

Minkowski tensor density formulas for Boolean models

Julia Hörrmann, Daniel Hug, Michael Klatt and Klaus Mecke

September 7, 2018

Abstract

A stationary Boolean model is the union set of random compact particles which are attached to the points of a stationary Poisson point process. For a stationary Boolean model with convex grains we consider a recently developed collection of shape descriptors, the so called Minkowski tensors. By combining spatial and probabilistic averaging we define Minkowski tensor densities of a Boolean model. These densities are global characteristics of the union set which can be estimated from observations. In contrast local characteristics like the mean Minkowski tensor of a single random particle cannot be observed directly, since the particles overlap. We relate the global to the local properties by density formulas for the Minkowski tensors. These density formulas generalize the well known formulas for intrinsic volume densities and are obtained by applying results from translative integral geometry. For an isotropic Boolean model we observe that the Minkowski tensor densities are proportional to the intrinsic volume densities, whereas for a non-isotropic Boolean model this is usually not the case. Our results support the idea that the degree of anisotropy of a Boolean model may be expressed in terms of the Minkowski tensor densities. Furthermore we observe that for smooth grains the mean curvature radius function of a particle can be reconstructed from the Minkowski tensor densities. In a simulation study we determine numerically Minkowski tensor densities for non-isotropic Boolean models based on ellipses and on rectangles in two dimensions and find excellent agreement with the derived analytic density formulas. The tensor densities can be used to characterize the orientational distribution of the grains and to estimate model parameters for non-isotropic distributions. In particular, the numerically determined values for the density of the Euler characteristic allow the estimation of certain mixed functionals of the grains.

Key words: Stochastic geometry, Boolean model, stationarity, anisotropy, Minkowski tensors, Poisson process, translative integral geometry

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 60D05; Secondary: 60G55, 62M30, 82B44

The authors acknowledge support by the German research foundation (DFG) through the research group ‘Geometry and Physics of Spatial Random Systems’ under grants ME1361/12-1, HU1874/2-1 and HU1847/3-1.

1 Introduction

The Boolean model appeared early in applied probability, typically in attempts to describe random geometrical structures of physics and materials science by overlapping spherical grains. It was Matheron who created the general theory of the Boolean model and studied its basic properties [33]. Let $\{\xi_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a stationary Poisson point process in \mathbb{R}^n with intensity $\gamma > 0$, and let K_1, K_2, \dots be independent, identically distributed random compact sets with distribution \mathbb{Q} , which are independent of the point process $\{\xi_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then, under the integrability assumption (3) on \mathbb{Q} , the union of the translated grains

$$Z := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (K_i + \xi_i)$$

is a random closed set, which is called the stationary Boolean model with intensity γ and grain distribution \mathbb{Q} ; see [54] for a review of recent developments in this context.

The Boolean model is a popular model in materials science and the physics of heterogeneous media [56] relating shape to physical properties. Many porous materials are built up by the successive addition of inclusions (grains, pores or cracks) within a background phase [9, 3]; such materials can be modeled by a Boolean process. It has been applied, in particular, on foamed materials, ceramic powders [41], wood composites [57], sedimentary rock [53, 32], fractured materials or hydrating cement-based materials [10]. Depending on the specific application, either the pore space or the solid phase of a material may be described as a Boolean model Z . For example, the pore space of bread [7] was modeled by the Boolean model, whereas for sintered ceramic composites it is the solid phase which is described as a Boolean model; see [41]. In particular, for the reconstruction of two-phase materials the Boolean model is successful, which finally allows excellent predictions of the shape dependence of thermodynamic quantities [27] and transport properties [3] in porous media.

Various other physical phenomena can be described and studied by the Boolean model including percolation [35, 37] and elasticity [4]. Many attempts have been made to predict mechanical properties from structural features; some of these are based on Boolean models and other random set models [56, 24]. In practice, measurements taken on samples of a random structure are used to adjust the Boolean model to the given structure. Then one tries to draw reasonable conclusions from the properties of the properly adjusted Boolean model on physical properties of the real structure. Obviously it is crucial to take measurements which capture the significant geometric properties of the real structure and have as little redundancy as possible. Here the scalar-valued intrinsic volume densities have already shown to be a useful choice [3, 4]. On the foundational side, the importance of the intrinsic volumes V_0, \dots, V_n is expressed by Hadwiger's [12] famous characterization theorem, which states that the intrinsic volumes are a basis of the space of real-valued continuous, additive and motion invariant functionals on the space of convex bodies \mathcal{K} . As a consequence of the motion invariance, the intrinsic volumes reach their limits when it comes to the proper characterization of non-isotropic structures. Therefore one is interested in finding functionals which are sensitive to anisotropy and have as little redundancy as possible. A set of functionals which serves these purposes has been found and explored in recent years. All this has been done in the more general context of convex geometric analysis where the classification and characterization of additive functionals (valuations) on the space of convex bodies \mathcal{K} enjoying specific properties is a highly active field of research. Generalizations of Hadwiger's result, which concerns scalar-valued functionals, to

vector-valued valuations which are motion covariant have already been found in the early '70s by Hadwiger and Schneider [13, 42, 43]. More recently, tensor-valued valuations of higher rank have come into focus and it immediately turned out that in this case a basis cannot be determined that easily. The current mathematical study of tensor valuations has been initiated by McMullen [34]. For $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and integers $r, s \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, the Minkowski tensors are defined by

$$\Phi_j^{r,s}(K) := \frac{1}{r!s!} \frac{\omega_{n-j}}{\omega_{n-j+s}} \int_{\Sigma} x^r u^s \Lambda_j(K; d(x, u)) \quad (1)$$

and

$$\Phi_n^{r,0}(K) := \frac{1}{r!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^r \Lambda_n(K; dx), \quad (2)$$

where we use the notation introduced in Section 2. In [34] McMullen conjectured that the basic tensor valuations $Q^m \Phi_j^{r,s}$, $r, s, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $r + s + 2m = p$, span the space of continuous, additive and isometry covariant \mathbb{T}^p -valued functionals, for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Furthermore, it was already observed by McMullen that the basic tensor valuations satisfy linear dependencies and therefore do not form a basis of the vector space they span. McMullen's conjecture was almost immediately confirmed by Alesker [1, 2]. Later it was shown how a basis can be constructed and the dimension of the corresponding vector spaces was determined; see [21]. More information on the mathematical and physical background of the Minkowski tensors can be found in [46, 20, 21, 51, 52]. In particular, in [51, 52] Minkowski tensors have already proved to be useful shape descriptors for anisotropic structures. Of the many characterization theorems for valuations with values in some abelian group G and related to the present work, we only mention [44, 28, 29, 30, 47, 19] which are concerned with characterizations of curvature measures, moment vectors, moment matrices, covariance matrices and local tensor valuations.

A nice visualisation of a Boolean model can be obtained by a dewetting process of thin liquid films. Films rupture at random distributed defects and form holes, which grow in time, until the fluid material is pushed completely in thin filaments, which finally break up in droplets [22, 31]. The time dependence of the experimentally measured Minkowski functionals of the film regions can be compared with analytic Minkowski density formulas for a Boolean model, which shows a good agreement. Other applications of a Boolean model on characterising spatial patterns are possible in the analysis of inhomogeneous distributions of galaxies [36, 25], the measurement of biometrical data [38], or in the estimation of percolation thresholds [35, 37]. While in most of the applications, the Boolean model was isotropic (and stationary), we focus here the non-isotropic case and analyze its distributional properties by tensorial quantities, the Minkowski tensors. Non-isotropic (and non-stationary) Boolean models should be exploited further, since many applications are possible on composite and porous media but also on complex fluids such as colloidal dispersions, which show qualitatively rich phase diagrams and spatial structures. Minkowski tensors have already been used, for instance, to derive a density functional theory for non-spherical particles [14, 15]. Furthermore, local stereological estimators of the Minkowski tensors have been developed based on rotational integral formulas [6, 23]. Finally, we emphasize that in two and three dimensions, algorithms and free software for the computation of the Minkowski tensors are available; see [50, 52].

The structure and scope of the article are as follows. Section 2 introduces the notation and necessary mathematical background. In Section 3, we recall in Theorem 3.1 a translative integral formula for support measures and we deduce as an application a corresponding formula

for Minkowski tensors (Theorem 3.2). Thereby we introduce mixed Minkowski tensors. In Section 4, densities of a particle process and densities of a standard random set are defined for the translation invariant Minkowski tensors. Section 5 contains the main results, namely a formula for the expected surface and volume tensor of a Boolean model observed in a window (Theorem 5.2) and a corresponding result for the other Minkowski tensors (Theorem 5.4). To give a first impression we state the result in two dimensions in the following corollary using the notation which is introduced in the preliminaries and the subsequent sections.

Corollary 1.1. *Let Z be a stationary Boolean model in \mathbb{R}^2 with convex grains, let $W \in \mathcal{K}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\Phi_0^{r,s}(Z \cap W)] &= \Phi_0^{r,s}(W) \left(1 - e^{-\bar{V}_2(X)}\right) \\ &\quad + e^{-\bar{V}_2(X)} \left[{}^0\bar{\Phi}_{1,1}^{r,s}(W, X) \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \frac{2}{s! \omega_{s+1}} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \Phi_2^{r,0}(W) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\bar{V}_0(X) - \frac{1}{2} {}^0\bar{V}_{1,1}(X, X) \right) \right]; \\ \mathbb{E}[\Phi_1^{r,s}(Z \cap W)] &= \Phi_1^{r,s}(W) \left(1 - e^{-\bar{V}_2(X)}\right) + \Phi_2^{r,0}(W) \bar{\Phi}_1^{0,s}(X) e^{-\bar{V}_2(X)}; \\ \mathbb{E}[\Phi_2^{r,0}(Z \cap W)] &= \Phi_2^{r,0}(W) \left(1 - e^{-\bar{V}_2(X)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If a Boolean model is observed, the quantities on the left-hand side of Corollary 1.1 can be measured using the above mentioned software. The right-hand side involves quantities depending either only on the particle process X associated with the Boolean model, and therefore only on the model parameters, or merely on the observation window W or (and this case occurs only in the first equation) on both, W and X . The last two equations show that the quantities on the left-hand side for $r > 0$ do not contain additional information about the Boolean model compared to the case $r = 0$. This is not the case in the first equation though there information is hidden in the mixed functionals and therefore difficult to extract. An easy interpretation exists for the information contained in the quantities on the left-hand side of the second equation for $s > 0$, namely in terms of Fourier coefficients as explained in Subsection 6.2.

Then, Section 5 contains formulas for the densities of the Boolean model for all translation invariant Minkowski tensors (Corollary 5.6), as well as a collection of density formulas in two and three dimensions (Corollary 5.7). Furthermore, we show in a second part of Section 5 that densities of the Minkowski tensors for isotropic standard random sets are just multiples of the densities of the intrinsic volumes. In the first part of Section 6 we discuss for a non-isotropic parametric planar Boolean model which information about the model parameters is contained in the Minkowski tensor densities. In the second part we show for a planar Boolean model with smooth grains that the expected curvature radius of the typical grain multiplied with the intensity can be expressed almost everywhere in terms of the surface tensor densities. In Section 7 we carry out a simulation study for the parametric Boolean model from the previous section. We compare the analytical formulas for the surface tensor density and for the Euler characteristic to measurements on simulated data and we estimate the model parameters from the measurements of the volume fraction and the surface tensor density.

2 Preliminaries

We denote by \mathbb{T}^p the vector space of symmetric tensors of rank p over \mathbb{R}^n . We use the scalar product to identify \mathbb{R}^n with its dual space; then \mathbb{T}^p can be viewed as the vector space of symmetric p -linear functionals on \mathbb{R}^n . If we choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n , a tensor $T \in \mathbb{T}^p$ is uniquely determined by the $\binom{n+p-1}{p}$ values $T(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_p})$, $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p \leq n$. Therefore, we can identify \mathbb{T}^p with a $\binom{n+p-1}{p}$ -dimensional Euclidean space, a fact which will be often useful. We define the norm $|\cdot|_\infty$ on \mathbb{T}^p as the maximum norm on such a $\binom{n+p-1}{p}$ -dimensional Euclidean space. The symmetric tensor product of symmetric tensors a, b is denoted by ab , and x^r is the r -fold symmetric tensor product of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The metric tensor $Q \in \mathbb{T}^2$ is defined by $Q(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle$, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By \mathcal{K} we denote the family of nonempty, compact, convex subsets (convex bodies) of \mathbb{R}^n . The system of nonempty, compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by \mathcal{C} . Let A be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then $\text{int } A$, ∂A , $\text{relint } A$ are, respectively, the interior, the boundary and the relative interior of A . Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the scalar product and $\|\cdot\|$ the norm in \mathbb{R}^n .

A measure or signed measure on a topological space E will always be defined on the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$ of Borel sets. Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by λ . The k -dimensional Hausdorff measure is denoted by \mathcal{H}^k . By $\mathcal{H}^k \llcorner A$ we denote the restriction of \mathcal{H}^k to a subset A . We denote the unit ball by B^n , the unit sphere by S^{n-1} and the unit cube by $C^n := [0, 1]^n$. Furthermore we shall need the ‘upper right boundary’

$$\partial^+ C^n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i = 1\}$$

of the unit cube. The volume of the unit ball B^n is denoted by $\kappa_n := \lambda(B^n) = \pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(1 + n/2)$ and the surface area of the unit sphere S^{n-1} is given by $\omega_n := n\kappa_n$. The group of proper rotations is denoted by SO_n and it is equipped with its standard topology. The unique normalized Haar measure on SO_n is denoted by ν . For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $p(K, x)$ denote the metric projection of x to K . For $x \in K$ we define the normal cone of K at x by $N(K, x) := \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : p(K, x + u) = x\}$, and for nonempty, convex $F \subset K$ let $N(K, F) := N(K, x)$, where $x \in \text{relint } F$. For $x \notin K$ put $u(K, x) := (x - p(K, x))/\|x - p(K, x)\|$. We need the support measures (generalized curvature measures) $\Lambda_0(K; \cdot), \dots, \Lambda_{n-1}(K; \cdot)$ of a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}$, which are defined by a local Steiner formula. Namely, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and Borel set $\eta \subset \Sigma := \mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1}$, the n -dimensional Hausdorff measure (volume) of the local parallel set $M_\epsilon(K, \eta) := \{x \in (K + \epsilon B^n) \setminus K : (p(K, x), u(K, x)) \in \eta\}$ is a polynomial in ϵ , that is,

$$\mathcal{H}^n(M_\epsilon(K, \eta)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \epsilon^{n-k} \kappa_{n-k} \Lambda_k(K; \eta);$$

see [45, 49] for further information. The support measures are related to the intrinsic volumes which are defined by $V_i(K) := \Lambda_i(K; \Sigma)$, for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, and by $V_n(K) = \lambda(K)$. In addition, we define $\Lambda_n(K; \cdot)$ as the restriction of \mathcal{H}^n to K . Once the support measures are available, the Minkowski tensors can be defined as in (1), (2) in a straightforward way by integration of tensor-valued functions.

The convex ring \mathcal{R} consists of all finite unions of convex bodies and its elements are called polyconvex sets. By additivity, the support measures and hence also the Minkowski tensors can be extended to \mathcal{R} . The extended convex ring \mathcal{S} is the system of sets whose intersection with any compact convex set belongs to the convex ring and its elements are called locally polyconvex

sets. For $p \in \mathbb{N}$ a function $\varphi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^p$ is conditionally bounded if, for $K \in \mathcal{K}$, the function is bounded on the set $\{L \in \mathcal{K} : L \subset K\}$ with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_\infty$. We define by $c : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the mapping that associates with each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ the center of the (uniquely determined) smallest ball containing C . The mapping c is continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric; see [49, Lem. 4.1.1]. Furthermore, we define the grain space $\mathcal{C}_0 := \{C \in \mathcal{C} : c(C) = 0\}$ and correspondingly $\mathcal{K}_0 := \mathcal{C}_0 \cap \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{R}_0 := \mathcal{C}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}$.

For $R \in \mathcal{R}$, we define $N(R) := \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : R = \bigcup_{i=1}^m K_i \text{ with } K_i \in \mathcal{K}\}$ and $N(\emptyset) = 0$. The function $N : \mathcal{R} \cup \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ is measurable, compare [49, Lem. 4.3.1]. In the following we shall need a more theoretical viewpoint of the Boolean model which is used for example in [49]. We assume that the grain distribution \mathbb{Q} is concentrated on \mathcal{C}_0 . Let Z_0 be the typical grain of Z , that is, a random compact set with distribution \mathbb{Q} . Then, under the integrability condition

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda(Z_0 + B^n)] < \infty, \quad (3)$$

there exists a unique Poisson point process X in \mathcal{K} with intensity measure

$$\Theta(\mathcal{A}) := \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathcal{K}_0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(K + x) \mathbb{Q}(dK) dx, \quad \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}).$$

The Boolean model Z is the random closed set which is obtained as the union of the particles of X , that is,

$$Z = \bigcup_{K \in X} K.$$

More information on the Boolean model can be found in [55, 39] and [49].

3 Translative Integral Formulas

In the following, we shall need an iterated translative integral formula which has been proved in the setting of sets with positive reach [40, 18] and in the framework of relative support measures in [16] (partly based on [26, 17]). The formula stated in Theorem 3.1 is a special case of each of these more general versions. For the statement of the theorem, we need the notion of a determinant of subspaces. Let $L_1, \dots, L_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be linear subspaces with $\dim L_1 + \dots + \dim L_k =: m \leq n$. Then we choose an orthonormal basis in each subspace L_j and define $\det(L_1, \dots, L_k)$ as the m -dimensional volume of the parallelepiped which is spanned by the union of these orthonormal bases. On the other hand, if $\dim L_1 + \dots + \dim L_k \geq (k-1)n$, we define

$$[L_1, \dots, L_k] := \det(L_1^\perp, \dots, L_k^\perp).$$

Moreover, if A_1, \dots, A_k are non-empty convex sets with $\dim A_1 + \dots + \dim A_k \geq (k-1)n$ and $L(A_i)$ denotes the linear subspace which is parallel to $\text{aff } A_i$, then we define

$$[A_1, \dots, A_k] := [L(A_1), \dots, L(A_k)].$$

For a polytope P and $0 \leq k \leq n$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}_k(P)$ the set of all k -faces.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $K_1, \dots, K_k \in \mathcal{K}$, $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, let $f : (\mathbb{R}^n)^k \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative Borel measurable function. Then there exist (uniquely determined)*

Borel measures $\Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; \cdot)$ on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^k \times S^{n-1}$, for $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \{j, \dots, n\}$ with $m_1 + \dots + m_k = (k-1)n + j$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1}} f(z, z - x_2, \dots, z - x_k, u) \\ & \quad \times \Lambda_j(K_1 \cap (K_2 + x_2) \cap \dots \cap (K_k + x_k); d(z, u)) dx_2 \dots dx_k \\ & = \sum_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k \times S^{n-1}} \int f(x_1, \dots, x_k, u) \Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; d(x_1, \dots, x_k, u)), \end{aligned}$$

where the summation extends over all $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \{j, \dots, n\}$ such that $m_1 + \dots + m_k = (k-1)n + j$. Let $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $C \subset S^{n-1}$, $D' \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^{k-1} \times S^{n-1}$ and $D \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^k \times S^{n-1}$ be Borel sets. Then the following is true:

(i) $\Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; A_1 \times \dots \times A_k \times C)$ is symmetric with respect to permutations of $\{1, \dots, k\}$;

(ii) $\Lambda_j^{(j)}(K_1; A_1 \times C) = \Lambda_j(K_1; A_1 \times C)$ and

$$\Lambda_{n, m_2, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; A_1 \times D') = \mathcal{H}^n(K_1 \cap A_1) \Lambda_{m_2, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_2, \dots, K_k; D');$$

(iii) $\Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; \cdot)$ is a finite nonnegative Borel measure on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^k \times S^{n-1}$ which is supported by $S_1 \times \dots \times S_k \times S^{n-1}$, where $S_i = K_i$ if $m_i = n$, and $S_i = \partial K_i$ otherwise;

(iv) $\Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; A_1 \times \dots \times A_k \times C)$ is positively homogeneous of degree m_i with respect to (K_i, A_i) ;

(v) if K_1, \dots, K_k are polytopes, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; A_1 \times \dots \times A_k \times C) \\ & = \sum_{F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{m_1}(K_1)} \dots \sum_{F_k \in \mathcal{F}_{m_k}(K_k)} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n-1-j} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^k N(K_i, F_i) \right) \cap C \right)}{\omega_{n-j}} \\ & \quad \times [F_1, \dots, F_k] (\mathcal{H}^{m_1} \llcorner F_1)(A_1) \dots (\mathcal{H}^{m_k} \llcorner F_k)(A_k); \end{aligned}$$

(vi) the map $(K_1, \dots, K_k) \mapsto \Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; \cdot)$ from $(\mathcal{K})^k$ into the space of finite Borel measures on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{k+1}$ is weakly continuous;

(vii) the map $(K_1, \dots, K_k) \mapsto \Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; D)$ defined on $(\mathcal{K})^k$ is measurable;

(viii) the map $(K_1, \dots, K_k) \mapsto \Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; \cdot)$ is additive in each of the first k components;

(ix) if $(K'_1, \dots, K'_k) \in (\mathcal{K})^k$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are open sets and $K_i \cap \beta_i = K'_i \cap \beta_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, then

$$\Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; \cdot) = \Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K'_1, \dots, K'_k; \cdot)$$

on Borel subsets of $\beta_1 \times \dots \times \beta_k \times S^{n-1}$;

$$(x) \Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1 + x_1, \dots, K_k + x_k; (A_1 + x_1) \times \dots \times (A_k + x_k) \times C) \\ = \Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; A_1 \times \dots \times A_k \times C) \text{ for } x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. For the proof compare [16, Thm. 3.14], which states a corresponding formula for relative support measures accompanied by the properties of mixed relative support measures. The property (v) of the classic support measures can be found in [16, Cor. 4.10]. Property (x) follows for polytopes P_1, \dots, P_k from property (v). Using algebraic induction, the weak continuity and an approximation argument it is obtained for arbitrary convex bodies. \square

Now we use Theorem 3.1 for the study of the translative integral of a Minkowski tensor. In the following we shall apply integrals and limits to tensors meaning the application to the real-valued coordinates of a basis representation. An important role is played by the following mixed tensorial functionals, which will be called *mixed Minkowski tensors*.

For $K_1, \dots, K_k \in \mathcal{K}$, $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \{j, \dots, n\}$ with $m_1 + \dots + m_k = (k-1)n + j$ let

$${}^j\Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, \dots, K_k) := \frac{1}{r!s!} \frac{\omega_{n-j}}{\omega_{n-j+s}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k \times S^{n-1}} x_1^r u^s \Lambda_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}(K_1, \dots, K_k; d(x_1, \dots, x_k, u)).$$

A special case of the mixed Minkowski tensors are the mixed functionals of translative integral geometry

$${}^jV_{m_1, \dots, m_k} := {}^j\Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{0,0}$$

with j, k and m_1, \dots, m_k as above. To keep the notation consistent we deviate from the more common notation $V_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{(j)}$ which is used in [49]. Theorem 3.1 leads to the following translative integral formula for Minkowski tensors.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $K_1, \dots, K_k \in \mathcal{K}$, $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, $k, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_j^{r,s}(K_1 \cap (K_2 + x_2) \cap \dots \cap (K_k + x_k)) dx_2 \dots dx_k = \sum {}^j\Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, \dots, K_k),$$

where the summation extends over all $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \{j, \dots, n\}$ such that $m_1 + \dots + m_k = (k-1)n + j$.

- (i) ${}^j\Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, \dots, K_k)$ is symmetric with respect to permutations of $\{2, \dots, k\}$. For $r = 0$ it is even symmetric with respect to permutations of $\{1, \dots, k\}$;
- (ii) ${}^j\Phi_{n, m_2, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, \dots, K_k) = \Phi_n^{r,0}(K_1) {}^j\Phi_{m_2, \dots, m_k}^{0,s}(K_2, \dots, K_k)$,
 ${}^j\Phi_{m_1, n, m_3, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, \dots, K_k) = V_n(K_2) {}^j\Phi_{m_1, m_3, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, K_3, \dots, K_k)$, and ${}^j\Phi_j^{r,s}(K) = \Phi_j^{r,s}(K)$;
- (iii) ${}^j\Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, \dots, K_k)$ is positively homogeneous of degree $m_1 + r$ with respect to K_1 and of degree m_i with respect to K_i for $i \geq 2$;
- (iv) if K_1, \dots, K_k are polytopes, then

$${}^j\Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, \dots, K_k)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{r!s!} \frac{1}{\omega_{n-j+s}} \sum_{F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{m_1}(K_1)} \cdots \sum_{F_k \in \mathcal{F}_{m_k}(K_k)} \int_{\left(\sum_{i=1}^k N(K_i, F_i)\right) \cap S^{n-1}} u^s \mathcal{H}^{n-1-j}(du) \\
&\quad \times [F_1, \dots, F_k] \int_{F_1} x_1^r \mathcal{H}^{m_1}(dx_1) \mathcal{H}^{m_2}(F_2) \cdots \mathcal{H}^{m_k}(F_k);
\end{aligned}$$

(v) *The map $(K_1, \dots, K_k) \mapsto {}^j\Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, \dots, K_k)$ is additive and continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric in each component.*

Proof. The formula follows by applying the previous theorem with the special integrand

$$f : \begin{cases} (\mathbb{R}^n)^k \times S^{n-1} & \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ (x_1, \dots, x_k, u) & \mapsto \frac{1}{r!s!} \frac{\omega_{n-j}}{\omega_{n-j+s}} x_1^r u^s, \end{cases}$$

more precisely, the theorem has to be applied to the positive and negative part of a representation of f with respect to a fixed basis. The existence of the translative integral follows since $K_1 \cap (K_2 + x_2) \neq \emptyset$ holds if and only if $x_2 \in K_1 + (-K_2)$ and since the intrinsic volumes are increasing with respect to set inclusion. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi_j^{r,s}(K_1 \cap (K_2 + x_2) \cap \dots \cap (K_k + x_k))|_{\infty} dx_2 \dots dx_k \\
&\leq \int_{K_1 + (-K_2)} \cdots \int_{K_1 + (-K_k)} |\Phi_j^{r,s}(K_1 \cap (K_2 + x_2) \cap \dots \cap (K_k + x_k))|_{\infty} dx_2 \dots dx_k \\
&\leq \int_{K_1 + (-K_2)} \cdots \int_{K_1 + (-K_k)} \frac{1}{r!s!} \frac{\omega_{n-j}}{\omega_{n-j+s}} \left(\max_{x \in K_1} \|x\| \right)^r V_j(K_1) dx_2 \dots dx_k < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

The properties (i) to (v) follow from the corresponding properties of the mixed support measures, compare Theorem 3.1. \square

In the special case $j = n - 1$, Theorem 3.2 reduces to the following corollary, which does not require mixed Minkowski tensors.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $K_1, \dots, K_k \in \mathcal{K}$, $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^{k-1}} \Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(K_1 \cap (K_2 + x_2) \cap \dots \cap (K_k + x_k)) dx_2 \dots dx_k \\
&= \Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(K_1) V_n(K_2) \cdots V_n(K_k) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=2}^k \left(\Phi_n^{r,0}(K_1) V_n(K_2) \cdots V_n(K_{l-1}) \Phi_{n-1}^{0,s}(K_l) V_n(K_{l+1}) \cdots V_n(K_k) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^{k-1}} \Phi_n^{r,0}(K_1 \cap (K_2 + x_2) \cap \dots \cap (K_k + x_k)) dx_2 \dots dx_k = \Phi_n^{r,0}(K_1) V_n(K_2) \cdots V_n(K_k).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^{k-1}} \Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(K_1 \cap (K_2 + x_2) \cap \dots \cap (K_k + x_k)) dx_2 \dots dx_k \\
&= \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_k = n-1 \\ m_1 + \dots + m_k = nk-1}}^n {}^j \Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, \dots, K_k) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^k {}^j \Phi_{n, \dots, n, \underbrace{n-1, \dots, n}_{l\text{th comp.}}}(K_1, \dots, K_k) \\
&= \Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(K_1) V_n(K_2) \dots V_n(K_k) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=2}^k (\Phi_n^{r,0}(K_1) V_n(K_2) \dots V_n(K_{l-1}) \Phi_{n-1}^{0,s}(K_l) V_n(K_{l+1}) \dots V_n(K_k)).
\end{aligned}$$

The second relation follows by an application of Fubini's theorem. \square

4 Densities of Stationary Models

In this section, we define densities of (mixed) Minkowski tensors for particle processes and random closed sets.

Recall from the end of Section 2 the stationary particle process X which is associated with the Boolean model Z . For a real-valued, translation invariant, measurable functional $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the φ -density of X is defined by

$$\bar{\varphi}(X) := \gamma \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \varphi d\mathbb{Q},$$

if φ is nonnegative or \mathbb{Q} -integrable (cf. [49, (4.6)]).

In order to extend this definition to the setting of the (mixed) Minkowski tensors, we provide a lemma.

Lemma 4.1. (i) *Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Then the functional $\Phi_j^{r,s}$ on \mathcal{K} has an additive extension to \mathcal{R} , which will be denoted by the same symbol. The extension*

$$\Phi_j^{r,s} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{r+s}$$

is measurable and conditionally bounded.

(ii) *Let $K_2, \dots, K_k \in \mathcal{K}$, $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \{j, \dots, n\}$ with $m_1 + \dots + m_k = (k-1)n + j$. Then the functional*

$${}^j \Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(\cdot, K_2, K_3, \dots, K_k)$$

on \mathcal{K} has an additive extension to \mathcal{R} , which will be denoted by the same symbol. The extension

$${}^j \Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(\cdot, K_2, K_3, \dots, K_k) : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{r+s}$$

is measurable and conditionally bounded. The same holds with respect to the arguments K_2, \dots, K_k .

Proof. We can identify \mathbb{T}^{r+s} with a $\binom{n+r+s-1}{r+s}$ -dimensional Euclidean space and so results on real-valued functions on \mathcal{K} are still true for tensor-valued functions on \mathcal{K} if applied coordinate-wise. Both functionals, $\Phi_j^{r,s}$ and ${}^j\Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(\cdot, K_2, K_3, \dots, K_k)$, are additive and continuous on \mathcal{K} by Theorem 3.2, (v). By Groemer's extension theorem ([49, Thm. 14.4.2]) the continuity implies the existence of additive extensions to the convex ring \mathcal{R} . By [49, Thm. 14.4.4], the extensions are also measurable. The conditional boundedness follows in both cases from the continuity, since for given $K \in \mathcal{K}$ the set $\{L \in \mathcal{K} : L \subset K\}$ is compact by [45, Thm. 1.8.4]. \square

Now we assume that the particles of X are elements of the convex ring \mathcal{R} and that the grain distribution \mathbb{Q} satisfies the integrability condition

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_0} 2^{N(C)} \lambda(C + \varrho B^n) \mathbb{Q}(dC) < \infty \quad \text{for some (and hence all) } \varrho > 0. \quad (4)$$

If the particles are convex, the above integrability condition reduces to (3). In [49, Thm. 9.2.2] it is shown that a functional $\varphi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathbb{Q} -integrable, if it is translation invariant, additive, measurable and conditionally bounded. Therefore the \mathbb{Q} -integrability of the Minkowski tensors with the exponent $r = 0$ follows directly from Lemma 4.1 and the translation invariance of $\Phi_j^{0,s}$. For the mixed Minkowski tensors, Lemma 4.1, (ii), yields the \mathbb{Q} -integrability with respect to each of the arguments K_i , for $2 \leq i \leq k$, and only in the case $r = 0$ also with respect to the argument K_1 . This is a consequence of the translation invariance with respect to these arguments. If we want to define densities with respect to several arguments simultaneously, we have to assume that an integrability condition is satisfied.

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Then we define the $\Phi_j^{0,s}$ -density of X by

$$\bar{\Phi}_j^{0,s}(X) := \gamma \int_{\mathcal{K}_0} \Phi_j^{0,s}(K) \mathbb{Q}(dK).$$

Let $K_1, \dots, K_k \in \mathcal{K}$, $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \{j, \dots, n\}$ with $m_1 + \dots + m_k = (k-1)n + j$ and $l \in \{2, \dots, k\}$. If the function

$$(K_2, \dots, K_l) \mapsto {}^j\Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, \dots, K_k)$$

is \mathbb{Q}^{l-1} -integrable, we define mixed densities by

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^j\bar{\Phi}_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, X, \dots, X, K_{l+1}, \dots, K_k) \\ & := \gamma^{l-1} \int_{\mathcal{K}_0} \dots \int_{\mathcal{K}_0} {}^j\Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(K_1, \dots, K_k) \mathbb{Q}(dK_2) \dots \mathbb{Q}(dK_l). \end{aligned}$$

The mixed density is defined in the same way for other positions of the integration variables, if a corresponding integrability condition is fulfilled. Though, except for the case $r = 0$, the first position will be omitted because the integrand is not translation invariant with respect to K_1 .

Next we define densities of the Minkowski tensors for standard random closed sets. Recall from [49, Def. 9.2.1] that a standard random set in \mathbb{R}^n is a random closed set \tilde{Z} in \mathbb{R}^n for which the realizations of \tilde{Z} are a.s. locally polyconvex, \tilde{Z} is stationary, and

$$\mathbb{E}[2^{N(\tilde{Z} \cap C^n)}] < \infty. \quad (5)$$

By Lemma 4.1 the coordinates of $\Phi_j^{0,s}$ are additive, measurable and conditionally bounded, obviously they are also translation invariant. Hence, by [49, Thm. 9.2.1] we can define densities $\overline{\Phi}_j^{0,s}(\tilde{Z})$ in the following way.

Let \tilde{Z} be a standard random set, $W \in \mathcal{K}$ with $V_n(W) > 0$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Then the limit

$$\overline{\Phi}_j^{0,s}(\tilde{Z}) := \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\Phi_j^{0,s}(\tilde{Z} \cap \varrho W)]}{V_n(\varrho W)}$$

exists and satisfies

$$\overline{\Phi}_j^{0,s}(\tilde{Z}) = \mathbb{E}[\Phi_j^{0,s}(\tilde{Z} \cap C^n) - \Phi_j^{0,s}(\tilde{Z} \cap \partial^+ C^n)].$$

In particular, $\overline{\Phi}_j^{0,s}(\tilde{Z})$ is independent of the choice of W .

5 Mean Value and Density Formulas

5.1 Stationary Boolean Model

From now on we assume that the grain distribution \mathbb{Q} is concentrated on \mathcal{K}_0 . Then the Boolean model Z is a standard random set since the integrability condition (5) holds, compare [49, p. 384]. In the described setting the following density formulas for the scalar valued intrinsic volumes have been proven by Weil [58, Cor. 7.5] and are stated in [49, Thm. 9.1.5].

Theorem 5.1 (Weil 1990). *Let Z be a stationary Boolean model Z with convex grains. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{V}_n(Z) &= 1 - e^{-\overline{V}_n(X)}, \\ \overline{V}_{n-1}(Z) &= e^{-\overline{V}_n(X)} \overline{V}_{n-1}(X) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\overline{V}_j(Z) = e^{-\overline{V}_n(X)} \left[\overline{V}_j(X) - \sum_{l=2}^{n-j} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_l = j+1 \\ m_1 + \dots + m_l = (l-1)n+j}}^{n-1} j \overline{V}_{m_1, \dots, m_l}(X, \dots, X) \right]$$

for $j = 0, \dots, n-2$.

In this section we first establish connections between mean values of the Minkowski tensors of the intersection of Z with a compact, convex window W and the densities of the particle process X . For the translation invariant Minkowski tensors, we obtain thus in a second step corresponding relations between the densities of Z and the densities of X which generalize the above theorem for intrinsic volume densities.

Theorem 5.2. *Let Z be a stationary Boolean model in \mathbb{R}^n with convex grains, let $W \in \mathcal{K}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(Z \cap W)] = \Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(W) \left(1 - e^{-\overline{V}_n(X)}\right) + \Phi_n^{r,0}(W) \overline{\Phi}_{n-1}^{0,s}(X) e^{-\overline{V}_n(X)}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_n^{r,0}(Z \cap W)] = \Phi_n^{r,0}(W) \left(1 - e^{-\overline{V}_n(X)}\right).$$

Proof. By [49, Thm. 9.1.2], we have $\mathbb{E}[|\Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(Z \cap W)|] < \infty$, where the absolute value and the relation $<$ are applied coordinate-wise and

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(Z \cap W)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} \gamma^k \int_{\mathcal{K}_0} \dots \int_{\mathcal{K}_0} \Phi(W, K_1, \dots, K_k) \mathbb{Q}(dK_1) \dots \mathbb{Q}(dK_k), \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Phi(W, K_1, \dots, K_k) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k} \Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(W \cap (K_1 + x_1) \cap \dots \cap (K_k + x_k)) dx_1 \dots dx_k$$

and the series on the right-hand side of (6) converges absolutely. In the next step, we apply the iterated translative formula, Corollary 3.3, to get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(W, K_1, \dots, K_k) &= \Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(W) V_n(K_1) \dots V_n(K_k) + \sum_{l=1}^k \Phi_n^{r,0}(W) \\ &\quad \times V_n(K_1) \dots V_n(K_{l-1}) \Phi_{n-1}^{0,s}(K_l) V_n(K_{l+1}) \dots V_n(K_k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(Z \cap W)] &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} \left(\Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(W) \bar{V}_n(X)^k + k \Phi_n^{r,0}(W) \bar{V}_n(X)^{k-1} \bar{\Phi}_{n-1}^{0,s}(X) \right) \\ &= \Phi_{n-1}^{r,s}(W) (1 - e^{-\bar{V}_n(X)}) + \Phi_n^{r,0}(W) \bar{\Phi}_{n-1}^{0,s}(X) e^{-\bar{V}_n(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of the occurring densities follows from the discussion in Section 4. The second asserted relation follows in a similar way as the first one. \square

Corollary 5.3. *If $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then*

$$\bar{\Phi}_{n-1}^{0,s}(Z) = \bar{\Phi}_{n-1}^{0,s}(X) e^{-\bar{V}_n(X)}.$$

Proof. Let $W \in \mathcal{K}$ with $V_n(W) > 0$. Then Theorem 5.2 yields

$$\bar{\Phi}_{n-1}^{0,s}(Z) = \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\Phi_{n-1}^{0,s}(Z \cap \varrho W)]}{V_n(\varrho W)} = \bar{\Phi}_{n-1}^{0,s}(X) e^{-\bar{V}_n(X)}.$$

\square

Relations between $\mathbb{E}[\Phi_j^{r,s}(Z \cap W)]$ and densities of X become more complicated as j is getting smaller.

Theorem 5.4. *Let Z be a stationary Boolean model in \mathbb{R}^n with convex grains, $W \in \mathcal{K}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, we obtain for $n \geq 3$ and $j = 1, \dots, n-2$ that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_j^{r,s}(Z \cap W)] = \Phi_j^{r,s}(W) \left(1 - e^{-\bar{V}_n(X)} \right) + \sum_{m_0=j+1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m_0-j} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l!}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_l = j \\ m_1 + \dots + m_l = ln + j - m_0}}^{n-1} {}^j \overline{\Phi}_{m_0, m_1, \dots, m_l}^{r, s}(W, X, \dots, X) e^{-\overline{V}_n(X)} \\
& + \Phi_n^{r, 0}(W) \sum_{l=1}^{n-j} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l!} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_l = j \\ m_1 + \dots + m_l = (l-1)n + j}}^{n-1} {}^j \overline{\Phi}_{m_1, \dots, m_l}^{0, s}(X, \dots, X) e^{-\overline{V}_n(X)}
\end{aligned}$$

and for $n \geq 2$ and $j = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\Phi_0^{r, s}(Z \cap W)] &= \Phi_0^{r, s}(W) \left(1 - e^{-\overline{V}_n(X)}\right) \\
& + \sum_{m_0=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l!} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_l = 0 \\ m_1 + \dots + m_l = ln - m_0}}^{n-1} {}^0 \overline{\Phi}_{m_0, m_1, \dots, m_l}^{r, s}(W, X, \dots, X) e^{-\overline{V}_n(X)} \\
& + \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \frac{2}{s! \omega_{s+1}} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \Phi_n^{r, 0}(W) \\
& \times \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l!} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_l = 0 \\ m_1 + \dots + m_l = (l-1)n}}^{n-1} {}^0 \overline{V}_{m_1, \dots, m_l}(X, \dots, X) e^{-\overline{V}_n(X)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [49, Thm. 9.1.2], we have $\mathbb{E}[|\Phi_j^{r, s}(Z \cap W)|] < \infty$ (see above) and

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_j^{r, s}(Z \cap W)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} \gamma^k \int_{\mathcal{K}_0} \dots \int_{\mathcal{K}_0} \Phi(W, K_1, \dots, K_k) \mathbb{Q}(dK_1) \dots \mathbb{Q}(dK_k),$$

where

$$\Phi(W, K_1, \dots, K_k) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k} \Phi_j^{r, s}(W \cap (K_1 + x_1) \cap \dots \cap (K_k + x_k)) dx_1 \dots dx_k.$$

The function

$$\Phi(W, \cdot, \dots, \cdot) : \mathcal{K}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{r+s}$$

is \mathbb{Q}^k -integrable by the proof of [49, Thm. 9.1.2]. For $r = s = 0$ the mixed Minkowski tensors are nonnegative, real-valued functionals and therefore they are also \mathbb{Q}^k -integrable by Theorem 3.2. For general $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$|{}^j \Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{r, s}(K_1, \dots, K_k)|_{\infty} \leq \left(\max_{x \in K_1} \|x\|\right)^r {}^j \Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{0, 0}(K_1, \dots, K_k).$$

This shows the existence of densities for the mixed Minkowski tensors, though only in the case $r = 0$ the density can be formed with respect to the first argument. Now we apply the iterated translative integral formula, Theorem 3.2. Introducing the index l as the number of indices among m_1, \dots, m_k that are smaller than n , we can rearrange the summation to get

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_j^{r, s}(Z \cap W)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} \gamma^k \int_{\mathcal{K}_0^k} \sum_{\substack{m_0, \dots, m_k = j \\ m_0, \dots, m_k = kn + j}}^n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}^j\Phi_{m_0, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(W, K_1, \dots, K_k) \mathbb{Q}^k(d(K_1, \dots, K_k)) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} \gamma^k \sum_{m_0=j}^n \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_k=j \\ m_1 + \dots + m_k = kn + j - m_0}}^n \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{K}_0^k} {}^j\Phi_{m_0, \dots, m_k}^{r,s}(W, K_1, \dots, K_k) \mathbb{Q}^k(d(K_1, \dots, K_k)) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} \gamma^k \sum_{m_0=j}^n \sum_{l=1}^{(m_0-j) \wedge k} \binom{k}{k-l} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_l=j \\ m_1 + \dots + m_l = ln + j - m_0}}^{n-1} \\
&\quad \int_{\mathcal{K}_0^k} {}^j\Phi_{m_0, m_1, \dots, m_l, \underbrace{n, \dots, n}_{k-l \text{ times}}}(W, K_1, \dots, K_k) \mathbb{Q}^k(d(K_1, \dots, K_k)) \\
&= \sum_{m_0=j}^n \sum_{l=1}^{m_0-j} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+l-1}}{(m+l)!} \binom{m+l}{m} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_l=j \\ m_1 + \dots + m_l = ln + j - m_0}}^{n-1} \\
&\quad {}^j\bar{\Phi}_{m_0, m_1, \dots, m_l}^{r,s}(W, X, \dots, X) \bar{V}_n(X)^m \\
&= \sum_{m_0=j+1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{m_0-j} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l!} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_l=j \\ m_1 + \dots + m_l = ln + j - m_0}}^{n-1} {}^j\bar{\Phi}_{m_0, \dots, m_l}^{r,s}(W, X, \dots, X) e^{-\bar{V}_n(X)} \\
&\quad + \bar{\Phi}_j^{r,s}(W) \left[1 - e^{-\bar{V}_n(X)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

A special situation occurs in the case $j = 0$, namely the tensor $\Phi_0^{0,s}$, for even s , is proportional to a multiple of the metric tensor and for odd s it is equal to the zero tensor. By applying the representation [45, (4.2.20)] to the measure $\Lambda_0(K; \mathbb{R}^n \times \cdot)$ and by [48, (24)], we have for $K \in \mathcal{K}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_0^{0,s}(K) &= \frac{1}{s!} \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_{n+s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1}} u^s \Lambda_0(K; d(x, u)) = \frac{1}{s!} \frac{1}{\omega_{n+s}} V_0(K) \int_{S^{n-1}} u^s \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(du) \\
&= \frac{2}{s! \omega_{s+1}} V_0(K) Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if we apply the translative integral formula Theorem 3.2 for the tensor $\Phi_0^{0,0} = V_0$ and the tensor $\Phi_0^{0,s}$, we obtain by a comparison of the right-hand sides and by using the fact that the mixed tensors have different degrees of homogeneity in the arguments K_1, \dots, K_k that

$${}^0\Phi_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^{0,s}(K_1, \dots, K_k) = \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \frac{2}{s! \omega_{s+1}} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} {}^0V_{m_1, \dots, m_k}(K_1, \dots, K_k).$$

□

In the subsequent corollary we state the mean value formulas in the special case of three dimensions. The result in two dimensions has already been formulated in Corollary 1.1.

Corollary 5.5. *Let Z be a stationary Boolean model in \mathbb{R}^3 with convex grains, let $W \in \mathcal{K}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\Phi_0^{r,s}(Z \cap W)] &= \Phi_0^{r,s}(W) \left(1 - e^{-\bar{V}_3(X)}\right) + e^{-\bar{V}_3(X)} \left[-\frac{1}{2} {}^0\bar{\Phi}_{2,2,2}^{r,s}(W, X, X) \right. \\ &\quad + {}^0\bar{\Phi}_{1,2}^{r,s}(W, X) + {}^0\bar{\Phi}_{2,1}^{r,s}(W, X) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \frac{2}{s!\omega_{s+1}} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \left(\Phi_3^{r,0}(W) \bar{V}_0(X) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \Phi_3^{r,0}(W) {}^0\bar{V}_{1,2}(X, X) + \frac{1}{6} \Phi_3^{r,0}(W) {}^0\bar{V}_{2,2,2}(X, X, X) \right) \right]; \\ \mathbb{E}[\Phi_1^{r,s}(Z \cap W)] &= \Phi_1^{r,s}(W) \left(1 - e^{-\bar{V}_3(X)}\right) + e^{-\bar{V}_3(X)} \left[\Phi_3^{r,0}(W) \bar{\Phi}_1^{0,s}(X) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + {}^1\bar{\Phi}_{2,2}^{r,s}(W, X) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_3^{r,0}(W) {}^1\bar{\Phi}_{2,2}^{0,s}(X, X) \right]; \\ \mathbb{E}[\Phi_2^{r,s}(Z \cap W)] &= \Phi_2^{r,s}(W) \left(1 - e^{-\bar{V}_3(X)}\right) + \Phi_3^{r,0}(W) \bar{\Phi}_2^{0,s}(X) e^{-\bar{V}_3(X)}; \\ \mathbb{E}[\Phi_3^{r,0}(Z \cap W)] &= \Phi_3^{r,0}(W) \left(1 - e^{-\bar{V}_3(X)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following density formulas in general dimension.

Corollary 5.6. *Let Z be a stationary Boolean model in \mathbb{R}^n with convex grains and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then we obtain*

$$\bar{\Phi}_{n-1}^{0,s}(Z) = e^{-\bar{V}_n(X)} \bar{\Phi}_{n-1}^{0,s}(X)$$

and for $n \geq 3$ and $j = 1, \dots, n-2$ that

$$\bar{\Phi}_j^{0,s}(Z) = e^{-\bar{V}_n(X)} \left(\bar{\Phi}_j^{0,s}(X) - \sum_{l=2}^{n-j} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_l = j+1 \\ m_1 + \dots + m_l = (l-1)n+j}}^{n-1} j \bar{\Phi}_{m_1, \dots, m_l}^{0,s}(X, \dots, X) \right)$$

and for $n \geq 2$ and $j = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Phi}_0^{0,s}(Z) &= \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} e^{-\bar{V}_n(X)} \frac{2}{s!\omega_{s+1}} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\bar{V}_0(X) - \sum_{l=2}^n \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_l = 1 \\ m_1 + \dots + m_l = (l-1)n}}^{n-1} {}^0\bar{V}_{m_1, \dots, m_l}(X, \dots, X) \right) \\ &= \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \frac{2}{s!\omega_{s+1}} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \bar{V}_0(Z).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $W \in \mathcal{K}$ with $V_n(W) > 0$. We consider for $\varrho > 0$ in the formulas from Theorem 5.4 the dilated window ϱW instead of W and divide by its volume $V_n(\varrho W)$. Due to the homogeneity properties of the mixed Minkowski tensors, the summands with $m_0 < n$ vanish asymptotically as ϱ goes to infinity. For the second relation we use Theorem 5.1. \square

The subsequent Corollary 5.7 states the density formulas in two and three dimensions. The cases $n = 2$ and $j = 1$ respectively $n = 3$ and $j = 2$ are already contained in Corollary 5.3 and therefore not displayed again.

Corollary 5.7. *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Then, for $n = 2$ and $j = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Phi}_0^{0,s}(Z) &= \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \frac{2}{s!\omega_{s+1}} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \bar{V}_0(Z) \\ &= \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \frac{2}{s!\omega_{s+1}} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \left(\bar{V}_0(X) - \frac{1}{2} {}^0\bar{V}_{1,1}(X, X) \right) e^{-\bar{V}_2(X)};\end{aligned}$$

for $n = 3$ and $j = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Phi}_0^{0,s}(Z) &= \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \frac{2}{s!\omega_{s+1}} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \bar{V}_0(Z) \\ &= \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \frac{2}{s!\omega_{s+1}} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \left(\bar{V}_0(X) - {}^0\bar{V}_{1,2}(X, X) + \frac{1}{6} {}^0\bar{V}_{2,2,2}(X, X, X) \right) e^{-\bar{V}_3(X)};\end{aligned}$$

for $n = 3$ and $j = 1$, we have

$$\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,s}(Z) = \left(\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,s}(X) - \frac{1}{2} {}^1\bar{\Phi}_{2,2}^{0,s}(X, X) \right) e^{-\bar{V}_3(X)}.$$

Remark 5.8. A comparison of the previous Corollary 5.6 with Theorem 5.1 shows that in the case $j = 0$ the Minkowski tensor densities do not contain more information than the scalar valued densities $\bar{V}_0(Z)$. Though we would like to point out that this is indeed not the case for the corresponding mean value formulas for finite section window W , compare Corollary 1.1 respectively Corollary 5.5 in the case $j = 0$. Namely, if for pairwise distinct $\varrho_0, \dots, \varrho_n > 0$ the mean values $\mathbb{E}[\Phi_0^{r,s}(Z \cap \varrho_k W)]$, for $k = 0, \dots, n$, are known, we can separate the summands of different homogeneity degree in the right-hand side of the corresponding equations by merely solving a system of linear equations. In particular, if additionally the density $\bar{V}_n(X)$ is known, we obtain the density ${}^0\bar{\Phi}_{1,1}^{r,s}(W, X)$ in the case $n = 2$ and the density ${}^0\bar{\Phi}_{2,2,2}^{r,s}(W, X, X)$ in the case $n = 3$.

Remark 5.9. In the statement of the density formulas we restricted to stationary Boolean models with convex grains. In [59] density formulas for the mixed functionals of translative integral geometry are established for Boolean models with polyconvex grains satisfying the integrability condition (4). Condition (4) is also sufficient for extending the proof of Theorem 5.4 to the setting of stationary Boolean models with polyconvex grains. We shall not go into details here. But observe that it can be shown that a stationary Boolean model with grain distribution \mathbb{Q} concentrated on the convex ring and intensity $\gamma > 0$, which satisfies the integrability condition (4) is a standard random set. Furthermore, all necessary integrability properties of involved mixed Minkowski tensors with respect to multiple product measures of \mathbb{Q} can be handled.

5.2 Densities of Isotropic Standard Random Sets

In this section we consider an isotropic standard random set \tilde{Z} . We shall see that in this case the densities of the Minkowski tensors are just constant multiples of the densities of the intrinsic volumes.

Proposition 5.10. Let \tilde{Z} be an isotropic standard random set, $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$\bar{\Phi}_j^{0,s}(\tilde{Z}) = \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \tilde{\alpha}_{n,j,s} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \bar{V}_j(\tilde{Z}),$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{n,j,s} := \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{s}{2}} (\frac{s}{2})!} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-j+s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n-j}{2})}.$$

Proof. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\Phi_j^{0,s}(\tilde{Z} \cap B^n) \right] &= \int_{SO_n} \mathbb{E} \left[\Phi_j^{0,s}(\vartheta \tilde{Z} \cap B^n) \right] \nu(d\vartheta) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{SO_n} \frac{1}{s!} \frac{\omega_{n-j}}{\omega_{n-j+s}} \int_{\Sigma} u^s \Lambda_j \left(\vartheta \tilde{Z} \cap B^n; d(x, u) \right) \nu(d\vartheta) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we define a measure μ on S^{n-1} by

$$\mu(A) := \int_{SO_n} \Lambda_j \left(\vartheta \tilde{Z} \cap B^n; \mathbb{R}^n \times A \right) \nu(d\vartheta)$$

for $A \in \mathcal{B}(S^{n-1})$. The measure μ is SO_n -invariant because of the rotation covariance of Λ_j and the invariance properties of the Haar measure on S^{n-1} . For $\vartheta \in SO_n$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(S^{n-1})$ we obtain $\mu(\vartheta A) = \mu(A)$. Hence, μ is a multiple of the Haar measure on S^{n-1} and $\mu(S^{n-1}) = V_j(\tilde{Z} \cap B^n)$. We deduce

$$\mu = \frac{V_j(\tilde{Z} \cap B^n)}{n\kappa_n} \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \llcorner S^{n-1}.$$

Now it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\Phi_j^{0,s}(\tilde{Z} \cap B^n) \right] &= \frac{1}{s!} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\omega_{n-j}}{\omega_{n-j+s}} \int_{S^{n-1}} u^s \mu(du) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[V_j(\tilde{Z} \cap B^n) \right] \frac{1}{s!} \frac{\omega_{n-j}}{\omega_{n-j+s}} \frac{1}{n\kappa_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} u^s \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(du) \\ &= \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \mathbb{E} \left[V_j(\tilde{Z} \cap B^n) \right] \frac{1}{s!} \frac{\omega_{n-j}}{\omega_{n-j+s}} \frac{1}{n\kappa_n} 2^{\frac{\omega_{s+n}}{\omega_{s+1}}} Q^{\frac{s}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by [48, (24)]. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Phi}_j^{0,s}(\tilde{Z}) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\Phi_j^{0,s}(\tilde{Z} \cap rB^n)]}{r^n \kappa_n} \\ &= \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \bar{V}_j(\tilde{Z}) \frac{1}{n\kappa_n} \frac{1}{s!} \frac{\omega_{n-j}}{\omega_{n-j+s}} 2^{\frac{\omega_{s+n}}{\omega_{s+1}}} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \\ &= \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n-j+s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{s+1}{2}} \Gamma(s+1) \Gamma(\frac{n-j}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+s}{2})} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \bar{V}_j(\tilde{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} \tilde{\alpha}_{n,j,s} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \bar{V}_j(\tilde{Z}),$$

where the last line follows by Legendre's relation; see [5]. \square

Remark 5.11. If the grain distribution \mathbb{Q} is rotation invariant the Boolean model Z is isotropic. Then Proposition 5.10 implies, for $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, that

$$\bar{\Phi}_j^{0,s}(Z) = \mathbf{1}\{s \in 2\mathbb{N}_0\} Q^{\frac{s}{2}} \tilde{\alpha}_{n,j,s} \bar{V}_j(Z).$$

This connection may offer a possibility to test the isotropy of a Boolean model.

6 Examples

6.1 Planar Parametric Non-isotropic Boolean Model

In this subsection we apply the formulas from Corollary 5.3 and Corollary 5.7 to a parametric class of planar Boolean models studied in [51, Sect. 2.2] with ellipse particles. We shall see that for this easy parametric model the obtained results allow to extract useful information from observations of the Boolean model.

For $\alpha \in [0, \infty]$, $\gamma > 0$ and $E \in \mathcal{K}_0$, let $Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}$ be a stationary Boolean model with intensity γ and the grains obtained by rotating E by a random angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. For $\alpha < \infty$ the random angle θ has the probability density

$$f_\alpha(\theta) = c(\alpha) |\cos \theta|^\alpha, \quad \text{for } \theta \in [0, 2\pi),$$

with

$$c(\alpha) := \frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2})}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2})},$$

that is, the grain distribution of $Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}$ is

$$\mathbb{Q}(\cdot) = \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{1}\{\vartheta(\theta)E \in \cdot\} f_\alpha(\theta) d\theta,$$

where $\vartheta(\theta) \in SO(2)$ is the rotation by the angle θ . The grain distribution of $Z_{\infty,\gamma,E}$ is $\mathbb{Q} = \delta_E$. In the following, we call E the base grain and α the orientation parameter of the Boolean model. We specify in this particular case the formulas for the densities obtained in Corollary 5.3 and Corollary 5.7. For this we have to determine $\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,s}(X)$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\bar{V}_0(X)$ and ${}^0\bar{V}_{1,1}(X, X)$. Starting with the density of the surface tensor we obtain for $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that

$$\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,s}(X) = \gamma \int_{\mathcal{K}_0} \Phi_1^{0,s}(K) \mathbb{Q}(dK) = \gamma \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi_1^{0,s}(\vartheta(\theta)E) f_\alpha(\theta) d\theta.$$

In the following we identify a p -tensor with an element of \mathbb{R}^{n^p} in the usual way. We obtain by [52, (8)], for $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, 2\}$, that

$$(\Phi_1^{0,s}(\vartheta(\theta)E))_{i_1, \dots, i_s} = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_s=1}^2 (\vartheta(\theta))_{i_1, j_1} \cdots (\vartheta(\theta))_{i_s, j_s} (\Phi_1^{0,s}(E))_{j_1, \dots, j_s}$$

and therefore, for $0 \leq l \leq s$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,s}(X) \right)_{\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{l \text{ times}}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{s-l \text{ times}}} \\
&= \gamma \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_s=1}^2 \int_0^{2\pi} (\vartheta(\theta))_{1,j_1} \cdots (\vartheta(\theta))_{1,j_l} \vartheta(\theta)_{2,j_{l+1}} \cdots (\vartheta(\theta))_{2,j_s} f_\alpha(\theta) d\theta \\
& \quad \times (\Phi_1^{0,s}(E))_{j_1, \dots, j_s} \\
&= \mathbf{1}\{s \text{ even}\} \gamma \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j+l \text{ even}}}^s \sum_{k=0 \vee (j-s+l)}^{j \wedge l} (-1)^{l-k} \binom{l}{k} \binom{s-l}{j-k} \prod_{m=1}^{\frac{l+j-2k}{2}} (2m-1) \\
& \quad \times \prod_{m=1}^{\frac{s-l-j+2k}{2}} (\alpha + 2m - 1) \prod_{m=1}^{s/2} (\alpha + 2m)^{-1} (\Phi_1^{0,s}(E))_{\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{j \text{ times}}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{s-j \text{ times}}}, \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

since we obtain for the integral prefactor in the second line of the above equation for $s_1, \dots, s_4, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $s_1 + \dots + s_4 = s$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{2\pi} (\vartheta(\theta))_{1,1}^{s_1} (\vartheta(\theta))_{1,2}^{s_2} (\vartheta(\theta))_{2,1}^{s_3} (\vartheta(\theta))_{2,2}^{s_4} f_\alpha(\theta) d\theta \\
&= c(\alpha) \int_0^{2\pi} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+s_4} |\cos \theta|^\alpha (-\sin \theta)^{s_2} (\sin \theta)^{s_3} d\theta \\
&= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s_1 + s_4 \text{ or } s_2 + s_3 \text{ is odd,} \\ (-1)^{s_2} \frac{\prod_{m=1}^{(s_2+s_3)/2} (2m-1) \prod_{m=1}^{(s_1+s_4)/2} (\alpha+2m-1)}{\prod_{m=1}^{s/2} (\alpha+2m)}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

by the symmetry properties of sine and cosine and since

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin \varphi)^a (\cos \varphi)^b d\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{a+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{b+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{a+b+2}{2})},$$

for $a, b > -1$; see [5, (5.6)] or [61, (12.42)]. In the case $s = 2$ equation (7) simplifies to

$$\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(X) = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + 2} \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha + 1) (\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{1,1} + (\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{2,2} & \alpha (\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{1,2} \\ \alpha (\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{1,2} & (\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{1,1} + (\alpha + 1) (\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, we obtain for the mixed density

$${}^0\overline{V}_{1,1}(X, X) = \gamma^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} {}^0V_{1,1}(\vartheta(\theta_1)E, \vartheta(\theta_2)E) f_\alpha(\theta_1) f_\alpha(\theta_2) d\theta_1 d\theta_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \gamma^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} {}^0V_{1,1}(\vartheta(\theta_1 - \theta_2)E, E) f_\alpha(\theta_1) f_\alpha(\theta_2) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \\
&= \gamma^2 c(\alpha)^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} {}^0V_{1,1}(\vartheta(\theta_1)E, E) |\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2)|^\alpha |\cos(\theta_2)|^\alpha d\theta_1 d\theta_2, \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that ${}^0V_{1,1}$ is invariant with respect to simultaneous rotations of its arguments and that the integrand is 2π -periodic with respect to θ_1 .

Furthermore it follows from [60, Cor. 9.2] and the rotation covariance of the support measures for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^0V_{1,1}(\vartheta(\theta)E, E) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1} \alpha(\vartheta(\theta)u_1, u_2) \sin(\alpha(\vartheta(\theta)u_1, u_2)) \\
&\quad \Lambda_1(E; d(x_1, u_1)) \Lambda_1(E; d(x_2, u_2)), \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha(u_1, u_2) \in [0, \pi]$ denotes the smaller angle between $u_1, u_2 \in S^1$.

Remark 6.1. Assume that the above parametric Boolean model is observed and the densities

$$\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}) \text{ and } \overline{V}_2(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E})$$

are therefore known. Is it possible to obtain the parameters α and γ from the above densities of the Boolean model? To see that this is indeed the case, we use Corollary 5.3 to obtain

$$\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}) = \overline{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(X) e^{-\overline{V}_2(X)} \quad (11)$$

and (by Theorem 5.1)

$$\overline{V}_2(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}) = 1 - e^{-\overline{V}_2(X)} = 1 - e^{-\gamma V_2(E)}. \quad (12)$$

Thus, (12) yields

$$\gamma = -\frac{\ln(1 - \overline{V}_2(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}))}{V_2(E)} \quad (13)$$

and, by (8) and (11),

$$\alpha = \frac{\gamma \left((\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(E))_{1,1} + (\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(E))_{2,2} \right) - 2 e^{\gamma V_2(E)} \left(\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}) \right)_{1,1}}{e^{\gamma V_2(E)} \left(\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}) \right)_{1,1} - \gamma \left(\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(E) \right)_{1,1}}. \quad (14)$$

In Subsection 7.2 we use equation (13) and (14) to define estimators for the intensity γ and the orientation parameter α and test their performance in a simulation study.

Remark 6.2. In [51, Sect. 2.2] the Boolean model $Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}$ with the base grain E being an ellipse is considered. Pixelized realizations of $[0, 1]^2 \cap Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}$ are used as input for testing the performance of real-valued characteristics derived from Minkowski tensors. More precisely, a so-called anisotropy index $\beta_1^{*0,2}$ is introduced, which is defined by

$$\beta_1^{*0,2} := \frac{(\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}; [0, 1]^2))_{1,1}}{(\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}; [0, 1]^2))_{2,2}},$$

where

$$\Phi_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}; [0, 1]^2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]^2}(x) u^2 \Lambda_1(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}; d(x, u)).$$

In [51, 2.2], $\langle \beta_1^{*0,2} \rangle$ denotes the mean value obtained by averaging $\beta_1^{*0,2}$ over several realizations of $Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}$ and it is observed that for $\alpha = 0$, that is, in the isotropic case, we have $\langle \beta_1^{*0,2} \rangle = 1$.

Furthermore, $\langle \beta_1^{*0,2} \rangle$ seems to be constant as function of the volume fraction $\bar{V}_2(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E})$. Unfortunately, we are right now not able to explain these observations. But if instead of taking the mean value of $\beta_1^{*0,2}$, the mean value is taken separately for the denominator and nominator, that is, if

$$\frac{\left\langle (\Phi_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}; [0, 1]^2))_{1,1} \right\rangle}{\left\langle (\Phi_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}; [0, 1]^2))_{2,2} \right\rangle} \quad (15)$$

is considered, our previous results can be used to obtain some insight. The quantity (15) can be considered as an estimator of

$$\frac{\mathbb{E} \left[(\Phi_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}; [0, 1]^2))_{1,1} \right]}{\mathbb{E} \left[(\Phi_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}; [0, 1]^2))_{2,2} \right]}. \quad (16)$$

Using the fact that the curvature measures are locally determined, Theorem 3.1, (ix), can be shown to hold also for the additive extensions, and by proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 5.2 and by the special case $j = 1, n = 2$ of Theorem 3.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\Phi_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}; [0, 1]^2) \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\Phi_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E} \cap 2B^2; [0, 1]^2) \right] = \bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(X) e^{-\bar{V}_2(X)} \\ &= \bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(X) e^{-\gamma V_2(E)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (8), we get

$$\frac{\mathbb{E} \left[(\Phi_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}; [0, 1]^2))_{1,1} \right]}{\mathbb{E} \left[(\Phi_1^{0,2}(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}; [0, 1]^2))_{2,2} \right]} = \frac{\left(\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(X) \right)_{1,1}}{\left(\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(X) \right)_{2,2}} = \frac{(\alpha + 1) (\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{1,1} + (\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{2,2}}{(\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{1,1} + (\alpha + 1) (\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{2,2}}.$$

Hence, in the isotropic case ($\alpha = 0$) the ratio in (16) is equal to 1. Moreover, the quantity (16) is always independent of the volume fraction $\bar{V}_2(Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E})$, since the volume fraction depends by (12) only on the intensity γ and not on the parameter α . It is interesting and should be investigated further why these properties are also observed for the quantity $\langle \beta_1^{*0,2} \rangle$ in [51, Sect. 2.2].

Remark 6.3. For a smooth base grain $E \in C_+^2$ we obtain special formulas since the support measure Λ_1 can be represented as an integral over the unit sphere weighted with the curvature radius of E (see (18)) or as an integral over the boundary of E (see (19)). We use the abbreviation

$$u(\alpha) := \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\alpha) \\ \sin(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (17)$$

and the notation $r(E, u)$ for the radius of curvature of E at a point $x \in \partial E$ with outer normal $u \in S^1$. The representations [45, (4.2.19) and (4.2.20)] of the curvature respectively area

measure for smooth convex bodies lead to

$$\Lambda_1(E; \cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^1} \mathbf{1}\{(x(u), u) \in \cdot\} r(E, u) \mathcal{H}^1(du), \quad (18)$$

respectively

$$\Lambda_1(E; \cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial E} \mathbf{1}\{(x, u(x)) \in \cdot\} \mathcal{H}^1(dx), \quad (19)$$

where for $u \in S^1$ we denote by $x(u)$ the unique boundary point in ∂E with outer normal u and, for $x \in \partial E$, we denote by $u(x)$ the outer normal of E at x . If a parametrization of ∂E is known, (19) can be used to determine $\Phi_1^{0,s}(E)$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and via equation (7) then also $\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,s}(X)$. On the other hand, (18) can be used to determine ${}^0V_{1,1}(\vartheta(\theta)E, E)$, and then via (9) also ${}^0\overline{V}_{1,1}(X, X)$; see (20). In fact, observe that it follows from (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^0V_{1,1}(\vartheta(\theta)E, E) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \alpha(u(\beta_1 - \beta_2 + \theta), u(0)) |\sin(\beta_1 - \beta_2 + \theta)| \\ &\quad \times r(E, u(\beta_1)) r(E, u(\beta_2)) d\beta_1 d\beta_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\mathbf{1}\{\beta_1 \in [0, \pi]\} \beta_1 \sin(\beta_1) - \mathbf{1}\{\beta_1 \in (\pi, 2\pi]\} (2\pi - \beta_1) \sin(\beta_1)) \\ &\quad \times r(E, u(\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \theta)) r(E, u(\beta_2)) d\beta_1 d\beta_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \beta_1 \sin(\beta_1) [r(E, u(\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \theta)) + r(E, u(-\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \theta))] \\ &\quad \times r(E, u(\beta_2)) d\beta_1 d\beta_2, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} {}^0\overline{V}_{1,1}(X, X) &= \gamma^2 c(\alpha)^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} {}^0V_{1,1}(\vartheta(\theta_1)E, E) |\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2)|^\alpha |\cos(\theta_2)|^\alpha d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \\ &= \gamma^2 c(\alpha)^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \beta_1 \sin(\beta_1) r(E, u(\beta_2)) [r(E, u(\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \theta_1)) \\ &\quad + r(E, u(-\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \theta_1))] d\beta_1 d\beta_2 |\cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2)|^\alpha |\cos(\theta_2)|^\alpha d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \\ &= \frac{\gamma^2 c(\alpha)^2}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \beta_1 \sin(\beta_1) r(E, u(\beta_2)) [r(E, u(\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \theta_1 + \theta_2)) \\ &\quad + r(E, u(-\beta_1 + \beta_2 - \theta_1 + \theta_2))] |\cos(\theta_1)|^\alpha |\cos(\theta_2)|^\alpha d\beta_1 d\beta_2 d\theta_1 d\theta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

6.2 Planar Boolean Model with Smooth Grains

In this subsection we consider a Boolean model Z with a grain distribution \mathbb{Q} which is concentrated on $\mathcal{K}_0 \cap C_+^2$. Then we obtain from [45, (4.2.20)] and Fubini's theorem with the notation

(17) that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,s}(X) &= \frac{1}{s!\omega_{1+s}} \gamma \int_{\mathcal{K}_0} \int_0^{2\pi} r(K, u(\varphi)) u(\varphi)^s d\varphi \mathbb{Q}(dK) \\ &= \frac{1}{s!\omega_{1+s}} \gamma \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{K}_0} r(K, u(\varphi)) \mathbb{Q}(dK) u(\varphi)^s d\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

where $r(K, u)$ is the radius of curvature of K at u , for $K \in \mathcal{K}_0 \cap C_+^2$ and $u \in S^1$, compare [45, (2.5.22)].

The surface tensor mean values are now related to the Fourier coefficients of the function $g : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, where

$$g(\varphi) := \gamma \int_{\mathcal{K}_0} r(K, u(\varphi)) \mathbb{Q}(dK).$$

We denote the s th Fourier coefficient of g by $\hat{g}(s)$. Then, we obtain for $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{g}(s) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\varphi) e^{-is\varphi} d\varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\varphi) (\cos(\varphi) - i \sin(\varphi))^s d\varphi \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{s}{j} (-i)^{s-j} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\varphi) (\cos \varphi)^j (\sin \varphi)^{s-j} d\varphi \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{s}{j} (-i)^{s-j} \frac{s!\omega_{1+s}}{2\pi} \left(\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,s}(X) \right) \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{j \text{ times}}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{s-j \text{ times}}\end{aligned}$$

and in the same way that

$$\hat{g}(-s) = \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{s}{j} i^{s-j} \frac{s!\omega_{1+s}}{2\pi} \left(\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,s}(X) \right) \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{j \text{ times}}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{s-j \text{ times}}.$$

By the theorem of Carleson [8], it holds

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=-N}^{s=N} \hat{g}(s) e^{is\varphi} = g(\varphi)$$

for almost all $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$. Hence, it follows that the tensors

$$\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,s}(X), \quad s \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

determine

$$\gamma \mathbb{E}[r(Z_0, u(\varphi))] \quad \text{for almost all } \varphi \in [0, 2\pi],$$

where Z_0 denotes the typical grain, i.e., a random convex body with distribution \mathbb{Q} .

Remark 6.4. The situation in higher dimensions is similar. Instead of just one radius of curvature one can use the product of all principal radii of curvature and the Fourier expansion can be replaced by an expansion into spherical harmonics.

7 Simulations of non-isotropic Boolean models

In this section the Boolean model $Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}$ introduced in the previous section is simulated within the unit square with the base grain E being an ellipse or a rectangle with its main axis parallel to the first coordinate axis. Subsequently we simply write Z instead of $Z_{\alpha,\gamma,E}$. The number of grains with their center located in the unit square is Poisson distributed with parameter γ . The expected occupied area fraction is abbreviated by

$$\phi := \bar{V}_2(Z) = 1 - e^{-\bar{V}_2(X)}.$$

The coordinates of the grain centers are random numbers uniformly distributed on the unit square. The ellipses are triangulated with 30 points. The boundary conditions are periodic. The Computational Geometry Algorithms Library (CGAL), see [11], computes the union of the triangulated grains. Papaya calculates the Minkowski tensors and the Euler characteristic of the triangulated Boolean model [50].[†] Observe that Papaya uses the different normalization

$$W_j^{r,s} := \frac{r!s!\omega_{j+s}}{n \binom{n-1}{j-1}} \Phi_{n-j}^{r,s}, \quad 0 < j \leq n, \quad r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad n \geq 2,$$

for the Minkowski tensors. The length of the main semi axis of an ellipse is $p = 1/20$; the length of the minor semi axis varies from $q = 1/80$ to $1/20$. For rectangles larger systems are accessible with a length of the main semi axis $p = 1/100$ and of the minor semi axis from $q = 1/400$ to $1/100$.

7.1 Surface tensor density $\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z)$

The elements of the tensor density $\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z)$ of the Boolean model as a function of the expected occupied area fraction ϕ are plotted in Figures 1 and 2 for different $\alpha = 0 \dots \infty$. The error bars are smaller than point size. The curves depict the analytic function

$$\phi \mapsto \bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z) = (\phi - 1) \ln(1 - \phi) c_1^{0,2}(\alpha, E), \quad (21)$$

where

$$c_1^{0,2}(\alpha, E) := \frac{1}{V_2(E)\gamma} \bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(X),$$

which follows from Corollary 5.3 and (13). For given α the above constant $c_1^{0,2}(\alpha, E)$ can be calculated using (8) and either the representation of the Minkowski tensors for polytopes (Theorem 3.2, (iv)), in the case that the base grain is a rectangle or, in the case that the base grain is an ellipse, as indicated in Remark 6.3 using the parametrization

$$x : \varphi \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} p \cos \varphi \\ q \sin \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (22)$$

of ∂E , where $p \geq q > 0$ are the lengths of the main semi axes of the ellipse, and numerical integration. The numerical and analytic values are in excellent agreement. Since we consider

[†]Free Software can be found at <http://www.theorie1.physik.uni-erlangen.de/research/papaya/index.html>




Figure 1: Minkowski tensor density $\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z)$ for the Boolean model with ellipses as a function of the expected occupied area fraction ϕ for varying aspect ratio q/p . The numerical values (represented by small symbols) are compared with the analytic function from (21); $(\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2})_{1,1}$: dashed line; $(\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2})_{2,2}$: solid line; $(\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2})_{1,2}$: dotted line; (a)-(e) represent differently anisotropic orientation distributions.




Figure 2: Minkowski tensor density $\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z)$ for the Boolean model with rectangles – for details see Fig. 1.




Figure 3: Difference of the eigenvalues of the tensor density $\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z)$ as a function of the orientation parameter α for different aspect ratios q/p of the ellipses. The expected occupied area fraction for the simulation was chosen to be $\phi = 1/3$. The lines show the analytic functions which follow similarly as in (21).

base grains which are symmetric with respect to both coordinate axes, $\Phi_1^{0,2}(E)$ has diagonal form and due to (8) and (11) this property carries over to $\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z)$. If the base grain E is a circle or a square, then $\Phi_1^{0,2}(E)$ is proportional to the unit matrix and from (8) and (11) the same follows for $\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z)$. Figure 3 shows for a Boolean model with ellipses the difference of the diagonal elements of the tensor density $\bar{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z)$, i.e., the difference of the eigenvalues in x - and y -direction, as a function of the orientation parameter α , again for different aspect ratios q/p . With an increasing α the probability density function f_α of the random angle θ is more and more concentrated around 0 and the difference in the eigenvalues increases, obviously except for circles. All simulations were performed at expected occupied area fraction $\phi = 1/3$.

7.2 Estimation of model parameters

Given a measured eigenvalue of the tensor density $\hat{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z)$ and the measured occupied area fraction $\hat{\phi}$ of a sample of the Boolean model, Equations (23) and (24) allow an estimate of both the intensity γ and the orientation parameter α . From equation (13) and (14) we deduce the estimates

$$\hat{\gamma} = -\frac{\ln(1 - \hat{\phi})}{V_2(E)}. \quad (23)$$

and

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\hat{\gamma} \left((\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{11} + (\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{22} \right) - 2e^{\hat{\gamma}V_2(E)} \left(\hat{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z) \right)_{11}}{e^{\hat{\gamma}V_2(E)} \left(\hat{\Phi}_1^{0,2}(Z) \right)_{11} - \hat{\gamma} \left(\Phi_1^{0,2}(E) \right)_{11}}. \quad (24)$$

In the simulations the intensity was chosen to be $\gamma = \ln(15/14) \approx 0.06899$. The estimate is not well defined for a base grain E with

$$(\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{11} = (\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{22},$$




Figure 4: Histograms of the estimates of orientation parameter α and intensity γ for the specific choice $V_2(E) = 1$; (a) and (b) for ellipses; (c) and (d) for rectangles. The black lines depict the true values of the parameters which are to be estimated. The broad colored lines show the 1σ band of the mean of the estimate. For (a) and (b) the parameters were estimated both with the grain characteristics of an ellipse (dotted lines) and of the polygon which was actually used for the simulation (solid line). The insets in (a) and (c) illustrate samples of the Boolean models.

which is called isotropic with respect to the tensor density $\overline{\Phi}_1^{0,2}$. Clearly, for $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ also the estimate diverges. Notice that estimates $\hat{\alpha} < -1$ may appear, although $\alpha < -1$ is forbidden.

Figure 4 depicts histograms of the estimates of orientation parameter α and intensity γ for the specific choice $V_2(E) = 1$; for a Boolean model with ellipses in the plots (a) and (b) and with rectangles in (c) and (d), respectively. In each case, 1000 simulations were performed with an aspect ratio $q/p = 1/4$ of the grains. The length of the simulation box was chosen to be $L = 100p$ for both the ellipses and the rectangles. In each plot, the black line depicts the true value of the parameter which is to be estimated. The mean of the distribution of the estimates and the error of the mean are computed via bootstrapping; the 1σ band of the mean is also shown as a broad colored line.

Both the estimation of the intensity and of the orientation parameter of the Boolean model with rectangles in Figs. (c) and (d) appear to be unbiased. The original parameters can be retained with high statistical precision. However, for smaller system sizes finite size effects may lead to a significant bias. For the simulation of the Boolean model with ellipses in Figs. (a)

and (b) a polygon with 30 vertices approximated an ellipse; the relative error in the area is 0.7 % and in $(\Phi_1^{0,2}(E))_{1,1}$ only 0.2 %. Nevertheless, when the parameters of the Boolean model were estimated using the single grain characteristics of an ellipse, the mean was eight standard deviations away from the true value. However, when the grain characteristics of the polygon were used, the estimator was bias free within statistical significance. The method can be used as a very sensitive test for the parameters of the system.

7.3 Euler characteristic density $\bar{\chi}(Z)$

Figures 5 and 6 show the Euler characteristic density $\bar{\chi}(Z)$ of anisotropic Boolean models with ellipses or rectangles, respectively. The Euler characteristic density $\bar{\chi}(Z)$, which is normalized with the intensity γ , is plotted as a function of the expected occupied area fraction ϕ . The Euler characteristic density $\bar{\chi}$ was computed for the same samples which were used for Figures 1 and 2. The error bars are smaller than point size. The curves depict the analytic function

$$\phi \mapsto \bar{V}_0(Z)/\gamma = (1 - \phi)[1 + c_0(\alpha, E) \ln(1 - \phi)], \quad (25)$$

where

$$c_0(\alpha, E) := \frac{1}{2V_2(E)\gamma^2} {}^0\bar{V}_{1,1}(X, X),$$

which is obtained from Corollary 5.7 and (13). In the case that the base grain is a rectangle the above constant $c_0(\alpha, E)$ can be calculated for given α using (9) and the representation of the mixed Minkowski tensors for polytopes, see Theorem 3.2, (iv), which leads to the formula

$${}^0V_{1,1}(R, \vartheta(\theta)R) = (a^2 + b^2)|\sin \theta| + 2ab|\cos \theta|, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi],$$

where R is a rectangle with side lengths $a, b > 0$. In the case that the base grain is an ellipse with its boundary parametrized by (22), the constant $c_0(\alpha, E)$ can be calculated using (9), the formula (20), that for $u \in S^1$ the curvature of E at a boundary point with outer normal u is

$$r(E, u) = \frac{p^2q^2}{(p^2u_1^2 + q^2u_2^2)^{3/2}}$$

and numerical integration. The values obtained from simulations and the analytic values are in excellent agreement. The Euler characteristic for aligned grains is independent of the aspect ratio, because in this case a change in the aspect ratio is simply an elongation of the system in one direction, which does not change the topology. Concluding we emphasize that tensorial functionals, in particular Minkowski tensors, are a versatile tool to characterize orientational distributions in Boolean models which is important for many applications in materials science.




Figure 5: Euler characteristic density $\bar{\chi}(Z)$ normalized with the intensity γ for the Boolean model with ellipses as a function of the expected occupied area fraction ϕ for varying aspect ratio q/p . The numerical values are compared with the analytic functions from Eq. (25). (a)-(e) represent differently anisotropic orientation distributions.




Figure 6: Euler characteristic density $\bar{\chi}(Z)$ normalized with the intensity γ for the Boolean model with rectangles; the lines show the analytic function from Eq. (25) – for details see Fig. 5.

References

- [1] S. Alesker, *Continuous rotation invariant valuations on convex sets*, Ann. of Math. **149** (1999), no. 3, 977–1006.
- [2] ———, *Description of continuous isometry covariant valuations on convex sets*, Geom. Dedicata **74** (1999), no. 3, 241–248.
- [3] C. Arns, M. Knackstedt, and K. Mecke, *Reconstructing complex materials via effective grain shapes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** (2003), no. 21, 1–4.
- [4] C. H. Arns and K. R. Mecke, *Boolean reconstructions of complex materials: integral geometric approach*, Physical Review E **80** (2009), no. 5, 1–17.
- [5] E. Artin, *The Gamma Function*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1964.
- [6] J. Auneau-Cognacq, J. Ziegel, and E. B. Vedel Jensen, *Rotational integral geometry of tensor valuations*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **50** (2013), no. 3, 429–444.
- [7] U. Bindrich and D. Stoyan, *Stereology for pores in wheat bread: statistical analyses for the Boolean model by serial sections*, Journal of Microscopy **162** (1991), no. 2, 231–239.
- [8] L. Carleson, *On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series*, Acta Math. **116** (1966), no. 1, 135–157.
- [9] E. Garboczi, K. Snyder, J. Douglas, and M. Thorpe, *Geometrical percolation threshold of overlapping ellipsoids*, Physical Review E **52** (1995), no. 1, 819–828.
- [10] E. J. Garboczi, D. P. Bentz, K. A. Snyder, N. Stutzmann P. E. Martys, and C. Ferraris, *Modeling and measuring the structure and properties of cement based materials: an electronic monograph*, 2011.
- [11] CGAL, *Computational Geometry Algorithms Library*, <http://www.cgal.org>.
- [12] H. Hadwiger, *Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche und Isoperimetrie*, Springer, Berlin, 1957.
- [13] H. Hadwiger, R. Schneider *Vektorielle Integralgeometrie*, Elem. Math. **26** (1971), 49–57.
- [14] H. Hansen-Goos and K. Mecke, *Fundamental measure theory for inhomogeneous fluids of nonspherical hard particles*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009), no. 1, 018302.
- [15] ———, *Tensorial density functional theory for non-spherical hard-body fluids*, J. Phys.: Condens. Mat. **22** (2010), no. 36, 364107.
- [16] D. Hug, *Measures, curvatures and currents in convex geometry: Habilitationsschrift*, Albert-Ludwig-Universität Freiburg im Breisgau, Freiburg, 1999.
- [17] D. Hug, G. Last, *On support measures in Minkowski spaces and contact distributions in stochastic geometry*, Ann. Probab. **28** (2000), 796–850.

- [18] D. Hug, J. Rataj, *Mixed curvature measures of translative integral geometry*, in preparation.
- [19] D. Hug and R. Schneider, *Local tensor valuations*, in preparation.
- [20] D. Hug, R. Schneider, and R. Schuster, *Integral geometry of tensor valuations*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **41** (2008), no. 4, 482–509.
- [21] ———, *The space of isometry covariant tensor valuations*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **19** (2008), no. 01, 137–159.
- [22] K. Jacobs, S. Herminghaus, and K. R. Mecke, *Thin liquid polymer films rupture via defects*, Langmuir **14** (1998), no. 4, 965–969.
- [23] E. B. Vedel Jensen and J. F. Ziegel, *Local stereology of tensors of convex bodies*, Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability (2013).
- [24] D. Jeulin, *Random structures in physics, space, structure and randomness* (M. L. Bilodeau, F. Meyer, M. Schmitt, and G. Matheron, eds.), Lecture Notes in Statistics, vol. 183, Springer, New York, 2005, pp. 183–222.
- [25] M. Kerscher, K. Mecke, J. Schmalzing, C. Beisbart, T. Buchert, and H. Wagner, *Morphological fluctuations of large-scale structure: The PSCz survey*, Astronomy and Astrophysics **373** (2001), no. 1, 1–11.
- [26] M. Kiderlen, W. Weil, *Measure-valued valuations and mixed curvature measures of convex bodies*, Geom. Dedicata **76** (1999), 291–329.
- [27] P.-M. König, R. Roth, and K. Mecke, *Morphological Thermodynamics of fluids: shape dependence of free energies*, Physical Review Letters **93** (2004), no. 16, 160601.
- [28] M. Ludwig, *Moment vectors of polytopes*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser. II, Suppl. **70**, (2002), 123–138.
- [29] M. Ludwig, *Ellipsoids and matrix-valued valuations*, Duke Math. J., **119** (2003), 159–188.
- [30] M. Ludwig, *Covariance matrices and valuations*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **51** (2013), 359–366.
- [31] H. Mantz, K. Jacobs, and K. Mecke, *Utilizing Minkowski functionals for image analysis: a marching square algorithm*, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment **2008** (2008), no. 12, P12015.
- [32] N. Martys, S. Torquato, and D. Bentz, *Universal scaling of fluid permeability for sphere packings*, Physical Review E **50** (1994), no. 1, 403–408.
- [33] G. Matheron, *Random Sets and Integral Geometry*, Wiley, New York, 1975.
- [34] P. McMullen, *Isometry covariant valuations on convex bodies*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser. II, Suppl. **50** (1997), 259–271.

- [35] K. Mecke and H. Wagner, *Euler characteristic and related measures for random geometric sets*, J. Stat. Phys. **64** (1991), no. 3-4, 843–850.
- [36] K. R. Mecke, T. Buchert, and H. Wagner, *Robust morphological measures for large-scale structure in the universe*, Astron. Astrophys. (1994), no. 288, 697–704.
- [37] K. R. Mecke and A. Seyfried, *Strong dependence of percolation thresholds on polydispersity*, Europhysics Letters **58** (2002), no. 1, 28–34.
- [38] K. R. Mecke and D. Stoyan, *Morphological characterization of point patterns*, Biometrical J. **47** (2005), no. 4, 473–488.
- [39] I. S. Molchanov, *Statistics of the Boolean model for Practitioners and Mathematicians*, Wiley, Chichester, 1997.
- [40] J. Rataj, *The iterated version of a translative integral formula for sets of positive reach*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser. II, Suppl. **46** (1997), 129–238.
- [41] A. P. Roberts and E. J. Garboczi, *Elastic properties of model porous ceramics*, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **83** (2000), 3041–3048.
- [42] R. Schneider, *Krümmungsschwerpunkte konvexer Körper (I)*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. **37** (1972), 112–132.
- [43] ———, *Krümmungsschwerpunkte konvexer Körper (II)*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. **37** (1972), 204–217.
- [44] ———, *Curvature measures of convex bodies*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **116** (1978), 101–134.
- [45] ———, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [46] ———, *Tensor valuations on convex bodies and integral geometry*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser. II, Suppl. **65** (2000), 295–316.
- [47] ———, *Local tensor valuations on convex polytopes*, Monatsh. Math. (2012), 1–21.
- [48] R. Schneider and R. Schuster, *Tensor valuations on convex bodies and integral geometry, II*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser. II, Suppl. **70**, (2002), 295–314.
- [49] R. Schneider and W. Weil, *Stochastic and Integral Geometry*, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [50] G. E. Schröder-Turk, S. C. Kapfer, B. Breidenbach, C. Beisbart, and K. Mecke, *Tensorial Minkowski functionals and anisotropy measures for planar patterns*, Journal of Microscopy **238** (2010), no. 1, 57–74.
- [51] G. E. Schröder-Turk, W. Mickel, S. C. Kapfer, M. A. Klatt, F. Schaller, M. J. F. Hoffmann, N. Kleppmann, P. Armstrong, A. Inayat, D. Hug, M. Reichelsdorfer, W. Peukert, W. Schwieger, and K. Mecke, *Minkowski tensor shape analysis of cellular, granular and porous structures*, Advanced Materials **23** (2011), no. 23, 2535–2553.

- [52] G. E. Schröder-Turk, W. Mickel, S. C. Kapfer, F. Schaller, B. Breidenbach, D. Hug, and K. Mecke, *Minkowski tensors of anisotropic spatial structure*, arxiv 1009.2340.
- [53] L. Schwartz, N. Martys, D. Bentz, E. Garboczi, and S. Torquato, *Cross-property relations and permeability estimation in model porous media*, *Physical Review E* **48** (1993), no. 6, 4584–4591.
- [54] D. Stoyan and K. Mecke, *The Boolean model: from Matheron till today*, space, structure and randomness (M. L. Bilodeau, F. Meyer, M. Schmitt, and G. Matheron, eds.), *Lecture Notes in Statistics*, vol. 183, Springer, New York, 2005, pp. 151–182.
- [55] D. Stoyan, W. S. Kendall, and J. Mecke, *Stochastic Geometry and its Applications*, 2 ed., Wiley, Chichester, 1995.
- [56] S. Torquato, *Random Heterogeneous Materials*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2002.
- [57] H. Wang and S. M. Shaler, *Computer-simulated three-dimensional microstructure of wood fibre composite materials*, *Journal of pulp and paper sciences* **24** (1998), no. 10, 314–319.
- [58] W. Weil, *Iterations of translative integral formulae and non-isotropic Poisson processes of particles*, *Math. Z.* **205** (1990), no. 1, 531–549.
- [59] ———, *Densities of mixed volumes for Boolean models*, *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **33** (2001), no. 1, 39–60.
- [60] ———, *Mixed measures and functionals of translative integral geometry*, *Math. Nachr.* **2001** (2001), no. 223, 161–184.
- [61] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis: An introduction to the general theory of infinite processes and of analytic functions, with an account of the principal transcendental functions*, 4th ed., repr. ed., *Cambridge mathematical library*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge [England] and New York, 1996.

Authors' addresses:

Julia Hörrmann, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Institute of Stochastics, Kaiserstraße 89, D-76133 Karlsruhe, Germany.

E-mail: julia.hoerrmann@kit.edu

Daniel Hug, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Institute of Stochastics, Kaiserstraße 89, D-76133 Karlsruhe, Germany.

E-mail: daniel.hug@kit.edu

Michael Klatt, Theoretische Physik, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Staudtstraße 7B, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany.

E-mail: michael.klatt@fau.de

Klaus Mecke, Theoretische Physik, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Staudtstraße 7B, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany.

E-mail: klaus.mecke@physik.uni-erlangen.de