

**LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS IN COMPLEX SPACE  
FORMS SATISFYING AN IMPROVED EQUALITY  
INVOLVING  $\delta(2, 2)$**

BANG-YEN CHEN, ALICA PRIETO-MARÍN, AND XIANFENG WANG

ABSTRACT. It was proved in [8, 9] that every Lagrangian submanifold  $M$  of a complex space form  $\tilde{M}^5(4c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$  satisfies the following optimal inequality:

$$\delta(2, 2) \leq \frac{25}{4}H^2 + 8c, \quad (\text{A})$$

where  $H^2$  is the squared mean curvature and  $\delta(2, 2)$  is a  $\delta$ -invariant on  $M$  introduced by the first author. This optimal inequality improves a special case of an earlier inequality obtained in [B.-Y. Chen, Japan. J. Math. **26** (2000), 105–127].

The main purpose of this paper is to classify Lagrangian submanifolds of  $\tilde{M}^5(4c)$  satisfying the equality case of the improved inequality (A).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\tilde{M}^n$  be a Kähler  $n$ -manifold with the complex structure  $J$ , a Kähler metric  $g$  and the Kähler 2-form  $\omega$ . An isometric immersion  $\psi : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}^n$  of a Riemannian  $n$ -manifold  $M$  into  $\tilde{M}^n$  is called *Lagrangian* if  $\psi^*\omega = 0$ .

Let  $\tilde{M}^n(4c)$  denote a Kähler  $n$ -manifold with constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$ , called a *complex space form*. A complete simply-connected complex space form  $\tilde{M}^n(4c)$  is holomorphically isometric to the complex Euclidean  $n$ -plane  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , the complex projective  $n$ -space  $CP^n(4c)$ , or a complex hyperbolic  $n$ -space  $CH^n(4c)$  according to  $c = 0$ ,  $c > 0$  or  $c < 0$ , respectively.

B.-Y. Chen introduced in 1990s new Riemannian invariants  $\delta(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ . For any  $n$ -dimensional submanifold  $M$  in a real space form  $R^m(c)$  of constant

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 53C40; Secondary 53D12.

*Key words and phrases*. Lagrangian submanifold, improved inequality,  $\delta$ -invariants, ideal submanifolds,  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold.

A portion of this work was done while the second author was visiting Michigan State University in 2011, supported by a Fundación Cámara grant, University of Sevilla, Spain. The third author was supported by the NSFC No.11171175 and the “Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities”.

curvature  $c$ , he proved the following sharp general inequality (see [5, 7] for details):

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(n_1, \dots, n_k) &\leq \frac{n^2(n+k-1-\sum n_j)}{2(n+k-\sum n_j)} H^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left( n(n-1) - \sum_{j=1}^k n_j(n_j-1) \right) c. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

For Lagrangian submanifolds in a complex space form  $\tilde{M}^n(4c)$ , we have

**Theorem A.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Lagrangian submanifold in a complex space form  $\tilde{M}^n(4c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$ . Then inequality (1.1) holds for each  $k$ -tuple  $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ .*

The following result from [6] extends a result in [10] on  $\delta(2)$ .

**Theorem B.** *Every Lagrangian submanifold of a complex space form  $\tilde{M}^n(4c)$  is minimal if it satisfies the equality case of (1.1) identically.*

Theorem B was improved recently in [8, 9] to the following inequality.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Lagrangian submanifold of  $\tilde{M}^n(4c)$ . Then, for an  $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i < n$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(n_1, \dots, n_k) &\leq \frac{n^2 \left\{ \left( n - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i + 3k - 1 \right) - 6 \sum_{i=1}^k (2 + n_i)^{-1} \right\}}{2 \left\{ \left( n - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i + 3k + 2 \right) - 6 \sum_{i=1}^k (2 + n_i)^{-1} \right\}} H^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^k n_i(n_i-1) \right\} c. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

The equality sign holds at a point  $p \in M$  if and only if there is an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  at  $p$  such that the second fundamental form  $h$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_{\alpha_i}, e_{\beta_i}) &= \sum_{\gamma_i} h_{\alpha_i \beta_i}^{\gamma_i} J e_{\gamma_i} + \frac{3\delta_{\alpha_i \beta_i}}{2+n_i} \lambda J e_{N+1}, \quad \sum_{\alpha_i=1}^{n_i} h_{\alpha_i \alpha_i}^{\gamma_i} = 0, \\ h(e_{\alpha_i}, e_{\alpha_j}) &= 0, \quad i \neq j; \quad h(e_{\alpha_i}, e_{N+1}) = \frac{3\lambda}{2+n_i} J e_{\alpha_i}, \quad h(e_{\alpha_i}, e_u) = 0, \\ h(e_{N+1}, e_{N+1}) &= 3\lambda J e_{N+1}, \quad h(e_{N+1}, e_u) = \lambda J e_u, \quad N = n_1 + \dots + n_k, \\ h(e_u, e_v) &= \lambda \delta_{uv} J e_{N+1}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k; \quad u, v = N+2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

For simplicity, we call a Lagrangian submanifold of a complex space form  $\delta(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ -ideal (resp., *improved*  $\delta(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ -ideal) if it satisfies the equality case of (1.1) (resp., the equality case of (1.2)) identically.

For  $k = 2$  and  $n_1 = n_2 = 2$ , Theorem C reduces to the following.

**Theorem D.** *Let  $M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold in a complex space form  $\tilde{M}^5(4c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$ . Then we have*

$$\delta(2, 2) \leq \frac{25}{4}H^2 + 8c. \quad (1.4)$$

*If the equality sign of (1.4) holds identically, then with respect some suitable orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_5\}$  the second fundamental form  $h$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_1, e_1) &= \alpha J e_1 + \beta J e_2 + \mu J e_5, & h(e_1, e_2) &= \beta J e_1 - \alpha J e_2, \\ h(e_2, e_2) &= -\alpha J e_1 - \beta J e_2 + \mu J e_5, \\ h(e_3, e_3) &= \gamma J e_3 + \delta J e_4 + \mu J e_5, & h(e_3, e_4) &= \delta J e_3 - \gamma J e_4, \\ h(e_4, e_4) &= -\gamma J e_3 - \delta J e_4 + \mu J e_5, & h(e_5, e_5) &= 4\mu J e_5, \\ h(e_i, e_5) &= \mu J e_i, \quad i \in \Delta; & h(e_i, e_j) &= 0, \quad \text{otherwise,} \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

for some functions  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu$ , where  $\Delta = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

The classification of  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms  $\tilde{M}^5(4c)$  is done in [13]. In this paper we classify improved  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian submanifolds in  $\tilde{M}^5(4c)$ . The main results of this paper are stated as Theorem 6.1, Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 8.1.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Basic formulas.** Let  $\tilde{M}^n(4c)$  denote a complete simply-connected Kähler  $n$ -manifold with constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$ . Then  $\tilde{M}^n(4c)$  is holomorphically isometric to the complex Euclidean  $n$ -plane  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , the complex projective  $n$ -space  $CP^n(4c)$ , or a complex hyperbolic  $n$ -space  $CH^n(-4c)$  according to  $c = 0, c > 0$  or  $c < 0$ .

Let  $M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of  $\tilde{M}^n(4c)$ . We denote the Levi-Civita connections of  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}^n(4c)$  by  $\nabla$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}$ , respectively. The formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are given respectively by (cf. [7])

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + D_X \xi, \quad (2.1)$$

for tangent vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  and normal vector fields  $\xi$ , where  $h$  is the second fundamental form,  $A$  is the shape operator and  $D$  is the normal connection.

The second fundamental form and the shape operator are related by

$$\langle h(X, Y), \xi \rangle = \langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle.$$

The mean curvature vector  $\vec{H}$  of  $M$  is defined by  $\vec{H} = \frac{1}{n} \text{trace } h$  and the *squared mean curvature* is given by  $H^2 = \langle \vec{H}, \vec{H} \rangle$ .

For Lagrangian submanifolds, we have (cf. [7, 12])

$$D_X JY = J\nabla_X Y, \quad (2.2)$$

$$A_{JX} Y = -Jh(X, Y) = A_{JY} X. \quad (2.3)$$

Formula (2.3) implies that  $\langle h(X, Y), JZ \rangle$  is totally symmetric.

The equations of Gauss and Codazzi are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle &= \langle A_{h(Y, Z)} X, W \rangle - \langle A_{h(X, Z)} Y, W \rangle \\ &\quad + c(\langle X, W \rangle \langle Y, Z \rangle - \langle X, Z \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $R$  is the curvature tensor of  $M$  and  $\nabla h$  is defined by

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) = D_X h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z). \quad (2.6)$$

For an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $T_p M$ , we put

$$h_{jk}^i = \langle h(e_j, e_k), J e_i \rangle, \quad i, j, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

It follows from (2.3) that  $h_{jk}^i = h_{ik}^j = h_{ij}^k$ .

**2.2.  $\delta$ -invariants.** Let  $M$  be a Riemannian  $n$ -manifold. Denote by  $K(\pi)$  the sectional curvature of a plane section  $\pi \subset T_p M$ ,  $p \in M$ . For any orthonormal basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $T_p M$ , the scalar curvature  $\tau$  at  $p$  is  $\tau(p) = \sum_{i < j} K(e_i \wedge e_j)$ .

Let  $L$  be a  $r$ -subspace of  $T_p M$  with  $r \geq 2$  and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  an orthonormal basis of  $L$ . The scalar curvature  $\tau(L)$  of  $L$  is defined by

$$\tau(L) = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} K(e_\alpha \wedge e_\beta), \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r. \quad (2.7)$$

For given integers  $n \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{S}(n, k)$  the finite set consisting of  $k$ -tuples  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  of integers satisfying  $2 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k < n$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^k n_j \leq n$ .

Put  $\mathcal{S}(n) = \cup_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{S}(n, k)$ . For each  $k$ -tuple  $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ , the first author introduced in 1990s the Riemannian invariant  $\delta(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  by

$$\delta(n_1, \dots, n_k)(p) = \tau(p) - \inf\{\tau(L_1) + \dots + \tau(L_k)\}, \quad p \in M, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $L_1, \dots, L_k$  run over all  $k$  mutually orthogonal subspaces of  $T_p M$  such that  $\dim L_j = n_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$  (cf. [7] for details).

**2.3. Horizontal lift of Lagrangian submanifolds.** The following link between Legendrian submanifolds and Lagrangian submanifolds is due to [16] (see also [7, pp. 247–248]).

*Case (i):  $CP^n(4)$ .* Consider Hopf's fibration  $\pi : S^{2n+1} \rightarrow CP^n(4)$ . For a given point  $u \in S^{2n+1}(1)$ , the horizontal space at  $u$  is the orthogonal complement of  $u$ ,  $\iota = \sqrt{-1}$ , with respect to the metric on  $S^{2n+1}$  induced from the metric on  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ . Let  $\iota : N \rightarrow CP^n(4)$  be a Lagrangian isometric immersion. Then there is a covering map  $\tau : \hat{N} \rightarrow N$  and a horizontal immersion  $\hat{\iota} : \hat{N} \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$  such that  $\iota \circ \tau = \pi \circ \hat{\iota}$ . Thus each Lagrangian immersion can be lifted locally (or globally if  $N$  is simply-connected) to a Legendrian immersion of the same Riemannian manifold. In particular, a minimal Lagrangian submanifold of  $CP^n(4)$  is lifted to a minimal Legendrian submanifold of the Sasakian  $S^{2n+1}(1)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $f : \hat{N} \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$  is a Legendrian isometric immersion. Then  $\iota = \pi \circ f : N \rightarrow CP^n(4)$  is again a Lagrangian isometric immersion. Under this correspondence the second fundamental forms  $h^f$  and  $h^\iota$  of  $f$  and  $\iota$  satisfy  $\pi_* h^f = h^\iota$ . Moreover,  $h^f$  is horizontal with respect to  $\pi$ .

*Case (ii):  $CH^n(-4)$ .* We consider the complex number space  $\mathbf{C}_1^{n+1}$  equipped with the pseudo-Euclidean metric:  $g_0 = -dz_1 d\bar{z}_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} dz_j d\bar{z}_j$ .

Consider  $H_1^{2n+1}(-1) = \{z \in \mathbf{C}_1^{2n+1} : \langle z, z \rangle = -1\}$  with the canonical Sasakian structure, where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the induced inner product.

Put  $T'_z = \{u \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1} : \langle u, z \rangle = 0\}$ ,  $H_1^1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : \lambda \bar{\lambda} = 1\}$ . Then there is an  $H_1^1$ -action on  $H_1^{2n+1}(-1)$ ,  $z \mapsto \lambda z$  and at each point  $z \in H_1^{2n+1}(-1)$ , the vector  $\xi = -iz$  is tangent to the flow of the action. Since the metric  $g_0$  is Hermitian, we have  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = -1$ . The quotient space  $H_1^{2n+1}(-1)/\sim$ , under the identification induced from the action, is the complex hyperbolic space  $CH^n(-4)$  with constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $-4$  whose complex structure  $J$  is induced from the complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathbf{C}_1^{n+1}$  via Hopf's fibration  $\pi : H_1^{2n+1}(-1) \rightarrow CH^n(4c)$ .

Just like case (i), suppose that  $\iota : N \rightarrow CH^n(-4)$  is a Lagrangian immersion, then there is an isometric covering map  $\tau : \hat{N} \rightarrow N$  and a Legendrian immersion  $f : \hat{N} \rightarrow H_1^{2n+1}(-1)$  such that  $\iota \circ \tau = \pi \circ f$ . Thus every Lagrangian immersion into  $CH^n(-4)$  can be lifted locally (or globally if  $N$  is

simply-connected) to a Legendrian immersion into  $H_1^{2n+1}(-1)$ . In particular, Lagrangian minimal submanifolds of  $CH^n(-4)$  are lifted to Legendrian minimal submanifolds of  $H_1^{2n+1}(-1)$ . Conversely, if  $f : \hat{N} \rightarrow H_1^{2n+1}(-1)$  is a Legendrian immersion, then  $\iota = \pi \circ f : N \rightarrow CH^n(-4)$  is a Lagrangian immersion. Under this correspondence the second fundamental forms  $h^f$  and  $h^\iota$  are related by  $\pi_* h^f = h^\iota$ . Also,  $h^f$  is horizontal with respect to  $\pi$ .

Let  $h$  be the second fundamental form of  $M$  in  $S^{2n+1}(1)$  (or in  $H_1^{2n+1}(-1)$ ). Since  $S^{2n+1}(1)$  and  $H_1^{2n+1}(-1)$  are totally umbilical with one as its mean curvature in  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  and in  $\mathbf{C}_1^{n+1}$ , respectively, we have

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) - \varepsilon L, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\varepsilon = 1$  if the ambient space is  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ ; and  $\varepsilon = -1$  if it is  $\mathbf{C}_1^{n+1}$ .

### 3. $H$ -UMBILICAL LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS AND COMPLEX EXTENSORS

#### 3.1. $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifolds.

**Definition 3.1.** A non-totally geodesic Lagrangian submanifold of a Kähler  $n$ -manifold is called  *$H$ -umbilical* if its second fundamental form satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_j, e_j) &= \mu J e_n, & h(e_j, e_n) &= \mu J e_j, & j &= 1, \dots, n-1, \\ h(e_n, e_n) &= \varphi J e_n, & h(e_j, e_k) &= 0, & 1 \leq j \neq k \leq n-1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for some functions  $\mu, \varphi$  with respect to an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . If the ratio of  $\varphi : \mu$  is a constant  $r$ , the  $H$ -umbilical submanifold is said to be of ratio  $r$ .

If  $G : N^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^n$  is a hypersurface of a Euclidean  $n$ -space  $\mathbb{E}^n$  and  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$  is a unit speed curve in  $\mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{C} - \{0\}$ , then we may extend  $G : N^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^n$  to an immersion  $I \times N^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  by  $\gamma \otimes G : I \times N^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbb{E}^n = \mathbf{C}^n$ , where  $(\gamma \otimes G)(s, p) = \gamma(s) \otimes G(p)$  for  $s \in I$ ,  $p \in N^{n-1}$ . This extension of  $G$  via tensor product  $\otimes$  is called the *complex extensor* of  $G$  via the *generating curve*  $\gamma$ .

$H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms were classified in a series of papers by the first author (cf. [2, 3, 4]). In particular, the following two results were proved in [2].

**Theorem E.** *Let  $\iota : S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{E}^n$  be the unit hypersphere in  $\mathbb{E}^n$  centered at the origin. Then every complex extensor of  $\iota$  via a unit speed curve*

$\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$  is an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  unless  $\gamma$  is contained in a line through the origin (which gives a totally geodesic Lagrangian submanifold).

**Theorem F.** *Let  $M$  be an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  with  $n \geq 3$ . Then  $M$  is either a flat space or congruent to an open part of a complex extensor of  $\iota : S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{E}^n$  via a curve  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$ .*

**3.2. Legendre curves.** A unit speed curve  $z : I \rightarrow S^3(1) \subset \mathbf{C}^2$  (resp.,  $z : I \rightarrow H_1^3(-1) \subset \mathbf{C}_1^2$ ) is called *Legendre* if  $\langle z', iz \rangle = 0$ . It was proved in [3] that a unit speed curve  $z$  in  $S^3(1)$  (resp., in  $H_1^3(-1)$ ) is Legendre if and only if it satisfies

$$z'' = i\lambda z' - z \quad (\text{resp., } z'' = i\lambda z' + z) \quad (3.2)$$

for a real-valued function  $\lambda$ . It is known in [3] that  $\lambda$  is the curvature function of  $z$  in  $S^3(1)$  (resp., in  $H_1^3(-1)$ ) (see also [1, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2]).

**3.3.  $H$ -umbilical submanifolds with arbitrary ratio.** We provide a general method to construct  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifolds with any given ratio in  $CP^n(4)$  via curves in  $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$  (resp., in  $CH^n(-4)$  via curves in  $H^2(-\frac{1}{2})$ ).

**Proposition 3.2.** *For any real number  $r$  there exist  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifolds of ratio  $r$  in  $CP^n(4)$  and in  $CH^n(-4)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $r = 2$  this was done in [3, Theorems 5.1 and 6.1]. If  $r \neq 2$ ,  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifolds of ratio  $r$  can be constructed as follows:

*Case (a):  $CP^n(4)$ .* Let  $S^2(\frac{1}{2}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{E}^3; \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \frac{1}{4}\}$ . The Hopf fibration  $\pi$  from  $S^3(1)$  onto  $S^2(\frac{1}{2}) \cong CP^1(4)$  is given by (cf. [1])

$$\pi(z_1, z_2) = \left( z_1 \bar{z}_2, \frac{1}{2}(|z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2) \right), \quad (z_1, z_2) \in S^3(1) \subset \mathbf{C}^2. \quad (3.3)$$

For a Legendre curve  $z$  in  $S^3(1)$ , the projection  $\gamma_z = \pi \circ z$  is a curve in  $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$ . Conversely, each curve  $\gamma$  in  $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$  gives rise to a horizontal lift  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in  $S^3(1)$  via  $\pi$  which is unique up to a factor  $e^{i\theta}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ . Notice that each horizontal lift of  $\gamma$  is a Legendre curve in  $S^3(1)$ . Moreover, since the Hopf fibration is a Riemannian submersion, each unit speed Legendre curve  $z$  in  $S^3(1)$  is projected to a unit speed curve  $\gamma_z$  in  $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$  with the same curvature.

It was known in [3, Lemma 7.2] that, for a given  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of ratio  $r \neq 2$  in  $\tilde{M}^n(4c)$ , the function  $\mu$  in (3.1) satisfies

$$\mu\mu'' - \left(\frac{r-3}{r-2}\right)\mu'^2 + (r-2)\mu^2((r-1)\mu^2 + c) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

If  $\mu$  is a non-trivial solution of (3.4) with  $c = 1$ , then there is a unit speed curve  $\gamma$  in  $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$  whose curvature equals to  $r\mu$ . Let  $z$  be a horizontal lift of  $\gamma$  in  $S^3(1)$ . Then  $z$  is a unit speed Legendre curve satisfying  $z''(x) = ir\mu z'(x) - z(x)$  (cf. [3, Theorem 4.1] or [1, Lemma 3.1]).

Consider the map  $\psi : M^5 \rightarrow S^{11}(1) \subset \mathbf{C}^6$  defined by

$$\psi(x, y_1, \dots, y_5) = (z_1(x), z_2(x)y_1, \dots, z_2(x)y_5), \sum_{j=1}^5 y_j^2 = 1). \quad (3.5)$$

It follows from [3, Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 7.2] that  $\pi \circ \psi$  is a  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of ratio  $r$  in  $CP^n(4)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_j, e_j) &= \mu J e_5, & h(e_j, e_n) &= \mu J e_j, \\ h(e_n, e_n) &= r\mu J e_n, & h(e_j, e_k) &= 0, \quad 1 \leq j \neq k \leq n-1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

with respect to suitable orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_5\}$ .

*Case (b):  $CH^n(-4)$ .* For a non-trivial solution of (3.4) with  $c = -1$ , we can construct an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of  $CH^n(-4)$  via the Hopf fibration  $\pi : H_1^3(-1) \rightarrow CH^1(-4) \equiv H^2(-\frac{1}{2})$  in a similar way as case (a), where

$$\pi(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 \bar{z}_2, \frac{1}{2}(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)), \quad (z_1, z_2) \in H_1^3(-1) \subset \mathbf{C}_1^2, \quad (3.7)$$

and  $H^2(-\frac{1}{2}) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{E}_1^3 : x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 = \frac{1}{4}, x_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$  is the model of the real projective plane of curvature  $-4$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Classification of  $H$ -umbilical submanifolds of ratio 4.** The equation of Gauss and (3.1) imply that  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifolds of ratio  $r \neq 4$  in complex space forms contain no open subsets of constant sectional curvature. Hence we conclude from [3, Theorems 4.1 and 7.1] and §3.3 the following results.

**Lemma 3.3.** *An  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold  $M$  of ratio 4 in  $CP^5(4)$  is congruent to an open portion of  $\pi \circ \psi$ , where  $\pi : S^{11}(1) \rightarrow CP^5(4)$  is Hopf's fibration,  $\psi : M \rightarrow S^{11}(1) \subset \mathbf{C}^6$  is given by*

$$\psi(t, y_1, \dots, y_5) = (z_1(t), z_2(t)\mathbf{y}), \quad \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{E}^5 : \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 1\}, \quad (3.8)$$



and  $z = (z_1, z_2) : I \rightarrow S^3(1) \subset \mathbf{C}^2$  is a unit speed Legendre curve satisfying  $z'' = 4i\mu z' - z$ , and  $\mu$  is a nonzero solution of  $2\mu\mu'' - \mu'^2 + 4\mu^2(3\mu^2 + 1) = 0$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold in  $CH^5(-4)$  satisfying (3.1). We may assume that  $\mu$  is defined on an open interval  $I \ni 0$ . Since  $H$ -umbilical submanifolds of ratio 4 in  $CH^5(-4)$  contain no open subsets of constant curvature, Theorems 4.2 and 9.1 of [3] and results in §3.3 imply the following classification of  $H$ -umbilical submanifolds of ratio 4 in  $CH^5(-4)$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *An  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold  $M$  of ratio 4 in  $CH^5(-4)$  is congruent to an open part of  $\pi \circ \psi$ , where  $\pi : H_1^{11}(-1) \rightarrow CH^5(-4)$  is Hopf's fibration and  $\psi : M \rightarrow H_1^{11}(-1) \subset \mathbf{C}_1^6$  is either one of*

$$\psi(t, y_1, \dots, y_4) = (z_1(t), z_2(t)\mathbf{y}), \quad \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{E}^5 : \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 1\}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\psi(t, y_1, \dots, y_4) = (z_1(t)\mathbf{y}, z_2(t)), \quad \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{E}_1^5 : \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = -1\}, \quad (3.10)$$

where  $z$  is a unit speed Legendre curve in  $H_1^3(-1)$  satisfying  $z'' = 4i\mu z' + z$  and  $\mu$  is a non-trivial solution of  $2\mu\mu'' - \mu'^2 + 4\mu^2(3\mu^2 - 1) = 0$ ; or  $\psi$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t, u_1, \dots, u_4) = \sqrt{\mu} e^{i \int_0^t \mu(t) dt} & \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 u_j^2 - it + \frac{1}{2\mu} - \frac{1}{2\mu(0)}, \right. \\ & \left. \left( i\mu(0) - \frac{\mu'(0)}{2\mu(0)} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 u_j^2 - it + \frac{1}{2\mu} - \frac{1}{2\mu(0)} \right), u_1, \dots, u_4 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where  $z = (z_1, z_2) : I \rightarrow H_1^3(-1) \subset \mathbf{C}_1^2$  is a unit speed Legendre curve and  $\mu$  is a non-trivial solution of  $\mu'^2 = 4\mu^2(1 - \mu^2)$ .

*Example.* It is easy to verify that  $\mu = \operatorname{sech} 2t$  is a non-trivial solution of  $\mu'^2 = 4\mu^2(1 - \mu^2)$ . Using  $\mu = \operatorname{sech} 2t$ , (3.11) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t, u_1, \dots, u_4) = \frac{e^{i \tan^{-1}(\tanh t)}}{\sqrt{\cosh 2t}} & \left( \frac{1}{2} - it + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 u_j^2 + \frac{\cosh 2t}{2}, \right. \\ & \left. t - \frac{i}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 u_j^2 + \frac{i \cosh 2t}{2}, u_1, \dots, u_4 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

It is direct to verify that (3.12) satisfies  $\langle \psi, \psi \rangle = -1$  and the composition  $\pi \circ \psi$  gives rise to an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of ratio 4 in  $CH^5(-4)$ .

## 4. SOME LEMMAS

We need the following lemmas for the proof of the main theorems.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be an improved  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian submanifold of  $\tilde{M}^5(4c)$ . Then with respect to some orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_5\}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
h(e_1, e_1) &= aJe_1 + \mu Je_5, \quad h(e_1, e_2) = -aJe_2, \\
h(e_2, e_2) &= -aJe_1 + \mu Je_5, \quad h(e_3, e_3) = bJe_3 + \mu Je_5, \\
h(e_3, e_4) &= -bJe_4, \quad h(e_4, e_4) = -bJe_3 + \mu Je_5, \\
h(e_i, e_5) &= \mu Je_i, \quad i \in \Delta, \quad h(e_5, e_5) = 4\mu Je_5, \\
h(e_i, e_j) &= 0, \quad \text{otherwise.}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

*Proof.* Under the hypothesis, we have (1.5) with respect to an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_5\}$ . Thus, after applying [6, Lemma 1] to  $V = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $V = \text{Span}\{e_3, e_4\}$ , we obtain (4.1).  $\square$

Let us put

$$\nabla_X e_i = \sum_{j=1}^5 \omega_i^j(X) e_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, 5, \quad X \in TM^5. \tag{4.2}$$

Then  $\omega_i^j = -\omega_j^i$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, 5$ .

If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $M$  is a minimal Lagrangian submanifold according (4.1). Such submanifolds in complex space forms  $\tilde{M}^5(4c)$  have been classified in [13].

If  $a = b = 0$  and  $\mu \neq 0$ , then  $M$  is an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold with ratio 4. Therefore, from now on we assume that  $a, \mu \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of  $\tilde{M}^5(4c)$  whose second fundamental form satisfies (4.1) with  $a, b, \mu \neq 0$ . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= \frac{e_2 a}{3a} e_2 - \nu e_5, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = -\frac{e_2 a}{3a} e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = -\frac{e_1 a}{3a} e_2, \\
\nabla_{e_2} e_2 &= \frac{e_1 a}{3a} e_1 - \nu e_5, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = \frac{e_4 b}{3b} e_4 - \nu e_5, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_4 = -\frac{e_4 b}{3b} e_3, \\
\nabla_{e_4} e_3 &= -\frac{e_3 b}{3b} e_4, \quad \nabla_{e_4} e_4 = \frac{e_3 b}{3b} e_3 - \nu e_5, \quad \nabla_{e_i} e_5 = \nu e_i, \quad i \in \Delta, \\
\nabla_{e_k} e_j &= 0, \quad \text{otherwise,}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

with  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}e_5(\ln \mu) = -e_5(\ln a) = -e_5(\ln b)$ , where  $\Delta = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Moreover, we have

$$e_j \mu = 0, j \in \Delta, \quad e_1 b = e_2 b = e_3 a = e_4 a = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

*Proof.* This lemma is obtained from Codazzi's equations via Lemma 4.1 and (4.2) and long computations.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 4.2, we have*

- (a)  $T_0$  is a totally geodesic distribution, i.e.  $T_0$  is integrable whose leaves are totally geodesic submanifolds;
- (b)  $T_0 \oplus T_1$  and  $T_0 \oplus T_2$  are totally geodesic distributions;
- (c)  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are spherical distributions, i.e.  $T_1, T_2$  are integrable distributions whose leaves are totally umbilical submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector,

where  $T_0 = \text{Span}\{e_5\}$ ,  $T_1 = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $T_2 = \text{Span}\{e_3, e_4\}$ .

*Proof.* Since the distribution  $T_0$  is of rank one, it is integrable. Moreover, since  $\nabla_{e_5} e_5 = 0$  by Lemma 4.2, the integral curves of  $e_5$  are geodesics in  $M$ . Thus we have statement (a). Statement (b) follows easily from (4.3).

To prove statement (c), first we observe that  $[e_1, e_2] \in T_1$  and  $[e_3, e_4] \in T_2$  follow from (4.3). Thus  $T_1, T_2$  are integrable. Also, it follows from (4.3) that the second fundamental form  $h_1$  of a leaf  $\mathcal{L}_1$  of  $T_1$  in  $M$  is given by

$$h_1(X, Y) = -\nu g_1(X_1, Y_1)e_5, \quad X_1, Y_1 \in T\mathcal{L}_1, \quad (4.5)$$

where  $g_1$  is the metric of  $\mathcal{L}_1$ . From (4.3) we obtain  $\nabla_{e_i} e_5 = \nu e_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus  $D_{e_1}^1 e_5 = D_{e_2}^1 e_5 = 0$ , where  $D^1$  is the normal connection of  $\mathcal{L}_1$  in  $M$ . It follows from Gauss' equation and Lemma 4.1 that the curvature tensor  $R$  satisfies

$$\langle R(e_1, e_2)e_1, e_j \rangle = 0, \quad j = 3, 4, 5. \quad (4.6)$$

Thus (4.6) and Lemma 4.2 imply that  $0 \equiv R(e_1, e_2)e_1 \equiv (e_2 \nu)e_5 \pmod{T_1}$ . Hence  $e_2 \nu = 0$ . Similarly, by considering  $R(e_2, e_1)e_2$ , we also have  $e_1 \nu = 0$ . After combining these with  $D^1 e_5 = 0$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{L}_1$  has parallel mean curvature vector in  $M$ . Hence  $T_1$  is a spherical distribution. Similarly,  $T_2$  is also a spherical distribution. Consequently, we obtain statement (c).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 4.2,  $M$  is locally a warped product  $I \times_{\rho_1(t)} M_1^2 \times_{\rho_2(t)} M_2^2$ , where  $t$  is function such that  $e_5 = \partial_t$  (i.e.,  $e_5 =$*

$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ),  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are two positive functions in  $t$  and  $M_1^2, M_2^2$  are Riemannian 2-manifolds.

*Proof.* This lemma follows from Lemma 4.3 and a result of Hiepko [15] (see also [7, Theorem 4.4, p. 90]).  $\square$

Lemma 3.3 and (4.4) imply that  $\mu$  depends only on  $t$ . Thus  $\mu = \mu(t)$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of  $\tilde{M}^5(4c)$  whose second fundamental form satisfies (4.1) with  $a, b, \mu \neq 0$ . Then we have  $c = -\nu^2 - \mu^2 < 0$ . Thus  $\mu$  satisfies  $\mu'(t)^2 = -4\mu^2(t)(c + \mu^2(t))$ .*

*Proof.* Under the hypothesis, it follows from Gauss' equation and Lemma 4.1 that  $\langle R(e_1, e_3)e_3, e_1 \rangle = c + \mu^2$ . On the other hand, the definition of curvature tensor and Lemma 4.2 imply that  $\langle R(e_1, e_3)e_3, e_1 \rangle = -\nu^2$ . Thus  $c = -\nu^2 - \mu^2 < 0$ . By combining this with the definition of  $\nu$ , we obtain the lemma.  $\square$

## 5. MORE LEMMAS

Next, we consider the case  $a, \mu \neq 0$  and  $b = 0$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $M$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of  $\tilde{M}^5(4c)$  whose second fundamental form satisfies (4.1) with  $a, \mu \neq 0$  and  $b = 0$ . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{e_1}e_1 &= \frac{e_2a}{3a}e_2 + \frac{e_3a}{a}e_3 + \frac{e_4a}{3a}e_4 - \nu e_5, \\
\nabla_{e_1}e_2 &= -\frac{e_2a}{3a}e_1 - 3\omega_1^2(e_3)e_3 - 3\omega_1^2(e_4)e_4, \\
\nabla_{e_1}e_3 &= -\frac{e_3a}{a}e_1 + 3\omega_1^2(e_3)e_2 + \omega_3^4(e_1)e_4, \\
\nabla_{e_1}e_4 &= -\frac{e_4a}{a}e_1 + 3\omega_1^2(e_4)e_2 - \omega_3^4(e_1)e_3, \\
\nabla_{e_2}e_1 &= -\frac{e_1a}{3a}e_2 + 3\omega_1^2(e_3)e_3 + \omega_1^4(e_2)e_4, \\
\nabla_{e_2}e_2 &= \frac{e_1a}{3a}e_1 + \frac{e_3a}{a}e_3 + \frac{e_4a}{a}e_4 - \nu e_5, \\
\nabla_{e_2}e_3 &= -3\omega_1^2(e_3)e_1 - \frac{e_3a}{a}e_2 + \omega_3^4(e_2)e_4, \\
\nabla_{e_2}e_4 &= -\omega_1^4(e_2)e_1 - \frac{e_4a}{a}e_2 - \omega_3^4(e_2)e_3, \\
\nabla_{e_3}e_1 &= \omega_1^2(e_3)e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_3}e_2 = -\omega_1^2(e_3)e_1, \\
\nabla_{e_3}e_3 &= \omega_3^4(e_3)e_4 - \nu e_5, \quad \nabla_{e_3}e_4 = -\omega_3^4(e_3)e_3, \\
\nabla_{e_4}e_1 &= \omega_1^2(e_4)e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_4}e_2 = -\omega_1^2(e_4)e_1, \\
\nabla_{e_4}e_3 &= \omega_3^4(e_4)e_4, \quad \nabla_{e_4}e_4 = -\omega_3^4(e_4)e_3 - \nu e_5, \\
\nabla_{e_5}e_3 &= \omega_3^4(e_5)e_4, \quad \nabla_{e_5}e_4 = -\omega_3^4(e_5)e_5, \\
\nabla_{e_i}e_5 &= \nu e_i, \quad i \in \Delta, \quad \nabla_{e_k}e_j = 0, \quad \text{otherwise.}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

with  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}e_5(\ln \mu) = -e_5(\ln a)$ . Moreover, we have

$$e_j\mu = 0, \quad j \in \Delta = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}. \tag{5.2}$$

*Proof.* Follows from Codazzi's equations via Lemma 4.1 and (4.2).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 5.1, we have*

- (i)  $T_0$  is a totally geodesic distribution;
- (ii)  $T_3$  is a spherical distribution,

where  $T_0 = \text{Span}\{e_5\}$  and  $T_3 = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $T_0$  is integrable. Moreover, since  $\nabla_{e_5}e_5 = 0$  by Lemma 5.1, integral curves of  $e_5$  are geodesics in  $M^5$ . Thus statement (i) follows. To prove statement (ii), we observe that the integrability of  $T_3$  follows from (5.1). Also, (5.1) implies that the second fundamental form  $\hat{h}$  of a leaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $T_3$  in  $M^5$  is given by  $\hat{h}(X, Y) = -\nu\hat{g}(X, Y)e_5$  for  $X, Y \in T\mathcal{L}$ , where  $\hat{g}$  is the

metric of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Since  $[e_j, e_5]\mu = 0$  by (5.1) and  $e_j\mu = 0$ , for  $j \in \Delta$ , we find  $e_i e_5 \mu - e_5 e_i \mu = 2e_1 \nu = 0$ . Therefore  $T_3$  is a spherical distribution.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 5.1,  $M$  is locally a warped product  $I \times_{\rho(t)} N^4$ , where  $t$  is function such that  $e_5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  and  $\rho$  is a positive function in  $t$  and  $N^4$  is a Riemannian 4-manifold.*

*Proof.* Follows from Lemma 5.2 and Hiepko's theorem.  $\square$

It follows from (5.2) and the definition of  $\nu$  that  $\mu = \mu(t)$  and  $\nu = \nu(t)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 5.1, we have*

$$\frac{d\nu}{dt} = -3\mu^2 - \nu^2 - c, \quad \frac{d\mu}{dt} = 2\mu\nu. \quad (5.3)$$

*Proof.* From Gauss' equation and (5.1) we find  $\langle R(e_1, e_5)e_5, e_1 \rangle = 3\mu^2 + c$ . On the other hand, (5.1) of Lemma 5.1 yields  $\langle R(e_1, e_5)e_5, e_1 \rangle = -e_5 \nu - \nu^2$ . Thus we find the first equation of (5.3). The second one follows immediately from the definition of  $\nu$  given in Lemma 5.1.  $\square$

## 6. IMPROVED $\delta(2, 2)$ -IDEAL LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS OF $\mathbf{C}^5$

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $M$  be an improved  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian submanifold in  $\mathbf{C}^5$ . Then it is one of the following Lagrangian submanifolds:*

- (a) *a  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian minimal submanifold;*
- (b) *an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of ratio 4;*
- (c) *a Lagrangian submanifold defined by*

$$L(\mu, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \frac{e^{\frac{4}{3}i \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\mu^3/(c^2 - \mu^3)}}}{\sqrt{c^2 \mu^{-1} - \mu^2 + i\mu}} \phi(u_2, \dots, u_n), \quad (6.1)$$

where  $c$  is a positive real number and  $\phi(u_2, \dots, u_n)$  is a horizontal lift of a non-totally geodesic  $\delta(2)$ -ideal Lagrangian minimal immersion in  $CP^4(4)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $M$  is an improved  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian submanifold in  $\mathbf{C}^5$ . Then there exists an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_5\}$  such that (4.1) holds. If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $M$  is a minimal  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian submanifold. Thus, we obtain case (a). If  $\mu \neq 0$  and  $a = b = 0$ , we obtain case (b).

Now, let us assume  $a, \mu \neq 0$ . Then Lemma 4.5 implies  $b = 0$ . So, by Lemmas 5.1 we have (5.1) and  $e_j \mu = 0$ ,  $j \in \Delta$ . Further, by Lemma 5.3,  $M$

is locally a warped product  $I \times_{\rho(t)} N^4$  with  $e_5 = \partial_t$ . Moreover, 4.1 shows that the second fundamental form satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_1, e_1) &= aJe_1 + \mu Je_5, \quad h(e_1, e_2) = -aJe_2, \\ h(e_2, e_2) &= -aJe_1 + \mu Je_5, \\ h(e_3, e_3) &= h(e_4, e_4) = \mu Je_5, \\ h(e_i, e_5) &= \mu Je_i, \quad i \in \Delta, \\ h(e_5, e_5) &= 4\mu Je_5, \quad h(e_i, e_j) = 0, \quad \textit{otherwise}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

From Lemma 5.4 we have the following differential system:

$$\frac{d\nu}{dt} = -3\mu^2 - \nu^2, \quad \frac{d\mu}{dt} = 2\mu\nu. \tag{6.3}$$

Let  $\varphi(t)$  be a function satisfying  $\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = -4\mu$ . Consider the map

$$\phi = e^{i\varphi} e_5. \tag{6.4}$$

Then  $\langle \phi, \phi \rangle = 1$ . It follows from  $\nabla_{e_5} e_5 = 0$ ,  $\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = -4\mu$  and (6.2) that  $\tilde{\nabla}_{e_5} \phi = 0$ , where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $\mathbf{C}^5$ . Thus  $\phi$  is independent of  $t$ .

Let  $L$  denote the Lagrangian immersion of  $M$  in  $\mathbf{C}^5$ . Then (6.4) yields

$$e_5 = L_t = e^{-i\varphi} \phi(u_1, \dots, u_4), \tag{6.5}$$

where  $u_1, \dots, u_4$  are local coordinates of  $N^4$ . For each  $j \in \Delta$ , we obtain from  $\nabla_{e_j} e_5 = \nu e_j$  of Lemma 5.1 and the first equation of (6.3) that

$$\phi_*(e_j) = \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} \phi = e^{i\varphi} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} e_5 = e^{i\varphi} (\nu + i\mu) e_j. \tag{6.6}$$

Thus

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} (\phi_*(e_i)) = e^{i\varphi} (\nu + i\mu) \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} e_i. \tag{6.7}$$

In view of  $\nabla_{e_j} e_5 = \nu e_j$  and (6.2), we may put

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j = \left( \sum_{k=1}^4 \Gamma_{ij}^k + ih_{ij}^k \right) e_k - (\nu - i\mu) \delta_{ij} e_5, \quad i, j \in \Delta, \tag{6.8}$$

for some functions  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$ . Now, it follows from (6.4), (6.6), (6.7), and (6.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} (\phi_*(e_i)) &= \sum_{\gamma=2}^n \left( \Gamma_{ij}^k + ih_{ij}^k \right) \phi_*(e_k) - (\mu^2 + \nu^2) \delta_{ij} \phi \\ &= \sum_{\gamma=2}^n \left( \Gamma_{ij}^k + ih_{ij}^k \right) \phi_*(e_k) - \langle \phi_*(e_i), \phi_*(e_j) \rangle \phi. \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Since  $M$  is a Lagrangian submanifold in  $\mathbf{C}^5$ , (6.4) and (6.6) show that  $i\phi$  is perpendicular to each tangent space of  $M$ . Hence  $\phi$  is a horizontal immersion in the unit hypersphere  $S^9(1) \subset \mathbf{C}^5$ . Moreover, it follows from (6.9) that the second fundamental form of  $\phi$  is the original second fundamental form of  $M$  respect to to the second factor  $N^4$  of the warped product  $I \times_{\rho(t)} N^4$ . Hence,  $\phi$  is a minimal horizontal immersion in  $S^9(1)$ . Therefore,  $\phi$  is a horizontal lift of a minimal Lagrangian immersion in  $CP^4(4)$ . Now, it follows from (6.2) that  $\phi$  is a horizontal lift of a  $\delta(2)$ -ideal minimal Lagrangian submanifold of  $CP^4(4)$ .

By direct computation we find

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_\alpha} \left( L - \frac{e_5}{\nu + i\mu} \right) = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, 5. \quad (6.10)$$

Thus, by (6.4), up to translations the Lagrangian immersion  $L$  is

$$L = \frac{e^{-i\varphi}}{\nu + i\mu} \phi(u_1, \dots, u_4), \quad (6.11)$$

where  $\phi$  is a horizontal minimal immersion in  $S^9(1)$  and  $\nu, \varphi, \mu$  satisfy

$$\frac{d\nu}{dt} = -3\mu^2 - \nu^2, \quad \frac{d\varphi}{dt} = -4\mu, \quad \frac{d\mu}{dt} = 2\mu\nu. \quad (6.12)$$

From (6.12) we find

$$\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} + \frac{\nu}{2\mu} = -\frac{3\mu}{2\nu}. \quad (6.13)$$

After solving (6.13) we get  $\nu = \pm \sqrt{c^2\mu^{-1} - \mu^2}$  for some real number  $c > 0$ . Replacing  $e_5$  by  $-e_5$  if necessary, we have

$$\nu = \sqrt{c^2\mu^{-1} - \mu^2}. \quad (6.14)$$

It follows from (6.12) and (6.14) that  $\varphi'(\mu) = -2/\sqrt{c^2\mu^{-1} - \mu^2}$ . By solving the last equation we find  $\varphi = -\frac{4}{3}i \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\mu^3/(c^2 - \mu^3)} + c_0$  for some constant  $c_0$ . Therefore, we have the theorem after applying a suitable translation in  $\mu$ .  $\square$

*Remark 6.2.* Minimal  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms  $\mathbf{C}^5$ ,  $CP^5$  and  $CH^5$  are classified in [13]. Also  $\delta(2)$ -ideal minimal Lagrangian submanifolds in  $CP^4$  and  $CH^4$  have been classified recently in [14].



Let  $\gamma(t)$  be a unit speed curve in  $\mathbf{C}^*$ . We put

$$\gamma(t) = r(t)e^{i\theta(t)}, \quad \gamma'(t) = e^{i\zeta(t)}. \quad (6.15)$$

The following result gives  $H$ -umbilical submanifolds of  $\mathbf{C}^5$  with ratio 4.

**Proposition 6.3.** *If  $M$  is an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of  $\mathbf{C}^5$  of ratio 4, then  $M$  is an open part of a complex extensor  $\gamma \otimes \iota$  of the unit hypersphere  $\iota : S^4(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^5$  via a generating curve  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$  whose curvature satisfies  $\kappa = 4\theta'$ .*

*Proof.* If  $M$  is an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of  $\mathbf{C}^5$  with ratio 4, then the second fundamental form satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_j, e_j) &= \mu J e_5, & h(e_j, e_5) &= \mu J e_j, & j &\in \Delta, \\ h(e_5, e_5) &= 4\mu J e_5, & h(e_j, e_k) &= 0, & 1 \leq j \neq k \leq 4, \end{aligned}$$

for a nonzero function  $\mu$ . Thus Gauss' equation yields  $K(e_1 \wedge e_5) = 3\mu^2$ . Hence  $M$  is non-flat. Therefore, according to Theorem F,  $M$  is an open part of a complex extensor of  $\iota : S^{n-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^n$  via a generating curve  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$ . It follows from [2] that the functions  $\varphi$  and  $\mu$  in (4.1) are related with the two angle functions  $\zeta$  and  $\theta$  by  $\varphi = \zeta'(t) = \kappa$  and  $\mu = \theta'(t)$ . Thus whenever  $\gamma$  is a unit speed curve satisfying  $\kappa = 4\theta'$ , the complex extensor  $\gamma \otimes \iota$  is an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of ratio 4. Conversely, every  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of ratio 4 in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  can be obtained in such way.  $\square$

## 7. IMPROVED $\delta(2, 2)$ -IDEAL LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS OF $CP^5$

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $M$  be an improved  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian submanifold in  $CP^5(4)$ . Then it is one of the following Lagrangian submanifolds:*

- (1) a  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian minimal submanifold;
- (2) an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of ratio 4;
- (3) a Lagrangian submanifold defined by

$$L(\mu, u_2, \dots, u_4) = \frac{1}{c} \left( \sqrt{\mu} e^{i\theta} \phi, e^{3i\theta} (\sqrt{c^2 - \mu^3 - \mu} - i\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}) \right), \quad (7.1)$$

where  $c$  is a positive real number,  $\phi : N^4 \rightarrow S^9(1) \subset \mathbf{C}^5$  is a horizontal lift of a non-totally geodesic  $\delta(2)$ -ideal Lagrangian minimal immersion in  $CP^4(4)$ , and  $\theta(\mu)$  satisfies

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\mu} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{c^2\mu^{-1} - \mu^2 - 1}}. \quad (7.2)$$

*Proof.* Under the hypothesis there is an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_5\}$  such that (4.1) holds. If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $M$  is a  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian minimal submanifold. Thus we obtain case (1). If  $\mu \neq 0$  and  $a, b = 0$ , then  $M$  is an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of ratio 4, which gives case (2).

Next, assume that  $a, \mu \neq 0$ . Then Lemma 4.5 implies  $b = 0$ . So, by Lemmas 5.1 we obtain (5.1) and (5.2). Also, in this case  $M$  is locally a warped product  $I \times_{\rho(t)} N^4$  with  $e_5 = \partial_t$  according to Lemma 5.3. From Lemma 4.1, we find

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_1, e_1) &= aJe_1 + \mu Je_5, & h(e_1, e_2) &= -aJe_2, \\ h(e_2, e_2) &= -aJe_1 + \mu Je_5, & & \\ h(e_3, e_3) &= h(e_4, e_4) = \mu Je_5, & h(e_5, e_5) &= 4\mu Je_5, \\ h(e_i, e_5) &= \mu Je_i, \quad i \in \Delta, & h(e_i, e_j) &= 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

By Lemma 5.4 we have the following ODE system:

$$\frac{d\nu}{dt} = -1 - \nu^2 - 3\mu^2, \quad \frac{d\mu}{dt} = 2\mu\nu. \tag{7.4}$$

Let  $\theta(t)$  be a function on  $M$  satisfying

$$\theta'(t) = \mu. \tag{7.5}$$

Let  $L$  denote the horizontal lift in  $S^{11}(1) \subset \mathbf{C}^6$  of the Lagrangian immersion of  $M$  in  $CP^5(4)$  via Hopf's fibration. Consider the maps:

$$\xi = \frac{e^{-3i\theta}(e_5 - (\nu + i\mu)L)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu^2 + \nu^2}}, \quad \phi = \frac{e^{-i\theta}(L + (\nu - i\mu)e_5)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu^2 + \nu^2}}. \tag{7.6}$$

Then  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \phi, \phi \rangle = 1$ . From  $\nabla_{e_j} e_5 = \nu e_j$ ,  $j \in \Delta$ , and (7.4), we find  $\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} \xi = 0$ . Moreover, it follows from Lemma 5.1 and (7.3) that  $\tilde{\nabla}_{e_5} e_5 = 4i\mu e_5 - L$ . Thus we also have  $\tilde{\nabla}_{e_5} \xi = 0$ . Hence  $\xi$  is a constant unit vector in  $\mathbf{C}^6$ . Similarly, we also have  $\tilde{\nabla}_{e_5} \phi = 0$ . So  $\phi$  is independent of  $t$ . Therefore, by combining (7.6) we find

$$L = \frac{e^{i\theta}\phi - e^{3i\theta}(\nu - i\mu)\xi}{\sqrt{1 + \mu^2 + \nu^2}}. \tag{7.7}$$

Since  $\phi$  is orthogonal to  $\xi$ ,  $i\xi$ , after choosing  $\xi = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbf{C}^6$  we obtain

$$L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \mu^2 + \nu^2}} \left( e^{i\theta}\phi, e^{3i\theta}(\nu - i\mu) \right) \tag{7.8}$$

It follows from (7.4) and (7.5) that

$$\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} = -\frac{1 + \nu^2 + 3\mu^2}{2\mu\nu}, \quad \frac{d\theta}{d\mu} = \frac{1}{2\nu}. \quad (7.9)$$

Solving the first differential equation in (7.9) gives

$$\nu = \pm \sqrt{c^2\mu^{-1} - \mu^2 - 1}, \quad c \in \mathbf{R}^+. \quad (7.10)$$

By replacing  $e_5$  by  $-e_5$  if necessary, we have  $\nu = \sqrt{c^2\mu^{-1} - \mu^2 - 1}$ . Consequently,

$$L = \frac{1}{c} \left( \sqrt{\mu} e^{i\theta} \phi, e^{3i\theta} (\sqrt{c^2 - \mu^3 - \mu} - i\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}) \right), \quad (7.11)$$

It follows from (5.1), (7.3) and the second formula in (7.6) that

$$\hat{\nabla}_{e_j} \phi = \frac{ce^{-i\theta}}{\sqrt{\mu}} e_j, \quad j \in \Delta. \quad (7.12)$$

Thus after applying (6.11) and (7.12) we derive that

$$\hat{\nabla}_{e_\beta} \hat{\nabla}_{e_\alpha} \phi = \sum_{\gamma=2}^n \left( \Gamma_{ij}^k + ih_{ij}^k \right) \phi_*(e_k) - \langle \phi_*(e_i), \phi_*(e_j) \rangle \phi, \quad i, j \in \Delta. \quad (7.13)$$

Hence  $\phi$  is a horizontal immersion in  $S^9(1)$ . Moreover, it follows from (7.13) that the second fundamental form of  $\phi$  is a scalar multiple of the original second fundamental form of  $M$  restricted to the second factor of the warped product  $I \times_\rho N$ . Consequently,  $\phi$  is a minimal horizontal immersion in  $S^9(1)$  of a non-totally geodesic  $\delta(2)$ -ideal Lagrangian minimal submanifold of  $CP^4(4)$ .

The converse is easy to verify.  $\square$

## 8. IMPROVED $\delta(2, 2)$ -IDEAL LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS OF $CH^5$

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let  $M$  be an improved  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian submanifold in  $CH^5(-4)$ . Then  $M$  is one of the following Lagrangian submanifolds:*

- (i) a  $\delta(2, 2)$ -ideal Lagrangian minimal submanifold;
- (ii) an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold of ratio 4;
- (iii) a Lagrangian submanifold defined by

$$L(\mu, u_1, \dots, u_4) = \frac{1}{c} \left( \sqrt{\mu} e^{i\theta} \phi(u_2, \dots, u_4), e^{-i\theta} (\sqrt{\mu - \mu^3 - c^2} - i\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}) \right), \quad (8.1)$$

where  $c$  is a positive number,  $\phi : N^4 \rightarrow H_1^9(-1) \subset \mathbf{C}_1^5$  is a horizontal lift of a non-totally geodesic  $\delta(2)$ -ideal minimal Lagrangian immersion in  $CH^4(-4)$ , and  $\theta(t)$  satisfies  $\frac{d\theta}{d\mu} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - \mu^2 - c^2\mu^{-1}}$ ;

(iv) a Lagrangian submanifold defined by

$$L(\mu, u_1, \dots, u_4) = \frac{1}{c} \left( e^{-i\theta}(\sqrt{\mu - \mu^3 + c^2} - i\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}), \sqrt{\mu}e^{i\theta}\phi(u_2, \dots, u_4) \right), \quad (8.2)$$

where  $c$  is a positive number,  $\phi : N^4 \rightarrow S^9(1) \subset \mathbf{C}^5$  is a horizontal lift of a non-totally geodesic  $\delta(2)$ -ideal minimal Lagrangian immersion in  $CP^4(4)$ , and  $\theta(t)$  satisfies  $\frac{d\theta}{d\mu} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - \mu^2 + c^2\mu^{-1}}$ ;

(v) a Lagrangian submanifold defined by

$$L(t, u_1, \dots, u_4) = \frac{1}{\cosh t - i \sinh t} \left( 2t + w + i \left( \cosh 2t - \langle \psi, \psi \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \right), \right. \\ \left. \psi, 2t + w + i \left( \cosh 2t - \langle \psi, \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \right) \right), \quad (8.3)$$

where  $\psi(u_1, \dots, u_4)$  is a non-totally geodesic  $\delta(2)$ -ideal Lagrangian minimal immersion in  $\mathbf{C}^4$  and up to a constant  $w(u_1, \dots, u_4)$  is the unique solution of the PDE system:  $w_{u_j} = 2 \langle \psi_{u_j}, i\psi \rangle$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ;

(vi) a Lagrangian submanifold defined by

$$L(t, u_1, \dots, u_4) = \frac{1}{\cosh t - i \sinh t} \left( 2t + w + i \left( \cosh 2t - \langle \psi, \psi \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \right), \right. \\ \left. \psi_1, \psi_2, 2t + w + i \left( \cosh 2t - \langle \psi, \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \right) \right), \quad (8.4)$$

where  $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$  is the direct product immersion of two non-totally geodesic Lagrangian minimal immersions  $\psi_\alpha : N_\alpha^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ , and up to a constant  $w(u_1, \dots, u_4)$  is the unique solution of the PDE system:  $w_{u_j} = 2 \langle \psi_{u_j}, i\psi \rangle$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

*Proof.* Under the hypothesis there exists an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_5\}$  such that (4.1) holds.

*Case (1)*  $\mu = 0$ . In this case, we obtain case (i) of the theorem.

*Case (2)*:  $\mu \neq 0$  and  $a, b = 0$ . In this case  $M$  is an  $H$ -umbilical Lagrangian submanifold with ratio 4, which gives case (ii).

*Case (3)*:  $\mu \neq 0$  and at least one of  $a, b$  is nonzero. Without loss of generality, we may assume  $a \neq 0$  and  $\mu > 0$ . We divide this into two cases.

*Case (3.a):*  $a, \mu \neq 0$  and  $b = 0$ . By Lemmas 5.1 we obtain (5.1) and (5.2). Also,  $M$  is locally a warped product  $I \times_{\rho(t)} N^4$  with  $e_5 = \partial_t$  according to Lemma 5.3. From Lemma 4.1 we find

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_1, e_1) &= aJe_1 + \mu Je_5, & h(e_1, e_2) &= -aJe_2, \\ h(e_2, e_2) &= -aJe_1 + \mu Je_5, \\ h(e_3, e_3) &= h(e_4, e_4) = \mu Je_5, & h(e_5, e_5) &= 4\mu Je_5, \\ h(e_i, e_5) &= \mu Je_i, \quad i \in \Delta, & h(e_i, e_j) &= 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

Let  $L$  be a horizontal immersion of  $M$  in  $H_1^{11}(-1) \subset \mathbf{C}_1^6$  of the Lagrangian immersion of  $M$  in  $CH^5(-4)$  via Hopf's fibration and  $\theta(t)$  a function satisfying

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \mu. \tag{8.6}$$

From Lemma 5.4 we obtain the following ODE system:

$$\frac{d\nu}{dt} = 1 - 3\mu^2 - \nu^2, \quad \frac{d\mu}{dt} = 2\mu\nu. \tag{8.7}$$

It follows from (8.6) and (8.7) that

$$\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} = \frac{1 - 3\mu^2 - \nu^2}{2\mu\nu}, \quad \frac{d\theta}{d\mu} = \frac{1}{2\nu}. \tag{8.8}$$

Solving the first differential equation in (8.8) gives  $\nu = \pm\sqrt{1 - \mu^2 - k\mu^{-1}}$  for some real number  $k$ . By replacing  $e_5$  by  $-e_5$  if necessary, we find

$$\nu = \sqrt{1 - \mu^2 - k\mu^{-1}}, \quad \frac{d\theta}{d\mu} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - \mu^2 - k\mu^{-1}}}. \tag{8.9}$$

It follows from (8.7) that  $\frac{d}{dt}(1 - \mu^2 - \nu^2) = -2\nu(1 - \mu^2 - \nu^2)$ . Since this equation for  $y(t) = 1 - \mu^2 - \nu^2 = k\mu^{-1}$  has a unique solution for each given initial condition, each solution either vanishes identically or is nowhere zero.

*Case (3.a.1):*  $\mu^2 + \nu^2 < 1$ . In this case, (8.9) implies  $k > 0$ . Thus we may put  $k = c^2$ ,  $c > 0$ . Consider the maps:

$$\eta = \frac{e^{-3i\theta}(e_5 - (\nu + i\mu)L)}{\sqrt{1 - \mu^2 - \nu^2}}, \quad \phi = \frac{e^{-i\theta}((\nu - i\mu)e_5 - L)}{\sqrt{1 - \mu^2 - \nu^2}}. \tag{8.10}$$

Then  $\langle \eta, \eta \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle \phi, \phi \rangle = -1$ . From  $\nabla_{e_j} e_5 = \nu e_j$ ,  $j \in \Delta$ , and (8.5), we obtain  $\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} \xi = 0$ , where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $\mathbf{C}_1^6$ . Lemma 5.1 and (8.5) give  $\tilde{\nabla}_{e_5} e_5 = 4i\mu e_5 + L$ . Thus we find  $\tilde{\nabla}_{e_5} \xi = 0$ . So  $\eta$  is a constant

unit vector. Also, we find  $\tilde{\nabla}_{e_5}\phi = 0$ . Hence  $\phi$  is independent of  $t$ . From (8.10) we get

$$L = -\frac{e^{i\theta}\phi + e^{-i\theta}(\nu - i\mu)\eta}{\sqrt{1 - \mu^2 - \nu^2}}. \quad (8.11)$$

Since  $\phi$  is orthogonal to  $\eta, i\eta$  and  $\eta$  is a constant unit space-like vector, we conclude from (8.9) and (8.11) that  $L$  is congruent to (8.1). Next, by applying the same method of the proof of Theorem 7.1, we conclude that  $\phi$  is a horizontal immersion in  $H_1^9(-1)$  whose second fundamental form is a scalar multiple of the original second fundamental form restricted to the second factor of  $I \times_\rho N$ . Consequently,  $\phi$  is a minimal horizontal immersion in  $H_1^9(-1)$  of a non-totally geodesic  $\delta(2)$ -ideal Lagrangian minimal submanifold of  $CH^4(-4)$ . This gives case (iii).

*Case (3.a.2):*  $\mu^2 + \nu^2 > 1$ . In this case (8.8) implies  $k < 0$ . Thus we may put  $k = -c^2$ ,  $c > 0$ . Now, we consider the maps:

$$\eta = \frac{e^{-3i\theta}(e_5 - (\nu + i\mu)L)}{\sqrt{\mu^2 + \nu^2 - 1}}, \quad \phi = \frac{e^{-i\theta}((\nu - i\mu)e_5 - L)}{\sqrt{\mu^2 + \nu^2 - 1}} \quad (8.12)$$

instead. Then  $\langle \phi, \phi \rangle = -\langle \eta, \eta \rangle = 1$ . By applying similar arguments as case (3.a.1), we know that  $\eta$  is a constant time-like vector and  $\phi$  is independent of  $t$  and orthogonal to  $\eta, i\eta$ . Moreover, we may prove that  $\phi$  is a minimal Legendre immersion in  $S^9(1)$ . Therefore we have case (iv) after choosing  $\eta = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

*Case (3.a.3):*  $\mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$ . In this case system (8.7) gives  $\frac{d\nu}{dt} = 2(\nu^2 - 1)$  and  $\mu = \pm\sqrt{1 - \nu^2}$ . Solving these and applying a suitable translations in  $t$ , we find

$$\mu = \operatorname{sech} 2t, \quad \nu = -\tanh 2t. \quad (8.13)$$

It follows from  $\nabla_{e_5}e_5 = 0$ , (8.5) and (8.13) that the horizontal lift  $L$  of the Lagrangian immersion of  $M$  in  $CH^5(-4) \subset \mathbf{C}_1^6$  satisfies

$$L_{tt} - 4i(\operatorname{sech} 2t)L_t - L = 0. \quad (8.14)$$

Solving this second order differential equation gives

$$L = \frac{\phi(u_1, \dots, u_4) + B(u_1, \dots, u_4)(2t + i \cosh 2t)}{\cosh t - i \sinh t}, \quad (8.15)$$

where  $\phi(u_1, \dots, u_4)$  and  $B(u_1, \dots, u_4)$  are  $\mathbf{C}_1^6$ -valued functions.

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 5.1, (8.5) and (8.13) that

$$L_{tu_j} = (i \operatorname{sech} 2t - \tanh 2t)L_{u_j}, \quad j \in \Delta. \quad (8.16)$$

Substituting (8.15) into (8.16) shows that  $B$  is a constant vector  $\zeta$ . Thus

$$L(t, u_1, \dots, u_4) = \frac{\phi(u_1, \dots, u_4)}{\cosh t - i \sinh t} + \frac{(2t + i \cosh 2t)}{\cosh t - i \sinh t} \zeta, \quad (8.17)$$

Since  $\langle L, L \rangle = -1$ , (8.17) implies

$$-\cosh 2t = \langle \phi, \phi \rangle + \langle \phi, (4t + 2i \cosh 2t)\zeta \rangle + (4t^2 + \cosh^2(2t)) \langle \zeta, \zeta \rangle. \quad (8.18)$$

Since  $\phi_t = 0$ , by differentiating (8.18) with respect  $t$  we find

$$-\sinh 2t = 2t \langle \phi, \zeta \rangle + 2 \sinh 2t \langle \phi, i\zeta \rangle + (4t + \sinh 4t) \langle \zeta, \zeta \rangle. \quad (8.19)$$

We find from (8.19) at  $t = 0$  that  $\langle \phi, \zeta \rangle = 0$ . Thus (8.19) gives

$$0 = \sinh 2t(1 + \langle \phi, i\zeta \rangle) + (4t + \sinh 4t) \langle \zeta, \zeta \rangle. \quad (8.20)$$

Differentiating (8.20) gives  $\langle \phi, i\zeta \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} - 2 \langle \zeta, \zeta \rangle$ . Thus (8.17) yields  $\langle \phi, i\zeta \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\langle \zeta, \zeta \rangle = 0$ . Now, we find from (8.18) that  $\langle \phi, \phi \rangle = 0$ . Consequently we have

$$\langle \phi, \phi \rangle = \langle \zeta, \zeta \rangle = \langle \phi, \zeta \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \phi, i\zeta \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (8.21)$$

Since  $\zeta$  is a constant light-like vector, we may put

$$\zeta = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 1), \quad \phi = (a_1 + ib_1, \dots, a_6 + ib_6). \quad (8.22)$$

It follows from (8.21) and (8.22) that  $a_6 = a_1$  and  $b_6 = b_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore

$$\phi = (a_1 + ib_1, a_2 + ib_2, \dots, a_1 + i(b_1 + \frac{1}{2})). \quad (8.23)$$

Now, by using  $\langle \phi, \phi \rangle = 0$  and (8.23), we find  $\psi = (a_2 + ib_2, \dots, a_5 + ib_5)$  and  $b_1 = -\frac{1}{4} - \langle \psi, \psi \rangle$ . Combining these with (8.23) yields

$$\phi = \left( w - i \langle \psi, \psi \rangle - \frac{i}{4}, \psi, w - i \langle \psi, \psi \rangle + \frac{i}{4} \right) \quad (8.24)$$

with  $w = a_1$ . It follows from (8.22) and (8.24) that  $\langle \phi_{u_j}, \zeta \rangle = \langle \phi_{u_j}, i\zeta \rangle = 0$ . Thus, by applying  $\langle L_{u_j}, iL \rangle = 0$ ,  $j \in \Delta$ , we find from (8.17) that  $\langle \phi_{u_j}, i\phi \rangle = 0$ .

On the other hand, (8.24) implies that

$$\langle \phi_{u_j}, i\phi \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}w_{u_j} + \langle \psi_{u_j}, i\psi \rangle \quad (8.25)$$

with  $w_{u_j} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial u_j}$ . Therefore  $w$  satisfies the PDE system:  $w_{u_j} = 2 \langle \psi_{u_j}, i\psi \rangle$ .

Now, we derive from (8.17), (8.22) and (8.23) that

$$L = \frac{1}{\cosh t - i \sinh t} \left( 2t + w + i \left( \cosh 2t - \langle \psi, \psi \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \right), \right. \\ \left. \psi, 2t + w + i \left( \cosh 2t - \langle \psi, \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \right) \right). \quad (8.26)$$

It follows from (8.26) that

$$L_{u_j} = \frac{1}{\cosh t - i \sinh t} \left( w_{u_j} - i \langle \psi, \psi \rangle_{u_j}, \psi_{u_j}, w_{u_j} - i \langle \psi, \psi \rangle_{u_j} \right). \quad (8.27)$$

Thus we find  $\langle \psi_{u_j}, \psi_{u_k} \rangle = \cosh 2t \langle L_{u_j}, L_{u_k} \rangle$  which implies that  $\psi$  is an immersion in  $\mathbf{C}^4$ . Also, we find from (8.27) and  $\langle L_{u_j}, iL_{u_k} \rangle = 0$  that  $\langle \psi_{u_j}, i\psi_{u_k} \rangle = 0$ . Thus  $\psi$  is a Lagrangian immersion. Now, by applying an argument similar to the last part of the proof of [11, Theorem 6.1], we conclude that

$$\psi_{u_j u_k} = \sum_{i=1}^4 (\Gamma_{jk}^i + ih_{jk}^i) \phi_{u_i}, \quad j, k \in \Delta.$$

Therefore, according to (8.5),  $\psi$  is a  $\delta(2)$ -ideal minimal Lagrangian immersion in  $\mathbf{C}^4$ . Consequently, we obtain case (v) of the theorem.

*Case (3.b):*  $a, b, \mu \neq 0$ . We obtain case (vi) of the theorem by applying the same argument as case (3.a.3).  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The authors thank the referee and Dr. Luc Vrancken for pointing out an error in the original version of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. Castro and B.-Y. Chen, Lagrangian surfaces in complex Euclidean plane via spherical and hyperbolic curves, *Tohoku Math. J.* **58** (2006), 565–579.
- [2] B.-Y. Chen, Complex extensors and Lagrangian submanifolds in complex Euclidean spaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* **49** (1997), 277–297.
- [3] B.-Y. Chen, Interaction of Legendre curves and Lagrangian submanifolds, *Israel J. Math.* **99** (1997), 69–108.
- [4] B.-Y. Chen, Representation of flat Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds in complex Euclidean spaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* **51** (1999), 13–20.
- [5] B.-Y. Chen, Some new obstruction to minimal and Lagrangian isometric immersions, *Japan. J. Math.* **26** (2000), 105–127.
- [6] B.-Y. Chen, Ideal Lagrangian immersions in complex space forms, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **128** (2000), 511–533.
- [7] B.-Y. Chen, Pseudo-Riemannian geometry,  $\delta$ -invariants and Applications, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2011.



- [8] B.-Y. Chen and F. Dillen, Optimal general inequalities for Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **379** (2011), 229–239.
- [9] B.-Y. Chen, F. Dillen, J. Van der Veken and L. Vrancken, Curvature inequalities for Lagrangian submanifolds: the final solution, arXiv:1307.1497.
- [10] B.-Y. Chen, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, An exotic totally real minimal immersion of  $S^3$  in  $CP^3$  and its characterization, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sec. A Math.* **126** (1996), 153–165.
- [11] B.-Y. Chen, F. Dillen and L. Vrancken, Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms attaining equality in a basic inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **386** (2012), 139–152.
- [12] B.-Y. Chen and K. Ogiue, On totally real submanifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **193** (1974), 257–266.
- [13] B.-Y. Chen and A. Prieto-Martín, Classification of Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms satisfying a basic equality involving  $\delta(2, 2)$ , *Differential Geom. Appl.* **30** (2012), 107–123.
- [14] F. Dillen, C. Scharlach, K. Schoels and L. Vrancken, Special Lagrangian 4-folds with  $SO(2) \times S_3$ -symmetry in complex space forms, preprint.
- [15] S. Hiepko, Eine innere Kennzeichnung der verzerrten Produkte, *Math. Ann.* **241** (1979), 209–215.
- [16] H. Reckziegel, Horizontal lifts of isometric immersions into the bundle space of a pseudo-Riemannian submersion, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1156** (1985), 264–279.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824–1027, U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* `bychen@math.msu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF GEOMETRY AND TOPOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF SEVILLE, APDO. DE CORREOS 1160, 41080 - SEVILLA, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `aliciaprieto@us.es`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P. R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* `wangxianfeng@nankai.edu.cn`