

# Population Dynamics with Infinite Leslie Matrices: Finite Time Properties

João F. Alves\*, António Bravo† and Henrique M. Oliveira‡

\*,‡Centro de Análise Matemática Geometria e Sistemas Dinâmicos

†Centro de Análise Funcional e Aplicações

Math. Dep., Técnico Lisboa, Universidade de Lisboa

Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisbon, Portugal

September 11, 2018

## Abstract

Infinite Leslie matrices, introduced by Demetrius forty years ago are mathematical models of age-structured populations defined by a countable infinite number of age classes. This article is concerned with determining solutions of the discrete dynamical system in finite time. We address this problem by appealing to the concept of kneading matrices and kneading determinants. Our analysis is applicable not only to populations models, but to models of self-reproducing machines and self-reproducing computer programs. The dynamics of these systems can also be described in terms of infinite Leslie matrices.

**Keywords** Leslie matrix, Kneading determinant, Infinite order difference equation, Infinite matrices, Population dynamics

*2010 MSC:* 15A15, 39A06, 92D25, 39A06

## 1 Introduction

Almost seventy years ago Paul Holt Leslie introduced in [15] and [16] matrices to study age-structured populations with given birth ratios and the population divided by age classes. Leslie introduced a non-negative square  $p \times p$  matrix  $\mathbf{L}$

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{p-2} & a_{p-1} \\ b_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_{p-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

to study the dynamics of an age-structured population. The population is divided in age classes  $l = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$ . We consider the population vector

---

\*jalves@math.ist.utl.pt

†abravo@math.ist.utl.pt

‡holiv@math.ist.utl.pt (corresponding author)

$\bar{\mathbf{u}} = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{p-1})$  where the components are the number of individuals in each class. The  $b_j$  are the transition probabilities from age class  $j - 1$  to age class  $j$  and the  $a_l$  are the mean birth rates of each individual in the class  $l$ .

Giving an initial population  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_0$ , the dynamics is given by the linear difference equation or matrix recurrence of the multiplicative process:

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_n = \mathbf{L}\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{n-1}, \text{ with } \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0 \text{ given.} \quad (1)$$

In finite matrix models when individuals in the older class  $u_{p-1}$  become infertile there is no need to go further than a  $p \times p$  matrix. Variants of this scheme use Usher [20] or Lefkovitch [14] matrices and occur when dealing with modeling, simulation, experiments and observation data from actual populations.

Two references in the subject of structured population dynamics in finite classes are the books [4, 5].

In 1972 Lloyd Demetrius introduced the idea of an infinite Leslie matrix [7, 8], a natural generalization of the standard Leslie matrix described by a countable infinite number of age-classes.

The extension of the classical Leslie models to infinite matrices was partly motivated by an interest in understanding the existence and evolution of mortality plateaus. This concept refers to an age-specific survivorship distribution characterized by a mortality abates with age at advanced ages, see for example, [10, 22].

The asymptotic properties of the dynamical systems associated with the infinite Leslie matrix was studied by considering the matrix as a positive linear operator on a partially ordered Banach space [7, 8].

The infinite Leslie matrix is given by

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots \\ b_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & b_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & b_3 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

with almost the same interpretation of the finite one. So, we use the same notation. The entries of this matrix are

$$L_{ij} = \delta_{i1}a_{j-1} + \delta_{ij+1}b_j, \text{ with } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad (3)$$

the sets of indexes are denumerable. The same terminology as [18] could be used calling the set of Leslie matrices (with finite or denumerable index sets) the set of countable Leslie matrices. Since this paper deals essentially with denumerable matrices we adhere to the name *infinite Leslie matrices* when referring to this type of matrices avoiding possible ambiguities resulting from different terminologies in the literature. Our results apply easily to the particular case of finite matrices as we will see below.

By appealing to the spectral theory of positive operators, Demetrius [9] proved (see Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 of the cited paper) that when  $\sum a_n < \infty$ ,  $a_n$  for infinitely many  $n$  and  $b_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the model has an essentially unique stationary age-distribution. (Essentially unique means in this context unique up to a constant factor.) The asymptotic properties of the age-distribution were analyzed in a later paper [8] and results analogous to

the asymptotic properties of finite Leslie matrices were obtained. Gosselin and Lebreton, in a recent paper [12], relaxed the original Demetrius conditions to derive analogous results concerning existence and asymptotic properties of a stationary age distribution.

This paper is concerned with finite time properties of the discrete dynamical system given by a equation similar to (1), where the process is now determined by an infinite Leslie matrix, denoted  $\mathbf{L}$ . The corresponding discrete dynamical system is

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_n = \mathbf{L}\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of the non-negative integers,  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$  is now a population vector with a denumerable number of components and  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_0$  is the initial condition.

In the literature [7, 8, 9, 12] it is assumed that the coefficients of  $\mathbf{L}$  satisfy the following two conditions:

1.  $a_l \geq 0$ ,  $\sup a_l = A < \infty$ , with  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ .
2.  $0 < b_j \leq 1$  with  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

These two conditions are consistent with the behaviour of natural age-structured population. The conditions are also consistent with mathematical models of self-reproducing machines [21, 11], self-reproducing computer programs [3, 13, 23], or both, like in the survey [19]. In this paper we do not need to assume these conditions, since our method depends only on the form of the entries of the infinite Leslie matrix (3).

Equation (5) entails the following characterization of  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_n$

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_n = \mathbf{L}^n \bar{\mathbf{u}}_0, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5)$$

The main aim of this article is the complete characterization of  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Our analysis revolves around the notion of a matrix of formal power series  $\mathbf{G}(z) \in \mathcal{M}^\infty[[z]]$ , where  $\mathcal{M}^\infty[[z]]$  is the set of denumerable matrices which entries are formal power series. The entries  $[G_{ij}(z)]_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  are functions of the Leslie matrix and the formal indeterminate  $z$ .

We will exploit the theory of kneading determinants to establish the relation

$$\mathbf{G}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{L}^n z^n. \quad (6)$$

This relation, which is the main result of the paper, provides a direct method for computing  $\mathbf{L}^n$  and thereby determining the solution of equation (5), in the cases of finite or infinite Leslie matrices.

We call  $\mathbf{G}(z)$  the generating matrix of the solutions of the difference equation.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we describe with illustrative examples, the characterization of  $\mathbf{G}(z)$ . Section 3 establishes the main result, as given by equation (6).

## 2 Statement of results

The Cartesian product of  $k$  repeated sets  $A$  is denoted by  $A^k$ . The Cartesian product is denoted  $A^\infty$  for a denumerable Cartesian product of repeated sets.

$\mathcal{M}^p = \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^\infty = \mathbb{C}^{\infty \times \infty}$  denote respectively the sets of  $p \times p$  and denumerable matrices with complex entries.  $\mathbb{C}[z]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  denote respectively the sets of formal polynomials and formal power series in the indeterminate  $z$  with complex coefficients. The sets of  $p \times p$  and denumerable matrices having formal power series as entries are denoted respectively by  $\mathcal{M}^p[[z]]$  and  $\mathcal{M}^\infty[[z]]$ . The indeterminates  $x$  and  $z$  are respectively associated with real and complex indeterminates when nothing else mentioned.

We use a simplified notation to identify products of the transition probabilities  $b_k$  of a Leslie matrix

$$C_{k_i}^{k_f} = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=k_i}^{k_f} b_k & \text{if } k_f \geq k_i, \\ 1 & \text{if } k_f = k_i - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The usual notation, see [12], for these products is

$$l_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} b_n = C_1^{n-1}, \text{ with } l_1 = 1.$$

In our proofs the bottom index  $k_i$  in general is not 1. This is the reason for a special notation  $C_{k_i}^{k_f}$ .

As usual in the case of sums if  $k_f < k_i$  we have

$$\sum_{k=k_i}^{k_f} b_k = 0.$$

The usual discrete Heaviside function on the set of the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is denoted as

$$h(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The quantity

$$\Delta(z) = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n C_1^n z^{n+1}, \quad (8)$$

is defined operationally here and named the weighed kneading determinant of the Leslie difference equation (4) with weights  $C_1^n = l_n$ . The meaning of this concept will be made precise in section 3 where a general and deeper definition will be presented. We see in the sequence that the real root of  $\Delta(z)$  will be associated with the solution of Euler-Lotka equation. The Definition 10 of section 3 agrees naturally with  $\Delta(z)$ , when computed for Leslie difference equations.

**Definition 1** We define a matrix of formal power series  $\mathbf{G}(z) \in \mathcal{M}^\infty[[z]]$ , with entries  $[G_{ij}(z)]_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  given by

$$G_{ij}(z) = C_j^{i-1} z^{i-j} + \frac{C_1^{i-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{j+n-1} C_j^{j+n-1} z^{n+i}}{\Delta(z)}. \quad (9)$$

The zero degree coefficient of  $\Delta(z)$  is 1. Consequently  $\Delta(z)$  is invertible and the quotient in (9) above is well defined. This definition will be clarified in Lemma 15.

The main theorem of this paper states that:

**Theorem 2** *Given a Leslie matrix  $\mathbf{L}$  and the matrix  $\mathbf{G}(z) \in \mathcal{M}^\infty[[z]]$  we have*

$$\mathbf{G}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{L}^n z^n.$$

This theorem holds even if the coefficients  $a_i$  and  $b_j$  of  $\mathbf{L}$  are general complex numbers. In the population dynamics context of this work one considers real non-negative coefficients.

To compute  $\mathbf{L}^n$  we have to identify the coefficients of  $z^n$  in  $\mathbf{G}(z)$ . Consequently, we call  $\mathbf{G}(z)$  the generating matrix of the solutions of the Leslie difference equation (4).

With this Theorem it is straightforward to compute the powers of  $\mathbf{L}$  and to understand the dynamics of the difference equation (4) for different instances of Leslie matrices.

**Example 3** *We have a particular simple situation for  $G_{11}(z)$*

$$G_{11}(z) = 1 + \frac{\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n c_1^n z^{n+1}}{\Delta(z)},$$

*we have  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n c_1^n z^{n+1} = 1 - \Delta(z)$ , hence*

$$= 1 + \frac{1 - \Delta(z)}{\Delta(z)} = \frac{1}{\Delta(z)}.$$

*The generating function for  $L_{11}^n$  (the entries (1,1) of the powers of  $\mathbf{L}$ ) is the inverse of the weighed kneading determinant. This agrees with the direct computations of the matrix elements of  $\mathbf{L}^n$  giving  $L_{11}^0 = 1$ ,  $L_{11} = a_0$ ,  $L_{11}^2 = a_0^2 + a_1 b_1$ ,  $L_{11}^3 = a_0^3 + 2a_0 a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_1 b_2$ , etc.*

The equation

$$1 - \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n c_1^n \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{n+1} = 0, \quad (10)$$

is the well known Euler-Lotka equation [12] for the leading eigenvalue,  $\rho > 0$ , for an infinite Leslie matrix. If we identify  $z = \rho^{-1}$  in (10) we get  $\Delta(z) = 0$ . Consequently, the weighed kneading determinant and its roots are very important in terms of asymptotic behavior of the solutions.

It is not our purpose to study a plethora of concrete examples that can be readily obtained from the vast literature on this subject, see for example [4, 5]. We present two case studies only as examples of the computations involved. The heart of the matter is that any Leslie difference equation, finite or infinite, can be solved using kneading theory. In the finite case, the classic method of diagonalization to find powers of matrices works perfectly. The kneading determinant method is a new technique that as the advantage, in some cases, to be computationally lighter.

**Example 4** *Finite Leslie model.*

A possible, very simplified, Leslie matrix for semelparous population with three age classes [6] is

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ b_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a$  is the average fertility rate of each female,  $b_1$  is the survival rate of the newborn generation and  $b_2$  is the survival rate of the juveniles. See a more general discussion in [6] with an extensive related bibliography.

The weighed kneading determinant is

$$\Delta(z) = 1 - ab_1b_2z^3.$$

The generating matrix for this model is

$$\mathbf{G}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - ab_1b_2z^3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ab_2z^2 & az \\ b_1z & 1 & ab_1z^2 \\ b_1b_2z^2 & b_2z & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with an easy expansion in power series giving

$$\mathbf{L}^{3n} = (ab_1b_2)^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{L}^{3n+1} = (ab_1b_2)^n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ b_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathbf{L}^{3n+2} = (ab_1b_2)^n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ab_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ab_1 \\ b_1b_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The general semelparous case with  $p$  age classes can be obtained using similar reasonings.

The Euler-Lotka equation has solution  $z_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{ab_1b_2}}$  or  $\rho = \sqrt[3]{ab_1b_2}$ . In the case  $ab_1b_2 = 1$  the population is stable with yearly oscillations of period 3.

The possibility of infinite Leslie matrices can occur when the population does age very slowly or when we consider each member of the population as a colony of insects like ants or termites. Theoretically these colonies can last forever. Each colony can reproduce founding nearby colonies. The scale of the reproduction is very slow but each colony has high survivorship rate. So, the reproducing coefficients  $a_i$  are very small and the transition probabilities  $b_j$  near 1. Despite these biological considerations, the relative size of the coefficients  $a_i$  and  $b_j$  is immaterial to the computation of the finite time solutions, as we can see in the next example.

**Example 5** *Infinite Leslie model.*

As an ideal experiment, which is a very simple generalization of the models presented in [12], we consider populations where the reproduction varies geometrically with age and with a mortality rate constant. Geometric birth rates are used since the formal power series are readily obtained exhibiting the internal

machinery of this procedure. Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} a & a\chi & a\chi^2 & a\chi^3 & \cdots \\ b & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The case  $\chi = 1$  was treated in [12]. We compute the weighed kneading determinant

$$\Delta(z) = 1 - a \sum_{n \geq 0} C_1^n \chi^n z^{n+1} = 1 - a \sum_{n \geq 0} b^n \chi^n z^{n+1} = \frac{1 - (a + b\chi)z}{1 - b\chi z}.$$

The generating functions are

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ij}(z) &= C_j^{i-1} z^{i-j} + \frac{C_1^{i-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{j+n-1} C_j^{j+n-1} z^{n+i}}{\Delta(z)} \\ &= b^{i-j} z^{i-j} h(i-j) + ab^{i-1} \chi^{j-1} \sum_{n \geq i} (a + b\chi)^{n-i} z^n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $h$  is the discrete Heaviside function.

To simplify the notation, the generating matrix  $\mathbf{G}(z) = [G_{ij}(z)]_{i,j=1,2,\dots}$  can be written as

$$\mathbf{G}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + g_{11}(z) & g_{12}(z) & g_{13}(z) & g_{14}(z) & \cdots \\ bz + g_{21}(z) & 1 + g_{22}(z) & g_{23}(z) & g_{24}(z) & \cdots \\ b^2 z^2 + g_{31}(z) & bz + g_{32}(z) & 1 + g_{33}(z) & g_{34}(z) & \cdots \\ b^3 z^3 + g_{41}(z) & b^2 z^2 + g_{42}(z) & bz + g_{43}(z) & 1 + g_{44}(z) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

where, in this case

$$g_{ij}(z) = ab^{i-1} \chi^{j-1} \sum_{n \geq i} (a + b\chi)^{n-i} z^n.$$

The above expression for the entries of  $\mathbf{G}(z)$  solves explicitly the difference equation (4) and gives the entries of  $\mathbf{L}^n$ .

The Euler-Lotka equation

$$\frac{1 - (a + b\chi)\rho^{-1}}{1 - b\chi\rho^{-1}} = 0$$

has solution  $\rho^{-1} = z = \frac{1}{a+b\chi}$ . Therefore, the leading eigenvalue is  $\rho = a+b\chi$  and the replacement fertility (fertility rate that keeps stable the population average) is  $a = 1 - b\chi$ .

### 3 Proof of the main result

The proof of the main Theorem 2 is based on the concept of kneading determinant of a pair of linear endomorphisms which difference has finite rank. A

concept that roots its origin in one dimensional dynamics [17]. In the context of linear algebra an analogous definition of kneading determinant using formal power series can be obtained, see [1]. The process has similarities to the original definition for discrete dynamics of the interval, which justifies the use of the terminology borrowed from dynamical systems.

**Remark 6** *The matrix  $\mathbf{L}$  can be decomposed in a sum of two matrices  $\mathbf{L} = \mathcal{R} + \mathbf{X}$  given by*

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ b_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & b_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & b_3 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

*please note that  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{X}$  is the matrix representation of an endomorphism in  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$  with rank 1. This decomposition is classic and was noticed in [4, 6, 20], in different frameworks.*

**Definition 7** *Consider the standard basis of  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ , the linear space of infinite sequences over the field of complex numbers. The vectors of this basis are the columns of the identity infinite matrix  $(C_i)_{i=1,2,\dots}$ . On the other side  $R_\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$ -th row of the infinite identity matrix, or the  $\alpha$  vector of the standard basis of the dual space of  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ .*

We denote by  $R$  the first row of  $\mathbf{L}$ ,

$$R = R_1 \mathcal{R} = (a_0 \ a_1 \ a_2 \ \cdots \ a_l \ \cdots).$$

The matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  has entries

$$X_{ij} = \delta_{ij+1} b_j, \tag{11}$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the usual delta Kronecker symbol, therefore  $\mathbf{X}$  has very easy powers  $\mathbf{X}^n$ , with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the powers of  $\mathbf{X}$  have entries

$$X_{ij}^n = \delta_{ij+n} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{j+k}.$$

This is a direct consequence of the equality (11). Naturally when  $\mathbf{L}$  is finite,  $\mathbf{X}$  is nilpotent.

**Definition 8** *The weighed kneading invariant (a particular case of the kneading matrix of section 3 of [2]) is the formal power series in  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  associated with the Leslie difference equation (4) and given by*

$$M(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} R \mathbf{X}^n C_1 z^n. \tag{12}$$

*The weights appear as the products of the  $b_j$  of the Leslie matrix and are not present at the sections one and two of [2].*



**Proposition 9** *The weighed kneading invariant  $M(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$  of the Leslie difference equation (4) is*

$$M(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \mathcal{C}_1^n z^n.$$

**Proof.** Note that  $\mathbf{X}^n \mathcal{C}_1$  is the first column of  $\mathbf{X}^n$ , with its entries given by

$$X_{i1}^n = \delta_{i1+n} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{1+k} = \delta_{i1+n} \prod_{k=1}^n b_k = \delta_{i1+n} \mathcal{C}_1^n.$$

Replacing  $X_{i1}^n$  in (12) we get the desired result. ■

We provide here the formal definition of weighed kneading determinant of a Leslie difference equation defined operationally for Leslie difference equations in equation (8).

**Definition 10** *Giving the weighed kneading invariant  $M(z)$ , the weighed kneading determinant  $\Delta(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$  (see [2]) associated to  $M(z)$  is*

$$\Delta(z) = 1 - zM(z).$$

The use of the concept *determinant* is inherited from the higher dimensional case of infinite Fibonacci difference equations [2].

**Remark 11** *When we compute the weighed kneading determinant with the above definition, the result agrees with (8) used previously*

$$\Delta(z) = 1 - zM(z) = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \mathcal{C}_1^n z^{n+1}.$$

**Definition 12** *The extended weighed kneading matrices for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  (again from section 3 of [2]) are defined such that*

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n \geq 0} R \mathbf{X}^n \mathcal{C}_1 z^n & \sum_{n \geq 0} R \mathbf{X}^n \mathcal{C}_j z^n \\ \sum_{n \geq 0} R_i \mathbf{X}^n \mathcal{C}_1 z^n & \sum_{n \geq 0} R_i \mathbf{X}^n \mathcal{C}_j z^n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

with  $\mathbf{M}_{ij}(z) \in \mathcal{M}^2[[z]]$ .

**Proposition 13** *The extended weighed kneading matrices are*

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \mathcal{C}_1^n z^n & \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{j+n-1} \mathcal{C}_j^{j+n-1} z^n \\ \mathcal{C}_1^{i-1} z^{i-1} & \mathcal{C}_j^{i-1} z^{i-j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof.** Recall that

$$X_{ij}^n = \delta_{ij+n} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{j+k} = \delta_{ij+n} \prod_{k=j}^{j+n-1} b_k = \delta_{ij+n} \mathcal{C}_j^{j+n-1},$$

so

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} R \mathbf{X}^n \mathcal{C}_j z^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{j+n-1} \mathcal{C}_j^{j+n-1} z^n.$$

The first row of  $\mathbf{M}_{ij}(z)$  is done. Now, we have to compute the last row of  $\mathbf{M}_{ij}(z)$ . We note that there is only one entry different from 0 at the  $j$  column of  $\mathbf{X}^n$  and that element is at line  $i$  which is greater than  $j$ , so

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} R_i \mathbf{X}^n C_j z^n = C_j^{i-1} z^{i-j},$$

as desired. ■

**Definition 14** *The extended weighed kneading determinant of  $\mathbf{M}_{ij}(z)$  is*

$$\Delta_{ij}(z) = \det(\mathbf{I} - z\mathbf{M}_{ij}(z)),$$

where  $\mathbf{I}$  denotes the identity matrix. It is a formal power series in  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ .

The next Lemma contains all the relevant details necessary to prove the main Theorem 2.

**Lemma 15** *The generating functions (see [1] and [2])  $G_{ij}(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$  and  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  for the solutions of (4) are*

$$G_{ij}(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta_{ij}(z)}{\Delta(z)} \right),$$

this generating functions are formal power series and have as coefficients of  $z^n$  the matrix elements  $L_{ij}^n$  (the entry  $(i, j)$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{L}^n$ ). The entries  $G_{ij}(z)$  are

$$G_{ij}(z) = C_j^{i-1} z^{i-j} + \frac{C_1^{i-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{j+n-1} C_j^{j+n-1} z^{n+i}}{\Delta(z)}.$$

**Proof.** The proof of this Lemma follows the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [2] if we associate  $\mathbf{X}$  with the matrix representation of the endomorphism  $\varphi$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  with the matrix representation of the endomorphism  $\psi$ . From Remark 6,  $\psi - \varphi$  has finite rank and all the conclusions of [1] and [2] apply to  $\psi^n$  with matrix representation  $\mathbf{L}^n$ , as desired.

To prove the second statement we compute explicitly the extended weighed kneading determinants. We have

$$\Delta_{ij}(z) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 - z \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n C_1^n z^n & -z \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{j+n-1} C_j^{j+n-1} z^n \\ -z C_1^{i-1} z^{i-1} & 1 - z C_j^{i-1} z^{i-j} \end{pmatrix},$$

which is

$$\Delta_{ij}(z) = \Delta(z) (1 - C_j^{i-1} z^{i-j+1}) - C_1^{i-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{j+n-1} C_j^{j+n-1} z^{n+i+1}.$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} zG_{ij}(z) &= 1 - \frac{\Delta_{ij}(z)}{\Delta(z)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\Delta(z) (1 - C_j^{i-1} z^{i-j+1}) - C_1^{i-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{j+n-1} C_j^{j+n-1} z^{n+i+1}}{\Delta(z)} \\ &= C_j^{i-1} z^{i-j+1} + \frac{C_1^{i-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{j+n-1} C_j^{j+n-1} z^{n+i+1}}{\Delta(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the generating functions

$$G_{ij}(z) = \mathcal{C}_j^{i-1} z^{i-j} + \frac{\mathcal{C}_1^{i-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{j+n-1} \mathcal{C}_j^{j+n-1} z^{n+i}}{\Delta(z)},$$

as desired. ■

The matrix  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{M}^\infty[[z]]$  with entries  $G_{ij}(z)$ , is the generating matrix of the solutions of the Leslie difference equation (4).

We have all the ingredients to prove the Main Theorem 2. The result follows directly from Lemma 15 and the definition of generating function, i.e.,

$$G_{ij}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} L_{ij}^n z^n, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

In our next article we use the main theorem of this work to study the asymptotic properties of models with infinite Leslie matrices.

**Conclusion.** We point out that with generating functions for  $\mathbf{L}^n$  explicitly given, one gets the solutions of this type of difference equations under very mild restrictions, which is a clear benefit of working with formal power series.

**Acknowledgement** The contribution of the anonymous referees improved a great deal our final version of the paper, we thank both for the relevant comments and suggestions. We also thank the invaluable discussions we sustained with Lloyd Demetrius and the ideas of Jim Cushing.

## References

- [1] Alves, J. F., Fachada, J. L. and Sousa-Ramos, J. (2006). Dynamical zeta functions and kneading determinants: A linear algebra point of view. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 418 913-924.
- [2] Alves, J. F., Bravo, A. V. and Oliveira, H.M. (2013). Kneading determinants of infinite order linear recurrences. CAMGSD preprint. arXiv:1307.3474 2013.
- [3] Burger, J., Brill, D., and Machi, F. (1980). Self-reproducing programs. *Byte* August, 74-75.
- [4] Caswell, H. (2001). *Matrix population models: construction, analysis, and interpretation*. Sinauer and associates.
- [5] Cushing, J. M. (1998). An introduction to structured population dynamics (pp. 133-139). Society Industrial and Applied Mathematics.
- [6] Cushing, J. M., and Henson, S. M. (2012). Stable bifurcations in semelparous Leslie models. *Journal of Biological Dynamics*, 6 (sup 2), 80-102.
- [7] Demetrius, L. (1971). Multiplicative processes. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 12(3), 261-272.
- [8] Demetrius, L. (1972). On an infinite population matrix. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 13(1), 133-137.

- [9] Demetrius, L. (1971). Multiplicative processes II. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 20, 345-357.
- [10] Fletcher, S., & Curtsinger, J. W. (1998). Mortality plateaus and the evolution of senescence: Why are old-age mortality rates so low? *Evolution*, 52(2), 454-464.
- [11] Freitas Jr, R. A., and Merkle, R. C. (2004). *Kinematic Self-Replicating Machines*, Landes Bioscience, Georgetown, TX, 2004.
- [12] Gosselin, F., and Lebreton, J. D. (2009). Asymptotic properties of infinite Leslie matrices. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 256(2), 157-163.
- [13] Koza, J. R. (1994). Spontaneous emergence of self-replicating and evolutionarily self-improving computer programs. *Artificial life III*, 17, 225-262.
- [14] Lefkovich, L. P. (1965). The study of population growth in organisms grouped by stages. *Biometrics*, 1-18.
- [15] Leslie, P. H. (1945). On the use of matrices in certain population mathematics. *Biometrika*, 33(3), 183-212.
- [16] Leslie, P. H. (1948). Some further notes on the use of matrices in population mathematics. *Biometrika*, 35(3/4), 213-245.
- [17] Milnor, J., and Thurston, W. (1988). On iterated maps of the interval (pp. 465-563). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [18] Seneta, E. (1981). *Non-negative Matrices and Markov Chains*. Springer Verlag, New York.
- [19] Sipper, M. (1998). Fifty years of research on self-replication: An overview. *Artificial Life*, 4(3), 237-257.
- [20] Usher, M. B. (1972). Developments in the Leslie matrix model. *Mathematical models in ecology*, 29-60. J.N.T. (Ed).
- [21] Von Neumann, J., Burks, A.W. (Ed.), (1966). *The Theory of Automata: Construction, Reproduction, Homogeneity*. University of Illinois Press, New York 1966.
- [22] Vaupel, J. W., Carey, J. R., Christensen, K., Johnson, T. E., Yashin, A. I., Holm, N. V., Kannisto, V., Khazaeli, A.A., Liedo, P., Longo, V D., Zeng, Yi, Manton, K. G. and Curtsinger, J. W. (1998). Biodemographic trajectories of longevity. *Science*, 280(5365), 855-860.
- [23] Yamamoto, L., Schreckling, D., and Meyer, T. (2007, December). Self-replicating and self-modifying programs in fraglets. In *Bio-Inspired Models of Network, Information and Computing Systems, 2007. Bionetics 2007*. 2nd (pp. 159-167). IEEE.