

**A NOTE ON THE NEW q -EXTENSION OF
FROBENIUS-EULER NUMBERS AND POLYNOMIALS**

TAEKYUN KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the new q -extension of Frobenius-Euler numbers and polynomials and we derive some interesting identities from the orthogonality type properties for the new q -extension of Frobenius-Euler polynomials. Finally we suggest one open question related to orthogonality of polynomials.

1. Introduction

For $q \in [0, 1]$, we define the q -shifted factorials by $(a : q)_0 = 1$, $(a : q)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i)$, $(a : q)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i)$. If x is classical object, such as a complex number, its q -version is defined by $[x]_q = \frac{1-q^x}{1-q}$. As is well known, the q -exponential function is given by

$$e_q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{1}{((1-q)z : q)_\infty}, \quad (\text{see [5], [7]}), \quad (1.1)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < 1$. The q -derivative is defined by

$$D_q f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1-q)x}, \quad (\text{see [5], [8], [9], [10]}). \quad (1.2)$$

Note that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} D_q f(x) = \frac{df(x)}{dx}$.

The definite q -integral is given by

$$\int_0^x f(t) d_q t = (1-q) \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} f(q^a x) x q^a, \quad (\text{see [4], [7], [10]}). \quad (1.3)$$

From (1.2) to (1.3), we have

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B68, 11S80.

Key words and phrases. q -umbral algebra, q -umbral calculus, n -th ordinary Frobenius-Euler polynomials.

$$\int_0^x t^n d_q t = \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} x^{n+1}, \quad D_q x^n = [n]_q x^{n-1}. \quad (1.4)$$

The q -Bernoulli polynomials of Hegazi and Mansour are defined by the generating function to be

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{t}{e_q(t) - 1} e_q(xt), \quad (\text{see [4]}). \quad (1.5)$$

In the special case, $x = 0$, $B_{n,q}(0) = B_{n,q}$ are called the n -th q -Bernoulli numbers.

By(1.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} &= \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} B_{l,q} \frac{t^l}{[l]_q!} \right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{[m]_q!} t^m \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q B_{l,q} x^{n-l} \right) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where $\binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}$, $[n]_q! = [n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [2]_q [1]_q$.

Thus, from (1.6), we have

$$B_{n,q}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q B_{l,q} x^{n-l}. \quad (1.7)$$

In [1.6], the new q -extension of Euler polynomials are also defined by the generating function to be

$$\frac{2}{e_q(t) + 1} e_q(xt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \quad (1.8)$$

In the special case, $x = 0$, $E_{n,q}(0) = E_{n,q}$ are called the n -th q -Euler numbers.

By (1.8), we easily get

$$E_{n,q}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q E_{k,q} x^{n-k}, \quad (\text{see [7]}). \quad (1.9)$$

More than five decades age, Carlitz(see [8],[9]) defined a q -extension of Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. In a recent paper(see [10]), B.A. Kupersmidt constructed reflection symmetries of q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials. From the methods of B.A. Kupersmidt, Hegazi and Mansour derived some interesting identities and properties related to q -Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. Recently, several authors have studied various q -extension of Bernoulli,

Euler and Genocchi polynomials(see [1],[2],[4]-[14]). Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all formal power series in variable t over \mathbb{C} with

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{[k]_q!} t^k \mid a_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\}. \quad (1.10)$$

Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{C}[x]$ and let \mathbb{P}^* be the vector space of all linear functionals on \mathbb{P} . $\langle L \mid p(x) \rangle$ denotes the action of linear functional L on the polynomials $p(x)$, and it is well know that the vector space operation on \mathbb{P}^* defined by $\langle L + M \mid p(x) \rangle = \langle L \mid p(x) \rangle + \langle M \mid p(x) \rangle$, $\langle cL \mid p(x) \rangle = c \langle L \mid p(x) \rangle$, where c is complex constant. For $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{[k]_q!} t^k \in \mathcal{F}$, Let us define the linear functional on \mathbb{P} by setting

$$\langle f(t) \mid x^n \rangle = a_n \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (1.11)$$

By (1.11), we get

$$\langle t^k \mid x^n \rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k}, \quad (n, k \geq 0), \quad (1.12)$$

where $\delta_{n,k}$ is Kronecker's symbol.

Let $f_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle L \mid x^k \rangle \frac{t^k}{[k]_q!}$. Then, by (1.12), we get

$$\langle f_L(t) \mid x^n \rangle = \langle L \mid x^n \rangle. \quad (1.13)$$

Additionally, the map $L \rightarrow f_L(t)$ is a vector space isomorphism from \mathbb{P}^* onto \mathbb{F} . Henceforth, \mathbb{F} denotes both the algebra of formal power series in t and the vector space of all linear functionals on \mathbb{P} , and so an element $f(t)$ of \mathbb{F} will be thought as a formal power series and a linear functional. We call \mathbb{F} the q -umbral algebra. The q -umbral calculus is the study of q -umbral algebra. By (1.1) and (1.11), we easily see that $\langle e_q(yt) \mid x^n \rangle = y^n$ and so $\langle e_q(yt) \mid p(x) \rangle = p(y)$ for $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}$. The order $O(f(t))$ of the power series $f(t) \neq 0$ is the smallest positive integer for which a_k does not vanish. If $O(f(t)) = 0$, then $f(t)$ is called an invertible series. If $O(f(t)) = 1$, then $f(t)$ is called a delta series(see[13], [14]).

For $f(t) \in \mathbb{F}(t)$, $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}$, we have

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle f(t) \mid t^k \rangle \frac{t^k}{[k]_q!}, \quad p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle t^k \mid p(x) \rangle \frac{x^k}{[k]_q!}. \quad (1.14)$$

Thus, by (1.14), we get

$$p^{(k)}(0) = \langle t^k \mid p(x) \rangle, \quad \langle 1 \mid p^{(k)}(x) \rangle = p^{(k)}(0), \quad (1.15)$$

where $p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x)$ (see[13],[14]).

From (1.15), we have

$$t^k p(x) = p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x). \quad (1.16)$$

For $f(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{F}$ with $O(f(t)) = 1$, $O(g(t)) = 0$, there exists a unique sequence $S_n(x)$ ($\deg S_n(x) = n$) of polynomial such that $\langle g(t)f(t)^k | S_n(x) \rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k}$, ($n, k \geq 0$). The sequence $S_n(x)$ is called the q -Sheffer sequence for $(g(t), f(t))$ which is denoted by $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$.

For $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$, we have

$$\frac{1}{g(\bar{f}(t))} e_q(y\bar{f}(t)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_k(y)}{[k]_q!} t^k, \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1.17)$$

where $\bar{f}(t)$ is the compositional inverse of $f(t)$ (see[13]).

Many researchers told that the properties of the q -extension of Frobenius-Euler polynomials are valuable and worthwhile in the areas of both number theory and mathematical physics (see[1],[2],[4]-[12]). In this paper, we consider new approach to q -extension of Frobenius-Euler numbers and polynomials which are derived from q -umbral Calculus and we give some interesting identities of our q -Frobenius-Euler numbers and polynomials. Finally, we suggest one open question related to orthogonality of Carlitz's q -Bernoulli polynomials.

2. q -extension of Frobenius-Euler polynomials

In this section, we assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda \neq 1$. Now, we consider new q -extension of Frobenius-Euler polynomials which are derived from the generating function as follows:

$$\frac{1-\lambda}{e_q(t)-\lambda} e_q(xt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \quad (2.1)$$

In the special case, $x = 0$, $H_{n,q}(0 | \lambda) = H_{n,q}(\lambda)$ are called the n -th q -Frobenius-Euler numbers. Note that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) = H_n(x | \lambda)$, where $H_n(x | \lambda)$ are the n -th ordinary Frobenius-Euler polynomials.

By (2.1), we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} &= \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} H_{l,q}(\lambda) \frac{t^l}{[l]_q!} \right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{[m]_q!} t^m \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q H_{l,q}(\lambda) x^{n-l} \right) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Thus, from (2.2), we have

$$H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q H_{l,q}(\lambda) x^{n-l}. \quad (2.3)$$

By the definition of q -Frobenius-Euler numbers, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \lambda &= \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} H_{l,q}(\lambda) \frac{t^l}{[l]_q!} \right) (e_q(t) - \lambda) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m}_q H_{n-m,q}(\lambda) \right\} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} - \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n,q}(\lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{ H_{n,q}(1 | \lambda) - \lambda H_{n,q}(\lambda) \} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

By comparing the coefficients on the both sides, we get

$$H_{0,q}(\lambda) = 1, \quad H_{n,q}(1 | \lambda) - \lambda H_{n,q}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 - \lambda, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore, by (2.3) and (2.5), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *For $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q H_{n-l,q}(\lambda) x^l.$$

Moreover,

$$H_{0,q}(\lambda) = 1, \quad H_{n,q}(1 | \lambda) - \lambda H_{n,q}(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda) \delta_{0,n}.$$

For examples, $H_{0,q}(\lambda) = 1$, $H_{1,q}(\lambda) = \frac{-1}{1-\lambda}$, $H_{2,q}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda+q}{(1-\lambda)^2}$, \dots .

From (1.17) and (2.1), we have

$$H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) \sim \left(\frac{e_q(t) - \lambda}{1 - \lambda}, t \right), \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\frac{1 - \lambda}{e_q(t) - \lambda} e_q(xt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \tag{2.7}$$

Thus, by (2.7), we get

$$\frac{1 - \lambda}{e_q(t) - \lambda} x^n = H_{n,q}(x | \lambda), \quad (n \geq 0). \tag{2.8}$$

From (1.4), (1.16) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
t H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) &= \frac{1 - \lambda}{e_q(t) - \lambda} t x^n = [n]_q \frac{1 - \lambda}{e_q(t) - \lambda} x^{n-1} \\
&= [n]_q H_{n-1,q}(x | \lambda).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, by (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) = \frac{1 - \lambda}{e_q(t) - \lambda} x^n, \quad tH_{n,q}(x | \lambda) = [n]_q H_{n-1,q}(x | \lambda).$$

By (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} t^k | H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) \right\rangle &= \frac{[k]_q!}{1 - \lambda} \binom{n}{k}_q \langle e_q(t) - \lambda | H_{n-k,q}(x | \lambda) \rangle \\ &= \frac{[k]_q!}{1 - \lambda} \binom{n}{k}_q \{H_{n-k,q}(1 | \lambda) - \lambda H_{n-k,q}(\lambda)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.6), we have

$$\left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} t^k | H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) \right\rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k}. \quad (2.11)$$

By (2.10) and (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} (H_{n-k,q}(1 | \lambda) - \lambda H_{n-k,q}(\lambda)) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{n-k-l} \binom{n-k}{l}_q H_{l,q}(\lambda) + (1 - \lambda) H_{n-k,q}(\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $n > k$.

Thus, from (2.12), we have

$$H_{n-k,q}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda - 1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-k-l} \binom{n-k}{l}_q H_{l,q}(\lambda), \quad (2.13)$$

where $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $n > k$.

Therefore, by (2.13), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$H_{n,q}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda - 1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{l}_q H_{l,q}(\lambda).$$

From (1.4) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_x^{x+y} H_{n,q}(u \mid \lambda) d_q u &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n+1}{l+1}_q H_{n-l,q}(\lambda) \{(x+y)^{l+1} - x^{l+1}\} \\
&= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{l}_q H_{n+1-l,q}(\lambda) \{(x+y)^l - x^l\} \\
&= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \{H_{n+1,q}(x+y \mid \lambda) - H_{n+1,q}(x \mid \lambda)\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Thus, by (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t} \mid H_{n,q}(x \mid \lambda) \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t} \mid t H_{n+1,q}(x \mid \lambda) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \langle e_q(t) - 1 \mid H_{n+1,q}(x \mid \lambda) \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \{H_{n+1,q}(1 \mid \lambda) - H_{n+1,q}(\lambda)\} \\
&= \int_0^1 H_{n,q}(u \mid \lambda) d_q u.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Therefore, by (2.15), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t} \mid H_{n,q}(x \mid \lambda) \right\rangle = \int_0^1 H_{n,q}(u \mid \lambda) d_q u.$$

Let

$$\mathbb{P}_n = \{p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x] \mid \deg p(x) \leq n\}, \tag{2.16}$$

be the $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} . For $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$, let us assume that

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k H_{k,q}(x \mid \lambda), \quad (n \geq 0). \tag{2.17}$$

Then, by (2.6), (2.11) and (2.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right) t^k \mid p(x) \right\rangle &= \sum_{l=0}^n C_l \left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right) t^k \mid H_{l,q}(x \mid \lambda) \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n C_l [l]_q! \delta_{l,k} = [k]_q! C_k.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

By (2.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_k &= \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right) t^k \mid p(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda)[k]_q!} \left\{ p^{(k)}(1) - \lambda p^{(k)}(0) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where $p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x)$. Therefore, by (2.17) and (2.19), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. For $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$, let $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k H_{k,q}(x \mid \lambda)$. Then we have

$$C_k = \frac{1}{[k]_q! (1 - \lambda)} \left\{ p^{(k)}(1) - \lambda p^{(k)}(0) \right\},$$

where $p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x)$.

Let us take $p(x) = B_{n,q}(x)$ with

$$B_{n,q}(x) = p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k H_{k,q}(x \mid \lambda). \quad (2.20)$$

Then, by Theorem 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_k &= \frac{1}{[k]_q! (1 - \lambda)} \\ &\quad \times \{ [n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [n-k+1]_q B_{n-k,q}(1) - \lambda [n]_q \cdots [n-k+1]_q B_{n-k,q} \} \\ &= \binom{n}{k}_q \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \{ B_{n-k,q}(1) - \lambda B_{n-k,q} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Therefore, by (2.21), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. For $n, k \geq 0$, with $n - k > 0$, we have

$$B_{n,q}(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q \{ B_{n-k,q}(1) - \lambda B_{n-k,q} \} H_{k,q}(x \mid \lambda).$$

Let us take $p(x) = x^n$ with

$$x^n = p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k H_{k,q}(x \mid \lambda). \quad (2.22)$$

From (1.5), we have

$$C_k = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \binom{n}{k}_q - \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \binom{n}{k}_q 0^{n-k}. \quad (2.23)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^n &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q H_{k,q}(x|\lambda) - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} H_{n,q}(x|\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k}_q H_{k,q}(x|\lambda) - H_{n,q}(x|\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

By the same method, we easily see that

$$E_{n,q}(x) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \{E_{n-k,q}(1) - \lambda E_{n-k,q}\} H_{k,q}(x|\lambda).$$

The q -extension of Frobenius-Euler polynomials of order r ($r \in \mathbb{N}$) are defined by the generating function to be

$$\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e_q(t)-\lambda} \right)^r e_q(xt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n,q}^{(r)}(x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \quad (2.24)$$

In the special case, $x = 0$, $H_{n,q}^{(r)}(0|\lambda) = H_{n,q}^{(r)}(\lambda)$ are called the n -th q -Frobenius-Euler numbers of order r .

From (2.24), we can derive the following equation (2.25):

$$H_{n,q}^{(r)}(x|\lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q H_{n-l,q}^{(r)}(\lambda) x^l. \quad (2.25)$$

by (1.17) and (2.24), we get

$$H_{n,q}^{(r)}(x|\lambda) \sim \left(\left(\frac{e_q(t)-\lambda}{1-\lambda} \right)^r, t \right). \quad (2.26)$$

Thus, from (2.26), we have

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t)-\lambda}{1-\lambda} \right)^r t^k \mid H_{n,q}^{(r)}(x|\lambda) \right\rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k}. \quad (2.27)$$

For $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$, let us assume that

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k^r H_{k,q}^{(r)}(x|\lambda), \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (2.28)$$

From (2.27) and (2.28), we can derive the following equation (2.29):

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^r t^k \mid p(x) \right\rangle &= \sum_{l=0}^n C_l^r \left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^r t^k \mid H_{l,q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n C_l^r [l]_q! \delta_{l,k} = C_k^r [k]_q!.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Thus, by (2.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
C_k^r &= \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \left\langle \left(\frac{e_q(t) - \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^r t^k \mid p(x) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{[k]_q! (1 - \lambda)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-\lambda)^{r-j} \left\langle (e_q(t))^j \mid t^k p(x) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{[k]_q! (1 - \lambda)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-\lambda)^{r-j} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_j = l} \frac{\langle 1 \mid p^{(k+l)}(x) \rangle}{[l_1]_q! \cdots [l_j]_q!} \\
&= \frac{1}{[k]_q! (1 - \lambda)^r} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_j = l} \frac{\binom{r}{j} (-\lambda)^{r-j}}{[l_1]_q! \cdots [l_j]_q!} p^{(k+l)}(0).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Therefore, by (2.28) and (2.30), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. For $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$, let $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k^r H_{k,q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda)$.

Then we have

$$C_k^r = \frac{1}{[k]_q! (1 - \lambda)^r} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_j = l} \frac{\binom{r}{j} (-\lambda)^{r-j}}{[l_1]_q! \cdots [l_j]_q!} p^{(k+l)}(0),$$

where $p^{(k+l)}(x) = D_q^{k+l} p(x)$.

From (1.17) and (2.30), we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n,q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{e_q(t) - \lambda} \right)^r e_q(xt). \tag{2.31}$$

Thus, from (2.31), we have.

$$H_{n,q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) = \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{e_q(t) - \lambda} \right)^r x^n, \quad (n \geq 0), \tag{2.32}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} {}_t H_{n,q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) &= \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e_q(t)-\lambda} \right)^r t x^n = [n]_q \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e_q(t)-\lambda} \right)^r x^{n-1} \\ &= [n]_q H_{n-1,q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

Indeed,

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e_q(t)-\lambda} \right)^r e_q(yt) \mid x^n \right\rangle = H_{n,q}^{(r)}(y \mid \lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q H_{n-l,q}^{(r)}(\lambda) y^l, \quad (2.34)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e_q(t)-\lambda} \right)^r \mid x^n \right\rangle &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i_1+\dots+i_r=m} \frac{H_{i_1,q}(\lambda) \cdots H_{i_r,q}(\lambda)}{[i_1]_q! \cdots [i_r]_q!} \right) \langle t^m \mid x^n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_r=n} \frac{[n]_q!}{[i_1]_q! \cdots [i_r]_q!} H_{i_1,q}(\lambda) \cdots H_{i_r,q}(\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_r=n} \binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q H_{i_1,q}(\lambda) \cdots H_{i_r,q}(\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

where $\binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[i_1]_q! \cdots [i_r]_q!}$.

From (2.34), we note that

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e_q(t)-\lambda} \right)^r \mid x^n \right\rangle = H_{n,q}^{(r)}(\lambda), \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (2.36)$$

Therefore, by (2.35) and (2.36), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *For $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$H_{n,q}^{(r)}(\lambda) = \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_r=n} \binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q H_{i_1,q}(\lambda) \cdots H_{i_r,q}(\lambda).$$

Let us take $p(x) = H_{n,q}^{(r)}(x \mid \lambda) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ with

$H_{n,q}^{(r)}(x | \lambda) = p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k H_{k,q}(x | \lambda)$.
Then, by Theorem 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_k &= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)[k]_q!} \langle (e_q(t) - \lambda) t^k | p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \binom{n}{k}_q \langle e_q(t) - \lambda | H_{n-k,q}^{(r)}(x | \lambda) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\binom{n}{k}_q}{1-\lambda} \left\{ H_{n-k,q}^{(r)}(1 | \lambda) - \lambda H_{n-k,q}^{(r)}(\lambda) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

From (2.24), we can readily derive the following equation (2.38):

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ H_{n,q}^{(r)}(1 | \lambda) - \lambda H_{n,q}^{(r)}(\lambda) \right\} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \\ &= \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e_q(t) - \lambda} \right)^r (e_q(t) - \lambda) = (1-\lambda) \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{e_q(t) - \lambda} \right)^{r-1} \\ &= (1-\lambda) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n,q}^{(r-1)}(\lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

By comparing the coefficients on the both sides of (2.38), we get

$$H_{n,q}^{(r)}(1 | \lambda) - \lambda H_{n,q}^{(r)}(\lambda) = (1-\lambda) H_{n,q}^{(r-1)}(\lambda). \quad (2.39)$$

Therefore, by (2.37) and (2.39), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. *For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$H_{n,q}^{(r)}(x | \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q H_{n-k,q}^{(r-1)}(\lambda) H_{k,q}(x | \lambda).$$

Let us assume that $p(x) = H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ with

$$H_{n,q}(x | \lambda) = p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k^r H_{k,q}^{(r)}(x | \lambda). \quad (2.40)$$

Then, by Theorem 2.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
C_k^r &= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^r [k]_q!} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} (-\lambda)^{r-l} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \frac{[n]_q \cdots [n-m-k+1]_q}{[m]_q!} H_{n-m-k, q}(\lambda) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^r} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} (-\lambda)^{r-l} \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \\
&\quad \times \frac{[m+k]_q!}{[m]_q [k]_q!} \times \frac{[n]_q \cdots [n-m-k+1]_q}{[m+k]_q!} H_{n-m-k, q}(\lambda) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^r} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} \binom{r}{l} \\
&\quad \times \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \binom{m+k}{m}_q \binom{n}{m+k}_q (-\lambda)^{r-l} H_{n-m-k, q}(\lambda).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

Therefore, by (2.40) and (2.41), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. *For $n, r \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{n, q}(x | \lambda) &= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^r} \sum_{k=0}^n \\
&\left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} \binom{r}{l} (-\lambda)^{r-l} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \binom{m+k}{m}_q \binom{n}{m+k}_q H_{n-m-k, q}(\lambda) \right\} \\
&\times H_{k, q}^{(r)}(x | \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark. By the same method, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_{n, q}^{(r)}(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^r} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} \binom{r}{l} (-\lambda)^{r-l} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \binom{m+k}{m}_q \binom{n}{m+k}_q B_{n-m-k, q}^{(r)} \right\} \\
&\times H_{k, q}^{(r)}(x | \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

As is well known, the Carlitz's q -Bernoulli polynomials are defined by the generating function to be

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= -t \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q^{2m} e^{[m+x]_q t} + (1-q) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q^m e^{[m+x]_q t}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

Thus, we note that $f(t, x)$ is an invertible series. From (2.42), we note that

$$\beta_{n,q}(x) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} (-1)^l q^{lx} \frac{l+1}{[l+1]_q}, \quad (\text{see [3]}). \quad (2.43)$$

In the special case, $x = 0$, $\beta_{n,q} = \beta_{n,q}(0)$ are called the n -th Carlitz's q -Bernoulli numbers.

By (2.42) and (2.43), we readily see that

$$\beta_{n,q}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} q^{lx} \beta_{l,q} [x]_q^{n-l} \sim (f(t, x), t).$$

Open Problem. Let

$$\mathbb{P}_{n,q} = \{p([x]_q) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]_q] \mid \deg p([x]_q) \leq n\}$$

For $p([x]_q) \in \mathbb{P}_{n,q}$, let us assume that $p([x]_q) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{k,q} \beta_{k,q}(x)$. Determine the coefficient $C_{k,q}$.

References

1. S. Araci, M. Acikgoz, A. Kilicman, *Extended p -adic q -invariant integrals on Z_p associated with applications of umbral calculus*, Adv. Difference Equ. 2013, 2013:96.
2. S. Araci, M. Acikgoz, *A note on the Frobenius-Euler numbers and polynomials associated with Bernstein polynomials*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **22** (2012), no. 3, 399-406.
3. L. Carlitz, *q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials*, Duke Math. J. **15** 1948, 987-1000.
4. A. S. Hegazi, M. Mansour, *A note on q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **13** (2006), no. 1, 9-18.
5. A.S. Hegazi, M. Mansour, *Weight function approach to q -difference equations for the q -hypergeometric polynomials*, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. **43** (2004), no. 1, 237-250.
6. A. Khrennikov, *Quantum probabilities from a mathematical model of threshold detection of classical random waves*, J. Phys. A **45** (2012), no. 21, 215301, 24 pp.
7. T. Kim, *q -generalized Euler numbers and polynomials*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **13** (2006), no. 3, 293-298.
8. T. Kim, *Power series and asymptotic series associated with the q -analog of the two-variable p -adic L -function*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **12** (2005), no. 2, 186-196.

9. T. Kim, *Barnes-type multiple q -zeta functions and q -Euler polynomials*, J. Phys. A **43** (2010), no. 25, 255201, 11 pp.
10. B. A. Kupershmidt, *Reflection symmetries of q -Bernoulli polynomials*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **12** (2005), suppl. 1, 412-422.
11. N. I. Mahmudov, *On a class of q -Bernoulli and q -Euler polynomials*, Adv. Difference Equ. 2013, 2013:108.
12. D.S. Kim, T. Kim, Y. H. Kim, S. H. Lee, *Some arithmetic properties of Bernoulli and Euler numbers*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **22** (2012), no. 4, 467-480.
13. S. Roman, *The umbral calculus, Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 111. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York, 1984. x+193 pp. ISBN: 0-12-594380-6
14. S. Roman, G.-C. Rota, *The umbral calculus*, Advances in Math. **27** (1978), no. 2, 95-188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KWANGWOON UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 139-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: `tkkim@kw.ac.kr`