# Poweroids revisited – an old symbolic approach

 $J.S.Dowker^1$ 

Theory Group, School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Manchester, Manchester, England

Jeffery's 1861 computations using finite difference calculus are resurrected and extended from forward differences to general delta operators and used to neatly prove theorems in the Rota–Mullins theory of polynomials of binomial type (Steffensen's poweroids) allowing, for example, compact treatments of umbral composition, the binomial property and the connection constants. It is shown that it forms a legitimate alternative to the usual umbral device and also anticipates a number of results obtained more recently.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>dowker@man.ac.uk; dowkeruk@yahoo.co.uk

# 1. Introduction

As is well recorded, finite difference calculus can be developed symbolically, and was done so, with varying intensity, from its beginnings in the 17th century. The concepts of operator and operand became systematically more separated in the early 19th, particularly in England with the work of Herschel, Murphy and Boole. The difference operator most often used was the forwards one, although the advantage of moving the various expansions to a central point, and thereby effectively introducing central differences, goes back to the time of Newton.

Together with the concept of difference operator goes that of the *factorial* which plays the role that a power does in differential calculus. In this paper I wish to draw attention to a forgotten, purely symbolic, approach involving these particular notions. This is not in response to any practical, or even theoretical, necessity, but simply to present what is, I think, a different slant on these ideas which might amuse and could even have some technical virtue. Even if not, I can at least advertise some ignored, and rather elegant, symbolic work <sup>2</sup> that appeared at the same time as umbral methods in the mid 19th century. I also make some other historical points.

I will use a form for the difference operator that encompasses the usual ones and is due, in this connection, to Steffensen who first, [1], introduced a cut down version in order to defend his definitions of the *factorial* function in [2]. He then extended it in his notion of 'poweroids', or generalised powers (or factorials), [3]. This theory becomes partly subsumed into the theory of finite operators exploited by Rota and coworkers in their polynomial underpinning of the umbral technique which has been extended and applied in many, sometimes advanced ways. By contrast, the methods used here are simple minded and explicit. For the most part my notation will be that employed in the traditional works on finite differences despite the attractions of the more upmarket Dirac bra(c)ket, used *e.g.* by Roman, [4,5].

My aim is to see to what extent the early symbolic techniques can be accommodated to general difference operators and how they compare with the finite operator and modern umbral approaches. These have been used to analyse aspects of discretised quantum theory and one can find here useful summaries. See, for example, the review by Levi *et al*, [6].

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  I distinguish between symbolic methods and symbolic notation.

## 2. The general difference operator. Poweroids

In this review section I start out with the special and then generalise.

Steffensen, [1], defines the operator

$$\theta = \frac{E^{\alpha} \left( E^{\beta} - 1 \right)}{\beta} \tag{1}$$

*E* being, as usual, the unit translation operator,  $E = e^{D}$ . *D* is the derivative, represented by d/dx.  $\theta$  is the most general divided difference of the first order.

Writing, temporarily,  $\theta(\alpha, \beta)$  for  $\theta$ , the four standard differences are given by the special values,

$$\Delta = \theta(0, 1), \qquad \dots \quad \text{forwards}$$

$$\nabla = \theta(0, -1), \qquad \dots \quad \text{backwards}$$

$$\delta = \theta(-1/2, 1), \qquad \dots \quad \text{central}$$

$$D = \theta(0, 0), \qquad \dots \quad \text{confluent}.$$
(2)

I have adopted Aitken's terminology of *confluent* for the limiting case of the ordinary differential.

The basic fact about  $\theta$  is its action on a particular generalised 'factorial' which is defined by, (I here deviate from Steffensen's notation),

$$x^{\{n\}} \equiv x(x - n\alpha - \beta)(x - n\alpha - 2\beta)\dots(x - n\alpha - (n - 1)\beta), \qquad (3)$$

with  $x^{\{0\}} = 1$  and  $x^{\{1\}} = x$ .

Direct calculation shows the expected, and fundamental, behaviour,

$$\theta x^{\{n\}} = n x^{\{n-1\}} \,. \tag{4}$$

The four cases listed in (2), give  $x^{\{n\}} = x^{(n)}$ ,  $x^{(-n)}$ ,  $x^{[n]}$  and  $x^n$  in turn in Steffensen's notation (regarding which consult Aitken, [7]).

In [3] Steffensen generalised this whole structure and replaced the specific operator, (1), by a more general one,

$$\theta = \phi(D) \,, \tag{5}$$

where, by definition, the function  $\phi(D) \to D$  as  $D \to 0$  and was taken as a formal power series,  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} k_{\nu} D^{\nu}$ .

It is therefore clear that  $\theta^m 0^n$  is zero if m > n and so  $x^{\{n\}}$ , now defined (uniquely if one adds  $x^{\{0\}} = 1$  and  $0^{\{n\}} = \delta_n^0$ ) by (4) and (5), are polynomials of degree n which always have a factor of x. They are termed 'poweroids' by Steffensen, 'basic polynomials' by Sheffer and by Rota and 'associated polynomials' by Roman and Rota. They are also often referred to as 'Sheffer sequences' (of a certain type). Further terminology has  $\theta$  as a 'delta operator', after Hildebrand. I will use any, or all, of these terms.

One drawback of the notation  $x^{\{n\}}$  is that it does not indicate the associated operator, which is sometimes useful. Hence the alternative,  $b_n^{\theta}(x)$ .

# 3. General difference theorems. Duality.

As I have mentioned, there exists a considerable body of early work at the purely symbolic level concerned with the standard differences, (2) (and mostly then with the forwards quantities). In the present paper I give a treatment, using the operator  $\theta$ , of selected aspects of the corresponding calculus, and base my approach on two papers by H.M.Jeffery <sup>3</sup>, [8,9] in 1861 and 1862.

As in an earlier work, [10], It is convenient to begin with transcribing some basic theorems assembled by Jeffery, [8],<sup>4</sup> which are concerned with the transformation of symbolic expressions. I firstly just state these generalised theorems,

$$A: \quad f(\theta) \ 0^{n} = n \frac{f(\theta)}{D} \ 0^{n-1} = \dots = n! \frac{f(\theta)}{D^{n}} 0^{0}$$
  

$$B: \quad f(\theta) \ 0^{n} = \frac{df(\theta)}{dD} \ 0^{n-1} = \dots = \frac{d^{n} f(\theta)}{dD^{n}} 0^{0}$$
  

$$C: \quad f(D) \ 0^{\{n\}} = n \frac{f(D)}{\theta} \ 0^{\{n-1\}} = \dots = n! \frac{f(D)}{\theta^{n}} 0^{\{0\}}$$
  

$$D: \quad f(D) \ 0^{\{n\}} = \frac{df(D)}{d\theta} 0^{\{n-1\}} = \dots = \frac{d^{n} f(D)}{d\theta^{n}} 0^{\{0\}},$$
(6)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Henry Martyn Jeffery (1826-1891), of west country origins, graduated sixth wrangler in 1849 and, after a spell as lecturer at the Civil Engineering College in Putney, was appointed in 1852 as second master at Pate's Grammar School in Cheltenham, an old foundation linked to his Cambridge college, St. Catherine's. He remained there until retirement in 1882, becoming headmaster in 1868 and producing a large number of papers, mostly on algebraic geometry, all of which have disappeared from view, apart from the odd reference. The analytical works, however, are of more than a little interest. His work was well regarded at the time and he was elected FRS in 1880. Along with Blissard, Horner, Kirkman and others, he might be placed in that peculiarly English set of 'gentleman mathematicians', many clergymen, whose daily bread was earned elsewise. The pages of The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics and The Messenger of Mathematics are replete with their contributions, the Cambridge contingent particularly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The main objectives of Jeffery's first paper are combinatorial, but he uses and developes results of general operational applicability.

which Jeffery, [8], gives for the particular case,  $\theta = \Delta$ .

A and C express the simple fact that the symbols  $\theta$  and D equally commute when acting upon x at x = 0 as they do when x is current.

Theorem B can be more 'universally' expressed as  $f(E) 0^n = E f'(E) 0^{n-1}$  and is proved in *e.g.* Boole, [11] p.28. Many of these relations go back at least as far as Herschel, [12].

To derive the more significant D, I start from the very basic action on factorials, (4), which implies,

$$F(\theta) 0^{\{r\}} = F(D) 0^r.$$
(7)

I can then define a new function f by the functional relation,

$$F(\theta) = F(\phi(D)) \equiv f(D) = f(\phi^{-1}(\theta)),$$

and just replace  $F(\theta)$  by f(D) on the left-hand side of (7) while, on the right-hand side, replace  $\theta$  by D in f, regarded as a function of  $\theta$ . So, if I define the operator  $\eta$ , by

$$\eta = \phi^{-1}(D), \qquad (8)$$

I obtain the elegant result,

$$f(D) 0^{\{r\}} = f(\eta) 0^r, \qquad (9)$$

which is the dual of (7). This equation is due to Jeffery, [8], derived slightly differently.<sup>5</sup>

The proof of Theorem D now follows more or less directly. Applying Theorem B, one finds,

$$f(D) 0^{\{r\}} = f(\eta) 0^{r} = f'(\eta) \frac{d}{dD} \phi^{-1}(D) 0^{r-1}$$
  

$$= f'(\eta) \Big[ A_{0} + A_{1}D + A_{2}D^{2} + \dots \Big] 0^{r-1}$$
  

$$= f'(\eta) \Big[ A_{0}0^{r-1} + (r-1)A_{1}0^{r-2} + \dots \Big]$$
  

$$= f'(D) \Big[ A_{0}0^{\{r-1\}} + (r-1)A_{1}0^{\{r-2\}} + \dots \Big]$$
  

$$= f'(D) \frac{d}{d\theta} \phi^{-1}(\theta) 0^{\{r-1\}} = \frac{df(D)}{d\theta} 0^{\{r-1\}},$$
(10)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> While Jeffery uses forward differences, his analysis is valid generally. For him,  $\eta = \zeta = \log(1 + D)$ .

using (9) again and  $\phi^{-1}(\theta) = D$ . This is Theorem D.

It is possible to pass immediately from the first line to the last just on the basis of (9) which implies the duality replacements  $\eta \leftrightarrow D$ ,  $D \leftrightarrow \theta$  and  $0^r \leftrightarrow 0^{\{r\}}$ . The expansions, which aren't needed explicitly anyway, serve merely to reinforce the validity of this formal transformation by displaying its meaning.

# 4. Expansions. Jeffery's equation

The fundamental Maclaurin–like expansion of a function in poweroids is often required,  $^{6}$  *i.e.* 

$$f(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} x^{\{\nu\}} \, \frac{\theta^{\nu} f(0)}{\nu!} \,, \tag{11}$$

e.g. Steffensen, [3] Equ.(7), and can be elevated to a Theorem.

An important example is the power,

$$x^{n} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} x^{\{\nu\}} \, \frac{\theta^{\nu} 0^{n}}{\nu!} \,, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \,, \tag{12}$$

which introduces the (generalised) 'differences of nothing',  $\theta^{\nu}0^{n}$ . These could be regarded as fundamental data <sup>7</sup> and have been tabulated for the standard cases, (2) from historical times.

Conversely, the (Maclaurin) expansion of the poweroid is,

$$x^{\{n\}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} x^{\nu} \frac{D^{\nu} 0^{\{n\}}}{\nu!} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} x^{\nu} \frac{\eta^{\nu} 0^{n}}{\nu!}$$
  
=  $e^{x D} 0^{\{n\}} = e^{x \eta} 0^{n}$ , (13)

using (9) to rewrite the differentials of nothing and also formally summing the *power* series  $(1.0^n = 0 \text{ for } n \neq 0)$ . Comparing Jeffery, [8], §5, I refer to (13) as Jeffery's equation and  $e^{x\eta}$  as the Jeffery operator. Again, the standard coefficients have been tabulated, *e.g.* [8], [2], [14], [15].

The coefficients in (12) and (13) could be called 'generalised factorial coefficients of the second and first kind', respectively. Note that these numbers vanish if  $\nu > n$ . They are examples of the 'connection constants' of [16] and [17] discussed later, in section 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> To be as general as possible, one should go into the question of remainders. However for formal considerations, as here, this can be put aside or attention can be restricted to polynomials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This attitude seems to date back to Brinkley, 1807, [13].

# 5. Rodrigues-type recursion relation

As an illustration of the use of the transformations (6) I derive Steffensen's poweroid recursion, [3] eqn.(17),

$$\theta' x^{\{n\}-1} = x^{\{n-1\}} \tag{14}$$

which here follows quickly from (13) upon applying Theorem D with  $f(D) = e^{x D}$ . Spelling out the details,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\{n\}} &= e^{x \, D} \, 0^{\{n\}} = x \frac{1}{\theta'} \, e^{x \, D} \, 0^{\{n-1\}} = x \frac{1}{\theta'} \, (0+x)^{\{n-1\}} \\ &= x \frac{1}{\theta'} \, x^{\{n-1\}} \,, \quad \text{QED} \,. \end{aligned}$$

On the first line  $\theta'$  acts on 0 while on the last its action has been transferred to x.

This recursion is the Rodrigues–type formula of Rota and Mullin, [16] Theorem 4.4.

# 6. Inverse poweroids

Since  $\eta$  is a function of D vanishing at D = 0, it can act as a delta operator, the corresponding ' $\eta$ ' operator being just  $\theta$ . Hence it is possible to interchange  $\theta \leftrightarrow \eta$  in the previous analysis if, at the same time, the poweroid,  $x^{\{n\}}$ , is replaced by that,  $x^{\{n\}^{-1}}$  say, associated with  $\eta$  and given by,

$$x^{\{n\}^{-1}} = e^{x\theta} 0^n . (15)$$

Corresponding to (9), one has,

$$f(D) 0^{\{r\}^{-1}} = f(\theta) 0^r, \qquad (16)$$

so the expansion (13) turns into,

$$x^{\{n\}^{-1}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} x^{\nu} \, \frac{D^{\nu} 0^{\{n\}^{-1}}}{\nu!} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} x^{\nu} \, \frac{\theta^{\nu} 0^{n}}{\nu!} \,, \tag{17}$$

taking us back to (15).

An alternative notation is often advantageous, cf Riordan, [18], and I set,

$$D^{\nu}0^{\{n\}} = \eta^{\nu}0^{n} \equiv \nu! g(n,\nu)$$
  

$$D^{\nu}0^{\{n\}^{-1}} = \theta^{\nu}0^{n} \equiv \nu! \overline{g}(\nu,n),$$
(18)

so that,

$$x^{\{n\}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} g(n,\nu) x^{\nu}$$

$$x^{\{n\}^{-1}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} x^{\nu} \overline{g}(\nu,n)$$
(19)

and

$$x^{\nu} = \sum_{n=1}^{n} \overline{g}(\nu, n) x^{\{n\}} = \sum_{n=1}^{n} x^{\{n\}^{-1}} g(n, \nu)$$

For the forwards case, see (2), g = s and  $\tilde{\overline{g}} = S$ , the Stirling numbers, and for the central system, g = t and  $\tilde{\overline{g}} = T$ , in Riordan's notation, [18]. Of course, for the confluent case,  $g(n, \nu) = \overline{g}(\nu, n) = \delta_n^{\nu}$ , the Kronecker delta.

This notation comes into play in section 15 in connection with representative notation.

#### 7. Completeness

As a further illustration of this symbolic formalism, I derive an intrinsic relation between the first and second kind factorial numbers, *i.e.* between the  $\eta^m 0^n$  and  $\theta^r 0^s$ .

I start from the definition of the second kind, (12),

$$x^{n} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} x^{\{\nu\}} \frac{\theta^{\nu} 0^{n}}{\nu!} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} e^{x\eta} 0^{\nu} \frac{\theta^{\nu} 0^{n}}{\nu!}$$
  
=  $e^{x\eta} e^{0.\theta} 0^{n}$   
=  $e^{x\theta} e^{0.\eta} 0^{n}$ , (20)

and equate powers of x to get the compact statements of 'orthogonality',

$$\eta^{m} e^{0.\theta} 0^{n} = n! \delta_{m}^{n}$$

$$\theta^{m} e^{0.\eta} 0^{n} = n! \delta_{m}^{n}.$$
(21)

The  $\delta$  on the right-hand side is a Kronecker delta.

Equation (21) is really a statement about inverses, and tantamount to completeness (of polynomial bases). cf Riordan, [18] p.213 for central factorial (Stirling) numbers.

Another way of expressing (20) (or (21)), is,

$$e^{xy} = e^{x\eta} e^{0.\theta} e^{0.y} = e^{x\theta} e^{0.\eta} e^{0.y}$$

Clearly one has the expansions equivalent to the basic (11),

$$f(x) = e^{x\eta} e^{0.\theta} f(0) = e^{x\theta} e^{0.\eta} f(0).$$
(22)

A further useful relation is,

$$f(D) 0^{r} = f(\eta) e^{0.\theta} 0^{r} = f(\theta) e^{0.\eta} 0^{r}, \qquad (23)$$

a corollary of (21). This implies another (equivalent) expression,

$$f(D) e^{0.t} = f(\eta) e^{0.\theta} e^{0.t} = f(\theta) e^{0.\eta} e^{0.t} .$$
(24)

## 8. Operator expansions

From the function (possibly polynomial) expansion (11), or (22), follows a generalised Taylor expansion. Expressed in operators, this is  $(cf \ [3] Equ.(30))$ ,

$$E^{x} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\{\nu\}} \theta^{\nu}}{\nu!} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\{\nu\}^{-1}} \eta^{\nu}}{\nu!}$$
  
=  $e^{x\eta} e^{0.\theta} = e^{x\theta} e^{0.\eta}$ . (25)

The standard cases are conventionally discussed by Steffensen [2] §18 202,203.

It is sometimes convenient to remove the factor of x that occurs in all poweroids, and ask for expansions in terms of  $x^{\{\nu+1\}-1}$ . The operator form is obtained by differentiating (25) with respect to D which gives

$$E^{x} = \frac{1}{x} e^{x\eta} 0 e^{0.\theta} \theta' = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\{\nu+1\}-1} \theta^{\nu} \theta'}{\nu!}, \qquad (26)$$

[3], equ.(32).

Corresponding to (21) there are important operator expansions connecting multiple derivatives and multiple differences. I derive these for general differences following the forward difference treatment of Boole, [19] p.24, which is an application of Maclaurin's theorem in its secondary form,<sup>8</sup>

$$f(t) = f(D) e^{0.t},$$
 (27)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>It is very basic that Maclaurin's theorem includes the Taylor expansion which is obtained by setting t = D and  $f(D) = E^h$  to give  $E^h = E^h e^{0.D} = e^{(0+h).D} = e^{hD}$ .

where D acts on 0. This is a trivial consequence of the basic derivative,  $D^m 0^n = m! \delta_m^n$  (a Kronecker delta), an expression of duality.

 $\theta$  is a function of D and so, setting t = D and  $f = \theta^n$ ,

$$\theta^n = \theta^n \, e^{0.D} \,, \tag{28}$$

where the  $\theta$  on the right-hand side acts on 0. This is the required expression in symbolic form. Expansion of the exponential gives a sum of powers of D,

$$\theta^n = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^n 0^m}{m!} D^m \,. \tag{29}$$

Inversely, consider  $f = D^m$  as a function of  $\theta$ , and so set  $t = \theta$ . According to (27),  $\theta$  in D then has to be replaced by D. This gives the  $\eta$  operator, (8), and the required symbolic expression is then,

$$D^m = \eta^m e^{0.\theta} \,, \tag{30}$$

yielding the generalised Newton series (cf [3] Equ.(40)),

$$D^m = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^m 0^n}{n!} \ \theta^m \,,$$

which could also be derived from (29) using (21) or from (25) by differentiating with respect to h. These expansions are classic for the standard delta operators (*e.g.* [2] §18 214).

#### 9. Umbral composition

I remark that equation (13) for the poweroid exhibits an umbral-like quality in that the symbol,  $0^n$ , can be treated (legitimately) as a power. Jeffery's operator,  $e^{x\eta}$ , linking the poweroid and  $0^n$  acts as an 'umbral operator' in this approach. In [16], this term signifies a (linear) operator on a polynomial sequence that yields another such sequence and in [16] an important property of such operators is their composition. I here consider that of  $e^{x\eta_1}$  and  $e^{y\eta_2}$ . The basic formula needed is again the Maclaurin expansion, (27),

$$f(\eta_2) = f(D) e^{0.\eta_2}$$

applied to,

$$f(*) \equiv e^{x\eta_1(*)}$$

giving the composition,

$$e^{x\eta_1(\eta_2)} = e^{x\eta_1} e^{\theta_1 \cdot \eta_2}, \qquad (31)$$

which shows, analogous to [16], that successive actions correspond to functional composition, and form a group, say  $\mathcal{G}$ .

It follows that the poweroid for the functionally composite operator,  $\phi_{12}(D) \equiv (\phi_2 \circ \phi_1)(D) = \phi_2(\phi_1(D))$ , equals,

$$x^{\{n\}_{12}} = e^{x\eta_1} e^{0_1 \cdot \eta_2} 0_2^n$$
  
=  $e^{x\eta_1} 0_1^{\{n\}_2}$   
=  $x^{\{n\}_2}|_{x^i \to x^{\{i\}_1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$  (32)

which is referred to as 'umbral composition' in [16] Theorem 6, which I have therefore just proved. No explicit umbral notions are needed.

If  $\phi_2$  is the inverse of  $\phi_1$ , the composite delta operator is simply D which has the ordinary power as its poweroid. Expressed in symbols

$$x^{\{n\}^{-1}}|_{x^i \to x^{\{i\}}} = n^2, \quad \forall n$$

so that the set of all poweroids forms a group under composition, with identity the sequence of poweroids,  $x^n$ , (n = 0, 1, ...). It is clear from (32) that this group is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{G}$ .

#### 10. Vector space interpretation. Interpolation.

This similitude is no accident. It will be recognised that our development so far is nothing more than an alternative symbolisation of the vector space approach to umbral calculus advanced by Roman, [4], following Rota. In fact Roman's 'first umbral result', [4] Theorem 2.1.10, is just Theorem B of (6), which is probably due to Herschel.

All relevant expressions take, can take, or include the form  $f(D) 0^n$  and I have manipulated  $0^n$  as a power, performing summations, for example. Making an extension, I replace  $f(D) 0^n$  by f(D) h(0) where both f(D) and h(0) are formal power series (*h* a polynomial for ease) with, by ancient definition, f(D) h(0) =  $(f(D)h)(0) \equiv (f(D)h(x))|_0$ . The space <sup>9</sup>,  $\mathcal{F}$ , of the f(D) is generated by the set of divided powers,  $D^m/m!$  (m = 0, 1, ...), while the space of the h(x),  $\mathcal{H}$ , has the basis  $x^n$  (n = 0, 1, ...). The equation  $D^m/m! 0^n = \delta_n^m$  (used before) allows a duality to be set up between the two spaces with the bracket  $\langle f(D) | h(x) \rangle \equiv f(D)h(0)$ , implying evaluation at zero. The previous equations can then be rewritten in bra(c)ket form, cf [4], but I will not do so large scale. For example, the expansion theorem, (11), takes the form, (cf [4] Theorem 2.4.2),

$$\mid f(x)\rangle = \frac{1}{\nu!} \mid b_{\nu}^{\theta}(x)\rangle \langle \theta^{\nu}(D_x) \mid f(x)\rangle$$

or, expunding x and D as understood,

$$\mid f \rangle = \frac{1}{\nu !} \mid b_{\nu}^{\theta} \rangle \langle \theta^{\nu} \mid f \rangle \,.$$

or even the abstract expression of Taylor expansion,

$$| b_{\nu}^{\theta} \rangle \langle \theta^{\nu} | = \nu! \mathbf{1}.$$
(33)

Elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  are bras and elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  are kets and **1** is the unit operator in both spaces.

Of course, it is not necessary to use the  $(D^m/m!, x^n)$  basis set. This is just a particular case of the, equally dual, bases,  $(\theta^m/m!, b_n^{\theta})$ , all having delta brackets,  $\langle \theta^m \mid b_n^{\theta} \rangle = m! \, \delta_n^m$  (from (7)).

A more symmetrical form of equation (33) arises in an approach to interpolation espoused by Aitken, [20,21]. (See also Curry, [22], and [3] §§4,14), Aitken derives a generalised Gregory–Newton formula in terms of a set of delta operators,  $\theta_i$ , which, when all these are the same, is equivalent to (11), or (25). His formalism involves an inverse operator to  $\theta$ , denoted by  $\Theta$ , such that<sup>10</sup>

$$\Theta\theta f(x) = f(x) - f(0)$$

or

$$\mathbf{1} = L_0 + \Theta\theta = \theta\Theta \tag{34}$$

where  $L_0$  is the operator signifying evaluation at zero.<sup>11</sup>

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  I do not use the correct terminology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Note that  $\theta$  and  $\Theta$  have the nonzero commutator,  $[\theta, \Theta] = L_0$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> This is analogous to the definition of a Green function for an operator that has a zero mode, which is removed from the delta function.  $L_0$  is then the projection onto the zero mode.

The iteration of (34) produces,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1} &= L_0 + \Theta \theta \\ &= L_0 + \Theta L_0 \theta + \Theta^2 \theta^2 \\ &= L_0 + \Theta L_0 \theta + \Theta^2 L_0 \theta^2 + \Theta^3 \theta^3 \\ &= L_0 + \Theta L_0 \theta + \Theta^2 L_0 \theta^2 + \Theta^3 L_0 \theta^3 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since  $L_0 \theta^{\nu}$  yields a constant,  $\Theta^{\nu} L_0 \theta^{\nu} = (\Theta^{\nu} x^0) L_0 \theta^{\nu}$ , and Aitken's version of the interpolatory (11) is then,  $(1 \equiv x^0)$ , <sup>12</sup>

$$f(x) - f(0) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \Theta^{\nu} 1 \cdot \theta^{\nu} f(0) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \Theta^{\nu-1} x \cdot \theta^{\nu} f(0) , \qquad (35)$$

 $\Theta^{\nu}1$  being a polynomial of degree  $\nu$  vanishing at x = 0 and satisfying (cf [3], equ.(29)).

$$\theta\left(\Theta^{\nu}1\right) = \theta\,\Theta^{\nu}1 = \Theta^{\nu-1}1\,,$$

which shows that (35) is (11) with  $\Theta^{\nu}1$  the divided poweroid,  $x^{\{\nu\}}/\nu!$ . This can be quickly obtained by a formal backwards iteration of the basic property, (4), *i.e.*  $x^{\{\nu\}} = \nu \Theta x^{\{\nu-1\}}$ , leading to a multiple summation.

The abstract completeness equation, (33), can therefore be compressed to a more symmetrical looking,

$$\mathbf{1} = \sum_{\nu=0} | \Theta^{\nu} \rangle \langle \theta^{\nu} | 
= | 1 \rangle \langle 1 | + \sum_{\nu=1} | \Theta^{\nu} \rangle \langle \theta^{\nu} |$$
(36)

where  $|1\rangle\langle 1|, = L_0$ , projects onto the (constant) zero mode,  $\theta 1 = 0$ .

Equation (36) is my formal expression of a generalised Gregory–Newton interpolation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> For interpolation purposes, the  $\theta^{\nu} f(0)$  are taken as numerical input data. The series terminates for polynomials. Otherwise, as an approximation, one can stop the sum at a finite point with an explicit expression for the remainder, [3] equ. (161).

# 11. Specific expansions

As Jeffery remarks, [8] §10, knowledge of the factorial numbers is useful in many expansions.

From the explicit definition of  $\eta$ , (8), the expansion of the powers of the inverse  $\phi$  function, whatever this is, is contained in,

$$\left(\phi^{-1}(t)\right)^n = \eta^n \, e^{0.t} \,, \tag{37}$$

showing rapidly that the coefficients are just the factorial numbers of the first kind.

A more complicated example is,

$$f\left(\phi^{-1}(\phi^{-1}(x))\right) = f\left(\phi^{-1}(\eta)\right) e^{0.x}$$
  
=  $f\left(\phi^{-1}(D)\right) e^{0.\eta} e^{0.x}$   
=  $f(\eta) e^{0.\eta} e^{0.x}$   
=  $f(\eta) \left(0^{\{1\}} \frac{x}{1!} + 0^{\{2\}} \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots\right),$  (38)

where (23) and (13) have been used to give the second and fourth lines. This process can be continued.

As an example, consider

$$\phi^{-2}(x) \equiv \phi^{-1}(\phi^{-1}(x)) = \eta \, 0^{\{1\}} \cdot \frac{x}{1!} + \eta \, 0^{\{2\}} \cdot \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots$$
(39)

and require the coefficient of  $x^r/r!$ , *i.e.* 

$$\eta \, 0^{\{r\}} = \sum_{s=0}^{r} \frac{\eta \, 0^{s}}{s!} \cdot \eta^{s} \, 0^{r} \, .$$

which can be evaluated straightforwardly given the generalised differentials of nothing. (This is really going back to the third line of (38). A simple iteration of (37) also yields the same result.)

Setting  $x = \theta$  gives the explicit expression for  $\eta$  as a formal power series in  $\theta$ ,

$$\eta = \eta \, 0^{\{1\}} \cdot \frac{\theta}{1!} + \eta \, 0^{\{2\}} \cdot \frac{\theta^2}{2!} + \dots$$
(40)

and interchanging  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  gives the inverse series,

$$\theta = \theta \, 0^{\{1\}^{-1}} \cdot \frac{\eta}{1!} + \theta \, 0^{\{2\}^{-1}} \cdot \frac{\eta^2}{2!} + \dots$$

## 12. Eigenfunctions as generating functions. Another approach

In calculus, the defining characteristic of the exponential is that it is reproduced upon differentiation. With this in mind, one can ask for the eigenfunctions of the difference operator,  $\theta$ , *i.e.* for functions e(x, t) satisfying the difference equation,

$$\theta \, e(x,t) = t \, e(x,t) \, ,$$

where  $\theta$  acts on x.

Proceeding in a standard way, as a trial solution assume  $e(x,t) = \rho^x(t)$  (e.g. Boole, [19]). Then, the form of  $\theta$ , (5), gives the formal solution

$$\rho(t) = e^{\phi^{-1}(t)}$$

and so,

$$e(x,t) = e^{x \phi^{-1}(t)}$$
  
=  $e^{x\eta} e^{0.t}$ . (41)

This last follows from the basic relation (say as an extension of (37) or directly),

$$f(\phi^{-1}(t)) = f(\eta) e^{0.t}.$$
 (42)

In this approach, the poweroid  $x^{\{n\}}$  is *defined* by,

$$x^{\{n\}} \equiv e^{x\eta} \, 0^n \,, \tag{43}$$

because it *follows* from the eigenfunction relation,

$$\theta e^{x\eta} e^{0.t} = t e^{x\eta} e^{0.t}$$

by expansion in t that,

$$\theta \, x^{\{n\}} = n \, x^{\{n-1\}} \, ,$$

the basic feature of the poweroid. It is seen that the eigenfunction is a poweroid generating function.

The same analytical result, derived differently is given as Corollary 4 in [17] p.693, and Corollary 2 in [16] p.189. See also Roman, [4].

The other two properties result immediately from (43), viz.,

$$0^{\{n\}} = 0^n = \delta_n^0$$
 and  $x^{\{1\}} = (1+x)0^1 = x$ .

Interchanging  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  gives the eigenfunction of  $\eta$  as  $e^{x\theta} e^{0.t} = e^{x\phi(t)}$  which is the generating function of the inverse poweroids,  $x^{\{n\}^{-1}}$ . Example. Central case.

As a standard, but non–trivial example, I derive the central factorial,  $x^{[n]}$ , from (43). Then, specifically,

$$\eta = 2\sinh^{-1}\frac{D}{2}\,,$$

and

$$e^{x\eta} = \left(\frac{D}{2} + \left(1 + \frac{D^2}{4}\right)^{1/2}\right)^{2x}.$$

According to (43), the expansion of  $e^{x\eta}$  in powers of D is required, in particular the *n*th power.<sup>13</sup> The direct analytical calculation is given by Hansen, [23] §18, and I copy it out here as the reference is slightly obscure.

For convenience set D/2 = u and  $\lambda = e^{x\eta}|_{D=2u}$ . Differentiation with respect to u yields the differential equation,

$$(1+u^2)\frac{d^2\lambda}{du^2} + u\frac{d\lambda}{du} - 4x^2\lambda = 0.$$
(44)

Assuming the power series solution,

$$\lambda = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_m}{m!} u^m \,,$$

substitution into (44) easily yields the simple recursion,

$$M_m = \left(4x^2 - (m-2)^2\right)M_{m-2}$$

with the initial values,  $M_1 = 2x$  and  $M_2 = x^2$ .

Picking out the coefficient of  $D^n/n!$ , equation (43) gives the established factorial,  $x^{[n]}$ , e.g. Steffensen, [2], Thiele, [14].

An alternative method obtains the central factorial from the forwards one (assumed given) by a translation to the midpoint (after removal of the universal factor of x) – a consequence of the relation between difference operators,  $\delta = E^{-1/2} \Delta$ . This is formalised in the more general Rodrigues formula of Rota and Mullin, [16], [17]. The Gould polynomial poweroid, (3), can also be computed in this way. Steffensen, [3], gives an equivalent derivation.

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$  The forwards case is just the binomial expansion and is given in Jeffery, [8].

#### 13. The binomial property

From the definition (43) quickly follows the important, sometimes considered defining, binomial property of the poweroids (basic polynomials).

In terms of the eigenfunction, (41), this is contained in the exponent property, (obtained straightaway from (41)),

$$e(x,t) e(y,t) = e(x+y,t),$$
(45)

after application of the easy symbolic theorem, (Horner, [24], §6),

$$F(\theta)e^{0.t} \times G(\theta)e^{0.t} = F(\theta) \times G(\theta)e^{0.t}.$$
(46)

 $\eta$  is a function of  $\theta$ ,  $\eta = \phi^{-2}(\theta)$ . (The power series has been given, (40)). Or one can simply replace  $\theta$  by  $\eta$  according to the previously explained general rule.

The polynomials appear on expansion in t. The binomial property is explicitly, and neatly, expressed in the coefficient theorem obtained from (46),

$$F(\eta)0^n \times G(\eta)0^n = \left(F(\eta) \times G(\eta')\right) \left(0 + 0'\right)^n.$$

(Horner, [24], §7) setting  $F(\eta) = e^{x\eta}$  and  $G(\eta) = e^{y\eta}$ . Here  $\eta'$  and 0' are symbols equivalent to  $\eta$  and 0 in the manner fully explained by Herschel, [12,25], long before umbral methods or Aronhold's notation in invariant theory. In later language, 0 and 0' are exchangeable, or similar, umbrae. These early works also include the obvious multinomial extension via  $(0 + 0' + 0'' + ...)^n$ .

The binomial property statement (for poweroids) is due to Steffensen. Its converse was proved by Rota and Mullin, [16]. I deal with the converse in the present formalism which is cosmetically rapid.

The converse amounts to firstly being given the binomial relation, (45), for a general polynomial generating function, e(x,t). Then an operator,  $\theta$ , is defined so that e(x,t) is an eigenfunction,  $\theta e(x,t) = te(x,t)$ , and finally one just needs to show that  $\theta$  commutes with D or equivalently with E. This follows smartly as follows.

$$\begin{split} \theta E^y e(x,t) &= \theta e(x+y,t) = \theta e(x,t) . e(y,t) = t e(x,t) e(y,t) \\ &= t e(x+y,t) = E^y t e(x,t) = E^y \theta \, e(x,t) \,. \end{split}$$

This commutation relation can be extended by linearity to arbitrary polynomials (or power series) and so the required operator statement,  $\theta E^y = E^y \theta$ , follows.

#### 14. The connection constants

The main concern in [16] was the computation of the connection between two different sets of basic polynomials.<sup>14</sup> Between those for  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , this is defined by the linear relation,

$$e^{x\eta_1} 0^n = e^{x\eta_2} \sum_m 0^m (m; 2 \mid n; 1)$$
$$\equiv e^{x\eta_2} 0^{\{n\}_3}$$

which defines a new delta operator,  $\theta_3$ . Looking back at the composition statement, (32), one deduces the relation  $\theta_1 = \theta_3 \circ \theta_2$  so that  $\theta_3 = \theta_1 \circ \theta_2^{-1}$  whose poweroid can hence be calculated and its coefficients then read off to give the connection constants,  $(m; 2 \mid n; 1)$ . This reproduces the conclusion of [16] quite neatly.

#### 15. Representative Notation

Although my position is that representative notation (classical umbral calculus) is unnecessary, it is illuminating to make use of it, the main advantage, for me, being its notational convenience and suggestive power.

The essential points are well known and are as follows. Elements of the space of operators (*i.e.* formal power series in D) are written as  $e^{\alpha D}$  in terms of the umbra  $\alpha$  with the coefficients,  $\alpha_n$ , of the power series being represented by  $\alpha^n$ . The delta operator  $\theta$  is then  $e^{\alpha D} - 1$  which is the forwards operator with umbral step  $\alpha$ . Likewise, the inverse <sup>15</sup>,  $\eta$ , is, umbrally,  $e^{\overline{\alpha} D} - 1$  which defines the inverse or 'conjugate' umbra,  $\overline{\alpha}$ . Naturally, the coefficients of  $\eta$  are obtained from those of  $\theta$ by inversion.

The power polynomial basis,  $x^n$ , is special in that it allows (some) power series to be exponentially summed, as exemplified by the expansion of the factorial, (13). The extension of this desirable feature to, say, (12) can be achieved by the use of umbrae. Riordan, [18], uses this tempting device and in this section, for completeness, I link it to the Jeffery operator formalism (in general form). Ray, [26,27], also employs this symbolism and gives useful summaries.

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$  One might term these 'transformation coefficients' as in vector space theory, used, say, in quantum mechanics.

 $<sup>^{15}\</sup>mathrm{Roman}$  refers to this as the *conjugate* operator.

The representative, g, is heuristically introduced by the umbral equality,

$$g^{n}(x) = g_{n}(x) \equiv \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} g(n,\nu) x^{\nu} = x^{\{n\}} = e^{x\eta} 0^{n} , \qquad (47)$$

so that the definition, (12), can now be summed,

$$x^{n} = e^{g \cdot \theta} 0^{n}, \quad g = g(x).$$
 (48)

I also define its reciprocal,  $\overline{g}$ , by, cf [18],

$$\overline{g}^n(x) = \overline{g}_n(x) \equiv \sum_{\nu=0}^n \overline{g}(\nu, n) \, x^\nu = e^{x\theta} \, 0^n = x^{\{n\}^{-1}} \tag{49}$$

employing (18).

I can then umbrally sum (47) and (49) to exponentials (for example),

$$e^{y\,g(x)} = e^{x\eta}\,e^{0.y} \tag{50}$$

$$e^{y\,\overline{g}(x)} = e^{x\theta}\,e^{0.y}\,,\tag{51}$$

where x and y can be complex numbers.

From the definitions of the operators  $\eta$  and  $\theta$ , the generating functions, (50) and (51), take the explicit forms,

$$e^{y\,g(x)} = e^{x\phi^{-1}(y)}\,,\tag{52}$$

and

$$e^{y\,\overline{g}(x)} = e^{x\phi(y)}\,,\tag{53}$$

the two expressions being related by reciprocity as I now show.

I first derive the umbral statement of reciprocity. Umbrally, from Eq.(51) by setting  $x \to g(x)$  one arrives at the formally neat expression of orthogonality/completeness,

$$\overline{g} \circ g = 1 \tag{54}$$

(This also easily results from (49) followed by (47), which gives  $(\overline{g}^n \circ g)(x) = x^n$ .)

The reciprocal relation follows on iteration of (54),

$$g \circ \overline{g} \circ g = g \,, \tag{55}$$

or, invoking completeness,

$$g \circ \overline{g} = 1. \tag{56}$$

One might therefore formally set  $\overline{g} = g^{-1}$ , etc.

Now, making the replacement  $x \to \overline{g}(x)$  and employing (56) turns (52) into (53) as promised.

Eqs. (54) and (56) are the classic umbral equivalents of the explicit (21).

## 16. Explicit expansions

In particular cases, Eq.(52) is identical with classical expansions. Adjusting notation, (52), under  $y \to \phi(x)$  and  $x \to r$ , becomes, neatly,

$$e^{rx} = e^{g\phi(x)}, \quad g = g(r),$$
 (57)

which is the umbral representation of the expansion of the exponential in powers of  $\phi$ , *viz.*,

$$e^{rx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{\{n\}}}{n!} \phi^n(x) \,. \tag{58}$$

In the central case, when  $\phi(x) = 2\sinh(x/2)$ , on choosing r to be an integer, n, and  $x = i\phi$ , some known, and very old, trigonometric expansions for  $\cos n\phi$  and  $\sin n\phi$  have thus been obtained with very little effort.<sup>16</sup> In the forwards and backwards cases, there only results an identity,

$$e^{rx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{(n)}}{n!} (e^x - 1)^n = e^{rx}$$

As a check, or as an illustration of the circularity of the relations, setting x = D in (58) reproduces the operator expansion (25), the generalised Gregory–Newton equation. For the forwards case see Roman, [4], p.58.

Related to (57), I give a further illustration of the classic umbral notation. Either as an extension of (37) or directly,

$$f(\phi^{-1}(x)) = f(\eta) e^{0.x} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(\eta) 0^s}{s!} x^s.$$
 (59)

The umbral, primary form of Maclaurin's theorem is,

$$f(\eta) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(s)}(0)}{s!} \, \eta^s = e^{f \, \eta} \,,$$

where the power  $f^n$  is to be replaced by the derivative,  $f^{(n)}(0)$ , after the expansion of the exponential. Then the elegant result,

$$f(\phi^{-1}(x)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{f \eta} 0^n}{n!} x^n$$
  
=  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{\{n\}}}{n!} x^n$ , (60)

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$  These expansions, which are valid for any r, are discussed by Sheppard, [28], in the context of difference calculus.

follows from (42) on using (13). One could write this result as,

$$f(\eta) e^{0.x} = e^{fx}, (61)$$

where now the power,  $f^r$ , first represents the poweroid,  $f^{\{r\}}$ , in which the powers of f are still to be replaced by the derivatives,  $f^{(n)}(0)$ , as above.

Equation (58) is an example of (60) in view of the symbolic relation

$$\left(e^{rx}\right)^{\{n\}} = r^{\{n\}}$$

Roman, [4], gives many examples of expansions for various delta operators and associated polynomials.

## 17. Conclusion

It has not been my intention to rederive all the results obtained by the modern umbral calculus symbolisation. This would be a waste of effort. However, I think I have demonstrated the pertinence of the older formalism, with some of the derivations going through more smoothly than their modern versions. I might cite the connection constant result of §14, the binomial property of §13 and the derivation of the Rodrigues-type equation in §5.

As a continuation, the combinatorial questions analysed by Jeffery, [8], could be extended to the other factorials, and their roots.

#### References.

- 1. Steffensen, J.F. J. Inst. Actuaries 64 (1933) 165.
- 2. Steffensen, J.F. Interpolation, (Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1927).
- 3. Steffensen, J.F. Acta Mathematica 73 (1941) 333.
- 4. Roman, S. The Umbral Calculus (Academic Press, New York, 1984).
- 5. Roman, S. and Rota, G-.C. Adv. in Math. 27 (1978) 95.
- 6. Levi, D., Tempesta, P. and Winternitz, P. J. Math. Phys. 45 (2004) 4077.
- 7. Aitken, A.C. J. Inst. Actuaries 64 (1933) 449.
- 8. Jeffery, H.M. Quart. J. Math. 4 (1861) 364.
- 9. Jeffery, H.M. Quart. J. Math. 5 (1862) 91.
- 10. Dowker, J.S. Central Differences, Euler numbers and symbolic methods ArXiv: 1305.0500.
- Boole, G. Calculus of Finite Differences, 2nd Edn. (MacMillan, Cambridge, 1872).

- 12. Herschel, J.F.W. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. 106 (1816) 25.
- 13. Brinkley Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. (1807) 114.
- 14. Thiele, T.N. Interpolationsrechnung (Teubner, Leipzig, 1909).
- 15. Shovelton, S.T. Quart. J. Math. 46 (1915) 220.
- 16. Rota,G-.C. and Mullin,R On the foundations of Combinatorial Theory, III in Graph Theory and its Applications (Academic Press, New York, 1970).
- 17. Rota, G-.C., Kahaner, D. and Odlyzko, A. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 42 (1973) 684.
- 18. Riordan, J. Combinatorial Identities (Wiley, New York, 1968).
- 19. Boole, G. Calculus of Finite Differences, (MacMillan, Cambridge, 1860).
- 20. Aitken, A.C. Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. 1 (1929) 199.
- 21. Aitken, A.C. J. Inst. Actuaries 61 (1930) 107.
- 22. Curry, H.B. Port. Math. 10 (1951) 1.
- 23. Hansen, P.A. Abh. Kön. Sächs. Gesellsch. 11 (1865) 505.
- 24. Horner, J. Quart. J. Math. 4 (1861) 111.
- 25. Herschel, J.F.W. Examples of the Applications of the Calculus of Finite Differences (Deighton, Cambridge, 1820).
- 26. Ray, N. Adv. in Math. 61 (1986) 49.
- 27. Ray, N. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 309 (1988) 191.
- 28. Sheppard, W.F. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **31** (1899) 449.