

Continuous orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts and Cuntz-Krieger algebras

Kengo Matsumoto
 Department of Mathematics
 Joetsu University of Education
 Joetsu, Niigata 943-8512, Japan

Hiroki Matui
 Graduate School of Science
 Chiba University
 Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522, Japan

Abstract

Let A, B be square irreducible matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. We will show that if the one-sided topological Markov shifts (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent, then the two-sided topological Markov shifts $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow equivalent, and hence $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B)$. As a result, the one-sided topological Markov shifts (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent if and only if the Cuntz-Krieger algebras \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_B are isomorphic and $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B)$.

1 Introduction

The interplay between orbit equivalence of topological dynamical systems and the theory of C^* -algebras has been studied by many authors. T. Giordano, I. F. Putnam and C. F. Skau [7] have proved that two minimal homeomorphisms on a Cantor set are strongly orbit equivalent if and only if the associated C^* -crossed products are isomorphic. M. Boyle and J. Tomiyama [20, 3] have studied relationships between orbit equivalence and C^* -crossed products for topologically free homeomorphisms on compact Hausdorff spaces.

In this paper, we classify one-sided irreducible topological Markov shifts up to continuous orbit equivalence and show that there exists a close connection with the Cuntz-Krieger algebras. The class of one-sided topological Markov shifts is an important class of topological dynamical systems on Cantor sets, though they are not homeomorphisms but local homeomorphisms. In [11] the first-named author introduced the notion of continuous orbit equivalence for one-sided topological Markov shifts (see Definition 2.1) and proved that one-sided topological Markov shifts (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) for irreducible matrices A and B with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ are continuously orbit equivalent if and only if there exists an isomorphism between the Cuntz-Krieger algebras \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_B preserving their canonical Cartan subalgebras \mathcal{D}_A and \mathcal{D}_B . The second-named author [15, 16] studied the associated étale groupoids G_A and their homology groups $H_n(G_A)$ and topological full groups $[[G_A]]$. In fact, the two shifts are continuously orbit equivalent if and only if G_A is isomorphic to G_B (see Theorem 2.3). In [13] it was also shown that if \mathcal{O}_A is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_B and $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B)$, then there exists an isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$, and hence the one-sided topological Markov shifts (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent. Since there were no known examples of irreducible

matrices A, B such that (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent and $\det(\text{id} - A) \neq \det(\text{id} - B)$, the first-named author [13, Section 6] presented the following conjecture: the determinant $\det(1 - A)$ is an invariant for the continuous orbit equivalence class of (X_A, σ_A) . In the present article we confirm this conjecture. In other words we show that (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent if and only if \mathcal{O}_A is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_B and $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B)$ (Theorem 3.6).

Our proof is closely related to another notion of equivalence for shifts, namely flow equivalence for two-sided topological Markov shifts. Two-sided topological Markov shifts $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are said to be flow equivalent if there exists an orientation-preserving homeomorphism between their suspension spaces ([17]). Two characterizations of the flow equivalence are known. One is due to M. Boyle and D. Handelmann [2] and the other is due to R. Bowen, J. Franks, W. Parry and D. Sullivan [17, 1, 6] (see Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.6). By using the former characterization and the groupoid approach, we show that if (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent, then $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow equivalent (Theorem 3.5). This, together with the second characterization, implies $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B)$, and so the conjecture is confirmed. It is known that flow equivalence has a close relationship to stable isomorphism of Cuntz-Krieger algebras ([4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 19]). As a corollary of the main result, we also prove that two-sided irreducible topological Markov shifts $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow equivalent if and only if there exists an isomorphism between the stable Cuntz-Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathbb{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathbb{K}$ preserving their canonical maximal abelian subalgebras (Corollary 3.8).

2 Preliminaries

We write $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The transpose of a matrix A is written A^t . The characteristic function of a set S is denoted by 1_S . We say that a subset of a topological space is clopen if it is both closed and open. A topological space is said to be totally disconnected if its topology is generated by clopen subsets. By a Cantor set, we mean a compact, metrizable, totally disconnected space with no isolated points. It is known that any two such spaces are homeomorphic. A good introduction to symbolic dynamics can be found in the standard textbook [10] by D. Lind and B. Marcus.

Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, where $1 < N \in \mathbb{N}$. Throughout the paper, we assume that A has no rows or columns identically equal to zero. Define

$$X_A = \left\{ (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid A(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

It is a compact Hausdorff space with natural product topology on $\{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. The shift transformation σ_A on X_A defined by $\sigma_A((x_n)_n) = (x_{n+1})_n$ is a continuous surjective map on X_A . The topological dynamical system (X_A, σ_A) is called the (right) one-sided topological Markov shift for A . We henceforth assume that A satisfies condition (I) in the sense of [5]. The matrix A satisfies condition (I) if and only if X_A has no isolated points, i.e. X_A is a Cantor set.

We let $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ denote the two-sided topological Markov shift. Namely,

$$\bar{X}_A = \left\{ (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid A(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

and $\bar{\sigma}_A((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

A subset S in X_A (resp. in \bar{X}_A) is said to be σ_A -invariant (resp. $\bar{\sigma}_A$ -invariant) if $\sigma_A(S) = S$ (resp. $\bar{\sigma}_A(S) = S$).

2.1 Continuous orbit equivalence

For $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_A$, the orbit $\text{orb}_{\sigma_A}(x)$ of x under σ_A is defined by

$$\text{orb}_{\sigma_A}(x) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} \sigma_A^{-k}(\sigma_A^l(x)).$$

Definition 2.1 ([11]). Let (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) be two one-sided topological Markov shifts. If there exists a homeomorphism $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ such that $h(\text{orb}_{\sigma_A}(x)) = \text{orb}_{\sigma_B}(h(x))$ for $x \in X_A$, then (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are said to be topologically orbit equivalent. In this case, there exist $k_1, l_1 : X_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sigma_B^{k_1(x)}(h(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_B^{l_1(x)}(h(x)) \quad \forall x \in X_A.$$

Similarly there exist $k_2, l_2 : X_B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sigma_A^{k_2(x)}(h^{-1}(\sigma_B(x))) = \sigma_A^{l_2(x)}(h^{-1}(x)) \quad \forall x \in X_B.$$

Furthermore, if we may choose $k_1, l_1 : X_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $k_2, l_2 : X_B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ as continuous maps, the topological Markov shifts (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are said to be continuously orbit equivalent.

If two one-sided topological Markov shifts are topologically conjugate, then they are continuously orbit equivalent. For the two matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

the topological Markov shifts (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent, but not topologically conjugate (see [11, Section 5]).

Let $[\sigma_A]$ denote the set of all homeomorphism τ of X_A such that $\tau(x) \in \text{orb}_{\sigma_A}(x)$ for all $x \in X_A$. It is called the full group of (X_A, σ_A) . Let Γ_A be the set of all τ in $[\sigma_A]$ such that there exist continuous functions $k, l : X_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying $\sigma_A^{k(x)}(\tau(x)) = \sigma_A^{l(x)}(x)$ for all $x \in X_A$. The set Γ_A is a subgroup of $[\sigma_A]$ and is called the continuous full group for (X_A, σ_A) . We note that the group Γ_A has been written as $[\sigma_A]_c$ in the earlier paper [11]. It has been proved in [14] that the isomorphism class of Γ_A as an abstract group is a complete invariant of the continuous orbit equivalence class of (X_A, σ_A) (see [16] for more general results and further studies).

2.2 Étale groupoids

By an étale groupoid we mean a second countable locally compact Hausdorff groupoid such that the range map is a local homeomorphism. We refer the reader to [18] for background material on étale groupoids. For an étale groupoid G , we let $G^{(0)}$ denote the unit space

and let s and r denote the source and range maps. For $x \in G^{(0)}$, $r(Gx)$ is called the G -orbit of x . When every G -orbit is dense in $G^{(0)}$, G is said to be minimal. For $x \in G^{(0)}$, we write $G_x = r^{-1}(x) \cap s^{-1}(x)$ and call it the isotropy group of x . The isotropy bundle is $G' = \{g \in G \mid r(g) = s(g)\} = \bigcup_{x \in G^{(0)}} G_x$. We say that G is principal if $G' = G^{(0)}$. When the interior of G' is $G^{(0)}$, we say that G is essentially principal. A subset $U \subset G$ is called a G -set if $r|_U, s|_U$ are injective. For an open G -set U , we let π_U denote the homeomorphism $r \circ (s|_U)^{-1}$ from $s(U)$ to $r(U)$.

We would like to recall the notion of topological full groups for étale groupoids.

Definition 2.2 ([15, Definition 2.3]). Let G be an essentially principal étale groupoid whose unit space $G^{(0)}$ is compact.

- (1) The set of all $\alpha \in \text{Homeo}(G^{(0)})$ such that for every $x \in G^{(0)}$ there exists $g \in G$ satisfying $r(g) = x$ and $s(g) = \alpha(x)$ is called the full group of G and denoted by $[G]$.
- (2) The set of all $\alpha \in \text{Homeo}(G^{(0)})$ for which there exists a compact open G -set U satisfying $\alpha = \pi_U$ is called the topological full group of G and denoted by $[[G]]$.

Obviously $[G]$ is a subgroup of $\text{Homeo}(G^{(0)})$ and $[[G]]$ is a subgroup of $[G]$.

For $\alpha \in [[G]]$ the compact open G -set U as above uniquely exists, because G is essentially principal. Since G is second countable, it has countably many compact open subsets, and so $[[G]]$ is at most countable. For minimal groupoids on Cantor sets, it is known that the isomorphism class of $[[G]]$ is a complete invariant of G ([16, Theorem 3.10]).

Let (X_A, σ_A) be a topological Markov shift. The étale groupoid G_A for (X_A, σ_A) is given by

$$G_A = \left\{ (x, n, y) \in X_A \times \mathbb{Z} \times X_A \mid \exists k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n = k - l, \sigma_A^k(x) = \sigma_A^l(y) \right\}.$$

The topology of G_A is generated by the sets

$$\left\{ (x, k - l, y) \in G_A \mid x \in V, y \in W, \sigma_A^k(x) = \sigma_A^l(y) \right\},$$

where $V, W \subset X_A$ are open and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Two elements (x, n, y) and (x', n', y') in G_A are composable if and only if $y = x'$, and the multiplication and the inverse are

$$(x, n, y) \cdot (y, n', y') = (x, n + n', y'), \quad (x, n, y)^{-1} = (y, -n, x).$$

The range and source maps are given by $r(x, n, y) = (x, 0, x)$ and $s(x, n, y) = (y, 0, y)$. We identify X_A with the unit space $G_A^{(0)}$ via $x \mapsto (x, 0, x)$. The groupoid G_A is essentially principal. The groupoid G_A is minimal if and only if (X_A, σ_A) is irreducible. It is easy to see that the topological full group $[[G_A]]$ is canonically isomorphic to the continuous full group Γ_A .

2.3 Cuntz-Krieger algebras

Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ and let (X_A, σ_A) be the one-sided topological Markov shift. The Cuntz-Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A , introduced in [5],

is the universal C^* -algebra generated by N partial isometries S_1, \dots, S_N subject to the relations

$$\sum_{j=1}^N S_j S_j^* = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A(i, j) S_j S_j^*.$$

The subalgebra \mathcal{D}_A of \mathcal{O}_A generated by elements $S_{i_1} S_{i_2} \dots S_{i_k} S_{i_k}^* \dots S_{i_1}^*$ is naturally isomorphic to $C(X_A)$, and is a Cartan subalgebra in the sense of [18]. It is also well-known that the pair $(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{D}_A)$ is isomorphic to the pair $(C_r^*(G_A), C(X_A))$, where $C_r^*(G_A)$ denotes the reduced groupoid C^* -algebra and $C(X_A)$ is regarded as a subalgebra of it. Thus, there exists an isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow C_r^*(G)$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{D}_A) = C(X_A)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) be two irreducible one-sided topological Markov shifts. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent.
- (2) The étale groupoids G_A and G_B are isomorphic.
- (3) There exists an isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$.

Proof. The equivalence between (1) and (3) follows from [11, Theorem 1.1]. The equivalence between (2) and (3) follows from [18, Proposition 4.11] (see also [15, Theorem 5.1]). \square

2.4 Flow equivalence

In this subsection, we would like to recall Boyle-Handelman's theorem, which says that the ordered cohomology group is a complete invariant for flow equivalence between irreducible shifts of finite type.

Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ and consider the two-sided topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$. Set

$$\bar{H}^A = C(\bar{X}_A, \mathbb{Z}) / \{\xi - \xi \circ \bar{\sigma}_A \mid \xi \in C(\bar{X}_A, \mathbb{Z})\}.$$

The equivalence class of a function $\xi \in C(\bar{X}_A, \mathbb{Z})$ in \bar{H}^A is written $[\xi]$. We define the positive cone \bar{H}_+^A by

$$\bar{H}_+^A = \{[\xi] \in \bar{H}^A \mid \xi(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \bar{X}_A\}.$$

The pair (\bar{H}^A, \bar{H}_+^A) is called the ordered cohomology group of $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ (see [2, Section 1.3]). The following theorem was proved by M. Boyle and D. Handelman, which plays a key role in this paper.

Theorem 2.4 ([2, Theorem 1.12]). *Suppose that $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are irreducible two-sided topological Markov shifts. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow equivalent.
- (2) The ordered cohomology groups (\bar{H}^A, \bar{H}_+^A) and (\bar{H}^B, \bar{H}_+^B) are isomorphic, i.e. there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \bar{H}^A \rightarrow \bar{H}^B$ such that $\Phi(\bar{H}_+^A) = \bar{H}_+^B$.

We also recall the following from [2] for later use.

Proposition 2.5 ([2, Proposition 3.13 (a)]). *Let $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ be a two-sided topological Markov shift and let $\xi \in C(\bar{X}_A, \mathbb{Z})$. Then $[\xi]$ is in \bar{H}_+^A if and only if*

$$\sum_{x \in O} \xi(x) \geq 0$$

holds for any finite $\bar{\sigma}_A$ -invariant set $O \subset \bar{X}_A$.

In the same way as above, we introduce (H^A, H_+^A) for the one-sided topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A) as follows:

$$H^A = C(X_A, \mathbb{Z}) / \{\xi - \xi \circ \sigma_A \mid \xi \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z})\}$$

and

$$H_+^A = \{[\xi] \in H^A \mid \xi(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in X_A\}.$$

We will show that (\bar{H}^A, \bar{H}_+^A) and (H^A, H_+^A) are actually isomorphic (Lemma 3.1).

2.5 The Bowen-Franks group

Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. The Bowen-Franks group $\text{BF}(A)$ is the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N$. R. Bowen and J. Franks [1] have proved that the Bowen-Franks group is an invariant of flow equivalence. W. Parry and D. Sullivan [17] have proved that the determinant of $\text{id} - A$ is also an invariant of flow equivalence. Evidently, if $\text{BF}(A)$ is an infinite group, then $\det(\text{id} - A)$ is zero. If $\text{BF}(A)$ is a finite group, then $|\det(\text{id} - A)|$ is equal to the cardinality of $\text{BF}(A)$. Therefore it is sufficient to know the Bowen-Franks group and the sign of the determinant in order to find the determinant. The following theorem by Franks shows that these invariants are complete.

Theorem 2.6 ([6]). *Suppose that $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are irreducible two-sided topological Markov shifts. Then $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow equivalent if and only if $\text{BF}(A) \cong \text{BF}(B)$ and $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B)$.*

In what follows we consider $\text{BF}(A^t) = \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$. Although $\text{BF}(A^t)$ is isomorphic to $\text{BF}(A)$ as an abelian group, there does not exist a canonical isomorphism between them, and so we must distinguish them carefully.

We denote the equivalence class of $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ in $\text{BF}(A^t)$ by u_A . By [4, Proposition 3.1], $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ is isomorphic to $\text{BF}(A^t)$ and the class of the unit of \mathcal{O}_A maps to u_A under this isomorphism. And $K_1(\mathcal{O}_A)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ker}(\text{id} - A^t)$ on \mathbb{Z}^N . In [15], it has been shown that these groups naturally arise from the homology theory of étale groupoids.

Let G be an étale groupoid whose unit space $G^{(0)}$ is a Cantor set. One can associate the homology groups $H_n(G)$ with G (see [15, Section 3] for the precise definition). The homology group $H_0(G)$ is the quotient of $C(G^{(0)}, \mathbb{Z})$ by the subgroup generated by $1_{r(U)} - 1_{s(U)}$ for compact open G -sets U . We denote the equivalence class of $\xi \in C(G^{(0)}, \mathbb{Z})$ in $H_0(G)$ by $[\xi]$. For the étale groupoid G_A , we have the following.

Theorem 2.7 ([15, Theorem 4.14]). *Let (X_A, σ_A) be a one-sided topological Markov shift. Then*

$$H_n(G_A) \cong \begin{cases} \text{BF}(A^t) = \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N & n = 0 \\ \text{Ker}(\text{id} - A^t) & n = 1 \\ 0 & n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : H_0(G_A) \rightarrow \text{BF}(A^t)$ such that $\Phi([1_{X_A}]) = u_A$.

In particular, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that the pair $(\text{BF}(A^t), u_A)$ is an invariant for continuous orbit equivalence of one-sided topological Markov shifts (see also [12, Theorem 1.3]). Thus, if (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent, then there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \text{BF}(A^t) \rightarrow \text{BF}(B^t)$ such that $\Phi(u_A) = u_B$.

3 Classification up to continuous orbit equivalence

Let (X_A, σ_A) be an irreducible one-sided topological Markov shift. As in the previous section, $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ denotes the two-sided topological Markov shift corresponding to (X_A, σ_A) . Define $\rho : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow X_A$ by $\rho((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Clearly we have $\sigma_A \circ \rho = \rho \circ \bar{\sigma}_A$.

Lemma 3.1. *The map $C(X_A, \mathbb{Z}) \ni \xi \mapsto \xi \circ \rho \in C(\bar{X}_A, \mathbb{Z})$ gives rise to an isomorphism $\tilde{\rho}$ from H^A to \bar{H}^A satisfying $\tilde{\rho}(H_+^A) = \bar{H}_+^A$.*

Proof. For any $\eta \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z})$, one has $(\eta - \eta \circ \sigma_A) \circ \rho = \eta \circ \rho - \eta \circ \rho \circ \bar{\sigma}_A$, and so $[\xi] \mapsto [\xi \circ \rho]$ is a well-defined homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}$ from H^A to \bar{H}^A .

Let $\zeta \in C(\bar{X}_A, \mathbb{Z})$. Then $\zeta(x)$ depends only on finitely many coordinates of $x \in \bar{X}_A$. Hence, for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\xi \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\zeta \circ \bar{\sigma}_A^n = \xi \circ \rho$. Thus $\tilde{\rho}$ is surjective.

Clearly $\tilde{\rho}(H_+^A) \subset \bar{H}_+^A$. It follows from the argument above that \bar{H}_+^A is contained in $\tilde{\rho}(H_+^A)$.

It remains for us to show the injectivity. Let $\xi \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z})$. Suppose that there exists $\zeta \in C(\bar{X}_A, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\xi \circ \rho = \zeta - \zeta \circ \bar{\sigma}_A$. In the same way as above, for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\eta \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\zeta \circ \bar{\sigma}_A^n = \eta \circ \rho$. Then

$$\xi \circ \sigma_A^n \circ \rho = \xi \circ \rho \circ \bar{\sigma}_A^n = \zeta \circ \bar{\sigma}_A^n - \zeta \circ \bar{\sigma}_A^{n+1} = (\eta - \eta \circ \sigma_A) \circ \rho.$$

Hence $\xi \circ \sigma_A^n = \eta - \eta \circ \sigma_A$. Thus $[\xi] = [\xi \circ \sigma_A^n] = 0$ in H^A . \square

Lemma 3.2. *For $\xi \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z})$, $[\xi]$ is in H_+^A if and only if $\sum_{x \in O} \xi(x) \geq 0$ holds for every finite σ_A -invariant set $O \subset X_A$.*

Proof. Suppose that $[\xi]$ is in H_+^A . By the lemma above, $\tilde{\rho}([\xi]) = [\xi \circ \rho]$ is in \bar{H}_+^A . Let $O \subset X_A$ be a finite σ_A -invariant set. There exists a finite $\bar{\sigma}_A$ -invariant set $\bar{O} \subset \bar{X}_A$ such that $\rho|_{\bar{O}}$ is a bijection from \bar{O} to O . It follows from Proposition 2.5 that $\sum_{x \in \bar{O}} \xi(\rho(x)) \geq 0$. Hence $\sum_{x \in O} \xi(x) \geq 0$.

Suppose that $\sum_{x \in O} \xi(x) \geq 0$ holds for every finite σ_A -invariant set $O \subset X_A$. For any finite $\bar{\sigma}_A$ -invariant set $\bar{O} \subset \bar{X}_A$, $O = \rho(\bar{O}) \subset X_A$ is a finite σ_A -invariant set and $\rho|_{\bar{O}}$ is injective. Therefore $\sum_{x \in \bar{O}} \xi(\rho(x)) = \sum_{x \in O} \xi(x) \geq 0$. By Proposition 2.5, $[\xi \circ \rho]$ is in \bar{H}_+^A . By the lemma above, $[\xi]$ is in H_+^A as desired. \square

Let G be an étale groupoid. We denote by $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ the set of continuous homomorphisms $\omega : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. We think of $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ as an abelian group by pointwise addition. For $\xi \in C(G^{(0)}, \mathbb{Z})$, we can define $\partial(\xi) \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ by $\partial(\xi)(g) = \xi(r(g)) - \xi(s(g))$. The cohomology group $H^1(G) = H^1(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is the quotient of $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ by $\{\partial(\xi) \mid \xi \in C(G^{(0)}, \mathbb{Z})\}$. The equivalence class of $\omega : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is written $[\omega] \in H^1(G)$.

Let $g \in G$ be such that $r(g) = s(g)$, that is, $g \in G'$. Since $\partial(\xi)(g) = 0$ for any $\xi \in C(G^{(0)}, \mathbb{Z})$, $[\omega] \mapsto \omega(g)$ is a well-defined homomorphism from $H^1(G)$ to \mathbb{Z} . We say that g is attracting if there exists a compact open G -set U such that $g \in U$, $r(U) \subset s(U)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\pi_U)^n(y) = r(g)$$

holds for any $y \in s(U)$.

Let (X_A, σ_A) be a one-sided topological Markov shift and consider the étale groupoid G_A (see Section 2.2 for the definition). We say that $x \in X_A$ is eventually periodic if there exist $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $k \neq l$ and $\sigma_A^k(x) = \sigma_A^l(x)$. This is equivalent to saying that $\{\sigma_A^n(x) \in X_A \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is a finite set. When x is eventually periodic, we call

$$\min \left\{ k - l \mid k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, k > l, \sigma_A^k(x) = \sigma_A^l(x) \right\}$$

the period of x .

Lemma 3.3. *Let $x \in X_A$.*

- (1) *If x is not eventually periodic, then the isotropy group $(G_A)_x$ is trivial.*
- (2) *If x is eventually periodic, then $(G_A)_x = \{(x, np, x) \in G_A \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, where p is the period of x .*
- (3) *When x is eventually periodic and has period p , (x, np, x) is attracting if and only if n is positive.*

Proof. (1) and (2) are obvious. We prove (3). Suppose that x is an eventually periodic point whose period is p . Let $(x, np, x) \in (G_A)_x$. Assume that n is positive. Choose $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ so that $\sigma_A^k(x) = \sigma_A^l(x)$ and $pn = k - l$. Define a clopen neighborhood V and W of x by

$$V = \{(y_n)_n \in X_A \mid y_i = x_i \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$$

and

$$W = \{(y_n)_n \in X_A \mid y_i = x_i \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, l+1\}.$$

We have $V \subset W$ and $\sigma_A^k(V) = \sigma_A^l(W)$. Then

$$U = \left\{ (y, np, z) \in G_A \mid y \in V, z \in W, \sigma_A^k(y) = \sigma_A^l(z) \right\}$$

is a compact open G_A -set such that $(x, np, x) \in U$, $r(U) = V$, $s(U) = W$ and $\pi_U = (\sigma_A^k|_V)^{-1} \circ (\sigma_A^l|_W)$. It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (\pi_U)^m(z) = x$$

holds for any $z \in s(U)$. Thus (x, np, x) is attracting.

Suppose that $U \subset G_A$ is a compact open G_A -set containing $(x, 0, x)$. Then $\pi_U(y) = y$ for any y sufficiently close to x , and so $(x, 0, x)$ is not attracting.

Assume that n is negative. Let $U \subset G_A$ be a compact open G_A -set containing (x, np, x) . By the argument above, $(x, -np, x)$ is attracting. Hence there exists a clopen neighborhood V of x such that $V \subset s(U)$ and $V \subset \pi_U(V)$. This means that (x, np, x) cannot be an attracting element. \square

Proposition 3.4. *There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : H^1(G_A) \rightarrow H^A$ such that $\Phi([\omega])$ is in H_+^A if and only if $\omega(g) \geq 0$ for every attracting $g \in G_A$.*

Proof. Let $\omega \in \text{Hom}(G_A, \mathbb{Z})$. Define $\xi \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\xi(x) = \omega((x, 1, \sigma_A(x))).$$

Let us verify that the map $\omega \mapsto \xi$ is surjective. For a given $\xi \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z})$, we can define $\omega \in \text{Hom}(G_A, \mathbb{Z})$ as follows. Take $(x, n, y) \in G_A$. There exists $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $k - l = n$ and $\sigma_A^k(x) = \sigma_A^l(y)$. Put

$$\omega((x, n, y)) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \xi(\sigma_A^i(x)) - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \xi(\sigma_A^j(y)).$$

Clearly this gives a well-defined continuous homomorphism from G_A to \mathbb{Z} . If there exists $\eta \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\omega = \partial(\eta)$, then $\xi = \eta - \eta \circ \sigma_A$, that is, $[\xi] = 0$ in H^A . It is also easy to see that the converse holds. Therefore $\Phi : [\omega] \mapsto [\xi]$ is an isomorphism from $H^1(G_A)$ to H^A .

We would like to show that $[\xi]$ is in H_+^A if and only if $\omega(g) \geq 0$ for every attracting $g \in G_A$. Let $x \in X_A$ be an eventually periodic point whose period is p and let $g = (x, np, x)$ be an attracting element. By the lemma above, n is positive. There exists $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $k - l = np$ and $\sigma_A^k(x) = \sigma_A^l(x)$. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(g) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \xi(\sigma_A^i(x)) - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \xi(\sigma_A^j(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=l}^{k-1} \xi(\sigma_A^i(x)) \\ &= n \sum_{i=l}^{l+p-1} \xi(\sigma_A^i(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $O = \{\sigma_A^l(x), \sigma_A^{l+1}(x), \dots, \sigma_A^{l+p-1}(x)\}$ is a finite σ_A -invariant set. By Lemma 3.2, $[\xi]$ belongs to H_+^A if and only if

$$\sum_{y \in O} \xi(y) \geq 0$$

for any finite σ_A -invariant set $O \subset X_A$, thereby completing the proof. \square

Consequently we have the following.

Theorem 3.5. *Let (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) be two irreducible one-sided topological Markov shifts. If (X_A, σ_A) is continuously orbit equivalent to (X_B, σ_B) , then there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : H^A \rightarrow H^B$ such that $\Phi(H_+^A) = H_+^B$. In particular, $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ is flow equivalent to $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$.*

Proof. Consider the étale groupoids G_A and G_B . By Theorem 2.3, G_A and G_B are isomorphic. Let $\varphi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ be an isomorphism. For $g \in G_A$, g is attracting in G_A if and only if $\varphi(g)$ is attracting in G_B . It follows from the proposition above that (H^A, H_+^A) is isomorphic to (H^B, H_+^B) . Then, Lemma 3.1 implies that (\bar{H}^A, \bar{H}_+^A) is isomorphic to (\bar{H}^B, \bar{H}_+^B) . By Theorem 2.4, $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ is flow equivalent to $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) be two irreducible one-sided topological Markov shifts. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent.
- (2) The étale groupoids G_A and G_B are isomorphic.
- (3) There exists an isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$.
- (4) \mathcal{O}_A is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_B and $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B)$.
- (5) There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \text{BF}(A^t) \rightarrow \text{BF}(B^t)$ such that $\Phi(u_A) = u_B$ and $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B)$.

Proof. The equivalence between (1), (2) and (3) is already known (Theorem 2.3). As mentioned in Section 2.5, $(K_0(\mathcal{O}_A), [1])$ is isomorphic to $(\text{BF}(A^t), u_A)$, and so (4) \Rightarrow (5) holds. The implication (5) \Rightarrow (1) follows from [13, Theorem 1.1].

Suppose that (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent. It follows from the theorem above that the two-sided topological Markov shifts $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow equivalent. Therefore, by [17], we have $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B)$ (see Theorem 2.6). Since (1) \Rightarrow (3) is already known, \mathcal{O}_A is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_B . Thus we have obtained (4). This completes the proof. \square

As mentioned in Section 2.5, $\det(\text{id} - A) = 0$ when $\text{BF}(A^t)$ is infinite, and $|\det(\text{id} - A)|$ equals the cardinality of $\text{BF}(A^t)$ when $\text{BF}(A^t)$ is finite. Hence, our invariant of the continuous orbit equivalence consists of a finitely generated abelian group F , an element $u \in F$ and $s \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ such that F is an infinite group if and only if $s = 0$. Conversely, for any such triplet (F, u, s) , there exists an irreducible one-sided topological Markov shift whose invariant is equal to (F, u, s) . This is probably known to experts, but the authors are not aware of a specific reference and thus include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 3.7. *Let F be a finitely generated abelian group and let $u \in F$. Let $s = 0$ when F is infinite and let s be either -1 or 1 when F is finite. There exists an irreducible one-sided topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A) such that $(F, u) \cong (\text{BF}(A^t), u_A)$ and the sign of $\det(\text{id} - A)$ equals $s \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that we are given (F, u, s) . It suffices to find a square irreducible matrix A with entries in \mathbb{Z}_+ satisfying the desired properties (see [10, Section 2.3] or [5, Remark 2.16]). Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in \mathbb{Z}_+ such that $A(1, 1) = 2$,

$A(i, i) \geq 2$ and $A(i, j) = 1$ for all i, j with $i \neq j$. Let $d_i = A(i, i) - 2$ and let $r = |\{i \mid d_i=0\}| - 1$. Then it is straightforward to see

$$\mathrm{BF}(A^t) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus \bigoplus_{d_i \geq 2} \mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\mathrm{id} - A) = (-1)^N \prod_{i=2}^N d_i.$$

Therefore we can construct such A so that $\mathrm{BF}(A^t) \cong F$ and the sign of $\det(\mathrm{id} - A)$ equals s . In what follows we identify $\mathrm{BF}(A^t)$ with F . Note that $u_A \in \mathrm{BF}(A^t)$ is zero. Choose $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ whose equivalence class in $\mathrm{BF}(A^t)$ equals u . Since u_A is zero, we may assume $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for all i . We now construct a new matrix B as follows. Set

$$\Sigma = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid 1 \leq i \leq N, 0 \leq j \leq c_i\}.$$

Define $B = [B((i, j), (k, l))]_{(i,j),(k,l) \in \Sigma}$ by

$$B((i, j), (k, l)) = \begin{cases} A(i, k) & j = c_i, l = 0 \\ 1 & i = k, j+1 = l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The group $\mathrm{BF}(A^t)$ is the abelian group with generators e_1, \dots, e_N and relations

$$e_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A(i, j)e_j,$$

and u equals $\sum c_i e_i$. The group $\mathrm{BF}(B^t)$ is the abelian group with generators $\{f_{i,j} \mid (i, j) \in \Sigma\}$ and relations

$$f_{i,j} = f_{i,j'} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{i,c_i} = \sum_{k=1}^N A(i, k)f_{k,0},$$

and u_B equals $\sum f_{i,j}$. Hence $(\mathrm{BF}(A^t), u)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathrm{BF}(B^t), u_B)$. It is also easy to see $\det(\mathrm{id} - A) = \det(\mathrm{id} - B)$. The proof is completed. \square

For $i = 1, 2$, let G_i be a minimal essentially principal étale groupoid whose unit space is a Cantor set. It has been shown that the following conditions are mutually equivalent ([16, Theorem 3.10]). For a group Γ , we let $D(\Gamma)$ denote the commutator subgroup.

- G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic as étale groupoids.
- $[[G_1]]$ and $[[G_2]]$ are isomorphic as discrete groups.
- $D([[G_1]])$ and $D([[G_2]])$ are isomorphic as discrete groups.

The étale groupoid G_A arising from (X_A, σ_A) is minimal, essentially principal and purely infinite ([16, Lemma 6.1]). Hence $D([[G_A]])$ is simple by [16, Theorem 4.16]. Moreover, $D([[G_A]])$ is finitely generated ([16, Corollary 6.25]), $[[G_A]]$ is of type F_∞ ([16, Theorem 6.21]) and $[[G_A]]/D([[G_A]])$ is isomorphic to $(H_0(G_A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus H_1(G_A)$ ([16, Corollary 6.24]). Theorem 3.6 tells us that the isomorphism class of $[[G_A]]$ (and $D([[G_A]])$) is determined by $(H_0(G_A), [1_{X_A}], \det(\mathrm{id} - A))$ (see also Theorem 2.7). By Lemma 3.7, for each triplet

(F, u, s) there exists (X_A, σ_A) whose invariant agrees with it. In particular, the simple finitely generated groups $D([[G_A]])$ are parameterized by such triplets (F, u, s) .

We conclude this article by giving a corollary. We denote by \mathbb{K} the C^* -algebra of all compact operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathcal{C} \cong c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ be the maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathbb{K} consisting of diagonal operators.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ be two irreducible two-sided topological Markov shifts. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow equivalent.
- (2) There exists an isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathbb{K}$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{D}_A \otimes \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{D}_B \otimes \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is known ([5, Theorem 4.1]). Let us assume (2). In what follows, we identify the Bowen-Franks group with the K_0 -group of the Cuntz-Krieger algebra. We have the isomorphism $K_0(\Psi) : \text{BF}(A^t) \rightarrow \text{BF}(B^t)$. By Lemma 3.7, there exists an irreducible one-sided topological Markov shift (X_C, σ_C) such that $(\text{BF}(B^t), K_0(\Psi)(u_A)) \cong (\text{BF}(C^t), u_C)$ and $\det(\text{id} - B) = \det(\text{id} - C)$. It follows from Theorem 2.6 that $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ is flow equivalent to $(\bar{X}_C, \bar{\sigma}_C)$. Moreover, by Huang's theorem [8, Theorem 2.15] and its proof, there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \otimes \mathbb{K}$ such that $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_B \otimes \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{D}_C \otimes \mathcal{C}$ and $K_0(\Phi)(K_0(\Psi)(u_A)) = u_C$. Then $\Phi \circ \Psi$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathbb{K}$ to $\mathcal{O}_C \otimes \mathbb{K}$ such that $(\Phi \circ \Psi)(\mathcal{D}_A \otimes \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{D}_C \otimes \mathcal{C}$ and $K_0(\Phi \circ \Psi)(u_A) = u_C$. In the same way as the proof of [13, Theorem 4.1], we can conclude that $(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{D}_A)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{D}_C)$. By virtue of Theorem 3.6, we get $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - C)$. Therefore $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.6, $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow equivalent. \square

References

- [1] R. Bowen and J. Franks, *Homology for zero-dimensional nonwandering sets*, Ann. Math. (2) 106 (1977), 73–92.
- [2] M. Boyle and D. Handelman, *Orbit equivalence, flow equivalence and ordered cohomology*, Israel J. Math. 95 (1996), 169–210.
- [3] M. Boyle and J. Tomiyama, *Bounded topological orbit equivalence and C^* -algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 50 (1998), 317–329.
- [4] J. Cuntz, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains II: Reducible chains and the Ext-functor for C^* -algebras*, Invent. Math. 63 (1981), 25–40.
- [5] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains*, Invent. Math. 56 (1980), 251–268.
- [6] J. Franks, *Flow equivalence of subshifts of finite type*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 4 (1984), 53–66.
- [7] T. Giordano, I. F. Putnam and C. F. Skau, *Topological orbit equivalence and C^* -crossed products*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 469 (1995), 51–111.

- [8] D. Huang, *Flow equivalence of reducible shifts of finite type*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 14 (1994), 695–720.
- [9] D. Huang, *Flow equivalence of reducible shifts of finite type and Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 462 (1995), 185–217.
- [10] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [11] K. Matsumoto, *Orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts and Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 246 (2010), 199–225.
- [12] K. Matsumoto, *K-groups of the full group actions on one-sided topological Markov shifts*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 33 (2013), 3753–3765.
- [13] K. Matsumoto, *Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras by orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (2013), 2329–2342.
- [14] K. Matsumoto, *Full groups of one-sided topological Markov shifts*, preprint.
- [15] H. Matui, *Homology and topological full groups of étale groupoids on totally disconnected spaces*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 104 (2012), 27–56.
- [16] H. Matui, *Topological full groups of one-sided shifts of finite type*, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.
- [17] W. Parry and D. Sullivan, *A topological invariant of flows on 1-dimensional spaces*, Topology 14 (1975), 297–299.
- [18] J. Renault, *Cartan subalgebras in C^* -algebras*, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. 61 (2008), 29–63.
- [19] M. Rørdam, *Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, K-Theory 9 (1995), 31–58.
- [20] J. Tomiyama, *Topological full groups and structure of normalizers in transformation group C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 173 (1996), 571–583.