

Non-linear superposition law and Skyrme crystals

Fabrizio Canfora^{1,2}

¹*Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECS), Casilla 1469, Valdivia, Chile.*

²*Universidad Andres Bello, Av. Republica 440, Santiago, Chile.*

canfora@cecs.cl

May 3, 2019

Abstract

Exact configurations of the four-dimensional Skyrme model are presented. The static configurations wind in a non-trivial way around the torus cycles while the profile behaves as a kink and saturates exactly a BPS bound. Furthermore, a class of exact time-periodic Skyrmions is discovered. Within such class, it is possible to disclose a remarkable phenomenon which is a genuine effect of the Skyrme term. For a special value of the frequency the Skyrmions admit a non-linear superposition principle. One can combine two or more exact "elementary" Skyrmions (which may depend in a non-trivial way on all the space-like coordinates) into a new exact composite Skyrmion. Due to such superposition law, despite the explicit presence of non-linear effects in the energy-momentum tensor, the interaction energy between the elementary Skyrmions can be computed exactly. The relations with the appearance of Skyrme crystals and plateau is discussed.

1 Introduction

The Skyrme theory is one of the most important model of theoretical physics due to its wide range of applications. Skyrme [1] introduced his famous term into the action of the non-linear sigma model to allow the existence of static soliton solutions with finite energy, called *Skyrmions* (see [2] [3] [4] for detailed pedagogical reviews). A further important property of the Skyrme theory is that excitations around Skyrme solitons may represent Fermionic degrees of freedom (see the detailed analysis in [5] and references therein) suitable to describe nucleons (nice examples are [6] [7] [8] [9]). Besides the great importance of Skyrmions in particles, nuclear physics and astrophysics (see, for instance, [10] and references therein), many intriguing observations such as [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18] and [19] show that Skyrmions play a very important role also in Bose-Einstein condensates, nematic liquids, multi-ferric materials, chiral magnets and condensed matter physics in general [2]. However, in condensed matter systems (such as the ones considered in [17]), Skyrmions are stabilized by specific interactions imposed by handedness of the underlying structure (for details, see [18] [19]). This mechanism is absent in the original Skyrme model and, consequently, as it is well known it is extremely difficult to construct analytical configurations in that case. Another issue that makes the task to construct non-trivial analytical configurations of the original Skyrme model particularly

difficult is the fact that, unlike what happens for instance in the case of monopoles and instantons in Yang-Mills-Higgs theory (see, for instance, [3] [4]), the BPS bound cannot be saturated for non-trivial spherically symmetric Skyrmions. Nevertheless, in [20] it has been shown that the Skyrme model supports knotted excitations (but they are not known analytically) as it also happens in Yang-Mills theory (see, in particular, [21]).

Among the many open problems of the Skyrme theory (in which exact configurations are very rare¹) a fundamental theoretical challenge is to construct exact configurations in which the non-linear effects are explicitly present. Indeed, this would shed considerable light on the peculiar interactions of the Skyrme model. A further theoretical problem is to explain how, in a non-linear and non-integrable theory such as the Skyrme model in four dimensions, nice crystal-like structures (see [23] and references therein) are able to appear. Indeed, these beautiful configurations, which have been constructed numerically, look almost like non-trivial superposition of "elementary solutions" but, at a first glance, it appears to be impossible to accommodate both non-linear effects and (any sort of) superposition law.

One often adopts suitable ansatz to make the field equations more tractable. Until recently, the only ansatz able to reduce the complexity Skyrme field equations is the hedgehog ansatz for spherically symmetric systems and its *rational map* generalization² in [24]. However, the field equations are still unsolvable in these cases, since the corresponding BPS bound cannot be saturated.

Many modifications of the Skyrme theory have been proposed (see, for instance, [25] [26] [27] [28] [29] [30] and references therein) which change the theory in such a way that BPS bounds which can be saturated become available. All these models have many interesting mathematical features and their importance goes beyond the simplification of the original Skyrme theory. However, all such modifications spoil the original motivations of the Skyrme theory whose form is compelling both from phenomenological and theoretical points of view.

In the present paper, it will be shown that using the generalized hedgehog ansatz introduced in [31] [32] (which allows to study systems without spherical symmetry) one can construct exact configurations of the four-dimensional Skyrme theory in its original form with torus-like topologies such as T^3 and which may be static or periodic in time (but other topologies can be considered as well). Within the time-periodic configurations, a remarkable resonance phenomenon emerges: for a special value of the frequency, a non-linear superposition law appears which allows to combine two or more "elementary" Skyrmions³ (whose profile depends in a non-trivial way on all the space-like coordinates) into a new exact composite Skyrmion. In the present case, despite the explicit presence of non-linear effects, the interaction energy between the moduli of N elementary Skyrmions *can be*

¹One of the very few examples (which is not of the type analyzed in the present paper) of exact solutions of the Skyrme field equation is in [22]. Due to the non-linearities of the theory, explicit solutions are available only under severe simplifying assumptions.

²In both cases (the usual spherical hedgehog and the rational map ansatz), it is necessary that the profile of the hedgehog depends on the radius and, consequently, it is not easy to depart from spherical symmetry.

³It is worth to emphasize here that, often, in the literature the term "Skyrmion" is used only to describe configurations with non-vanishing winding number. In the following, this term will be used in a broader sense to denote exact solutions of the four-dimensional Skyrme theory in which non-linear effects are explicitly present. As one of the following examples will clarify, there are many more non-trivial topological effects than the ones encoded in the winding number.

computed *exactly* for any N . The relations with the appearance of Skyrme crystals and plateau is an intriguing property of the present construction.

This paper is organized as follows: in the second section, the generalized hedgehog will be described and an exact kink of the Skyrme system will be constructed. In the third section, exact time-periodic Skyrmons will be analyzed and it will be shown how a non-linear superposition law arises. In the fourth section it will be discussed a mapping from periodic Skyrmons in which the profile is static and the internal orientation is time-periodic to periodic Skyrmons in which the profile depends on time while the internal orientation is periodic in space. In the fifth section, some conclusions will be drawn.

2 Generalized hedgehog and an exact kink

The action of the $SU(2)$ Skyrme system in four dimensional flat (but topologically non-trivial) space-times is

$$S_{\text{Skyrme}} = \frac{K}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} R^\mu R_\mu + \frac{\lambda}{16} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right), \quad K > 0, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad (1)$$

$$R_\mu := U^{-1} \nabla_\mu U = R_\mu^i t_i, \quad F_{\mu\nu} := [R_\mu, R_\nu], \quad \hbar = 1, \quad c = 1,$$

where the Planck constant and the speed of light have been set to 1, the coupling constants K and λ are fixed by comparison with experimental data and the t^i are the basis of the $SU(2)$ generators t^i (where the Latin index i corresponds to the group index).

A mass term can also be included: however it is well known (see [34] and references therein) that when the mass term is non-vanishing, the theory is considered in 1+1 dimensions and the group is $U(1)$ kinks of Sine-Gordon type saturating suitable BPS bounds appear. Here it will be shown that this is true even *without a mass term* in 3+1 dimensions and with the $SU(2)$ internal symmetry group. Furthermore, the original action⁴ in Eq. (1) without the mass term (besides to be a quite good approximation for Pions physics when the Pions mass can be assumed to be small) is extremely useful in applications in condensed matter physics (see, for instance [12] and [14]).

The Skyrme field equations are

$$\nabla^\mu R_\mu + \frac{\lambda}{4} \nabla^\mu [R^\nu, F_{\mu\nu}] = 0. \quad (2)$$

The following standard parametrization of the $SU(2)$ -valued scalar $U(x^\mu)$ will be adopted

$$U^{\pm 1}(x^\mu) = Y^0(x^\mu) \mathbf{1} \pm Y^i(x^\mu) t_i, \quad (Y^0)^2 + Y^i Y_i = 1. \quad (3)$$

⁴It is worth mentioning that Skyrme original idea was precisely that the nucleon's mass is of mesonic origin and that the meson mass originates from the nucleon coupling.

The generalized hedgehog ansatz [31] [32] reads

$$Y^0 = \cos \alpha , \quad Y^i = \hat{n}^i \sin \alpha , \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{n}^1 = \cos \Theta , \quad \hat{n}^2 = \sin \Theta , \quad \hat{n}^3 = 0 , \quad (\nabla_\mu \alpha) (\nabla^\mu \Theta) = 0 , \quad (5)$$

where α is the profile of the hedgehog while the function Θ , through the internal vector \hat{n}^i , describes its orientational degrees of freedom in the internal $SU(2)$ space.

As it has been discussed in [31] [32] a very convenient choice is to take Θ as a linear function of the coordinates such that

$$(\nabla_\mu \Theta) (\nabla^\mu \Theta) = (\nabla \Theta)^2 = \text{const} \neq 0 ,$$

since the system becomes rather trivial when $(\nabla \Theta)^2$ vanishes (in which case the field equation for α linearizes and, mainly, the energy-momentum tensor becomes quadratic in α so that the non-linear effects disappear). Thus, the Skyrme field equations reduce to the following single scalar non-linear partial differential equation for the Skyrme profile α :

$$0 = \left(1 + \lambda (\nabla \Theta)^2 \sin^2 \alpha \right) \square \alpha + \frac{\lambda (\nabla \Theta)^2 (\nabla \alpha)^2}{2} \sin(2\alpha) - \frac{(\nabla \Theta)^2}{2} \sin(2\alpha) = 0 , \quad \square = \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu , \quad (6)$$

while the $t - t$ component of the energy-momentum (which represents the energy-density) reads

$$T_{tt} = K \left\{ (\nabla_t \alpha) (\nabla_t \alpha) + \sin^2 \alpha (\nabla_t \Theta) (\nabla_t \Theta) - \frac{g_{tt}}{2} \left[(\nabla \alpha)^2 + \sin^2 \alpha (\nabla \Theta)^2 \right] + \lambda \sin^2 \alpha \left((\nabla \Theta)^2 (\nabla_t \alpha) (\nabla_t \alpha) + (\nabla \alpha)^2 (\nabla_t \Theta) (\nabla_t \Theta) \right) - \frac{\lambda g_{tt}}{2} \sin^2 \alpha \left((\nabla \Theta)^2 (\nabla \alpha)^2 \right) \right\} . \quad (7)$$

As an interesting example, one can consider the following flat metric:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + (dx)^2 + (d\varphi_2)^2 + (d\varphi_3)^2 , \quad 0 \leq \varphi_i \leq 2\pi , \quad i = 2 , 3 ,$$

which corresponds to require the periodicity of the field configurations along the spatial directions 2 and 3 while the direction x is chosen to be non-compact in order to disclose the kink-like nature of the solution.

The ansatz for the static Skyrme field is:

$$\alpha = \alpha(x) , \quad \Theta = n_2 \varphi_2 + n_3 \varphi_3 , \quad n_2 , n_3 \in \mathbb{Z} , \quad (8)$$

$$(\nabla \alpha)^2 = (\alpha')^2 , \quad \alpha' = \frac{d\alpha}{dx} , \quad (\nabla \Theta)^2 = L^2 = (n_2)^2 + (n_3)^2 . \quad (9)$$

The choice in Eq. (8) corresponds to the internal vector \hat{n}^i winding in a non-trivial way around the spatial cycles of the torus. The Skyrme field equations Eq. (6) and the energy-density in Eq. (7) reduce to

$$0 = \alpha'' - \frac{L^2}{2} \sin(2\alpha) + \lambda L^2 \left[\alpha'' \sin^2 \alpha + \frac{(\alpha')^2}{2} \sin(2\alpha) \right] , \quad (10)$$

$$T_{tt} = \frac{K}{2} [(\alpha')^2 + L^2 \sin^2 \alpha + \lambda L^2 (\alpha')^2 \sin^2 \alpha] . \quad (11)$$

One can rewrite the expression of T_{tt} in Eq. (11) as follows

$$T_{tt} = \frac{K}{2} F(\alpha) \left\{ (\alpha' \mp V(\alpha))^2 \pm 2V(\alpha)\alpha' \right\} , \quad F(\alpha) = 1 + \lambda L^2 \sin^2 \alpha , \quad V(\alpha) = \frac{L \sin \alpha}{(F(\alpha))^{1/2}} . \quad (12)$$

The energy density T_{tt} is the sum of a non-negative term and a total derivative. Hence, one gets the following lower bound on the energy E_{tot} :

$$E_{tot} \geq |Q| , \quad Q = \pm 8\pi^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{d}{dx} (G(\alpha)) , \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{dG(\alpha)}{d\alpha} = F(\alpha)V(\alpha) . \quad (14)$$

Thus, a Skyrme configuration saturates the above BPS bound *if and only if* the following equation for α is satisfied

$$\alpha' = \pm \frac{L \sin \alpha}{(F(\alpha))^{1/2}} \Rightarrow \mp L (x - x_{(0)}) = \int_{\cos \alpha_0}^{\cos \alpha} \left[\frac{dy}{y^2} (1 + \lambda L^2 - \lambda L^2 y^2)^{1/2} \right] , \quad (15)$$

where α_0 is the initial data and $x_{(0)}$ an integration constant. By writing Eq. (15) in the form of a one-dimensional Newton equation, one can show there exist kink-like solutions which interpolate between neighboring minima of the energy-density in Eq. (11) located at $\alpha = n\pi$. Usually, when one gets a BPS bound (see for instance [4]), the positive semi-definite term in the energy density *is a perfect square* while in the present case, the positive semi-definite term is only *proportional to a perfect square* with the factor $F(\alpha)$ in Eq. (12). For this reason, in the present case it is not obvious *a priori* that configurations which saturates the BPS bound in Eq. (13) automatically fulfill the field equations.

In fact, it can be easily proved that this is the case. If α satisfies Eq. (15) then one can deduce (deriving both sides of Eq. (15)) the following expression for the second derivative of α :

$$\alpha'' = \frac{L^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{\sin(2\alpha)}{1 + \lambda L^2 \sin^2 \alpha} - \frac{\lambda L^2 \sin(2\alpha) \sin^2 \alpha}{(1 + \lambda L^2 \sin^2 \alpha)^2} \right\} . \quad (16)$$

Then, replacing the expressions in Eqs. (15) and (16) for α' and α'' into Eq. (10) one can easily see that the configurations that saturate the BPS bound in Eq. (13) satisfy identically the field equations.

It is worth emphasizing here that the above example of an exact kink saturating a suitable BPS bound shows once more that, within Skyrme theory, there are many more non-trivial topological effects than the ones encoded in the winding number⁵. Indeed, even if the above kink has trivial winding number (as it can be checked directly), its properties are determined by a topological bound.

⁵The fact that the winding number by itself is not enough to describe all the subtle topological properties of the Skyrme model can be understood, for instance, following the analysis of [20].

3 Time-periodic Skyrmions and non-linear superposition law

Now, a class of exact time-periodic solutions (denoted as "periodic Skyrmions") will be presented. Such class corresponds to the following alternative choices of the metric, of profile of the hedgehog α and of the function Θ :

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + (dx_1)^2 + (dx_2)^2 + (dx_3)^2 , \quad (17)$$

$$\alpha = \alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3) , \quad (\nabla\alpha)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial_{x_i}\alpha)^2 , \quad (18)$$

$$\Theta = \omega t , \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R} , \quad (\nabla\Theta)^2 = -\omega^2 , \quad (19)$$

where the boundary conditions along the spatial direction x_i will be specified below. The ansatz in Eq. (19) describes Skyrmions with a profile α which depends on all the space-like coordinates⁶. On the other hand, the internal vector \hat{n}^i which describes the orientation of the Skyrmion in the internal $SU(2)$ space oscillates in time with frequency ω between the first and the second generators of the $SU(2)$ algebra: the possibility to describe this dynamical situation would be impossible with the usual spherical hedgehog ansatz.

With the above choice, Eq. (6) reduces to the following elliptic non-linear partial differential equation for the Skyrmion profile

$$0 = (1 - \lambda\omega^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \Delta\alpha + \frac{\omega^2}{2} \sin(2\alpha) - \frac{\lambda\omega^2 \sin(2\alpha)}{2} (\nabla\alpha)^2 , \quad (20)$$

where Δ is the flat three-dimensional Laplacian.

One can observe that in terms of the following function $H(\alpha)$ of the profile α

$$H(\alpha) = \int^\alpha \sqrt{1 - \lambda\omega^2 \sin^2 s} ds \Rightarrow \frac{\Delta H}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda\omega^2 \sin^2 \alpha}} = \left[\Delta\alpha - \frac{\lambda\omega^2 \sin(2\alpha)(\nabla\alpha)^2}{2(1 - \lambda\omega^2 \sin^2 \alpha)} \right] , \quad (21)$$

Eq. (20) can be written as

$$\Delta H + \frac{\omega^2 \sin(2\alpha)}{2\sqrt{1 - \lambda\omega^2 \sin^2 \alpha}} = 0 , \quad (22)$$

where one should express α in terms of H inverting the elliptic integral in Eq. (21). A very surprising phenomenon is now apparent: if

$$\omega = \omega^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} , \quad (23)$$

then Eq. (20) with the change of variable in Eq. (21) reduces to the following (*linear!*) Helmholtz equation

$$\Delta H + \frac{1}{\lambda} H = 0 , \quad \alpha = \arcsin H , \quad (24)$$

which, as it will be discussed below, allows to define an exact *non-linear superposition law*. The

⁶In the cases in which α depends on only one space-like variable, one can find exact solutions following the same construction described in the previous section.

energy-density (defined in Eq. (7)) in terms of H becomes

$$T_{tt} = K \left\{ \frac{1}{2\lambda} H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1+H^2}{1-H^2} \right) (\nabla H)^2 \right\} . \quad (25)$$

At a first glance, one could suspect that when the condition in Eq. (23) holds, the theory actually linearizes in terms of the new field variable H in Eq. (21) (as it happens for instance when, in the generalized hedgehog ansatz in Eq. (5), the function Θ is constant and $(\nabla\Theta)^2$ vanishes). In fact, the energy-momentum tensor of a linear theory should be quadratic in the corresponding field (H in this case) and, as it is clear from Eq. (25), this is not the case. Therefore, non-linear effects are indeed present even when Eq. (23) holds.

The explicit presence of non-linear effects in the energy-momentum tensor in Eq. (25) despite the fact that H satisfies the linear Helmholtz equation can be explained in terms of the breaking of the homogeneous scaling symmetry. In the cases of free theories in which the field equations are linear and homogeneous and the energy-momentum tensor is quadratic, given a solution $X_{(0)}$, one can multiply such solution for any constant ρ and it will be still an allowed solution while the energy-momentum tensor will scale as ρ^2 . On the other hand, in the present case the situation is quite different. Even if in terms of the variable H the Skyrme field equations reduce to the Helmholtz equation, the energy-density does not scale homogeneously under the rescaling

$$H \rightarrow \rho H , \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R} .$$

In particular, by definition (see Eqs. (24) and (4)), $|H|$ cannot be larger than 1 and, moreover, from the energetic point of view, it may be very "expensive" for $|H|$ to get close to 1 as it is clear from Eq. (25). Therefore, one can multiply a given solution $H_{(0)}$ for a constant ρ whenever the (absolute value of the) new solution $\rho H_{(0)}$ of the Helmholtz equation does exceed 1. Thus, the explicit presence of non-linear effects in the energy density in Eq. (25) is related to the breaking of the homogeneous scaling symmetry.

The non-analytic dependence of the energy density in Eq. (25) on the Skyrme coupling λ clearly shows both the non-perturbative nature of the present effect and the fact that it is closely related to the Skyrme term⁷. Here only the cases in which Eq. (24) has a unique solution up to integration constants (which play the role of moduli of the Skyrmions) will be considered since they allow a more transparent physical interpretation (but more general situations can be analyzed as well). The periodic Skyrmions corresponding such unique solution will be denoted as *elementary Skyrmions*.

Let us consider the case in which the soliton profiles depend on three space-like coordinates x , y and z . Periodic boundary conditions (with periods $2\pi L_i$) in the spatial directions will be considered

⁷Namely, it disappears when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ as it is clear from Eq. (21).

(but more general cases can be analyzed following exactly the same procedure). Let

$$H_i = H(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_i) = A_i (\sin \mu_1 (x - x_i) \sin \mu_2 (y - y_i) \sin \mu_3 (z - z_i)) , \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i^2 , \quad \mu_i = \frac{1}{L_i} , \quad \vec{x}_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i) , \quad \alpha_i = \arcsin H_i , \quad (27)$$

where $H(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_i)$ is the solution of Eq. (24). As one can check in Eq. (25), the positions of the peaks in the energy density in Eq. (25) corresponding to the elementary Skyrmions $\alpha_i = \arcsin H_i$ are determined by \vec{x}_i which therefore plays the role of the moduli of α_i since \vec{x}_i identifies the "position" of the elementary Skyrmion. On the other hand, the overall constant A_i strictly speaking does not represent a moduli of the elementary Skyrmion since, when one replaces the expression in Eq. (26) into Eq. (25), one can see that the total energy depends on A_i while it does not depend on \vec{x}_i .

The most natural way to define a continuous composition of N elementary Skyrmions $\alpha_i = \arcsin H_i$ with moduli \vec{x}_i (defined in Eqs. (26) and (27)) with the property that, when all the H_i are small, the profile of the sum reduces to the sum of the profiles is

$$\alpha_{1+2+..+N} = \arcsin (H_1 + H_2 + .. + H_N) , \quad \text{if } |H_1 + H_2 + .. + H_N| \leq 1 , \quad (28)$$

$$\alpha_{1+2+..+N} = \frac{\pi}{2} , \quad \text{if } H_1 + H_2 + .. + H_N > 1 , \quad (29)$$

$$\alpha_{1+2+..+N} = -\frac{\pi}{2} , \quad \text{if } H_1 + H_2 + .. + H_N < -1 , \quad (30)$$

where one must take into account that $\arcsin x$ is only defined when $|x| \leq 1$. The combination of two or more solutions is continuous but, generically, not differentiable. The arcsin acts as a "filter" which cuts the space regions where (the absolute value of) linear combinations of solutions of the Helmholtz equation with the same $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ exceed 1. This mechanism has the interesting consequence that, within such regions, the corresponding profile and energy-density develop both a plateau (where $|H_1 + H_2 + ..| > 1$ and $\alpha_{1+2+..} = \pm\pi/2$) and discontinuities in the first derivatives where $|H_1 + H_2 + ..| = 1$.

As far as the appearance of crystals is concerned, from Eqs. (7) and (25) one can see that the energy-density of the composition $\alpha_{1+2+..+N}$ of N elementary Skyrmions (whose integral represents the interaction energy between the N elementary Skyrmions and can be computed in principle for any N) depends in a complicated way on the moduli \vec{x}_i . However, one can observe in Eqs. (7) and (25) that, in the expression of the energy-density of the composition $\alpha_{1+2+..+N}$, in order to find configurations of the \vec{x}_i which are favorable energetically, one should minimize with respect to the moduli \vec{x}_i quadratic sums of the following type

$$\Psi = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N H(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_i) \right)^2 .$$

In all the cases in which $H(\vec{x})$ involves trigonometric functions, the theory of interference in optics⁸

⁸Namely, if one considers sums such as $\sum_j^{N-1} A \exp(i\xi_j)$ one can show that it vanishes when $\xi_j - \xi_{j-1}$ is equal to $2\pi/N$ for any j . In the present case, the difference between the arguments in the summands is related to the distance

can be applied to minimize sums of the type appearing in the above equation since one can interpret Ψ as the interference of many elementary waves. Hence, placements in which the \vec{x}_i follow patterns of negative interference are always favorable energetically (although other local minima of the total energy appear as well). In the cases in which $H(\vec{x})$ involves a different basis of functions (such as the Bessel functions which naturally appear when analyzing the Helmholtz equation in unbounded domains) the known results in optics cannot be applied directly, but it is reasonable to expect that also in those cases the \vec{x}_i follow patterns associated to "negative interference of Bessel functions".

It is worth emphasizing here that the present composite Skyrmions do not correspond to the Skyrme crystals already known numerically (see for instance [23] [3]) since, in the latter case, the internal $SU(2)$ orientation depends non-trivially on space-like coordinates. However, the present result is quite remarkable since, to the best of author's knowledge, it is the first example constructed in a non-linear non-integrable four dimensional theory in which it is possible to accommodate both explicit non-linear effects in the energy-momentum tensor and a superposition law of "elementary" solutions. Hence, the present results shed new light on the non-linear interactions which are responsible for the appearance of composite structures such as the ones in [23] [3].

It is natural to wonder whether the intriguing picture described above is a "coincidence" which can only appear when the frequency of the periodic Skyrme satisfies Eq. (23). In fact, standard results in perturbation theory (see the detailed analysis in [35]) ensure that there exist a non-trivial left neighborhood of $\omega = 1/\sqrt{\lambda}$ in which the above construction provides a good approximation of the multi-Skyrmions solutions. To see this, let us consider $\lambda\omega^2 = 1 - \eta$ where $0 < \eta \ll 1$. In this case, one can treat the term proportional to η in the Skyrme field equation Eq. (20) as a perturbation and η as the perturbation parameter. Well known techniques in the theory of non-linear partial differential equations can be applied to the present case (see, in particular, page 206, chapters 4.5B and 4.5C of [35]). The kind of results that one gets in this way is that, given a solution $\alpha_{(0)}$ of Eq. (20) with $\eta = 0$, there exist a unique solution $\alpha_{(\eta)}$ of Eq. (20) with $\eta > 0$ and small such that $\alpha_{(\eta)} \xrightarrow{\eta \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{(0)}$ uniformly apart from a narrow region close to the boundary. The uniqueness result implies that, for small enough η , the composite periodic Skyrmions described above when $\eta = 0$ will exist also when η is positive and small enough. Of course, they will be slightly distorted and their energies will acquire corrections of order η , but the broad theoretical picture will remain the same.

4 Mapping between dual periodic Skyrmions

In the previous section, it has been shown how to construct exact Skyrme configurations which exhibit a non-linear superposition whose internal orientation changes periodically in time while the Skyrme profile α is static. Hence, it is natural to wonder whether it is possible to realize also the "dual configurations" in which the profile α depends on time while the internal orientation changes periodically along some space-like direction. The equations of motion in both cases are very similar but there is an important difference as it will be now shown.

between peaks of neighboring Skyrmions.

Let us consider the following choices of the profile of the hedgehog α and of the function Θ :

$$\alpha = \alpha(t, x_1, x_2) , \quad (\nabla\alpha)^2 = -(\partial_t\alpha)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 (\partial_{x_i}\alpha)^2 , \quad (31)$$

$$\Theta = Lx_3 , \quad L \in \mathbb{R} , \quad (\nabla\Theta)^2 = L^2 , \quad (32)$$

The ansatz in Eqs. (31) and (32) (which is the natural extension of the one in Eqs. (8) and (9)) describes Skyrmions with a time-dependent profile α which depends on two space-like coordinates as well. The internal vector \hat{n}^i which describes the orientation of the Skyrmion in the internal $SU(2)$ space depends periodically on the third spatial coordinates x_3 (the metric being the same as in Eq. (17)).

With the above choice, Eq. (6) reduces to

$$0 = (1 + \lambda L^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \square\alpha + \frac{\lambda L^2 (\nabla\alpha)^2}{2} \sin(2\alpha) - \frac{L^2}{2} \sin(2\alpha) = 0 , \quad \square = -\partial_t^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_{x_i}^2 . \quad (33)$$

In terms of the new variable u defined as

$$\alpha = u + \frac{\pi}{2} ,$$

Eq. (33) becomes

$$0 = \left(1 - \lambda (\omega_{eff})^2 \sin^2 u\right) \square u + \frac{(\omega_{eff})^2}{2} \sin(2u) - \frac{\lambda (\omega_{eff})^2 \sin(2u)}{2} (\nabla u)^2 , \quad (34)$$

$$(\omega_{eff})^2 = \frac{L^2}{1 + \lambda L^2} , \quad (35)$$

where trivial trigonometric identities have been used. Hence, in terms of the variable u , the equation is the same as equation (20) analyzed in the previous section with an effective frequency ω_{eff} given in Eq. (35). In fact, it is now apparent the fundamental difference between this case and the periodic Skyrmions described in the previous section. One of the key observations of the previous section is that Eq. (20), when the critical condition in Eq. (23) is satisfied, can be mapped into a linear equation. In the present case, the critical condition corresponding to Eq. (23) would be

$$\lambda (\omega_{eff})^2 = 1 ,$$

so that, as it is evident from Eq. (35), the above condition cannot be fulfilled⁹ for the ansatz in Eqs. (31) and (32). This is the crucial difference between the previous case (in which \hat{n}^i depends periodically on time) and the one discussed in this section. Although a sort of duality mapping between the two cases can be constructed, the non-linear superposition law only appears in when the

⁹It is nonetheless worth emphasizing that, in the limit in which L is very large, one can get very close to satisfy the condition which allows the appearance of the superposition law.

profile α is static and the internal orientation changes periodically in time.

4.1 Some comments on the semi-classical quantization

A very successful method to quantize Skyrmions is the so-called collective coordinates method (see, in particular, [33] [6] [7] [5] [8] [9] and references therein). In the present case this would correspond to three steps. Firstly, one promotes the moduli \vec{x}_i of the solutions of Eq. (24) to time-dependent collective coordinates $\vec{x}_i(\tau)$

$$H(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_i) \rightarrow H(\tau) = H(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_i(\tau)) .$$

Then, one replaces $H(\tau)$ in the original action and integrates over the spatial coordinates \vec{x} . Eventually, one is left with an effective action for the $\vec{x}_i(\tau)$ which, hopefully, can be quantized using standard methods. However, the available elegant methods of quantization cannot be applied directly since the classical solutions considered here can develop discontinuities and, consequently, novel quantization methods should be introduced.

5 Conclusions

In the present paper, exact configurations of the four-dimensional Skyrme model have been constructed. Such configurations can be static and kink-like (in which case they wrap around spatial torus) or time-periodic. The exact kink configurations constructed here are topologically non-trivial since they saturate a suitable BPS bounds despite the fact that they have vanishing winding number. This shows once more that, within Skyrme theory, there are many more non-trivial topological effects than the ones encoded in the winding number. Within the class of the time-periodic configurations (periodic Skyrmions), which can depend in a non-trivial way on all the space-like coordinates, it is possible to disclose a remarkable phenomenon. For a special value of the frequency, a non-linear superposition law arises which allows to compose two (or more) periodic Skyrmions into a new one. The explicit presence of non-linear effects in such superposition law manifests itself in the fact that, unlike what would happen in a linear theory, there is an effective upper bound on the maximum number of periodic Skyrmions which can be superimposed. The non-linear superposition leads to the appearance of crystals of periodic Skyrmions in which the peaks in the energy-densities of elementary Skyrmions are placed according to patterns of negative interference. Such composite Skyrmions do not disappear if the frequency is close enough to the resonance frequency (although they will suffer small distortions). These periodic multi-Skyrmions can develop spontaneously plateau where the corresponding energy-density is constant and the first derivative of the profile is discontinuous. In a non-linear theory such as the four-dimensional Skyrme model, these results are very surprising and shed considerable new light on the interactions of Skyrmions.

Acknowledgements

I warmly thank Hideki Maeda for many advises and I also thank very much Francisco Correa, Hideki Maeda and Cedric Troessaert for carefully checking the computations of this work. This work has been funded by the Fondecyt grants 1120352. The Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECs) is funded by the Chilean Government through the Centers of Excellence Base Financing Program of Conicyt.

References

- [1] T. Skyrme, *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **260**, 127 (1961); *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **262**, 237 (1961); *Nucl. Phys.* **31**, 556 (1962).
- [2] G. E. Brown and M. Rho (Editors), *The Multifaceted Skyrmion*, World Scientific, New Jersey, 2010.
- [3] N. Manton and P. Sutcliffe, *Topological Solitons*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007).
- [4] David I. Olive and Peter C. West (Editors), *Duality and Supersymmetric Theories*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [5] A.P. Balachandran, H. Gomm, R.D. Sorkin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 281** (1987), 573-612.
- [6] A.P. Balachandran, A. Barducci, F. Lizzi, V.G.J. Rodgers, A. Stern, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52** (1984), 887.
- [7] A.P. Balachandran, F. Lizzi, V.G.J. Rodgers, A. Stern, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 256**, (1985), 525-556.
- [8] G. S. Adkins, C. R. Nappi, E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 228** (1983), 552-566.
- [9] E. Guadagnini, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 236** (1984), 35-47.
- [10] H. Pais, J. R. Stone, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012), 151101.
- [11] Al Khawaja, Usama; Stoof, Henk, *Nature* **411** (2001): 918–20.
- [12] J.-I. Fukuda, S. Zumer, *Nature Communications* **2** (2011), 246.
- [13] Christian Pfeiderer, Achim Rosch, *Nature* **465**, 880–881 (2010).
- [14] S. Mühlbauer, B. Binz, F. Jonietz, C. Pfeiderer, A. Rosch, A. Neubauer, R. Georgii, P. Böni, *Science* **323** (2009), pp. 915-919
- [15] F. Jonietz, S. Mühlbauer, C. Pfeiderer, A. Neubauer, W. Münzer, A. Bauer, T. Adams, R. Georgii, P. Böni, R. A. Duine, K. Everschor, M. Garst, A. Rosch, *Science* **330** (2010), pp 1648-1651
- [16] S. Seki, X. Z. Yu, S. Ishiwata, Y. Tokura, *Science* **336** (2012), pp. 198-201.

- [17] U. K. Roessler, A. N. Bogdanov, C. Pfeleiderer, *Nature* **442**, 797 (2006).
- [18] A. N. Bogdanov D. A. Yablonsky, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **68**, 101 (1989).
- [19] A. Bogdanov and A. Hubert, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **138**, 255 (1994); **195**, 182 (1999).
- [20] Y. M. Cho, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** (2001), 252001.
- [21] L. Faddeev, A. Niemi, *Nature* **387**, 58 (1997).
- [22] M. Hirayama, J. Yamashita, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 105019 (2002).
- [23] R. A. Battye, P. M. Sutcliffe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 363 (1997); *Phys. Lett. B* **391** (1997) 150-156.
- [24] C. J. Houghton, N. S. Manton, P. M. Sutcliffe, *Nucl. Phys. B* **510**, 507 (1998).
- [25] B.M.A.G. Piette, B.J. Schoers and W.J. Zakrzewski, *Z. Phys. C* **65** (1995) 165; B.M.A.G. Piette, B.J. Schoers and W.J. Zakrzewski, *Nucl. Phys. B* **439** (1995) 205.
- [26] R.A. Leese, M. Peyrard, W.J. Zakrzewski, *Nonlinearity* **3** (1990) 773; B.M.A.G. Piette, W.J. Zakrzewski, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **5** (1995) 2495; P.M. Sutcliffe, *Nonlinearity* **4** (1991), 1109.
- [27] T. Weidig, *Nonlinearity* **12** (1999) 1489.
- [28] P. Eslami, M. Sarbishaei and W.J. Zakrzewski, *Nonlinearity* **13** (2000) 1867.
- [29] A.E. Kudryavtsev, B. Piette, W.J. Zakrzewski, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **1**, 333 (1998).
- [30] C. Adam, J. Sanchez-Guillen, A. Wereszczynski, *Phys. Lett. B* **691** (2010), 105; *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010), 085015.
- [31] F. Canfora, P. Salgado-Rebolledo, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 045023 (2013).
- [32] F. Canfora, H. Maeda, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 084049 (2013).
- [33] N. S. Manton, *Phys. Lett.* **110B**, 54 (1982).
- [34] P. M. Sutcliffe, *Nucl. Phys. B* **431** (1994), 97.
- [35] M. Berger, *Non-linearity and Functional Analysis*, Academic press, 1977.