A SHORT PROOF OF THE MULTIDIMENSIONAL SZEMERÉDI THEOREM IN THE PRIMES

JACOB FOX AND YUFEI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Tao conjectured that every dense subset of \mathcal{P}^d , the *d*-tuples of primes, contains constellations of any given shape. This was very recently proved by Cook, Magyar, and Titichetrakun and independently by Tao and Ziegler. Here we give a simple proof using the Green-Tao theorem on linear equations in primes and the Furstenberg-Katznelson multidimensional Szemerédi theorem.

Let \mathcal{P}_N denote the set of primes at most N, and let $[N] := \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$. Tao [12] conjectured the following result as a natural extension of the Green-Tao theorem [6] on arithmetic progressions in the primes and the Furstenberg-Katznelson [4] multidimensional generalization of Szemerédi's theorem. Special cases of this conjecture were proven in [2] and [10]. The conjecture was very recently resolved by Cook, Magyar, and Titichetrakun [3] and independently by Tao and Ziegler [13].

Theorem 1. Let d be a positive integer, $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and $\delta > 0$. Then if N is sufficiently large, every subset A of \mathcal{P}_N^d of cardinality $|A| \ge \delta |\mathcal{P}_N|^d$ contains a set of the form $a + tv_1, \ldots, a + tv_k$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and t is a positive integer.

In this note we give a short alternative proof of the theorem, using the landmark result of Green and Tao [7] (which is conditional on results later proved in [8, 9]) on the asymptotics for the number of primes satisfying certain systems of linear equations, as well as the following multidimensional generalization of Szemerédi theorem established by Furstenberg and Katznelson [4].

Theorem 2 (Multidimensional Szemerédi theorem [4]). Let d be a positive integer, $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and $\delta > 0$. If N is sufficiently large, then every subset A of $[N]^d$ of cardinality $|A| \ge \delta N^d$ contains a set of the form $a + tv_1, \ldots, a + tv_k$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and t is a positive integer.

To prove Theorem 1, we begin by fixing $d, v_1, \ldots, v_k, \delta$. Using Theorem 2, we can fix a large integer $m > 2d/\delta$ so that any subset of $[m]^d$ with at least $\delta m^d/2$ elements contains a set of the form $a + tv_1, \ldots, a + tv_k$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and t is a positive integer.

We next discuss a sketch of the proof idea. The Green-Tao theorem [6] (also see [1] for some recent simplifications) states that there are arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions in the primes. It follows that for N large, \mathcal{P}_N^d contains homothetic copies of $[m]^d$. We use a Varnavides-type argument [14] and consider a random homothetic copy of the grid $[m]^d$ inside \mathcal{P}_N^d . In expectation, the set A should occupy at least a $\delta/2$ fraction of the random homothetic copy of $[m]^d$. This follows from a linearity of expectation argument. Indeed, the Green-Tao-Ziegler result [7, 8, 9] and a second moment argument imply that most points of \mathcal{P}_N^d appear in about the expected number of such copies of the grid $[m]^d$. Once we find a homothetic copy of $[m]^d$ containing at least $\delta m^d/2$ elements of A, we obtain by Theorem 2 a subset of A of the form $a + tv_1, \ldots, a + tv_k$, as desired.

To make the above idea actually work, we first apply the W-trick as described below. This is done to avoid certain bias in the primes such as there is only one even prime. We also only consider homothetic copies of $[m]^d$ with common difference $r \leq N/m^2$ in order to guarantee that almost all elements of \mathcal{P}_N^d are in about the same number of such homothetic copies of $[m]^d$.

Date: November 1, 2018.

The first author was supported by a Simons Fellowship, NSF grant DMS-1069197, by an Alfred P. Sloan Fellowship, and by an MIT NEC Corporation Fund Award, and the second author was supported by an internship at Microsoft Research New England.

JACOB FOX AND YUFEI ZHAO

Remarks. This argument also produces a relative multidimensional Szemerédi theorem, where the complexity of the linear forms condition on the majorizing measure depends on d, v_1, \ldots, v_k and δ . It seems plausible that the dependence on δ is unnecessary; this was shown for the one-dimensional case in [1]. Our arguments share some similarities with those of Tao and Ziegler [13], who also use the results in [7, 8, 9]. However, the proof in [13] first establishes a relativized version of the Furstenberg correspondence principle and then proceeds in the ergodic theoretic setting, whereas we go directly to the multidimensional Szemerédi theorem. Cook, Magyar, and Titichetrakun [3] take a different approach and develops a relative hypergraph removal lemma from scratch, and they also require a linear forms condition whose complexity depend on δ .

The sampling argument presented in this paper can also be used to prove relativized extensions of other combinatorial theorems such as the density Hales-Jewett theorem [5, 11], although we do not have applications in mind at the moment.

The hypothesis that $|A| \ge \delta |\mathcal{P}_N|^d$ implies that

$$\sum_{1,\dots,n_d \in [N]} 1_A(n_1,\dots,n_d) \Lambda'(n_1) \cdots \Lambda'(n_d) \ge (\delta - o(1)) N^d, \tag{1}$$

where 1_A is the indicator function of A, and o(1) denotes some quantity that goes to zero as $N \to \infty$, and $\Lambda'(p) = \log p$ for prime p and $\Lambda'(n) = 0$ for nonprime n.

Next we apply the W-trick [7, §5]. Fix some slowly growing function w = w(N); the choice $w := \log \log \log N$ will do. Define $W := \prod_{p \leq w} p$ to be the product of all primes at most w. For each $b \in [W]$ with gcd(b, W) = 1, define

$$\Lambda'_{b,W}(n) := \frac{\phi(W)}{W} \Lambda'(Wn+b)$$

where $\phi(W) = \#\{b \in [W] : \gcd(b, W) = 1\}$ is the Euler totient function. Also define

$$1_{A_{b_1,\ldots,b_d,W}}(n_1,\ldots,n_d) := 1_A(Wn_1 + b_1,\ldots,Wn_d + b_d)$$

By (1) and the pigeonhole principle, we can choose $b_1, \ldots, b_d \in [W]$ all coprime to W so that

$$\sum_{1 \le n_1, \dots, n_d \le N/W} \mathbf{1}_{A_{b_1, \dots, b_d, W}}(n_1, \dots, n_d) \Lambda'_{b_1, W}(n) \Lambda'_{b_2, W}(n) \cdots \Lambda'_{b_d, W}(n) \ge (\delta - o(1)) \left(\frac{N}{W}\right)^a, \quad (2)$$

We shall write

$$\widetilde{N} := \lfloor N/W \rfloor, \qquad R := \lfloor \widetilde{N}/m^2 \rfloor, \qquad \widetilde{A} := 1_{A_{b_1,\dots,b_d,W}} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\Lambda}_j := \Lambda'_{b_j,W}$$

(all depending on N). So (2) reads

n

$$\sum_{1,\dots,n_d \in [\widetilde{N}]} \widetilde{A}(n_1,\dots,n_d) \widetilde{\Lambda}_1(n_1) \widetilde{\Lambda}_2(n_2) \cdots \widetilde{\Lambda}_d(n_d) \ge (\delta - o(1)) \widetilde{N}^d$$
(3)

The Green-Tao result [7] (along with [8, 9]) says that $\Lambda'_{b_j,W}$ acts pseudorandomly with average value about 1 in terms of counts of linear forms. The statement below is an easy corollary of [7, Thm. 5.1].

Theorem 3 (Pseudorandomness of the W-tricked primes). Fix a linear map $\Psi = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_t)$: $\mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}^t$ (in particular $\Psi(0) = 0$) where no two ψ_i, ψ_j are linearly dependent. Let $K \subseteq [-\tilde{N}, \tilde{N}]^d$ be any convex body. Then for any $b_1, \ldots, b_t \in [W]$ all coprime to W, we have

$$\sum_{n \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \prod_{j \in [t]} \Lambda'_{b_j, W}(\psi_j(n)) = \#\{n \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d : \psi_j(n) > 0 \ \forall j\} + o(\widetilde{N}^d).$$

where $o(\widetilde{N}^d) := o(1)\widetilde{N}^d$. Note that the error term does not depend on b_1, \ldots, b_t (although it does depend on Ψ).

The following lemma shows that A in expectation contains a considerable fraction of a random homothetic copy of $[m]^d$ with common difference at most N/m^2 in the W-tricked subgrid of \mathcal{P}_N^d .

Lemma 4. If \widetilde{A} satisfies (3), then

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_d\in[\tilde{N}]\\r\in[R]}} \left(\sum_{\substack{i_1,\dots,i_d\in[m]\\}} \widetilde{A}(n_1+i_1r,\dots,n_d+i_dr) \right) \prod_{j\in[d]} \prod_{i\in[m]} \widetilde{\Lambda}_j(n_j+ir) \\ \ge (\delta m^d - dm^{d-1} - o(1))R\widetilde{N}^d.$$
(4)

Proof of Theorem 1 (assuming Lemma 4). By Theorem 3 we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_d\in[\tilde{N}]\\r\in[R]}}\prod_{j\in[d]}\prod_{i\in[m]}\widetilde{\Lambda}_j(n_j+ir) = (1+o(1))R\widetilde{N}^d,$$

So by (4), for sufficiently large N, there exists some choice of $n_1, \ldots, n_d \in [\widetilde{N}]$ and $r \in [R]$ so that

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_d\in[m]}\widetilde{A}(n_1+i_1r,\dots,n_d+i_dr)\geq \frac{1}{2}\delta m^d.$$

This means that a certain dilation of the grid $[m]^d$ contains at least $\delta m^d/2$ elements of A, from which it follows by the choice of m that it must contain a set of the form $a + tv_1, \ldots, a + tv_k$.

Lemma 4 follows from the next lemma by summing over all choices of $i_1, \ldots, i_d \in [m]$.

Lemma 5. Suppose \widetilde{A} satisfies (3). Fix $i_1, \ldots, i_d \in [m]$. Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_d \in [\widetilde{N}]\\r \in [R]}} \widetilde{A}(n_1 + i_1 r,\dots,n_d + i_d r) \prod_{j \in [d]} \prod_{i \in [m]} \widetilde{\Lambda}_j(n_j + ir) \ge \left(\delta - \frac{d}{m} - o(1)\right) R\widetilde{N}^d.$$
(5)

Proof. By a change of variables $n'_{j} = n_{j} + i_{j}r$ for each j, we write the LHS of (5) as

$$\sum_{r \in [R]} \sum_{\substack{n'_1, \dots, n'_d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n'_j - i_j r \in [\widetilde{N}] \ \forall j}} \widetilde{A}(n'_1, \dots, n'_d) \prod_{j \in [d]} \prod_{i \in [m]} \widetilde{\Lambda}_j(n'_j + (i - i_j)r)$$
(6)

Note that (6) is at least

$$\sum_{r \in [R]} \sum_{\widetilde{N}/m < n'_1, \dots, n'_d \le \widetilde{N}} \widetilde{A}(n'_1, \dots, n'_d) \prod_{j \in [d]} \prod_{i \in [m]} \widetilde{\Lambda}_j(n'_j + (i - i_j)r)$$
(7)

By (3) and Theorem 3 we have

$$\sum_{\widetilde{N}/m < n_1, \dots, n_d \le \widetilde{N}} \widetilde{A}(n_1, \dots, n_d) \widetilde{\Lambda}_1(n_1) \widetilde{\Lambda}_2(n_2) \cdots \widetilde{\Lambda}_d(n_d) \ge \left(\delta - \frac{d}{m} - o(1)\right) \widetilde{N}^d \tag{8}$$

(the difference between the left-hand side sums of (3) and (8) consists of terms with (n_1, \ldots, n_d) in some box of the form $[\tilde{N}]^{j-1} \times [\tilde{N}/m] \times [\tilde{N}]^{d-j}$, so it can be upper bounded by using $\tilde{A} \leq 1$ and then applying Theorem 3). It remains to show that

(7)
$$- R \cdot (\text{LHS of } (8)) = o(N^{d+1}).$$

We have

$$(7) - R \cdot (\text{LHS of } (8))$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\widetilde{N}/m < n'_1, \dots, n'_d \le \widetilde{N} \\ r \in [R]}} \widetilde{A}(n'_1, \dots, n'_d) \left(\prod_{j \in [d]} \prod_{i \in [m]} \widetilde{\Lambda}_j(n'_j + (i - i_j)r) - \prod_{j \in [d]} \widetilde{\Lambda}_j(n_j) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\widetilde{N}/m < n'_1, \dots, n'_d \le \widetilde{N}}} \widetilde{A}(n'_1, \dots, n'_d) \left(\prod_{j \in [d]} \widetilde{\Lambda}_j(n'_j) \right) \left(\sum_{r \in [R]} \left(\prod_{j \in [d]} \prod_{i \in [m] \setminus \{i_j\}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_j(n'_j + (i - i_j)r) - 1 \right) \right)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and $0 \le A \le 1$, the above expression can be bounded in absolute value by \sqrt{ST} , where

$$\begin{split} S &= \sum_{\widetilde{N}/m < n'_1, \dots, n'_d \le \widetilde{N}} \left(\prod_{j \in [d]} \widetilde{\Lambda}_j(n'_j) \right) \left(\sum_{r \in [R]} \left(\prod_{j \in [d]} \prod_{i \in [m] \setminus \{i_j\}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_j(n'_j + (i - i_j)r) - 1 \right) \right)^2 \\ T &= \sum_{\widetilde{N}/m < n'_1, \dots, n'_d \le \widetilde{N}} \prod_{j \in [d]} \widetilde{\Lambda}_j(n'_j). \end{split}$$

By Theorem 3 we have $S = o(\tilde{N}^{d+2})$ (to see this, expand the square, apply Theorem 3, and observe the cancellations) and $T = O(\tilde{N}^d)$, so that $\sqrt{ST} = o(\tilde{N}^{d+1})$, as desired.

Acknowledgment. We thank David Conlon, Ben Green, and Terry Tao for helpful comments.

References

- [1] D. Conlon, J. Fox, and Y. Zhao, A relative Szemerédi theorem, arXiv:1305.5440.
- [2] B. Cook, A. Magyar, Constellations in \mathbb{P}^d , Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2012** (2012), no. 12, 2794–2816.
- [3] B. Cook, A. Magyar, and T. Titichetrakun, A multidimensional Szemerédi theorem in the primes, arXiv:1306.3025.
- [4] H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson, An ergodic Szemerédi theorem for commuting transformations, J. Analyse Math. 34 (1978), 275–291.
- [5] _____, A density version of the Hales-Jewett theorem, J. Anal. Math. 57 (1991), 64–119.
- [6] B. Green and T. Tao, The primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions, Ann. of Math. 167 (2008), no. 2, 481–547.
- [7] _____, Linear equations in primes, Ann. of Math. 171 (2010), no. 3, 1753–1850.
- [8] _____, The Möbius function is strongly orthogonal to nilsequences, Ann. of Math. 175 (2012), no. 2, 541–566.
- [9] B. Green, T. Tao, and T. Ziegler, An inverse theorem for the Gowers U^{s+1}[N]-norm, Ann. of Math. 176 (2012), no. 2, 1231–1372.
- [10] A. Magyar, and T. Titichetrakun, Corners in dense subsets of \mathbb{P}^d , arXiv:1306.3026.
- [11] D. H. J. Polymath, A new proof of the density Hales-Jewett theorem, Ann. of Math. 175 (2012), no. 3, 1283–1327.
- [12] T. Tao, The Gaussian primes contain arbitrarily shaped constellations, J. Anal. Math. 99 (2006), 109–176.
- [13] T. Tao and T. Ziegler, A multi-dimensional Szemerédi theorem for the primes via a correspondence principle, arXiv:1306.2886.
- [14] P. Varnavides, On certain sets of positive density, J. London Math. Soc. 34 (1959), 358–360.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4307 *E-mail address:* fox@math.mit.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4307 *E-mail address:* yufeiz@math.mit.edu