

More Examples of Non-Rational Adjoint Groups

Nivedita Bhaskhar

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA.
nbhaskh@emory.edu

November 4, 2018

Abstract

In this paper, we give a recursive construction to produce examples of quadratic forms q_n in the n^{th} power of the fundamental ideal in the Witt ring whose corresponding adjoint groups $\text{PSO}(q_n)$ are not stably rational. Computations of the R-equivalence classes of adjoint classical groups by Merkurjev are used to show that these groups are not R-trivial. This extends earlier results of Merkurjev and Gille where the forms considered have non-trivial and trivial discriminants respectively.

1 Introduction

Rationality of varieties of connected linear algebraic groups has been a topic of great interest. It is well known that in characteristic 0 every such group variety is rational over the algebraic closure of its field of definition. However, rationality over the field of definition itself is a more delicate question and examples of Chevalley and Serre of non-rational tori and semisimple groups respectively indicate its subtle nature. Platonov's famous example of non-rational groups of the form $\text{SL}_1(D)$ settled negatively the long standing question of whether simply-connected almost simple k groups were rational over k , shifting the focus to adjoint groups.

Platonov himself conjectured ([7], pg 426) that adjoint simple algebraic k -groups were rational over any infinite field. Some evidence of the veracity of this conjecture is found in [2] where Chernousov establishes that $\text{PSO}(q)$ is a stably rational k variety for the special quadratic form $q = \langle 1, 1, \dots, 1 \rangle$ where k is any infinite field of characteristic not 2. Note that the signed discriminant of the quadratic form in question is ± 1 .

However Merkurjev in [6] constructs a quadratic form q of dimension 6 with non-trivial signed discriminant over a base field k of characteristic not 2 and cohomological dimension 2 such that $\text{PSO}(q)$ is not a k -stably rational variety. This example is obtained as a consequence of his computations of *R-equivalence classes* of adjoint classical groups which relates to stable-rationality via the following elementary result :

If X is a k -stably rational variety, then $X(K)/R$ is trivial for any extension K/k .

In fact, Merkurjev shows that if q is a quadratic form of dimension ≤ 6 , then $\text{PSO}(q)(K)/R$ is not trivial for some extension K/k if and only if q is a *virtual Albert form*. Bruno Kahn and Sujatha give a cohomological description of $\text{PSO}(q)(k)/R$ ([4], Thm 4) for any virtual Albert form q over fields of characteristic 0.

It is to be noted that Merkurjev's example uses the non-triviality of the signed discriminant of the quadratic form in a crucial way.

Let $W(k)$ denote the Witt ring of quadratic forms defined over k and $I(k)$ denote the fundamental ideal of even dimensional forms. One can ask if there are examples of quadratic forms q_n defined over fields k_n satisfying the following two properties :

1. $q_n \in I^n(k_n)$, the n -th power of the fundamental ideal.
2. $\text{PSO}(q_n)$ is not k_n -stably rational.

Gille answers the question for $n = 2$ very precisely in [3] and produces a quadratic form of dimension 8 with trivial discriminant over a field of cohomological dimension 3. He also shows that the dimension of the quadratic form has to be at least 8 and that the base field should have cohomological dimension at least 2.

This paper produces pairs (k_n, q_n) for every n in a recursive fashion such that $\text{PSO}(q_n)(k_n)/R \neq \{1\}$. This implies that $\text{PSO}(q_n)$ is not stably rational.

2 Notations and Conventions

All fields considered are assumed to have characteristic 0. Let $W(k)$ denote the Witt ring of quadratic forms defined over k and $I(k)$, the fundamental ideal of even dimensional forms. $P_n(k)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of anisotropic n -fold Pfister forms and $I^n(k)$ denotes the n -th power of the fundamental ideal. Let us fix the convention that $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$ denotes the 1-fold Pfister form $\langle 1, a \rangle$. A generalized Pfister form is any scalar multiple of a Pfister form.

3 A formula for R-equivalence classes

Let G be a connected linear algebraic group over k . The following relation defined on $G(k)$ is an equivalence relation, called the *R-equivalence* relation.

$$g_0 \sim g_1 \iff \exists g(t) \in G(k(t)), g(0) = g_0, g(1) = g_1$$

The induced equivalence classes are called *R-equivalence classes* of $G(k)$.

An algebraic variety X over k is said to be *k-stably rational* if there exist two affine spaces $\mathbb{A}_k^n, \mathbb{A}_k^m$ and a birational map defined over k between $\mathbb{A}_k^n \times_k X$ and \mathbb{A}_k^m .

A k algebraic group G is said to be *R-trivial* if $G(K)/R = \{1\}$ for every extension K of k .

Recall the fact that stably k rational varieties are R-trivial. The following formula, a special case of Merkurjev's computations of R-equivalence classes of classical adjoint groups, is a key ingredient for our construction of nonrational adjoint groups.

Theorem 3.1 (Merkurjev, [6], Thm 1). $\text{PSO}(q)(k)/R \cong G(q)/\text{Hyp}(q)k^{\times 2}$ where

1. q is an even dimensional (say of dimension $2b$) non-degenerate quadratic form over k of characteristic $\neq 2$.
2. $G(q) = \{a \in k^\times \mid aq \cong q\}$, the group of similarities.
3. $\text{Hyp}(q) = \langle N_{l/k}(l^\times) \mid [l : k] < \infty, q_l \cong \mathbb{H}^b \rangle$.
4. $k^{\times 2} := \{a^2 \mid a \in k^\times\}$.

Thus to check that $\text{PSO}(q_n)$ is not k_n -stably rational, it is enough to check that $\text{PSO}(q_n)(k_n)/R \neq \{1\}$ using the above formula.

4 Lemmata

This section collects a list of lemmas which come in handy whilst constructing nonrational adjoint groups.

Lemma 4.1 (Odd extensions). *Let q be a quadratic form over k . Let k'/k be an odd degree extension. Then,*

$$\text{PSO}(q_{k'})(k')/R = \{1\} \implies \text{PSO}(q)(k)/R = \{1\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $x \in G(q)$.

Clearly $G(q) \subseteq G(q_{k'}) = \text{Hyp}(q_{k'}) k'^{\times 2}$ as $\text{PSO}(q_{k'})(k')/R = \{1\}$.

The definition of Hyp groups and the transitivity of norms immediately yield the fact that $N_{k'/k}(\text{Hyp}(q_{k'}) k'^{\times 2}) \subseteq \text{Hyp}(q) k^{\times 2}$.

If $2n + 1$ is the degree of k' over k , it follows that $x^{2n+1} = N_{k'/k}(x) \in \text{Hyp}(q) k^{\times 2}$. Hence $x \in \text{Hyp}(q) k^{\times 2}$. \square

Let p be a Pfister form over k . Its *pure-subform* \tilde{p} is defined uniquely upto isometry via the property that $\tilde{p} \perp \langle 1 \rangle \cong p$. The following useful result connects the values of pure-subforms and Pfister forms :

Lemma 4.2 ([9], Chap 4, Thm 1.4). *If $D(q)$ denotes the set of non-zero values represented by the quadratic form q , then, for $p \in P_n(k)$,*

$$b \in D(\tilde{p}) \iff p \cong \langle \langle b, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle \rangle,$$

for some $b_2, \dots, b_n \in k^\times$.

The next lemma is a useful tool for converting an element which is a norm from two different quadratic extensions into a norm from a biquadratic extension of the base field upto squares.

Lemma 4.3 (Biquadratic-norm trick, [5], Lemma 1.4). *If l_1 and l_2 are two quadratic extensions of a field l , then*

$$N_{l_1/l}(l_1^\times) \cap N_{l_2/l}(l_2^\times) = N_{l_1 \otimes_l l_2/l}((l_1 \otimes_l l_2)^\times) l^{\times 2}.$$

Lemma 4.4 (Folklore). *Let $k(u)$ be a finite separable extension of k generated by u of degree $p^g h$ where p is a prime not dividing h and $g \geq 1$. Then there exist finite separable extensions $M_1/M_2/k$ such that the following conditions hold :*

1. $k(u) \subset M_1$ and $M_1 = M_2(u)$.
2. $[M_1 : M_2] = p$ and $p \nmid [M_1 : k(u)]$


Proof. Let M/k be any finite Galois extension containing $k(u)$ and let S be any p -Sylow of $\text{Gal}(M/k(u))$. Since $\text{Gal}(M/k(u))$ is a subgroup of $\text{Gal}(M/k)$, there is a p -Sylow subgroup T of $\text{Gal}(M/k)$ containing S .

Let M^S and M^T denote the fixed fields of S and T in M respectively. Note that $M^S \supseteq M^T$ and since S and T are appropriate p -Sylow subgroups, we have $p \nmid [M^S : k(u)]$ and $p \nmid [M^T : k]$. Comparing degrees yields $[M^S : M^T] = p^g$. Also note that $u \notin M^T$ and $k(u) \subset M^S$.

In fact, $M^T(u) = M^S$ because $[M^S : M^T]$ and $[M^S : k(u)]$ are coprime.

S is a proper subgroup of its normalizer $N_T(S)$ because T is nilpotent and $S \neq T$. Thus, you can find a subgroup V such that $S \subseteq V \subseteq T$ and index of S in V is p . Set M_2 to be the fixed field of V in M .

Thus $M_1 = M_2(u)$ is of degree p over M_2 and satisfies the other conditions given in the Lemma.



□

The following lemma tells us that Pfister forms yield R-trivial varieties. Note that in fact more is true, namely that $\text{PSO}(q)$ is stably-rational for any generalized Pfister form q ([6], Prop 7).

Lemma 4.5. *If q is an n -fold Pfister form over a field k , then*

$$\text{PSO}(q)(k)/R = \{1\}.$$

Proof. If q is isotropic, it is hyperbolic and hence $G(q) = \text{Hyp}(q) = k^\times$. Therefore assume without loss of generality that q is anisotropic.

Case $n = 1$: Let $q = \langle 1, -a \rangle$. Then $G(q) = N_{k(\sqrt{a})/k}(k(\sqrt{a})^\times)$. Further, q splits over a finite field extension L of k if and only if a is a square in L . Therefore q_L splits if and only if $L \supseteq k(\sqrt{a}) \supseteq k$ and hence clearly $\text{Hyp}(q) = G(q)$.

General case : Recall that Pfister forms are round, that is $D(q) = G(q)$ for any Pfister form q . Let \tilde{q} be the pure-subform of q . If $b \in D(\tilde{q}) \subseteq D(q)$, then by Lemma 4.2

$$b \in D(\langle 1, b \rangle) = \text{Hyp}(\langle 1, b \rangle) k^{\times 2} \subseteq \text{Hyp}(q) k^{\times 2}.$$

Note that any $x \in G(q) = D(q)$ can be written (upto squares from k^\times) as either b or $1 + b$ for some $b \in D(\tilde{q})$. Since $x = b \in D(\tilde{q})$ has just been taken care of, it is enough to note that for $b \in D(\tilde{q})$,

$$1 + b \in D(\langle 1, b \rangle) \subseteq \text{Hyp}(q) k^{\times 2}.$$

□

5 Comparison of some Hyp groups

Let q be an anisotropic quadratic form over a field k of characteristic 0. Let p be an anisotropic Pfister form defined over k and let $Q = q \perp tp$ over the field of Laurent series $K = k((t))$. Note that K is a complete discrete valued field with uniformizing parameter t and residue field k . Recall the exact sequence in Witt groups :

$$0 \rightarrow W(k) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} W(K) \xrightarrow{\delta_{2,t}} W(k) \rightarrow 0$$

where Res is the restriction map and $\delta_{2,t}$ denotes the second residue homomorphism with respect to the parameter t .

Remark 5.1. Q is anisotropic and $\dim(Q) > \dim(p)$.

This can be shown with the aid of the above exact sequence. Let the anisotropic part of Q be $Q_{an} \cong q_1 \perp tq_2$ for quadratic forms q_i defined over k . Then each q_i is anisotropic. The following equality in $W(k)$ is in fact an isometry because the forms are anisotropic :

$$\delta_{2,t}(Q) = p = q_2.$$

This immediately implies $q \cong q_1$. The inequality between dimensions of Q and p follow immediately.

Proposition 5.2. $\text{Hyp}(Q)K^{\times 2} \subseteq \text{Hyp}(q_K)K^{\times 2}$ if $\text{PSO}(q)(k)/R \neq \{1\}$.

Proof. Let L/K be a finite field extension which splits Q . There is a unique extension of the discrete valuation on K to L which makes L into a complete discrete valued field. Let l denote the residue field of L . Since the characteristic of k is 0, $k \subseteq K$ and $l \subseteq L$. Let K_{nr} denote the maximal non-ramified extension of K in L and π be a uniformizing parameter of L . Let $f = [l : k]$, the degree of the residue field extensions and e be the ramification index of L/K . Let v_X denote the corresponding valuation on fields $X = K, K_{nr}, L$ and O_X , the corresponding discrete valuation rings.

Since L/K_{nr} is totally ramified, the minimal polynomial of π (which is also its characteristic polynomial) over K_{nr} is an *Eisenstein* polynomial $x^e + a_{e-1}x^{e-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$ in $K_{nr}[x]$, where $v_{K_{nr}}(a_0) = 1$ and $v_{K_{nr}}(a_i) \geq 1 \forall 1 \leq i \leq e-1$ ([1], Chap 1, Sec 6, Thm 1). Note that $N_{L/K_{nr}}(\pi) = (-1)^e a_0$.

$K_{nr} = l((t))$ and $L = l((\pi))$ ([8], Chap 2, Thm 2). Let $a_0 = -ut(1 + u_1t + \dots)$ in $O_{K_{nr}} = l[[t]]$. By Hensel's lemma, $1 + u_1t + \dots = w^2$ for some w in K_{nr} . The relation given by the Eisenstein polynomial can be rewritten by applying Hensel's lemma again as follows :

$$\pi^e = utv^2, u \in l^\times, v \in L^\times.$$

Hence the norm of π can be computed upto squares. That is,

$$N_{L/K}(\pi) = N_{K_{nr}/K}((-1)^e a_0) \in (-1)^{ef} (-t)^f N_{l/k}(u) K^{\times 2}.$$

The problem is subdivided into two cases depending on the parity of the ramification index e of L/K .

Case I : e is odd

We show that L also splits q in this case. Let $\delta_{2,\pi} : W(L) \rightarrow W(l)$ be the second Milnor residue map with respect to the uniformizing parameter π chosen above. Note that $Q_L = q + \pi u p$ in $W(L)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_L = 0 &\implies \delta_{2,\pi}(Q) = 0 \in W(l) \\
&\implies up = 0 \in W(L) \\
&\implies q = 0 \in W(L)
\end{aligned}$$

Case II : e is even

Now $Q_L = q + up = 0$ in $W(L)$. Since any element of L^\times is of the form $\alpha\pi b^2$ or αb^2 for some $\alpha \in l^\times$ and $b \in L$, the norm computation of π done before yields the following :

$$N_{L/K}(L^\times) \subseteq \langle N_{l/k}(u)(-t)^f \rangle K^{\times 2}.$$

So it is enough to show that f is even and $N_{l/k}(u)$ is in $\text{Hyp}(q)k^{\times 2}$.

Claim : f is even.

If f is odd, then $\text{PSO}(q_l)(l)/R \neq \{1\}$ by Lemma 4.1. But $q_l = -up$ is a form similar to a Pfister form. Hence by Lemma 4.5, $\text{PSO}(q_l)(l)/R = \{1\}$ which is a contradiction.

Claim : $N_{l/k}(u) \in \text{Hyp}(q)k^{\times 2}$

Look at $l \supseteq k(u) \supseteq k$. If $[l : k(u)]$ is even, then $N_{l/k}(u) = N_{k(u)/k}(u^{[l:k(u)]}) \in k^{\times 2}$ which proves the claim.

Otherwise $r : W(k(u)) \rightarrow W(l)$ is injective and hence $q + up = 0$ in $W(k(u))$. It remains to show that $N_{k(u)/k}(u) \in \text{Hyp}(q)k^{\times 2}$.

Suppose that $[k(u) : k]$ is odd. Then Lemma 4.1 implies that $\text{PSO}(q_{k(u)})/R \neq \{1\}$. On the other hand, $q_{k(u)}$ is similar to Pfister form $p_{k(u)}$. This contradicts Lemma 4.5. Therefore $[k(u) : k]$ is even.

Let $[k(u) : k] = 2^g h$ where h is odd and $g \geq 1$. Lemma 4.4 gives us a quadratic extension $M_1 = M_2(u)$ over M_2 such that M_1 is an odd extension of $k(u)$.

Since $[M_1 : k(u)]$ is odd, there is a $w \in k^\times$ such that

$$N_{M_1/k}(u) = N_{k(u)/k}(N_{M_1/k(u)}(u)) = N_{k(u)/k}(u)w^2.$$

Hence it suffices to show that $N_{M_1/k}(u) \in \text{Hyp}(q)k^{\times 2}$. Using transitivity of norms and the definition of Hyp groups, showing $N_{M_1/M_2}(u) \in \text{Hyp}(q_{M_2})M_2^{\times 2}$ proves the claim.

Let $\eta := N_{M_1/M_2}(u)$. By using Scharlau's transfer and Frobenius reciprocity ([9], Chap 2, Lemma 5.8 and Thm 5.6),

$$p \otimes \langle 1 \rangle = -\langle u \rangle q \in W(M_1) \implies p \otimes \langle 1, -\eta \rangle = 0 \in W(M_2).$$

Hence $\eta \in G(p_{M_2}) = D(p_{M_2})$ since p is a Pfister form.

Let s be the pure subform associated with p_{M_2} . We can assume (upto squares from M_2) that $\eta = b$ or $1 + b$ for some $b \in D(s)$. In either case, $\eta \in N_{M_2(\sqrt{-b})/M_2} \left((M_2(\sqrt{-b}))^\times \right)$. By Lemma 4.2, $p = \langle \langle b, \dots \rangle \rangle$. Note that if $-b$ is already a square in M_2 , then the above reasoning shows that q splits over M_1 which shows that $\eta \in \text{Hyp}(q_{M_2}) M_2^{\times 2}$. If $-b$ is not a square, then p splits in $M_2(\sqrt{-b})$ and hence $q = -up$ splits in $M_1(\sqrt{-b})$.

The introduction of subfield M_2 is useful because the biquadratic norm trick can be used ! More precisely, since

$$\eta \in N_{M_2(\sqrt{-b})/M_2} \left((M_2(\sqrt{-b}))^\times \right) \cap N_{M_1/M_2}(M_1^\times),$$

Lemma 4.3 shows that η is upto squares a norm from $M_1(\sqrt{-b})$ and $M_1(\sqrt{-b})$ splits q . Thus $\eta \in \text{Hyp}(q_{M_2}) M_2^{\times 2}$ as claimed. \square

Proposition 5.3. $\text{Hyp}(q_K) K^{\times 2} \subseteq \text{Hyp}(q) K^{\times 2}$.

Proof. Using the exact sequence associated to the second Milnor residue map again, it is clear that if q is split by a finite field extension L of K , then it is also split by l , the residue field of L . Thus $\text{Hyp}(q_K)$ is generated by $N_{L/K}(L^\times)$ where L runs over finite unramified extensions of K which split q . By Springer's theorem, $[l : k]$ has to be even. And characteristic of $k = 0$ implies that $L \cong l((t))$. To conclude, it is enough to observe that

$$N_{l((t))/k((t))} (l((t)))^\times \subseteq N_{l/k}(l^\times) K^{\times 2}.$$

\square

6 A recursive procedure

All fields have characteristic 0. We say a quadruple (n, λ, L, ϕ) has property \star if the following holds :

ϕ is an anisotropic quadratic form over L in $I^n(L)$ such that the scalar λ is in $G(\phi)$ but not in $\text{Hyp}(\phi)L^{\times 2}$ and there exists a decomposition of ϕ into a sum of generalized n -fold Pfister forms in the Witt ring $W(L)$, each of which is annihilated by $\langle 1, -\lambda \rangle$. More precisely, in $W(L)$,

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i p_{i,n}, \text{ where } \alpha_i \in L^\times, p_{i,n} \in P_n(L)$$

$$\langle 1, -\lambda \rangle \otimes p_{i,n} = 0 \quad \forall i.$$

Assume that (n, λ, k_n, q_n) has property \star with $q_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i p_{i,n}$ for $p_{i,n} \in P_n(k_n)$ and $\alpha_i \in k_n^\times$ such that each $p_{i,n}$ is annihilated by $\langle 1, -\lambda \rangle$. Let K_0 denote the field k_n . Define the fields K_i recursively as follows :

$$K_i := K_{i-1}((t_i)) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq m$$

Let Q_0 denote the quadratic form q_n defined over K_0 . Define the quadratic forms Q_i over fields K_i recursively as follows :

$$Q_i := Q_{i-1} \perp t_i p_{i,n} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq m$$

Note that $\lambda \in G(Q_i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ since $\lambda \in G(q_n)$ and $G(p_{i,n})$ for each i .

Theorem 6.1. *Let (n, λ, k_n, q_n) has property \star . Then for (K_m, Q_m) as above, the following hold :*

1. $Q_m \in I^{n+1}(K_m)$
2. $\lambda \in G(Q_m) \setminus \text{Hyp}(Q_m)K_m^{\times 2}$. *In particular, $\text{PSO}(Q_m)$ is not K_m -stably rational.*
3. $(n+1, \lambda, K_m, Q_m)$ has property \star .

Proof. In the Witt ring $W(K_m)$,

$$Q_m = q_n + \sum_{i=1}^m t_i p_{i,n} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i p_{i,n} + t_i p_{i,n} = \sum_{i=1}^m p_{i,n} \otimes \langle \alpha_i, t_i \rangle \in I^n I \subseteq I^{n+1}(K_m) \quad - (1)$$

We now prove by induction that $\lambda \in G(Q_i) \setminus \text{Hyp}(Q_i) K_i^{\times 2}$ for each $i \leq m$.

The base case $i = 0$ is given, namely the pair (k_n, q_n) . Assume as induction hypothesis that this statement holds for all $i \leq j$. The proof of the statement for $i = j + 1$ follows :

The following notations are introduced for convenience.

$$\begin{aligned} (Q, K) &:= (Q_{j+1}, K_{j+1}) \\ (q, k) &:= (Q_j, K_j) \\ t &:= t_{j+1} \\ p &:= p_{j+1, n} \in P_n(k) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Q = q + tp \in W(K)$.

Since $\lambda \in k^\times$ and not in $\text{Hyp}(q)k^{\times 2}$, it is not in $\text{Hyp}(q)K^{\times 2}$. By Proposition 5.3, $\lambda \notin \text{Hyp}(q_K)K^{\times 2}$ and by Proposition 5.2, $\lambda \notin \text{Hyp}(Q)K^{\times 2}$. By construction, $\lambda \in G(Q)$ as $\lambda \in G(p) \cap G(q)$. Hence $\lambda \in G(Q) \setminus \text{Hyp}(Q)K^{\times 2}$.

It is clear that $(n + 1, \lambda, K_m, Q_m)$ has property \star by Equation (1). □

7 Conclusion

Theorem 7.1. *For each n , there exists a quadratic form q_n defined over a field k_n such that $\text{PSO}(q_n)$ is not k_n -stably rational.*

Proof. Let q be an anisotropic quadratic form of dimension 6 over a field F of characteristic 0. If the discriminant of q is not trivial and $C_0(q)$ is a division algebra, then there exists a field extension E of F such that $\text{PSO}(q)(E)/R \neq \{1\}$ ([6], Thm 3).

Define $k_1 := E$, $q_1 := q_E$ and pick a $\lambda \in G(q_1) \setminus \text{Hyp}(q_1) k_1^{\times 2}$.

We can write $q_1 = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i f_i$ in the Witt ring $W(k_1)$ for some scalars $\alpha_i \in k_1^\times$ and 1-fold Pfister forms f_i which are annihilated by $\langle 1, -\lambda \rangle$ ([9], Chap 2, Thm 10.13).

Therefore Theorem 6.1 can be applied repeatedly to produce pairs (k_n, q_n) such that

$$\text{PSO}(q_n)(k_n)/R \neq \{1\}.$$

This implies that $\text{PSO}(q_n)$ is not k_n -stably rational. □

Acknowledgements : The author thanks Professors P. Gille, A.S Merkurjev and R. Parimala for their valuable suggestions and critical comments.

References

- [1] J.W.S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, Algebraic Number Theory, Academic Press (1967).
- [2] V.I. Chernousov, The group of similarity ratios of a canonical quadratic form, and the stable rationality of the variety PSO, *Math. Zametki* **55**, No. 4 (1994), pgs 114-119; *Math. Notes* **55**, Nos. 3-4 (1994) : pgs 413-416.
- [3] P. Gille, Examples of non-rational varieties of adjoint groups, *Journal of Algebra* **193** (1997) : pgs 728-747.
- [4] B. Kahn and R. Sujatha, Unramified cohomology of quadrics, II, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **106(3)** (2001) : pgs 449-484.
- [5] M.-A. Knus, T.Y. Lam, D.B. Schapiro, and J.-P. Tignol, Discriminants of involutions on biquaternion algebras, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **58** (1995) : pgs 279-303.
- [6] A.S. Merkurjev, R-equivalence and rationality problem for semisimple adjoint classical groups, *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS* **84** (1996): pgs 189-213.
- [7] V.P. Platonov and A.S. Rapinchuk, Algebraic Groups and Number Theory, Academic Press Inc. (1994).
- [8] J.-P. Serre, Local Fields, Springer-Verlag (1979).
- [9] W. Scharlau, Quadratic and Hermitian Forms, Springer-Verlag (1985).