

Large Current Fluctuations in Lattice Gases: Beyond Non-Equilibrium Steady States

Baruch Meerson¹ and Pavel V. Sasorov²

¹*Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel*

²*Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics, Moscow 125047, Russia*

We use the macroscopic fluctuation theory (MFT) to study large current fluctuations in non-stationary diffusive lattice gases. We identify two universality classes of these fluctuations which we call elliptic and hyperbolic. They emerge in the limit when the deterministic mass flux is small compared to the mass flux due to the shot noise. The two classes are determined by the sign of compressibility of *effective fluid*, obtained by mapping the MFT into an inviscid hydrodynamics. An example of the elliptic class is the Symmetric Simple Exclusion Process where, for some initial conditions, we can solve the effective hydrodynamics exactly. This yields the optimal path of the system and leads to a super-Gaussian statistics of large currents conjectured by Derrida and Gerschenfeld (2009). For models belonging to the hyperbolic class the deterministic mass flux cannot be neglected, leading to a different large-current statistics.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 05.70.Ln, 02.50.-r

Large fluctuations of currents of matter or energy in systems away from thermodynamic equilibrium have been at the forefront of statistical physics for the last two decades. Over the last decade a major progress has been achieved in the study of large fluctuations of the density profile and of the current in *non-equilibrium steady states* (NESS) of stochastic diffusive lattice gases driven from the boundaries, see [1] and references therein. Diffusive lattice gases constitute a broad family of (deceivably) simple transport models which can probe, in a succinct representation, different aspects of transport in extended many-body systems [2–4]. One extensively studied model is the Symmetric Simple Exclusion Process (SSEP) [2–9], where each particle can hop stochastically to a neighboring lattice site, at rate 1, if that site is empty. If it is occupied by another particle, the move is disallowed.

It has been found that, the large deviation functional NESS of diffusive lattice gases exhibit qualitatively new features compared to equilibrium states, [1, 10–13], and these development have attracted a great interest. *Non-stationary* fluctuations of diffusive lattice gases are still poorly understood [14–19], and they will be in the focus of our attention here. Following Refs. [14–17], we will consider a diffusive lattice gas on an infinite line, and study fluctuations of integrated current J through the origin $x = 0$ during a fixed time T , when starting from a deterministic step-like density profile

$$n(x, t = 0) = \begin{cases} n_-, & x < 0, \\ n_+, & x > 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

In the deterministic limit, a large-scale behavior of diffusive lattice gases is described by the diffusion equation $\partial_t n = \partial_x [D(n) \partial_x n]$. Solving this equation with the initial condition (1), one can compute the *average* integrated current at time T : $\langle J(T) \rangle = \int_0^\infty dx [n(x, T) - n_+]$. The actual current J , however, is a fluctuating quantity. At large T these fluctuations can be described by the powerful *macroscopic fluctuation theory* (MFT), origi-

nally developed for the NESS [20] and more recently extended to non-stationary settings, such as the step-like initial density profile [15, 17]. At the level of MFT the diffusive gases are characterized by their diffusion coefficient $D(n)$ and (twice) the mobility $\sigma(n)$ [3]. These coefficients obey the Einstein relation $F''(n) = 2D(n)/\sigma(n)$, where $F(n)$ is the equilibrium free energy of the homogeneous system [3, 11, 17]. The MFT can be formulated as a classical Hamiltonian field theory [12, 15], and we will adopt this formulation here.

The MFT formulation of the problem of statistics of integrated current was obtained in Ref. [15]. Until now the problem has defied analytic solution except (i) for non-interacting random walkers (RWs) [15], and (ii) for small fluctuations around the mean [16, 17]. For the RWs, the $J \rightarrow \infty$ asymptote of $P(J)$ is super-Gaussian [15]:

$$\ln P(J, T) \simeq -\frac{J^3}{12n_-^2 T}. \quad (2)$$

Derrida and Gerschenfeld [15] conjectured that the $\sim J^3/T$ decay holds for a whole class of *interacting* diffusive gases. They proved their conjecture for $D(n) = 1$ and $\sigma(n) \leq n + \text{const}$ for $0 \leq n \leq R$, and $\sigma(n) = 0$ otherwise [21].

This Letter reports a major progress in the analysis of large-current fluctuations. We identify two universality classes (we call them elliptic and hyperbolic) of diffusive gases with respect to this statistics. We show that, for the elliptic class, the DG conjecture holds, as

$$\ln P(J, T) \simeq -\frac{f(n_-, n_+) J^3}{T}. \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, for the SSEP it is possible to calculate $f(n_-, n_+)$ analytically, and to determine the optimal path of the system. For the hyperbolic class the large-current statistics must be different from Eq. (3).

Here is an outline of our results. A natural first step in the analysis of large fluctuations of current is to neglect, in the MFT equations, the deterministic mass flux

compared to the mass flux due to the shot noise. A rescaling of the noise-dominated MFT equations yields Eq. (3) with an a priori unknown $f(n_-, n_+)$. A more careful analysis, however, reveals a more complex picture. As we find, the noise-dominated MFT equations can be mapped into an effective inviscid hydrodynamics. Then one immediately recognizes two universality classes, determined by the sign of *compressibility* of the effective fluid. The latter is determined by the sign of the second derivative $\sigma''(n)$. The SSEP, with $\sigma(n) = 2n(1-n)$ [3, 4], belongs to the elliptic class. Here the effective hydrodynamics can be solved exactly via the hodograph transformation [22]. The solution supports the DG conjecture (3), yields a systematic way of calculating the function f , and gives the optimal path of the system. In addition to the “hodograph regions” of the flow, the optimal path includes static regions, where the lattice gas density stays constant at all times, and voids and close-packed clusters of particles, with proper hydrodynamic discontinuities at the boundaries between the regions. The non-hodograph regions, however, do not contribute to $\ln P(J)$.

The hyperbolic case, when $\sigma''(n) > 0$ for all relevant n , is more complicated. Here a singularity, present in the noise-dominated theory, needs to be regularized in the framework of the complete MFT. The resulting $\ln P$ is mostly gathered from the regularized singularity and is different from Eq. (3). We will deal with this case in a forthcoming paper. Now we expose our results in some detail.

MFT. A specified integrated current J is described by the condition

$$\int_0^\infty dx [q(x, 1) - n_+] = J/\sqrt{T} \equiv j. \quad (4)$$

Here and in the following t and x are rescaled by T and \sqrt{T} , respectively. The optimal path obeys the equations

$$\partial_t q = \partial_x [D(q) \partial_x q] - \partial_x [\sigma(q) \partial_x p], \quad (5)$$

$$\partial_t p = -D(q) \partial_x^2 p - \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(q) (\partial_x p)^2 \quad (6)$$

for the density field $q(x, t)$ and the conjugate “momentum” field $p(x, t)$ [15]. These are Hamilton equations, with the Hamiltonian functional $H = \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}(q, p) = -D(q) \partial_x q \partial_x p + (1/2) \sigma(q) (\partial_x p)^2$. Once $q(x, t)$ and $p(x, t)$ are known, the action is

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \int_0^1 dt \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx (p \partial_t q - \mathcal{H}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dt \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \sigma(q) (\partial_x p)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The boundary condition for $q(x, 0)$ is given by $n(x, t = 0)$ from Eq. (1). By varying $q(x, 1)$ to minimize the action under the constraint (4), Derrida and Gerschenfeld [15] obtained the second boundary condition:

$$p(x, t = 1) = \lambda \theta(x). \quad (8)$$

Here $\theta(x)$ is the step function, and the Lagrangian multiplier $\lambda = \lambda(j, n_-, n_+) > 0$ is set by Eq. (4). When s is known, $P(J)$ is given by $\ln P(J) \simeq -\sqrt{T} s$ [15, 17].

INVISCID LIMIT. When $j \rightarrow \infty$, it seems natural to neglect the deterministic diffusion terms in Eqs. (5) and (6). This yields the first-order Hamiltonian equations

$$\partial_t q = -\partial_x [\sigma(q) \partial_x p], \quad (9)$$

$$\partial_t p = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma'(q) (\partial_x p)^2, \quad (10)$$

stemming from the *noise-dominated* Hamiltonian $H_0 = \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \rho(q, p)$ with

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sigma(q) (\partial_x p)^2. \quad (11)$$

We will call this theory inviscid. Comparing Eqs. (7) and (11) and using the fact that H_0 is now a constant of motion, we can rewrite Eq. (7) as

$$s = \int_0^1 dt H_0 = H_0. \quad (12)$$

The inviscid equations possess an additional symmetry, as they are invariant under the transformation $x/\sqrt{\lambda} \rightarrow x$ and $p/\lambda \rightarrow p$. Under this transformation s becomes $\lambda^{3/2} s_1$, where s_1 is the action obtained with the condition (8) replaced by $p(x, 1) = \theta(x)$. In its turn, Eq. (4) becomes $\int_0^\infty dx [q(x, 1) - n_+] = j/\sqrt{\lambda}$, therefore $j = \sqrt{\lambda} j_1$, where j_1 is the integrated current obtained for $p(x, 1) = \theta(x)$. Putting everything together, we arrive at Eq. (3) with $f(n_-, n_+) = s_1/j_1^3$. Therefore, the DG conjecture is a natural consequence of the inviscid limit of the MFT. It remains to be seen, however, whether the inviscid problem is well defined.

INDEPENDENT RANDOM WALKERS (RWs). The first check is the case of RWs, where $\sigma(n) = 2n$ [3, 4]. Here Eq. (10) reduces to the Hopf equation $\partial_t p + (\partial_x p)^2 = 0$. Its solution, obeying the condition (8), yields [24]

$$\partial_x p(x, t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{x}{2(1-t)}, & -2\sqrt{\lambda(1-t)} < x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

(we do not use here the rescaling with λ). Equation (13) includes a shock at $x_s(t) = -2\sqrt{\lambda(1-t)}$. Now, Eq. (9) is a continuity equation for the density $q(x, t)$ with a known velocity field $2\partial_x p$. The characteristics of this equation are $x = C(1-t)$, where $C = \text{const}$. Consider the region of the x, t plane where $\partial_x p \neq 0$, see Fig. 1. The characteristics with $-2\sqrt{\lambda} \leq C \leq 0$ cross the boundary $t = 0$, where $q(x, t = 0) = n_-$. This yields a simple solution, $q(x, t) = n_-(1-t)^{-1}$, to the right of the characteristic $x = -2\sqrt{\lambda}(1-t)$.

The characteristics with $C < -2\sqrt{\lambda}$ cross the velocity shock at $x = x_s(t)$. To ensure mass conservation at the shock (where the velocity drops from a positive value to zero), we must demand that q at the shock vanish.




FIG. 1: (Color online) The characteristics of Eq. (9) for the RWs. Here $\sigma(n) = 2n$, and $\partial_x p$ is given by Eq. (13). The solid lines: characteristics crossing the boundary $t = 0$. The dashed line: a characteristic crossing the “velocity” shock at $x = x_s(t) = -2\sqrt{\lambda}(1-t)$. The velocity shock position is denoted by the dotted line.

As a result, a void $q(x, t) = 0$ is formed on the interval $-2\sqrt{\lambda} < x < -2\sqrt{\lambda}(1-t)$ which expands in time. To summarize,

$$q(x, t) = \begin{cases} n_-, & x < -2\sqrt{\lambda}, \\ 0, & -2\sqrt{\lambda} < x < -2\sqrt{\lambda}(1-t), \\ n_-(1-t)^{-1}, & -2\sqrt{\lambda}(1-t) < x < 0, \\ n_+, & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Importantly, at $t = 0$ the flow already includes a point-like void, $q = 0$, at the point $x = -2\sqrt{\lambda}$ [23]. At $t > 0$ the void expands. Simultaneously, a constant mass of gas, equal to $2n_-\sqrt{\lambda}$, gets squeezed on the interval $-2\sqrt{\lambda}(1-t) < x < 0$ which is shrinking with time. At $t = 1$ all this mass collapses into the point $x = 0$, leaving behind a void at $-2\sqrt{\lambda} < x < 0$. The collapsed mass is equal to the (rescaled) integrated current j , see Eq. (4), and we obtain $\lambda = j^2/(4n_-^2)$. The rescaled action (7) is

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \int_0^1 dt \int_{-2\sqrt{\lambda}(1-t)}^0 dx \frac{n_-}{1-t} \frac{x^2}{4(1-t)^2} \\ &= \frac{2n_-\lambda^{3/2}}{3} = \frac{j^3}{12n_-^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which, in view of the relation $\ln P(J) \simeq -\sqrt{T}s$, yields Eq. (2). Overall, for the RWs, the inviscid limit yields correct leading-order results for the large current statistics and for the optimal path of the system.

INVISCID HYDRODYNAMICS AND TWO UNIVERSALITY CLASSES. Now we consider some general properties of the inviscid theory as applied to *interacting* particles. A key observation is that the Hamiltonian density ρ of the inviscid MFT, see Eq. (11), is conserved *locally*, as it evolves according to the continuity equation

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_x(\rho V) = 0 \quad (15)$$

with the effective velocity $V = \sigma'(q)\partial_x p$. A direct calculation shows that V obeys

$$\partial_t V + V\partial_x V = -\sigma''(q)\partial_x \rho, \quad (16)$$

where it is assumed that $\sigma''(q)$ is expressed via ρ and V . Equations (15) and (16) describe an inviscid hydrodynamic flow of an effective fluid. The general character of this flow – elliptic or hyperbolic – is determined by whether $\sigma''(q)$ is negative or positive, respectively. The analogy with effective hydrodynamics becomes complete when $\sigma(\rho)$ is a quadratic polynomial, and so the right side of Eq. (16) can be written as $-(1/\rho)\partial_x P(\rho)$. This is what happens for the SSEP, where the effective fluid pressure is $P(\rho) = -2\rho^2 < 0$, exemplifying elliptic flow. In an *initial-value* problem such a fluid would be intrinsically unstable. Moreover, when starting from smooth fields ρ and V at $t = 0$, a finite-time singularity develops, see Ref. [25] for a detailed review. In view of our boundary condition (8), ρ and V blow up at $x = 0$ at time $t = 1$. However, they are bounded and smooth at earlier times, $0 \leq t < 1$, in the whole hodograph region. Therefore, the inviscid limit is well defined for elliptic flows, and the DG conjecture holds [26].

Models where $\sigma''(n) > 0$, including at $n = \infty$, exhibit a hyperbolic flow. Here small pressure perturbations would propagate as acoustic waves, as in the conventional hydrodynamics. In view of the boundary condition (8) $\rho(x = 0, t = 1)$ and $V(x = 0, t = 1)$ must diverge, as in the elliptic case. However, in a hyperbolic flow this implies that the singularities $\rho = \infty$ and $V = \infty$ are present *at all times* $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Such a hydrodynamics is ill-defined, and one should return to the full MFT equations (5) and (6), where the singularities are regularized by the diffusion. The resulting solution must lead to a different large-current statistics than the one conjectured by Derrida and Gerschenfeld [15].

The model of RWs, with $\sigma(n) = 2n$, belongs to the marginal class $\sigma'' = 0$. Here the effective fluid pressure in Eq. (16) vanishes, leading to the Hopf equation, as we already observed.

THE SSEP. Fortunately, Eqs. (15) and (16) become linear upon the hodograph transformation, where ρ and V are treated as the independent variables, and $t(\rho, V)$ and $x(\rho, V)$ as the dependent ones [22]. For the SSEP we obtain the elliptic linear second-order equation

$$\rho^{-1}\partial_\rho(\rho^2\partial_\rho t) + 4\partial_V^2 t = 0. \quad (17)$$

Once $t(\rho, V)$ is found, $x(\rho, V)$ can be determined from any of the relations

$$\partial_V x = V\partial_V t - \rho\partial_\rho t, \quad \partial_\rho x = V\partial_\rho t + 4\partial_V t. \quad (18)$$

In the new variables $X = V/2$ and $Y = 2\rho^{1/2}$ Eq. (17) becomes

$$\partial_X^2 t + \partial_Y^2 t + (3/Y)\partial_Y t = 0. \quad (19)$$

As we will see shortly, the boundary conditions for q and p at $t = 0$ and 1, respectively, define a Dirichlet problem for $t(X, Y)$. Moreover, Eq. (19) can be transformed into

the Laplace's equation in an extended space [25], which opens the way to exact analytic solution. The full solution includes non-hodograph regions: (i) static regions, (ii) a void, $q = 0$, and (iii) a close-packed cluster of particles, $q = 1$. The dynamics of p in the void and cluster regions is described by the Hopf equation $\partial_t p + (\partial_x p)^2 = 0$. As $\sigma(0) = \sigma(1) = 0$, the non-hodograph regions do not contribute to the action (7). Importantly, a point-like void and a point-like cluster are already present at $t = 0$, and expand at $t > 0$.

We will present these results in more detail in a forthcoming paper. Here we will only consider the simplest case of a flat initial density profile $q(x, t = 0) = 1/2$. In this case the solution is symmetric, $q(-x, t) = 1 - q(x, t)$ and $\partial_x p(-x, t) = \partial_x p(x, t)$ and, remarkably, can be obtained in elementary functions. Let us start with Eq. (19) which should be solved in the upper half-plane $|X| < \infty$, $0 \leq Y < \infty$. To match the non-hodograph part of the complete solution, the hodograph solution should be regular at $Y = 0$. The second boundary condition comes from $t = 0$. The value of $\partial_x p(x, t = 0)$ changes, as a function of x , from 0 to an a priori unknown finite maximum value $v_0 > 0$. Exploiting the invariance of the inviscid equations under the transformation $x/\sqrt{\lambda} \rightarrow x$ and $p/\lambda \rightarrow p$, we can first solve the problem for $v_0 = 1$ and then restore the λ -scalings in the final solution. By virtue of the conditions $q(x, t = 0) = 1/2$ and $0 \leq \partial_x p(x, t = 0) \leq 1$, t must vanish on the segment $X = 0$, $0 \leq Y \leq 1$. The last boundary condition comes from Eq. (8) at $t = 1$. As $\partial_x p(x, t = 1)$ is a delta-function, t must approach 1 as $|X|$ or Y go to infinity. The solution of this Dirichlet problem can be readily obtained in the elliptic coordinates s, r :

$$X = sr, \quad Y = [(1+s^2)(1-r^2)]^{1/2}, \quad s \geq 0, \quad |r| \leq 1. \quad (20)$$

After some algebra, Eq. (19) becomes

$$\partial_s [(1+s^2)^2 \partial_s t] + \frac{1+s^2}{1-r^2} \partial_r [(1-r^2)^2 \partial_r t] = 0. \quad (21)$$

The new boundary conditions, $t(s = 0, |r| \leq 1) = 0$ and $t(s \rightarrow \infty) = 1$, are independent of r , and so is the solution: $\pi t(s)/2 = s(1+s^2)^{-1} + \arctan s$. In the original variables q and $v = \partial_x p$, we obtain

$$\frac{\pi}{2} t(q, v) = \frac{\sqrt{2(v^2 + \mathcal{R} - 1)}}{v^2 + \mathcal{R} + 1} + \arctan \sqrt{\frac{v^2 + \mathcal{R} - 1}{2}}, \quad (22)$$

where $\mathcal{R}^2(q, v) = (v^2 - 1)^2 + 4v^2(2q - 1)^2$. Using Eqs. (18), we obtain

$$x(q, v) = -\frac{[v^2 - \mathcal{R} - 8q(1 - q) + 1] \sqrt{v^2 + \mathcal{R} - 1}}{2\sqrt{2}\pi q(1 - q)(2q - 1)v}. \quad (23)$$

Functions $t(q, v)$ and $x(q, v)$ are analytic in the whole region $0 \leq q \leq 1$, $0 \leq v < \infty$ except at the branch cut at $q = 1/2$, $0 \leq v \leq 1$. They are depicted in Fig. 2.




FIG. 2: The density plots of the hodograph solutions (22) for $t(q, v)$ (left) and (23) for $x(q, v)$ (right), for the SSEP with $n_- = n_+ = 1/2$. x is measured in units of $\pi j/2$.




FIG. 3: (Color online) The analytic solution for the optimal path: q versus x at $t = 0$ (dashed line), 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 0.98 for the SSEP with $n_- = n_+ = 1/2$.

The hodograph asymptotics at $v \rightarrow \infty$ are $t \simeq 1 - 4/(3\pi v^3)$ and $x \simeq 4(2q - 1)/(\pi v^2)$. In physical variables, we obtain self-similar asymptotics at $t \rightarrow 1$: $2q(x, t) - 1 = x/\ell(t)$ and $v(x, t) = (4/3\pi)^{1/3}(1-t)^{-1/3}$, where $|x| \leq \ell(t)$ and $\ell(t) = 3(4/3\pi)^{1/3}(1-t)^{2/3}$. Using Eqs. (7) and (12), we obtain $s = \int_{-\ell(t)}^{\ell(t)} dx q(1 - q)v^2|_{t \rightarrow 1} = 4/(3\pi)$.

In its turn, the $q \rightarrow 1/2$ asymptotic of Eq. (23) corresponds to $t \rightarrow 0$, and we obtain $v(x, t = 0) = (1 - x^2/x_0^2)^{1/2}$, for $|x| \leq x_0$, and 0 otherwise, where $x_0 = 4/\pi$. Notably, $x = -x_0$ and $x = x_0$ are the positions of the point-like void and point-like cluster, respectively, at $t = 0$. At $t > 0$ the void and cluster expand, see Fig. 3, and by $t = 1$ all of the material from the interval $-x_0 < x < 0$ is transferred to the interval $0 < x < x_0$. Therefore, the integrated current is $j_0 = x_0 \times (1/2) = 2/\pi$, whereas $\lambda_0 = \int_{-x_0}^{x_0} dx v(x, t = 0) = 2$. Using the scaling invariance $x/\sqrt{\lambda} \rightarrow x$ and $p/\lambda \rightarrow p$, we can restore the λ - and j -scalings. We obtain $\lambda = \pi^2 j^2/2$ and $s = \pi^2 j^3/6$ which leads to Eq. (3) with $f(n_- = 1/2, n_+ = 1/2) = \pi^2/6$ [27]. This completes the evaluation of $\ln P$ in this case.

In forthcoming papers we will consider more general initial conditions, and also address systems belonging to the hyperbolic class. The inviscid theory for the elliptic case can be extended to other non-stationary settings. One of them is the noise-induced void formation in an initially homogeneous gas [19], in the limit of $L \gg \sqrt{T}$, where L is the characteristic void size, and T is the time of void formation. The void problem is related to the large current problem, as is evident from the density history in the latter case, Fig. 3.

We are indebted to Arkady Vilenkin whose numerical insight directed us in the initial stage of this work. We are grateful to P.L. Krapivsky for useful discussions. B.M. was supported by the Israel Science Foundation (Grant No. 408/08) and by the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation (Grant No. 2008075). P.V.S. was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant No 13-01-00314.

-
- [1] B. Derrida, J. Stat. Mech. P07023 (2007).
- [2] T. M. Liggett, *Stochastic Interacting Systems: Contact, Voter, and Exclusion Processes* (Springer, New York, 1999).
- [3] H. Spohn, *Large Scale Dynamics of Interacting Particles* (New York: Springer-Verlag, 1991).
- [4] C. Kipnis and C. Landim, *Scaling Limits of Interacting Particle Systems* (Springer, New York, 1999).
- [5] B. Schmittmann and R. K. P. Zia, *Statistical Mechanics of Driven Diffusive Systems*, in: *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, Vol. 17, eds. C. Domb and J. L. Lebowitz (Academic Press, London, 1995).
- [6] B. Derrida, Phys. Rep. **301**, 65 (1998).
- [7] G. Schütz, *Exactly Solvable Models for Many-Body Systems Far From Equilibrium*, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, Vol. 19, eds. C. Domb and J. L. Lebowitz (Academic Press, London, 2000).
- [8] R. A. Blythe and M. R. Evans, J. Phys. A **40**, R333 (2007).
- [9] P. L. Krapivsky, S. Redner, and E. Ben-Naim, *A Kinetic View of Statistical Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010).
- [10] H. Touchette, Phys. Rep. **478**, 1 (2009).
- [11] G. Jona-Lasinio, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **184**, 262 (2010).
- [12] J. Tailleur, J. Kurchan, and V. Lecomte, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 150602 (2007); J. Phys. A **41**, 505001 (2008).
- [13] G. Bunin, Y. Kafri, and D. Podolsky, J. Stat. Mech. L10001 (2012); J. Stat. Phys. **152**, 112 (2013).
- [14] B. Derrida and A. Gerschenfeld, J. Stat. Phys. **136**, 1 (2009).
- [15] B. Derrida and A. Gerschenfeld, J. Stat. Phys. **137**, 978 (2009).
- [16] S. Sethuraman and S.R.S. Varadhan, Ann. Prob. **41**, 1461 (2013).
- [17] P. L. Krapivsky and B. Meerson, Phys. Rev. E **86**, 031106 (2012).
- [18] V. Lecomte, J. P. Garrahan, and F. van Wijland, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45**, 175001 (2012).
- [19] P. L. Krapivsky, B. Meerson, and P.V. Sasorov, J. Stat. Mech. P12014 (2012).
- [20] L. Bertini, A. De Sole, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona-Lasinio, and C. Landim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 040601 (2001); *ibid* **94**, 030601 (2005); J. Stat. Phys. **123**, 237 (2006); *ibid* **135**, 857 (2009); J. Stat. Mech. (2007) P07014.
- [21] Sethuraman and Varadhan [16] proved recently, that the $\sim J^3/T$ decay holds for the SSEP for a much more general class of initial densities than a step function.
- [22] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics* (Reed, Oxford, 2000).
- [23] The presence of a point-like void at $x = -2\sqrt{\lambda}$ at $t = 0$ in the inviscid Eqs. (5) and (6) implies a rapid formation of a deep and narrow density minimum in the *complete* MFT equations (9) and (10). Other discontinuities, that the inviscid solutions exhibit, will be also regularized by the diffusion terms, except for the true singularity $\partial_x p(x, 1) = \lambda\delta(x)$ imposed by the boundary condition (8).
- [24] G.B. Whitham, *Linear and Nonlinear Waves* (Wiley, New York, 1974).
- [25] B.A. Trubnikov and S.K. Zhdanov, Phys. Rep. **155**, 137 (1987).
- [26] Note that the rescaled action from Eq. (7) is equal to the (a priori unknown) finite *total mass* of the effective fluid which collapses into the origin at $t = 1$.
- [27] Using the MFT formalism, Derrida and Gerschenfeld [15] showed that, in the special case of $n_- = n_+ = 1/2$, $\ln P(J, T)$ for the SSEP can be expressed via $\ln P_a(J, T)$, where P_a is the probability of observing the integrated current J in the *annealed* setting, that is when the initial density is allowed to fluctuate, and one averages over these fluctuations. They had previously calculated $\ln P_a(J, T)$ in the microscopic model [14]. We verified that Eq. (3) with $f(1/2, 1/2) = \pi^2/6$, as we found here, perfectly agrees with the large- J limit of their result.