## GROTHENDIECK'S THEOREM FOR ABSOLUTELY SUMMING MULTILINEAR OPERATORS IS OPTIMAL

DANIEL PELLEGRINO AND JUAN B. SEOANE-SEPÚLVEDA

ABSTRACT. Grothendieck's theorem asserts that every continuous linear operator from  $\ell_1$  to  $\ell_2$ is absolutely  $(1; 1)$ -summing. In this note we prove that the optimal constant  $g_m$  so that every continuous m-linear operator from  $\ell_1 \times \cdots \times \ell_1$  to  $\ell_2$  is absolutely  $(g_m; 1)$ -summing is  $\frac{2}{m+1}$ . This result solves (in the positive) a conjecture posed by A.T. Bernardino in 2011.

## 1. Introduction

A celebrated result of Grothendieck asserts that every continuous linear operator from  $\ell_1$  to  $\ell_2$ is absolutely  $(1; 1)$ -summing. It was recently proved  $[1]$  that this result can be lifted to multilinear operators in the following fashion:

Every continuous m-linear operator from  $\ell_1 \times \cdots \times \ell_1$  to  $\ell_2$  is absolutely  $\left(\frac{2}{m+1};1\right)$ summing.

In the same paper the author conjectures that the value  $\frac{2}{m+1}$  is optimal. In this note we remark that this statement is true. Our proof is inspired on ideas that date back to the classical work of Lindenstrauss and Pe lczynski  $[6]$  and, later, explored in a series of papers (see, e.g.,  $[2, 3, 4, 7]$  $[2, 3, 4, 7]$  $[2, 3, 4, 7]$  $[2, 3, 4, 7]$ ).

Throughout this note, X and Y shall stand for Banach spaces over  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . The closed unit ball of X is denoted by  $B_X$  and the topological dual of X by  $X^*$ . Also, recall that a linear operator  $u: X \to Y$  is absolutely  $(q; 1)$ -summing (see [\[5\]](#page-2-6)) if there exists  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^n\|u(x_j)\|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\leq C\sup_{\varphi\in B_{X^*}}\sum_{j=1}^n|\varphi(x_j)|
$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ . The nonlinear theory of absolutely summing operators was designed by Pietsch in 1983 ([\[8\]](#page-2-7)) and since then has been intensively investigated. One of the possible polynomial generalizations of absolutely summing operators is the concept of absolutely summing polynomial. Given a positive integer m and  $q \geq \frac{1}{m}$ , an m-homogeneous polynomial  $P: X \to Y$  is absolutely  $(q; 1)$ -summing if there exists a constant  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|P(x_j)\|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le C \left(\sup_{\varphi \in B_{X^*}} \sum_{j=1}^n |\varphi(x_j)|\right)^m
$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ . The infimum of the constants C satisfying the above inequality is a norm for the space of absolutely  $(q, 1)$ -summing polynomials from X to Y, and it is denoted by  $\pi_{q,1}$ . For multilinear mappings the definition is similar:

An *m*-linear operator  $T: X \times \cdots \times X \to Y$  is absolutely  $(q; 1)$ -summing (with  $q \geq \frac{1}{m}$ ) if there is a constant  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|T(x_j^{(1)},...,x_j^{(m)})\|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \prod_{k=1}^m \left( \sup_{\varphi \in B_{X^*}} \sum_{j=1}^n |\varphi(x_j^{(k)})| \right)
$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_1^{(k)}, \ldots, x_n^{(k)} \in X$ , and  $k = 1, ..., m$ .

## 2. THE PROOF OF THE OPTIMALITY OF  $\frac{2}{m+1}$

Suppose that every continuous m-linear operator from  $\ell_1 \times \cdots \times \ell_l$  to  $\ell_2$  is absolutely  $(q_m; 1)$ summing for certain  $q_m < 2$ . Then, from the very definitions it is plain that every continuous m-homogeneous polynomial from  $\ell_1$  to  $\ell_2$  is absolutely  $(q_m; 1)$ -summing. The following argument is mentioned en passant, without proof, in [\[4\]](#page-2-4), but since we have a more self-contained approach, we present the details for the sake of completeness. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \ell_1$  be given. Consider  $x_1^*,\ldots,x_n^* \in B_{\ell_\infty}$  so that  $x_j^*(x_j) = ||x_j||$  for every  $j = 1,\ldots,n$ . Let  $a_1,\ldots,a_n$  be scalars such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j|^{\frac{2}{q_m}} = 1$  and define

$$
P_n: \ell_1 \longrightarrow \ell_2
$$
,  $P_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^{\frac{1}{q_m}} x_j^*(x)^m e_j$ ,

where  $e_j$  is the j-th canonical vector of  $\ell_2$ . For every  $x \in \ell_1$ ,

$$
||P_n(x)|| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left| |a_j|^{\frac{1}{q_m}} x_j^*(x)^m \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^{\frac{2}{q_m}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||x||^m = ||x||^m.
$$

By the Open Mapping Theorem there exists  $K > 0$  (not depending on n) such that  $\pi_{q_m,1}(P_n) \leq$  $K||P_n|| \leq K$ . Note that for  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ , we have

$$
||P_n(x_k)|| = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^{\frac{1}{q_m}} x_j^*(x_k)^m e_j \right\| \geq |a_k|^{\frac{1}{q_m}} x_k^*(x_k)^m = |a_k|^{\frac{1}{q_m}} ||x_k||^m.
$$

To simplify the notation we write  $\|(x_j)_{j=1}^n\|_{w,1} := \sup_{\varphi \in B_{X^*}} \sum_{j=1}^n |\varphi(x_j^{(k)})|$ . Thus, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} ||x_{j}||^{mq_m} |a_{j}|\right)^{\frac{1}{q_m}} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(||x_{j}||^{m} |a_{j}|^{\frac{1}{q_m}}\right)^{q_m}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_m}}
$$

$$
\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} ||P_n(x_{j})||^{q_m}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_m}}
$$

$$
\leq \pi_{q_m,1}(P_n) ||(x_{j})_{j=1}^{n}||_{w,1}^{m}.
$$

 $\mathcal{L}$  $\mathcal{L}$  $\int$ 

Since this last inequality holds whenever  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^{\frac{2}{q_m}} = 1$ , we obtain  $\sqrt{ }$  $\left(\sum_{n=1}^{n}\right)$  $j=1$  $||x_j||^{mq_m(\frac{2}{q_m})^*}$  $\overline{1}$  $\frac{1}{\left(\frac{2}{q_m}\right)^*}$  $\leq$  sup  $\sqrt{ }$ J  $\mathcal{L}$  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $j=1$  $||a_j|| ||x_j||^{mq_m}; \sum_{i=1}^n$  $j=1$  $|a_j|^{\frac{2}{q_m}} = 1$  $\leq (\pi_{q_m,1}(P_n) \| (x_j)_{j=1}^n \|_{w,1}^m)^{q_m}$  $\leq (K || (x_j)_{j=1}^n ||_{w,1}^m)^{q_m}$ 

and, then,

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{mq_m\left(\frac{2}{q_m}\right)^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{mq_m\left(\frac{2}{q_m}\right)^*}} \leq K^{\frac{1}{m}} \|(x_j)_{j=1}^n\|_{w,1}.
$$

We conclude that  $id_{\ell_1}$  is  $\left(mq_m\left(\frac{2}{q_m}\right)^*\,;\,1\right)$ -summing. Finally, from the *Dvoretzky-Rogers Theorem* we must have

$$
\frac{2mq_m}{2-q_m} = \left(\frac{2}{q_m}\right)^* mq_m \ge 2,
$$

that is,

$$
q_m \ge \frac{2}{m+1}.
$$

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-2-0"></span>[1] A.T. Bernardino, On cotype and a Grothendieck-type theorem for absolutely summing multilinear operators, Quaest. Math. 34 (2011), 513–519.
- <span id="page-2-2"></span>[2] G. Botelho and D. Pellegrino, Scalar-valued dominated polynomials on Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 1743–1751.
- <span id="page-2-3"></span>[3] G. Botelho and D. Pellegrino, Absolutely summing polynomials on Banach spaces with unconditional basis, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 321 (2006), 50–58.
- <span id="page-2-4"></span>[4] G. Botelho, D. Pellegrino, and P. Rueda, Cotype and absolutely summing linear operators. Math. Z. 267 (2011), no. 1–2, 1–7.
- <span id="page-2-6"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>[5] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, and A. Tonge, Absolutely summing operators, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [6] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pełczyński, Absolutely summing operators in  $\mathcal{L}_p$  spaces and their applications, Studia Math. 29 (1968), 275–326.
- <span id="page-2-7"></span><span id="page-2-5"></span>[7] D. Pellegrino, Cotype and absolutely summing homogeneous polynomials in  $\mathcal{L}_p$  spaces, Studia Math. 157 (2003), 121–131.
- [8] A. Pietsch, Ideals of Multilinear Functionals. Proc. II Intem. Conf. on Operator Algebras, Ideals and Appl. in Theoretical Physics. Leipzig (1983) Teubner-Texte.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA. UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA, 58.051-900 - JOÃO PESSOA, BRAZIL. E-mail address: pellegrino@pq.cnpq.br and dmpellegrino@gmail.com

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, Plaza de Ciencias 3, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, MADRID, 28040, SPAIN. E-mail address: jseoane@mat.ucm.es