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#### Abstract

It is shown that if the processes $B$ and $f(B)$ are both Brownian motions (without a random time change) then $f$ must be an affine function. As a by-product of the proof, it is shown that the only functions which are solutions to both the Laplace equation and the eikonal equation are affine.


## 1. Statement of results

Suppose that the process $B$ is a Brownian motion and that the function $f$ is affine. Then the process $f(B)$ is again a Brownian motion. This short note proves the converse: if both $B$ and $f(B)$ are Brownian motions, then $f$ must be affine.

To be precise, we will use the following definition of Brownian motion:
Definition 1. The continuous process $B=\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is called a $n$-dimensional Brownian motion in a filtration $\mathcal{F}=\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ iff there exists a $n$-dimensional vector $b$ and $n \times n$ non-negative definite matrix $A$ such that for all $0 \leq s \leq t$ the conditional distribution of the increment $B_{t}-B_{s}$ given $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ is normal with mean $(t-s) b$ and covariance matrix $(t-s) A$.

A Brownian motion is standard iff $B_{0}=0, b=0$ and $A$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.
The main result of this note is this theorem:
Theorem 2. Suppose $B$ is an n-dimensional Brownian motion in the filtration $\mathcal{F}$ with non-singular diffusion matrix A. Suppose the process $f(B)=\left(f\left(B_{t}\right)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is an $m$-dimensional Brownian motion in the same filtration $\mathcal{F}$ for a measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Then

$$
f(x)=P x+q
$$

for some $m \times n$ matrix $P$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$.
There are a number of similar results already in the literature. For instance, Dudley [2] showed that if $B$ is a one-dimensional standard Brownian and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that law of the process $f(B)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of $B$, then necessarily $f(x)=x$ or $f(x)=-x$. This implies our Theorem 2 in the case $n=1$.

When $B$ is an $n$-dimensional standard Brownian motion, Bernard, Campbell \& Davie [1] studied functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that $f(B)$ is a standard Brownian motion up to a random time change. For instance, it is easy to see by the Dambis-Dubins-Schwarz theorem (see, for instance, Section 3.4.B of Karatzas \& Shreve's book [4) that in the case $m=1$, it is sufficient that $f$ is harmonic with $f(0)=0$. In particular, we do not allow time change in our Theorem 2, and hence more structure is imposed on the function $f$.

Letac \& Pradines proved that if $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is such that $f(x+\sqrt{t} Z)$ has the normal distribution for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $t \geq 0$, where $Z$ is an $n$-dimensional standard normal random vector, then $f$ is necessarily equal to an affine function almost everywhere. At first look, it would seem that Letac \& Pradines's result would imply our Theorem 2 since if $f(B)$ is a Brownian motion then $f\left(B_{t}\right)$ is normally distributed for all $t \geq 0$. However, the implication is not entirely obvious, thanks to the following (perhaps surprising) result:

Theorem 3. Let $B$ be an n-dimensional standard Brownian motion with $n \geq 2$. There exists a continuous non-linear function $g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that the random vectors $g\left(B_{t}\right)$ and $B_{t}$ have the same law for each $t \geq 0$.

[^0]Indeed, the reason that Letac \& Pradines's result does not contradict Theorem3above is that they impose normality for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, whereas the mean is fixed at $x=B_{0}=0$ in Theorem 3

The idea of the proof of Theorem 2 is simply an application of the following form of Jensen's inequality: if $G$ is strictly convex and $\int G(x) d \mu=G\left(\int x d \mu\right)$ for a probability measure $\mu$, then $\mu$ is a point mass. A similar argument yields a related theorem. We will use the notation $\|\cdot\|$ for the Euclidean norm and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ the Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Theorem 4. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open, connected set, and suppose $u: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a classical solution to both the Laplace equation

$$
\Delta u=0
$$

and the eikonal equation

$$
\|\nabla u\|=1
$$

Then $u(x)=\langle p, x\rangle+q$ for some constants $p \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\|p\|=1$.
Theorem 4 is contained in Lemma 4.1 of the recent paper of Garnica, Palmas \& Ruiz-Hernandez [3]. Their proof appeals to methods of differential geometry, while the proof given below only uses Jensen's inequality.

Remark 5. There is little loss in assuming that $u$ is a classical solution to the Laplace equation. Indeed, if $u$ is only assumed to be locally integrable and a solution to the Laplace equation in the sense of distributions, then $u$ is automatically infinitely differentiable, and in particular, a classical solution to the Laplace equation. See Section 9.3 of Lieb \& Loss's textbook [6].

## 2. Proofs

In this section, we prove the results presented above.
Proof of Theorem 2. Since every component of a vector-valued Brownian motion is a scalar Brownian motion, it is sufficient to consider the case $m=1$.

First we show that $f$ is smooth. Now since the conditional distribution of $f\left(B_{t}\right)$ given $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ is normal, we can conclude that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|f\left(B_{t}\right)\right| \mid \mathcal{F}_{0}\right]<\infty
$$

a.s. for all $t \geq 0$. In particular, we have the growth bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \mapsto f(x) e^{-\epsilon\|x\|^{2}} \text { is Lebesgue integrable on } \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\epsilon>0$. Now since $f(B)$ is a Brownian motion, there is a constant $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(B_{t}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=(t-s) \mu+f\left(B_{s}\right)
$$

and hence we have the representation

$$
f(x)=-\tau \mu+\int f(y) \phi(\tau, x, y) d y
$$

where

$$
\phi(\tau, x, y)=(2 \pi \tau)^{-n / 2} \operatorname{det}(A)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \tau}\left\langle y-b \tau-x, A^{-1}(y-b \tau-x)\right\rangle\right)
$$

is the Brownian transition density. But by the boundedness property $(*)$ and the smoothness of $x \mapsto \phi(t, x, y)$ combined with the dominated convergence theorem, the function $f$ is differentiable. Furthermore, its gradient $\nabla f$ has the representation

$$
\nabla f(x)=\int \nabla f(y) \phi(\tau, x, y) d y
$$

and also satisfies the boundedness property $(*)$. By iterating this argument, we see that $f$ is infinitely differentiable.

Now we show that $f$ must satisfy an eikonal equation. Note that Itô's formula says

$$
d f\left(B_{t}\right)=\left\langle\nabla f\left(B_{t}\right), d B_{t}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2} \Delta f\left(B_{t}\right) d t
$$

Since $f(B)$ is a Brownian motion, the quadratic variation is

$$
[f(B)]_{t}=\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla f\left(B_{s}\right)\right\|^{2} d s=\sigma^{2} t
$$

for some constant $\sigma \geq 0$. Hence $\nabla f$ is a solution of the eikonal equation

$$
\|\nabla f\|=\sigma
$$

almost everywhere. But since $f$ is smooth, it solves the eikonal equation everywhere. Now note that

$$
\sigma^{2}=\|\nabla f(x)\|^{2}=\int\|\nabla f(y)\|^{2} \phi(\tau, x, y) d y
$$

Since the squared Euclidean norm is strictly convex, Jensen's inequality says that for every $x$ there exists a vector $p_{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, possibly depending on $x$, such that $\nabla f(y)=p_{x}$ a.e $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Since $\nabla f$ is continuous, we must have $\nabla f(y)=p$ for all $y$ and for some constant vector $p$. Hence $f(y)=\langle p, y\rangle+q$ as claimed.

We now proceed to the proof of the Theorem 4 It follows the same pattern, but it differs in a few details which we spell out for completeness.

Proof of Theorem 4. We will show that there is a unit vector $p$ such that $\nabla u(x)=p$ everywhere in $D$. Below we will use the notation $B=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\|x\|<1\right\}$ to denote the open unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and hence $x+r B$ denotes the ball of radius $r \geq 0$ centred at the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Since $u$ is harmonic, it is well known (again, see Section 9.3 of [6]) that $u$ has the mean-value property: for every constant $r>0$ such that $x+r B \subseteq D$ we have

$$
u(x)=\frac{1}{r^{n} V} \int_{r B} u(x+y) d y
$$

where

$$
V=\frac{\pi^{n / 2}}{\Gamma(n / 2)}
$$

denotes the Lebesgue measure of the unit ball $B$. Since $u$ is continuously differentiable in $D$, the gradient $\nabla u$ is bounded on compact sets, so the dominated convergence theorem allows us to differentiate both sides of the above equation, yielding

$$
\nabla u(x)=\frac{1}{r^{n} V} \int_{r B} \nabla u(x+y) d y
$$

Now for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, note that

$$
1=\|\nabla u(x)\|^{2}=\frac{1}{r^{n} V} \int_{r B}\|\nabla u(x+y)\|^{2} d y .
$$

Again, since the squared Euclidean norm is strictly convex, Jensen's inequality says that there is a vector $p_{x}$, possibly depending on $x$, such that $\nabla u(z)=p_{x}$ a.e $z \in x+r B$ and $\left\|p_{x}\right\|=1$. Since $\nabla u$ is continuous, we must have $\nabla u(z)=p_{x}$ for all $z$ such that $\|x-z\| \leq r$.

Now fix two points $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ in $D$. Since $D$ is open and connected, there exists a path $C \subseteq D$ connecting them. Hence there exists a finite number of points $x=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}=x^{\prime} \in D$ and radii $r_{1}, \ldots r_{N}>0$ such that $\left\{x_{i}+r_{i} B\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is a cover of the compact set $C \subseteq D$. In particular, the $p_{x}=p_{x^{\prime}}$ and hence $\nabla u$ is constant on $D$ as claimed.

Finally, we construct an example of the function $g$ claimed to exist in Theorem 3,
Proof of Theorem 3. Let $S^{n-1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\|x\|=1\right\}$ be the unit ( $n-1$ )-dimensional sphere and let $\lambda$ be the uniform probability measure on $S^{n-1}$. Let $h: S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ be a continuous $\lambda$-preserving transformation. Finally, let $g(0)=0$ and

$$
g(x)=\|x\| h\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)
$$

when $x \neq 0$.

Fix a bounded and measurable function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \geq 0$. Using the assumption that the transformation $h$ preserves the measure $\lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi \circ g\left(B_{t}\right)\right] & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi\left[\sqrt{t}\|x\| h\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)\right] \frac{e^{-\|x\|^{2} / 2}}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}} d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi[\sqrt{t} r h(u)] \frac{r^{n-1} e^{-r^{2} / 2}}{2^{n / 2-1} \Gamma(n / 2)} \lambda(d u) d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi(\sqrt{t} r u) \frac{r^{n-1} e^{-r^{2} / 2}}{2^{n / 2-1} \Gamma(n / 2)} \lambda(d u) d r \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(\sqrt{t} x) \frac{e^{-\|x\|^{2} / 2}}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}} d x \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi\left(B_{t}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the polar coordinates $x=r u$ with $r \geq 0$ and $u \in S^{n-1}$. Hence $g\left(B_{t}\right)$ and $B_{t}$ have the same law for each $t \geq 0$.

To show that there exist at least one function $h$ which is non-linear, it is sufficient to consider the case $n=2$ since we may restricting attention to the first two coordinates of $B$. Now let $h: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ be defined by $h(\cos (\theta), \sin (\theta))=(\cos (2 \theta), \sin (2 \theta))$. It is well-known that this transformation $h$ is measure preserving. Explicitly, the function $g$ in this case is

$$
g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}}{\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}}, \frac{2 x_{1} x_{2}}{\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}}\right)
$$

when $x \neq 0$.
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