

*-transforms of acyclic complexes

Taro Inagawa

Graduate School of Science, Chiba University,
1-33 Yayoi-Cho, Inage-Ku, Chiba-Shi, 263-8522, JAPAN

Abstract

Let R be an n -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and Q a parameter ideal of R . Suppose that an acyclic complex $(F_\bullet, \varphi_\bullet)$ of length n of finitely generated free R -modules is given. We put $M = \text{Im } \varphi_1$, which is an R -submodule of F_0 . Then F_\bullet is an R -free resolution of F_0/M . In this paper, we describe a concrete procedure to get an acyclic complex $*F_\bullet$ of length n that resolves $F_0/(M :_{F_0} Q)$.

1 Introduction

The *-transform of an acyclic complex of length 3 is introduced in [1]. The purpose of this paper is to generalize it for acyclic complexes of length $n \geq 2$. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be an n -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n an sop for R . We put $Q = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)R$. Suppose that an acyclic complex

$$0 \longrightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0$$

of finitely generated free R -modules such that $\text{Im } \varphi_n \subseteq QF_{n-1}$ is given. In this paper, we describe an operation to get an acyclic complex

$$0 \longrightarrow *F_n \xrightarrow{*\varphi_n} *F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow *F_1 \xrightarrow{*\varphi_1} *F_0 = F_0$$

such that $\text{Im } *\varphi_1 = \text{Im } \varphi_1 :_{F_0} Q$ and $\text{Im } *\varphi_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \cdot *F_{n-1}$, which is called the *-transform of F_\bullet with respect to x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . As we give a practical condition for $*F_n$ to be vanished, it is possible to consider when the depth of $F_0/(\text{Im } \varphi_1 :_{F_0} Q)$ is positive. This is useful when we compute the saturation of ideals. In fact, in the subsequent paper [2], using *-transform we compute the saturation of the m -th power of the ideal generated by the maximal minors of the following $m \times (m+1)$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1^{\alpha_{1,1}} & x_2^{\alpha_{1,2}} & x_3^{\alpha_{1,3}} & \cdots & x_m^{\alpha_{1,m}} & x_{m+1}^{\alpha_{1,m+1}} \\ x_2^{\alpha_{2,1}} & x_3^{\alpha_{2,2}} & x_4^{\alpha_{2,3}} & \cdots & x_{m+1}^{\alpha_{2,m}} & x_1^{\alpha_{2,m+1}} \\ x_3^{\alpha_{3,1}} & x_4^{\alpha_{3,2}} & x_5^{\alpha_{3,3}} & \cdots & x_1^{\alpha_{3,m}} & x_2^{\alpha_{3,m+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_m^{\alpha_{m,1}} & x_{m+1}^{\alpha_{m,2}} & x_1^{\alpha_{m,3}} & \cdots & x_{m-2}^{\alpha_{m,m}} & x_{m-1}^{\alpha_{m,m+1}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}$ is an sop and $\{\alpha_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq m+1}$ is a family of positive integers.

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative ring, and in the last section, we assume that R is an n -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. For R -modules G and H , the elements of $G \oplus H$ are denoted by column vectors;

$$\begin{pmatrix} g \\ h \end{pmatrix} \quad (g \in G, h \in H).$$

In particular, the elements of the forms

$$\begin{pmatrix} g \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h \end{pmatrix}$$

are denoted by $[g]$ and $\langle h \rangle$, respectively. Moreover, if V is a subset of G , then the family $\{[v]\}_{v \in V}$ is denoted by $[V]$. Similarly $\langle W \rangle$ is defined for a subset W of H . If T is a subset of an R -module, we denote by $R \cdot T$ the R -submodule generated by T . If S is a finite set, $\#S$ denotes the number of elements of S .

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we summarize preliminary results. Let R be a commutative ring.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G_\bullet and F_\bullet be acyclic complexes, whose boundary maps are denoted by ∂_\bullet and φ_\bullet , respectively. Suppose that a chain map $\sigma_\bullet : G_\bullet \rightarrow F_\bullet$ is given and $\sigma_0^{-1}(\text{Im } \varphi_1) = \text{Im } \partial_1$ holds. Then the mapping cone $\text{Cone}(\sigma_\bullet)$:*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} G_{p-1} \\ \oplus \\ F_p \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\psi_p} \begin{matrix} G_{p-2} \\ \oplus \\ F_{p-1} \end{matrix} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} G_1 \\ \oplus \\ F_2 \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\psi_2} \begin{matrix} G_0 \\ \oplus \\ F_1 \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} F_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

is acyclic, where

$$\psi_p = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{p-1} & 0 \\ (-1)^{p-1} \cdot \sigma_{p-1} & \varphi_p \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } \forall p \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_1 = (\sigma_0 \quad \varphi_1).$$

Hence, if G_\bullet and F_\bullet are complexes of finitely generated free R -modules, then $\text{Cone}(\sigma_\bullet)$ gives an R -free resolution of $\text{Im } \varphi_1 + \text{Im } \sigma_0$.

Proof. See [1, 2.1]. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $C_{\bullet\bullet}$ be a double complex such that $C_{p,q} = 0$ unless $0 \leq p, q \leq n$. For any $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote the boundary maps $C_{p,q} \rightarrow C_{p-1,q}$ and $C_{p,q} \rightarrow C_{p,q-1}$ by $d'_{p,q}$ and $d''_{p,q}$, respectively. We assume that $C_{p\bullet}$ and $C_{\bullet q}$ are acyclic for $0 \leq p, q \leq n$. Let T_\bullet be the total complex of $C_{\bullet\bullet}$ and let d_\bullet be its boundary map, that is, if $\xi \in C_{p,q} \subseteq T_r$ ($p + q = r$), then*

$$d_r(\xi) = (-1)^p \cdot d''_{p,q}(\xi) + d'_{p,q}(\xi) \in C_{p,q-1} \oplus C_{p-1,q} \subseteq T_{r-1}.$$

Then the following assertions hold.

- (1) Suppose that $\xi_n \in C_{n,0}$ and $\xi_{n-1} \in C_{n-1,1}$ such that $d'_{n,0}(\xi_n) = (-1)^n \cdot d''_{n-1,1}(\xi_{n-1})$ are given. Then there exist elements $\xi_p \in C_{p,n-p}$ for $0 \leq \forall p \leq n-2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_n + \xi_{n-1} + \xi_{n-2} + \cdots + \xi_0 &\in \text{Ker } d_n \\ &\subseteq T_n = C_{n,0} \oplus C_{n-1,1} \oplus C_{n-2,2} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{0,n}. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Suppose that $\xi_n + \xi_{n-1} + \cdots + \xi_1 + \xi_0 \in \text{Ker } d_n \subseteq T_n = C_{n,0} \oplus C_{n-1,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{1,n-1} \oplus C_{0,n}$ and $\xi_0 \in \text{Im } d'_{1,n}$. Then

$$\xi_n + \xi_{n-1} + \cdots + \xi_1 + \xi_0 \in \text{Im } d_{n+1}.$$

In particular, we have $\xi_n \in \text{Im } d''_{n,1}$.

Proof. (1) It is enough to show that if $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ and two elements $\xi_{p+1} \in C_{p+1,n-p-1}$, $\xi_p \in C_{p,n-p}$ such that

$$d'_{p+1,n-p-1}(\xi_{p+1}) = (-1)^{p+1} \cdot d''_{p,n-p}(\xi_p)$$

are given, then we can take $\xi_{p-1} \in C_{p-1,n-p+1}$ so that

$$d'_{p,n-p}(\xi_p) = (-1)^p \cdot d''_{p-1,n-p+1}(\xi_{p-1}).$$

In fact, if the assumption of the claim stated above is satisfied, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d''_{p-1,n-p}(d'_{p,n-p}(\xi_p)) &= d'_{p,n-p-1}(d''_{p,n-p}(\xi_p)) \\ &= d'_{p,n-p-1}((-1)^{p+1} \cdot d'_{p+1,n-p-1}(\xi_{p+1})) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$d'_{p,n-p}(\xi_p) \in \text{Ker } d''_{p-1,n-p} = \text{Im } d''_{p-1,n-p+1},$$

which means the existence of the required element ξ_{p-1} .

- (2) We set $\eta_0 = 0$. By the assumption, there exists $\eta_1 \in C_{1,n}$ such that

$$\xi_0 = d'_{1,n}(\eta_1) = d'_{1,n}(\eta_1) + d''_{0,n+1}(\eta_0).$$

Here we assume $0 \leq p \leq n-1$ and two elements $\eta_p \in C_{p,n-p+1}$, $\eta_{p+1} \in C_{p+1,n-p}$ such that

$$\xi_p = d'_{p+1,n-p}(\eta_{p+1}) + (-1)^p \cdot d''_{p,n-p+1}(\eta_p)$$

are fixed. We would like to find $\eta_{p+2} \in C_{p+2,n-p-1}$ such that

$$\xi_{p+1} = d'_{p+2,n-p-1}(\eta_{p+2}) + (-1)^{p+1} \cdot d''_{p+1,n-p}(\eta_{p+1}).$$

In fact, as we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d'_{p+1,n-p-1}(\xi_{p+1} + (-1)^p \cdot d''_{p+1,n-p}(\eta_{p+1})) \\ &= d'_{p+1,n-p-1}(\xi_{p+1}) + (-1)^p \cdot d'_{p+1,n-p-1}(d''_{p+1,n-p}(\eta_{p+1})) \\ &= (-1)^{p+1} \cdot d''_{p,n-p}(\xi_p) + (-1)^p \cdot d''_{p,n-p}(d'_{p+1,n-p}(\eta_{p+1})) \\ &= (-1)^{p+1} \cdot d''_{p,n-p}(\xi_p - d'_{p+1,n-p}(\eta_{p+1})) \\ &= (-1)^{p+1} \cdot d''_{p,n-p}((-1)^p \cdot d''_{p,n-p+1}(\eta_p)) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\xi_{p+1} + (-1)^p \cdot d''_{p+1, n-p}(\eta_{p+1}) \in \text{Ker } d'_{p+1, n-p-1} = \text{Im } d'_{p+2, n-p-1}.$$

Thus we see the existence of the required element η_{p+2} . \square

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that*

$$0 \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \xrightarrow{\psi} H \xrightarrow{\rho} L$$

is an exact sequence of R -modules. Then the following assertions hold.

- (1) *If there exists a homomorphism $\phi : G \longrightarrow F$ of R -modules such that $\phi \circ \varphi = \text{id}_F$, then*

$$0 \longrightarrow {}^*G \xrightarrow{{}^*\psi} H \xrightarrow{\rho} L$$

*is exact, where ${}^*G = \text{Ker } \phi$ and ${}^*\psi$ is the restriction of ψ to *G .*

- (2) *If $F = {}'F \oplus {}^*F$, $G = {}'G \oplus {}^*G$, $\varphi({}'F) = {}'G$ and $\varphi({}^*F) \subseteq {}^*G$, then*

$$0 \longrightarrow {}^*F \xrightarrow{{}^*\varphi} {}^*G \xrightarrow{{}^*\psi} H \xrightarrow{\rho} L$$

is exact, where ${}^\varphi$ and ${}^*\psi$ are the restrictions of φ and ψ to *F and *G , respectively.*

Proof. See [1, 2.3]. \square

3 *-transform

Let $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let R be an n -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Suppose that an acyclic complex

$$0 \longrightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0$$

of finitely generated free R -modules such that $\text{Im } \varphi_n \subseteq QF_{n-1}$ is given, where $Q = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)R$ is a parameter ideal of R . We put $M = \text{Im } \varphi_1$, which is an R -submodule of F_0 . In this section, transforming F_\bullet suitably, we aim to construct an acyclic complex

$$0 \longrightarrow {}^*F_n \xrightarrow{{}^*\varphi_n} {}^*F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow {}^*F_1 \xrightarrow{{}^*\varphi_1} {}^*F_0 = F_0$$

of finitely generated free R -modules such that $\text{Im } {}^*\varphi_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \cdot {}^*F_{n-1}$ and $\text{Im } {}^*\varphi_1 = M :_{F_0} Q$. Let us call ${}^*F_\bullet$ the $*$ -transform of F_\bullet with respect to x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

In this operation, we use the Koszul complex $K_\bullet = K_\bullet(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. We denote the boundary map of K_\bullet by ∂_\bullet . Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n be an R -free basis of K_1 such that $\partial_1(e_i) = x_i$ for $1 \leq \forall i \leq n$. Moreover, we use the following notation:

- $N := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- $N_p := \{I \subseteq N \mid \#I = p\}$ for $1 \leq \forall p \leq n$ and $N_0 := \{\emptyset\}$.

- If $1 \leq p \leq n$ and $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p\} \in N_p$, where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \leq n$, we set

$$e_I = e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p} \in K_p.$$

In particular, for $1 \leq \forall i \leq n$, $\check{e}_i := e_{N \setminus \{i\}}$. Furthermore, e_\emptyset denotes the identity element 1_R of $R = K_0$.

- If $1 \leq p \leq n$, $I \in N_p$ and $i \in I$, we set

$$s(i, I) = \#\{j \in I \mid j < i\}.$$

We define $\#\emptyset = 0$, so $s(i, I) = 0$ if $i = \min I$.

Then, for $0 \leq \forall p \leq n$, $\{e_I\}_{I \in N_p}$ is an R -free basis of K_p and

$$\partial_p(e_I) = \sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{s(i, I)} \cdot x_i \cdot e_{I \setminus \{i\}}.$$

As x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is an R -regular sequence, K_\bullet gives an R -free resolution of R/Q . Hence, for any R -module N , we have the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Hom}_R(K_{n-1}, N) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(\partial_n, N)} & \text{Hom}_R(K_n, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^n(R/Q, N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \text{ (ex)} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & & & \\ N^{\oplus n} & \xrightarrow{(x_1 -x_2 \cdots (-1)^{n-1} \cdot x_n)} & N & \longrightarrow & N/QN & \longrightarrow & 0 \text{ (ex)}, \end{array}$$

which implies $\text{Ext}_R^n(R/Q, N) \cong N/QN$. Using this fact, we show the next result.

Theorem 3.1. $(M :_{F_0} Q)/M \cong F_n/QF_n$.

Proof. We put $L_0 = F_0/M$. Moreover, for $1 \leq \forall p \leq n-1$, we put $L_p = \text{Im } \varphi_p \subseteq F_{p-1}$ and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L_p \longrightarrow F_{p-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{p-1}} L_{p-1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\varphi_0 : F_0 \longrightarrow L_0$ is the canonical surjection. Because

$$\text{Ext}_R^{p-1}(R/Q, F_{p-1}) = \text{Ext}_R^p(R/Q, F_{p-1}) = 0,$$

we get

$$\text{Ext}_R^p(R/Q, L_p) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{p-1}(R/Q, L_{p-1}).$$

Therefore $\text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(R/Q, L_{n-1}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/Q, F_0/M) \cong (M :_{F_0} Q)/M$. Next, we look at the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}} L_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

which yields the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(R/Q, L_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^n(R/Q, F_n) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi}_n} & \text{Ext}_R^n(R/Q, F_{n-1}) & \text{ (ex)} \\ & & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & \\ & & & F_n/QF_n & \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}_n} & F_{n-1}/QF_{n-1}, & \end{array}$$

where $\widetilde{\varphi}_n$ and $\overline{\varphi}_n$ denote the maps induced from φ_n . Let us notice $\overline{\varphi}_n = 0$ as $\text{Im } \varphi_n \subseteq QF_{n-1}$. Hence

$$\text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(R/Q, L_{n-1}) \cong F_n/QF_n,$$

and so the required isomorphism follows. \square

Let us fix an R -free basis of F_n , say $\{v_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. We set $\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda \times N$ and take a family $\{v_{(\lambda,i)}\}_{(\lambda,i) \in \tilde{\Lambda}}$ of elements in F_{n-1} so that

$$\varphi_n(v_\lambda) = \sum_{i \in N} x_i \cdot v_{(\lambda,i)}$$

for $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$. This is possible as $\text{Im } \varphi_n \subseteq QF_{n-1}$. The next result is the essential part of the process to get $*F_\bullet$.

Theorem 3.2. *There exists a chain map $\sigma_\bullet : F_n \otimes_R K_\bullet \longrightarrow F_\bullet$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F_n \otimes_R K_n & \xrightarrow{F_n \otimes \partial_n} & F_n \otimes_R K_{n-1} & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & F_n \otimes_R K_1 & \xrightarrow{F_n \otimes \partial_1} & F_n \otimes_R K_0 \\ & & \downarrow \sigma_n & & \downarrow \sigma_{n-1} & & \downarrow \sigma_1 & & \downarrow \sigma_0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} & F_{n-1} & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & F_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & F_0 \end{array}$$

satisfying the following conditions.

- (1) $\sigma_0^{-1}(\text{Im } \varphi_1) = \text{Im}(F_n \otimes \partial_1)$.
- (2) $\text{Im } \sigma_0 + \text{Im } \varphi_1 = M :_{F_0} Q$.
- (3) $\sigma_{n-1}(v_\lambda \otimes \check{e}_i) = (-1)^{n+i-1} \cdot v_{(\lambda,i)}$ for $\forall (\lambda,i) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$.
- (4) $\sigma_n(v_\lambda \otimes e_N) = (-1)^n \cdot v_\lambda$ for $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Let us notice that, for $0 \leq \forall p \leq n$, $\{v_\lambda \otimes e_I\}_{(\lambda,I) \in \Lambda \times N_p}$ is an R -free basis of $F_n \otimes_R K_p$, so $\sigma_p : F_n \otimes_R K_p \longrightarrow F_p$ can be defined by choosing suitable element $w_{(\lambda,I)} \in F_p$ that corresponds to $v_\lambda \otimes e_I$ for $\forall (\lambda,I) \in \Lambda \times N_p$. We set $w_{(\lambda,N)} = (-1)^n \cdot v_\lambda$ for $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ and $w_{(\lambda,N \setminus \{i\})} = (-1)^{n+i-1} \cdot v_{(\lambda,i)}$ for $\forall (\lambda,i) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n(w_{(\lambda,N)}) &= (-1)^n \cdot \varphi_n(v_\lambda) \\ &= (-1)^n \cdot \sum_{i \in N} x_i \cdot v_{(\lambda,i)} \\ &= \sum_{i \in N} (-1)^{s(i,N)} \cdot x_i \cdot w_{(\lambda,N \setminus \{i\})}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we can take families $\{w_{(\lambda,I)}\}_{(\lambda,I) \in \Lambda \times N_p}$ of elements in F_p for $0 \leq \forall p \leq n-2$ so that

$$\varphi_p(w_{(\lambda,I)}) = \sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{s(i,I)} \cdot x_i \cdot w_{(\lambda,I \setminus \{i\})} \quad (\#)$$

for $1 \leq \forall p \leq n$ and $\forall (\lambda,I) \in \Lambda \times N_p$. If this is true, an R -linear map $\sigma_p : F_n \otimes_R K_p \longrightarrow F_p$ is defined by setting $\sigma_p(v_\lambda \otimes e_I) = w_{(\lambda,I)}$ for $\forall (\lambda,I) \in \Lambda \times N_p$ and $\sigma_\bullet : F_n \otimes_R K_\bullet \longrightarrow F_\bullet$ becomes a chain map satisfying (3) and (4).

In order to see the existence of $\{w_{(\lambda,I)}\}_{(\lambda,I) \in \Lambda \times N_p}$, let us consider the double complex $F_\bullet \otimes_R K_\bullet$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & F_p \otimes_R K_q & \xrightarrow{\varphi_p \otimes K_q} & F_{p-1} \otimes_R K_q & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
& & \downarrow F_p \otimes \partial_q & & \downarrow F_{p-1} \otimes \partial_q & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & F_p \otimes_R K_{q-1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_p \otimes K_{q-1}} & F_{p-1} \otimes_R K_{q-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & \vdots & & \vdots & &
\end{array}$$

We can take it as $C_{\bullet\bullet}$ of 2.2. Let T_\bullet be the total complex and d_\bullet be its boundary map. In particular, we have

$$T_n = (F_n \otimes_R K_0) \oplus (F_{n-1} \otimes_R K_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (F_1 \otimes_R K_{n-1}) \oplus (F_0 \otimes_R K_n).$$

For $\forall I \subseteq N$, we define

$$t(I) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i \in I} (i-1) & \text{if } I \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } I = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

For a while, we fix $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and set

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_n(\lambda) &= (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \cdot (-1)^{t(N)} \cdot w_{(\lambda,N)} \otimes e_\emptyset \in F_n \otimes_R K_0, \\
\xi_{n-1}(\lambda) &= (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)n}{2}} \cdot \sum_{i \in N} (-1)^{t(N \setminus \{i\})} \cdot w_{(\lambda, N \setminus \{i\})} \otimes e_i \in F_{n-1} \otimes_R K_1.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\xi_n(\lambda) = v_\lambda \otimes e_\emptyset$$

since $t(N) = (n-1)n/2$ and $n^2 + n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Moreover, we have

$$\xi_{n-1}(\lambda) = (-1)^n \cdot \sum_{i \in N} v_{(\lambda,i)} \otimes e_i$$

since $t(N \setminus \{i\}) = (n-1)n/2 - (i-1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varphi_n \otimes K_0)(\xi_n(\lambda)) &= \varphi_n(v_\lambda) \otimes e_\emptyset \\
&= \left(\sum_{i \in N} x_i \cdot v_{(\lambda,i)} \right) \otimes e_\emptyset \\
&= \sum_{i \in N} v_{(\lambda,i)} \otimes x_i \\
&= (F_{n-1} \otimes \partial_1) \left(\sum_{i \in N} v_{(\lambda,i)} \otimes e_i \right) \\
&= (-1)^n \cdot (F_{n-1} \otimes \partial_1)(\xi_{n-1}(\lambda)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (1) of 2.2 there exist elements $\xi_p(\lambda) \in F_p \otimes K_{n-p}$ for $0 \leq \forall p \leq n-2$ such that

$$\xi_n(\lambda) + \xi_{n-1}(\lambda) + \xi_{n-2}(\lambda) + \cdots + \xi_0(\lambda) \in \text{Ker } d_n \subseteq T_n,$$

which means

$$(\varphi_p \otimes K_{n-p})(\xi_p(\lambda)) = (-1)^p \cdot (F_{p-1} \otimes \partial_{n-p+1})(\xi_{p-1}(\lambda))$$

for $1 \leq \forall p \leq n$. Let us denote $N \setminus I$ by I^c for $\forall I \subseteq N$. Because $\{e_{I^c}\}_{I \in N_p}$ is an R -free basis of K_{n-p} , it is possible to write

$$\xi_p(\lambda) = (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \cdot \sum_{I \in N_p} (-1)^{t(I)} \cdot w_{(\lambda, I)} \otimes e_{I^c}$$

for $0 \leq \forall p \leq n-2$ (Notice that $\xi_n(\lambda)$ and $\xi_{n-1}(\lambda)$ are defined so that they satisfy the same equalities), where $w_{(\lambda, I)} \in F_p$. Then we have

$$(\varphi_p \otimes K_{n-p})(\xi_p(\lambda)) = (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \cdot \sum_{I \in N_p} (-1)^{t(I)} \cdot \varphi_p(w_{(\lambda, I)}) \otimes e_{I^c}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^p \cdot (F_{p-1} \otimes \partial_{n-p+1})(\xi_{p-1}(\lambda)) \\ &= (-1)^p \cdot (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)p}{2}} \cdot \sum_{J \in N_{p-1}} \{(-1)^{t(J)} \cdot w_{(\lambda, J)} \otimes (\sum_{i \in J^c} (-1)^{s(i, J^c)} \cdot x_i \cdot e_{J^c \setminus \{i\}})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we notice that if $I \in N_p$, $J \in N_{p-1}$ and $i \in N$, then

$$I^c = J^c \setminus \{i\} \iff I = J \cup \{i\}.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^p \cdot (F_{p-1} \otimes \partial_{n-p+1})(\xi_{p-1}(\lambda)) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \cdot \sum_{I \in N_p} \{(\sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{t(I \setminus \{i\}) + s(i, I^c \cup \{i\})} \cdot x_i \cdot w_{(\lambda, I \setminus \{i\})}) \otimes e_{I^c}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\forall I \in N_p$ and $\forall i \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t(I \setminus \{i\}) &= t(I) - (i-1), \\ s(i, I) + s(i, I^c \cup \{i\}) &= s(i, N) = i-1, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} t(I \setminus \{i\}) + s(i, I^c \cup \{i\}) &= t(I) - s(i, I) \\ &\equiv t(I) + s(i, I) \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see that the required equality (#) holds for $\forall I \in N_p$.

Let us prove (1). We have to show $\sigma_0^{-1}(\text{Im } \varphi_1) \subseteq \text{Im}(F_n \otimes \partial_1)$. Take $\forall \eta_n \in F_n \otimes_R K_0$ such that $\sigma_0(\eta_n) \in \text{Im } \varphi_1$. As $\{\xi_n(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is an R -free basis of $F_n \otimes_R K_0$, we can express

$$\eta_n = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \cdot \xi_n(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \cdot (v_\lambda \otimes e_\emptyset),$$

where $a_\lambda \in R$ for $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$. Then we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \cdot w_{(\lambda, \emptyset)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \cdot \sigma_0(v_\lambda \otimes e_\emptyset) = \sigma_0(\eta_n) \in \text{Im } \varphi_1.$$

Now we set

$$\eta_p = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \cdot \xi_p(\lambda) \in F_p \otimes_R K_{n-p}$$

for $0 \leq \forall p \leq n-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_n + \eta_{n-1} + \cdots + \eta_1 + \eta_0 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \cdot (\xi_n(\lambda) + \xi_{n-1}(\lambda) + \cdots + \xi_1(\lambda) + \xi_0(\lambda)) \\ &\in \text{Ker } d_n \subseteq T_n. \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_0 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \cdot \xi_0(\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \cdot (w_{(\lambda, \emptyset)} \otimes e_N) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \cdot w_{(\lambda, \emptyset)} \right) \otimes e_N \\ &\in \text{Im}(\varphi_1 \otimes K_n), \end{aligned}$$

we get $\eta_n \in \text{Im}(F_n \otimes \partial_1)$ by (2) of 2.2.

Finally we prove (2). Let us consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} F_n \otimes_R K_1 & \xrightarrow{F_n \otimes \partial_1} & F_n \otimes_R K_0 & \longrightarrow & F_n/QF_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \text{ (ex)} \\ \downarrow \sigma_1 & & \downarrow \sigma_0 & & \downarrow \overline{\sigma_0} & & \\ F_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & F_0 & \longrightarrow & F_0/M & \longrightarrow & 0 \text{ (ex)}, \end{array}$$

where $\overline{\sigma_0}$ is the map induced from σ_0 . For $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\forall i \in N$, we have

$$x_i \cdot w_{(\lambda, \emptyset)} = \varphi_1(w_{(\lambda, \{i\})}) \in M,$$

which means $w_{(\lambda, \emptyset)} \in M :_{F_0} Q$. Hence $\text{Im } \sigma_0 \subseteq M :_{F_0} Q$, and so $\text{Im } \overline{\sigma_0} \subseteq (M :_{F_0} Q)/M$. On the other hand, as $\sigma_0^{-1}(\text{Im } \varphi_1) = \text{Im}(F_n \otimes \partial_1)$, we see that $\overline{\sigma_0}$ is injective. Therefore we get $\text{Im } \overline{\sigma_0} = (M :_{F_0} Q)/M$ since $(M :_{F_0} Q)/M \cong F_n/QF_n$ by 3.1 and F_n/QF_n has a finite length. Thus the assertion (2) follows and the proof is complete. \square

In the rest, $\sigma_\bullet : F_n \otimes_R K_\bullet \longrightarrow F_\bullet$ is the chain map constructed in 3.2. Then, by 2.1 the mapping cone $\text{Cone}(\sigma_\bullet)$ gives an R -free resolution of $M :_{F_0} Q$, that is,

$$0 \longrightarrow F_n \otimes_R K_n \xrightarrow{\psi_{n+1}} \begin{array}{c} F_n \otimes_R K_{n-1} \\ \oplus \\ F_n \end{array} \xrightarrow{\psi_n} \begin{array}{c} F_n \otimes_R K_{n-2} \\ \oplus \\ F_{n-1} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\prime\varphi_{n-1}} \begin{array}{c} F_n \otimes_R K_{n-3} \\ \oplus \\ F_{n-2} \end{array} \\ \xrightarrow{\ast\varphi_{n-2}} \begin{array}{c} F_n \otimes_R K_{n-4} \\ \oplus \\ F_{n-3} \end{array} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} F_n \otimes_R K_1 \\ \oplus \\ F_2 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\ast\varphi_2} \begin{array}{c} F_n \otimes_R K_0 \\ \oplus \\ F_1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\ast\varphi_1} F_0$$

is acyclic and $\text{Im } \ast\varphi_1 = M :_{F_0} Q$, where

$$\psi_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} F_n \otimes \partial_n \\ (-1)^n \cdot \sigma_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_n = \begin{pmatrix} F_n \otimes \partial_{n-1} & 0 \\ (-1)^{n-1} \cdot \sigma_{n-1} & \varphi_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \prime\varphi_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} F_n \otimes \partial_{n-2} & 0 \\ (-1)^{n-2} \cdot \sigma_{n-2} & \varphi_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \ast\varphi_p = \begin{pmatrix} F_n \otimes \partial_{p-1} & 0 \\ (-1)^{p-1} \cdot \sigma_{p-1} & \varphi_p \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } 2 \leq \forall p \leq n-2 \text{ and } \ast\varphi_1 = (\sigma_0 \quad \varphi_1).$$

Because $\sigma_n : F_n \otimes_R K_n \longrightarrow F_n$ is an isomorphism, we can define

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-1)^n \cdot \sigma_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} F_n \otimes_R K_{n-1} \\ \oplus \\ F_n \end{array} \longrightarrow F_n \otimes_R K_n.$$

Then $\phi \circ \psi_{n+1} = \text{id}_{F_n \otimes_R K_n}$ and $\text{Ker } \phi = F_n \otimes_R K_{n-1}$. Hence, by (1) of 2.3, we get the acyclic complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \prime F_n \xrightarrow{\prime\varphi_n} \prime F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\prime\varphi_{n-1}} \ast F_{n-2} \xrightarrow{\ast\varphi_{n-2}} \ast F_{n-3} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \ast F_2 \xrightarrow{\ast\varphi_2} \ast F_1 \xrightarrow{\ast\varphi_1} \ast F_0 = F_0,$$

where

$$\prime F_n = F_n \otimes_R K_{n-1}, \quad \prime F_{n-1} = \begin{array}{c} F_n \otimes_R K_{n-2} \\ \oplus \\ F_{n-1} \end{array}, \quad \ast F_p = \begin{array}{c} F_n \otimes_R K_{p-1} \\ \oplus \\ F_p \end{array} \text{ for } 1 \leq \forall p \leq n-2 \\ \text{and } \prime\varphi_n = \begin{pmatrix} F_n \otimes \partial_{n-1} \\ (-1)^{n-1} \cdot \sigma_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Although $\text{Im } \prime\varphi_n$ may not be contained in $\mathfrak{m} \cdot \prime F_{n-1}$, removing non-minimal components from $\prime F_n$ and $\prime F_{n-1}$, we get free R -modules $\ast F_n$ and $\ast F_{n-1}$ such that

$$0 \longrightarrow \ast F_n \xrightarrow{\ast\varphi_n} \ast F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\ast\varphi_{n-1}} \ast F_{n-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \ast F_1 \xrightarrow{\ast\varphi_1} \ast F_0 = F_0$$

is acyclic and $\text{Im } \ast\varphi_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \cdot \ast F_{n-1}$, where $\ast\varphi_n$ and $\ast\varphi_{n-1}$ are the restrictions of $\prime\varphi_n$ and $\prime\varphi_{n-1}$, respectively. In the rest of this section, we describe a concrete procedure to get $\ast F_n$ and $\ast F_{n-1}$. For that purpose, we use the following notation. As described in Introduction, for any $\xi \in F_n \otimes_R K_{n-2}$ and $\eta \in F_{n-1}$,

$$[\xi] := \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \prime F_{n-1} \text{ and } \langle \eta \rangle := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} \in \prime F_{n-1}.$$

In particular, for any $(\lambda, I) \in \Lambda \times N_{n-2}$, we denote $[v_\lambda \otimes e_I]$ by $[\lambda, I]$. Moreover, for a subset U of F_{n-1} , $\langle U \rangle := \{\langle u \rangle\}_{u \in U}$.

Now, let us choose a subset Λ of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and a subset U of F_{n-1} so that

$$\{v_{(\lambda,i)}\}_{(\lambda,i) \in \Lambda} \cup U$$

is an R -free basis of F_{n-1} . We would like to choose Λ as big as possible. The following almost obvious fact is useful to find Λ and U .

Lemma 3.3. *Let V be an R -free basis of F_{n-1} . If a subset Λ of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and a subset U of V satisfy*

$$(i) \ \#\Lambda + \#U \leq \#V, \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \ V \subseteq R \cdot \{v_{(\lambda,i)}\}_{(\lambda,i) \in \Lambda} + R \cdot U + \mathfrak{m}F_{n-1},$$

then $\{v_{(\lambda,i)}\}_{(\lambda,i) \in \Lambda} \cup U$ is an R -free basis of F_{n-1} .

Let us notice that

$$\{[\lambda, I]\}_{(\lambda,I) \in \Lambda \times N_{n-2}} \cup \{\langle v_{(\lambda,i)} \rangle\}_{(\lambda,i) \in \Lambda} \cup \langle U \rangle$$

is an R -free basis of F_{n-1} . We define ${}^*F_{n-1}$ to be the direct summand of F_{n-1} generated by

$$\{[\lambda, I]\}_{(\lambda,I) \in \Lambda \times N_{n-2}} \cup \langle U \rangle.$$

Let ${}^*\varphi_{n-1}$ be the restriction of φ_{n-1} to ${}^*F_{n-1}$.

Theorem 3.4. *If we can take $\tilde{\Lambda}$ itself as Λ , then*

$$0 \longrightarrow {}^*F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{{}^*\varphi_{n-1}} {}^*F_{n-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow {}^*F_1 \xrightarrow{{}^*\varphi_1} {}^*F_0 = F_0$$

is acyclic. Hence we have $\text{depth}_R F_0 / (M :_{F_0} Q) > 0$.

Proof. If $\Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda}$, there exists a homomorphism $\phi : F_{n-1} \longrightarrow F_n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi([\lambda, I]) &= 0 \quad \text{for any } (\lambda, I) \in \Lambda \times N_{n-2}, \\ \phi(\langle v_{(\lambda,i)} \rangle) &= (-1)^i \cdot v_\lambda \otimes \check{e}_i \quad \text{for any } (\lambda, i) \in \tilde{\Lambda}, \\ \phi(\langle u \rangle) &= 0 \quad \text{for any } u \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\phi \circ \varphi_n = \text{id}_{F_n}$ and $\text{Ker } \phi = {}^*F_{n-1}$. Hence, by (1) of 2.3 we get the required assertion. \square

In the rest of this section, we assume $\Lambda \subsetneq \tilde{\Lambda}$ and put ${}^*\Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$. Then, for any $(\mu, j) \in {}^*\Lambda$, it is possible to write

$$v_{(\mu,j)} = \sum_{(\lambda,i) \in \Lambda} a_{(\lambda,i)}^{(\mu,j)} \cdot v_{(\lambda,i)} + \sum_{u \in U} b_u^{(\mu,j)} \cdot u,$$

where $a_{(\lambda,i)}^{(\mu,j)}, b_u^{(\mu,j)} \in R$. Here, if Λ is big enough, we can choose every $b_u^{(\mu,j)}$ from \mathfrak{m} . In fact, if $b_u^{(\mu,j)} \notin \mathfrak{m}$ for some $u \in U$, then we can replace Λ and U by $\Lambda \cup \{(\mu, j)\}$ and $U \setminus \{u\}$, respectively. Furthermore, because of a practical reason, let us allow that some terms of $v_{(\lambda,i)}$ for $(\lambda, i) \in {}^*\Lambda$ with non-unit coefficients appear in the right hand side, that is, for any $(\mu, j) \in {}^*\Lambda$, we write

$$v_{(\mu,j)} = \sum_{(\lambda,i) \in \tilde{\Lambda}} a_{(\lambda,i)}^{(\mu,j)} \cdot v_{(\lambda,i)} + \sum_{u \in U} b_u^{(\mu,j)} \cdot u,$$

where

$$a_{(\lambda,i)}^{(\mu,j)} \in \begin{cases} R & \text{if } (\lambda, i) \in \Lambda, \\ \mathfrak{m} & \text{if } (\lambda, i) \in {}^*\Lambda \end{cases} \quad \text{and } b_u^{(\mu,j)} \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

Using this expression, for any $(\mu, j) \in {}^*\Lambda$, the following element in F_n can be defined.

$${}^*v_{(\mu,j)} := (-1)^j \cdot v_\mu \otimes \check{e}_j + \sum_{(\lambda,i) \in \tilde{\Lambda}} (-1)^{i-1} \cdot a_{(\lambda,i)}^{(\mu,j)} \cdot v_\lambda \otimes \check{e}_i.$$

Lemma 3.5. *For any $(\mu, j) \in {}^*\Lambda$, we have*

$$\ell\varphi_n({}^*v_{(\mu,j)}) = (-1)^j \cdot [v_\mu \otimes \partial_{n-1}(\check{e}_j)] + \sum_{(\lambda,i) \in \tilde{\Lambda}} (-1)^{i-1} \cdot a_{(\lambda,i)}^{(\mu,j)} \cdot [v_\lambda \otimes \partial_{n-1}(\check{e}_i)] + \sum_{u \in U} b_u^{(\mu,j)} \cdot \langle u \rangle.$$

As a consequence, we have $\ell\varphi_n({}^*v_{(\mu,j)}) \in \mathfrak{m} \cdot {}^*F_{n-1}$ for any $(\mu, j) \in {}^*\Lambda$.

Proof. By the definition of $\ell\varphi_n$, for any $(\mu, j) \in {}^*\Lambda$, we have

$$\ell\varphi_n({}^*v_{(\mu,j)}) = [(F_n \otimes \partial_{n-1})({}^*v_{(\mu,j)})] + \langle (-1)^{n-1} \cdot \sigma_{n-1}({}^*v_{(\mu,j)}) \rangle.$$

Because

$$(F_n \otimes \partial_{n-1})({}^*v_{(\mu,j)}) = (-1)^j \cdot v_\mu \otimes \partial_{n-1}(\check{e}_j) + \sum_{(\lambda,i) \in \tilde{\Lambda}} (-1)^{i-1} \cdot a_{(\lambda,i)}^{(\mu,j)} \cdot v_\lambda \otimes \partial_{n-1}(\check{e}_i)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n-1}({}^*v_{(\mu,j)}) &= (-1)^j \cdot \sigma_{n-1}(v_\mu \otimes \check{e}_j) + \sum_{(\lambda,i) \in \tilde{\Lambda}} (-1)^{i-1} \cdot a_{(\lambda,i)}^{(\mu,j)} \cdot \sigma_{n-1}(v_\lambda \otimes \check{e}_i) \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} \cdot v_{(\mu,j)} + (-1)^n \cdot \sum_{(\lambda,i) \in \tilde{\Lambda}} a_{(\lambda,i)}^{(\mu,j)} \cdot v_{(\lambda,i)} \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} \cdot (v_{(\mu,j)} - \sum_{(\lambda,i) \in \tilde{\Lambda}} a_{(\lambda,i)}^{(\mu,j)} \cdot v_{(\lambda,i)}) \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} \cdot \sum_{u \in U} b_u^{(\mu,j)} \cdot u, \end{aligned}$$

we get the required equality. □

Let *F_n be the R -submodule of $'F_n$ generated by $\{v_{(\mu,j)}\}_{(\mu,j) \in {}^*\Lambda}$ and let ${}^*\varphi_n$ be the restriction of φ_n to *F_n . By 3.5 we have $\text{Im } {}^*\varphi_n \subseteq {}^*F_{n-1}$. Thus we get a complex

$$0 \longrightarrow {}^*F_n \xrightarrow{{}^*\varphi_n} {}^*F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow {}^*F_1 \xrightarrow{{}^*\varphi_1} {}^*F_0 = F_0.$$

This is the complex we desire. In fact, the following result holds.

Theorem 3.6. *$({}^*F_\bullet, {}^*\varphi_\bullet)$ is an acyclic complex of finitely generated free R -modules with the following properties.*

- (1) $\text{Im } {}^*\varphi_1 = M :_{F_0} Q$ and $\text{Im } {}^*\varphi_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \cdot {}^*F_{n-1}$.
- (2) $\{v_{(\mu,j)}\}_{(\mu,j) \in {}^*\Lambda}$ is an R -free basis of *F_n .
- (3) $\{[\lambda, I]\}_{(\lambda, I) \in \Lambda \times N_{n-2}} \cup \langle U \rangle$ is an R -free basis of ${}^*F_{n-1}$.

Proof. First, let us notice that $\{v_\lambda \otimes \check{e}_i\}_{(\lambda, i) \in \tilde{\Lambda}}$ is an R -free basis of $'F_n$ and

$$v_\mu \otimes \check{e}_j \in R \cdot v_{(\mu,j)} + R \cdot \{v_\lambda \otimes \check{e}_i\}_{(\lambda, i) \in \Lambda} + \mathfrak{m} \cdot 'F_n$$

for any $(\mu, j) \in {}^*\Lambda$. Hence, by Nakayama's lemma it follows that $'F_n$ is generated by

$$\{v_\lambda \otimes \check{e}_i\}_{(\lambda, i) \in \Lambda} \cup \{v_{(\mu,j)}\}_{(\mu,j) \in {}^*\Lambda},$$

which must be an R -free basis since $\text{rank}_R 'F_n = \#\tilde{\Lambda} = \#\Lambda + \#{}^*\Lambda$. Let $''F_n$ be the R -submodule of $'F_n$ generated by $\{v_\lambda \otimes \check{e}_i\}_{(\lambda, i) \in \Lambda}$. Then $'F_n = ''F_n \oplus {}^*F_n$.

Next, let us recall that

$$\{[\lambda, I]\}_{(\lambda, I) \in \Lambda \times N_{n-2}} \cup \{\langle v_{(\lambda, i)} \rangle\}_{(\lambda, i) \in \Lambda} \cup \langle U \rangle$$

is an R -free basis of $'F_{n-1}$. Because

$$\varphi_n(v_\lambda \otimes \check{e}_i) = [v_\lambda \otimes \partial_{n-1}(\check{e}_i)] + (-1)^i \cdot \langle v_{(\lambda, i)} \rangle,$$

we see that

$$\{[\lambda, I]\}_{(\lambda, I) \in \Lambda \times N_{n-2}} \cup \{\varphi_n(v_\lambda \otimes \check{e}_i)\}_{(\lambda, i) \in \Lambda} \cup \langle U \rangle$$

is also an R -free basis. Let $''F_{n-1} = R \cdot \{\varphi_n(v_\lambda \otimes \check{e}_i)\}_{(\lambda, i) \in \Lambda}$. Then $'F_{n-1} = ''F_{n-1} \oplus {}^*F_{n-1}$.

It is obvious that $\varphi_n(''F_n) = ''F_{n-1}$. Moreover, by 3.5 we get $\varphi_n({}^*F_n) \subseteq {}^*F_{n-1}$. Therefore, by (2) of 2.3, it follows that ${}^*F_\bullet$ is acyclic. We have already seen (3) and the first assertion of (1). The second assertion of (1) follows from 3.5. Moreover, the assertion (2) is now obvious. \square

References

- [1] K. Fukumuro, T. Inagawa and K. Nishida, *On a transform of an acyclic complex of length 3*, J. Algebra, **384** (2013), 84–109.
- [2] K. Fukumuro, T. Inagawa and K. Nishida, *Saturations of powers of certain determinantal ideals*, Preprint (2013).