

## The kilo-second variability of X-ray sources in nearby galaxies

Soma Mandal<sup>1</sup>, Ranjeev Misra<sup>2</sup> and Gulab C. Dewangan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Taki Government College, West Bengal, India; [soma@iucaa.ernet.in](mailto:soma@iucaa.ernet.in)

<sup>2</sup> Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Post Bag 4, Ganeshkhind, Pune-411007, India ; [rmisra@iucaa.ernet.in](mailto:rmisra@iucaa.ernet.in) ; [gulabd@iucaa.ernet.in](mailto:gulabd@iucaa.ernet.in)

**Abstract** *Chandra* observations of 17 nearby galaxies were analysed and 166 bright sources with X-ray counts  $> 100$ , were chosen for temporal analysis. Fractional root mean square variability amplitudes were estimated for lightcurves binned at  $\sim 4$  ksec and of length  $\sim 40$  ksec. While there are nine ultra-luminous X-ray sources (ULXs) with unabsorbed luminosity (in 0.3–8.0 keV band)  $L > 10^{39}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> in the sample for which the fractional r.m.s variability is constrained to be  $< 10\%$ , only two of them show variability. One of the variable ULXs exhibits a secular transition and has a ultra-soft spectrum with temperature  $\sim 0.3$  keV while the other is a rapidly varying source in NGC 0628, which has been previously compared to the Galactic micro-quasar GRS 1915+105. These results seem to indicate that ULXs are typically not highly variable in ksec time-scales, except for some ultra-soft ones. Among the relatively low luminosity sources ( $L \sim 10^{38}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>) we find five of them to be variable. Apart from an earlier known source in NGC 1569, we identify a source in NGC 2403, which exhibits persistent high amplitude fluctuations. The variability of the sources in general, do not seem to be correlated with hardness, which indicates that they may not be due to variations in any absorbing material, but instead could reflect inner accretion disk instabilities.

**Key words:** Galaxies: general - X-rays: binaries

### 1 INTRODUCTION

The unprecedented angular resolution of *Chandra* allows for the detailed study of X-ray point sources in nearby galaxies. Most of these sources are expected to be X-ray binaries harbouring neutron stars or black holes, similar to the ones found in our Galaxy. Some of these sources have an isotropic luminosity exceeding  $> 10^{39}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> and are called Ultra-Luminous X-ray sources (ULXs). Since these sources emit radiation at a rate larger than the Eddington limit for a ten-solar mass black hole, they may be harbouring black holes of mass  $10 M_{\odot} < M < 10^5 M_{\odot}$  (Colbert & Mushotzky, 1999; Makishima et al., 2000) where the upper limit is constrained by the argument that a more massive black hole would have settled into the nucleus due to dynamical friction (Kaaret et al., 2001). In this interpretation, these black holes have mass in the intermediate mass range between those of stellar mass black holes found in Galactic X-ray binaries and those associated with Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) and hence are called Intermediate Mass Black Holes (IMBH). Alternatively, if these sources are powered by stellar mass black holes which are radiating at a super Eddington level (Begelman, 2002; King, 2008), this would imply that their accretion state is significantly different than that of AGN and X-ray binaries. The process by which such black holes are created (Taniguchi et al., 2000; Madau & Rees, 2001; Portegies Zwart & McMillian, 2002) and their environment which allows such sustained high accretion rates (King et al., 2001) are largely unknown and understanding them may lead to radical shifts

in the present paradigms of stellar and binary evolution and the history of the Universe. For a review of their observational properties and implications see Miller, Fabian & Miller (2004); Miller (2005); Feng & Soria (2011).

While there have been several extensive analysis of individual ULX (e.g., Feng & Kaaret, 2005, 2006; Miller, Fabian & Miller, 2004; Devi et al., 2008; Dewangan et al., 2005, 2006b; Agrawal & Misra, 2006), it is also important to undertake systematic spectral and temporal analysis of ULXs and other lower luminosity sources to bring out any systematic differences between the two. Lower luminosity sources ( $L_X \sim 10^{38}$  erg s $^{-1}$ ) are expected to be similar to typical Galactic black hole systems. Galactic black hole systems show variability on short time-scales of  $\sim 10$  Hz (e.g., Nowak et al., 1999) and long term ( $\sim$  months) variations which are usually associated with spectral state transitions (e.g., Zdziarski & Gierliński, 2004; Remillard & McClintock, 2006, for a review). In the “Hard” state, the spectrum is dominated by a power-law, often modelled to be thermal Comptonization, while in the “Soft” state, it is a thermal one arising from a multi-colour disk. X-ray binaries show long term ( $>$  days) variability as super-orbital modulations (Kotze & Charles, 2012) and aperiodic behaviour (Gilfanov & Arefiev, 2005). On time-scales of hours, Galactic black hole systems typically do not seem to show persistent large variability, with the exceptions of the micro-quasar GRS 1915+105 (Mirabel & Rodríguez, 1994) and the recently discovered source IGR J17091-3624 (Altamirano et al., 2011). Occasional flares or large amplitude variations on minute timescales have been reported for some systems like V4641 Sgr (Maitra & Bailyn, 2006).

Systematic spectral studies of a sample of sources have also been undertaken to uncover differences between ULXs and less luminous sources. Swartz et al. (2004) fitted the spectra of such a large sample with an absorbed power-law model and found no bimodal distribution on the spectral index. However, using a disk black body model, a bimodal distribution was revealed at least for very high luminosity ( $> 10^{40}$  erg s $^{-1}$ ) sources in the samples obtained from *XMM-Newton* (Winter et al., 2006) and *Chandra* (Devi et al., 2007). One set of high luminosity sources have temperatures  $kT \sim 0.1$  keV while the other have systematically higher temperatures  $kT \sim 1$  keV. It is not clear whether they represent two kinds of sources or are two spectral states of a generic type. Such a bi-modal distribution is not evident for low luminosity sources ( $\sim 10^{38}$  erg s $^{-1}$ ). The hard sources are dominated by an optically thick Comptonized component while the soft ones are compatible with being emission from accretion disks around IMBH accreting matter at  $\sim 0.1$  of the Eddington limit (Devi et al., 2007). However, Gladstone, Roberts, & Done (2009) argue that detailed X-ray spectral analysis of bright sources do not favour an IMBH interpretation.

An important aspect of ULXs is that they are generally variable on a wide range of time-scales. For a review of the time variability of X-ray sources in nearby galaxies see Fabbiano (2006). Nearly half of the X-ray sources in M31 (Kong et al., 2002), M82 (Chiang & Kong, 2011), the Antennae galaxies (Zezas et al., 2006), NGC 6946, NGC 4485/4495 (Fridriksson et al., 2008) are variable on time-scales of weeks to months. ULXs seem to be more variable as 12 of 14 sources in the Antennae galaxies show long term variability. These long term variability are sometimes associated with spectral state changes (Colbert & Mushotzky, 1999; Kubota et al., 2001; Dewangan et al., 2004; Feng & Kaaret, 2006, 2009; Gladstone & Roberts, 2009; Kajava & Poutanen, 2009; Dewangan et al., 2010). While rapid variability (on  $\sim 100$  sec time-scales) are difficult to detect in low count rate sources, quasi-periodic oscillations have been reported from the bright ULXs – M 82 X-1 (Strohmayer & Mushotzky, 2003; Dewangan et al., 2006a; Feng et al., 2010) at 54 mHz and 114 mHz, Holmberg IX X-1 at 202 mHz (Dewangan et al., 2006b) and NGC 5408 X-1 at 20 mHz (Strohmayer et al., 2007; Strohmayer & Mushotzky, 2009). These results provide strong evidence that the ULXs are not distant background AGN and the frequencies of the oscillations suggest that they harbour IMBH although Middleton et al. (2011) also argue for a super-Eddington interpretation. Heil, Vaughan, & Roberts (2009) studied the variability in the frequency range,  $10^{-3}$ -1 Hz, for 16 ULXs using *XMM-Newton* observations. They found that while six sources show intrinsic variability, more interestingly they could constrain the variability of four sources to be significantly less than what is expected from black hole binaries and AGN. Like X-ray binaries, different spectral states of ULXs should be associated with different temporal behaviour and this was shown to be the case for the bright ULX in NGC 1313, which

**Table 1** Sample galaxy properties

Galaxy	Distance( $Mpc$ )	ObsID	$T_{exp}(ks)$	$N(\geq 100)$
NGC0628	9.7	2058	46.16	5
NGC0891	10.0	794	50.90	12
NGC1291	8.9	795	39.16	6
NGC1399	18.3	319	55.94	27
NGC1569	2.2	782	96.75	11
NGC2403	3.1	2014	35.59	2
NGC3556	14.1	2025	59.36	14
NGC3628	10.0	2039	57.96	9
NGC4125	24.2	2071	64.23	6
NGC4365	20.9	2015	40.42	3
NGC4579	21.0	807	33.90	3
NGC4631	7.6	797	59.21	6
NGC4649	16.6	785	36.87	13
NGC4697	11.8	784	39.25	8
NGC5128	4.0	962	36.50	17
NGC5775	26.7	2940	58.21	12
NGC6946	5.5	1043	58.28	12

Notes:  $T_{exp}$ , exposure time in ks; N, number of point sources with net counts  $\geq 100$

was variable in the high flux state and not in the low one (Dewangan et al., 2010). A bright source in NGC 628, is known to have rapid variability in short time-scales of 1000 s (Krauss et al., 2005). This source is of particular interest because it maybe an extragalactic example of the Galactic black hole system GRS 1915+105. Large amplitude variability in ksec time-scales have also been reported from two X-ray sources in NGC 1569 (Heike et al., 2003).

The main aim of this work is to study and quantify the variability of a sample of *Chandra* detected X-ray sources in nearby galaxies in the time-scales of  $\sim 10$  ksec. Given the faintness of these sources and *Chandra's* sensitivity we expect to detect only large amplitude variations. On these time-scales, such large variability may be due to spectral state transitions and this study will help us identify sources which have undergone such transitions. While such transitions maybe rare events, a 'snapshot' study of a large enough sample, may shed light on how often they occur on these time-scales i.e. the number of such sources may provide an idea of the duty cycle of such events. On the other hand, the large amplitude variability could reflect persistent aperiodic/periodic behaviour of the source. Finally, the study may reveal differences between regular X-ray sources and ULXs in terms of their k-sec variability properties.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

Devi et al. (2007) have analysed thirty galaxies observed by *Chandra*. They selected those sources with counts  $> 60$  and whose spectra were not contaminated by excessive diffuse emission and not affected by photon pile up. For the timing analysis, we have chosen 17 of these galaxies that have an exposure time roughly equal to or greater than 40 ksec. In order to obtain reasonable statistics we have limited our analysis to sources having net counts  $> 100$ . The names of these galaxies, the distances to them, the *Chandra* observation IDs and the number of sources used in this analysis are listed in Table 1. A total of 166 sources were chosen for temporal analysis.

The estimation of the unabsorbed luminosity of a source, in general can depend on the specific spectral model used. Hence, Devi et al. (2007) fitted each source with two models an absorbed power-law and an absorbed disk black body and have tabulated the best fit spectral parameters. For some sources, one of the models provides a significantly better fit (with a  $C_{stat}$  difference greater than 2) and the unabsorbed luminosity of the source can then be estimated using the better fitting model. However, for extreme soft spectra which give a best photon index  $\Gamma > 4$ , we choose the disk black body representation, irrespective of the spectral fitting statistics. For cases when both spectral models provide similar

fits to the data, we conservatively choose the lower of the unabsorbed luminosities estimated from the models. Through out this work, unless otherwise specified, we have used the above criterion to estimate the unabsorbed luminosity of the sources.

For each source, background subtracted light curves were generated with a time bin size of 4 ksec by using CIAO tool `dmextract`. Following Vaughan et al. (2003), we calculate the fractional root mean square variability amplitude,  $F_{var}$  of the light curves. The normalised variance of a light curve,  $x_i$  is

$$\sigma_N^2 = \frac{1}{(N-1)\bar{x}^2} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \quad (1)$$

where  $N$  is the number of points of the light curve and  $\bar{x}$  is the mean. A fraction of the variance is due to measurement errors and hence it is convenient to define normalised excess variance as

$$\sigma_{NXS}^2 = \sigma_N^2 - \sigma_{err}^2 \quad (2)$$

where  $\sigma_{err}^2 = \frac{1}{N\bar{x}^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_{err,i}^2$  is the normalised mean square error. Finally the fractional amplitude is defined as  $F_{var} = \sqrt{\sigma_{NXS}^2}$ . Using simulation, Vaughan et al. (2003) have estimated the error on  $\sigma_{NXS}^2$  to be

$$\text{err}(\sigma_{NXS}^2)^2 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}\sigma_{err}^2\right)^2 + \left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{err}^2}{N}}2F_{var}\right)^2 \quad (3)$$

At the  $n$ -sigma level, a source is considered to be variable only if  $\sigma_{NXS}^2 > n\text{err}(\sigma_{NXS}^2)$ . For such variable sources, the  $n$ -sigma upper and lower limits on  $F_{var}$  can be estimated to be


$F_{var,u} = \sqrt{\sigma_{NXS}^2 + n\text{err}(\sigma_{NXS}^2)}$  and  $F_{var,l} = \sqrt{\sigma_{NXS}^2 - n\text{err}(\sigma_{NXS}^2)}$  respectively. For non-variable sources,  $F_{var,u}$  provides an upper limit on any variability that may be hidden in the measurement errors.

To measure the variability of the sources in a similar range of time-scales, we bin all the data in  $\sim 4$  ksec time bins and take the length of the light curves to be  $\sim 40$  ksec i.e.  $N \sim 10$ . For NGC 1569, the long exposure time of  $\sim 90$  ksec, allowed us to obtain two light curves of  $\sim 40$  ksec. The fractional variability was averaged over the two light curves for this case. Using a  $\sim 4$  ksec time bin, for most sources we place a 2-sigma upper limit on  $F_{var} < 0.4$ . Choosing a smaller time bin would result in a large number of sources having upper limits of  $F_{var} \sim 0.8$ , which is not constraining. A larger time bin would not allow for many sources to have at least ten data points.

### 3 RESULTS

Of the 166 sources, variability was detected above the 3-sigma level for 5 sources and above the 2-sigma level for 8 sources. One of these highly variable sources is a foreground star CXOU J013647.4+154745 (Soria et al., 2004). We have not included this source for further analysis. We are left with all total of 165 X-ray point sources out of which seven are highly variable sources with  $F_{var}$  ranging from 0.16-1.16. Their spectral parameters for the absorbed power-law and disk black body models are tabulated in Table 2. If all the 165 sources were not variable, one may expect by chance to get  $\sim 4$  sources to show variability at a 2-sigma level. Hence, although we present the results of the two sources that are variable at levels less than 3-sigma, we caution that some of them may be spurious. For most of the sources,  $F_{var}$  is not well constrained being typically  $F_{var} < 0.4$ . However, for 11 sources we do obtain upper limits on  $F_{var} < 0.1$ .

The analysis is sensitive only to large amplitude variations  $F_{var} \gtrsim 0.4$ . Such large variations are expected to be due to secular (i.e one-time) transitions between flux levels. This is indeed true for four of the sources with detected variability. The light curves for these are shown in Figure 1, where it can be seen that the variability is due to secular transition behaviour. The hardness ratio (HR) defined as the ratio of the counts in 1-8 and 0.3-1 keV bands, do not show such significant variation (Figure 1). Thus, the large amplitude flux variations in these sources are not necessarily accompanied with significant spectral shape changes. This implies that the variability of these sources is not due to variable absorption



**Fig. 1** The light curves and the hardness ratio (i.e. count ratio in the energy bands 0.3-1 and 1-8 keV bands) for the four sources that show secular transitions or flares. The spectral properties of these sources are tabulated in Table 2. The source in NGC 5128 does not show such variability in other observations and hence for this source flares/transitions are not persistent.


**Table 2** Spectral Properties of variable sources

Galaxy	Source No.	R.A	Decl.	$(n_H)_{pow}$	$\Gamma$	$\log(L)_{pow}$	$(n_H)_{dbb}$	$kT_{in}$	$\log(L)_{dbb}$	$F_{var}$
NGC 1399	S1	3 38 31.73	-35 30 58.65	$0.01^{+0.16}_{-0.01}$	$2.5^{+1.7}_{-0.6}$	$38.5^{+0.5}_{-0.1}$	$0.00^{+0.06}_{-0.00}$	$0.25^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	$38.82^{+0.26}_{-0.04}$	$1.16^{+0.12}_{-0.03}$
NGC 1569	S2	4 30 48.14	+64 50 50.57	$0.51^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	$4.0^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	$38.7^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$0.19^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	$0.41^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$	$38.13^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$	$0.76^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$
NGC 1569	S3	4 30 48.62	+64 50 58.59	$0.24^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$1.6^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$37.72^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$	$0.10^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$	$1.68^{+0.30}_{-0.19}$	$37.96^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	$0.64^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$
NGC 2403	S4	7 37 11.63	+65 33 45.52	$0.63^{+0.26}_{-0.18}$	$2.3^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	$38.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$0.28^{+0.16}_{-0.14}$	$1.09^{+0.23}_{-0.15}$	$38.40^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$0.35^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$
NGC 0628	S5	1 36 51.06	+15 45 46.86	$0.03^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$	$1.9^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$39.22^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$	$0.00^{+0.00}_{-0.00}$	$0.89^{+0.08}_{-0.11}$	$39.42^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$	$0.25^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$
NGC 5128	S6	13 25 27.52	-43 02 14.78	$0.02^{+0.08}_{-0.02}$	$1.7^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	$38.0^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$	$0.00^{+0.10}_{-0.00}$	$1.12^{+0.37}_{-0.23}$	$38.20^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$	$0.36^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$
NGC 6946	S7	20 35 0.13	+60 09 07.97	$0.61^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$5.1^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$	$40.4^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$0.22^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$0.32^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	$39.46^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$	$0.16^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$

Notes: Host galaxy name; Source Name; Right Ascension; Declination;  $n_H$  ( $\times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$ ), equivalent hydrogen column density;  $\Gamma$ , photon power-law index;  $L$  ( $\text{erg s}^{-1}$ ), X-ray luminosity in the energy range: 0.3-8.0 keV;  $kT_{in}$  (keV) is the inner disk temperature ; fractional r.m.s amplitude  $F_{var}$ . The error quoted on  $F_{var}$  is 1-sigma. The first five sources are variable at greater the 3-sigma level while the rest are at greater the 2-sigma level.

as reported for a source in NGC 4472 (Maccarone et al., 2007). The large flux variation for the source in NGC 1569 (S2 in Table 2.) has been reported earlier by Heike et al. (2003). The source is well fitted by disk black body emission with bolometric luminosity varying from  $10^{37} \text{erg s}^{-1}$  to  $5 \times 10^{37} \text{erg s}^{-1}$  suggestive of it being a stellar mass black hole system (Heike et al., 2003).

A source in NGC 2403 (S4) showed a secular transition behaviour in the *Chandra* observation analysed in the sample (Obs ID 2014). However, for three other *Chandra* observations, it showed persistent large amplitude variability. The light curves and hardness ratio for the four observations are shown in Figure 2. The luminosity of this source is  $L \sim 10^{38} \text{erg s}^{-1}$  (S4 in Table 2.). Two other sources exhibit aperiodic variability with large amplitudes and their light curves are shown in Figure 3. The source in NGC 1569 (like the one in NGC 2403) has a relatively low luminosity of  $L \sim 10^{38} \text{erg s}^{-1}$  (S3 in Table 2.) and its variable nature has been noted earlier by Heike et al. (2003). The other source which is in NGC 0628 (S5 in Table 2.) has a luminosity  $L > 10^{39} \text{erg s}^{-1}$  and is unique in the sample. It shows large amplitude variations on time-scale as short as  $\leq 1000 \text{s}$ . This source (also called M74 X-1) has been extensively studied by Krauss et al. (2005) using both *Chandra* and *XMM Newton* data. They have compared the variability of the source as being similar to that of the Galactic X-ray binary system, GRS




**Fig. 2** The light curves and the hardness ratio (i.e. count ratio in the energy bands 0.3-1 and 1-8 keV bands) for a source in NGC 2403, S4. The panels are for four *Chandra* observations and are labelled by the observation ID numbers. This source shows persistent flare like variability.

**Fig. 3** The light curves and the hardness ratio (i.e. count ratio in the energy bands 0.3-1 and 1-8 keV bands) for two sources that show rapid aperiodic variability. The spectral properties of these sources are tabulated in Table 2. The source in NGC 0628 is a ULX and its aperiodic variability makes it unique in the sample.

1915+105. Here we point out the rarity of such sources, since only one such object was found in our sample which may be quantified as one object per 17 galaxies.

The high amplitude variability of the four sources shown in Figure 1 may be due to rare transitions/flares. In that case, other observations of these sources may not show such variability. The source S6 of NGC 5128 does not show variability ( $F_{var} \lesssim 0.2$ ) in seven other *Chandra* observations (IDs 2978, 3965, 7797, 7798, 8489, 8490, 10722). Unfortunately, there are no additional *Chandra* observations of NGC 1569 (with an exposure time  $> 30$  ksec) to confirm the nature of the sources S3 or S2.

Figure 4 shows the fractional r.m.s variability amplitude,  $F_{var}$  versus the the unabsorbed X-ray luminosity. For the seven sources with detected variability, the triangles represent sources whose spectra are better modelled as a power-law, while the circles are for those with spectra better modelled as a disk black body. The three sources marked with crosses exhibit aperiodic fluctuations (Figure 2 and Figure 3



**Fig. 4** Fractional root mean square variability amplitude ( $F_{var}$ ) versus the unabsorbed X-ray luminosity. The circles (triangles) indicate sources which are better fitted with a disk black body (power-law) spectral model. The sources marked with a star show rapid aperiodic variability. For 11 sources the 2-sigma upper limits are constrained to be less than 0.1 and these are represented by solid lines. Note that 9 of these 11 non-variable sources are ULXs (i.e.  $L_X > 10^{39}$  erg s $^{-1}$ ).

) in contrast to the other variable sources which exhibit secular transitions/flares (Figure 1). One of the ULXs has a ultra-soft spectrum with disk black body temperature  $\sim 0.3$  keV. The other is the rapidly varying source in NGC 0628. For non-variable sources, the upper limits are plotted in the Figure. For 11 sources the upper limits are constrained to be less than 0.1 and these are represented by solid lines and nine of them have luminosities in excess of  $L > 10^{39}$  erg s $^{-1}$ . Therefore, it seems that ultra-luminous X-ray sources are typically not highly variable in these kilo-second time scale except for some ultra-soft one.

#### 4 DISCUSSION

Of the 165 (not including foreground star) sources in 17 nearby galaxies, seven of them were found to be highly variable in k-sec time-scales. In general, the variability is not strongly correlated with hardness ratio, which indicates that they are not due to varying absorption, instead they are likely to represent some structural changes in the accretion process.

In the sample, we find that while there are two ULXs which are variable ( $F_{var} > 0.2$ ), there are nine ULXs for which we can constrain the variability  $F_{var} < 0.1$ . One of the variable ULXs is ultra-soft with black body temperature  $\sim 0.3$  keV. For such sources, the spectra in *Chandra* energy range of 0.3-8.0 keV is essentially an exponentially decreasing function. Relatively small fluctuations in an intrinsic spectral parameter, may lead to large flux variation in this spectral regime. Thus it seems ULXs

are not highly variable in ksec time-scales. A notable exception is the bright source in NGC 0628 whose variability is unique in the sample.

For four of the seven variable sources (i.e.  $\sim 3\%$  of the sample), the variability seems to be of a secular nature, perhaps representing state transitions. At least one of them, is not variable in seven other *Chandra* observations, which implies that the variability is of a secular nature and not persistent. The analysis is based on single “snapshot” observation of  $\sim 40$  ksec. If one hypothesizes, that all sources undergo such transitions, the detection of such variability in  $\sim 3\%$  of the sources implies that on the average the duty cycle of such transitions is  $\sim 40000/0.03 \sim 1.3 \times 10^6$  secs or a transition every  $\sim 15$  days. A similar analysis for ULXs where there is one source showing a secular variability for nine sources that don’t would imply a transition every  $\sim 40000/0.1 \sim 4 \times 10^6$  secs  $\sim 5$  days. However, since the sample considered here is neither uniform or complete, such quantitative statistical inference may not be reliable. Nevertheless, since spectral state transitions for Galactic sources typically occur much less frequently, it is more likely that these sources represent a special class of systems where transitions occurring on k-sec time-scales, happen frequently. Further, state transitions in Galactic sources are associated with spectral changes, while the hardness ratio does not change significantly during these transitions. Thus, it seems these transitions are different from the state transitions observed in Galactic sources.

For three of the variable sources the large amplitude fluctuations are persistent. Among Galactic X-ray binaries, GRS 1915+105 and the recently discovered IGR J17091-3624 (Altamirano et al., 2011; Pahari et al., 2012) show such persistent variability in these time-scales. Two of these sources, unlike GRS 1915+105 but perhaps similar to IGR J17091-3624, have relatively low luminosity of  $\sim 10^{38}$  erg/s. A source in NGC 2403 shows large amplitude variability in all four *Chandra* observations. It is possible, that the variability maybe quasi-periodic in  $\sim 10$  ksec time-scale, but the data is not sufficient to make concrete statements. Thus, we establish that there are X-ray sources in nearby galaxies, with modest luminosities of  $L \sim 10^{38}$  erg  $s^{-1}$ , that exhibit persistent high amplitude variability in ksec time-scales.

It is premature to identify any specific accretion disk instability model to explain these large amplitude variability. Indeed, the variability of the Galactic source GRS 1915+105 is still not clearly understood. Nevertheless, with the increased number of sources, detailed studies like flux resolved spectral analysis and time-lag analysis may shed light on the reasons for their variable nature.

## 5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research has made use of data obtained from the High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center (HEASARC), provided by NASA’s Goddard Space Flight Center and software provided by Chandra X-ray Center (CXC) in the application packages and tools. SM gratefully acknowledges IUCAA for the visiting associateship.

## References

- Agrawal, V. K., Misra, R., 2006, ApJ, 638, L83  
 Altamirano D., et al., 2011, ApJ, 742, L17  
 Begelman, M. C., 2002, ApJ, 568, L97  
 Chiang Y. K. , Kong A. K. H. , 2011, MNRAS, 414, 1329  
 Colbert, E. J. M., Mushotzky, R. F., 1999, ApJ, 519, 89  
 Devi, A. S., Misra, R., Agrawal, V. K., Singh, K. Y., 2007, ApJ, 664, 458  
 Devi, A. S., Misra, R., Shanthi, K., Singh, K. Y., 2008, ApJ, 682, 218  
 Dewangan, G. C., Miyaji, T., Griffiths, R. E., Lehmann, I., 2004, ApJ, 608, L57  
 Dewangan, G. C., Griffiths, R. E., Choudhury, M., Miyaji, T., Schurch, N. J., 2005, ApJ, 635, 198  
 Dewangan, G. C., Titarchuk, L., Griffiths, R. E., 2006, ApJ, 637, L21  
 Dewangan, G. C., Griffiths, R. E., Rao, A. R., 2006, ApJ, 641, L125  
 Dewangan, G. C., Misra, R., Rao, A. R., Griffiths, R. E., 2010, MNRAS, 407, 291  
 Fabbiano, G., 2006, ARA&A, 44, 323



- Feng, H., Kaaret, P., 2005, *ApJ*, 633, 1052  
Feng, H., Kaaret, P., 2006, *ApJ*, 650, L75  
Feng H., Kaaret P., 2009, *ApJ*, 696, 1712  
Feng, H., Rao, F., & Kaaret, P. 2010, *ApJ*, 710, L137  
Feng, H., Soria, R., 2011, *New Astron. Rev.*, 55, 166  
Fridriksson, J. K., Homan, J., Lewin, W. H. G., Kong, A. K. H., Pooley, D., 2008, *ApJS*, 177, 465  
Gilfanov M., Arefiev V., 2005, *astro*, arXiv:astro-ph/0501215  
Gladstone J. C., Roberts T. P., 2009, *MNRAS*, 397, 124  
Gladstone J. C., Roberts T. P., Done C., 2009, *MNRAS*, 397, 1836  
Heike, K., Awaki, H., Misao, Y., Hayashida, K., Weaver, K. A., 2003, *ApJ*, 591, L99  
Heil L. M., Vaughan S., Roberts T. P., 2009, *MNRAS*, 397, 1061  
Kaaret, P., et al., 2001, *MNRAS*, 321, L29  
Kajava J. J. E., Poutanen J., 2009, *MNRAS*, 398, 1450  
King, A. R., 2008, *MNRAS*, 385, L113  
King, A. R. et al. , 2001, *ApJ*, 552, L109  
Kong, A. K. H., Garcia, M. R., Primini, F. A., Murray, S. S., Di Stefano, R., McClintock, J. E., 2002, *ApJ*, 577, 738  
Kotze M. M., Charles P. A., 2012, *MNRAS*, 420, 1575  
Krauss, M. I., Kilgard, R. E., Garcia, M. R., Roberts, T. P., Prestwich, A. H., 2005, *ApJ*, 630, 228  
Kubota, A., et al. , 2001, *ApJ*, 547, L119  
Maccarone T. J., Kundu A., Zepf S. E., Rhode K. L., 2007, *Natur*, 445, 183  
Madau, P., Rees, M. J., 2001, *ApJ*, 551, L27  
Maitra D., Bailyn C. D., 2006, *ApJ*, 637, 992  
Makishima, K., et al., 2000, *ApJ*, 535, 632  
Middleton M. J., Roberts T. P., Done C., Jackson F. E., 2011, *MNRAS*, 411, 644  
Miller, J. M., 2005, *ApSS*, 300, 227  
Miller, J. M., Fabian, A. C., Miller, M. C., 2004, *ApJ*, 607, 931  
Mirabel I. F., Rodríguez L. F., 1994, *Natur*, 371, 46  
Nowak M. A., Vaughan B. A., Wilms J., Dove J. B., Begelman M. C., 1999, *ApJ*, 510, 874  
Pahari M., Bhattacharyya S., Yadav J. S., Pandey S. K., 2012, *MNRAS*, 422, L87  
Portegies Zwart, S. F., McMillian, S. L. W., 2002, *ApJ*, 576, 899  
Remillard R. A., McClintock J. E., 2006, *ARA&A*, 44, 49  
Soria, R., Pian, E., & Mazzali, P. A. 2004, *A&A*, 413, 107  
Strohmayer, T. E., Mushotzky, R. F., 2003, *ApJ*, 586, L61  
Strohmayer T. E., Mushotzky R. F., Winter L., Soria R., Uttley P., Cropper M., 2007, *ApJ*, 660, 580  
Strohmayer, T. E., & Mushotzky, R. F. 2009, *ApJ*, 703, 1386  
Swartz, D. A., Ghosh, K. K., Tennant, A. F., Wu K., 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 519  
Taniguchi, Y., Shioya, Y., Tsuru, T. G. Ikeuchi, S., 2000, *PASJ*, 52, 533  
Vaughan, S., Edelson, R., Warwick, R. S., Uttley, P., 2003, *MNRAS*, 345, 1271  
Winter, L. M., Mushotzky, R. F., Reynolds, C. S., 2006, *ApJ*, 649, 730  
Zdziarski, A. A., & Gierliński, M. 2004, *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement*, 155, 99  
Zezas, A., Fabbiano, G., Baldi, A., Schweizer, F., King, A. R., Ponman, T. J., Rots, A. H., 2006, *ApJS*, 166, 211