

## THE MAXIMAL ORDER OF STERN'S DIATOMIC SEQUENCE

MICHAEL COONS AND JASON TYLER

ABSTRACT. We answer a question of Calkin and Wilf concerning the maximal order of Stern's diatomic sequence. Specifically, we prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{\varphi^{\log_2 n}} = \frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}},$$

where  $\varphi = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$  is the golden ratio. This improves on previous results given by Berlekamp, Conway, and Guy, who showed that the limit value was bounded above by 1.25, and by Calkin and Wilf, who showed that the exact value was in the interval  $[(\varphi/\sqrt{5})(3/2)^{\log_2 \varphi}, (\varphi + 1)/\sqrt{5}]$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

*Stern's Diatomic sequence* (commonly called *Stern's sequence*),  $\{a(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ , is given by  $a(0) = 0$ ,  $a(1) = 1$ , and when  $n \geq 1$ , by

$$a(2n) = a(n) \quad \text{and} \quad a(2n + 1) = a(n) + a(n + 1).$$

In a recent survey article, Northshield [5] restated a question of Calkin and Wilf [2], which asks for the exact value of  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a(n)/\varphi^{\log_2 n}$ , where  $\varphi = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$  is the golden ratio and  $\log_2 n$  denotes the base-2 logarithm of  $n$ ; that is, they asked one to *determine the exact maximal order of the Stern sequence*. This question goes back at least to the 1982 book of Berlekamp, Conway, and Guy [1, page 115] who showed that  $a(n - 1)$  is the number of nim-sums corresponding to a given ordinary sum  $n$ , and gave an upper bound of 1.25 for the limit in question. Calkin and Wilf [2] improved on the bounds; they showed that

$$0.958854 \dots = \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\log_2 \varphi} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{\varphi^{\log_2 n}} \leq \frac{\varphi + 1}{\sqrt{5}} = 1.170820 \dots$$

We answer this question by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\{a(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$  denote the Stern sequence. Then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{\varphi^{\log_2 n}} = \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\log_2 \varphi} = \frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} = 0.9588541900 \dots$$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

It is well-known that the maximum value of  $a(m)$  in the interval  $2^{n-2} \leq m \leq 2^{n-1}$  is the  $n$ th Fibonacci number  $F_n$  and that this maximum first occurs at

$$m_n := \frac{1}{3}(2^n - (-1)^n);$$

see Lehmer [3] and Lind [4].

---

*Date:* April 16, 2019.

For our proof, we will use the points  $(m_n, a(m_n))$  to produce a continuous function  $h(x)$  which is an upper bound for the Stern sequence, and which is asymptotically a lower bound for the function  $(\varphi^{\log_2 3}/\sqrt{5})\varphi^{\log_2 x}$ . We will then use these functions combined with some properties of limits to prove Theorem 1.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1, we give a formal definition of  $h(x)$  and provide some useful lemmas concerning  $h(x)$  and its relationships to both  $a(n)$  and  $\varphi^{\log_2 x}$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  denote the piecewise linear function connecting the set of points  $\{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(m_n, a(m_n)) : n \geq 2\}$ .

By definition,  $h(x)$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and differentiable in the intervals  $(m_n, m_{n+1})$ . Using point-slope form, for  $x \in [m_n, m_{n+1}]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \frac{a(m_{n+1}) - a(m_n)}{m_{n+1} - m_n}(x - m_n) + a(m_n) \\ (1) \quad &= 3 \cdot \frac{F_{n-1}}{2^n + 2(-1)^n}x + F_n - F_{n-1} \cdot \frac{2^n - (-1)^n}{2^n + 2(-1)^n} \\ (2) \quad &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[ \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\varphi}{2} \right)^{n-1} x + \varphi^n \left( 1 - \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\varphi^{2(n-1)}} - \frac{1}{\varphi} \right) \right] \cdot (1 + O(2^{-n})). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used Binet's formula that  $F_n = \frac{\varphi^n - (-\varphi)^{-n}}{\sqrt{5}}$ , where  $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  is the golden ratio.

**Lemma 3.** *We have*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \{h(4x - 3) - h(2x - 1) - h(x)\} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Consider first the numbers  $x, 2x+2$ , and  $4x+6$ . Suppose that  $x \in [m_n, m_{n+1}]$ . Then since  $m_{n+1} = 2m_n + (-1)^n$ , we have  $2x + 2 \in [m_{n+1}, m_{n+2}]$  and  $4x + 6 \in [m_{n+2}, m_{n+3}]$ , so that  $x, 2x + 2$  and  $4x + 6$  are in distinct subintervals  $[m_i, m_{i+1}]$ .

For  $x \in [m_n, m_{n+1}]$ , using (1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &h(4x + 6) - h(2x + 2) - h(x) \\ &= \left\{ 12 \cdot \frac{F_{n+1}}{2^{n+2} + 2(-1)^{n+2}} - 6 \cdot \frac{F_n}{2^{n+1} + 2(-1)^{n+1}} - 3 \cdot \frac{F_{n-1}}{2^n + 2(-1)^n} \right\} x \\ &\quad + \left\{ 18 \cdot \frac{F_{n+1}}{2^{n+2} + 2(-1)^{n+2}} - 6 \cdot \frac{F_n}{2^{n+1} + 2(-1)^{n+1}} - 3 \cdot \frac{F_{n-1}}{2^n + 2(-1)^n} \right\} \\ &\quad - F_{n+1} \cdot \frac{2^{n+2} - (-1)^{n+2}}{2^{n+2} + 2(-1)^{n+2}} + F_n \cdot \frac{2^{n+1} - (-1)^{n+1}}{2^{n+1} + 2(-1)^{n+1}} + F_{n-1} \cdot \frac{2^n - (-1)^n}{2^n + 2(-1)^n} \\ &= \mathfrak{S}_1 \cdot x + \mathfrak{S}_2 + \mathfrak{S}_3, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  represent the three-term sums from the three previous lines, respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathfrak{S}_1 \cdot x| &= \left| 12 \cdot \frac{F_{n+1}}{2^{n+2} + 2(-1)^{n+2}} - 6 \cdot \frac{F_n}{2^{n+1} + 2(-1)^{n+1}} - 3 \cdot \frac{F_{n-1}}{2^n + 2(-1)^n} \right| \cdot m_{n+1} \\
&= \left| \frac{3 \cdot F_{n+1}}{2^n + \frac{(-1)^{n+2}}{2}} - \frac{3 \cdot F_n}{2^n + (-1)^{n+1}} - \frac{3 \cdot F_{n-1}}{2^n + 2(-1)^n} \right| \cdot \frac{(2^n - (-1)^n)}{3} \\
&< \left| \frac{F_{n+1}}{1 + \frac{(-1)^{n+2}}{2^{n+1}}} - \frac{F_n}{1 + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2^n}} - \frac{F_{n-1}}{1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{n-1}}} \right| \cdot 2 \\
&= |F_{n+1} \cdot (1 + O(2^{-n})) - F_n \cdot (1 + O(2^{-n})) - F_{n-1} \cdot (1 + O(2^{-n}))| \cdot 2 \\
&= O\left(\frac{\varphi^n}{2^n}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where for the last equality we have used both the Fibonacci recursion and the fact that  $F_n = O(\varphi^n)$ . Using  $F_n = O(\varphi^n)$  again, we immediately gain

$$|\mathfrak{S}_2| = O\left(\frac{\varphi^n}{2^n}\right),$$

and similarly

$$|\mathfrak{S}_3| = |F_{n+1}(1 + O(2^{-n})) - F_n(1 + O(2^{-n})) - F_{n-1}(1 + O(2^{-n}))| = O\left(\frac{\varphi^n}{2^n}\right).$$

Thus

$$|h(4x+6) - h(2x+2) - h(x)| \leq |\mathfrak{S}_1 \cdot x| + |\mathfrak{S}_2| + |\mathfrak{S}_3| = O\left(\frac{\varphi^n}{2^n}\right).$$

Noting that  $2 > \varphi$ , gives then that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \{h(4x+6) - h(2x+2) - h(x)\} = 0.$$

By (2)

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow \infty \\ x \neq m_n}} \frac{d}{dx} h(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3}{2\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{n-1} (1 + O(2^{-n})) = 0,$$

and since  $h(x)$  is continuous for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , we have that for any fixed number  $y$ ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \{h(x+y) - h(x)\} = 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \{h(4x+6) - h(2x+2) - h(x) - [h(4x-3) - h(2x-1) - h(x)]\} = 0,$$

and so

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \{h(4x-3) - h(2x-1) - h(x)\} = 0. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, then there is an  $M := M(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for  $m \geq M$ ,  $a(m) \leq h(m) + \varepsilon$ . In particular, we have that*

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(m)}{h(m)} = 1.$$

*Proof.* Note that by design,  $a(m_n) = h(m_n)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . So suppose that  $m \neq m_n$  for any  $n$ . We will consider the three cases, of  $m$  even or odd modulo 4, separately.

If  $m = 2k$  for some  $k$ , then

$$a(m) = a(2k) = a(k) < h(m) < h(2m),$$

where the last inequality is valid since  $h(x)$  is a monotone increasing function.

For the odd cases, we suppose that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given and that  $m$  is large enough with respect to  $\varepsilon$ , so that using Lemma 3, for all  $x \geq \frac{m}{5}$  we have

$$(3) \quad |h(4x - 3) - h(2x - 1) - h(x)| < \varepsilon.$$

If  $m = 4k + 1$ , then  $m = 2(2k) + 1$ , so that using the recursion of the Stern sequence combined with (3) with  $x = k + 1 = \frac{m+3}{4} > \frac{m}{5}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(m) &= a(2(2k) + 1) = a(2k) + a(2k + 1) = a(k) + a(2k + 1) \\ &\leq h(k) + h(2k + 1) < h(k + 1) + h(2k + 1) < h(4k + 1) + \varepsilon = h(m) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = 4k + 3$ , then  $m = 2(2k + 1) + 1$ , so that using the same properties as the previous sentence combined with (3) with  $x = k + 1 = \frac{m+1}{4} > \frac{m}{5}$ , we have, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(m) &= a(2(2k + 1) + 1) = a(2k + 1) + a(2k + 2) = a(2k + 1) + a(k + 1) \\ &\leq h(k + 1) + h(2k + 1) < h(4k + 1) + \varepsilon < h(4k + 3) + \varepsilon = h(m) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that for all  $m$  large enough  $a(m) \leq h(m) + \varepsilon$ .

For the limit result, note that

$$1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(m_n)}{h(m_n)} \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(m)}{h(m)} \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(m) + \varepsilon}{h(m)} = 1. \quad \square$$

Though we have not shown it here, as it was not needed for the results of this paper, it seems that actually  $a(m) < h(m)$  for all  $m \neq m_n$ . That is,  $h(x)$  is the upper boundary of the convex hull of the points  $\{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(m_n, a(m_n)) : n \geq 2\}$ . A proof of this should follow if one makes Lemma 3 effective, and applies the theory of continued fractions to the slope of  $h(x)$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then for large enough  $x$ , we have*

$$\sqrt{5} \cdot h(x) \leq \varphi^{\log_2 3} \varphi^{\log_2 x} + \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Note that at the points  $(m_n, a(m_n))$ , we have  $h(m_n) = a(m_n) = F_n$  by construction. Thus, noting that

$$\varphi^{\log_2 \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n}\right)} = 1 + O(2^{-n}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{5} \cdot h(m_n) - \varphi^{\log_2 3} \varphi^{\log_2 m_n} &= \sqrt{5} \cdot F_n - \varphi^{\log_2 3} \varphi^{\log_2 m_n} \\ &= \varphi^n - \frac{(-1)^n}{\varphi^n} - \varphi^n \varphi^{\log_2 \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n}\right)} = O\left(\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^n\right). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the result holds for  $x = m_n$  for large enough  $n$ .

Using this, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given and let  $N$  be large enough so that we have

$$(4) \quad \sqrt{5} \cdot h(m_n) - \varphi^{\log_2 3} \varphi^{\log_2 m_n} < \varepsilon$$

for all  $m_n > N$  and, towards a contradiction, suppose that there is an  $x_n \in (m_n, m_{n+1})$  such that

$$(5) \quad \sqrt{5} \cdot h(x_n) - \varphi^{\log_2 3} \varphi^{\log_2 x_n} \geq \varepsilon.$$

Then since  $\sqrt{5} \cdot h(x) - \varphi^{\log_2 3} \varphi^{\log_2 x}$  is differentiable for  $x \in (m_n, m_{n+1})$ , by (4) and (5), the function attains a maximum value at some  $x \in (m_n, m_{n+1})$ . Thus there is an  $x \in (m_n, m_{n+1})$  such that the second derivative of  $\sqrt{5} \cdot h(x) - \varphi^{\log_2 3} \varphi^{\log_2 x}$  is negative. But

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left\{ \sqrt{5} \cdot h(x) - \varphi^{\log_2 3} \varphi^{\log_2 x} \right\} &= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left\{ -\varphi^{\log_2 3} x^{\log_2 \varphi} \right\} \\ &= -\varphi^{\log_2 3} \log_2 \varphi \cdot (\log_2 \varphi - 1) x^{\log_2 \varphi - 2}, \end{aligned}$$

which is positive for all  $x \in (m_n, m_{n+1})$  as

$$-\varphi^{\log_2 3} \log_2 \varphi \cdot (\log_2 \varphi - 1) > 0.$$

Thus we arrive at the contradiction, which proves the lemma.  $\square$

### 3. THE MAXIMAL GROWTH OF STERN'S DIATOMIC SEQUENCE

In order to remove the  $\varepsilon$ -values given in the previous lemmas, we will use the following result, which is a common exercise in introductory analysis texts, and so as not to ruin the reader's experience we will not prove it here.

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose that  $\{f(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ , and  $\{g(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$  be sequences of real numbers such that  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$  are eventually positive. Then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)g(n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) \cdot \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n).$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* By Lemma 5 we have for large enough  $x$  that

$$h(x) \leq \frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} \varphi^{\log_2 x} + \varepsilon,$$

and by Lemma 4 we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{h(n)} = 1.$$

Thus we have for large enough  $n$  that

$$\frac{a(n)}{\frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} \varphi^{\log_2 n} + \varepsilon} \leq \frac{a(n)}{h(n)},$$

and so we have

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{\frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} \varphi^{\log_2 n} + \varepsilon} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{h(n)} \leq 1.$$

Using Lemma 6 and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{\frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} \varphi^{\log_2 n}} &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{\frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} \varphi^{\log_2 n} + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} \varphi^{\log_2 n} + \varepsilon}{\frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} \varphi^{\log_2 n}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{\frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} \varphi^{\log_2 n} + \varepsilon} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{h(n)} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

But we also have that

$$\frac{a(m_n)}{\frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} \varphi^{\log_2 m_n}} = \frac{F_n}{\frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} \varphi^{\log_2 m_n}} = \frac{\varphi^n - \frac{(-1)^n}{\varphi^n}}{\varphi^n \varphi^{\log_2 \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n}\right)}} = 1 + O(2^{-n}).$$

Thus by (7) we have

$$1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(m_n)}{\frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} \varphi^{\log_2 m_n}} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{\frac{\varphi^{\log_2 3}}{\sqrt{5}} \varphi^{\log_2 n}} \leq 1,$$

which proves the theorem.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Elwyn R. Berlekamp, John H. Conway, and Richard K. Guy, *Winning ways for your mathematical plays. Vol. 1*, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], London, 1982, Games in general. MR 654501 (84h:90091a)
- [2] N. J. Calkin and H. S. Wilf, *Binary partitions of integers and Stern-Brocot-like trees*, unpublished (1998), updated version August 5, 2009, 19 pages.
- [3] D. N. Lehmer, *On Stern's diatomic series.*, Amer. Math. Monthly **36** (1929), 59–67.
- [4] D. A. Lind, *An extension of Stern's diatomic series*, Duke Math. J. **36** (1969), 55–60.
- [5] Sam Northshield, *Stern's diatomic sequence 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 2, 3, 1, 4, ...*, Amer. Math. Monthly **117** (2010), no. 7, 581–598. MR 2681519 (2011d:11051)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, AUSTRALIA  
*E-mail address:* `Michael.Coons@newcastle.edu.au`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, AUSTRALIA  
*E-mail address:* `Jason.Tyler@newcastle.edu.au`