

AN EXTENSION OF HSIUNG-MINKOWSKI FORMULAS AND SOME APPLICATIONS

KWOK-KUN KWONG

ABSTRACT. We prove a generalization of Hsiung-Minkowski formulas for closed submanifolds in semi-Riemannian manifolds with constant curvature. As a corollary, we obtain volume and area upper bounds for k -convex hypersurfaces in terms of a weighted total k -th mean curvature of the hypersurface. We also obtain some Alexandrov-type results and some eigenvalue estimates for hypersurfaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

There has been a number of results in the literature on Minkowski-type formulas, which relate the integrals of different (weighted) k -th mean curvatures for closed oriented hypersurfaces or submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold (see e.g. [2, 7, 13, 24, 27]), under various assumptions. As a typical example, let us recall the classical Hsiung-Minkowski formulas [13]: if (M, g) is a space form and Σ is a closed oriented hypersurface in M with a unit normal vector field ν , suppose M possesses a conformal vector field X , i.e. the Lie derivative of g satisfies $\mathcal{L}_X g = 2\alpha g$ for some function α , then we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} \alpha \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot X. \quad (1.1)$$

Somewhat surprisingly, many geometric results can be deduced from these simple formulas, most notably rigidity results such as Alexandrov's theorem ([22]) or various characterizations of certain hypersurfaces (e.g. [2, 14]). It is interesting to know if the integrands in (1.1) can be less restrictive, and if so, to what extent can these formulas be applied to generalize the aforementioned results, which is the aim of this paper. Indeed, we give a simple generalization of Hsiung-Minkowski formulas for closed submanifolds in semi-Riemannian manifolds with constant curvature. For example, as a special case of Theorem 3.1, we have the following result:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C40; Secondary 53C50.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose (M^n, g) has constant curvature and Σ is a closed oriented hypersurface. Assume $X \in \Gamma(\phi^*(TM))$ is a conformal vector field along Σ , and f is a smooth function on Σ . Then for $0 \leq k \leq n - 2$,*

$$\int_{\Sigma} \alpha f \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot X - \frac{1}{(n-1-k) \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nabla f), X^T \rangle.$$

Here σ_k is the normalized k -th mean curvature, α is defined by $\mathcal{L}_X g = \alpha g$, ν is a unit normal vector field and X^T is the tangential component of X onto $T\Sigma$.

The definition of T_k will be given in Section 2. We remark that the classical Hsiung-Minkowski formulas [13] can be recovered by putting $f = 1$ in the above formula. To the author's knowledge, these formulas are new, especially in the higher codimension case (cf. Theorem 3.1), and generalize the integral formulas in [13], [7], [2], [4] and [27]. By choosing suitable f in Theorem 1.1, we can obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 1.1. *(Corollary 4.3) Suppose Σ is a closed hypersurface embedded in \mathbb{R}^n with $\sigma_k > 0$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Then*

$$\text{Area}(\Sigma) \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 r \leq \cdots \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k r^k$$

and

$$n \text{Vol}(\Omega) \leq \int_{\Sigma} r \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 r^2 \leq \cdots \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k r^{k+1}.$$

Here $r = |X|$ and Ω is the region enclosed by Σ . The equality occurs if and only if Σ is a sphere centered at O .

Similar inequalities hold in the hyperbolic space and the hemisphere as well. This generalizes the result in [20] and [18]. (We remark that in [20], a special case of Corollary 1.1 is proved using the inverse mean curvature flow approach instead of using integral formulas. It is interesting to compare the two approaches.) As another corollary, we have the following extension of Alexandrov's theorem:

Corollary 1.2. *(A special case of Corollary 4.10) Suppose Σ is a closed hypersurface embedded in \mathbb{R}^n . Assume $f > 0$, $f' \geq 0$ and there exists $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ such that $\sigma_k f(r)$ is constant, where r is the distance from O . Then Σ is a sphere.*

This and the other similar corollaries (Corollary 4.11, 4.13) generalize the Alexandrov-type results in [25], [22], [14], [16], and [1]. Finally we

also give eigenvalue estimates (Theorem 4.3, 4.4, 4.5) for a class of elliptic operators which generalize the results in [9], [11], and [28].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the necessary definitions and preliminary results. In Section 3, we prove the main results. A number of corollaries are given in Section 4.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: This work was conducted when the author was working as a Research Fellow at Monash University. He would like to thank Monash University for providing an excellent research environment.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us fix the notations in this paper. Let ϕ be an isometric immersion of an m -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold Σ into an n -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) . We use $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ to denote the connection on (M, g) and Σ respectively. The second fundamental form of Σ in M is defined by $A(X, Y) = -(\bar{\nabla}_X Y)^\perp$ and is normal-valued. We denote $A(e_i, e_j)$ by A_{ij} , where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is a local orthonormal frame on Σ . For simplicity, we write $g(X, Y)$ as $X \cdot Y$ and the induced metric on Σ is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For any normal vector field ν of Σ in M , we define the scalar second fundamental form $A^\nu \in \text{End}(TM)$ by $\langle A^\nu(X), Y \rangle = A(X, Y) \cdot \nu$, and let $A^\nu(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m (A^\nu)_i^j e_j$. If Σ is a hypersurface, we choose ν to be the outward unit normal whenever this makes sense.

We define the k -th mean curvature as follows. If k is even,

$$H_k = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k \\ j_1, \dots, j_k}} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} (A_{i_1 j_1} \cdot A_{i_2 j_2}) \cdots (A_{i_{k-1} j_{k-1}} \cdot A_{i_k j_k}).$$

If k is odd, the k -th mean curvature is a normal vector field defined by

$$H_k = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k \\ j_1, \dots, j_k}} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} (A_{i_1 j_1} \cdot A_{i_2 j_2}) \cdots (A_{i_{k-2} j_{k-2}} \cdot A_{i_{k-1} j_{k-1}}) A_{i_k j_k}.$$

We also define $H_0 = 1$. Here $\epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is zero if $i_k = i_l$ or $j_k = j_l$ for some $k \neq l$, or if $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \neq \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ as sets, otherwise it is defined as the sign of the permutation $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \mapsto (j_1, \dots, j_k)$. We also define the normalized k -th mean curvature as

$$\sigma_k = \frac{H_k}{\binom{m}{k}}.$$

In the codimension one case, i.e. Σ is a hypersurface, by taking the inner product with a unit normal if necessary, we can assume H_k is scalar valued. In this case the value of H_k is given by

$$H_k = \pm \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are the principal curvatures. This definition of H_k is used whenever Σ is a hypersurface.

Following [11] and [24], we define the (generalized) k -th Newton transformation T_k of A (as a $(1, 1)$ tensor, possibly vector-valued) on Σ as follows.

If k is even,

$$(T_k)_j^i = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k \\ j_1, \dots, j_k}} \epsilon_{jj_1 \dots j_k}^{ii_1 \dots i_k} (A_{i_1 j_1} \cdot A_{i_2 j_2}) \cdots (A_{i_{k-1} j_{k-1}} \cdot A_{i_k j_k}).$$

If k is odd,

$$(T_k)_j^i = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k \\ j_1, \dots, j_k}} \epsilon_{jj_1 \dots j_k}^{ii_1 \dots i_k} (A_{i_1 j_1} \cdot A_{i_2 j_2}) \cdots (A_{i_{k-2} j_{k-2}} \cdot A_{i_{k-1} j_{k-1}}) A_{i_k j_k}.$$

We also define $T_0 = I$, the identity map. Again, in the codimension one case, by taking the inner product with a unit normal if necessary, we can assume T_k is an ordinary $(1, 1)$ tensor and if $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are the eigenvectors of A , then

$$T_k(e_i) = \pm \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_k \\ i \neq i_l}} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k} e_i.$$

This definition of T_k is used whenever Σ is a hypersurface. Alternatively, in the hypersurface case, T_k can be defined recursively by (see e.g. [24])

$$T_0 = I \quad \text{and} \quad T_k = H_k I - A T_{k-1} \quad \text{for } k \geq 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Here $A = A^\nu$, where ν is the unit normal to Σ .

We collect some basic properties of T_k and H_k :

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

- (1) $\text{tr}(T_k) = (m - k)H_k = (m - k) \binom{m}{k} \sigma_k$.
- (2) *If M has constant curvature, then $\text{div}(T_k) = 0$. i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{e_i} (T_k)_j^i = 0$. (Here ∇ is the normal connection if k is odd.) If $k = 1$ and $m = n - 1$, we can assume M is Einstein instead. If $k = 0$, we can remove any assumption on M .*

- (3) If k is even, then $\sum_{i,j=1}^m (T_k)_i^j A_{ij} = (k+1)H_{k+1}$. If k is odd, then $\sum_{i,j=1}^m (T_k)_i^j \cdot A_{ij} = (k+1)H_{k+1}$.

Proof. These equations are well-known, at least in the codimension one case (e.g. [5] Lemma 2.1). They can be found e.g. in [11] Lemma 2.1, 2.2 and [19] Lemma 2.1. For (2), if $k = 1$ and $m = n - 1$, then by Codazzi equation, we have $\operatorname{div}(A) = dH_1$, which is equivalent to $\operatorname{div}(T_1) = 0$ by (2.2). The assertions are trivial for $k = 0$. \square

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove the main result (Theorem 3.1) in this paper. It turns out that our result is an almost immediate consequence of a fairly simple divergence formula (Proposition 3.1), which may have applications elsewhere. We use the notations in Section 2. Throughout this section, we also assume that Σ is a closed and oriented semi-Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in (M, g) . We omit the area element dS or volume element dV in the integrals when there is no confusion.

Proposition 3.1. *Let T be a symmetric $(1,1)$ tensor on Σ , f be a smooth function on Σ and X be a vector field on a neighborhood of Σ in M . Then*

$$\operatorname{div}(fT(X^T)) = \langle T(\nabla f), X^T \rangle + f(\operatorname{div} T)(X^T) + \frac{1}{2}f \langle T^\flat, \phi^*(\mathcal{L}_X g) \rangle - f \langle T^\flat, A^{X^\perp} \rangle.$$

Here div is the divergence on Σ , T^\flat is the $(0,2)$ -tensor defined by $T^\flat(Y, Z) = \langle T(Y), Z \rangle$, X^T (resp. X^\perp) is the tangential (resp. perpendicular) component of X and $\mathcal{L}_X g$ is the Lie derivative of g .

Proof. Let Y be the vector field defined by $Y = fT(X^T)$. Locally, let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a local orthonormal frame on Σ such that $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \mu_i \delta_{ij}$, $\mu = \pm 1$. Then $Y = \sum_{j=1}^m Y^j e_j$, where $Y^j = f \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i T_i^j X \cdot e_i$, and that $\mu_i T_i^j = \mu_j T_j^i$. We can assume that $\nabla_{e_i} e_j(p) = 0$ for all i, j . We

compute the divergence of Y at p :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{div}(Y) \\
&= \langle \nabla f, T(X^T) \rangle + f(\operatorname{div} T)(X^T) + f \sum_{i,j=1}^m \mu_i T_i^j (\bar{\nabla}_{e_j} X \cdot e_i + X \cdot \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} e_i) \\
&= \langle T(\nabla f), X^T \rangle + f(\operatorname{div} T)(X^T) + \frac{1}{2} f \sum_{i,j=1}^m \mu_i T_i^j (\bar{\nabla}_{e_j} X \cdot e_i + \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} X \cdot e_j) \\
&\quad - f \sum_{i,j=1}^m \mu_i T_i^j (X \cdot A_{ji}) \\
&= \langle T(\nabla f), X^T \rangle + f(\operatorname{div} T)(X^T) + \frac{1}{2} f \langle T^\flat, \phi^*(\mathcal{L}_X g) \rangle - f \langle T^\flat, A^{X^\perp} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

To proceed, let us recall that a vector field X on M is said to be a conformal (Killing) vector field if it satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_X g = 2\alpha g \quad (3.1)$$

for some function α on M , and in this case, it is easy to see that $\alpha = \frac{1}{n} \bar{\operatorname{div}}(X)$. Here $\bar{\operatorname{div}}$ is the divergence on M . More generally, for an immersion ϕ of Σ into (M, g) , a vector field $X \in \Gamma(\phi^*(TM))$ is conformal along ϕ if $\bar{\nabla}_Y X \cdot Z + \bar{\nabla}_Z X \cdot Y = 2\alpha \langle Y, Z \rangle$ for any tangential vector fields $Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\Sigma)$.

We now state and prove our first main result.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose M has constant curvature. Assume $X \in \Gamma(\phi^*(TM))$ is a conformal vector field along Σ with α given by (3.1), and f is a smooth function on Σ .*

(1) *If $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ is even, then*

$$\int_{\Sigma} \alpha f \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1} \cdot X - \frac{1}{(m-k) \binom{m}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nabla f), X^T \rangle. \quad (3.2)$$

(2) *If $m = n-1$ (i.e. hypersurface), then*

$$\int_{\Sigma} \alpha f \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot X - \frac{1}{(n-1-k) \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nabla f), X^T \rangle. \quad (3.3)$$

Here σ_k and σ_{k+1} are scalars, T_k is understood to be an ordinary 2-tensor, and ν is a unit normal vector field. If $k=1$, we can assume M is Einstein instead. If $k=0$, we can remove any assumption on M .

Proof. Recall that $\sigma_k = \frac{H_k}{\binom{m}{k}}$. The result follows by applying Proposition 3.1 to $T = T_k$, and using Lemma 2.1 and the divergence theorem. \square

In general, it does not make sense to talk about $\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k$ if k is odd. Even when the normal bundle NM is parallel so that $\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k$ makes sense, our approach does not seem to produce a result similar to Theorem 3.1, as we cannot produce the term $\sum_{i,j=1}^m (T_k)_i^j \cdot A_{ij}$ and apply Lemma 2.1.

Instead, we now take a different approach to derive a formula similar to (3.2) for all (and in particular, odd) k , which is due to Strübing [27]. Similar to Section 2, for a family of normal vector fields ν_1, ν_2, \dots (not necessarily distinct), we define

$$H_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k \\ j_1, \dots, j_k}} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} (A^{\nu_1})_{i_1}^{j_1} \dots (A^{\nu_k})_{i_k}^{j_k},$$

$$\sigma_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) = \frac{H_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)}{\binom{m}{k}},$$

and

$$(T_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k))_j^i = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k \\ j_1, \dots, j_k}} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} (A^{\nu_1})_{i_1}^{j_1} \dots (A^{\nu_k})_{i_k}^{j_k}.$$

Similar to Lemma 2.1, we have

Lemma 3.1. *For $k \geq 1$, we have (tr denotes the trace on Σ):*

- (1) $\text{tr}(T_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)) = (m - k)H_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)$.
- (2) *If M has constant curvature, and ν_1, \dots, ν_k are parallel in the normal bundle, then $\text{div}(T_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)) = 0$. i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{e_i}(T_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k))_j^i = 0$.*
- (3) $\sum_{i,j=1}^m (T_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k))_i^j (A^{\nu_{k+1}})_j^i = (k + 1)H_{k+1}(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{k+1})$.

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as in the codimension one case of Lemma 2.1, see e.g. [5] Lemma 2.1, except that in (2), we need the fact that $\nabla_{e_i}(A^\nu)_j^k = \nabla_{e_j}(A^\nu)_i^k$ if ν is parallel. \square

By applying Proposition 3.1 to $T_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)$ and using Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose M has constant curvature. Assume $X \in \Gamma(\phi^*(TM))$ is a conformal vector field along Σ with α given by (3.1), f is a smooth function on Σ and ν_1, \dots, ν_k are (not necessarily distinct)*

normal fields to Σ which are parallel in the normal bundle. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \alpha f \sigma_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) &= \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1}(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k, X^\perp) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(m-k) \binom{m}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)(\nabla f), X^T \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Remark 1. If $m = n - 1$, then Theorem 3.2 is reduced to (3.3) in Theorem 3.1.

4. EXAMPLES AND APPLICATIONS

4.1. Explicit formulas and inequalities in various spaces. By substituting different f , M and X in Theorem 3.1, we can obtain several corollaries.

First, a definition: we define $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ to be the vector space \mathbb{R}^{p+q} equipped with the semi-Riemannian metric $dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_p^2 - dx_{p+1}^2 - \dots - dx_{p+q}^2$.

Corollary 4.1. Suppose Σ is a closed oriented m -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$, where $p+q = n$, and f is a smooth function on Σ . Let X be the position vector.

(1) If $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$ is even, then

$$\int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1} \cdot X - \frac{1}{(m-k) \binom{m}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nabla f), X^T \rangle.$$

(2) If $m = n - 1$ (i.e. hypersurface), then

$$\int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot X - \frac{1}{(n-1-k) \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nabla f), X^T \rangle.$$

Here σ_k and σ_{k+1} are scalars, T_k is understood to be an ordinary 2-tensor, and ν is a unit normal vector field.

(3) If there exists (not necessarily distinct) normal fields ν_1, \dots, ν_k to Σ which are parallel in the normal bundle. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1}(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k, X^\perp) - \frac{1}{(m-k) \binom{m}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)(\nabla f), X^T \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2 and the fact that $\mathcal{L}_X g(Y, Z) = \overline{\nabla}_Y X \cdot Z + \overline{\nabla}_Z X \cdot Y = Y \cdot Z + Z \cdot Y = 2\langle Y, Z \rangle$. \square

Corollary 4.2. Suppose Σ is immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$, where $p+q = n$. Then

- (1) For all odd $1 \leq k \leq m$, we have $\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k = 0$. Here we regard σ_k as a vector valued function.
- (2) If Σ is a hypersurface, then for all $0 \leq k \leq m = n - 1$, we have $\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k \nu = 0$. Here we regard σ_k as a scalar.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.1 by putting $f = 1$ and $X = E_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$. As E_i are Killing vector fields, we have $\alpha = 0$ and the result follows. (Alternatively, this also follows from integrating the divergence of the vector field (more appropriately, an n -tuple of vector fields) $\sum_{i,j=1}^m (T_{k-1})_j^i X^j e_i$ on Σ , where X is the position vector and $X^j = \nabla_{e_j} X$ regarded as an n -tuple. For yet another proof, note that $\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k \nu \cdot X = \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k-1}$ is invariant under translation of X .) \square

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose Σ is a closed hypersurface immersed in \mathbb{R}^n . Let X be the position vector, $r = |X|$, $0 \leq k \leq n - 2$ and f be a smooth function on \mathbb{R} . Then we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma} f(r) \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} f(r) \sigma_{k+1} X \cdot \nu - \frac{1}{(m-k) \binom{m}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{f'(r)}{r} \langle T_k(X^T), X^T \rangle$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma} f(u) \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} u f(u) \sigma_{k+1} - \frac{1}{(m-k) \binom{m}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} f'(u) \langle T_k A(X^T), X^T \rangle$$

where $u = X \cdot \nu$.

Proof. By an arbitrary small translation, we can assume $O \notin \Sigma$. The first equation follows from Theorem 3.1 and the observation that $r \nabla r = X^T$. The second equation follows by putting $f = f(u)$ noting that $\nabla(X \cdot \nu) = A(X^T)$. \square

The following corollary generalizes [18] Theorem 3.2 (1) and also [20] Theorem 2.

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose Σ is a closed hypersurface immersed in \mathbb{R}^n such that $\sigma_k > 0$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Assume that $p \geq 0$. Then we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma} r^p \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 r^{p+1} \leq \dots \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k r^{p+k}$$

where $r = |X|$ and X is the position vector. The equality occurs if and only if Σ is a sphere centered at O . In particular, we have

$$\text{Area}(\Sigma) \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 r \leq \dots \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k r^k$$

and

$$n\text{Vol}(\Omega) \leq \int_{\Sigma} r \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 r^2 \leq \cdots \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k r^{k+1} \quad (4.1)$$

if Σ is embedded. Here Ω is the region enclosed by Σ . The equality holds if and only if Σ is a sphere centered at O .

Proof. By [5] Proposition 3.2, if $\sigma_k > 0$ on Σ , then T_j is positive for $0 \leq j < k$. By applying Proposition 4.1 with $f = r^l$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we can get the inequalities. If the equality holds, then $X^T = 0$ as $T_j > 0$ for $0 \leq j < k$, but then $\nabla(|X|^2) = 0$, which implies Σ is a sphere centered at O . The converse is easy. The inequality (4.1) follows from the fact that $n\text{Vol}(\Omega) = \int_{\Sigma} X \cdot \nu \leq \int_{\Sigma} r$. \square

To state our next result, we first set up the notations. Recall $\mathbb{R}^{p,q} = (\mathbb{R}^{p+q}, \sum_{i=1}^p dx_i^2 - \sum_{i=p+1}^{p+q} dx_i^2)$. We use \cdot to denote both the inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ and the semi-Riemannian metric on $M_{p,q}(\mu)$, as defined below. Let $\mu = \pm 1$ and $M_{p,q}(\mu) = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q} : X \cdot X = \mu\}$ be a pseudosphere in $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$. It is easy to see that $M_{p,q}(\mu)$ is totally umbilic in $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ and in particular has constant curvature. Naturally, we can identify $T_X M_{p,q}(\mu)$ with a subspace in $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ and $V \in T_X M_{p,q}(\mu)$ if and only if $V \cdot X = 0$.

Let us recall that the classical Hsiung-Minkowski formulas [13]: if (M, g) is a space form and Σ is a closed oriented hypersurface in M with a unit normal vector field ν . Suppose M possesses a conformal vector field Y , i.e. the Lie derivative of g satisfies $\mathcal{L}_Y g = 2\alpha g$ for some function α , then we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} \alpha \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot Y.$$

It is a nice observation that in general, if (M, g) is a semi-Riemannian manifold which is isometrically embedded as a totally umbilic hypersurface in another semi-Riemannian manifold (N, h) , and such that there exists a conformal vector field Z on N , then the orthogonal projection Z^T of that vector field on M is a conformal vector field on M . Indeed, a simple calculation shows that on TM , if $\mathcal{L}_Z h = \alpha h$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z^T} g = 2\alpha g - 2A^{Z^\perp}. \quad (4.2)$$

Therefore Z^T is conformal on M if M is totally umbilic. In particular, we can construct a conformal vector field on $M_{p,q}(\mu)$ by projecting any conformal vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ onto $M_{p,q}(\mu)$.

In the following, we consider the special case where the conformal vector field Y on $M_{p,q}(\mu)$ is the orthogonal projection of a constant vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$. More precisely, fix $Z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$, considered as a parallel vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$. The orthogonal projection Y of $-\mu Z_0$ (this choice will make the conformal factor looks neater) on $M_{p,q}(\mu)$ is then given by $-\mu Z_0 = (-\mu Z_0)^T + (-\mu Z_0)^\perp = Y(X) - (Z_0 \cdot X)X$, or equivalently,

$$Y(X) = -\mu Z_0 + (Z_0 \cdot X)X \quad \text{for } X \in M_{p,q}(\mu). \quad (4.3)$$

It is easily shown that the second fundamental form of $M_{p,q}(\mu)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ is

$$A(U, V) = \mu g(U, V)X \quad \text{for } X \in M_{p,q}(\mu) \text{ and } U, V \in T_X M_{p,q}(\mu).$$

In particular, for Y defined in (4.3), in view of (4.2), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_Y g = 2(Z_0 \cdot X)g \quad \text{at } X \in M_{p,q}(\mu). \quad (4.4)$$

By Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2, and in view of (4.4), we have the following result:

Theorem 4.1. *Let Σ be an m -dimensional closed oriented semi-Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in $M_{p,q}(\mu)$. Let f be a smooth function on Σ , $Z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ be fixed and $Y(X)$ be given by (4.3).*

(1) *If $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$ is even, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} (Z_0 \cdot X) f \sigma_k dS(X) &= \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1} \cdot Y(X) dS(X) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(m-k) \binom{m}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nabla f), Y^T \rangle dS(X). \end{aligned}$$

(2) *If $m = n - 1$ (i.e. hypersurface), then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} (Z_0 \cdot X) f \sigma_k dS(X) &= \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot Y(X) dS(X) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(n-1-k) \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nabla f), Y^T \rangle dS(X). \end{aligned}$$

Here σ_k and σ_{k+1} are scalars, T_k is understood to be an ordinary 2-tensor, and ν is a unit normal vector field of Σ in $M_{p,q}(\mu)$.

(3) *If there exists (not necessarily distinct) normal fields ν_1, \dots, ν_k to Σ which are parallel in the normal bundle. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma} (Z_0 \cdot X) f \sigma_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) dS(X) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1}(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k, Y^\perp) dS(X) - \frac{1}{(m-k) \binom{m}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k)(\nabla f), Y^T \rangle dS(X). \end{aligned}$$

We can actually get rid of Z_0 in the formulas in Theorem 4.1. Indeed, by (4.3), we have $\nu \cdot Y = -\mu\nu \cdot Z_0$ and $\langle T_k(\nabla f), Y^T \rangle = -\mu T_k(\nabla f) \cdot Z_0$. Therefore, say, when $m = n - 1$, the formula becomes

$$\int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_k X \cdot Z_0 + \mu \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot Z_0 - \frac{\mu}{(n-1-k) \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} T_k(\nabla f) \cdot Z_0 = 0.$$

Since Z_0 is arbitrary, we have

Theorem 4.2 (Theorem 4.1 restated). *Let Σ be an $(n-1)$ -dimensional closed oriented semi-Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in $M_{p,q}(\mu)$, where $p+q = n+1$. Let f be a smooth function on Σ , then as a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$*

$$\int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_k X + \mu \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1} \nu - \frac{\mu}{(n-1-k) \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} T_k(\nabla f) = 0.$$

In the following, we apply Theorem 4.1 to $M_{p,q}(\mu)$ for different (p, q, μ) . For simplicity, we only give the result when Σ is a hypersurface in $M_{p,q}(\mu)$ (and consequently, all σ_k are scalars).

Let us consider the case where $(p, q, \mu) = (n+1, 0, 1)$ so that $M_{p,q}(\mu) = \mathbb{S}^n$. Choose $Z_0 \in \mathbb{S}^n$ and (r, θ) be the geodesic polar coordinates around Z_0 on \mathbb{S}^n , where $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then

$$Y = \sin r \partial_r \quad \text{and} \quad Z_0 \cdot X = \cos r.$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have

Corollary 4.4. *With the notations above, let Σ be a closed hypersurface in \mathbb{S}^n and ν be its unit normal. Suppose f is a smooth function on Σ . Then for $0 \leq k \leq n-2$,*

$$\int_{\Sigma} \cos r f \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot (\sin r \partial_r) - \frac{1}{(n-1-k) \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nabla f), (\sin r \partial_r)^T \rangle.$$

By substituting different functions f in Corollary 4.4, we have:

Proposition 4.2. *With the same assumptions as in Corollary 4.4, suppose $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ and Σ is contained in the open hemisphere centered at Z_0 . Let f be a smooth function on \mathbb{R} . Then we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma} f(r) \cos r \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} f(r) \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot Y - \frac{1}{(n-1-k) \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{f'(r)}{\sin r} \langle T_k(Y^T), Y^T \rangle$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma} f(u) \cos r \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} u f(u) \sigma_{k+1} - \frac{1}{(n-1-k) \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} f'(u) \langle T_k A^\nu(Y^T), Y^T \rangle$$

where $Y = \sin r \partial_r$ and $u = Y \cdot \nu$.

Proof. By a slight perturbation, we can assume $Z_0 \notin \Sigma$. The first equation follows from Corollary 4.4 and the fact that $\sin r \nabla r = Y^T$. The second equation follows by the fact that $\nabla(Y \cdot \nu) = A^\nu(Y^T)$. \square

We have the following analogue of Corollary 4.3:

Corollary 4.5. *With the same assumptions as in Corollary 4.4, suppose Σ is contained in the open hemisphere centered at Z_0 and $\sigma_k > 0$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then*

$$\text{Area}(\Sigma) = \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_0 \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 \tan r \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_2 \tan^2 r \leq \cdots \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k \tan^k r \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_0 \cos r \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 \sin r \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_2 \tan r \sin r \leq \cdots \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k \tan^{k-1} r \sin r. \quad (4.6)$$

If Σ is embedded and Ω is the region in the hemisphere enclosed by Σ , then

$$n \int_{\Omega} \cos r \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_0 \tan r \cos r \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 \tan^2 r \cos r \leq \cdots \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k \tan^{k+1} r \cos r. \quad (4.7)$$

The equality occurs if and only if Σ is a sphere centered at Z_0 .

Proof. By [5] Proposition 3.2, if $\sigma_k > 0$ on Σ , then T_j and σ_j are both positive for $0 \leq j < k$. Applying Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_j \tan^j r = \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_j \frac{\tan^j r}{\cos r} \cos r \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{j+1} \frac{\tan^j r}{\cos r} Y \cdot \nu - \frac{1}{(n-1-j) \binom{n-1}{j}} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\tan^j r}{\sin^2 r} (j \sec^2 r + \tan^2 r) \langle T_j(Y^T), Y^T \rangle \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{j+1} \frac{\tan^j r}{\cos r} Y \cdot \nu \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{j+1} \tan^{j+1} r. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (4.5) then follows by induction. The inequality (4.6) is similar. For (4.7), firstly we have

$$n \int_{\Omega} \cos r = \int_{\Omega} \overline{\text{div}} Y = \int_{\Sigma} Y \cdot \nu \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sin r = \int_{\Sigma} \tan r \cos r \sigma_0.$$

Similar to the above argument, we have, for $0 \leq j < k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \tan^{j+1} r \cos r \sigma_j &= \int_{\Sigma} \tan^{j+1} r \sigma_{j+1} \nu \cdot Y - \frac{j+1}{(n-1-j)\binom{n-1}{j}} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\tan^{j-1} r}{\cos^3 r} \langle T_j(Y^T), Y^T \rangle \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \tan^{j+2} r \cos r \sigma_{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (4.7) then follows by induction.

If the equality case holds, then $\nabla r = 0$ and so Σ is a sphere centered at O . The converse is easy. \square

For the case where $(p, q, \mu) = (n, 1, -1)$ so that $M_{p,q}(\mu) = \mathbb{H}^n \sqcup \mathbb{H}^n$. We can choose $-Z_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and (r, θ) be the geodesic polar coordinates around $-Z_0$ on \mathbb{H}^n , where $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then

$$Y = \sinh r \partial_r \quad \text{and} \quad Z_0 \cdot X = \cosh r.$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have:

Corollary 4.6. *With the notations above, let Σ be a closed hypersurface in \mathbb{H}^n with unit normal vector ν . Suppose f is a smooth function on Σ , then for $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma} f \cosh r \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot (\sinh r \partial_r) - \frac{1}{(n-1-k)\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nabla f), (\sinh r \partial_r)^T \rangle.$$

Proposition 4.3. *With the same assumptions as in Corollary 4.6, suppose $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ and Σ is contained in the open hemisphere centered at $-Z_0$. Let f be a smooth function on \mathbb{R} . Then we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma} f(r) \cosh r \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} f(r) \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot Y - \frac{1}{(n-1-k)\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{f'(r)}{\sinh r} \langle T_k(Y^T), Y^T \rangle$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma} f(u) \cosh r \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} u f(u) \sigma_{k+1} - \frac{1}{(n-1-k)\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} f'(u) \langle T_k A^\nu(Y^T), Y^T \rangle$$

where $Y = \sinh r \partial_r$ and $u = Y \cdot \nu$.

Proof. By a slight perturbation, we can assume $-Z_0 \notin \Sigma$. The first equation follows from Corollary 4.6 and the fact that $\sinh r \nabla r = Y^T$. The second equation follows by the fact that $\nabla(Y \cdot \nu) = A^\nu(Y^T)$. \square

We have the following analogue of Corollary 4.3.

Corollary 4.7. *With the same assumptions as in Corollary 4.6, suppose $\sigma_k > 0$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, then*

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_0 \cosh r \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 \sinh r \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_2 \tanh r \sinh r \leq \cdots \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k \tanh^{k-1} r \sinh r. \quad (4.8)$$

Suppose Σ is embedded and Ω is the region enclosed by Σ , then

$$n \int_{\Omega} \cosh r \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_0 \tanh r \cosh r \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 \tanh^2 r \cosh r \leq \cdots \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k \tanh^{k+1} r \cosh r. \quad (4.9)$$

The equality occurs if and only if Σ is a geodesic sphere centered at Z_0 .

Proof. By [5] Proposition 3.2, if $\sigma_k > 0$ on Σ , then T_j and σ_j are both positive for $0 \leq j < k$. Let $Y = \sinh r \partial_r$. Firstly we have

$$n \int_{\Omega} \cosh r = \int_{\Omega} \overline{\operatorname{div}} Y = \int_{\Sigma} Y \cdot \nu \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sinh r = \int_{\Sigma} \tanh r \cosh r \sigma_0.$$

By applying Proposition 4.3, we have, for $0 \leq j < k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \tanh^{j+1} r \cosh r \sigma_j &= \int_{\Sigma} \tanh^{j+1} r \sigma_{j+1} \nu \cdot Y - \frac{j+1}{(n-1-j) \binom{n-1}{j}} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\tanh^{j-1} r}{\cosh^3 r} \langle T_j(Y^T), Y^T \rangle \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \tanh^{j+2} r \cosh r \sigma_{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (4.9) then follows by induction. The inequality (4.8) is proved in a similar way as (4.6).

If the equality case holds, then $\nabla r = 0$ and so Σ is a sphere centered at O . The converse is easy. \square

For the case where $(p, q, \mu) = (n, 1, 1)$ so that $M_{p,q}(\mu) = dS_n$, the de Sitter space. We choose $Z_0 = (0, \dots, 0, -1)$ and parametrize dS_n by $X = (\cosh r \theta, \sinh r)$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then

$$Y = \cosh r \partial_r \quad \text{and} \quad Z_0 \cdot X = \sinh r. \quad (4.10)$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have

Corollary 4.8. *With the notations above, let Σ be an $(n-1)$ -dimensional closed oriented semi-Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in dS_n with unit normal vector ν . Suppose f is a smooth function on Σ , then for $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma} f \sinh r \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot (\cosh r \partial_r) - \frac{1}{(n-1-k) \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nabla f), (\cosh r \partial_r)^T \rangle.$$

Similar to Proposition 4.2, we have

Corollary 4.9. *With the same assumptions as in Corollary 4.8, suppose $0 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Let f be a smooth function on \mathbb{R} . Then we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma} f(r) \sinh r \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} f(r) \sigma_{k+1} \nu \cdot Y - \frac{1}{(n-1-k) \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{f'(r)}{\cosh r} \langle T_k(Y^T), Y^T \rangle$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma} f(u) \sinh r \sigma_k = \int_{\Sigma} u f(u) \sigma_{k+1} - \frac{1}{(n-1-k) \binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma} f'(u) \langle T_k A^\nu(Y^T), Y^T \rangle$$

where $Y = \cosh r \partial_r$ and $u = Y \cdot \nu$.

4.2. Alexandrov-type results. We have the following extension of Alexandrov's theorem.

Corollary 4.10. *Let (M, g) be \mathbb{R}^n , \mathbb{H}^n , or \mathbb{S}_+^n (the open hemisphere). Let r be the distance on M from a fixed point $O \in M$, taken to be the center if $M = \mathbb{S}_+^n$. Suppose Σ is a closed hypersurface embedded in M . Assume $f > 0$, $f' \geq 0$ and there exists $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ such that*

- (1) $\sigma_k f(r)$ is constant, or
- (2) $\sigma_k f(u)$ is constant, where $u = X \cdot \nu$, and Σ is convex (i.e. $A^\nu > 0$). Here

$$X = \begin{cases} r \partial_r & \text{if } M = \mathbb{R}^n \\ \sin r \partial_r & \text{if } M = \mathbb{S}_+^n \\ \sinh r \partial_r & \text{if } M = \mathbb{H}^n, \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

Then Σ is a geodesic sphere, which is centered at O if f is injective.

Proof. Assume first $\sigma_k f(r)$ is constant. Since Σ has an elliptic point (i.e. point at which A^ν is definite, cf. [5]), $\sigma_k f(r)$ must be positive and hence $\sigma_k > 0$. By [5] Proposition 3.2, then T_j is positive definite and $\sigma_j > 0$ for $0 \leq j < k$. Let

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } M = \mathbb{R}^n \\ \cos r & \text{if } M = \mathbb{S}_+^n \\ \cosh r & \text{if } M = \mathbb{H}^n, \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

so by Proposition 4.1, 4.2 or 4.3, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} \alpha \sigma_{k-1} f(r) \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k f(r) X \cdot \nu = \sigma_k f(r) \int_{\Sigma} X \cdot \nu = \sigma_k f(r) n \int_{\Omega} \alpha,$$

where Ω is the region bounded by Σ . Therefore, by Newton's inequality,

$$n \int_{\Omega} \alpha \geq \int_{\Sigma} \alpha \frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_k} \geq \int_{\Sigma} \alpha \frac{\sigma_{k-2}}{\sigma_{k-1}} \geq \cdots \geq \int_{\Sigma} \alpha \frac{1}{\sigma_1}.$$

On the other hand, by [25] Theorem 1 or [6] Theorem 3.5, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\alpha}{\sigma_1} \geq n \int_{\Omega} \alpha,$$

and the equality holds if and only if Σ is a geodesic sphere by [25] Theorem 1 or [6] Theorem 3.5 again.

If f is injective, then $\langle X, \nu \rangle$ is a positive constant as σ_k is constant. In particular, Σ is star-shaped w.r.t. O , and the furthest point p_1 and the nearest point p_2 from O satisfy $\langle X, \nu \rangle(p_1) = \langle X, \nu \rangle(p_2)$. We conclude that Σ is centered at O . The remaining case can be proved similarly. \square

In the case where Σ is immersed in a simply connected space form, we have the following generalization of the results in [15, 16].

Corollary 4.11. *Let (M, g) be \mathbb{R}^n , \mathbb{H}^n , or \mathbb{S}_+^n . Let r be the distance on M from a fixed point $O \in M$, taken to be the center if $M = \mathbb{S}_+^n$. Suppose Σ is a closed oriented hypersurface immersed in M . Assume $f > 0$, $f' \geq 0$ and there exists $1 \leq l < k \leq n - 1$ such that $\frac{f(r)\sigma_k}{\sigma_l}$ is constant. Then Σ is a geodesic sphere.*

Proof. Let α and X be defined by (4.12) and (4.11) respectively. Since there exists an elliptic point on Σ , by inverting the normal if necessary, from [5] Proposition 3.2, $\sigma_{j+1} > 0$ and $T_j > 0$ for all $j < k$. Assume $l \geq 1$, then by Newton's inequality, we have

$$0 < a = \frac{f\sigma_k}{\sigma_l} \leq \frac{f\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_{l-1}}. \quad (4.13)$$

By (4.13) and applying Proposition 4.1, 4.2 or 4.3, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} \alpha f \sigma_{k-1} \leq \int_{\Sigma} f \sigma_k X \cdot \nu = a \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_l X \cdot \nu = a \int_{\Sigma} \alpha \sigma_{l-1} \leq \int_{\Sigma} \alpha f \sigma_{k-1}.$$

We conclude that (4.13) is an equality and so Σ is totally umbilic. Therefore Σ is a sphere ([3]). \square

We also have the following partial extension of a result of Koh [14]:

Corollary 4.12. *Suppose (M, g) is an n -dimensional Einstein manifold ($n \geq 3$) which possesses a conformal vector field X with $\overline{\operatorname{div}} X > 0$. Let Σ be a closed oriented hypersurface immersed in M with at least one elliptic point. Assume that $\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}$ is constant on Σ . Then Σ is totally umbilic. Indeed σ_1 (and hence σ_2) is constant and $A^\nu = \sigma_1 \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ where ν is the unit normal of Σ .*

Proof. Since there exists an elliptic point on Σ , $\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} = a > 0$. By a result of Garding [10], $\sigma_1 > 0$ and we have the inequality

$$0 < a = \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0}. \quad (4.14)$$

By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} \alpha \sigma_1 = \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_2 X \cdot \nu = a \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 X \cdot \nu = a \int_{\Sigma} \alpha \sigma_0 \leq \int_{\Sigma} \alpha \sigma_1.$$

We conclude that (4.14) becomes an equality, and thus Σ is totally umbilic. We then have $A^\nu = \sigma_1 \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, which is equivalent to $T_1 - (n - 2)\sigma_1 I = 0$ by (2.2). By taking the divergence and using Lemma 2.1, we conclude that σ_1 is constant, and so is σ_2 . (See also [17] Proposition 2.) \square

We have an analogue of Corollary 4.11 for dS_n , which partially generalizes [1] Theorem 3:

Corollary 4.13. *Let Σ be a closed oriented $(n - 1)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in $dS_n^+ = dS_n \cap \{x_{n+1} > 0\}$ and r be defined as in (4.10). Assume $f > 0$, $f' \geq 0$ and there exists $1 \leq l < k \leq n - 1$ such that $\frac{f(r)\sigma_k}{\sigma_l}$ is constant. Then Σ is a totally umbilic round sphere. If f is injective, then Σ is a slice $\{r = \text{constant}\}$.*

Proof. First of all, there exists an elliptic point on Σ . Indeed, take the point p where r is minimum, then by comparison principle, each of the principal curvatures is not more than $-\tanh r$, the principal curvature of the r -slice. Using Corollary 4.8, we can then proceed as in the proof of Corollary 4.11 to show that Σ is totally umbilic. As dS_n has constant curvature, the argument in the proof of Corollary 4.12 then shows that σ_1 is a positive constant. By considering the point where r is maximum, it is easy to see that Σ is spacelike. So by the Gauss equation, as dS_n has constant curvature 1, the normalized scalar curvature of Σ is less than 1 (note $\nu \cdot \nu = -1$). By [8], we then conclude that Σ is isometric to a sphere. Clearly if f is injective, then $f(r)$ being constant implies $\Sigma = \{r = \text{constant}\}$. \square

4.3. Estimates for eigenvalues. We now give a generalization of a result of Grosjean on the upper bound of the Laplacian eigenvalue of a hypersurface, using our formulas.

Theorem 4.3. (cf. [11, Theorem 3.1]) *Let Σ be a closed $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold immersed in a simply connected n -dimensional space form M_K of curvature $K = 0, \pm 1$. Suppose $\sigma_{k+2} > 0$*

for some $k \geq 0$. Assume in addition that the image of Σ is contained in a geodesic ball of radius $\frac{\pi}{4}$ if $K = 1$. Then

$$\lambda_1(T_k) \leq (m - k) \binom{m}{k} \max_{\Sigma} (K\sigma_k + \sigma_{k+2})$$

where $m = n - 1$ and $\lambda_1(T_k)$ is the first eigenvalue the (positive if $\sigma_{k+2} > 0$) second order differential operator $-\operatorname{div}(T_k \circ \nabla) = -\langle T_k, \nabla^2 \cdot \rangle$ on Σ . The equality holds if and only if Σ is immersed as a geodesic sphere. (Note that $\lambda_1(T_0)$ is just the first Laplacian eigenvalue.)

Proof. Let $s_K(r) = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } K = 0 \\ \sin r & \text{if } K = 1 \\ \sinh r & \text{if } K = -1 \end{cases}$ and $c_K(r) = s'_K(r)$. We use

the following model for M_K :

$$M_K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : (x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n) = (c_K(r), s_K(r)\theta), r \geq 0, \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}$$

with metric induced from $\sum_{i=1}^n (dx^i)^2 + K(dx^0)^2$. By applying a rigid motion of M_K , we can assume that

$$\int_{\Sigma} (x^1, \dots, x^n) = 0.$$

If $K = 1$, then by the assumption that Σ is contained in some geodesic ball of radius $\frac{\pi}{4}$, we can ensure that Σ is contained in the closed ball of radius $\frac{\pi}{2}$ centered at $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Now, let $O = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in M_K$, (r, θ) be the geodesic polar coordinates around O , $Y = s_K(r)\partial_r$. By the min-max principle and Lemma 2.1, we have (see also [28])

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1(T_k) \int_{\Sigma} s_K^2 \\ &= \lambda_1(T_k) \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Sigma} (x^i)^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle T_k(\nabla x^i), \nabla x^i \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{j,l=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_j} x^i)(\nabla_{e_l} x^i) + K(\nabla_{e_j} x^0)(\nabla_{e_l} x^0) - K(\nabla_{e_j} x^0)(\nabla_{e_l} x^0) \right) (T_k)_j^l \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} (\operatorname{tr} T_k - K \langle T_k(\nabla c_K), \nabla c_K \rangle) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \left((m - k) \binom{m}{k} \sigma_k - K \langle T_k(\nabla c_K), \nabla c_K \rangle \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

We have (cf. [12, Lemma 2.6]):

$$K \int_{\Sigma} \langle T_k(\nabla c_K), \nabla c_K \rangle = (m-k) \binom{m}{k} \int_{\Sigma} (\sigma_k c_K^2 - c_K \sigma_{k+1} Y \cdot \nu).$$

Indeed, this is a direct consequence of Corollary 4.1, Proposition 4.2 or Proposition 4.3, using the fact that $Y^T = -K \nabla c_K$ if $K \neq 0$. Plugging this into (4.15), and using $1 - c_K^2 = K s_K^2$, we have

$$\lambda_1(T_k) \int_{\Sigma} s_K^2 \leq (m-k) \binom{m}{k} \left(K \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k s_K^2 + \int_{\Sigma} c_K \sigma_{k+1} Y \cdot \nu \right). \quad (4.16)$$

As in the proof of Corollary 4.10, we have $\sigma_{k+1} > 0$ and $T_{k+1} > 0$. Using Proposition 4.1, 4.2 or 4.3, we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma} c_K \sigma_{k+1} Y \cdot \nu \leq \int_{\Sigma} s_K c_K \sigma_{k+1} \leq \int_{\Sigma} s_K \sigma_{k+2} Y \cdot \nu \leq \int_{\Sigma} s_K^2 \sigma_{k+2}.$$

Combining this with (4.16), we conclude that

$$\lambda_1(T_k) \leq (m-k) \binom{m}{k} \max_{\Sigma} (K \sigma_k + \sigma_{k+2}).$$

If the equality holds, then it is easy to see from the above argument that $\nabla r = 0$, and thus Σ is an immersed sphere. \square

Remark 2. *If $k = 0$, then the assumption on σ_2 in Theorem 4.3 is equivalent to the scalar curvature R of Σ satisfies $R > (n-1)(n-2)K$, and the conclusion can be restated as $\lambda_1 \leq \frac{\max_{\Sigma} R}{n-2}$.*

By a slightly different argument, we have the following generalization of a result of Garay ([9]):

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose Σ is a closed embedded hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n such that $\sigma_k > 0$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and Ω is the region bounded by Σ , then*

$$n \lambda_1(T_k) \text{Vol}(\Omega) \leq (m-k) \binom{m}{k} \left(\max_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 \right) \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k$$

where $m = n-1$ and $\lambda_1(T_k)$ is the first eigenvalue the second order differential operator $-\text{div}(T_k \circ \nabla)$ on Σ . The equality holds if and only if Σ is a sphere.

Proof. We can assume that the center of mass is 0. By (4.15), we have

$$\lambda_1(T_k) \int_{\Sigma} r^2 \leq (m-k) \binom{m}{k} \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k.$$

On the other hand, by Corollary 4.3, we have $n \text{Vol}(\Omega) \leq \max_{\Sigma} \sigma_1 \int_{\Sigma} r^2$. The result follows by combining these two inequalities. By Corollary 4.3, the equality holds if and only if Σ is a sphere. \square

To state our next result, we need to define the Steklov eigenvalues, as follows. Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary $\partial M = \Sigma$. The first nonzero Steklov eigenvalue is defined as the smallest $p \neq 0$ of the following Steklov problem

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\Delta} f = 0 & \text{on } M \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} = pf & \text{on } \partial M \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

where ν is the unit outward normal of ∂M . It is known that the Steklov boundary problem (4.17) has a discrete spectrum

$$0 = p_0 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, p_1 has the following variational characterization ([23, Theorem 11])

$$p_1 = \min_{\int_{\partial M} f = 0} \frac{\int_M |\nabla f|^2}{\int_{\partial M} f^2}.$$

We now prove an upper bound of p_1 with the techniques similar to that in Theorem 4.3, and using some ideas of Grosjean [11]. Let Σ be an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in \mathbb{R}^n . Again we assume $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a local orthonormal frame on Σ . Suppose T is a divergence free $(1, 1)$ -tensor on Σ , we then define a normal vector field H_T by

$$H_T = \sum_{i,j=1}^m T_i^j A_{ij}.$$

Let X be the position vector in \mathbb{R}^n . It is easy to see that ([11, Lemma 2.3])

$$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(T \nabla(|X|^2)) = \operatorname{tr}(T) - X \cdot H_T. \quad (4.18)$$

For convenience, we also define on ∂M

$$\sigma_{-1} = X \cdot \nu.$$

The reason for this definition is that (1.1) holds (trivially) for $k = -1$ for hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n ($\alpha = 1$). The following result is the analogue of [11, Theorem 2.3]:

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose M is a compact domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth $(n-1)$ -dimensional boundary ∂M such that its $(k+2)$ -mean curvature is positive for some $-1 \leq k \leq m-2$, where $m = n-1$. Then we have*

$$p_1 \int_{\partial M} \sigma_k \leq n \left(\max_{\partial M} \sigma_{k+2} \right) \operatorname{Vol}(M)$$

where p_1 is the first Steklov eigenvalue of M . The equality holds if and only if M is a ball.

Proof. Let us assume first $k \geq 0$, then by Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\int_{\partial M} \sigma_{k+1} |X| \leq \int_{\partial M} \sigma_{k+2} |X|^2.$$

So by (4.18) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (m-k) \int_{\partial M} H_k &= \int_{\partial M} \operatorname{tr}(T_k) = \int_{\partial M} X \cdot H_{T_k} = (k+1) \binom{m}{k+1} \int_{\partial M} \sigma_{k+1} X \cdot \nu \\ &\leq (k+1) \binom{m}{k+1} \int_{\partial M} \sigma_{k+1} |X| \\ &\leq (k+1) \binom{m}{k+1} \int_{\partial M} \sigma_{k+2} |X|^2 \\ &\leq (k+1) \binom{m}{k+1} \left(\max_{\partial M} \sigma_{k+2} \right) \int_{\partial M} |X|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $k = -1$, then

$$\int_{\partial M} \sigma_{-1} \leq \int_{\partial M} |X| \leq \int_{\partial M} \sigma_1 |X|^2 \leq \max_{\partial M} \sigma_1 \int_{\partial M} |X|^2.$$

By a translation, we can assume that the center of mass is 0, i.e. $\int_{\partial M} X = 0$, and therefore

$$p_1 \int_{\partial M} |X|^2 = p_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\partial M} (X^i)^2 \leq \int_M \sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla X^i|^2 = n \operatorname{Vol}(M).$$

Combining this with the above inequalities, we can get the result. It is easy to see from the above that the equality holds if and only if M is a ball, noting that the Steklov eigenvalue of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n is 1. \square

Remark 3. Note that $\int_{\partial M} \sigma_{-1} = n \operatorname{Vol}(M)$. Therefore when $k = -1$, the estimate in Theorem 4.5 becomes

$$p_1 \leq \max_{\partial M} \sigma_1.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. A. Aledo, L. J. Alías, and A. Romero, Integral formulas for compact space-like hypersurfaces in de Sitter space: applications to the case of constant higher order mean curvature, *J. Geom and Phys*, **31**(2), 195–208, 1999.
- [2] H. Alencar and A G. Colares, Integral formulas for the r -mean curvature linearized operator of a hypersurface, *Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom.*, **16**(3), 203–220, 1998.
- [3] H. Alencar, M. do Carmo, and H. Rosenberg, On the first eigenvalue of the linearized operator of the r -th mean curvature of a hypersurface, *Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom.*, **11**(4), 387–395, 1993.

- [4] L.J. Alías, A. Brasil Jr, and A.G. Colares, Integral formulae for spacelike hypersurfaces in conformally stationary spacetimes and applications, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, **46**(2), 465–488, 2003.
- [5] J.L. Barbosa and A.G. Colares, Stability of hypersurfaces with constant-mean curvature, *Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom.*, **15**(3), 277–297, 1997.
- [6] S. Brendle, Constant mean curvature surfaces in warped product manifolds, *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, **117**(1), 247–269, 2013.
- [7] B-Y. Chen and K. Yano, Integral formulas for submanifolds and their applications, *J. Diff. Geom.* **5**(3-4), 467–477, 1971.
- [8] Q-M. Cheng and S. Ishikawa, Spacelike hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature, *Manuscripta Math.*, **95**(4), 499–505, 1998.
- [9] O.J. Garay, An application of Reilly’s formula, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **21**(2), 176–178, 1989.
- [10] L. Garding, An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials, *J. Math. Mech*, **8**(6), 957–965, 1959.
- [11] J.F. Grosjean, Upper bounds for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on compact submanifolds, *Pac. J. Math* **206**(1), 93–112, 2002.
- [12] E. Heintze, Extrinsic upper bounds for λ_1 , *Math. Annal.*, **280**(3), 389–402, 1988.
- [13] C.C. Hsiung, Some integral formulas for closed hypersurfaces in Riemannian space, *Pac. J. Math.* **6**(2), 291–299, 1956.
- [14] S-E. Koh, A characterization of round spheres, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126**(12), 3657–3660, 1998.
- [15] S-E. Koh and S-W. Lee, Addendum to the paper: Sphere theorem by means of the ratio of mean curvature functions, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **43**(2), 275–276, 2001.
- [16] S-E. Koh, Sphere theorem by means of the ratio of mean curvature functions, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **42**(1), 91–95, 2000.
- [17] N. Koiso, Hypersurfaces of Einstein manifolds, *Annales scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure*, **14**, 433–443, 1981.
- [18] K-K. Kwong, On convex hypersurfaces in space forms and eigenvalues estimates for differential forms, arXiv:1207.3999.
- [19] K-K. Kwong, On an inequality of Andrews, De Lellis, and Topping, To appear in *J. Geom. Anal.*
- [20] K-K. Kwong and P. Miao, A new monotone quantity along the inverse mean curvature flow in \mathbb{R}^n , *Pac. J. Math.*, **267**(2), 417–422, 2014.
- [21] C.D. Lellis and P.M. Topping, Almost-Schur lemma, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **43**(3-4), 347–354, 2012.
- [22] S. Montiel and A. Ros, Compact hypersurfaces: the Alexandrov theorem for higher order mean curvatures. *Differential Geometry, Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math.*, **52**, 279–296, 1991.
- [23] S. Raulot, A. Savo, On the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on forms, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**(3), 889–914, 2012.
- [24] R.C. Reilly, Variational properties of functions of the mean curvatures for hypersurfaces in space forms, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **8**, 465–477, 1973.
- [25] A. Ros, Compact Hypersurfaces with Constant Higher Order Mean Curvatures, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **3**(3), 447–453, 1987.

- [26] D.J. Shetty and K. Amur, Integral formulas for submanifolds and their applications, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **15**(4), 513–529, 1980.
- [27] W. Strübing, On integral formulas for submanifolds of spaces of constant curvature and some applications, *Manuscripta Math.*, **49**(2), 177–194, 1984.
- [28] A. R. Veeravalli, On the first laplacian eigenvalue and the center of gravity of compact hypersurfaces, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **76**(1), 155–160, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHENG KUNG UNIVERSITY, TAINAN
CITY 70101, TAIWAN

E-mail address: kwong@math.ncku.edu.tw