightarrow j$ we have

$$p_j \psi_u = \pi_1(\varphi_u, F_u)\pi_1 = \varphi_u \pi_1 = \varphi_u p_i.$$

Therefore the family $p = (p_i) : \mathcal{P}^h(f) \rightarrow A$ is a morphism of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$.

For $i \in I$, let $q_i := \delta_{B_i}^{|i|} \pi_2 : \mathcal{P}(f_i) \rightarrow B_i$, where $|i| \in \{0, 1\}$ is the degree of i (see condition (I₁) of 4.3). For $u : i \rightarrow j$ we have

$$q_j \psi_u = \delta_{B_j}^1 \pi_2(\varphi_u, F_u)\pi_1 = \delta_{B_j}^1 F_u \pi_1 = \varphi_u f_i \pi_1 = \varphi_u \delta_{B_j}^0 \pi_2 = \varphi_u q_i.$$

Therefore the family $q = (q_i) : \mathcal{P}^h(f) \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$.

Note that q is not defined level-wise via the Factorization Lemma 2.17 in which $q = \delta_B^1 \pi_2$, but instead, we alternate between $\delta_B^0 \pi_2$ and $\delta_B^1 \pi_2$, depending on the degree of the index. This needs to be done in order to obtain a morphism. As a result, q is not necessarily a level-wise fibration.

For $i \in I$, let $\iota_i = (1_{A_i}, \iota_{B_i} f_i) : A_i \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(f_i)$. Then $\psi_u \iota_i = (\varphi_u, F_u)$ and $\iota_j \varphi_u = (\varphi_u, \iota_{A_j} f_j \varphi_u)$. We next define a homotopy from $\psi_u \iota_i$ to $\iota_j \varphi_u$. Let J_u be the morphism defined by the following pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_i & \xrightarrow{c_{B_j} F_u} & P^2(B_j) \\
 \downarrow \iota_{A_j} \varphi_u & \searrow J_u \cdots & \downarrow P(\delta_{B_j}^0) \\
 P(\mathcal{P}(f_j)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P^2(B_j) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 P(A_j) & \xrightarrow{P(f_j)} & P(B_j)
 \end{array} .$$

The coproduct (see Definition 2.7) satisfies $P(\delta_{B_j}^0) c_{B_j} = \iota_{B_j} \delta_{B_j}^0$. Hence the above solid diagram commutes and the map J_u is well defined.

By (P₄), the pull-back $P(\mathcal{P}(f_j))$ is a path object of $\mathcal{P}(f_j)$, with

$$\delta_{\mathcal{P}(f_j)}^k = (\delta_{A_j}^k P(\pi_1), \delta_{P(B_j)}^k P(\pi_2)), \text{ for } k = 0, 1.$$

Therefore we have

$$\delta_{\mathcal{P}(f_j)}^k J_u = (\delta_{A_j}^k \iota_{A_j} \varphi_u, \delta_{P(B_j)}^k c_{B_j} F_u) = (\varphi_u, \delta_{P(B_j)}^k c_{B_j} F_u).$$

Since $\delta_{P(B_j)}^0 c_{B_j} = \iota_{B_j} \delta_{B_j}^0$ and $\delta_{P(B_j)}^1 c_{B_j} = 1_{B_j}$, it follows that

$$\delta_{\mathcal{P}(f_j)}^0 J_u = (\varphi_u, \iota_{B_j} \delta_{B_j}^0 F_u) = \iota_j \varphi_u \text{ and } \delta_{\mathcal{P}(f_j)}^1 J_u = (\varphi_u, F_u) = \psi_u \iota_i.$$

Therefore the family $\iota = (\iota_i, J_u) : A \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{P}^h(f)$ is a ho-morphism.

Proposition 4.16. *Let $f : A \rightsquigarrow B$ be a ho-morphism. The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xleftarrow{p} \mathcal{P}^h(f) \xrightarrow{q} & B \\
 \searrow & \lrcorner \uparrow \lrcorner & \nearrow \\
 & \iota & f \\
 & \downarrow & \\
 & A &
 \end{array}$$

commutes. In addition:

- (1) The maps p and ι are weak equivalences.
- (2) There is a homotopy of ho-morphisms between ιp and the identity, making p into a ho-equivalence.
- (3) If f is a weak equivalence, then q is a weak equivalence.

Proof. From the definitions it is straightforward that the above diagram commutes.

Let us prove (1). From axiom (P₃), the map p is a weak equivalence. By the two out of three property, it follows that ι is also a weak equivalence.

To prove (2) we define a homotopy between $\iota p = (\iota_i p_i, J_u p_i)$ and $1_{\mathcal{P}(f_i)}$ as follows.

For all $i \in I$, let $h_i : \mathcal{P}(f_i) \rightarrow P(\mathcal{P}(f_i))$ be the morphism of \mathcal{C}_i defined by $h_i = (\iota_{A_i} \pi_1, c_{B_i}^0 \pi_2)$. This is a homotopy from $\iota_i p_i$ to the identity morphism (see the proof of Lemma 2.17).

Let \tilde{H}_u be the morphism defined by the following pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_i & \xrightarrow{\hat{c}_{B_j} F_u} & P^3(B_j) \\
 \downarrow \iota_{P(A_j)} \iota_{A_j} \varphi_u & \searrow \tilde{H}_u \cdots & \downarrow P^2(\delta_{B_j}^0) \\
 P^2(\mathcal{P}(f_j)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P^3(B_j) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 P^2(A_j) & \xrightarrow{P^2(f_j)} & P^2(B_j)
 \end{array} ,$$

where \hat{c} is the transformation of Lemma 2.11 and satisfies $P^2(\delta_{B_j}^0)\hat{c}_{B_j} = \iota_{P(B_j)}\iota_{B_j}\delta_{B_j}^0$. The above solid diagram commutes and the map \tilde{H}_u is well defined.

Let $H_u := \tilde{H}_u\pi_1 : \mathcal{P}(f_i) \rightarrow P^2(\mathcal{P}(f_j))$. By (P₄), the fibre product $P^2(\mathcal{P}(f_j))$ is a double path object of $\mathcal{P}(f_j)$. From the properties of \hat{c} we have:

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{P(\mathcal{P}(f_j))}^0 H_u = h_j \psi_u & P(\delta_{\mathcal{P}(f_j)}^0) H_u = J_{F_u} p f_i \\ \delta_{P(\mathcal{P}(f_j))}^1 H_u = P(\psi_u) h_i & P(\delta_{\mathcal{P}(f_j)}^1) H_u = \iota_{\mathcal{P}(f_j)}(\psi_u) \end{cases} .$$

Therefore the family $h = (h_i, H_u)$ is a homotopy from ιp to 1.

Let us prove (3). Assume that f is a weak equivalence. By (i), the map ι is a weak equivalence. By the two out of three property, q is a weak equivalence. \square

Lemma 4.17. *Let $f : A \rightsquigarrow B$ and $g : A \rightsquigarrow C$ be two ho-morphisms and $\alpha : A \rightarrow C$ a morphism of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow g \\ B & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & C \end{array}$$

commute. There is a morphism $(\alpha)_* : \mathcal{P}^h(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^h(g)$ compatible with p , q , and ι .

Proof. Let $(\alpha_i)_*$ be the morphism defined by the pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{P}(f_i) & & & & \\ & \searrow^{P(\alpha_i)\pi_2} & & & \\ & & \mathcal{P}(g_i) & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & P(C_i) \\ & \swarrow_{(\alpha_i)_*} & \downarrow \pi_1 & \lrcorner & \downarrow \delta_{C_i}^0 \\ & & A_i & \xrightarrow{g_i} & C_i \end{array} .$$

Since $\varphi_u \alpha_i = \alpha_j \varphi_u$ and $P(\alpha_j)F_u = G_u$, the family $(\alpha)_* := (\alpha_i)_*$ is a morphism of diagrams. A simple verification shows that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{P}^h(f) & \xleftarrow{\iota} & A & \xleftarrow{p} & \mathcal{P}^h(f) & \xrightarrow{q} & B \\ \downarrow (\alpha)_* & & \parallel & & \downarrow (\alpha)_* & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \mathcal{P}^h(g) & \xleftarrow{\iota} & A & \xleftarrow{p} & \mathcal{P}^h(g) & \xrightarrow{q} & C \end{array}$$

\square

4.18 (Factorization map). Given two objects A, B of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ define a map

$$\Phi_{A,B} : \Gamma\mathcal{C}^h(A, B) \longrightarrow \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}](A, B)$$

as follows. Let $f : A \rightsquigarrow B$ be a ho-morphism. By Proposition 4.16 we have $f = q_f \circ \iota_f$, where q_f is a morphism of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ and ι_f is a homotopy inverse for a ho-equivalence p_f . We then let

$$\Phi_{A,B}(f) = q_f \circ p_f^{-1}.$$

We next prove some useful properties of the factorization map.

Lemma 4.19. *If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ then $\Phi_{A,B}(f) = f$ in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}]$.*

Proof. Since f is a morphism, the map $\iota_f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^h(f)$ is also a morphism and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xleftarrow{p_f} \mathcal{P}^h(f) & \xrightarrow{q_f} B \\ & \parallel & \parallel \\ & \xleftarrow{=} & \xrightarrow{=} \\ & \downarrow \iota_f & \downarrow f \\ & A & \end{array}$$

commutes. Hence $q_f \circ p_f^{-1} = f$ in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}]$. \square

Lemma 4.20. *Let $f : A \rightsquigarrow B$ be a ho-morphism, and let $g : B \rightarrow C$ be a morphism of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$. Then $\Phi_{B,C}(g) \circ \Phi_{A,B}(f) = \Phi_{A,C}(gf)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.17 we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathcal{P}^h(f) & \xrightarrow{q_f} & B & \\ & \swarrow p_f & \downarrow (g)_* & \downarrow g & \searrow g \\ A & \xleftarrow{p_{gf}} \mathcal{P}^h(gf) & \xrightarrow{q_{gf}} & C & \xlongequal{\quad} C \end{array}$$

Hence $g \circ q_f \circ p_f^{-1} = q_{gf} \circ p_{gf}^{-1}$ in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}]$. The result follows from Lemma 4.19. \square

Lemma 4.21. *Let $f, g : A \rightsquigarrow B$ be two ho-morphisms. If $f \simeq g$ then $\Phi_{A,B}(f) = \Phi_{A,B}(g)$.*

Proof. Let $h : A \rightsquigarrow P(B)$ be a homotopy from f to g . By Lemma 4.17 we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xleftarrow{p_f} \mathcal{P}^h(f) & \xrightarrow{q_f} & B & \\ \parallel & \uparrow (\delta_B^0)_* & & \parallel & \\ A & \xleftarrow{p_h} \mathcal{P}^h(h) & \xrightarrow{\delta_B^0 q_h} & B & \\ \parallel & \downarrow (\delta_B^1)_* & & \parallel & \\ A & \xleftarrow{p_g} \mathcal{P}^h(g) & \xrightarrow{q_g} & B & \end{array}$$

where every square commutes in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$, except for the lower-right one, which commutes in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$. Since $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}$, the localization $\delta : \Gamma\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}]$ factors through $\gamma : \Gamma\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$. Therefore $q_f \circ p_f^{-1} = q_g \circ p_g^{-1}$ in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}]$. \square

4.4. Homotopy classes of ho-morphisms

Denote by $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$ the full subcategory of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ of those diagrams $C = (C_i \dashrightarrow C_j)$ such that C_i is cofibrant in \mathcal{C}_i for all $i \in I$. We next show that homotopy is transitive for those ho-morphisms whose source is in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$ and define a composition law between homotopy classes.

Proposition 4.22. *Let A be an object of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$. For every object B of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$, the homotopy relation is an equivalence relation on the set of ho-morphisms from A to B .*

Proof. Reflexivity and symmetry are trivial. We prove transitivity. Assume given ho-morphisms $f, f', f'' : A \rightsquigarrow B$ together with homotopies $h : f \simeq f'$ and $h' : f' \simeq f''$. Since A_i is cofibrant, for all $i \in I$, a homotopy $h_i \tilde{+} h'_i : f_i \simeq f''_i$ is given as in the proof of Proposition 2.22 by $h_i \tilde{+} h'_i := \nabla_{B_i} \mathcal{L}_i$, where $\mathcal{L}_i : A_i \rightarrow P^2(B_i)$ satisfies $\pi_{B_i} \mathcal{L}_i = (h_i, h'_i)$ and $\pi_{B_i} = (\delta_{P(B_i)}^0, P(\delta_{B_i}^1))$. Consider the commutative solid diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{L}_j \varphi_u, \varphi_u \mathcal{L}_i)} & P^2(B_j) \times P^2(B_j) \\ & \searrow \mathcal{L}_u \cdots & \downarrow \pi_{B_j} \times \pi_{B_j} \\ & P^3(B_j) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P^2(B_j) \times P^2(B_j) \\ & \downarrow P(\pi_{B_j}) & & \downarrow \pi_{B_j} \times \pi_{B_j} \\ & P(P(\delta_{B_j}^1)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P(\delta_{B_j}^1) \times P(\delta_{B_j}^1) \end{array} .$$

Since π_{B_j} is a trivial fibration, by Lemma 2.21 there exists a dotted arrow \mathcal{L}_u , making the diagram commute. Let $H_u \tilde{+} H'_u := P(\nabla_{B_j}) \mathcal{L}_u$. Then the family $h \tilde{+} h' := (h_i \tilde{+} h'_i, H_u \tilde{+} H'_u)$ is a homotopy of ho-morphisms from f to f'' . \square

We will denote by $[A, B]^h := \Gamma\mathcal{C}^h(A, B) / \sim$ the set of ho-morphisms from A to B modulo the equivalence relation transitively generated by the homotopy relation.

Lemma 4.23. *Let A be an object of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}}$. For every pair of objects B, C of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$, there is a map*

$$* : [A, B]^h \times [B, C]^h \longrightarrow [A, C]^h$$

such that:

- (1) *If either g or f are morphisms of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$, then $[g] * [f] = [gf]$, where gf is the composition defined in Lemma 4.9.*
- (2) *If h is a morphism and f, g are ho-morphisms, then*

$$[h] * ([g] * [f]) = [hg] * [f].$$

Proof. Given $[f] \in [A, B]^h$ and $[g] \in [B, C]^h$, choose representatives $f = (f_i, F_u)$ and $g = (g_i, G_u)$ respectively. We then let

$$g * f := (g_i f_i, P(g_j)F_u \tilde{+} G_u f_i),$$

where $P(g_j)F_u \tilde{+} G_u f_i = \nabla_{C_j} \mathcal{L}_u$ is defined as in the proof of Proposition 2.22. Let $g' : B \rightsquigarrow C$ such that $h : g \simeq g'$. Then $g' * f = (g'_i f_i, P(g'_j)F_u \tilde{+} G'_u f_i)$ where $P(g'_j)F_u \tilde{+} G'_u f_i = \nabla_{C_j} \mathcal{L}'_u$. We next show that $g * f \simeq g' * f$.

Let $\Gamma_u = (P(h_j)F_u, H_u f_i)$ and consider the commutative solid diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & & \\ \text{\scriptsize } \Gamma_u \swarrow & & \searrow \text{\scriptsize } (\mathcal{L}_u, \mathcal{L}'_u) \\ & P^3(C_j) & \longrightarrow & P^2(C_j) \times P^2(C_j) \\ & \downarrow P(\pi_{C_j}) & & \downarrow \pi_{C_j} \times \pi_{C_j} \\ & P(\mathcal{P}(\delta_{C_j}^1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}(\delta_{C_j}^1) \times \mathcal{P}(\delta_{C_j}^1) \end{array} \quad .$$

Since π_{C_j} is a trivial fibration, by Lemma 2.21 there exists a dotted arrow K_u , making the diagram commute. Let

$$H'_u := \mu_{C_j} P(\nabla_{C_j}) K_u : A_i \rightarrow P^2(C_j).$$

Then $(P(g_i)h_i, H'_u)$ is a homotopy from $g * f$ to $g * f'$.

Analogously, if $f \simeq f'$ one proves that $g * f \simeq g * f'$. By Proposition 4.22 the homotopy relation is transitive between ho-morphisms for which the source is in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}}$. Therefore the class $[g * f]$ does not depend on the chosen representatives and liftings, and the map $[g] * [f] := [g * f]$ is well defined.

Let us prove (1). Let $[f] \in [A, B]^h$, and let $g : B \rightarrow C$ be a morphism. Choose a representative f of $[f]$, and let $gf = (g_i f_i, P(g_i)F_u)$. By Lemma 4.9 this is a well defined ho-morphism from A to C . We next show that $[g] * [f] = [gf]$, when g is considered as a ho-morphism with $G_u = (\iota_{C_j} \varphi_u g_i)$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P^2(C_j) & \\ & \nearrow \mathcal{L}_u & \downarrow \pi_{C_j} \\ A_i & \xrightarrow{\gamma_u} & \mathcal{P}(\delta_{C_j}^1), \end{array}$$

where $\gamma_u = (P(g_j)F_u, \iota_{C_j} g_j \varphi_u f_i)$, and $\mathcal{L}_u := \iota_{P(C_j)} P(g_j)F_u$. By the naturality of δ^k and ι it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{P(C_j)}^0 \mathcal{L}_u = \delta_{P(C_j)}^0 \iota_{P(C_j)} P(g_j)F_u = P(g_j)F_u. \\ P(\delta_{C_j}^1) \mathcal{L}_u = \iota_{C_j} \delta_{C_j}^1 P(g_j)F_u = \iota_{C_j} g_j \delta_{B_j}^1 F_u = \iota_{C_j} g_j \varphi_u f_i. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the above diagram commutes. By definition, the folding map ∇ (see Definition 2.10) satisfies $\nabla_{C_j \iota P(C_j)} = 1_{P(C_j)}$. It follows that

$$K_u := \nabla_{P(C_j)} \mathcal{L}_u = P(g_j)F_u.$$

Therefore $[g] * [f] = [gf]$. The proof for the other composition follows analogously.

Let us prove (2). Let $f : A \rightsquigarrow B$ and $g : B \rightsquigarrow C$ be ho-morphisms, and let $\gamma_u = (P(g_j)F_u, G_u f_i)$. We have $f * g = (f_i g_i, K_u)$, where $K_u = \nabla_{P(C_j)} \mathcal{L}_u$, and \mathcal{L}_u is an arbitrary morphism satisfying $\pi_{C_j} \mathcal{L}_u = \gamma_u$. If $h : C \rightarrow D$ is a morphism, by (1) we have

$$[h] * ([g] * [f]) = [(h_i g_i f_i, P(h_j)K_u)].$$

On the other hand, let $\gamma'_u = (P(h_j g_j)F_u, P(h_j)G_u f_i)$, and define a morphism $\mathcal{L}'_u := P^2(h_j) \mathcal{L}_u$. Then $\pi_{D_j} \mathcal{L}'_u = \gamma'_u$. Therefore $hg * f = (h_j g_j f_j, K'_u)$, where $K'_u = \nabla_{D_j} \mathcal{L}'_u = P(h_j)K_u$. The identity $[hg] * [f] = [h] * ([g] * [f])$ follows. \square

4.5. Localization with respect to ho-equivalences

We next show that if $A \in \Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$, the factorization map $\Phi_{A,B} : \Gamma\mathcal{C}^h(A, B) \rightarrow \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}](A, B)$ is a bijection. This allows to define a category $\pi^h \Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$ whose objects are those of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$ and whose morphisms are homotopy classes of ho-morphisms. We then show that this is equivalent to the relative localization $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}, \Gamma\mathcal{C}]$, the full subcategory of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}]$ whose objects are in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$.

4.24. For $A \in \Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$ and $B \in \Gamma\mathcal{C}$ define a map $\Psi_{A,B} : \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}](A, B) \rightarrow [A, B]^h$ as follows.

A morphism f of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}]$ can be represented by a zigzag where the arrows going to the left are morphisms of \mathcal{H} . We define Ψ inductively over the length of the zigzag. Let $\Psi(1_A) = [1_A]$ and assume that $\Psi(f')$ is defined for a zigzag $f' \in \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}](A, B)$. We consider two cases:

- (1) If $f = gf'$, where $g : B \rightarrow C$ is a morphism of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ then $\Psi(f) := [g] * \Psi(f')$.
- (2) If $f = g^{-1}f'$ where $g : C \rightarrow B$ is a ho-equivalence, then $\Psi(f) := [h] * \Psi(f')$, where $h : B \rightsquigarrow C$ is a homotopy inverse of g . If h' is another homotopy inverse of g , then $h' \sim h'gh \sim h$, and so $[h] = [h']$. Hence Ψ does not depend on the chosen homotopy inverse.

Lemma 4.25. *Let A be an object of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$. The map $\Psi_{A,B} : \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}](A, B) \rightarrow [A, B]^h$ induced by $f \mapsto \Psi(f)$ is well defined for any object B of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. We need to prove that the definition does not depend on the chosen representative, that is, given a hammock between zig-zags f and \tilde{f} , then $\Psi(f) = \Psi(\tilde{f})$. The proof is based on the fact that, given the commutative diagram on the left,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D \xleftarrow{g} C & & D \xleftarrow{h} C \\ \alpha \downarrow = \downarrow \beta & \implies & \alpha \downarrow \simeq \downarrow \beta \\ \tilde{D} \xleftarrow{\tilde{g}} \tilde{C} & & \tilde{D} \xleftarrow{\tilde{h}} \tilde{C} \end{array},$$

where g and \tilde{g} are ho-equivalences, then the diagram on the right commutes up to homotopy, where h and \tilde{h} are homotopy inverses of g and \tilde{g} respectively.

It suffices to consider the case when f and \tilde{f} are related by a hammock of height 1. Let

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} f := & A & \xrightarrow{f_1} & D_1 & \xleftarrow{g_1} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & D_2 & \xleftarrow{g_2} & C_2 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \dots & \longrightarrow & D_r & \xleftarrow{g_r} & B \\ & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha_1 & & \downarrow \beta_1 & & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \beta_2 & & & & \downarrow \alpha_r & & \parallel \\ \tilde{f} := & A & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_1} & \tilde{D}_1 & \xleftarrow{\tilde{g}_1} & \tilde{C}_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_2} & \tilde{D}_2 & \xleftarrow{\tilde{g}_2} & \tilde{C}_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_3} & \dots & \longrightarrow & \tilde{D}_r & \xleftarrow{\tilde{g}_r} & B \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram, where $g_k : C_k \rightarrow D_k$ and $\tilde{g}_k : \tilde{C}_k \rightarrow \tilde{D}_k$ are compositions of ho-equivalences. Let $f(0) = \tilde{f}(0) = 1_A$ and for all $0 < k \leq r$, define

$$f(k) := g_k^{-1} f_k \cdots g_1^{-1} f_1, \text{ and } \tilde{f}(k) := \tilde{g}_k^{-1} \tilde{f}_k \cdots \tilde{g}_1^{-1} \tilde{f}_1.$$

Write $g_k = g_k^1 \cdots g_k^{n_k}$ and $\tilde{g}_k = \tilde{g}_k^1 \cdots \tilde{g}_k^{n_k}$, and let h_k^j and \tilde{h}_k^j be homotopy inverses of g_k^j and \tilde{g}_k^j respectively. With these notations we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(f(k)) &:= [h_k^{n_k}] * (\cdots * ([h_k^2] * ([h_k^1] * ([f_k] * \Psi(f(k-1)))))), \\ \Psi(\tilde{f}(k)) &:= [\tilde{h}_k^{n_k}] * (\cdots * ([\tilde{h}_k^2] * ([\tilde{h}_k^1] * ([\tilde{f}_k] * \Psi(\tilde{f}(k-1)))))), \end{aligned}$$

From the definition it follows that:

$$(p_k) \quad [g_k] * \Psi(f(k)) = [f_k] * \Psi(f(k-1)).$$

We will now proceed by induction. Assume that for all $n < k$ we have

$$(h_n) \quad \Psi(\tilde{f}(n)) = [\beta_n] * \Psi(f(n)).$$

For the following identities we will constantly use (1) and (2) of Lemma 4.23. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\tilde{f}(k)) &= [\tilde{h}_k^{n_k}] * (\cdots * ([\tilde{h}_k^1] * ([\tilde{f}_k] * \Psi(\tilde{f}(k-1))))) && (\text{by } (h_{k-1})) \\ &= [\tilde{h}_k^{n_k}] * (\cdots * ([\tilde{h}_k^1] * ([\tilde{f}_k \beta_{k-1}] * \Psi(f(k-1))))) && (\tilde{f}_k \beta_{k-1} = \alpha_k f_k) \\ &= [\tilde{h}_k^{n_k}] * (\cdots * ([\tilde{h}_k^1] * ([\alpha_k f_k] * \Psi(f(k-1))))) && (\text{by } (p_k)) \\ &= [\tilde{h}_k^{n_k}] * (\cdots * ([\tilde{h}_k^1] * ([\alpha_k g_k] * \Psi(f(k)))) && (\alpha_k g_k = \tilde{g}_k \beta_k) \\ &= [\tilde{h}_k^{n_k}] * (\cdots * ([\tilde{h}_k^1] * ([\tilde{g}_k \beta_k] * \Psi(f(k)))) && (\tilde{g}_k = \tilde{g}_k^1 \cdots \tilde{g}_k^{n_k}) \\ &= [\beta_k] * \Psi(f(k)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta_r = 1_B$ we get $\Psi(\tilde{f}) = \Psi(\tilde{f}(r)) = \Psi(f(r)) = \Psi(f)$. □

Proposition 4.26. *Let A be an object of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$. The maps*

$$\Phi_{A,B} : [A, B]^h \rightleftarrows \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}](A, B) : \Psi_{A,B}$$

are inverses to each other, for every object B of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. To simplify notation, we omit the subscripts of both Ψ and Φ . Let $[f]$ be an element of $[A, B]^h$. Then

$$\Psi(\Phi([f])) = \Psi(\{q_f p_f^{-1}\}) = [q_f] * [p_f] = [q_f p_f] = [f].$$

For the other composition, we proceed by induction as follows. Assume that for $f' \in \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}](A, B)$ we have $\Phi(\Psi(f')) = f'$. We consider two cases:

(1) If $f = g f'$, where $g : B \rightarrow C$ is a morphism of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ then

$$\Phi(\Psi(f)) = \Phi(\Psi(g f')) = \Phi([g] * \Psi(f)) = \Phi([g]) \circ f = g \circ f.$$

(2) If $f = g^{-1} f'$ where $g : C \rightarrow B$ is a ho-equivalence, then $\Psi(g^{-1} f) = [h] * \Psi(f)$, where h is a homotopy inverse of g . By Lemma 4.20 we can write $\{g\} = \Phi([g])$. Therefore

$$g \circ \Phi(\Psi(g^{-1} f)) = \Phi([g]) \circ \Phi([h] * \Psi(f)) = \Psi(f).$$

If we compose on the left by g^{-1} we obtain $\Phi(\Psi(g^{-1} f)) = g^{-1} \circ f$. □

Lemma 4.27. *Let A, B, C be objects of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$ and let $[f] \in [A, B]^h$ and $[g] \in [B, C]^h$. Then*

$$[g] * [f] = \Psi_{A,C}(\Phi_{B,C}([g]) \circ \Phi_{A,B}([f])).$$

Proof. Since A, B, C are objects of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$ the maps $\Psi_{A,-}$, $\Psi_{B,-}$ and $\Psi_{C,-}$ are well defined. For the rest of the proof we omit the subscripts of Ψ and Φ . By definition we have:

$$\Psi(\Phi([g]) \circ \Phi([f])) = \Psi(\{q_g p_g^{-1}\} \circ \{q_f p_f^{-1}\}) = [q_g] * ([\iota_g] * ([q_f] * [\iota_f])).$$

Since q_f and q_g are morphisms of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$, we have $[q_g] * [\iota_g] = [q_g \iota_g] = [g]$, and $[q_f] * [\iota_f] = [q_f \iota_f] = [f]$. The result follows from (2) of Lemma 4.23. \square

Theorem 4.28. *The objects of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$ with the homotopy classes of ho-morphisms define a category $\pi^h \Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$. There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\Phi : \pi^h \Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof} \rightleftarrows \Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}, \Gamma\mathcal{C}] : \Psi$$

Proof. Let $f : A \rightsquigarrow B$ and $g : B \rightsquigarrow C$ be two ho-morphisms between objects of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$, We first show that $[g] * [f] = \Psi(\Phi([g]) \circ \Phi([f]))$. By definition we have:

$$\Psi(\Phi([g]) \circ \Phi([f])) = \Psi(q_g p_g^{-1} \circ q_f p_f^{-1}) = [q_g] * ([\iota_g] * ([q_f] * [\iota_f])).$$

Since q_f and q_g are morphisms of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$, we have $[q_g] * [\iota_g] = [q_g \iota_g] = [g]$, and $[q_f] * [\iota_f] = [q_f \iota_f] = [f]$. The result follows from (2) of Lemma 4.23.

If $h : C \rightsquigarrow D$ is another ho-morphism between objects of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$, we have:

$$[h] * ([g] * [f]) = \Psi(\Phi([h]) \circ \Psi(\Phi([g]) \circ \Phi([f])))$$

By Proposition 4.26 we have $\Phi\Psi = 1$, and hence,

$$[h] * ([g] * [f]) = \Psi(\Phi([h]) \circ \Phi([g]) \circ \Phi([f])) = ([h] * [g]) * [f].$$

Therefore the composition of $\pi^h \Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$ is associative. The equivalence of categories follows from Proposition 4.26. \square

4.6. A Cartan-Eilenberg structure

We next show that the triple $(\Gamma\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W})$ is a left Cartan-Eilenberg category, where the category $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$ of level-wise cofibrant objects is a full subcategory of cofibrant models.

Lemma 4.29. *Let C be an object of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$. For every diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & A \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow w \\ C & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array},$$

where w is a trivial fibration of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ and f is a ho-morphism, there is a ho-morphism $g : C \rightsquigarrow A$ making the diagram commute.

Proof. Since C_i is cofibrant for each $i \in I$, there are maps $g_i : C_i \rightarrow A_i$ such that $w_i g_i = f_i$. We have $w_j g_j \varphi_u = f_j \varphi_u \simeq \varphi_u f_i = \varphi_u w_i g_i = w_j \varphi_u g_i$. Consider the commutative solid diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_i & \xrightarrow{(g_j \varphi_u, \varphi_u g_i)} & A_j \times A_j \\ \downarrow F_u & \searrow G_u & \downarrow P(w_j) \\ P(A_j) & \xrightarrow{(\delta_{A_j}^0, \delta_{A_j}^1)} & A_j \times A_j \\ & & \downarrow w_j \times w_j \\ P(B_j) & \xrightarrow{(\delta_{B_j}^0, \delta_{B_j}^1)} & B_j \times B_j \end{array}.$$

Since w_j is a trivial fibration, by Lemma 2.21 there exists a dotted arrow G_u , making the diagram commute. The family $g = (g_i, G_u)$ is a ho-morphism, and $wg = f$. \square

Proposition 4.30. *Let C be an object of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{cof}$ and let $w : A \rightarrow B$ be a weak equivalence in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$. The map $w_* : [C, A]^h \rightarrow [C, B]^h$ defined by $[f] \mapsto [wf]$ is a bijection.*

Proof. We first prove surjectivity. Let $f : C \rightsquigarrow B$ be a ho-morphism representing $[f] \in [C, B]^h$. By Corollary 2.17 the map w factors as a homotopy equivalence ι_w followed by a trivial fibration q_w , giving rise to a solid diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & A & \\
 & \uparrow p_w & \downarrow \iota_w \\
 & \mathcal{P}(w) & \\
 & \downarrow q_w & \\
 C & \xrightarrow{f} & B
 \end{array}
 \quad .$$

By Lemma 4.29 there is a ho-morphism $g' : C \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{P}(w)$ such that $q_w g' = f$. Let $g := p_w g'$. We have $wg = q_w \iota_w g = q_w \iota_w p_w g' \simeq q_w g' = f$. Therefore $[wg] = [f]$, and w_* is surjective.

To prove injectivity, let $g, g' : C \rightsquigarrow A$ be two ho-morphisms, representing $[g]$ and $[g']$ respectively and let $h : wg \simeq wg'$ be a homotopy. Let $\mathcal{P}(w, w)$ denote the double mapping path of w , defined by the fibre product

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{P}(w_i, w_i) & \longrightarrow & P(B_i) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow (\delta_{B_i}^0, \delta_{B_i}^1) \\
 A_i \times A_i & \xrightarrow{w_i \times w_i} & B_i \times B_i
 \end{array}
 ,$$

for $i \in I$, together with the comparison morphism $\psi_u = ((\varphi_u \times \varphi_u)\pi_1, P(\varphi_u)\pi_2)$, for $u : i \rightarrow j$.

The triple (g, g', h) defines a ho-morphism $\gamma : C \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{P}(w, w)$. Indeed, for all $i \in I$, let γ_i be the map defined by the pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_i & \xrightarrow{h_i} & P(B_i) \\
 \downarrow (g_i, g'_i) & \lrcorner & \downarrow (\delta_{B_i}^0, \delta_{B_i}^1) \\
 \mathcal{P}(w_i, w_i) & \longrightarrow & P(B_i) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow (\delta_{B_i}^0, \delta_{B_i}^1) \\
 A_i \times A_i & \xrightarrow{w_i \times w_i} & B_i \times B_i
 \end{array}
 ,$$

and for all $u : i \rightarrow j$ let Γ_u be the map defined by the pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_i & \xrightarrow{H_u} & P^2(B_j) \\
 \downarrow (G_u, G'_u) & \lrcorner & \downarrow (P(\delta_{B_j}^0), P(\delta_{B_j}^1)) \\
 \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(w_j, w_j)) & \longrightarrow & P^2(B_j) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow (P(\delta_{B_j}^0), P(\delta_{B_j}^1)) \\
 P(A_j) \times P(A_j) & \xrightarrow{P(w_j \times w_j)} & P(B_j) \times P(B_j)
 \end{array}
 .$$

Then the family $\gamma = (\gamma_i, \Gamma_u)$ is a ho-morphism. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_{\mathcal{P}(w_j, w_j)}^0 \Gamma_u &= ((\delta_{A_j}^0 G_u, \delta_{A_j}^0 G'_u), \delta_{P(B_j)}^0 H_u) = ((g_j \varphi_u, g'_j \varphi_u), h_j \varphi_u) = \gamma_j \varphi_u, \\
 \delta_{\mathcal{P}(w_j, w_j)}^1 \Gamma_u &= ((\delta_{A_j}^1 G_u, \delta_{A_j}^1 G'_u), \delta_{P(B_j)}^1 H_u) = ((\varphi_u g_i, \varphi_u g'_i), P(\varphi_u) h_i) = \psi_u \gamma_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the solid diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & P(A) \\ & \nearrow \gamma' & \downarrow \bar{w} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{P}(w, w) \end{array} .$$

By Lemma 2.18 the map \bar{w} defined level-wise by $\bar{w}_i = ((\delta_{A_i}^0, \delta_{A_i}^1), P(w_i))$ is a weak equivalence. Hence \bar{w}_* is surjective, and there exists a dotted arrow γ' such that $\bar{w}\gamma' \simeq \gamma$. It follows that $g \simeq \delta_A^0 \gamma' \simeq \delta_A^1 \gamma' \simeq g'$. Hence $[g] = [g']$. \square

Corollary 4.31. *Objects of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}}$ are Cartan-Eilenberg cofibrant in $(\Gamma\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W})$, i.e., for every weak equivalence $w : A \rightarrow B$, and every object $C \in \Gamma\mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}}$ the induced map $w_* : \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}](C, A) \rightarrow \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}](C, B)$ is a bijection.*

We prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.32. *Let $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ be a diagram category satisfying conditions (I₁)-(I₃) of 4.3. Assume that for each $i \in I$, the categories \mathcal{C}_i have enough cofibrant models. Then the triple $(\Gamma\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W})$ is a left Cartan-Eilenberg category and $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}}$ is a full subcategory of cofibrant models. The inclusion induces an equivalence of categories $\pi^h \Gamma\mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ho}(\Gamma\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. Given an object A of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$, let $f_i : C_i \xrightarrow{\sim} A_i$ be a cofibrant model of A_i , for each $i \in I$. By Proposition 2.23, given the solid diagram in \mathcal{C}_j

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_i & \xrightarrow{\varphi'_u} & C_j \\ f_i \downarrow & & \downarrow f_j \\ A_i & \xrightarrow{\varphi_u} & A_j \end{array}$$

there exists a dotted arrow φ'_u and a homotopy $F_u : f_j \varphi'_u \simeq \varphi_u f_i$. This defines an object $C = (\varphi'_u : C_i \rightarrow C_j)$ of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}}$ and a ho-morphism $f = (f_i, F_u) : C \rightsquigarrow A$ which is a level-wise weak equivalence. By Corollary 4.31, C is Cartan-Eilenberg cofibrant in $(\Gamma\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W})$ and the map $\Phi_{C,A}(f) : C \rightarrow A \in \Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}]$ is an isomorphism in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$. This proves that the triple $(\Gamma\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W})$ is a left Cartan-Eilenberg category with cofibrant models in $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}}$. By Theorem 2.3.4 of [GNPR10] the inclusion induces an equivalence of categories $\Gamma\mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}, \Gamma\mathcal{C}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ho}(\Gamma\mathcal{C})$. The above equivalence follows from Theorem 4.28. \square

To end this section we consider a generalization of Theorem 2.24, in which the category under study is a full subcategory of a diagram category. This will be useful for the application to mixed Hodge theory.

Theorem 4.33. *Let $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ be a diagram category satisfying conditions (I₁)-(I₃) of 4.3. Let \mathcal{D} be a full subcategory of $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ such that:*

- (i) *The mapping path of a ho-morphism between objects of \mathcal{D} is an object of \mathcal{D} .*
- (ii) *There is a full subcategory $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{D} \cap \Gamma\mathcal{C}_{\text{cof}}$ such that for every object D of \mathcal{D} there exists an object $M \in \mathcal{M}$ together with a ho-morphism from M to D which is a level-wise weak equivalence.*

Then the triple $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W})$ is a left Cartan-Eilenberg category and \mathcal{M} is a full subcategory of cofibrant models. The inclusion induces an equivalence of categories $\pi^h \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$.

Proof. By (i) and Proposition 4.16, for every pair of objects A and B of \mathcal{D} , the factorization map of 4.18 restricts to a well defined map $\Phi_{A,B} : [A, B]^h \rightarrow \mathcal{D}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}](A, B)$, which preserves weak equivalences. By Theorem 4.28 this map induces an equivalence $\Phi : \pi^h \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{H}^{-1}, \mathcal{D}] : \Psi$. The proof follows analogously to that of Theorem 4.32. \square

5. Multiplicative Mixed Hodge Theory

We prove a multiplicative version of Beilinson's Theorem for mixed Hodge complexes. Together with Navarro's functorial construction of mixed Hodge diagrams, this provides functoriality for the mixed Hodge structures on the homotopy type of complex algebraic varieties. As an application, we derive the functor of indecomposables of mixed Hodge diagrams, and give an alternative proof of the fact that the rational homotopy groups of every simply connected complex algebraic variety inherit functorial mixed Hodge structures.

5.1. Mixed Hodge diagrams of algebras

Let $I = \{0 \rightarrow 1 \leftarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \leftarrow s\}$ be an index category of zig-zag type and fixed length s . We next define the category of diagrams of filtered dga's of type I over \mathbb{Q} . This is a diagram category whose vertices are categories of filtered and bifiltered dga's defined over \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{C} respectively. Additional assumptions on the filtrations will lead to the notion of mixed Hodge diagram.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathbf{A} : I \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ be the functor defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{u} & 1 & \longleftarrow \dots \longrightarrow & s-1 & \xleftarrow{v} & s \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{DGA}_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{u_*} & \mathbf{FDGA}_{\mathbb{C}} & \xleftarrow{Id} \dots \xrightarrow{Id} & \mathbf{FDGA}_{\mathbb{C}} & \xleftarrow{v_*} & \mathbf{F}^2\text{DGA}_{\mathbb{C}} \end{array}$$

where $u_*(A_{\mathbb{Q}}, W) = (A_{\mathbb{Q}}, W) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is the extension of scalars functor and $v_*(A_{\mathbb{C}}, W, F) = (A_{\mathbb{C}}, W)$ is the forgetful functor for the second filtration. All intermediate functors are identities. The *category of diagrams of filtered algebras* is the category of diagrams $\Gamma \mathbf{A}$ associated with \mathbf{A} . Objects of $\Gamma \mathbf{A}$ are denoted by $A = ((A_{\mathbb{Q}}, W) \xleftarrow{\varphi} (A_{\mathbb{C}}, W, F))$.

5.2. Consider the P-category structures of $\mathbf{FDGA}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathbf{FDGA}_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by the 1-path together with the classes of E_1 -quasi-isomorphisms and E_1 -surjections (see Proposition 3.9). Likewise, in $\mathbf{F}^2\text{DGA}_{\mathbb{C}}$, consider the P-category structure given by the $(1, 0)$ -path together with the classes of $E_{1,0}$ -quasi-isomorphisms and $E_{1,0}$ -surjections (see Proposition 3.12). With these choices, the category $\Gamma \mathbf{A}$ satisfies conditions (I_1) - (I_3) of 4.3. Hence we have the corresponding notions of *homomorphism* and *ho-equivalence*, as well as the classes \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{W} of ho-equivalences and level-wise weak equivalences of $\Gamma \mathbf{A}$. Denote by \mathcal{Q} the class of level-wise quasi-isomorphisms compatible with filtrations. Since filtrations are regular and exhaustive, we have $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{Q}$.

Definition 5.3. A *mixed Hodge diagram* (of dga's over \mathbb{Q}) is a diagram of filtered algebras

$$A = \left((A_{\mathbb{Q}}, W) \xleftarrow{\varphi} (A_{\mathbb{C}}, W, F) \right)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (MH₀) The map φ is a string of E_1^W -quasi-isomorphisms. The weight filtration W is regular and exhaustive. The Hodge filtration F is biregular and $H^*(A_{\mathbb{Q}})$ has finite type.
- (MH₁) For all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the differential of $Gr_p^W A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is strictly compatible with the filtration F .
- (MH₂) For all $n \geq 0$ and all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the filtration F induced on $H^n(Gr_p^W A_{\mathbb{C}})$ defines a pure Hodge structure of weight $p + n$ on $H^n(Gr_p^W A_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Denote by **MHD** the category of mixed Hodge diagrams of a fixed type I , omitting the index category in the notation. By forgetting the multiplicative structures we recover the category **MHC** of mixed Hodge complexes (see [Del74], 8.1.5). Axiom (MH₂) implies that for all $n \geq 0$ the triple $(H^n(A_{\mathbb{Q}}), \text{Dec}W, F)$ is a mixed Hodge structure, where $\text{Dec}W$ denotes the décalage of the weight filtration (see Definition 1.3.3 of [Del71]).

The base field \mathbb{Q} is considered as a mixed Hodge diagram with trivial filtrations and trivial differential. We will assume that every mixed Hodge diagram A is *0-connected*: the unit map

$\eta : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{Q}}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{Q} \cong H^0(A_{\mathbb{Q}})$. This is a sufficient condition in order to establish the existence of minimal models à la Sullivan.

By Scholie 8.1.9 of [Del74] the spectral sequences associated with the weight and the Hodge filtrations degenerate at the stages E_2 and E_1 respectively. Hence the classes \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{Q} coincide in \mathbf{MHD} and $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHD}) := \mathbf{MHD}[\mathcal{Q}^{-1}] = \mathbf{MHD}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$.

Definition 5.4. A *mixed Hodge dga* is a filtered dga (A, d, W) over \mathbb{Q} , together with a filtration F on $A_{\mathbb{C}} := A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$, such that for each $n \geq 0$, the triple $(A^n, \mathrm{Dec}W, F)$ is a mixed Hodge structure and the differentials $d : A^n \rightarrow A^{n+1}$ and products $A^n \otimes A^m \rightarrow A^{n+m}$ are morphisms of mixed Hodge structures.

The cohomology of every mixed Hodge diagram is a mixed Hodge dga with trivial differential. Following Sullivan's theory one defines a notion of *minimal mixed Hodge extension* of an augmented mixed Hodge dga (see Definition 3.15 of [CG12]). A mixed Hodge dga is *minimal* if it is the colimit of a sequence of minimal mixed Hodge extensions starting from the base field \mathbb{Q} with trivial mixed Hodge structure. Every minimal mixed Hodge dga M is free as a filtered dga and satisfies $d(W_p M) \subset W_{p-1}(M^+ \cdot M^+)$. Hence it is a Sullivan minimal dga satisfying $\mathrm{Dec}W_p M^n = W_{p-n} M^n$. Denote by \mathbf{MHDGA}_{\min} the category of minimal mixed Hodge dga's.

Proposition 5.5. *Objects of \mathbf{MHDGA}_{\min} are Cartan-Eilenberg cofibrant and minimal in the triple $(\mathbf{MHD}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Q})$.*

Proof. Let M be a minimal mixed Hodge dga. By Corollary 4.31, for M to be cofibrant it suffices to see that (M, W) and $(M_{\mathbb{C}}, W, F)$ are cofibrant in the categories of filtered and bifiltered dga's respectively. This follows from Theorem 2.12 of [CG12], which is an adaptation of the classical proof (see Lemma 12.4 of [FHT01]), to the filtered setting. To see that objects of \mathbf{MHDGA}_{\min} are minimal it remains to prove that every weak equivalence $w : M \rightarrow N$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, since both M and N are Sullivan minimal, w is an isomorphism of dga's (see Proposition 7.6 of [BG76]). Since M and N carry mixed Hodge structures, the map w is strictly compatible with W and F (see Theorem 2.3.5 of [Del71]). Therefore $w : (M, W) \rightarrow (N, W)$ is an isomorphism of filtered dga's, and $w_{\mathbb{C}} : (M_{\mathbb{C}}, W, F) \rightarrow (N_{\mathbb{C}}, W, F)$ is an isomorphism of bifiltered dga's. \square

Theorem 5.6. *The triple $(\mathbf{MHD}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Q})$ is a left Cartan-Eilenberg category and \mathbf{MHDGA}_{\min} is a full subcategory of cofibrant minimal models. The inclusion induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\pi^h \mathbf{MHDGA}_{\min} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHD}).$$

Proof. We show that the conditions of Theorem 4.33 are satisfied, with $\Gamma\mathcal{C} = \Gamma\mathbf{A}$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{MHD}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{MHDGA}_{\min}$. Let us prove (i). By Lemma 4.16, given a ho-morphism of mixed Hodge diagrams $f : A \rightsquigarrow B$, the level-wise projection $p : \mathcal{P}^h(f) \rightarrow A$ is in \mathcal{W} . Therefore condition (MH_0) follows from the two out of three property of E_1 -quasi-isomorphisms. Since $Gr_p^W P_1(B_{\mathbb{C}}) = Gr_p^W B_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \Lambda(t) \oplus Gr_{p+1}^W B_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \Lambda(t)dt$, it follows that

$$H^n(Gr_p^W \mathcal{P}(f_{\mathbb{C}})) \cong H^n(Gr_p^W A_{\mathbb{C}}) \oplus H^n(Gr_p^W B_{\mathbb{C}}) \oplus H^{n-1}(Gr_{p+1}^W B_{\mathbb{C}})$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence conditions (MH_1) and (MH_2) are satisfied.

By Theorem 3.17 of [CG12], for every cohomologically connected mixed Hodge diagram A there exists a minimal mixed Hodge dga M and a ho-morphism $f : M \rightsquigarrow A$ which is a level-wise quasi-isomorphism. By Proposition 5.5, M is cofibrant and minimal. Hence (ii) is satisfied. \square

Corollary 5.7. *The rational homotopy type of every complex algebraic variety carries functorial mixed Hodge structures: there is a functor $\mathcal{H}dg : \mathbf{Sch}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \pi^h \mathbf{MHDGA}_{\min}$ whose composition with the forgetful functor $U_{\mathbb{Q}} : \pi^h \mathbf{MHDGA}_{\min} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{DGA}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ sends X to a minimal model M_X of its Sullivan-de Rham algebra of forms in the homotopy category.*

Proof. Deligne's construction of mixed Hodge structures on the cohomology of smooth algebraic varieties [Del71] can be restated as having a functor $\mathcal{H}dg : \mathbf{V}^2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{MHC}$ sending every smooth

compactification $U \subset X$ of algebraic varieties over \mathbb{C} with $D = X - U$ a normal crossings divisor, to a mixed Hodge complex, which computes the cohomology of U .

A multiplicative version of Deligne's functor with values in the category of mixed Hodge diagrams $\mathcal{H}dg : \mathbf{V}^2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{MHD}$ is given in Theorem 8.1.5 of ([Nav87]).

The category of mixed Hodge diagrams admits a cohomological descent structure via the Thom-Whitney simple functor (see Theorem 4.4 of [CG12]). Hence the extension criterion of functors of [GN02] gives a functor $\mathcal{H}dg : \mathbf{Sch}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathbf{MHD})$ whose rational component is the Sullivan-de Rham functor. The result follows from Theorem 5.6. \square

5.2. Homotopy and indecomposables

Given an augmented dga $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ over \mathbf{k} , denote by A^+ the kernel of ε . The quotient graded vector space $Q(A) = A^+ / (A^+ \cdot A^+)$ with the induced differential is called the *complex of indecomposables* of A . If A is a (bi)filtered dga and the augmentation is compatible with filtrations, then $Q(A)$ is also (bi)filtered. This defines a functor Q sending augmented (bi)filtered dga's to (bi)filtered complexes of vector spaces over \mathbf{k} .

Definition 5.8. An *augmented mixed Hodge diagram* is a mixed Hodge diagram A together with a morphism $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.

Denote by \mathbf{MHD}_* the category of augmented mixed Hodge diagrams whose morphisms are compatible with the augmentations.

Definition 5.9. The *complex of indecomposables* of an augmented mixed Hodge diagram A is the diagram of filtered complexes defined by

$$Q(A) = \left((Q(A_{\mathbb{Q}}), W) \xleftarrow{Q(\varphi)} (Q(A_{\mathbb{C}}), W, F) \right).$$

This defines a functor $Q : \mathbf{MHD}_* \rightarrow \Gamma\mathbf{C}$, where $\Gamma\mathbf{C}$ denotes the category of diagrams of filtered complexes, obtained by forgetting the multiplicative structures of $\Gamma\mathbf{A}$ (see Definition 4.1 of [CG13]). Every minimal mixed Hodge dga M admits a canonical augmentation, and for all $n \geq 0$, the triple $(Q(M_{\mathbb{Q}})^n, \text{Dec}W, F)$ is a mixed Hodge structure. In particular $Q(M)$ is a mixed Hodge complex with trivial differential. We have a functor

$$\text{Dec}_W \circ Q : \mathbf{MHDGA}_{min} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^+(\mathbf{MHS})$$

with values in the category of graded mixed Hodge structures, where Dec_W is defined by décalage of the weight filtration.

Definition 5.10. Let $n \geq 0$ and let A be a 1-connected mixed Hodge diagram. The *n-th homotopy group of A* is the mixed Hodge structure defined by $\pi^n(A) = \text{Dec}_W \circ Q(M)$, where M is a cofibrant minimal model of A .

We next check that this definition is correct, in the sense that it is functorial, and does not depend on the chosen minimal model.

Remark 5.11. Since every 0-connected mixed Hodge diagram has a cofibrant minimal model, its n -th homotopy groups can be defined in the same manner. In doing so, one loses functoriality, since dga's need not induce the same morphism of indecomposables, unless the homotopy is augmented. For 1-connected dga's, every homotopy of augmented morphisms is augmented. Hence, the homotopy is independent of the augmentation.

Definition 5.12. Let $f, g : A \rightsquigarrow B$ be ho-morphisms of mixed Hodge diagrams. A homotopy $h : A \rightsquigarrow P(B)$ from f to g is said to be *augmented* if the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \iota \\ P(B) & \xrightarrow{P(\varepsilon)} & P(\mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

Lemma 5.13. *Every augmented homotopy $h : A \rightsquigarrow P(B)$ between ho-morphisms of 1-connected mixed Hodge diagrams induces a homotopy*

$$\int_0^1 h : Q(A) \rightsquigarrow Q(B)[-1].$$

between ho-morphisms of diagrams of filtered complexes.

Proof. A homotopy $h : A \rightsquigarrow P(B)$ from $f = (f_i, F_u)$ to $g = (g_i, G_u)$ is given by a family of homotopies $h_i : A_i \rightarrow P(B_i)$ from f_i to g_i , together with second homotopies $H_u : A_i \rightarrow P^2(B_j)$ satisfying the conditions of Definition 4.10. Consider the homogeneous linear map

$$\int_0^1 : P(A_i) = A_i \otimes \Lambda(t, dt) \longrightarrow A_i$$

of degree -1 defined by $a \otimes t^i \mapsto 0$ and $a \otimes t^i dt \mapsto (-1)^{|a|} \frac{a}{i+1}$ (see [GM81], X.10.3). Then

$$d \int_0^1 h_i + \int_0^1 dh_i = g_i - f_i.$$

Therefore the map $\int_1^0 h_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i[-1]$ is a homotopy of complexes. Likewise, we find that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 H_u d - d \int_0^1 \int_0^1 H_u = \int_0^1 G_u - \int_0^1 F_u + \int_0^1 h_j \varphi_u - \varphi_u \int_0^1 h_i.$$

Hence the family of pairs

$$\int_0^1 h := \left(\int_0^1 h_i, \int_0^1 \int_0^1 H_u \right)$$

is a homotopy of ho-morphisms of mixed Hodge complexes from f to g (see Definition 3.8 of [CG13]). Since h is augmented, this homotopy satisfies

$$\int_0^1 h(A^+) \subset B^+ \text{ and } \int_0^1 h(A^+ \cdot A^+) \subset B^+ \cdot B^+.$$

Therefore it induces a homotopy at the level of complexes of indecomposables. \square

Theorem 5.14. *The functor of indecomposables admits a left derived functor*

$$\mathbb{L}Q : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHD}_*^1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHC}).$$

The composition of functors

$$\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHD}^1) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHD}_*^1) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}Q} \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHC}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Dec}_W \circ H} \mathbf{G}^+(\mathbf{MHS})$$

defines a functor

$$\pi^* : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHD}^1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}^+(\mathbf{MHS})$$

which associates to every 1-connected mixed Hodge diagram A , a graded mixed Hodge structure $\pi^(A) = (Q(M_{\mathbb{Q}}), \mathrm{Dec}W, F)$, where M is a cofibrant minimal model of A .*

Proof. By Theorem 5.6 the triple $(\mathbf{MHD}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Q})$ is a Cartan-Eilenberg category. By Lemma 5.13 the functor $Q : \mathbf{MHD}_* \rightarrow \Gamma\mathbf{C}$, sends morphisms in \mathcal{H} to level-wise weak equivalences. By Lemma 3.1.3 of [GNPR10], it admits a left derived functor $\mathbb{L}Q : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHD}_*^1) \rightarrow \Gamma\mathbf{C}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ defined by $\mathbb{L}Q(A) = Q(M_A)$, where M is a cofibrant minimal model of A . Since $Q(M)$ is a mixed Hodge complex, $\mathbb{L}Q$ takes values in $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHC})$. It remains to show that the forgetful functor $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHD}_*^1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHD}^1)$ is an equivalence of categories. This follows as in the case of 1-connected dga's, from the fact that every homotopy between morphisms of 1-connected minimal dga's is augmented (see Lemma 12.5 of [GM81]). \square

Corollary 5.15 ([Mor78], Thm. 9.1, [Nav87], Thm. 9.3.2). *The rational homotopy groups of every simply connected complex algebraic variety carry functorial mixed Hodge structures.*

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 5.7 we have a functor $\mathcal{H}dg : \mathbf{Sch}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{MHD})$ whose rational component is the Sullivan-de Rham functor. By Theorem 5.14 this gives a functor $\pi_* := \pi^* \circ \mathcal{H}dg : \mathbf{Sch}^1(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^+(\mathbf{MHS})$ with values in the category of graded mixed Hodge structures. The rational components of $\pi_*(X)$ are the rational homotopy groups of X . \square

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank F. Guillén for invaluable support and helpful suggestions and V. Navarro for sharing his insightful ideas.

References

- [Bau77] H. J. Baues, *Obstruction theory on homotopy classification of maps*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 628, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [Bau89] ———, *Algebraic homotopy*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 15, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [Bei86] A. A. Beilinson, *Notes on absolute Hodge cohomology*, Applications of algebraic K -theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, vol. 55, 1986, pp. 35–68.
- [BG76] A. K. Bousfield and V. K. A. M. Gugenheim, *On PL de Rham theory and rational homotopy type*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1976), no. 179.
- [Bro73] K. S. Brown, *Abstract homotopy theory and generalized sheaf cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **186** (1973), 419–458.
- [CG12] J. Cirici and F. Guillén, *E_1 -formality of complex algebraic varieties*, Preprint, arXiv:math/1212.3955 [math.AG] (2012).
- [CG13] ———, *Homotopy theory of mixed Hodge complexes*, Preprint, arXiv:math/1304.6236 [math.AG] (2013).
- [Cis10] D-C. Cisinski, *Catégories dérivables*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **138** (2010), no. 3, 317–393.
- [Del71] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1971), no. 40, 5–57.
- [Del74] ———, *Théorie de Hodge. III*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1974), no. 44, 5–77.
- [DGMS75] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan, and D. Sullivan, *Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **29** (1975), no. 3, 245–274.
- [DS95] W. G. Dwyer and J. Spaliński, *Homotopy theories and model categories*, Handbook of algebraic topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995, pp. 73–126.
- [FHT01] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and J-C. Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 205, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [GM81] P. Griffiths and J. W. Morgan, *Rational homotopy theory and differential forms*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 16, Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1981.
- [GN02] F. Guillén and V. Navarro, *Un critère d’extension des foncteurs définis sur les schémas lisses*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2002), no. 95, 1–91.
- [GNPR10] F. Guillén, V. Navarro, P. Pascual, and A. Roig, *A Cartan-Eilenberg approach to homotopical algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), no. 2, 140–164.
- [Hai87] R. M. Hain, *The de Rham homotopy theory of complex algebraic varieties. II, K -Theory* **1** (1987), no. 5, 481–497.
- [Hov99] M. Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 63, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [HT90] S. Halperin and D. Tanré, *Homotopie filtrée et fibrés C^∞* , Illinois J. Math. **34** (1990), no. 2, 284–324.
- [KP97] K. H. Kamps and T. Porter, *Abstract homotopy and simple homotopy theory*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1997.
- [Mor78] J. W. Morgan, *The algebraic topology of smooth algebraic varieties*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1978), no. 48, 137–204.
- [Nav87] V. Navarro, *Sur la théorie de Hodge-Deligne*, Invent. Math. **90** (1987), no. 1, 11–76.
- [Qui67] D. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 43, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [RB07] A. Radulescu-Banu, *Cofibrations in homotopy theory*, Preprint, arXiv:math/0610009v4 [math.AT] (2007).
- [Sul77] D. Sullivan, *Infinitesimal computations in topology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1977), no. 47, 269–331 (1978).
- [Tho79] R. W. Thomason, *Homotopy colimits in the category of small categories*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **85** (1979), no. 1, 91–109.
- [Tot02] B. Totaro, *Topology of singular algebraic varieties*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002), Higher Ed. Press, 2002, pp. 533–541.