

## Determining the Spectrum of Cosmic Rays in Interstellar Space from the Diffuse Galactic Gamma-Ray Emissivity

C.D. DERMER<sup>1</sup>, A.W. STRONG<sup>2</sup>, E. ORLANDO<sup>3</sup>, L. TIBALDO<sup>4</sup>, FOR THE *Fermi*-LAT COLLABORATION.

<sup>1</sup>Code 7653, Naval Research Laboratory, 4555 Overlook Ave. SW, Washington, DC 20375 USA

<sup>2</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Postfach 1312, D-85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>3</sup>W.W. Hansen Experimental Physics Laboratory, Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

<sup>4</sup>Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

charles.dermer@nrl.navy.mil, aws@mpe.mpg.de

**Abstract:** More than 90% of the Galactic gas-related  $\gamma$ -ray emissivity above 1 GeV is attributed to the decay of neutral pions formed in collisions between cosmic rays and interstellar matter, with lepton-induced processes becoming increasingly important below 1 GeV. Given the high-quality measurements of the  $\gamma$ -ray emissivity of local interstellar gas between  $\sim 50$  MeV and  $\sim 40$  GeV obtained with the Large Area Telescope on board the *Fermi* space observatory, it is timely to re-investigate this topic in detail, including the hadronic production mechanisms. The emissivity spectrum will allow the interstellar cosmic-ray spectrum to be determined reliably, providing a reference for origin and propagation studies as well as input to solar modulation models. A method for such an analysis and illustrative results are presented.

**Keywords:** cosmic rays, gamma rays, cross sections, solar modulation

### 1 Introduction

The major part of the ‘diffuse’  $\gamma$ -ray emission of the Milky Way is of interstellar origin, with the contribution from a superposition of unresolved, low luminosity point sources estimated at only the 5-10% level in the Galactic plane, and with inverse-Compton emission contributing even less than that. Almost all of the gas-related interstellar emission is the result of hadronic cosmic rays colliding with the nuclei of interstellar gas (see e.g. [17, 6, 19, 20]), and cosmic-ray electrons and positrons making bremsstrahlung  $\gamma$  rays. Besides making  $\gamma$ -rays a valuable tracer of the interstellar matter and radiation, the spectral energy distribution of the diffuse emission encodes the interstellar cosmic-ray spectrum.

Using data taken in the first six months of *Fermi* science operations, [1] measured the spectral energy distribution of gamma-ray emission associated with local neutral atomic hydrogen, HI, between 100 MeV and 10 GeV, finding that it is proportional to the HI column density and deriving an emissivity (emission rate per H atom) spectrum. Many measurements of the HI emissivity in the neighborhood of the solar system and the outer Galaxy were obtained using *Fermi* data. More recently, [4] presented a new emissivity spectrum of local atomic hydrogen between Galactic latitudes  $10^\circ < |b| < 70^\circ$  for energies  $\sim 50$  MeV to  $\sim 40$  GeV with error bars mainly  $\leq 15\%$ .

It is therefore an optimal time to use the emissivity to deduce the interstellar cosmic ray proton spectrum with improved accuracy.


A review of near-threshold hadronic  $\gamma$ -ray production has been given in [9], and more details will appear in [22]. Here we examine the total  $\gamma$ -ray production cross section in proton-proton collisions, remarking on the accuracy of different models in the low-energy,  $< 10$  GeV, range. Some calculations are made for different production cross sections, illustrating the method. See [5] for an update on the

local emissivity and an alternative approach to interpretation. For a recent analysis of PAMELA data including solar modulation modelling and relation to the present work, see [13].

### 2 $\gamma$ ray production cross section in proton collisions

The production threshold of  $\pi^0$  ( $m_\pi = 0.135$  GeV) in collisions of energetic protons with protons at rest is  $2m_\pi + (m_\pi^2/2m_p) = 0.280$  GeV. Above this energy, the single  $\pi^0$  cross section rises rapidly, reaching a value of  $\approx 4$  mb above  $T_p \approx 1.5$  GeV, before declining to less than  $\lesssim 1$  mb at  $T_p \gtrsim 10$  GeV [12]. Because of the rapidly declining cosmic-ray spectrum, most of the  $\gamma$  rays are made from protons with  $T_p \lesssim 5$  GeV [6], and a large fraction of these  $\gamma$  rays are made through resonance production. Almost all  $\pi^0$  production below  $T_p \approx 1$  GeV is through the  $\Delta(1238)$  isobar, and heavier isobars and resonances contribute at the per cent level to  $T_p \gtrsim 30$  GeV.

Fig. 1 shows data for inclusive  $\pi^0$  production [18, 7], single  $\pi^0$  production, and resonance production [12] in  $p + p$  collisions. Because of different isospin decay channels, only a fraction of the resonance cross section results in a  $\pi^0$ . The low- and high-energy dashed curves in the left panel of Fig. 1 represent, respectively, the  $\Delta(1238)$  resonance and scaling contributions to the total inclusive  $\pi^0$  cross section in the model of [6]. The  $\Delta(1238)$  resonance and  $N^*(1600)$  resonance complex, and the diffractive and non-diffractive (scaling) contributions in the model of [11] are shown separately by the dotted curves. Model improvements, particularly at  $T_p \lesssim 10$  GeV, are required to accurately infer the interstellar cosmic-ray spectrum and its uncertainty.



**Figure 1:** Cross section data for inclusive  $\pi^0$  and resonance production in p-p collisions. (*left*) Inclusive cross-section data (red points) are from [18, 7], and cross-section data for single  $\pi^0$  production, and  $\Delta(1238)$ , and  $N^*$  resonances, as labeled, are taken from [12] and references therein. Component and total inclusive cross sections are shown by dashed and dotted curves for models of [6] and [11], respectively. (*right*) Inclusive cross-section data for pion production extending to high energies, including LHC data and fit from [16], and fit of [6].

### 3 Determining the interstellar cosmic-ray spectrum

Using the newly-measured emissivity spectrum [4], we can proceed to explore interstellar CR spectra that are compatible with it. The analysis is performed by first computing the matrices connecting model CR spectra to the observed gamma-ray emissivities, in energy bands, and then scanning the parameter space of the models. The method is Bayesian, allowing a complete scan of the parameters, computing posterior probability distributions, mean values and error bars, while correctly accounting for the correlations among the parameters.

To illustrate the method, the measured emissivities have been fitted with  $\gamma$ -ray spectra calculated for a broken power law in momentum for protons and Helium, with the free parameters being break momentum, spectral index below and above the break, and the overall normalization. The range of break momentum scanned is 1–20 GeV, since this is found necessary to fit the emissivity spectrum. The CR He/p ratio is fixed to the value measured by PAMELA at 100 GeV/nuc [3], and the He and p spectra are assumed to have the same shape (since they cannot be distinguished in gamma rays). Note that CR spectra are expressed as particle density per momentum.<sup>1</sup> The use of a sharp break in the CR spectrum is over-simplified but serves to illustrate the method; more physically plausible spectra (e.g., smooth breaks) are also being investigated, but they do not lead to essentially different conclusions.

The first set of hadronic cross sections used is from [6]. The p-p cross sections are scaled for p-He, He-p and He-He interactions using the function given in [15]. The second set is from [11] below 20 GeV, [10] (QGSJET) above 20 GeV. For [11] the p-p cross sections are scaled for p-He, He-p and He-He interactions using [15]. For [10] the p-p, p-He, He-p cross-section are provided, so only He-He is


scaled from p-p. The He fraction in the interstellar medium is taken as 0.1 by number.

The electron (plus positron) spectrum producing bremsstrahlung is based on *Fermi*-LAT measurements above 10 GeV [2], with a break below 3 GeV as indicated by synchrotron data [21]. The synchrotron data shown there require a flattening of the interstellar electron spectrum by about 1 unit in the spectral index below a few GeV, so this is used as a constraint; the actual low-energy index is determined by the fit to the emissivities.

The resulting cosmic-ray proton and electron spectra and the corresponding emissivities are shown in Fig 2. The fit to the measured emissivities is good, as can be expected with the freedom allowed. The proton spectrum, having been determined from gamma rays (and gas tracers) alone with no input from direct CR measurements except for the He/p ratio, is close to that measured directly at high energies. The solar modulation is clearly seen in the deviation of the interstellar spectrum from the direct measurements below 10 GeV. Bremsstrahlung gives an essential contribution below  $\approx 1$  GeV, and is an important component in the analysis.

In this particular example, the interstellar proton spectrum steepens by about 1/2 unit in the momentum index above a few GeV, compatible with expectations from the cosmic-ray B/C ratio, which shows a similar break due to propagation. A power-law injection in momentum modified by propagation would then be a plausible scenario. The spectrum shown for [6] cross sections has momentum index 2.5 (2.8) below (above) 6.5 GeV, with a scaling factor 1.4 relative to PAMELA at 100 GeV; for the [11], [10] cross sections, the values are 2.4 (2.9) and 1.3, with the same break energy. The formal significance of the break is

1. Following the physical motivation explained in [8], we plot the density as a function of momentum  $n(p)$ , because the flux  $j(T_p) = (\beta c/4\pi)n(T_p) = (\beta c/4\pi)|dp/dT_p|n(p) = (c/4\pi)n(p)$ .



**PRELIMINARY**

**Figure 2:** Spectra derived from model fitting. Yellow band shows model range. Model ranges are 1 standard deviation on the parameterized synthetic spectra. Top: Measured and derived cosmic-ray proton spectra. Data are AMS01 (asterisks) and PAMELA (diamonds). Middle: Measured and derived cosmic-ray electron spectra. Data are AMS01 (asterisks), PAMELA (diamonds), and *Fermi*-LAT (squares). Bottom: *Fermi*-LAT emissivity data (vertical bars) and model, with red and green curves showing the hadronic and leptonic bremsstrahlung contributions; the yellow band shows the total. Emissivities from Casandjian [4], and cross sections from [6] (left column), [11, 10] (right column).

about  $4\sigma$ . The sensitivity of the results to the cross sections is significant but not overwhelming, though uncertainties in the production cross sections must be included in the error budget to derive firm conclusions. In both of these illustrative cases, the high-energy proton index is compatible with PAMELA (2.82) [3]. The scaling factor excess may have various origins, including uncertainties in the cross sections and the gas tracers, and/or hidden systematic errors in the direct measurements themselves. A difference between the interstellar spectrum and the direct measurements cannot be ruled out at this stage either.

This preliminary result illustrates how it is possible to constrain the interstellar CR spectra with the *Fermi*-LAT emissivity data. In a forthcoming paper [22], all the uncertainties will be addressed, including those in emissivities (gas, instrumental response, etc.) and cross sections. The evidence for a break and more exact constraints on the spectrum will be presented there.

The authors wish to thank Michael Kachelriess and Sergey Ostapchenko for valuable discussions on the use of their cross-section code. The work of C.D.D. supported by the Office of Naval Research and the NASA *Fermi* Guest Investigator Program.

The *Fermi* LAT Collaboration acknowledges support from a number of agencies and institutes for both development and the operation of the LAT as well as scientific data analysis. These include NASA and DOE in the United States, CEA/Irfu and IN2P3/CNRS in France, ASI and INFN in Italy, MEXT, KEK, and JAXA in Japan, and the K. A. Wallenberg Foundation, the Swedish Research Council and the National Space Board in Sweden. Additional support from INAF in Italy and CNES in France for science analysis during the operations phase is also gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- [1] Abdo, A. A., *et al.*, “Fermi LAT Observation of Diffuse Gamma Rays Produced Through Interactions Between Local Interstellar Matter and High-energy Cosmic Rays,” 2009, *Astrophys. J.*, 703, 1249
- [2] Abdo, A. A., *et al.*, “Measurement of the Cosmic Ray  $e^+e^-$  Spectrum from 20 GeV to 1 TeV with the Fermi Large Area Telescope,” 2009, *Physical Review Letters*, 102, 181101
- [3] Adriani, O., *et al.*, “PAMELA Measurements of Cosmic-Ray Proton and Helium Spectra,” 2011, *Science*, 332, 69
- [4] Casandjian, J.-M., “Diffuse galactic radiation,” 2012, *American Institute of Physics Conference Series*, 1505, 37
- [5] Casandjian, J.-M., “Local HI emissivity and implications for cosmic-ray spectra,” 2013, *Proc. 33rd ICRC (ICRC2013)*, paper ID 966.
- [6] Dermer, C. D., “Secondary production of neutral pions and the diffuse galactic gamma radiation,” 1986, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 157, 223
- [7] Dermer, C. D., “Binary collision rates of relativistic thermal plasmas. II - Spectra,” 1986, *Astrophys. J.*, 307, 47
- [8] Dermer, C. D., “Diffuse Galactic Gamma Rays from Shock-Accelerated Cosmic Rays,” 2012, *Physical Review Letters*, 109, 091101
- [9] Dermer, C. D., *et al.*, “On the Physics Connecting Cosmic Rays and Gamma Rays: Towards Determining the Interstellar Cosmic Ray Spectrum”, 2013, *Fermi Symposium 2012 Conference Proceedings*, eConf C121028, arXiv:1303.6428.
- [10] Kachelriess, M., & Ostapchenko, S., “Deriving the cosmic ray spectrum from gamma-ray observations,” 2012, *Phys. Rev. D*, 86, 043004.<sup>2</sup>
- [11] Kamae, T., Karlsson, N., Mizuno, T., Abe, T., & Koi, T., “Parameterization of  $\gamma$ ,  $e^\pm$ , and Neutrino Spectra Produced by p-p Interaction in Astronomical Environments,” 2006, *Astrophys. J.*, 647, 692
- [12] Lock, W. O., Measday, D. F., “Intermediate energy nuclear physics,” 1970 (Methuen & Co., London)
- [13] Loparco, F., Maccione, L., Mazziotta, N. N., “Inferring the local interstellar spectrum of cosmic ray protons from PAMELA data”, 2013, arXiv:1306.1354
- [14] Neronov, A., Semikoz, D. V., & Taylor, A. M., “Low-Energy Break in the Spectrum of Galactic Cosmic Rays,” 2012, *Physical Review Letters*, 108, 051105
- [15] Norbury, J. W., & Townsend, L. W., “Parameterized total cross sections for pion production in nuclear collisions,” 2007, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B* 254, 187
- [16] Sato, H., Shibata, T., & Yamazaki, R. 2012, “Revised production cross-section of  $\gamma$ -rays in p-p collisions with LHC data for the study of TeV  $\gamma$ -ray astronomy,” *Astroparticle Physics*, 36, 83
- [17] Stecker, F. W., “Cosmic Gamma Rays,” 1971, *NASA Special Publication*, 249<sup>3</sup>
- [18] Stecker, F. W., “Neutral-Pion Gamma Rays from the Galaxy and the Interstellar Gas Content,” 1973, *Astrophys. J.*, 185, 499
- [19] Strong, A. W., Moskalenko, I. V., & Reimer, O., “Diffuse Galactic Continuum Gamma Rays: A Model Compatible with EGRET Data and Cosmic-Ray Measurements,” 2004, *Astrophys. J.*, 613, 962
- [20] Strong, A. W., Moskalenko, I. V., & Ptuskin, V. S., “Cosmic-Ray Propagation and Interactions in the Galaxy,” 2007, *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science*, 57, 285
- [21] Strong, A. W., Orlando, E., & Jaffe, T. R., “The interstellar cosmic-ray electron spectrum from synchrotron radiation and direct measurements,” 2011, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 534, A54
- [22] Strong, A. W., Ackermann, M., Cohen-Tanugi, J., Dermer, C. D., Finke, J. D., Kachelriess, M., Kamae, T., Loparco, F., Mazziotta, M. N., Mizuno, T., Murphy, R. J., Orlando, E., Ostapchenko, S., Stecker, F. W., Tibaldo, L., “Interstellar cosmic-ray spectra from gamma-ray emissivities,” 2013, in preparation

2. Code available from <http://sourceforge.net/projects/ppfrag>

3. available at <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1971NASSP.249.....S>