

Uniqueness for the inverse backscattering problem for angularly controlled potentials

Rakesh
 Department of Mathematical Sciences
 University of Delaware
 Newark, DE 19716, USA

Gunther Uhlmann
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Washington
 Seattle, WA 98195, USA

Email: rakesh@math.udel.edu

Email: gunther@math.washington.edu

February 12, 2014

Abstract

We consider the problem of recovering a smooth, compactly supported potential on \mathbb{R}^3 from its backscattering data. We show that if two such potentials have the same backscattering data and the difference of the two potentials has controlled angular derivatives then the two potentials are identical. In particular, if two potentials differ by a finite linear combination of spherical harmonics with radial coefficients and have the same backscattering data then the two potentials are identical.

1 Introduction

1.1 Goal

Let B denote the closed unit ball in \mathbb{R}^3 , S denote the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 and suppose $q(x)$ is a smooth real valued function on \mathbb{R}^3 with support in B . Given a unit vector ω in \mathbb{R}^3 , let $U(x, t, \omega)$ be the solution of the IVP (Initial Value Problem)

$$U_{tt} - \Delta U + qU = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)$$

$$U(x, t) = \delta(t - x \cdot \omega), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t < -1; \quad (2)$$

here $x \cdot \omega$ denotes the inner product of x and ω and $\delta(\cdot)$ is the Dirac delta distribution. We may express $U(x, t, \omega)$ in the form

$$U(x, t, \omega) = \delta(t - x \cdot \omega) + u(x, t, \omega)$$

where $u(x, t, \omega)$ is the solution of the IVP

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + qu = -q\delta(t - x \cdot \omega), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \quad (3)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t < -1. \quad (4)$$

If we regard $q(x)$ as representing some physical property of a medium occupying \mathbb{R}^3 then $u(x, t, \omega)$ may be regarded as the response of the medium to an incoming plane wave $\delta(t - x \cdot \omega)$ moving in the direction ω . The “far field pattern” (defined carefully later) of the medium response, measured in the direction of the unit vector θ in \mathbb{R}^3 , with delay $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} ru(r\theta, r - s, \omega).$$

A longstanding open problem is the recovery of the the medium property q from the backscattered far field data (which consists of far field pattern measured only in the direction $\theta = -\omega$, for all incoming wave directions ω and for all delays $s \in \mathbb{R}$). The problem is equivalent to the inversion of the map from q to the backscattered data and an important first step is to prove the injectivity of this map, which itself is a long standing open problem. Our main result is that if the backscattered data for two potentials coincide and the difference of the two potentials has controlled angular derivatives then the two potentials are identical.

1.2 The problems and the results

We first define what we mean by the far field pattern with the help of the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Properties of the forward map). *Suppose $q(x)$ is a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^3 which is supported in the unit ball B and ω, θ are arbitrary unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 .*

(a) *$u(x, t, \omega)$ is supported in the region $t \geq x \cdot \omega$ and, in this region, u is the unique smooth solution of the characteristic IVP problem*

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + qu = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \quad t \geq x \cdot \omega, \quad (5)$$

$$u(x, x \cdot \omega, \omega) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 q(x + \sigma\omega) d\sigma, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (6)$$

$$u(x, t, \omega) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t < -1. \quad (7)$$

(b) *As distributions in $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} ru(r\theta, r - s, \omega) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} (\theta \cdot \nabla u)(x, 1 - s, \omega) dS_x. \quad (8)$$

(c) Further, as distributions in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\partial_t \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} u(x, t, \omega) dS_x = - \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} (\theta \cdot \nabla u)(x, t, \omega) dS_x, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\int_{x \cdot \theta = \tau} u(x, t, \omega) dS_x = \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} u(x, t - \tau + 1, \omega) dS_x, \quad \text{for all } \tau \geq 1. \quad (10)$$

Actually our proof of (8) shows something stronger; if $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is orthogonal to θ then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r u(x^* + r\theta, r - s, \omega) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} (\theta \cdot \nabla u)(x, 1 - s, \omega) dS_x.$$

The equation (8) gives a kind of Friedlander limit (see [Fr73]) so

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} (\theta \cdot \nabla u)(x, 1 - s, \omega) dS_x$$

is a good candidate for being called the far field pattern and we define the far field pattern

$$\alpha(\theta, \omega, s) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} (\theta \cdot \nabla u)(x, 1 - s, \omega) dS_x, \quad \theta, \omega \in S, s \in \mathbb{R},$$

which is, up to a constant multiple, the Radon transform, on the plane $x \cdot \theta = 1$, of the directional derivative of $u(x, 1 - s, \omega)$ in the direction θ . So our goal is the recovery of $q(\cdot)$ from the backscattering data

$$\alpha(-\omega, \omega, s) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \omega = -1} (\omega \cdot \nabla u)(x, 1 - s, \omega) dS_x, \quad \omega \in S, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We make a remark about the regularity of $\alpha(\theta, \omega, s)$. As can be seen from the proof of Theorem 1, $u(x, t, \omega)$ is a function supported on $t \geq x \cdot \omega$ and is the restriction to the region $t \geq x \cdot \omega$ of a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $a(x, t, \omega)$ be one such smooth extension of u ; then $u(x, t, \omega) = a(x, t, \omega)H(t - x \cdot \omega)$ and hence

$$(\theta \cdot \nabla u)(x, t, \omega) = (\theta \cdot \nabla a)(x, t, \omega)H(t - x \cdot \omega) - (\theta \cdot \omega)a(x, t, \omega)\delta(t - x \cdot \omega).$$

An analysis of the integral defining $\alpha(\theta, \omega, s)$ shows that it is smooth in s, ω, θ over the region where $\theta \neq \omega$ and $\theta \neq -\omega$ and is zero for $s > 2$. One can also show that when $\theta = \omega$, the peak scattering case, as a distribution on $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\alpha(\omega, \omega, s) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q(x) dx \right) \delta(s) + \text{smoother terms}$$

and is zero for $s > 0$. When $\theta = -\omega$ then $\alpha(-\omega, \omega, s)$ is a smooth function of s and is zero for $s > 2$.

We introduce some notation to state our main result. To any $\rho \geq 0$ and $\omega \in S$, we associate a unique $x = \rho\omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$. We define the angular derivatives $\Omega_{ij} = x_i\partial_j - x_j\partial_i$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, $i \neq j$ and note that

$$\Delta_S := \sum_{i < j} \Omega_{ij}^2$$

is the spherical Laplacian, that is Δ_S is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unit sphere. Further

$$\int_S (\Omega_{ij}f)g \, dS = - \int_S f(\Omega_{ij}g) \, dS \quad (11)$$

for arbitrary smooth functions f, g on S .

Our main result is the following uniqueness theorem for the inverse backscattering problem.

Theorem 2 (Uniqueness for back-scattering data). *Suppose q_i , $i = 1, 2$ are smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^3 with support in the unit ball B and $\alpha_i(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ the corresponding far field data. If there is a constant C , independent of ρ and i, j such that*

$$\int_S |\Omega_{i,j}(q_1 - q_2)(\rho\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \leq C \int_S |(q_1 - q_2)(\rho\omega)|^2 \, d\omega, \quad \forall \rho \in [0, 1], \quad \forall i, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (12)$$

then $\alpha_1(-\omega, \omega, s) = \alpha_2(-\omega, \omega, s)$ for all $\omega \in S$ and all $s \in [0, 2]$ implies $q_1 = q_2$.

Let $\{\phi_n(\omega)\}_{n \geq 1}$ denote an orthonormal basis for $L^2(S)$ consisting of spherical harmonics. Each $\phi_n(\omega)$ is the restriction to S of a homogeneous harmonic polynomials $\phi_n(x)$, and the ϕ_n are indexed so that if $m < n$ then $\deg(\phi_m) \leq \deg(\phi_n)$; further

$$\Delta_S \phi_n = -d_n(d_n + 1)\phi_n \quad (13)$$

where $d_n = \deg(\phi_n)$ - see [Se66] and [SW71] for details. If $p(x)$ is a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^3 then p has a spherical harmonic expansion $p(\rho\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(\rho)\phi_n(\omega)$. One can show¹ that $p(x) = (q_1 - q_2)(x)$ satisfies the angular derivative condition (12) in Theorem 2 iff we can find C (independent of ρ) so that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n(d_n + 1) p_n(\rho)^2 \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(\rho)^2, \quad \forall \rho \in [0, 1]. \quad (14)$$

¹ Since $(\Omega_{ij}p)(\rho\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(\rho) (\Omega_{ij}\phi_n)(\omega)$ so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i < j} \int_S (\Omega_{ij}p)(\rho\omega)^2 \, d\omega &= \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} p_n(\rho) p_m(\rho) \int_S \sum_{i < j} (\Omega_{ij}\phi_m)(\omega)(\Omega_{ij}\phi_n)(\omega) \, d\omega \\ &= - \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} p_n(\rho) p_m(\rho) \int_S \sum_{i < j} (\Omega_{ij}^2\phi_m)(\omega) \phi_n(\omega) \, d\omega = - \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} p_n(\rho) p_m(\rho) \int_S (\Delta_S \phi_m)(\omega) \phi_n(\omega) \, d\omega \\ &= \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} p_n(\rho) p_m(\rho) d_m(d_m + 1) \int_S \phi_m(\omega) \phi_n(\omega) \, d\omega = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n(d_n + 1) p_n(\rho)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly (14) holds if $p_n(\cdot) = 0$ for all $n \geq N$ for some N , but (14) also holds for some p with infinite spherical harmonic expansions. In fact, one may show that $d_n < \sqrt{n}$, so if we take $p_1(\rho)$ to be some non-zero function, and choose $p_n(\rho)$ so that

$$(\sqrt{n} + 1)|p_n(\rho)| \leq |p_{n-1}(\rho)|, \quad \forall n \geq 2, \rho \in [0, 1]$$

then p would satisfy (14) for some C .

Theorem 2, shows, in particular, that two radial $q(x)$ are identical if their backscattering data are identical; even this result, for the special case of radial potentials, is new. If $\alpha(\theta, \omega, s)$ is the far field pattern of $q(x)$ and $\beta(\theta, \omega, s)$ the far field pattern of its translate $q(x + a)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$, then (see subsection 6.2)

$$\beta(\theta, \omega, s) = \alpha(\theta, \omega, s + a \cdot (\theta - \omega)).$$

This can be used to show that if the backscattering data for $q_1(|x|)$ equals the back-scattering data for $q_2(|x - a|)$ then both these functions are zero. In fact, if the far field patterns of $q_1(|x|)$ and $q_2(|x|)$ are α_1 and α_2 respectively, then the backscattering data for $q_1(|x|)$ and $q_2(|x - a|)$ are $\alpha_1(-\omega, \omega, s)$ and $\alpha_2(-\omega, \omega, s - 2a \cdot \omega)$ respectively. So if

$$\alpha_1(-\omega, \omega, s) = \alpha_2(-\omega, \omega, s - 2a \cdot \omega), \quad \forall \omega \in S, s \in \mathbb{R},$$

and noting that $\alpha_i(-\omega, \omega, s)$, $i = 1, 2$ are independent of ω because q_i are radial, we obtain that $\alpha_i(-\omega, \omega, s)$ are independent of s . This forces $\alpha_i(-\omega, \omega, s) = 0$ for all ω, s because far field patterns are always zero for s large enough. Hence from Theorem 2, applied to the radial case, we obtain $q_1 = q_2 = 0$.

The proof of Theorem 2 relies on two ideas. We use an identity obtained by using the solution of an adjoint problem, an idea used earlier by Santosa and Symes in [SnSy88], and by Stefanov in [St90]. Also, for functions f on \mathbb{R}^3 , we estimate the L^2 norm of f on spheres by the Radon transform of f on planes outside the sphere using an idea motivated by the material on pages 185-190 in [LRS86]. The Radon transform estimate could also be obtained using Dean's theorem - see Chapter 7 in [Is06] - but we get stronger results using the idea in [LRS86].

Next we give some elementary, known but interesting, results with proofs which are perhaps a little simpler than the original proofs of these results.

Theorem 3. (*Elementary results*) Suppose q_i , $i = 1, 2$ are smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^3 with support in the unit ball and $\alpha_i(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ the corresponding far field patterns.

- (a) If $q_2 \geq q_1$ and $\alpha_1(-\omega, \omega, s) = \alpha_2(-\omega, \omega, s)$ for a fixed $\omega \in S$ and all $s \in [-2, 2]$, then $q_1 = q_2$.
- (b) There is an $M > 0$ such that if $\|q_i\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq M$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\alpha_1(-\omega, \omega, s) = \alpha_2(-\omega, \omega, s)$ for all $\omega \in S$ and all $s \in [0, 2]$ then $q_1 = q_2$.

The result (a) was proved in [St90]. Melrose and Uhlmann in [Uh01], [MU08] and Lagergren in Chapter 8 of [La01], [La11] have shown results analogous to (b), for a different norm, though the

result in [La01], [La11] is for the Schrödinger equation. We think our proof is simpler. Analogous to (b), the articles [SU97], [Wa98], [Wae98], [Wam98], [Wa00], study the inverse backscattering problem but for the acoustic equation, Maxwell's equation or the equations of elasticity and prove injectivity or stability for the problem when the coefficients are close to a constant.

Sadly, even the most basic question remains open: if for some smooth, compactly supported q , the backscattering data $\alpha(-\omega, \omega, s) = 0$ for all $\omega \in S$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then is $q = 0$?

1.3 History

The term “scattering data” has been used in at least five other contexts and we summarize the connections between them. Our scattering data $\alpha(\tau, \theta, \omega)$ is very close to the scattering kernel $k_q(s, \theta, \omega)$ defined in the Lax-Phillips scattering theory; one can show that (see [Uh01])

$$-2\pi k_q(s, \theta, \omega) = \alpha_{\tau\tau}(\theta, \omega, s) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in S, \omega \in S.$$

For each real number $k > 0$ and unit vector $\omega \in S$, let $w(x, \omega, k)$ be the outgoing solution of the Helmholtz equation corresponding to the incoming wave $w_i(x, \omega, k) = e^{ikx \cdot \omega}$, that is w is the solution of (below $\rho = |x|$)

$$(-\Delta_x + q(x) - k^2)w(x, \omega, k) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{15}$$

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho \left(\frac{\partial w_s}{\partial \rho} - ikw_s \right) (x, \theta, k) = 0, \tag{16}$$

where w_s is the scattered part of the solution

$$w_s(x, \omega, k) := (w - w_i)(x, \omega, k).$$

For large $|x|$

$$w_s(x, \omega, k) = \frac{e^{ik|x|}}{|x|} w_\infty \left(\frac{x}{|x|}, \omega, k \right) + o \left(\frac{1}{|x|} \right) \tag{17}$$

and the function $w_\infty(\theta, \omega, k)$, $\omega, \theta \in S$ and $k > 0$, is called the far field pattern associated to $q(\cdot)$ - see [CK13] for details. One may show that $w_\infty(\theta, \omega, k)$ is a constant multiple of the Fourier transform of our time domain scattering data $\alpha(\theta, \omega, \tau)$ - see [Uh01].

The far field pattern $w_\infty(\theta, \omega, k)$ for unit vectors θ, ω and $k > 0$ determines a function $h(\xi, \eta, k)$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|\xi| = |\eta| = k \neq 0$ via

$$w_\infty(\theta, \omega, k) = h(k\theta, k\omega, k).$$

In [ER92], by regarding h as the solution of a certain integral equation, they extend $h(\xi, \eta, k)$ to a function on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty)$ - they even allow complex potentials q . They choose the function

$h(\xi, -\xi, |\xi|)$ with $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ as their backscattering data; loosely speaking, this corresponds to an extension of $w_\infty(-\omega, \omega, k)$ to the set $k \geq 0$ for all unit vectors θ, ω - so $k = 0$ is now included in the domain.

Another candidate for the scattering data is the standard scattering operator S_q defined via wave operators arising from the solution operator of the initial value problem for the wave equation with zeroth order coefficient q - see [Uh01]. If T_q is the operator with kernel $k_q(s - s', \theta, \omega)$, then in [Uh01] it is shown that S_q is the conjugate of $I + T_q$ by the modified Lax-Phillips Radon transform.

In [BM09], [La01], [La11], the scattering operator is generated exactly as in the definition of S_q above but with the use of the solution operator of the initial value problem for the Schrödinger equation rather than the wave equation. In [La01], [La11] they do relate their scattering data to solutions of the wave equation and we have tried to use this connection to establish a relationship between their scattering data and our scattering data. We have come close to doing so but we have not succeeded fully so we have not included this part of our work in this article.

The inverse backscattering problem in the various contexts mentioned above consists of inverting the map sending q to one of the above forms of the backscattering data. In [ER92] it was shown that the map $q(x) \rightarrow h(\xi, -\xi, |\xi|)$ is an analytic map in appropriate spaces and this map is an isomorphism on a dense open subset of this space (which includes a neighborhood of $q = 0$). The articles [St92], [Uh01] (the details of [Uh01] are given in [MU08]) give analogous results for the map $q(x) \rightarrow w_\infty(-\omega, \omega, k)$, [La01] has related results and [Wa02] has similar results for the even dimensional case. [Uh01], [MU08] go a little farther; there an explicit series expansion (the Born series expansion) is given for the backscattering map and the series is shown to be convergent for compactly supported potentials in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

One may also study what can be recovered of q from the backscattering data for a single frequency. Many different q can result in the same backscattering data for a fixed frequency, but in [HKS05] it was shown that a certain subset (possibly empty) of \mathbb{R}^3 , determined by the data, is guaranteed to be in the convex hull of the support of all such q .

A formal computation of the derivative of the map $q \rightarrow \alpha(-\omega, \omega, s)$, at $q = 0$, shows (see subsection 6.1) that this map is

$$p(x) \rightarrow \frac{-1}{8\pi} \int_{x \cdot \omega = -2s} p(x) dS_x,$$

which may be interpreted as saying that, for small q , we have

$$\alpha(-\omega, \omega, s) \approx \frac{-1}{8\pi} \int_{x \cdot \omega = -2s} p(x) dS_x.$$

Hence, using the inverse of the Radon transform, a candidate to approximate $q(x)$ (constructed from backscattering data) would be

$$q_b(x) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S \alpha_{ss}(-\omega, \omega, -x \cdot \omega/2) d\omega,$$

which is called the Born approximation to q . This is identical and analogous to what is done in the frequency domain case, where the derivative of the map $q \rightarrow w_\infty(-\omega, \omega, k)$, at $q = 0$, is the map

$$p(x) \rightarrow \hat{p}(2k\omega),$$

so the Born approximation is defined as

$$q_b(x) := \int_0^\infty \int_S k^2 e^{-ikx \cdot \omega} w_\infty(-\omega, \omega, k/2) d\omega dk.$$

If a plane wave impinges on a potential q which has a singularity across a surface, the transmitted wave is the same as the original but the reflected wave is one degree smoother. So if a medium is probed by a plane wave then the resulting wave is a sum of the original wave plus a sum of waves which are the result of one or more reflections. Amongst the reflected waves, the waves resulting from a single reflection will be the most singular, those resulting from two reflections will be one degree smoother, those resulting from three reflections will be two degrees smoother and so on. Since q_b is the result of applying the inverse of the single reflection process to the backscattering data, one expects q_b and q to have the same principal singularity. This idea was implemented in [GU93] to show that if q is a conormal potential then one can recover the conormal singularities of q from the singularities of the backscattering amplitude. Using tools from Harmonic Analysis, in [OPS01] for two dimensions and then in [RV05] for three dimensions, it was shown that for *arbitrary* (not necessarily conormal) smooth enough q , $q_b - q$ is smoother than q , that is q_b captures the principle singularities of q . Please see [RR12] for an accurate statement of the most recent results - also see [BM09] for related results. Along these lines, [DUV] has a result for the (harder to analyze) acoustic equation. There it was shown that the reflected wave is smoother than the transmitted wave for conormal sound speeds in $C^{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\epsilon > 0$.

In [Ramm10] it is claimed that the map $q \rightarrow w_\infty(-\omega, \omega, k)$ is injective when q is restricted to compactly supported real valued q . However, there is a gap in the proof. The complex geometrical optics (CGO) solutions are defined for complex k but they are not analytic in k . The classical scattering solutions can be defined for complex k and are analytic in k but they are different from the CGO solutions. In [Ramm10] it is assumed that they are the same.

Below \preccurlyeq denotes ‘less than or equal to a constant multiple’ with the constant independent of the parameters.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

(a) We seek $U(x, t, \omega)$ in the form

$$U(x, t, \omega) = \delta(t - x \cdot \omega) + u(x, t, \omega)H(t - x \cdot \omega);$$

then

$$U_{tt} - \Delta U + qU = (u_{tt} - \Delta u + qu)H(t - x \cdot \omega) + 2(u_t + \omega \cdot \nabla u + q/2)\delta(t - x \cdot \omega).$$

So we need to choose a smooth $u(x, t)$ on the region $t \geq x \cdot \omega$ so that $u_{tt} - \Delta u + qu = 0$ on this region and $u_t + \omega \cdot \nabla u = -q/2$ on the plane $x \cdot \omega = t$. The last relation is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma} u(x + \sigma\omega, x \cdot \omega + \sigma, \omega) = -\frac{q(x + \sigma\omega)}{2}$$

and integrating it with respect to σ and noting that $u(x, t, \omega) = 0$ for $t < -1$, we obtain

$$u(x, x \cdot \omega, \omega) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 q(x + \sigma\omega) d\sigma.$$

So we need to show that the characteristic IVP (5)-(7) has a unique smooth solution. The uniqueness of the solution may be proved by standard energy estimates. The existence is proved by using a progressing wave expansion and converting the problem to the solution of an initial value problem.

We give an outline of the proof - the details can be filled in quite easily. Below, for any $j \geq 0$,

$$s_+^j = \begin{cases} s^j, & s \geq 0 \\ 0, & s < 0. \end{cases};$$

note that $s_+^0 = H(s)$. Pick any positive integer N ; we seek a solution $U(x, t)$ of (1), (2) in the form of a progressing wave expansion

$$U(x, t) = \delta(t - x \cdot \omega) + \sum_{j=0}^N a_j(x)(t - x \cdot \omega)_+^j + R_N(x, t).$$

The $a_j(x)$ are constructed by solving the associated transport equations - see [CH89]. One may show that the $a_j(x)$ are smooth functions completely determined by q and its derivatives of order $j + 2$ or less and $R_N(x, t)$ is the solution of the IVP

$$(\square - q)R_N(x, t) = F(x)(t - x \cdot \omega)_+^N, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times]R, \quad (18)$$

$$R_N(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t < -1, \quad (19)$$

for some smooth function $F(x)$ completely determined by q and its derivatives up to order $2N$. Since the RHS of (18) is of class C^{N-1} on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, by the well-posedness theory for hyperbolic PDEs (using integral equation arguments), the system (18), (19) has a unique solution of class C^{N-1} . Next one may check that

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{j=0}^N a_j(x)(t - x \cdot \omega)_+^j + R_N(x, t), \quad t \geq x \cdot \omega$$

solves (5) - (7), so we have proved the existence of a u of class C^{N-1} for every N . The uniqueness of u allows us to claim that u is smooth on $t \geq x \cdot \omega$.

- (c) We prove (c) before (b) because the proof of (b) is more complicated. We shorten $u(x, t, \omega)$ to $u(x, t)$, assume that $\theta = (0, 0, 1)$, write $x = (x', z)$ with $x' \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$, and define

$$v(z, t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x', z, t) dx'.$$

Since $u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0$ in the region $|x| \geq 1$ and $u(x, t) = 0$ for $t \leq -1$, in the region $z \geq 1$ we have

$$v_{tt} - v_{zz} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_{tt} - u_{zz})(x', z, t) dx' = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Delta_{x'} u)(x', z, t) dx' = 0,$$

and $v(z, t) = 0$ for $t \leq -1$. Hence $v(z, t) = f(t - z)$, on the region $z \geq 1$, for some function f . Hence, for $z \geq 1$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x', z, t) dx' = v(z, t) = f(t - z) = v(1, t - z + 1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x', 1, t - z + 1) dx'$$

proving one part of (c). Next

$$\int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} (\theta \cdot \nabla u)(x, t) dS_x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_z(x', 1, t) dx' = v_z(1, t) = -f'(t - 1);$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} u(x, t) dS_x \right) &= \partial_t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x', 1, t) dx' \right) = \partial_t(v(1, t)) = \partial_t(f(t - 1)) \\ &= f'(t - 1) = - \int_{-\infty}^t v_z(1, \tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

proving the other part of (c).

- (b) As before, we shorten $u(x, t, \omega)$ to $u(x, t)$, assume that $\theta = (0, 0, 1)$, and write $x = (x', z)$ with $x' \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $f(x', t) = u_z(x', z = 1, t)$, that is f is the value of u_z on the hyperplane $x \cdot \theta = 1$. We note two properties of the distribution $f(x', t)$; we have $f(x', t) = 0$ for all $t \leq -1$ and for each T , the intersection of the support of f with the region $t \leq T$ is compact.

Now u is the solution of the IBVP (Initial Boundary Value Problem)

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \quad z \geq 1, \quad (20)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad t < -1, \quad (21)$$

$$u_z(x', 1, t) = f(x', t), \quad (x', t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (22)$$

We may show that (see [Rak03] for example)

$$\begin{aligned} u(x', z + 1, t) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\delta(t - |(x', z)|)}{|(x', z)|} * f(x', t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}} f(y', \tau) \frac{\delta(t - \tau - |(x' - y', z)|)}{|(x' - y', z)|} dy' d\tau \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(x' + y', t - |(y', z)|)}{|(y', z)|} dy' \end{aligned}$$

as a distribution in (x', z, t) on the region $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence using the pullback of the map $(z, \sigma) \rightarrow (0, z, z + \sigma)$ we have

$$z u(0, z + 1, z + \sigma) = -\frac{z}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y', z + \sigma - |(y', z)|)}{|(y', z)|} dy'.$$

as a distribution in (z, σ) on the region $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$. This distribution may also be regarded as a continuous map from $(0, \infty)$ to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ (the distributions on \mathbb{R}) sending

$$z \rightarrow -\frac{z}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y', z + \sigma - |(y', z)|)}{|(y', z)|} dy'$$

because for any compactly supported smooth function $\phi(\sigma)$ on \mathbb{R}

$$-\frac{z}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y', z + \sigma - |(y', z)|)}{|(y', z)|} \phi(\sigma) dy' d\sigma = -\frac{z}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y', \sigma) \frac{\phi(\sigma + |(y', z)| - z)}{|(y', z)|} dy' d\sigma$$

is a continuous² function of z on $(0, \infty)$.

Now we show that, as distributions in $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y', z + \sigma - |(y', z)|)}{|(y', z)|} dy' = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y', \sigma) dy' \quad (23)$$

which will imply that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z u(0, z + 1, z + \sigma) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y', \sigma) dy', \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} u(0, z + 1, z + \sigma) = 0$$

and hence taking $\sigma = 1 - s$ we have

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} (z + 1) u(0, z + 1, z + 1 - s) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y', 1 - s) dy',$$

proving (b). So it remains to prove (23).

For any compactly supported smooth function $\phi(\sigma)$ on \mathbb{R} , we have

$$z \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y', z + \sigma - |(y', z)|)}{|(y', z)|} \phi(\sigma) dy' d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y', t) \phi(t + |(y', z)| - z) \frac{z}{|(y', z)|} dy' dt. \quad (24)$$

If ϕ is supported on $|\sigma| \leq R$ then the “integration” occurs on a subset of the region $t + |(y', z) - z| \leq R$ and hence on a subset of $t \leq R$. Since the intersection of the support of f with the region $t \leq R$ is compact, the “integration” in (24) occurs on a compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$. Next, noting that

$$|(y', z)| - z = \frac{|y'|^2}{|(y', z)| + z} \leq \frac{|y'|^2}{z}$$

²The “integration” in the last integral is over a compact region region in y', σ space determined by the support of ϕ and that $f(\cdot, t) = 0$ for $t < -1$. So the map $z \rightarrow \frac{\phi(\sigma + |(y', z)| - z)}{|(y', z)|}$ is a continuous map from $(0, \infty)$ to the space of test functions in the y', σ variables.

one can show that as $z \rightarrow \infty$ we have $z/|(y', z)| \rightarrow 1$ in the C^k norm on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 , for all $k \geq 0$. Further, from the mean value theorem, for any smooth, compactly supported function $\psi(t)$ on \mathbb{R} we have

$$|\psi(t + |(y', z)| - z) - \psi(t)| \leq M(|y', z| - z)$$

so that as $z \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\phi(t + |(y', z)| - z) \rightarrow \phi(t)$ in the C^k norm on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, for all $k \geq 0$. Hence (23) follows from the continuity property of distributions.

3 A useful identity

We derive an identity used in the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3.

Let U_i , $i = 1, 2$, be the solution of (1), (2) when $q = q_i$, and let α_i be the far field pattern associated to q_i . Define $v := U_1 - U_2 = u_1 - u_2$, $p := q_2 - q_1$ and $\alpha := \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$; then

$$v_{tt} - \Delta u + q_1 v = p U_2, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (25)$$

$$v(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t \leq -1. \quad (26)$$

We show that v and α satisfy the following identity.

Proposition 1. *For any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\omega \in S$ we have*

$$8\pi\alpha(-\omega, \omega, -2\tau) = \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} p(x) dS_x + \int_{-1}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} k(x, \omega, \tau) p(x) dS_x dt, \quad (27)$$

where

$$k(x, \omega, \tau) := 2(u_1 + u_2)(x, 2\tau - x \cdot \omega, \omega) + 2 \int_{x \cdot \omega}^{2\tau - x \cdot \omega} u_1(x, s, \omega) u_2(x, 2\tau - s, \omega) ds,$$

is smooth on the region $-1 \leq x \cdot \omega \leq \tau$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in S$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Proof. Since the q_i are supported in the unit ball, both sides of (27) are zero if $\tau < -1$, so we focus on the $\tau \geq -1$ case. Choose any $\tau \geq -1$ and define $W_1(x, t) = U_1(x, 2\tau - t, \omega)$; then W_1 satisfies (1) with q replaced by q_1 and noting that $U_1(x, t, \omega) = \delta(t - x \cdot \omega)$ for $t \leq -1$ we have

$$W_1(x, t) = \delta(2\tau - t - x \cdot \omega) \quad \text{for } t \geq 2\tau + 1.$$

Noting that for each $t \in [-1, 2\tau + 1]$, $v(x, t)$ is compactly supported as a function of x , working formally (which can be made rigorous by integrating $p(x) u_2(x, t, \omega) u_1(x, 2\tau - t, \omega)$ over the region

$x \cdot \omega \leq t \leq 2\tau - x \cdot \omega$) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-1}^{2\tau+1} p(x) U_2(x, t, \omega) W_1(x, t) dt dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-1}^{2\tau+1} (v_{tt} - \Delta v + q_1 v)(x, t) W_1(x, t) dt dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v_t W_1 - W_{1t} v)(x, 2\tau + 1) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v_t W_1 - W_{1t} v)(x, -1) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_t(x, 2\tau + 1) \delta(-1 - x \cdot \omega) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(x, 2\tau + 1) \delta'(-1 - x \cdot \omega) dx \\
&= \int_{x \cdot \omega = -1} v_t(x, 2\tau + 1) dS_x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(x, 2\tau + 1) (\omega \cdot \nabla) (\delta(-1 - x \cdot \omega)) dx \\
&= \int_{x \cdot \omega = -1} v_t(x, 2\tau + 1) dS_x + \int_{x \cdot \omega = -1} (\omega \cdot \nabla v)(x, 2\tau + 1) dS_x \\
&= 4\pi\alpha(-\omega, \omega, -2\tau)
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

with the last step following from (9) of Theorem 1 with $\theta = -\omega$ and the definition of α . We now analyze the LHS of (28). For $\tau \geq -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-1}^{2\tau+1} p(x) U_2(x, t, \omega) W_1(x, t) dt dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-1}^{2\tau+1} p(x) U_2(x, t, \omega) U_1(x, 2\tau - t, \omega) dt dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-1}^{2\tau+1} p(x) \delta(t - x \cdot \omega) \delta(2\tau - t - x \cdot \omega) dt dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-1}^{2\tau+1} p(x) \delta(t - x \cdot \omega) u_1(x, 2\tau - t, \omega) dt dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-1}^{2\tau+1} p(x) u_2(x, t, \omega) \delta(2\tau - t - x \cdot \omega) dt dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-1}^{2\tau+1} p(x) u_1(x, 2\tau - t, \omega) u_2(x, t, \omega) dt dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The first of these four integrals on the RHS is the Radon transform of p . In the second integral, using the support of u_1 , the region of integration is $x \cdot \omega \leq 2\tau - t$ where $x \cdot \omega = t$ and hence $t \leq \tau$. In the third integral the region of integration is $x \cdot \omega \leq t$ where $x \cdot \omega = 2\tau - t$ and hence $\tau \leq t$. In the fourth integral the region of integration is $x \cdot \omega \leq t$ and $x \cdot \omega \leq 2\tau - t$ so adding these two we

get $x \cdot \omega \leq \tau$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-1}^{2\tau+1} p(x) U_2(x, t, \omega) W_1(x, t) dt dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} p(x) dS_x + \int_{-1}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} p(x) u_1(x, 2\tau - t, \omega) dS_x dt \\
&+ \int_{\tau}^{2\tau+1} \int_{x \cdot \omega = 2\tau - t} p(x) u_2(x, t, \omega) dS_x dt \\
&+ \int_{-1 \leq x \cdot \omega \leq \tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega}^{2\tau - x \cdot \omega} p(x) u_1(x, t, \omega) u_2(x, 2\tau - t, \omega) dt dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} p(x) dS_x + \int_{-1}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} p(x) (u_1 + u_2)(x, 2\tau - t, \omega) dS_x dt \\
&+ \int_{-1 \leq x \cdot \omega \leq \tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega}^{2\tau - x \cdot \omega} p(x) u_1(x, s, \omega) u_2(x, 2\tau - s, \omega) ds dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} p(x) dS_x + \int_{-1}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} p(x) (u_1 + u_2)(x, 2\tau - t, \omega) dS_x dt \\
&+ \int_{-1}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} \int_{x \cdot \omega}^{2\tau - x \cdot \omega} p(x) u_1(x, s, \omega) u_2(x, 2\tau - s, \omega) ds dS_x dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} p(x) dS_x + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} p(x) k(x, \omega, \tau) dS_x dt \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

for all unit vectors ω and all $\tau \geq -1$; here

$$k(x, \omega, \tau) := 2(u_1 + u_2)(x, 2\tau - x \cdot \omega, \omega) + 2 \int_{x \cdot \omega}^{2\tau - x \cdot \omega} u_1(x, s, \omega) u_2(x, 2\tau - s, \omega) ds$$

in the region $\omega \in S$, $-1 \leq \tau$ and $x \cdot \omega \leq \tau$. Note that, in this region, $k(x, \omega, \tau)$ depends on the values of values of $u_1(\cdot, \cdot, \omega)$, and $u_2(\cdot, \cdot, \omega)$ at points (x', t') where $t' \geq x' \cdot \omega$ because, in this region, for the first term $2\tau - x \cdot \omega \geq x \cdot \omega$ and in the integral, $s \geq x \cdot \omega$ and $2\tau - s \geq x \cdot \omega$. Hence $k(x, \omega, \tau)$ is a smooth function on this region. Combining (28) and (29) we obtain

$$8\pi\alpha(-\omega, \omega, -2\tau) = \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} p(x) dS_x + \int_{-1}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} p(x) k(x, \omega, \tau) dS_x dt$$

which proves the proposition. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 2

4.1 An expansion

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define the vectors

$$T_{ij} = x_i e_j - x_j e_i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

which are tangential, at x , to the origin centered sphere through x ; here e_i is the unit vector along the x_i axis. Note that

$$\Omega_{ij} := x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i = T_{ij} \cdot \nabla.$$

For any vector v in \mathbb{R}^n , we express v in terms of x and the T_{ij} .

Proposition 2. *For any $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$|x|^2 v = \sum_{i < j} (v \cdot T_{ij}) T_{ij} + (v \cdot x) x. \quad (30)$$

Proof. Let $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$; then taking the dot product of the RHS (30) with e_k we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_k \cdot (\text{RHS of (30)}) &= \sum_{i < j} (v \cdot T_{ij}) (T_{ij} \cdot e_k) + (v \cdot x) x_k \\ &= \sum_{i < k} (v \cdot T_{ik}) (T_{ik} \cdot e_k) + \sum_{k < j} (v \cdot T_{kj}) (T_{kj} \cdot e_k) + (v \cdot x) x_k \\ &= \sum_{i < k} (v \cdot T_{ik}) x_i - \sum_{k < j} (v \cdot T_{kj}) x_j + (v \cdot x) x_k \\ &= \sum_{i < k} (v \cdot T_{ik}) x_i + \sum_{k < j} (v \cdot T_{jk}) x_j + (v \cdot x) x_k \\ &= \sum_i (v \cdot T_{ik}) x_i + (v \cdot x) x_k \\ &= \sum_i (v_k x_i - v_i x_k) x_i + (v \cdot x) x_k \\ &= v_k |x|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2 A derivative of the Radon transform

For each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in S$ and any smooth function $p(x)$ on \mathbb{R}^3 supported in B , we define the Radon transform

$$P(\tau, \omega) := \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} p(x) dS_x.$$

Hence, by the Divergence theorem

$$P(\tau, \omega) = \int_{x \cdot \omega \leq \tau} (\omega \cdot \nabla p)(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} (\omega \cdot \nabla p)(x) dS_x dt$$

so (the τ partial derivative of P)

$$P_\tau(\tau, \omega) = \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} (\omega \cdot \nabla p)(x) dS_x. \quad (31)$$




Figure 1: The decomposition of ω

Given $\omega \in S$ and $\tau \in [0, 1]$, to any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ on the plane $x \cdot \omega = \tau$ we associate $\rho = |x|$, and (r, θ) the polar coordinates of x as points on the plane $x \cdot \omega = \tau$ - so r is the distance of x from the line through the origin in the direction ω ; see Figure 1. On the line through the origin and ω we choose a point Q so that the vector xQ is orthogonal to the vector x . Let α denote the unit vector in the direction xQ ; our goal is to express, at x , the vertical directional derivative $\omega \cdot \nabla p$ in terms of the radial derivative p_r and the (angular) derivative in the direction α . From the similar triangles OCx and xCQ we have

$$\frac{|xQ|}{|x|} = \frac{|CQ|}{|Cx|} = \frac{|Cx|}{|OC|}$$

that is $|xQ| = \rho r / \tau$ and $|CQ| = r^2 / \tau$. Now, as vectors we have $xQ = xC + CQ$ so

$$\frac{\rho r}{\tau} \alpha = -r \hat{r} + \frac{r^2}{\tau} \omega$$

where \hat{r} is the unit vector in the radial direction at x , that is in the direction Cx . Hence

$$\omega = \frac{\rho}{r} \alpha + \frac{\tau}{r} \hat{r}$$

implying

$$(\omega \cdot \nabla p)(x) = \frac{\tau}{r} p_r(x) + \frac{\rho}{r} (\alpha \cdot \nabla p)(x).$$

Substituting this in (31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_\tau(\tau, \omega) &= \tau \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty p_r dr d\theta + \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} \frac{\rho}{r} (\alpha \cdot \nabla p)(x) dS_x \\ &= -2\pi\tau p(\tau\omega) + \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} \frac{\rho}{r} (\alpha \cdot \nabla p)(x) dS_x. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

For a fixed $\tau \in [0, 1]$, the plane $x \cdot \omega = \tau$ may be parametrized by ρ and θ and we note that

$$dS = r dr d\theta = \rho d\rho d\theta$$

because $\rho^2 = r^2 + \tau^2$ gives $r dr = \rho d\rho$. So using the support of p and (32) we obtain

$$P_\tau(\tau, \omega) = -2\pi\tau p(\tau\omega) + \int_\tau^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\rho^2}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \tau^2}} (\alpha \cdot \nabla p)(x) d\theta d\rho. \quad (33)$$

Applying Proposition 2 to $v = \alpha$ and noting that $\alpha \perp x$ we have

$$|x|^2 (\alpha \cdot \nabla p)(x) = \sum_{i < j} (\alpha \cdot T_{ij})(T_{ij} \cdot \nabla p)(x) = \sum_{i < j} (\alpha \cdot T_{ij})(\Omega_{ij} p)(x).$$

Now $|\alpha| = 1$ and $|T_{ij}| \leq 2|x|$, so $|\alpha \cdot T_{ij}| \leq 2|x|$ and hence

$$|x|^2 |(\alpha \cdot \nabla p)(x)| \leq 2|x| \sum_{i < j} |(\Omega_{ij} p)(x)|.$$

So (33) leads to

$$\tau |p(\tau\omega)| \leq |P_\tau(\tau, \omega)| + \sum_{i < j} \int_\tau^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \tau^2}} |(\Omega_{ij} p)(x)| d\theta d\rho;$$

note that the x in the above integral lies on the plane $x \cdot \omega = \tau$ and ρ, θ determine a unique x on this plane. Hence, using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^2 |p(\tau\omega)|^2 &\leq |P_\tau(\tau, \omega)|^2 + \left(\int_\tau^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \tau^2}} d\theta d\rho \right) \sum_{i < j} \int_\tau^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \tau^2}} |(\Omega_{ij} p)(x)|^2 d\theta d\rho \\ &\leq |P_\tau(\tau, \omega)|^2 + \sum_{i < j} \int_\tau^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \tau^2}} |(\Omega_{ij} p)(x)|^2 d\theta d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\tau^2 \int_S |p(\tau\omega)|^2 d\omega \leq \int_S |P_\tau(\tau, \omega)|^2 d\omega + \sum_{i < j} \int_S \int_\tau^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \tau^2}} |(\Omega_{ij} p)(x)|^2 d\theta d\rho d\omega. \quad (34)$$

If we define

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \tau^2}} |(\Omega_{ij} p)(x)|^2$$

then the second integral on the RHS of (34) is (below $e = (0, 0, 1)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \int_\tau^1 \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \rho d\theta d\rho d\omega &= \int_S \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} f(x) dS_x d\omega = \int_S \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) \delta(x \cdot \omega - \tau) dx d\omega \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) \left(\int_S \delta(x \cdot \omega - \tau) d\omega \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) \left(\int_S \delta(|x| e \cdot \omega - \tau) d\omega \right) dx \\ &= 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) \int_0^\pi \delta(|x| \cos u - \tau) \sin u du dx \\ &= 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(x)}{|x|} H(|x| - \tau) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (34) gives us, for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^2 \int_S |p(\tau\omega)|^2 d\omega &\leq \int_S |P_\tau(\tau, \omega)|^2 d\omega + \sum_{i < j} \int_{|x| \geq \tau} \frac{1}{\rho \sqrt{\rho^2 - \tau^2}} |(\Omega_{ij} p)(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_S |P_\tau(\tau, \omega)|^2 d\omega + \int_\tau^1 \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \tau^2}} \sum_{i < j} \int_S |(\Omega_{ij} p)(\rho\omega)|^2 d\omega d\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

4.3 The proof of Theorem 2

We are given that $\alpha_1(-\omega, \omega, s) = \alpha_2(-\omega, \omega, s)$ for all $\omega \in S$ and all $s \in [0, 2]$. Hence, from Proposition 1

$$\int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} p(x) dS_x = - \int_{-1}^\tau \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} p(x) k(x, \tau, \omega) dS_x dt, \quad \forall \tau \in [-1, 0], \forall \omega \in S. \quad (36)$$

It will be more convenient to deal with positive τ rather than negative τ , so in (36) we replace ω by $-\omega$, τ by $-\tau$ and t by $-t$. We obtain

$$\int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} p(x) dS_x = \int_\tau^1 \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} p(x) k'(x, \tau, \omega) dS_x dt, \quad \forall \tau \in [0, 1], \forall \omega \in S \quad (37)$$

where

$$k'(x, \tau, \omega) = -k(x, -\tau, -\omega).$$

Differentiating (37) with respect to τ , we have, for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$ and all $\omega \in S$,

$$P_\tau(\tau, \omega) = - \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} p(x) k'(x, \tau, \omega) dS_x + \int_\tau^1 \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} p(x) k'_\tau(x, \tau, \omega) dS_x dt;$$

here k'_τ is the τ partial derivative of k' . Noting that p is supported in the unit ball, we have for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S |P_\tau(\tau, \omega)|^2 d\omega &\leq \int_S \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} |p(x)|^2 dS_x d\omega + \int_\tau^1 \int_S \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} |p(x)|^2 dS_x d\omega dt \\ &= \int_B |p(x)|^2 \int_S \delta(x \cdot \omega - \tau) d\omega dx + \int_\tau^1 \int_B |p(x)|^2 \int_S \delta(x \cdot \omega - t) d\omega dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

These $d\omega$ integrals were computed earlier to be $2\pi|x|^{-1}H(|x|-\tau)$ and $2\pi|x|^{-1}H(|x|-t)$ respectively, so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S |P_\tau(\tau, \omega)|^2 d\omega &\leq \int_{|x| \geq \tau} \frac{|p(x)|^2}{|x|} dx + \int_\tau^1 \int_{|x| \geq t} \frac{|p(x)|^2}{|x|} dx dt \leq \int_{|x| \geq \tau} \frac{|p(x)|^2}{|x|} dx \\ &\leq \int_\tau^1 \rho \int_S |p(\rho\omega)|^2 d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

So using (35) we obtain, for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$ and all $\omega \in S$,

$$\tau^2 \int_S |p(\tau\omega)|^2 d\omega \leq \int_\tau^1 \rho \int_S |p(\rho\omega)|^2 d\omega + \int_\tau^1 \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \tau^2}} \sum_{i < j} \int_S |(\Omega_{ij} p)(\rho\omega)|^2 d\omega d\rho.$$

If we define

$$E(\rho) := \int_S |p(\rho\omega)|^2 d\omega, \quad \rho \in [0, 1]$$

then using the angular derivative property (12) of p we obtain, for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^2 E(\tau) &\leq \int_\tau^1 \rho E(\rho) d\rho + \int_\tau^1 \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \tau^2}} E(\rho) d\rho \leq \int_\tau^1 \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \tau^2}} E(\rho) d\rho \\ &\leq \int_\tau^1 \frac{E(\rho)}{\sqrt{\rho - \tau}} d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Pick any small $\epsilon > 0$; then for all $\tau \in [\epsilon, 1]$ we have

$$E(\tau) \leq \int_\tau^1 \frac{E(\rho)}{\sqrt{\rho - \tau}} d\rho.$$

Substituting this inequality back in itself we obtain, for all $\tau \in [\epsilon, 1]$,

$$E(\tau) \leq \int_\tau^1 \int_\rho^1 \frac{E(s)}{\sqrt{\rho - \tau} \sqrt{s - \rho}} ds d\rho = \int_\tau^1 E(s) \int_\tau^s \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho - \tau} \sqrt{s - \rho}} d\rho ds = \pi \int_\tau^1 E(s) ds.$$

Hence, by Gronwall's inequality, $E(\tau) = 0$ for all $\tau \in [\epsilon, 1]$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. So $p = 0$ and the theorem is proved.

5 Proof of Theorem 3

- (a) If $p = q_2 - q_1$ and $\alpha = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ then, from Proposition 1, we have for the fixed ω and all $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ that

$$P(\tau, \omega) := \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} p(x) dS_x = - \int_{-1}^\tau \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} p(x) k(x, \omega, \tau) dS_x dt.$$

Since $p = q_2 - q_1 \geq 0$ we obtain

$$P(\tau, \omega) \leq \int_{-1}^\tau \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} p(x) |k(x, \omega, \tau)| dS_x dt \leq C \int_{-1}^\tau P(\tau, \omega) dt, \quad \forall \tau \in [-1, 1].$$

Hence by Gronwall's inequality $P(\tau, \omega) = 0$ for all $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ for this fixed ω . Since $p \geq 0$ and is continuous, this implies $p(x) = 0$ on $x \cdot \omega = \tau$ for all $\tau \in [-1, 1]$. Since p is supported in the unit ball we obtain $p = 0$.

(b) Define

$$k_{max} = \max \{(|k| + |k_\tau|)(x, \omega, \tau) : x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \omega \in S, \tau \in [-1, 0], -1 \leq x \cdot \omega \leq \tau, |x| \leq 1\}.$$

Again, from the hypothesis and Proposition 1 we have

$$P(\tau, \omega) = - \int_{-1}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} p(x) k(x, \omega, \tau) dS_x dt, \quad \forall \omega \in S, \tau \in [-1, 0].$$

Hence, for all $\omega \in S, \tau \in [-1, 0]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P_\tau(\tau, \omega)| &\leq \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} |p(x)| |k(x, \omega, \tau)| dS_x + \int_{-1}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} |p(x)| |k_\tau(x, \omega, \tau)| dS_x dt \\ &\leq k_{max} \left(\int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} |p(x)| dS_x + \int_{-1}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} |p(x)| dS_x dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

so, since p is supported in the unit ball, from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$|P_\tau(\omega, \tau)|^2 \leq 3\pi k_{max}^2 \left(\int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} |p(x)|^2 dS_x + \int_{-1}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} |p(x)|^2 dS_x dt \right)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 |P_\tau(\omega, \tau)|^2 d\tau &\leq 3\pi k_{max}^2 \left(\int_{-1}^1 \int_{x \cdot \omega = \tau} |p(x)|^2 dS_x d\tau + \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^{\tau} \int_{x \cdot \omega = t} |p(x)|^2 dS_x dt d\tau \right) \\ &\leq 12\pi k_{max}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |p(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $P(\tau, \cdot) = 0$ for $|\tau| \geq 1$, from the Plancherel formula and the observation that $P(-\tau, \omega) = P(\tau, -\omega)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |p(x)|^2 dx &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{-1}^1 \int_S |P_\tau(\omega, \tau)|^2 d\omega d\tau = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-1}^0 \int_S |P_\tau(\omega, \tau)|^2 d\omega d\tau \\ &\leq 3k_{max}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |p(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $p = 0$ if we can find an $M > 0$ so that $3k_{max}^2 < 1$ if $\|q\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq M$.

The expression for $k(x, \omega, \tau)$ is given in Proposition (1). With that in mind, we note that as x, τ vary over the region $-1 \leq x \cdot \omega \leq \tau \leq 0$, the point (x, s) with $s \in [x \cdot \omega, 2\tau - x \cdot \omega]$ will vary over the region (x, t) with $-1 \leq x \cdot \omega \leq t \leq 0$. Hence, if we define

$$\|u\|_* := \max\{|u(x, t)| + |u_t(x, t)| : |x| \leq 1, -1 \leq x \cdot \omega \leq t \leq 0\},$$

where $u(x, t)$ is the solution of (5) - (7) corresponding to $q = q_1$ or $q = q_2$, then from the expression for $k(x, \omega, \tau)$ in Proposition (1) we have

$$k_{max} \leq 4\|u\|_* + 2\|u\|_*^2 + 8\|u\|_* + 4\|u\|_*^2 + 4\|u\|_*^2 = 12\|u\|_* + 10\|u\|_*^2$$

So the proof of the proposition will be complete if we can show the following.

Proposition 3. *If $q(x)$ is a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^3 with support in the unit ball, $u(x, t, \omega)$ the solution of (5) - (7), and $\|q\|_{C^2}$ is small enough (independent of u) then $\|u\|_* \leq 8\|q\|_{C^2}$.*

The proof of Proposition 3 is given in section 5.1.




Figure 2: Support of f

5.1 Proof of Proposition 3

$u(x, t, \omega)$ is the solution of the characteristic initial value problem (5) - (7). Further, $u_t(x, t, \omega)$ is also the solution of (5) - (7) except that the characteristic condition (6) will have a different RHS. So Proposition 3 will follow from an estimate for a characteristic initial value problem if we can just determine $u_t(x, x \cdot \omega, \omega)$.

There is no loss of generality in assuming that $\omega = (0, 0, 1)$; below u_i will denote the partial derivative of u with respect to x_i and we will stop showing the dependence of u on ω . Since

$$u(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_3) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 q(x_1, x_2, x_3 + s) ds,$$

we have

$$(u_3 + u_t)(x, x_3) = -\frac{q(x)}{2}.$$

Also, from (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3[(u_t - u_3)(x, x_3)] &= (u_{tt} - u_{33})(x, x_3) = (u_{11} + u_{22} - qu)(x, x_3) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 (q_{11} + q_{22})(x_1, x_2, x_3 + s) ds + \frac{q(x)}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 q(x_1, x_2, x_3 + s) ds \\ &= (\text{call it}) Q(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(u_t - u_3)(x, x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^0 Q(x_1, x_2, x_3 + s) ds$$

so

$$u_t(x, x_3) = -\frac{q(x)}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 Q(x_1, x_2, x_3 + s) ds.$$

Since Q depends on the second order derivatives of q , Proposition 3 follows from the following result for solutions of characteristic initial boundary value problems. Suppose ω is a unit vector in \mathbb{R}^3 , $q(x)$ a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^3 which is supported on the unit ball. Further, let $f(x)$ be a

smooth function on \mathbb{R}^3 with $f(x)$ supported in the cylinder of radius 1 with axis the line through the origin parallel to ω , and $f(x)$ zero if $x \cdot \omega \leq -1$, that is

$$\text{supp } f \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \|x - \langle x, \omega \rangle \omega\| \leq 1, x \cdot \omega \geq -1\};$$

see Figure 2. Let $a(x, t)$ be the solution of the characteristic IVP

$$a_{tt} - \Delta a + qa = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \quad t \geq x \cdot \omega \quad (39)$$

$$a(x, x \cdot \omega) = f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (40)$$

$$a(x, t) = 0 \quad t < -1. \quad (41)$$

Define $\|a\|_\infty := \sup\{|a(x, t)| : \|x\| \leq 1, -1 \leq x \cdot \omega \leq t \leq 0\}$,

$$\|q\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |q(x)|, \quad \|f\|_* := \sup\{|(\omega \cdot \nabla f)(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^3, x \cdot \omega \leq 0\}.$$

We show that if $\|q\|_\infty \leq 1/4$ then

$$\|a\|_\infty \leq 2\|f\|_*.$$

We obtain a very crude estimate but that will be enough for our purposes. A much sharper estimate may be obtained along with a proof of the existence of a using a Volterra argument as in [Ro74].

We prove our claim by expressing a as the solution of an integral equation. The derivation of this integral equation is formal, using the Green's function for the wave equation; a rigorous derivation would imitate the construction of the Green's function for the wave equation. For a fixed $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ with $t > x \cdot \omega \geq -1$, define

$$G(y, s) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\delta(t - s - |x - y|)}{|x - y|};$$

then $G(y, s)$ is the solution of the backward IVP

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{y,s} G(y, s) &= \delta(x - y, t - s), \quad (y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \\ G(y, s) &= 0, \quad s > t, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Since, for a fixed y , $G(y, s)$ is zero for s large, and, for a fixed s , $G(y, s)$ is compactly supported in y , an application of the divergence theorem gives us

$$\begin{aligned} a(x, t) &= \int_{s \geq y \cdot \omega} a(y, s) \delta(x - y, t - s) dy ds = \int_{s \geq y \cdot \omega} a(y, s) \square_{y,s} G(y, s) dy ds \\ &= - \int_{s \geq y \cdot \omega} \square_{y,s} a G dy ds + \int_{s \geq y \cdot \omega} (a G_s - G a_s)_s + \nabla_y \cdot (G \nabla_y a - a \nabla_y G) dy ds \\ &= - \int_{s \geq y \cdot \omega} qa G dy ds + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{y \cdot \omega = s} G(a_s + \omega \cdot \nabla a) - a(G_s + \omega \cdot \nabla G) dS_{y,s} \\ &= - \int_{s \geq y \cdot \omega} qa G dy ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(y, \omega \cdot y) \omega \cdot \nabla_y (a(y, \omega \cdot y)) - a(y, \omega \cdot y) \omega \cdot \nabla_y (G(y, \omega \cdot y)) dy \\ &= - \int_{s \geq y \cdot \omega} qa G dy ds + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(y, \omega \cdot y) \omega \cdot \nabla_y (a(y, \omega \cdot y)) dy \\ &= - \int_{s \geq y \cdot \omega} qa G dy ds + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(y, \omega \cdot y) \omega \cdot \nabla_y f(y) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

In the last step we used the divergence theorem on the plane $s = y \cdot \omega$ and note that $a(y, y \cdot \omega)$ is zero if $y \cdot \omega \leq -1$ and $G(y, y \cdot \omega) = 0$ if $y \cdot \omega \geq t$.

Let $g(y) := \omega \cdot \nabla_y f(y)$ and extend $a(y, s)$ to be zero for $s < y \cdot \omega$; then from (42)

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi a(x, t) &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{g(y) \delta(t - y \cdot \omega - |x - y|)}{|x - y|} dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{q(y) a(y, s) \delta(t - s - |x - y|)}{|x - y|} dy ds \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{g(y)}{|x - y|} \delta(t - y \cdot \omega - |x - y|) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{q(y) a(y, t - |x - y|)}{|x - y|} dy \end{aligned}$$

For the rest of this subsection we will assume that $|x| \leq 1$, $-1 \leq x \cdot \omega < t \leq 0$.

For the first integral uses values of g on the set $y \cdot \omega + |x - y| \leq t$ so $y \cdot \omega \leq 0$; also, because of the support of a , the second integral uses values of $a(y, s)$ on the region $y \cdot \omega \leq s$ and $s \leq 0$ because $t - |x - y| \leq t \leq 0$. So $|g(y)| \leq \|f\|_*$ and $|a(y, s)| \leq \|a\|_\infty$ on the region of integration. Hence because of the support of g and q we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi |a(x, t)| &\leq 2\|f\|_* \int_{y \cdot \omega \geq -1} \frac{\delta(t - y \cdot \omega - |x - y|)}{|x - y|} dy + \|q\|_\infty \|a\|_\infty \int_{|y| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|x - y|} dy \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_* \int_{(x+y) \cdot \omega \geq -1} \frac{\delta(t - (x+y) \cdot \omega - |y|)}{|y|} dy + \|q\|_\infty \|a\|_\infty \int_{|y| \leq 2} \frac{1}{|y|} dy. \end{aligned}$$

WLOG we assume that $\omega = (0, 0, 1)$; then the first integral is over the paraboloid

$$\Sigma := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 : -\left(y_3 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2\lambda}(y_1^2 + y_2^2), x_3 + y_3 \geq -1\}$$

where $\lambda := t - x_3 > 0$. On Σ , the variables y_1, y_2 are restricted to the disk

$$1 + x_3 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2\lambda}(y_1^2 + y_2^2)$$

that is where $y_1^2 + y_2^2 \leq R^2$ with $R^2 = \lambda(\lambda + 2(x_3 + 1))$. Also, on Σ

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} (t - x_3 - y_3 - |y|) \right| = \left| 1 + \frac{y_3}{|y|} \right| = \frac{|y_3 + |y||}{|y|} = \frac{t - x_3}{|y|} = \frac{\lambda}{|y|}$$

so

$$\int_{x_3 + y_3 \geq -1} \frac{\delta(t - x_3 - y_3 - |y|)}{|y|} dy \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{y_1^2 + y_2^2 \leq R^2} dy_1 dy_2 = \pi(\lambda + 2(x_3 + 1)) \|f\|_*.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |a(x, t)| &\leq \frac{\lambda + 2(x_3 + 1)}{2} \|f\|_* + 2\|q\|_\infty \|a\|_\infty \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_* + \|q\|_\infty \|a\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\|a\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_* + 2\|q\|_\infty \|a\|_\infty$$

so if $\|q\|_\infty \leq 1/4$ then

$$\|a\|_\infty \leq 2\|f\|_*.$$

6 Appendix

6.1 Linearization about $q = 0$

We calculate the formal derivative of the map $q \rightarrow \alpha(\theta, \omega, s)$ at $q = 0$. We show that if $\theta \neq \omega$ then this formal derivative at $q = 0$ is

$$p(x) \rightarrow \frac{-1}{4\pi |\theta - \omega|} \int_{x \cdot (\theta - \omega) = s} p(x) dS_x$$

and is

$$p(x) \rightarrow \frac{-\delta(s)}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p(x) dx$$

when $\theta = \omega$. This matches what has been obtained in the literature, from the linearization about $q = 0$, of the frequency domain far field patterns.

Let $p(x)$ be a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^3 which is supported in the unit ball B . Let $v(x, t, \omega)$ be the solution of the IVP

$$v_{tt} - \Delta v = -p(x) \delta(t - x \cdot \omega), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \quad (43)$$

$$v(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t < -1. \quad (44)$$

Then the formal derivative of the map $q \rightarrow \alpha(\theta, \omega, s)$ at $q = 0$ is the map sending $p(x)$ to $-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} (\theta \cdot \nabla v)(x, 1 - s, \omega) dS_x$, which is equal to $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} v_t(x, 1 - s, \omega) dS_x$ by an argument identical to the one used for proving Theorem 1c.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} v_t(x, 1 - s, \omega) dS_x &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}} p(y) \delta(\sigma - y \cdot \omega) \frac{\delta'(1 - s - \sigma - |x - y|)}{|x - y|} dy d\sigma dS_x \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p(y) \frac{\delta'(1 - s - y \cdot \omega - |x - y|)}{|x - y|} dy dS_x. \end{aligned}$$

So, if $\phi(s)$ is a smooth, compactly supported function on \mathbb{R} , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} v_t(x, 1 - s, \omega) \phi(s) dS_x ds &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p(y) \frac{\delta'(1 - s - y \cdot \omega - |x - y|)}{|x - y|} \phi(s) dy dS_x ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p(y) \frac{\phi'(1 - y \cdot \omega - |x - y|)}{|x - y|} dy dS_x \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p(y) \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} \frac{\phi'(1 - y \cdot \omega - |x - y|)}{|x - y|} dS_x dy. \end{aligned}$$

We show below that for $|y| < 1$ we have

$$\int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} \frac{\phi'(1 - y \cdot \omega - |x - y|)}{|x - y|} dS_x = 2\pi \phi(y \cdot (\theta - \omega)) = 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(s) \delta(s - y \cdot (\theta - \omega)) ds \quad (45)$$

which will prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} v_t(x, 1-s, \omega) dS_x &= \frac{-1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p(y) \delta(s - y \cdot (\theta - \omega)) dy \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{-1}{4\pi|\theta - \omega|} \int_{y \cdot (\theta - \omega) = s} p(y) dS_y, & \theta \neq \omega, \\ \frac{-\delta(s)}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p(y) dy, & \theta = \omega, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which proves our claim.

It remains to prove (45). For $|y| < 1$, using a translation, a rotation and polar coordinates (below $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} \frac{\phi'(1 - y \cdot \omega - |x - y|)}{|x - y|} dS_x &= \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1 - y \cdot \theta} \frac{\phi'(1 - y \cdot \omega - |x|)}{|x|} dS_x \\ &= \int_{x_3 = 1 - y \cdot \theta} \frac{\phi'(1 - y \cdot \omega - |x|)}{|x|} dS_x \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi'(1 - y \cdot \omega - \sqrt{r^2 + (1 - y \cdot \theta)^2})}{\sqrt{r^2 + (1 - y \cdot \theta)^2}} r dr \\ &= 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^{y \cdot (\theta - \omega)} \phi'(t) dt \\ &= 2\pi \phi(y \cdot (\theta - \omega)). \end{aligned}$$

6.2 Far field patterns for translated potentials

If $\alpha(\theta, \omega, s)$ is the far field pattern for $q(x)$ and $\beta(\theta, \omega, s)$ the far field pattern of its translate $q(x+a)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$, then we show that

$$\beta(\theta, \omega, s) = \alpha(\theta, \omega, s + a \cdot (\theta - \omega)).$$

From Theorem 1(c), the far field pattern for q is

$$\alpha(\theta, \omega, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = 1} u_t(x, 1-s, \omega) dS_x = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = \tau} u_t(x, \tau-s, \omega) dS_x,$$

for any large enough τ , where $u(x, t, \omega)$ is the solution of the IVP (3), (4).

Let $v(x, t, \omega)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} (v_{tt} - \Delta v)(x, t) + q(x+a)v(x, t) &= q(x+a)\delta(t - x \cdot \omega), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \\ v(x, t, \omega) &= 0, & t \ll 0; \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} (v_{tt} - \Delta v)(x - a, t) + q(x)v(x - a, t) &= q(x)\delta(t + a \cdot \omega - x \cdot \omega), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \\ v(x, t, \omega) &= 0, & t \ll 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $v(x - a, t, \omega) = u(x, t + a \cdot \omega, \omega)$, so

$$v(x, t, \omega) = u(x + a, t + a \cdot \omega, \omega), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}.$$

The far field pattern for $q(x + a)$ is (for τ large enough)

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\theta, \omega, s) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = \tau} v_t(x, \tau - s, \omega) dS_x \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = \tau} u_t(x + a, \tau - s + a \cdot \omega, \omega) dS_x \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = \tau + a \cdot \theta} u_t(x, \tau - s + a \cdot \omega, \omega) dS_x \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x \cdot \theta = \tau} u_t(x, \tau - s + a \cdot (\omega - \theta), \omega) dS_x, & \text{from Theorem 1(c)} \\ &= \alpha(\theta, \omega, s + a(\theta - \omega)). \end{aligned}$$

7 Acknowledgments

Rakesh's work was partially supported by NSF grants DMS 0907909, DMS 1312708 and Gunther Uhlmann's work was partially supported by the NSF and a Simons Fellowship and part of this work was done when he was an Ordway Distinguished Visitor at the University of Minnesota.

References

- [BM09] I. Beltita and A. Melin, *Local smoothing for the backscattering transform*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 34 (2009), no. 1-3, 233–256.
- [CK13] D. Colton and R. Kress, *Inverse acoustic and electromagnetic scattering theory*, third edition, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 93, Springer, New York, 2013. xiv+405 pp.
- [CH89] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of mathematical physics. Vol. II. Partial differential equations*. Reprint of the 1962 original. Wiley Classics Library. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989. xxii+830 pp.
- [DUV] M De Hoop, G Uhlmann and A Vasy, *Diffraction by conormal singularities*, to appear in Annales Scientifiques de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure.

- [ER89] G. Eskin and J. Ralston, *The inverse backscattering problem in three dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. 124 (1989), no. 2, 169–215.
- [Fr73] F.G. Friedlander, *An inverse problem for radiation fields*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 27 (1973) 551-576.
- [GU93] A. Greenleaf and G. Uhlmann, *Recovering singularities of a potential from singularities of scattering data*, Comm. Math. Phys. 157 (1993), no. 3.
- [HKS05] H. Haddar, S. Kusiak, and J. Sylvester. *The convex backscattering support*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 66 (2005), no. 2, 591–615.
- [Is06] V. Isakov, *Inverse problems for partial differential equations*, Second edition, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 127. Springer, New York, 2006. xiv+344 pp.
- [La01] R. Lagergren, *The backscattering problem in three dimensions*, PhD Thesis, Lund University (2001).
- [La11] R. Lagergren, *The back-scattering problem in three dimensions*, Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications 2 (2011), no. 1, 1-64.
- [LRS86] M.M. Lavrentiev, V.G. Romanov and S.P. Shishatskii, *Ill-posed problems of mathematical physics and analysis*, Translated from the Russian by J. R. Schulenberger. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 64. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986. vi+290 pp.
- [MU08] R. Melrose and G. Uhlmann. *Generalized backscattering and the Lax-Phillips transform*, Serdica Math. J. 34 (2008), no. 1, 355–372.
- [OPS01] P. Ola, L. Päivarinta and V. Serov, *Recovering singularities from backscattering in two dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 26 (2001), no. 3-4, 697–715.
- [Rak03] Rakesh, *An inverse problem for a layered medium with a point source*, Inverse Problems 19, 497-506, (2003).
- [Ramm10] A G Ramm, *Uniqueness of the solution to inverse scattering problem with backscattering data*, Eurasian Math. J. 1 (2010), no. 3, 97–111.
- [RR12] Juan Manuel Reyes and Alberto Ruiz, *Reconstruction of the singularities of a potential from backscattering data in 2D and 3D*, Inverse Probl. Imaging 6 (2012), no. 2, 321–355.
- [Ro74] V.G. Romanov, *Integral Geometry and Inverse Problems for Hyperbolic Equations*, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy, Volume 26, (1974).
- [RV05] Alberto Ruiz and Ana Vargas, *Partial recovery of a potential from backscattering data*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 30 (2005), no. 1-3, 67–96.
- [SnSy88] F Santosa and W Symes, *High frequency perturbational analysis of the surface point source response of a layered fluid*, J. Comp. Phys., 74, 1988, 318-381.
- [Se66] R.T. Seeley, *Spherical harmonics*, Amer. Math. Monthly 73, 1966, no. 4, part II, 115–121.

- [St90] P.D. Stefanov, *A uniqueness result for the inverse back-scattering problem*, Inverse Problems 6 (1990), no. 6, 1055–1064.
- [St92] P. Stefanov, *Generic uniqueness for two inverse problems in potential scattering*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 17 (1992), no. 1-2, 55–68.
- [SU97] P. Stefanov and G. Uhlmann, *Inverse backscattering for the acoustic equation*, SIAM J Math Anal 28 (1997), 1191-1204.
- [SW71] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971.
- [Uh01] G. Uhlmann, *A time-dependent approach to the inverse backscattering problem*, Inverse Problems 17, 703-716 (2001).
- [Wa98] J-N Wang, *Stability estimate for an inverse acoustic backscattering problem*, Inverse Problems 14 (1998), no. 1, 197–207.
- [Wae98] J-N Wang, *Inverse backscattering problem for the acoustic equation in even dimensions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 220 (1998), no. 2, 676–696.
- [Wam98] J-N Wang, *Inverse backscattering problem for Maxwell's equation*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 21 (1998), no. 15, 1441–1465.
- [Wa00] J-N Wang, *Inverse backscattering for the elastic wave equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 25 (2000), no. 3-4, 507–540.
- [Wa02] J-N Wang, *Inverse backscattering in even dimensions*, Math. Z. 239 (2002), no. 2, 365–379.