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#### Abstract

In this paper, improving on results in [1] [7] we give the full classification of curves $C$ of genus $g$ such that a Brill-Noether locus $W_{d}^{s}(C)$, strictly contained in the jacobian $J(C)$ of $C$, contains a variety $Z$ stable under translations by the elements of a positive dimensional abelian subvariety $A \subsetneq J(C)$ and such that $\operatorname{dim}(Z)=d-\operatorname{dim}(A)-2 s$, i.e., the maximum possible for such a $Z$.
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## 1. Introduction

In [1 the authors posed the problem of studying, and possibly classifying, situations like this:
$\left(^{*}\right) C$ is a smooth, projective, complex curve of genus $g, Z$ is an irreducible $r$-dimensional subvariety of a Brill-Noether locus $W_{d}^{s}(C) \subsetneq J^{d}(C)$, and $Z$ is stable under translations by the elements of an abelian subvariety $A \subsetneq J(C)$ of dimension $a>0$ (if so, we will say that $Z$ is $A$-stable).
Actually in [1] the variety $Z$ is the translate of a positive dimensional proper abelian subvariety of $J(C)$, while the above slightly more general formulation was given in [7].

The motivation for studying $\left(^{*}\right)$ resides, among other things, in a theorem of Faltings (see [9]) to the effect that if $X$ is an abelian variety defined over a number field $\mathbb{K}$, and $Z \subsetneq X$ is a subvariety not containing any translate of a positive dimensional abelian subvariety of $X$, then the number of rational points of $Z$ over $\mathbb{K}$ is finite. The idea in [1] was to apply Faltings' theorem to the $d$-fold symmetric product $C(d)$ of a curve $C$ defined over a number field $\mathbb{K}$. If $C$ has no positive dimensional linear series of degree $d$, then $C(d)$ is isomorphic to its Abel-Jacobi image $W_{d}(C)$ in $J^{d}(C)$. Thus $C(d)$ has finitely many rational points over $\mathbb{K}$ if $W_{d}(C)$ does not contain any translate of a positive dimensional abelian subvariety of $J(C)$. The suggestion in [1] is that, if, by contrast, $W_{d}(C)$ contains the translate of a positive dimensional abelian subvariety of $J(C)$, then $C$ should be quite special, e.g., it should admit a map to a curve of lower positive genus (curves of this kind clearly are in situation $\left(^{*}\right)$ ). This idea was tested in [1], where a number of partial results were proven for low values of $d$.

[^0]The problem was taken up in [7], see also [8, where, among other things, it is proven that if ${ }^{*}$ ) holds, then $r+a+2 s \leqslant d$, and, if in addition $d+r \leqslant g-1$, then $r+a+2 s=d$ if and only if:
(a) there is a degree 2 morphism $\varphi: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$, with $C^{\prime}$ a smooth curve of genus $a$, such that $A=\varphi^{*}\left(J\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $Z=W_{d-2 a-2 s}(C)+\varphi^{*}\left(J^{a+s}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
In [7] there is also the following example with $(d, s)=(g-1,0)$ :
(b) there is an (étale) degree 2 morphism $\varphi: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$, with $C^{\prime}$ a smooth curve of genus $g^{\prime}=r+1, A$ is the Prym variety of $\varphi$ and $Z \subset W_{g-1}(C)$ is the connected component of $\varphi_{*}^{-1}\left(K_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ consisting of divisor classes $D$ with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right)$ odd, where $\varphi_{*}: J^{g-1}(C) \rightarrow$ $J^{g-1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is the norm map. One has $Z \cong A$, hence $r=a$.
One more family of examples is the following (see Corollary 3.9 below):
(c) $C$ is hyperelliptic, there is a degree 2 morphism $\varphi: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ with $C^{\prime}$ a smooth curve of genus $a$ such that $g>2 a+1, A=\varphi^{*}\left(J\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right), 0<s<g-1$ and $Z=\varphi^{*}\left(J^{a}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)+$ $W_{d-2 s-2 a}(C)+W_{2 s}^{s}(C)$ (notice that $W_{2 s}^{s}(C)$ is a point).
The aforementioned result in 7 goes exactly in the direction indicated in [1]. The unfortunate feature of it is the hypothesis $d+r \leqslant g-1$ which turns out to be quite strong. To understand how strong it is, consider the case $(d, s)=(g-1,0)$, which is indeed the crucial one (see [7, Proposition 3.3] and 3.2 below) and in which Debarre-Fahaloui's theorem is void.

The aim of the present paper is to give the full classification of the cases in which $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) happens and $d=r+a+2 s$. What we prove (see Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.9) is that if $\left(^{*}\right)$ holds then, with no further assumption, either (a) or (b) or (c) occurs.

The idea of the proof is not so different, in principle, from the one proposed in 7 in the restricted situation considered there. Indeed, one uses the $A$-stability of $Z$ and its maximal dimension to produce linear series on $C$ which are not birational, in fact composed with a degree 2 irrational involution. The main tool in [7], inspired by [1], is a Castelnuovo's type of analysis for the growth of the dimension of certain linear series.

Our approach also consists in producing a non birational linear series on $C$, but it is in a sense more direct. We consider $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ with $(d, s)=(g-1,0)$ and $a+r=g-1$, i.e., the basic case (the others follow from this), in which $Z$ is contained in $W_{g-1}(C)$, which is a translate of the theta divisor $\Theta \subset J(C)$. This immediately produces, restricting to $Z$ the Gauss map of $\Theta$, a base point free sublinear series $L$ of dimension $r$ of the canonical series of $C$. It turns out that $Z$ is birational to an irreducible component of the variety $C(g-1, L) \subset C(g-1)$ consisting of all effective divisors of $C$ of degree $g-1$ contained in some divisor of $L$. The $A$-stability of $Z$ implies that $C(g-1, L)$ has some other component besides the one birational to $Z$, and this forces $L$ to be non-birational. Once one knows this, a (rather subtle) analysis of the map determined by $L$ and of its image leads to the conclusion.

As for the contents of the paper, ${ }^{6} 2$ is devoted to a few general facts about the varieties $C(k, L) \subset C(k)$ of effective divisors of degree $k$ contained in a divisor of a linear series $L$ on a curve $C$. These varieties, as we said, play a crucial role in our analysis. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of our main result.

One final word about our own interest in this problem, which is quite different from the motivation of [1, 7]. It is in fact related to the study of irregular surfaces $S$ of general type, where situation (*) presents itself in a rather natural way. For example, let $C \subset S$ be a smooth, irreducible curve, and assume that $C$ corresponds to the general point of an irreducible component $\mathcal{C}$ of the Hilbert scheme of curves on $S$ which dominates $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(S)$. Recall that there is also only one irreducible component $\mathcal{K}$ of the Hilbert scheme of curves algebraically equivalent to canonical curves on $S$ which dominates $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(S)$ (this is called the main paracanonical system). The curves of $\mathcal{C}$ cut out on $C$ divisors which are residual, with respect to $\left|K_{C}\right|$, of divisors cut out by curves in $\mathcal{K}$. Consider now the one of the two systems $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ whose curves cut on $C$ divisors of minimal
degree $d=\min \left\{C^{2}, K_{S} \cdot C\right\}$, and denote by $s$ the dimension of the general fibre of this system over $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(S)$. Thus the image of the natural map $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(S) \rightarrow J^{d}(C)$ defined by $\eta \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{C}(C+\eta)$ (or by $\eta \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(K_{S}+\eta\right)$ ) is a $q$-dimensional abelian variety contained in $W_{d}^{s}(C)$, which is what happens in $\left(^{*}\right)$. Thus understanding $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ would provide us with the understanding of (most) curves on irregular surfaces.

The results in this paper, even if restricted to the very special case of $(*)$ in which $Z$ has maximal dimension, turn out to be useful in surface theory. For example, if $S$ is a minimal irregular surface of general type, then $K_{S}^{2} \geqslant 2 p_{g}$ (see [6]). Using the results in this paper we are able to classify irregular minimal surfaces for which $K_{S}^{2}=2 p_{g}$. This classification is given in (5).

## 2. Linear series on curves and related subvarieties of symmetric products

2.1. Generalities. Let $C$ be a smooth, projective, irreducible curve of genus $g$. For an integer $k \geqslant 0$, we denote by $C(k)$ the $k$-th symmetric product of $C$ (by convention, $C(0)$ is a point).

Let $L$ be a base point free $g_{d}^{r}$ on $C$. We denote the corresponding morphism by $\phi_{L}: C \rightarrow \bar{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r}$ and by $C^{\prime}$ the normalization of $\bar{C}$. We let $f: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ be the induced morphism and $L^{\prime}$ the linear series on $C^{\prime}$ such that $L=f^{*}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$. We set $\operatorname{deg}(f)=\nu \geq 1$, so that $d=\delta \nu$, with $\delta=\operatorname{deg}(\bar{C})$. We say that $L$ is birational if $\phi_{L}: C \rightarrow \bar{C}$ is birational.

Let $k \leqslant d$ be a positive integer. We consider the incidence correspondence

$$
\mathcal{C}(k, L)=\{(D, H) \in C(k) \times L \mid D \leqslant H\}
$$

with projections $p_{i}$ (with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2$ ) to the first and second factor. Set $C(k, L)=p_{1}(\mathcal{C}(k, L))$, which has a natural scheme structure (see [4] or [2, p. 341]). Note the isomorphism

$$
\mathfrak{s}:(D, H) \in \mathcal{C}(k, L) \rightarrow(H-D, H) \in \mathcal{C}(d-k, L)
$$

Lemma 2.1. (i) If $k \leqslant r$, then $C(k, L)=C(k)$.
(ii) If $k \geqslant r$, then $C(k, L)$ has pure dimension $r$. If $V$ is an irreducible component of $C(k, L)$, there is a unique component $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{C}(k, L)$ dominating $V$ via $p_{1}$ and $p_{1}$ induces a birational morphism of $\mathcal{V}$ onto $V$. Finally $\mathcal{V}$ dominates $L$ via $p_{2}$.
(iii) If $\min \{k, d-k\} \geqslant r$ the isomorphism $\mathfrak{s}$ induces a map $\mathfrak{r}: C(k, L) \rightarrow C(d-k, L)$, which is componentwise birational.
(iv) If $L$ is birational, then $C(k, L)$ is irreducible.

Proof. Part (i) is clear. The dimensionality assertion in (ii) follows from [4, § 1] or [2, Lemma (3.2), p. 342]. The rest of (ii) follows from these facts: the fibres of $p_{1}$ are isomorphic to linear subseries of $L$ and $p_{2}$ is finite, so no component of $\mathcal{C}(k, L)$ has dimension larger than $r$. Part (iii) follows from (ii). Part (iv) follows from the Uniform Position Theorem (see [2, p. 112]).

Next we look at the case $L$ non-birational and $k \geqslant r$. Consider the induced finite morphism $f_{*}: C(k, L) \rightarrow C^{\prime}(k)$. For each partition $\underline{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots m_{s}\right)$ of $k$ with $\delta \geqslant s \geqslant r$ and $1 \leqslant m_{1} \leqslant$ $m_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant m_{s} \leqslant \nu$, we denote by $C(\underline{m}, L)$ the closure in $C(k, L)$ of the inverse image via $f_{*}$ of the set of divisors of the form $m_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+m_{s} y_{s}$, with $y_{1}+\cdots+y_{s}$ a reduced divisor in $C^{\prime}\left(s, L^{\prime}\right)$. To denote a partition $\underline{m}$ as above we may use the exponential notation $\underline{m}=\left(1^{\mu_{1}}, \ldots, \nu^{\mu_{\nu}}\right)$, meaning that $i$ is repeated $\mu_{i}$ times, with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \nu$, and we may omit $i^{\mu_{i}}$ if $\mu_{i}=0$. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \mu_{i}=s \leqslant \delta$. Set $\mu_{0}:=\delta-s$. Then $\underline{m}^{c}=\left(1^{\mu_{\nu-1}}, \ldots,(\nu-1)^{\mu_{1}}, \nu^{\mu_{0}}\right)$ is a partition of $d-k$ which we call the complementary partition of $\underline{m}$.

Lemma 2.2. In the above set up each irreducible component of $C(\underline{m}, L)$ has dimension $r$, hence it is an irreducible component of $C(k, L)$ and all irreducible components of $C(k, L)$ are of this type.

Proof. Let $V$ be an irreducible component of $C(k, L)$ : by Lemma 2.1 there is a unique irreducible component $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{C}(k, L)$ dominating it and $p_{1} \mid \mathcal{V}: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow V$ is birational. Let $D \in V$ be a general point and let ( $D, H$ ) be the unique point of $\mathcal{V}$ mapping to $D$ via $p_{1}$, so that $H$ is a general divisor in $L$ (cf. Lemma 2.1, (ii)). Hence $H$ consists of $\delta$ distinct fibres $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{\delta}$ of $f$, each being a reduced divisor of degree $\nu$ on $C$. Then $D$ consists of $m_{i}$ points in $F_{i}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s \leqslant \delta$, where we may assume $1 \leqslant m_{1} \leqslant m_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant m_{s} \leqslant \nu$. Moreover, $\operatorname{since} \operatorname{dim}(V)=\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{V})=r$ and $p_{2}$ is finite, one has $s \geqslant r$. Hence $D \in C(\underline{m}, L)$, with $\underline{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots m_{s}\right)$, i.e. $V \subseteq C(\underline{m}, L) \subseteq C(k, L)$ hence $V$ is a component of $C(\underline{m}, L)$.

The above considerations and Lemma 2.1, (ii), applied to $C^{\prime}\left(s, L^{\prime}\right)$, imply that the image of $C(\underline{m}, L)$ in $C^{\prime}(k)$ has dimension $r$, so each component of $C(\underline{m}, L)$ has dimension $r$.
2.2. Abel-Jacobi images. We assume from now on that $C$ has genus $g>0$. For an integer $k$, we denote by $J^{k}(C) \subset \operatorname{Pic}(C)$ the set of linear equivalence classes of divisors of degree $k$ on $C$. So $J(C):=J^{0}(C)$ is the Jacobian of $C$, which is a principally polarised abelian variety whose theta divisor class we denote by $\Theta_{C}$, or simply by $\Theta$.

The abelian variety $J(C)$ acts via translation on $J^{k}(C)$ for all $k$. If $X \subseteq J^{k}(C)$ and $Y \subseteq J(C)$, we say that $X$ is $Y$-stable, if for all $x \in X$ and for all $y \in Y$, one has $x+y \in X$.

For all integers $k$, fixing the class of a divisor of degree $k$ determines an isomorphism $J^{k}(C) \cong$ $J(C)$. Given a subvariety $V$ of $J^{k}(C)$, one says that it generates $J^{k}(C)$ if the image of $V$ via one of the above isomorphisms generates $J(C)$ as an abelian variety. This definition does not depend on the choice of the isomorphism $J^{k}(C) \cong J(C)$.

For every $k \geqslant 1$, we denote by $j_{k}: C(k) \rightarrow J^{k}(C) \cong J(C)$ (or simply by $j$ ) the Abel-Jacobi map. We denote by $W_{k}^{s}(C)$ the subscheme of $J^{k}(C)$ corresponding to classes of divisors $D$ such that $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right) \geqslant s+1$ (these are the so-called Brill-Noether loci). One sets $W_{k}(C):=$ $W_{k}^{0}(C)=\operatorname{Im}\left(j_{k}\right)$ and $W_{g-1}(C)$ maps to a theta divisor of $J(C)$, so we may abuse notation and write $W_{g-1}(C)=\Theta_{C}$.

We denote by $\Gamma_{C}(k, L)\left[\operatorname{resp} . \Gamma_{C}(\underline{m}, L)\right]$ the image in $W_{k}(C)$ of $C(k, L)[\operatorname{resp} . C(\underline{m}, L)]$ (we may drop the subscript $C$ if there is no danger of confusion). The expected dimension of $\Gamma(k, L)$ is $\min \{r, g\}$ (by dimension of a scheme we mean the maximum of the dimensions of its components).

We set $\rho_{C}(k, L):=\operatorname{dim}\left(\Gamma_{C}(k, L)\right)$ (simply denoted by $\rho(k, L)$ or by $\rho$ if no confusion arises). By Lemma 2.1, (i), one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { if } k \leqslant r \text { then } \Gamma(k, L)=W_{k}(C) \text {, hence } \rho=\min \{k, g\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we will consider next the case $k>r$, in which $\rho \leqslant \operatorname{dim}(C(k, L))=r$, by Lemma 2.1, (ii). Then the class $c(k, L)$ of $C(k, L)$ in the Chow ring of $C(k)$ is computed in [2, Lemma VIII.3.2]. If $x$ is the class of $C(k-1) \subset C(k)$ and $\theta:=j^{*}(\Theta)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(k, L)=\sum_{s=0}^{k-r}\binom{d-g-r}{s} \frac{x^{s} \theta^{k-r-s}}{(k-r-s)!} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Assume $k>r$ and $d-g-r \geqslant 0$. Then:
(i) if $k-g \leqslant \min \{k-r, d-g-r\}$ one has $\rho=r \leqslant g$;
(ii) if $k-g \geqslant \min \{k-r, d-g-r\}=k-r$, one has $\rho=g \leqslant r$;
(iii) if $k-g \geqslant \min \{k-r, d-g-r\}=d-g-r$, one has $\rho=d-k \leqslant \min \{r, g\}$.

Proof. Note that $x^{s}$ is the class of $C(k-s) \subset C(k)$, for $1 \leqslant s \leqslant k$. Applying the projection formula (cf. [10], Example 8.1.7) to 2.2 , we find the class $\gamma(k, L)$ of $\Gamma(k, L)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(k, L)=\sum_{s=0}^{k-r}\binom{d-g-r}{s} \frac{w_{k-s} \Theta^{k-r-s}}{(k-r-s)!} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{i}$ is the class of $W_{i}(C)$ for any $i \geqslant 0$. By Poincarés formula (cf. [3, §11.2]) one has

$$
w_{k-s} \Theta^{k-r-s}= \begin{cases}\Theta^{k-r-s}, & \text { if } k-g \geqslant s \geqslant 0, \\ \frac{\Theta^{g-r}}{(g-k+s)!}, & \text { if } \max \{0, k-g\} \leqslant s \leqslant \min \{k-r, d-g-r\},\end{cases}
$$

whence the assertion follows.
Lemma 2.4. (i) If $\rho=g$ then $g \leqslant \min \{k, r\}$;
(ii) if $r \geqslant k \geqslant g$, then $\rho=g$;
(iii) if $k>r \geqslant g$ and $d \geqslant k+g$ then $\rho=g$;
(iv) $\rho=0$ if and only if $k=d$.

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) follow from Lemma 2.1 .
(iii) In (2.3) one has the summand corresponding to the index $s=k-r>0$, which is $\Theta^{0}$ with the positive coefficient $\binom{d-g-r}{k-r}$, and no other summand in (2.3) cancels it.
(iv) If $k=d$ then $C(k, L)=L$ and clearly $\rho=0$. Conversely, if $\rho=0$ then in 2.3) the term $\Theta^{g}$ has to appear with non-zero coefficient and no other term $\Theta^{i}$ with $0 \leqslant i<g$ appears with non-zero coefficient. By looking at the proof of Lemma [2.3, we see that the summand $\Theta^{g}$ appears in (2.3) only if $0 \leqslant s=k-r-g$. Then $d \geqslant k \geqslant r+g$. So we may apply Lemma 2.3, and conclude that $\rho=0$ occurs only in case (iii), if $k=d$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $A \subseteq J(C)$ be an abelian subvariety of dimension a and let $p: J^{k}(C) \rightarrow J^{\prime}:=$ $J(C) / A$ be the map obtained by composing an isomorphism $J^{k}(C) \cong J(C)$ with the quotient map $J(C) \rightarrow J^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}(p(\Gamma(k, L)))=\min \{g-a, \rho\}
$$

Proof. If $\rho=g$ the statement is obvious, hence we assume $\rho<g$.
Consider first the case $k>r$. Assume by contradiction that $\operatorname{dim}(p(\Gamma(k, L))<\min \{\rho, g-a\}$. Let $\xi$ be the class of the pull back to $J(C)$ of an ample line bundle of $J^{\prime}$. We have $\bar{\gamma}(k, L) \xi^{\rho}=0$, where $\bar{\gamma}(k, L)$ is the $\rho$-dimensional part of $\gamma(k, L)$. By 2.3) one has $\bar{\gamma}(k, L)=\alpha \Theta^{g-\rho}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ is positive because $\Gamma(k, L)$ is an effective non-zero cycle of dimension $\rho$. Hence $\bar{\gamma}(k, L) \xi^{\rho}=$ $\alpha \Theta^{g-\rho} \xi^{\rho}>0$, because $\Theta$ is ample. Thus we have a contradiction.

If $k \leqslant r$, then $\Gamma(k, L)=W_{k}(C), \rho=k$ and $\gamma(k, L)$ is again a rational multiple of $\Theta^{g-k}$ (by Poincaré's formula), so the proof proceeds as above.
Corollary 2.6. If $A \subseteq J(C)$ is an abelian subvariety of dimension $a>0$ and $\Gamma(k, L)$ is $A$-stable, then the restriction of $p$ to $\Gamma(k, L)$ is surjective onto $J^{\prime}=J(C) / A$, hence $\Gamma(k, L)=J^{k}(C)=$ $W_{k}(C)$, i.e., $\rho=g$.
Corollary 2.7. If $d-1 \geqslant k \geqslant 1$, then $\Gamma(k, L)$ generates $J^{k}(C)$.
Proof. If $k \leqslant r$ then $\Gamma(k, L)=W_{k}(C)$ and the assertion is clear. Assume $k>r$. By Lemma 2.5, $\Gamma(k, L)$ generates $J^{k}(C)$ as soon as $\rho>0$, which is the case by Lemma 2.4, (iv).
2.3. A useful lemma. Let $L$ be a base point free $g_{d}^{1}$, let $\phi_{L}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the corresponding map and denote by $G_{L}$ the Galois group of $\phi_{L}$.
Lemma 2.8. If $L$ is a base point free $g_{4}^{1}$, then one of the following occurs:
(a) $C(2, L)$ is irreducible.
(b) $C(2, L)$ has two components. This occurs if and only if $G_{L} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}$.
(c) $C(2, L)$ has 3 components. This occurs if and only if $G_{L} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$.

Proof. We argue as in the proof of [3, Lemma 12.7.1]. Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the set of critical values of $\phi_{L}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$, let $\rho: \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash \Delta\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{4}$ be the monodromy representation and let $\Sigma:=\operatorname{Im}(\rho)$. The group $\Sigma$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{I}_{4}:=\{1,2,3,4\}$ (identified with the general divisor $x_{1}+\ldots+$
$\left.x_{4} \in L\right)$ and its order $s$ is divisible by 4. The irreducible components of $C(2, L)$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with the $\Sigma$-orbits of the order two subsets of $\mathbb{I}_{4}$. If $\Sigma$ contains an element of order 3 , then $s$ is divisible by 12 . Hence $\mathfrak{A}_{4} \subseteq \Sigma$, thus the action is transitive, $C(2, L)$ is irreducible and (a) holds.

Assume $\Sigma$ is a 2 -group. If $s=8$ then $\Sigma$ is a 2 -Sylow subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$, hence $\Sigma$ is the dihedral group $D_{4}$. Then $C$ is obtained from a $\Sigma$-cover $C_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ by moding out by a reflection $\sigma \in \Sigma$. In the $\Sigma$-action on $\mathbb{I}_{4}$ we may assume that an element of order 4 acts by sending $i$ to $i+1$ modulo 4 , for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4$. So the order 2 element in the center of $\Sigma$ induces the involution $\iota$ of $C$ that maps $i$ to $i+2$ modulo 4 , for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4$, and $\iota$ generates $G_{L} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. There are two orbits for the $\Sigma$-action on the set of order two subsets of $\mathbb{I}_{4}$ : one of order 2 given by $\{\{1,3\},\{2,4\}\}$, the other of order 4 given by $\{\{i, i+1\}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4\}$ (here $i$ is taken modulo 4). These orbits respectively correspond to two components $E_{1}, E_{2}$ of $C(2, L)$ and we are in case (b).

Assume $s=4$. Then $\phi_{L}$ is Galois with $G_{L}=\Sigma$. If $G_{L} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4}$, then the $\Sigma$-orbits on the set of order two subsets of $\mathbb{I}_{4}$ are as in the previous case. If $G_{L} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$, then one has $G_{L}=$ $\{\operatorname{Id},(12)(34),(13)(24),(14)(32)\}$. There are then three orbits, corresponding to three components $E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}$.

One can be more precise about the components $E_{i}$ of $C(2, L)$ in Lemma 2.8, whose geometric genera we denote by $g_{i}$ (with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2+\epsilon$, and $\epsilon=0$ in case (b), $\epsilon=1$ in case (c)).
Lemma 2.9. Same setting and notation as in Lemma 2.8 and its proof. Then:
(i) each component of $C(2, L)$ maps birationally to its image in $J^{2}(C)$ unless $C$ is hyperelliptic and $L$ is composed with the hyperelliptic involution $\mathcal{L}$ : in this case one of the components of $\Gamma(2, L)$ is $\mathcal{L}=C(2, \mathcal{L})$, which is contracted to a point in $J^{2}(C)$;
(ii) in case (b) one has $E_{1} \cong C / \iota$ (where $\iota$ is the non-trivial involution in $G_{L}$ ), which is hyperelliptic, and the abelian subvariety of $J^{2}(C) \cong J(C)$ generated by $j\left(E_{1}\right)$ is the $\iota$-invariant part of $J(C)$. Moreover $2 g_{2} \geqslant g$;
(iii) In case (c) one has $E_{i}:=C / \iota_{i}$ where $\iota_{i}$ are the three nonzero elements of $G_{L}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$. Proof. We prove the only non-trivial assertion, i.e., $2 g_{2} \geqslant g$ in part (ii).

First assume $G_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{4}=\langle\rho\rangle$. Consider in $C(2)$ the curves $E_{1}=\left\{P+\rho^{2}(P) \mid P \in C\right\}$ and $E_{2}=\{P+\rho(P) \mid P \in C\}$. One has $C(2, L)=E_{1} \cup E_{2}$ and $E_{1} \cong C / \rho^{2}$. The curve $E_{2}$ is the image in $C(2)$ of the graph of $\rho$, so $g_{2}=g$.

Suppose now $G_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\Sigma=D_{4}$. Recall that $C$ is obtained from a $D_{4}$-Galois cover $f: C_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ by moding out by a reflection $\sigma \in D_{4}$ (see the proof of Lemma 2.8). Denote by $g_{0}$ the genus of $C_{0}$. Let $\rho \in D_{4}$ be an element of order 4 , so that $D_{4}=\langle\sigma, \rho\rangle$. Let $n$ be the number of points of $C_{0}$ fixed by $\sigma, n^{\prime}$ the number of points fixed by $\sigma \rho, m$ the number of points fixed by $\rho$ and $m+\varepsilon$ the number of points fixed by $\rho^{2}$. The Hurwitz formula, applied to $C_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and to $C_{0} \rightarrow C=C_{0} / \sigma$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}=\frac{3}{2} m+n+n^{\prime}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}-7, \quad \text { and } g=\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}+\frac{n}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}-\frac{3}{4} m-3 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 2.10. (i) $m, n, n^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon$ are even.
(ii) $n+m \equiv n^{\prime}+m \equiv n+n^{\prime} \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, and at most one among $m, n, n^{\prime}$ can be 0 .

Proof of the Claim. (i) The numbers $n, n^{\prime}$ and $m+\varepsilon$ are even because $\sigma, \sigma \rho$ and $\sigma \rho^{2}$ are involutions. If $P \in C_{0}$ is fixed by $\rho$, then $\sigma(P)$ is also fixed by $\rho$. Since the stabilizer of any point is cyclic, then $\sigma(P) \neq P$. This implies that $m$ is even.
(ii) Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$-cover $D:=C_{0} / \rho^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. The cardinalities of the images in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of the fixed loci of the three involutions are $n / 2, n^{\prime} / 2$ and $m / 2$. Indeed, denote by $\gamma_{1}\left[\right.$ resp. by $\gamma_{2}$ ] the image of $\sigma$ [resp. of $\rho]$ in $D_{4} / \rho^{2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$. Let $Q \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a branch point whose preimage in $D$ is fixed by $\gamma_{1}$. Then the preimage of $Q$ in $C_{0}$ consists of 4 points, two of which fixed by $\sigma$ and two by
$\sigma \rho^{2}$, so the number of such points $Q$ is $(2 n) / 4=n / 2$. Similarly, the image in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of the set of points of $D$ fixed by $\gamma_{2}$ has cardinality $m / 2$ and the image of the set of points fixed by $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}$ has cardinality $n^{\prime} / 2$. Hurwitz formula for $D_{1}:=D / \gamma_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ gives

$$
2 g\left(D_{1}\right)-2=\frac{m}{2}+\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}-4,
$$

hence $m+n^{\prime}>0$ is divisible by 4 . Similarly $n+n^{\prime}$ and $m+n$ are positive and divisible by 4 .
We compute now the ramification of $f: C_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and of $L$. Write the $D_{4}$-orbit of $P \in C_{0}$ general as

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
P & \rho(P) & \rho^{2}(P) & \rho^{3}(P) \\
\sigma(P) & \sigma \rho(P) & \sigma \rho^{2}(P) & \sigma \rho^{3}(P) . \tag{2.5}
\end{array}
$$

Denote by $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{4}$ the images in $C$ of the points in the first row (or, what is the same, in the second row) of (2.5). The singular fibers of $f$ occur when $P$ has non trivial stabilizer, i.e., when: - $P \in C_{0}$ is fixed by $\rho$. The fiber of $f$ is $4(P+\sigma(P))$ and the corresponding divisor of $L$ is $4 Q_{1}$. There are $m / 2$ divisors of $L$ of this type;

- $P$ is fixed by $\rho^{2}$ but not by $\rho$. The fiber of $f$ is $2(P+\sigma(P)+\rho(P)+\sigma \rho(P))$. Then $Q_{1}=Q_{3}$, $Q_{2}=Q_{4}$, and the corresponding divisor of $L$ is $2\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right)$. There are $\varepsilon / 4$ such divisors;
- $P$ is fixed by $\sigma$. The fibre of $f$ is $2\left(P+\rho(P)+\rho^{2}(P)+\rho^{3}(P)\right)$, and $Q_{2}=Q_{4}$, while $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ and $Q_{3}$ are distinct, so the corresponding divisor of $L$ is $Q_{1}+2 Q_{2}+Q_{3}$ and there are $n / 2$ such divisors;
- $P$ is fixed by $\sigma \rho^{2}$. This is the same as the previous case;
- $P$ is fixed by $\sigma \rho$. The fibre of $f$ is again $2\left(P+\rho(P)+\rho^{2}(P)+\rho^{3}(P)\right)$, and $Q_{1}=Q_{2}, Q_{3}=Q_{4}$, so the corresponding divisor of $L$ is $2 Q_{1}+2 Q_{3}$ and there are $n^{\prime} / 2$ such fibers;
- $P$ is fixed by $\sigma \rho^{3}$. This is the same as the previous case.

Denote by $\iota$ the involution of $C$ induced by $\rho^{2}$. Then $C(2, L)$ is the union of $E_{1}=\{P+$ $\iota(P) \mid P \in C\}=C / \iota$ and of the irreducible curve $E_{2}$. Keeping the above notation, if $Q_{1}+\cdots+Q_{4}$ is the general divisor of $L$, then $E_{1}$ is described by the divisors $Q_{1}+Q_{3}, Q_{2}+Q_{4}$ and $E_{3}$ by $Q_{1}+Q_{2}, Q_{1}+Q_{4}, Q_{2}+Q_{3}, Q_{3}+Q_{4}$.

To compute $g_{2}$, define a map $\phi: C_{0} \rightarrow E_{2}$ by sending $P \in C_{0}$ to the image via $C_{0} \rightarrow C$ of the divisor $P+\rho(P)$, i.e., $Q_{1}+Q_{2} \in E_{2}$.

Claim 2.11. (i) $\operatorname{deg}(\phi)=2$ and $E_{2}$ is birational to $C_{0} / \sigma \rho$;
(ii) $g_{2}=\frac{3}{4} m+\frac{n}{2}+\frac{n^{\prime}}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}-3$.

Proof of the Claim. (i) Let $Q+Q^{\prime} \in E_{2}$ be a general point and let $P, \sigma(P)\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.P^{\prime}, \sigma\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right]$ be the preimages of $Q$ [resp. of $\left.Q^{\prime}\right]$ on $C_{0}$. Since $Q+Q^{\prime}$ is of the form $Q_{1}+Q_{2}, Q_{1}+Q_{4}, Q_{2}+Q_{3}$, or $Q_{3}+Q_{4}$, we may assume that $P^{\prime}=\rho(P)$, so that $\psi^{-1}\left(Q+Q^{\prime}\right)=\{P, \sigma \rho(P)\}$.

Part (ii) follows by applying Hurwitz formula.
Finally, suppose by contradiction that $2 g_{2} \leqslant g-1$. Then, by (ii) of Claim 2.11 and by (2.4), we would have $3(m+n)+\varepsilon \leq 8$, hence $m+n \leq 2$, contradicting (ii) of Claim 2.10.

## 3. Abelian subvarieties of Brill-Noether loci

As in [1, 7], we consider $Z \subseteq W_{d}^{s}(C) \subsetneq J^{d}(C)$ an irreducible $A$-stable variety of dimension $r$, with $A \subsetneq J(C)$ an abelian subvariety of dimension $a>0$. Note that $r \geqslant a$, with equality if and only if $Z \cong A$. Moreover, since $W_{d}^{s}(C) \subsetneq J^{d}(C)$, the general linear series $L \in Z$ is special, thus $s>d-g$ and $d \geqslant 2 s$ by Clifford's theorem. From [7, Proposition 3.3], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r+a+2 s \leqslant d \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this section, we classify the cases in which equality holds in (3.1), thus improving the partial results in [7] on this subject. Note that if equality holds in (3.1), then $Z \nsubseteq W_{d}^{s+1}(C)$ and $A$ is a maximal abelian subvariety of $J(C)$ such that $Z$ is $A$-stable.
3.1. The Theta divisor case. As in [7], we first consider the case $(d, s)=(g-1,0)$, i.e., $Z \subset W_{g-1}(C)=\Theta$ is an irreducible $A$-stable variety of dimension $r=g-1-a$. Then $Z \nsubseteq$ $W_{g-1}^{1}(C)=\operatorname{Sing}(\Theta)$, i.e., $Z$ has a non-empty intersection with $\Theta_{\mathrm{sm}}:=\Theta-\operatorname{Sing}(\Theta)$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $C$ be a curve of genus $g$. Let $A \subsetneq J(C)$ be an abelian variety of dimension $a>0$ and $Z \subset \Theta$ an irreducible, $A$-stable variety of dimension $r=g-1-a$. Then there is a degree 2 morphism $\varphi: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$, with $C^{\prime}$ smooth of genus $g^{\prime}$, such that one of the following occurs: (a) $g^{\prime}=a, A=\varphi^{*}\left(J\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $Z=W_{g-1-2 a}(C)+\varphi^{*}\left(J^{a}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$;
(b) $g^{\prime}=r+1, \varphi$ is étale, $A$ is the Prym variety of $\varphi$ and $Z \subset W_{g-1}(C)$ is the connected component of $\varphi_{*}^{-1}\left(K_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ consisting of divisor classes $D$ with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right)$ odd, where $\varphi_{*}: J^{g-1}(C) \rightarrow J^{g-1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is the norm map. In particular, $Z \cong A$ is an abelian variety if and only if either we are in case (a) and $g=2 a+1$, or in case (b).

Remark 3.2. Cases (a) and (b) of Theorem 3.1 are not mutually exclusive. Indeed, if the curve $C$ in case (b) is hyperelliptic, then the Abel-Prym map $C \rightarrow A$ induces a 2-to-1 map $\psi: C \rightarrow D$, where $D$ is a smooth curve embedded into $A \cong J(D)$ by the Abel-Jacobi map. One can check that $A=\psi^{*}\left(J^{r}(D)\right)$, namely this is also an instance of case (a) of Theorem 3.1.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 requires various preliminary lemmas. First, recall that the tangent space to $J(C)$ at 0 can be identified with $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\right) \cong H^{0}\left(K_{C}\right)^{*}$. Denote by $T \subseteq H^{0}\left(K_{C}\right)^{*}$ the tangent space to $A$ at 0 and by $L$ the linear series $\mathbb{P}\left(T^{\perp}\right) \subseteq\left|K_{C}\right|$. One has $\operatorname{dim}(L)=g-1-a=r$.

Since $Z \cap \Theta_{\text {sm }} \neq \emptyset$, the Gauss map of $\Theta$ restricts to a rational map $\gamma: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}:=\left|K_{C}\right|$.
Lemma 3.3. One has $\gamma(Z)=L$.
Proof. Since $Z$ is $A$-stable, one has $\gamma(Z) \subseteq L$. A point of $\Theta_{\text {sm }}$ can be identified with a divisor $D$ of degree $g-1$ such that $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right)=h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(K_{C}-D\right)\right)=1$. The Gauss map sends $D \in \Theta_{\text {sm }}$ to the unique divisor of $\left|K_{C}\right|$ containing $D$. Then $\gamma^{-1}(\gamma(D))$ is finite. Hence $\operatorname{dim}(\gamma(Z))=\operatorname{dim}(Z)=$ $r=\operatorname{dim}(L)$. The assertion follows.

As in [7, formula (3.1) for $(d, s)=(g-1,0)$ follows from the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.3 and the general case follows from this (see $\$ 3.2$ below).

Note the birational involution $\sigma: \Theta \rightarrow \Theta$, defined on $\Theta_{\mathrm{sm}}$, sending a divisor $D \in \Theta_{\mathrm{sm}}$ to the unique effective divisor $D^{\prime} \in\left|K_{C}-D\right|$. Then $\sigma$ restricts on $Z$ to a birational map (still denoted by $\sigma$ ) onto its image $Z^{\prime}$, which is also $A$-stable.
Lemma 3.4. The linear series $L$ is base point free.
Proof. Suppose $P \in C$ is a base point of $L$. If every $D \in Z$ contains $P$, then the map $D \rightarrow D-P$ defines an injection $Z \hookrightarrow W_{g-2}(C)$, contradicting (3.1). If the general $D \in Z$ does not contain $P$, then $\sigma(D)$ contains $P$ for $D \in Z$ general, and we can apply the previous argument to $Z^{\prime}$.

Lemma 3.5. One has:
(i) $Z$ is a component of $\Gamma(g-1, L)$.
(ii) $Z \subsetneq \Gamma(g-1, L)$.
(iii) The linear series $L$ is not birational.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, we have $Z \subseteq \Gamma(g-1, L)$ and the dimension of $\Gamma(g-1, L)$ is equal to $r$ (by Lemma 2.3). So (i) holds. If $Z=\Gamma(g-1, L)$, then $\Gamma(g-1, L)$ is $A$-stable. By Corollary 2.6 , we have $r=g$, a contradiction. This proves (ii). Then (iii) holds by Lemma 2.1, (iv).

Recall the notation $\phi_{L}: C \rightarrow \bar{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r}, C^{\prime}$ for the normalization of $\bar{C}, f: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ the induced morphism and $\nu=\operatorname{deg}(f)>1$. For any integer $h$ one has the morphism $f^{*}: J^{h}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow J^{h \nu}(C)$. Let $g^{\prime}$ be the genus of $C^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}$ the (birational) linear series on $C^{\prime}$ of dimension $r$ such that $L=f^{*}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$, whose degree is $\delta=\frac{2 g-2}{\nu}$.

Lemma 3.6. Assume $\nu \leqslant 3$ and let $\underline{m}=\left(1^{\mu_{1}}, \ldots, \nu^{\mu_{\nu}}\right)$ be the partition of $g-1$ such that $Z \subseteq \Gamma(\underline{m}, L)$. Set $\mu_{1}=\mu, \mu_{2}=\mu^{\prime}$. Then $\nu=2$ and there are the following possibilities:
(i) $\mu=g-1$ (hence $\mu^{\prime}=0$ );
(ii) $\mu=g-1-2 a, \mu^{\prime}=a, A=f^{*}\left(J\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\right.$ hence $\left.g^{\prime}=a\right)$ and $Z=W_{\mu}(C)+f^{*}\left(J^{a}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Proof. We first consider the case $\nu=2$.
If $\mu=0$, then $g-1=2 \mu^{\prime}$ and $Z=f^{*}\left(\Gamma_{C^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)\right)$, since $\Gamma_{C^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is irreducible by Lemma 2.1. The general point of $Z$ corresponds to a linearly isolated divisor, hence $\mu^{\prime} \leqslant g^{\prime}$. Since $f^{*}: J^{\mu^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow J^{g-1}(C)$ is finite, one has $r=\rho_{C^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \mu^{\prime} \leqslant g^{\prime}$. Since $Z$ is $A$-stable, we have an isogeny $A \rightarrow \bar{A} \subseteq J\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ (so that $a \leqslant g^{\prime}$ ) and $\Gamma_{C^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is $\bar{A}$-stable. Hence by Corollary 2.6 one has $\Gamma_{C^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)=J^{\mu^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=W_{\mu^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ and $g^{\prime} \leqslant \min \left\{\mu^{\prime}, r\right\}$ (see Lemma 2.4, (i)). Then $Z$ is $f^{*}\left(J\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$-stable, and, since $a$ is the maximal dimension of an abelian subvariety of $J(C)$ for which $Z$ is stable and $a=\operatorname{dim}(\bar{A}) \leqslant g^{\prime}$, it follows $a=g^{\prime}$. In conclusion $\mu^{\prime}=a=r=g^{\prime}$, and we are in case (ii).

Assume now $\mu>0$. The general point of $Z$ (which is a component $\Gamma(\underline{m}, L)$ ) is smooth for $\Theta$, hence it corresponds to a linearly isolated, effective divisor $D$ of degree $g-1$, which is reduced (see Lemma 2.1, (ii)) and can be written in a unique way as $D=M+f^{*}(N)$, where $M$ and $N$ are effective divisors, with $\operatorname{deg}(M)=\mu, \operatorname{deg}(N)=\mu^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime}:=f_{*}(M)$ reduced. So there is a rational map $h: Z \rightarrow J^{\mu}(C)$ defined by $D=M+f^{*}(N) \mapsto M$.

Assume $\mu \leq r$. By Lemma 2.1 the image of $h$ is $W_{\mu}(C)$. Identify $A$ with its general translate inside $Z$. Then we have a morphism $\left.h\right|_{A}: A \rightarrow J(C)$ whose image we denote by $\bar{A}$. Then $W_{\mu}(C)$ is $\bar{A}$-stable. Since $W_{\mu}(C)$ is birational to $C(\mu)$, which is of general type because $\mu<g$, then $\bar{A}=\{0\}$. It follows that each component of the general fibre of $h$ is $A$-stable, in particular $r-\mu \geqslant a \geqslant 1$.

Take $M \in W_{\mu}(C)$ general and set $L^{\prime \prime}:=L^{\prime}\left(-M^{\prime}\right)$. Since $L^{\prime}$ is birational and $M^{\prime} \in W_{\mu}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is general, with $\mu<r=\operatorname{dim}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$, then $L^{\prime \prime}$ has dimension $r-\mu \geqslant 1$ and it is base point free. It is also birational as soon as $r-\mu \geqslant 2$.

Assume first $r-\mu \geqslant 2$. Then $C^{\prime}\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is irreducible by Lemma 2.1 , (iv), and there is a birational morphism $C^{\prime}\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow h^{-1}(M) \subset J^{g-1}(C)$ factoring through the map $C^{\prime}\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $J^{\mu^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \hookrightarrow J^{g-1}(C)$, where the last inclusion is translation by $M$. Namely, up to a translation, $h^{-1}(M)=\Gamma\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}\right)$. In particular, $\operatorname{dim}\left(\Gamma\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(C\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=r-\mu$, hence $\mu^{\prime} \geq r-\mu$.

Remember that $h^{-1}(M)=\Gamma\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $A$-stable for $M \in W_{\mu}(C)$ general. By Corollary 2.6 one has $\Gamma_{C^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}\right)=W_{\mu^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=J^{\mu^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$, so $g^{\prime} \leqslant \min \left\{\mu^{\prime}, r-\mu\right\}=r-\mu$. On the other hand, since $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right)=1$, one has also $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(f^{*}(N)\right)\right)=1$, hence $\mu^{\prime} \leqslant g^{\prime}$ and we conclude that $\mu^{\prime}=g^{\prime}=r-\mu$. The same argument as above yields $a=g^{\prime}$ and we are again in case (ii).

If $r-\mu=1$, then $a=1, r=g-2, \mu=g-3$ and $\mu^{\prime}=1$. On the other hand $L$ is cut out on the canonical image of $C$ by the hyperplanes through the point $p_{A}$ which is the projectivized tangent space to $A$ at the origin. Then $\phi_{L}: C \rightarrow \bar{C}$ is the projection from $p_{A}, \bar{C}$ it is a normal elliptic curve, and we are again in case (ii).

Assume now $\mu>r$ and keep the above notation. In this case the map $h: Z \rightarrow \bar{Z}:=h(Z)$ is generically finite, $\bar{A}$ is isogenous to $A$ and $\bar{Z}$ is $\bar{A}$-stable. By [7, Lemma 3.1], we have $g-1 \geqslant$ $\mu \geqslant \operatorname{dim}(\bar{Z})+\operatorname{dim}(\bar{A})=r+a=g-1$, thus $\mu=g-1$, so we are in case (i).

Finally consider the case $\nu=3$. Write the general $D \in \Gamma(\underline{m}, L)$ as $D=M_{1}+M_{2}+f^{*}(N)$, where $M_{1}$ is reduced of degree $\mu, f_{*}\left(M_{2}\right)=2 M_{2}^{\prime}$ with $M_{2}^{\prime}$ reduced of degree $\mu^{\prime}$ and, as above,
$\mu_{3}=\operatorname{deg}(N) \leqslant g^{\prime}$. Set $\tau=\mu+\mu^{\prime}$ and consider the rational map $h: Z \rightarrow W_{\tau}(C) \subseteq J^{\tau}(C)$ defined by $D \mapsto M_{1}+f^{*}\left(M_{2}^{\prime}\right)-M_{2}$.

If $\tau \leqslant r$, arguing as above (and keeping a similar notation) one sees that $h(Z)=W_{\tau}(C)$, the general fibre of $h$ is $A$-stable, hence $r-\tau \geqslant a$. However $a=1$ and $\phi_{L}$ non-birational, forces, as we have seen, $\nu=2$, which is not the case here. Hence we have $a \geqslant 2$. We consider now $L^{\prime \prime}=L^{\prime}\left(-f_{*}\left(M_{1}\right)-M_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, which has dimension $r-\tau \geqslant 2$ and is base point free and birational, so $C^{\prime}\left(\mu_{3}, L^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is irreducible. The general fiber $h^{-1}(h(D))$ of $h$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{C^{\prime}}\left(\mu_{3}, L^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and is $A$-stable. In particular $\operatorname{dim}\left(\Gamma_{C^{\prime}}\left(\mu_{3}, L^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=r-\tau$, hence $\mu_{3} \geq r-\tau$. By Corollary 2.6, we have $\Gamma_{C^{\prime}}\left(\mu_{3}, L^{\prime \prime}\right) \cong W_{\mu_{3}}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \cong J^{\mu_{3}}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$, so $g^{\prime} \leqslant \min \left\{\mu_{3}, r-\tau\right\}=r-\tau$, hence $g^{\prime} \leq r-\tau \leqslant \mu_{3} \leqslant g^{\prime}$, thus $\mu_{3}=r-\tau=g^{\prime}$. In addition, as above, we have $a=g^{\prime}$, so that $A=f^{*}\left(J\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Then $g-1-a=r=\tau+\mu_{3}=\mu+\mu^{\prime}+\mu_{3}$. On the other hand $g-1=\mu+2 \mu^{\prime}+3 \mu_{3}$. This yields $\mu^{\prime}+2 \mu_{3}=a=\mu_{3}$, hence $\mu^{\prime}=\mu_{3}=0$, which is not possible.

If $\tau>r$ then $h: Z \rightarrow \bar{Z}:=h(Z)$ is generically finite, $\bar{A}$ is isogenous to $A$ and $\bar{Z}$ is $\bar{A}$-stable. By [7, Lemma 3.1], we have $g-1 \geqslant \tau \geqslant \operatorname{dim}(\bar{Z})+\operatorname{dim}(\bar{A})=r+a=g-1$, thus $\tau=g-1$, contradicting $\tau \leqslant \operatorname{deg}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2}{3}(g-1)$.
Lemma 3.7. If $\nu \geqslant 4$ then $\nu=4$ and either
(i) there is a degree 2 map $\psi: C \rightarrow E_{1}$ with $E_{1}$ a genus $r$ hyperelliptic curve such that $Z=A=$ $\psi^{*}\left(J^{r}\left(E_{1}\right)\right)$; or
(ii) there is a faithful $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$-action on $C$ with rational quotient; denoting by $f_{i}: C \rightarrow E_{i}$ (for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$ ) the quotient map for the three non-trivial involutions of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$, with $E_{i}$ of genus $g_{i}$, and $g_{1} \geqslant g_{2} \geqslant g_{3}$, then $g_{1}=r+1, g_{2}+g_{3}=r$ and $Z=A=f_{2}^{*}\left(J^{g_{2}}\left(E_{2}\right)\right) \times f_{3}^{*}\left(J^{g_{3}}\left(E_{3}\right)\right)$ is the Prym variety associated to $f_{1}$.

Proof. Since $L$ is base point free by Lemma 3.4 and it is not birational by Lemma 3.5, we have $\delta=\frac{2 g-2}{\nu} \geqslant r \geqslant \frac{g-1}{2}$, hence $\nu \leqslant 4$.

Assume $\nu=4$. Then $\bar{C}$ is a curve of degree $\frac{g-1}{2}$ spanning a projective space of dimension $r \geqslant \frac{g-1}{2}$. Hence $r=a=\frac{g-1}{2}, Z=A$, and $\phi_{L}=f$ is the composition of a $g_{4}^{1}$ (that we denote by $\mathcal{L}$ ) with the degree $r$ Veronese embedding $\mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}$.

Let $\underline{m}=\left(1^{\mu_{1}}, \ldots, 4^{\mu_{4}}\right)$ be the partition of $2 r$ such that $Z \subseteq \Gamma(\underline{m}, L)$.
Claim 3.8. One has $\underline{m}=\left(2^{r}\right)$.
Proof of the Claim. Assume by contradiction this is not the case, so that one among $\mu_{1}, \mu_{3}, \mu_{4}$ is non-zero. We have $r=\operatorname{dim}(\Gamma(\underline{m}, L))=\mu_{1}+\cdots+\mu_{4}$, because $\bar{C}$ is a rational normal curve of degree $r$ in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$, and $2 r=g-1=\mu_{1}+2 \mu_{2}+3 \mu_{3}+4 \mu_{4}$, hence $\mu_{1}=\mu_{3}+2 \mu_{4}$ and $\mu_{1}>0$. So we may write the general divisor $D \in Z$ as $D=M+N$, where $M^{\prime}:=f_{*}(M)$ is reduced of degree $\mu:=\mu_{1}$. Then we proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.6.

Consider the rational map $h: Z=A \rightarrow J^{\mu}(C)$ defined by $D=M+N \mapsto M$. It extends to a morphism and $\bar{A}:=h(A)$ is an abelian variety contained in $W_{\mu}(C)$. Since $\mu \leqslant r=\frac{g-1}{2}$, one has $\bar{A}=W_{\mu}(C)$, which is impossible.

Let $E:=C(2, L)$. Assume first $C$ is not hyperelliptic. Then there is a birational (dominant) morphism $E(r) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\left(2^{r}\right), L\right)$ (see Lemma 2.9, (i)). If $E$ is irreducible, then by Corollary 2.7 its image generates $J^{2}(C)$, hence also $\Gamma\left(\left(2^{r}\right), L\right)=Z$ generates $J^{2 r}(C)$ and we obtain a contradiction.

So $E$ is reducible and we apply Lemma 2.8 and 2.9. Suppose we are in case (b) of Lemma 2.8 and (ii) of Lemma 2.9. Then $A=Z=\Gamma\left(\left(2^{r}\right), L\right)$ is birational to $E_{1}\left(r_{1}\right) \times E_{2}\left(r_{2}\right)$, for non-negative integers $r_{1}, r_{2}$. However, if $r_{i}>0$, then $r_{i}=g_{i}$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$. Since $2 g_{2} \geqslant g$, one has $r_{2}=0$ because $2 r=g-1<g$. Hence $A$ is birational to $E_{1}\left(g_{1}\right)$ and we are in case (i).

Consider now case (c) of Lemma 2.8 and (iii) of Lemma 2.9. We claim that $J(C)$ is isogenous to $J\left(E_{1}\right) \times J\left(E_{2}\right) \times J\left(E_{3}\right)$. Indeed, consider the representation of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ on $H^{0}\left(K_{C}\right)$. Since $C / \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ is
rational, we have $H^{0}\left(K_{C}\right)=V_{\chi_{1}} \oplus V_{\chi_{2}} \oplus V_{\chi_{3}}$, where for $i=1,2,3$ the non trivial character $\chi_{i}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ is orthogonal to the involution $\iota_{i}$ such that $C / \iota_{i} \cong E_{i}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ acts on $V_{\chi_{i}}$ as multiplication by $\chi_{i}$. Thus $V_{\chi_{i}}$ is the tangent space to $f_{i}^{*}\left(J\left(E_{i}\right)\right)$.

Recall that there are three non-negative integers $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ such that $A$ is birational to $E_{1}\left(r_{1}\right) \times$ $E_{2}\left(r_{2}\right) \times E_{3}\left(r_{3}\right)$. If $r_{i}>0$, then $r_{i}=g_{i}$ (for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$ ). Since $g_{1}+g_{2}+g_{3}=g=2 r+1$, at least one of the integers $r_{i}$ is zero. If two of them are zero, we are again in case (i). So assume $r_{1}=0$ and $r_{2}, r_{3}$ non-zero. Then $r=g_{2}+g_{3}$ and $g_{1}=r+1$. Moreover the involution $\iota_{1}$ acts on $A$ as multiplication by -1 , hence $A$ is the Prym variety of $f_{1}: C \rightarrow E_{1}$, and we are in case (ii).

The case $C$ hyperelliptic can be treated similarly. In case (b) of Lemma 2.8 and (ii) of Lemma 2.9. $E_{1}$ is rational (hence it is contracted to a point by $j$ ), and $A=Z=\Gamma\left(\left(2^{r}\right), L\right)$ is birational to $E_{2}(r)$. Then $r=g_{2}$ and we reach a contradiction since $2 g_{2} \geqslant g$. In case (c) of Lemma 2.8 and (iii) of Lemma 2.9 one has $g_{3}=0$ and the above argument applies with no change.

Proof of Theorem [3.1. By Lemma 3.7, we may assume $\nu=2$. Let $\underline{m}$ be the partition of $2 r$ such that $Z \subseteq \Gamma(\underline{m}, L)$. By Lemma 3.6, it is enough to consider the case $\nu=2$ and $\underline{m}=\left(1^{g-1}\right)$, i.e., case (i) of that lemma. Then $Z$ is contained in the kernel $P$ of $f_{*}: J^{g-1}(C) \rightarrow J^{g-1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ (namely $P$ is the generalized Prym variety associated with $f$ ). The space $H^{0}\left(K_{C}\right)$ decomposes under the involution $\iota$ associated with $f$ as $H^{0}\left(K_{C^{\prime}}\right) \oplus V$, where $V$ is the space of antiinvariant 1 -forms. Hence $V^{*}$ is the tangent space to $P$, the tangent space $T$ to $A$ is also contained in $V^{*}$ and the linear series $L$ is equal to $\mathbb{P}\left(T^{\perp}\right) \supseteq \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(K_{C^{\prime}}\right)\right)$. On the other hand, by construction $\iota$ acts trivially on $L$, hence $T=V^{*}$ and thus $A=P$. This implies that $Z=A=P, g=2 r+1$ and $f$ is unramified with $g^{\prime}=r+1$.
3.2. General Brill-Noether loci. The proof of the general formula (3.1) in (7] uses an argument which is useful to briefly recall. Let $Z \subseteq W_{d}^{s}(C) \subsetneq J^{d}(C)$ be $A$-stable and $L \in Z$ general, so $L$ is a special $g_{d}^{s}$, which we may assume to be complete, so $d \geqslant 2 s$. Let $F_{L}$ be the fixed divisor of $L$ and, if $s>0$, let $L^{\prime}$ be the base point free residual linear series. Set $d^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$. Since $L^{\prime}$ is also special, we have $d^{\prime} \geqslant 2 s$. Then, by Lemma 2.8 , (iii), one has the birational map $\mathfrak{r}: C\left(d^{\prime}-s, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow C\left(s, L^{\prime}\right) \cong C(s)$.

Consider the morphism $j: C\left(d^{\prime}-s, L^{\prime}\right) \times C(g-1-d+s) \rightarrow J^{g-1}(C)$ such that $j\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)$ is the class of $D+F_{L}+D^{\prime}$ (if $s=0$, we define $j: C(g-1-d) \rightarrow J^{g-1}(C)$ by $\left.D^{\prime} \mapsto F_{L}+D^{\prime}\right)$. If $\left(D, D^{\prime}\right)$ is general in $C\left(d^{\prime}-s, L^{\prime}\right) \times C(g-1-d+s)$, the divisor $D+F_{L}+D^{\prime}$ is linearly isolated, hence $j$ is generically finite onto its image $Z_{L}$, which therefore has dimension $g-1-d+2 s$. Consider the closure $Z^{\prime}$ of the union of the $Z_{L}$ 's, with $L \in Z$ general, which is $A$-stable. One has $Z^{\prime} \subseteq \Theta$ and the above discussion yields $r^{\prime}:=\operatorname{dim}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=r+g-1-d+2 s$. Therefore $r^{\prime}+a \leqslant g-1$ if and only if (3.1) holds, with equality if and only if equality holds in (3.1).

Corollary 3.9. Let $C$ be a curve of genus $g$. Let $A \subsetneq J(C)$ be an abelian variety of dimension $a>0$ and $Z \subseteq W_{d}^{s}(C) \subsetneq J^{d}(C)$ an irreducible, A-stable variety of dimension $r=d-2 s-a$. Assume $(d, s) \neq(g-1,0)$. Then there is a degree 2 morphism $\varphi: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$, with $C^{\prime}$ a smooth curve of genus a with $g>2 a+1$, such that $A=\varphi^{*}\left(J\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and either
(i) $Z=W_{d-2 a-2 s}(C)+\varphi^{*}\left(J^{a+s}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$, or
(ii) $C$ is hyperelliptic and $Z=\varphi^{*}\left(J^{a}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)+W_{d-2 s-2 a}(C)+W_{2 s}^{s}(C)$.

Proof. We keep the same notation as above.
We apply Theorem 3.1 to $Z^{\prime}$. Since $d=r+a+2 s \geqslant 2(a+s)$ and $(d, s) \neq(g-1,0)$, then $2 a<g-1$, hence case (b) of Theorem 3.1 does not occur for $Z^{\prime}$. So we have a degree 2 morphism $\varphi: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$, with $C^{\prime}$ a smooth curve of genus $a$ with $g>2 a+1$, such that $A=\varphi^{*}\left(J\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $Z^{\prime}=W_{g-1-2 a}(C)+\varphi^{*}\left(J^{a}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)$. The case $s=0$ follows right away and we are in case (i). So we assume $s>0$ from now on.

If $L^{\prime}$ is composed with the involution $\iota$ determined by $\varphi$, we are again in case (i). So assume $L^{\prime}$ is not composed with $\iota$. The general $D \in C\left(d^{\prime}-s, L^{\prime}\right)$ contains no fibre of $\varphi$ and the same happens for the general $D^{\prime} \in C(g-1-d+s)$. Since $D+F_{L}+D^{\prime}$ corresponds to the general point of $Z$, and contains exactly $a$ general fibres of $\varphi$, then $F_{L}$ has to contain these $a$ fibres, whose union we denote by $F$. Moreover, the description of $Z$ implies that $D+D^{\prime}+\left(F_{L}-F\right)$ is a general divisor of degree $g-1-2 a$, in particular $D$ is a general divisor of degree $d^{\prime}-s$. But $D$ is also general in $C\left(d^{\prime}-s, L^{\prime}\right)$, and this implies $d^{\prime}-s \leqslant s$. On the other hand $d^{\prime} \geqslant 2 s$, hence $d^{\prime}=2 s$. Then, either $L^{\prime}$ is the canonical series of $C$ or $C$ is hyperelliptic and $L^{\prime}$ is the $s$-multiple of the $g_{2}^{1}$. However the former case does not occur since by construction $d^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg}\left(L^{\prime}\right) \leq g-1$, hence we are in case (ii).
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