

Development of a Li_2MoO_4 scintillating bolometer for low background physics

L. Cardani^{c,d}, N. Casali^{a,b}, S. Nagorny^a, L. Pattavina^{a*}, G. Piperno^{c,d}, O.P. Barinova^e, J.W. Beeman^f, F. Bellini^{c,d}, F.A. Danevich^g, S. Di Domizio^{h,i}, L. Gironi^{j,k}, S.V. Kirsanova^e, F. Orio^d, G. Pessina^{j,k}, S. Pirro^a, C. Rusconi^k, C. Tomei^d, V.I. Tretyak^g and M. Vignati^d

^aINFN - Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, I-67010 Assergi (AQ) - Italy

^bDipartimento di Scienze Fisiche e Chimiche - Università degli studi dell'Aquila, I-67100 Coppito (AQ) - Italy

^cDipartimento di Fisica - Università di Roma La Sapienza, I-00185 Roma - Italy

^dINFN - Sezione di Roma, I-00185 Roma - Italy

^eD.I. Mendeleev University of Chemical Technology of Russia, 125047 Moscow - Russia

^fLawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720 - USA

^gInstitute for Nuclear Research, MSP 3680 Kyiv - Ukraine

^hDipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, I-16146 Genova - Italy

ⁱINFN - Sezione di Genova, I-16146 Genova - Italy

^jDipartimento di Fisica - Università di Milano Bicocca, I-20126 Milano - Italy

^kINFN - Sezione di Milano Bicocca, I-20126 Milano - Italy

E-mail: luca.pattavina@lngs.infn.it

ABSTRACT: We present the performance of a 33 g Li_2MoO_4 crystal working as a scintillating bolometer. The crystal was tested for more than 400 h in a dilution refrigerator installed in the underground laboratory of Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (Italy). This compound shows promising features in the frame of neutron detection, dark matter search (solar axions) and neutrinoless double-beta decay physics. Low temperature scintillating properties were investigated by means of different α , β/γ and neutron sources, and for the first time the Light Yield for different types of interacting particle is estimated. The detector shows great ability of tagging fast neutron interactions and high intrinsic radiopurity levels ($< 90 \mu\text{Bq/kg}$ for ^{238}U and $< 110 \mu\text{Bq/kg}$ for ^{232}Th).

KEYWORDS: Cryogenic detectors; Double-beta decay detectors; Instrumentation for neutron detection.

*Corresponding author.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Experimental set-up	2
3. Detector operation and data analysis	3
3.1 Calibration with γ sources	4
3.2 Calibration with a neutron source	4
3.3 Calibration with an α source	7
4. Internal contaminations	9
5. Conclusions	10

1. Introduction

Lithium molybdate (Li_2MoO_4) crystal scintillators can have important applications in applied physics (detection of neutron fluxes through n captures by ${}^6\text{Li}$) as well as in fundamental physics in searches for neutrinoless double-beta decay (DBD), dark matter (DM) and solar ${}^7\text{Li}$ axions.

Double-beta decay is a process of rare nuclear transformation $(A, Z) \rightarrow (A, Z \pm 2)$ with simultaneous emission of two electrons or positrons. Two neutrinos (2ν) DBD, when two neutrinos are also emitted, is allowed in the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics but, being the second order process in weak interactions, this is the rarest nuclear decay observed to-date, with half-lives in the range of $T_{1/2} \simeq 10^{18} - 10^{24}$ yr [1, 2, 3]. Neutrinoless (0ν) DBD is forbidden in SM because it violates the lepton number conservation; however, it is predicted by many SM extensions which describes neutrino as Majorana particle ($\nu = \bar{\nu}$) with non-zero mass. ${}^{100}\text{Mo}$ is one of the favorite isotopes in searches for $0\nu\text{DBD}$ because of high energy release $Q_{\beta\beta} = 3.034$ MeV and quite big natural abundance $\delta = 9.82\%$ which can be relatively cheaply increased by centrifugal method. Only $T_{1/2}$ limits for $0\nu\text{DBD}$ are known to-date, with the best value for ${}^{100}\text{Mo}$ reached in the NEMO-3 experiment $T_{1/2} > 1.0 \times 10^{24}$ yr [4]. Scintillating bolometers are considered now as one of the most perspective tools in high-sensitive searches for $0\nu\text{DBD}$ because of high efficiency (realized in the “source = detector” approach) and possibility to distinguish $\beta\beta$ signal from backgrounds (α decays, pile-ups, etc.) by simultaneous measurement of the heat and light channels [5]. Recently, several compounds containing Mo have been studied [6] [7] [8], all of them have shown a great ability to identify α interactions from β/γ ones. This feature is extremely important because, as demonstrated by the CUORICINO collaboration [20], in DBD bolometric experiments, one of the primary background sources are degraded α particles interacting with the detector. By means of scintillating properties these types of interactions can be easily identified and discarded.

High-scale projects of ^{100}Mo 0vDBD search with sensitivity $T_{1/2} \simeq 10^{26}$ yr are known with two Mo-containing scintillating bolometers: CaMoO_4 [6] and ZnMoO_4 [7, 9]. Appearance of new highly radiopure scintillator in this field can be very interesting. Achievements in technology allow to grow now by Czochralski technique quite large ($\varnothing 3 \times 6$ cm) transparent Li_2MoO_4 crystals [10], and further progress is expected.

In accordance with our contemporary understanding of astronomical observations, usual matter constitutes only $\simeq 4\%$ of the Universe, while the main components are dark matter ($\simeq 23\%$) and dark energy ($\simeq 73\%$) [11, 12]. Quite big number of candidates were proposed on the role of weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) from which the DM could be composed; one of the approaches to discover these particles is to detect their scattering on nuclei in sensitive detectors placed deep underground in low background conditions. Highly radiopure scintillating bolometers with low energy threshold which have an ability to distinguish nuclear recoils from other signals (β/γ , α , noises, etc.) are very perspective tools in this field too.

Li_2MoO_4 can be used also in searches for ^7Li solar axions. Axions are consequence of the Peccei-Quinn solution of the so-called strong CP problem in quantum chromodynamics [13]. If axions exist, the Sun can be an intensive source of these particles. In particular, they could be emitted instead of γ quanta in M1 transitions which depopulate the excited level of ^7Li with $E_{exc} = 477.6$ keV; this level is populated in the main pp cycle of nuclear reactions in the solar interior. Coming to the Earth, such axions could resonantly excite the same level of ^7Li in some Li-containing target [14, 15]. Up to now, Ge detectors and external Li targets were used to search for de-excitation γ quanta with energy of 477.6 keV (see [16] and Refs. therein); this restricted efficiency of detection to a few %. Use of the “source = detector” approach with big Li_2MoO_4 would increase efficiency and thus sensitivity to these hypothetical particles.

Radiopurity of Li_2MoO_4 crystal, as external source in measurements with HPGe detector, was studied in [17]; it was found quite radiopure. In [18], a small $\varnothing 25 \times 0.9$ mm Li_2MoO_4 crystal was tested at the first time as a cryogenic scintillating bolometer, and both light and heat signals were observed; this demonstrated that Li_2MoO_4 can be an interesting candidate in low background physics. Here we present results of further studies of a larger $\varnothing 22 \times 33$ mm Li_2MoO_4 crystal sample as scintillating bolometer in underground measurements in the Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (3600 m w.e.) with different α , β/γ and neutron sources.

2. Experimental set-up

A Li_2MoO_4 cylindrical crystal was operated for more than 400 h together with a Ge Light Detector (LD) as a scintillating bolometer, inside a dilution refrigerator in the underground laboratory of Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (Italy).

The crystal ($\varnothing=22$ mm and $h=33$ mm) is held in position by means of four PTFE supports fixed on four copper columns, two are pulling up the crystal from the bottom surface and two are pushing it down from the top face. In order to maximize the light collection on the LD, the structure is surrounded by a reflecting foil (3M VM2002), employed as a light guide. The LD consists of a




Figure 1. Set-up of the detectors. The Li_2MoO_4 is held in position by PTFE S-shaped supports. The Ge Light Detector is facing the main crystal in such a way to maximize the light collection. The electrical signals are read from the ground-isolated Cu pins that are connected to the thermistors by means of Au wires.

hyper-pure Ge disk 36 mm of diameter and 1 mm thickness, it is coupled to a copper structure by means of a pair of PTFE clamps (see Fig. 1). The Ge detector is also operated as a bolometer, more information about operational characteristics of bolometric LD can be found in [19].

The two absorbers are equipped each one with a temperature sensor: a Neutron Transmutation Doped (NTD) germanium thermistor, similar to the one used in Cuoricino [20], that converts the thermal signal into an electrical pulse. The thermometers are thermally coupled to the absorbers by means of epoxy glue spots ($\phi \sim 0.5$ mm and $h \sim 50$ μm). On the Li_2MoO_4 crystal the sensor dimensions are $3 \times 3 \times 1$ mm^3 , while on the LD $3 \times 1.5 \times 0.1$ mm^3 .

3. Detector operation and data analysis

The thermistors of the detectors are biased with a constant current, the resistance variations, generated by the temperature rise, are converted into voltage signals by the sensors. The heat and light signals are then amplified, filtered by a 6-pole Bessel-filter with a cut-off frequency at 120 Hz, and at the end fed into a NI PXI-6284 18-bits ADC.

Triggers are software generated, they ensure that each pulse is recorded with a 1 kHz sampling rate. When the triggers fire, for the main bolometer and the LD, waveforms 1 s and 0.25 s long are recorded on disk. Moreover, when the trigger of the Li_2MoO_4 crystal fires, the corresponding waveform from the LD is recorded, irrespective of its trigger. The amplitude and the shape of the voltage pulse is then determined by the off-line analysis. The pulse amplitude of the thermal signals are estimated by means of the Optimum Filter (OF) technique [21][22], that maximizes the signal-to-noise ratio in a way to increase the energy resolution of the detector. The amplitude of the

scintillation light signals, however, is evaluated from the filtered waveforms at a fixed time delay with respect to the Li_2MoO_4 bolometer, as described in detail in [23].

The amplitude of the heat pulses is energy-calibrated using different known peaks in the acquired spectrum.

The detector was operated for more than 400 h, during the data taking calibration sources were used in order for studying the detector response to different types of interactions and to estimate the Light Yield (LY) for different particles energy deposit. ^{137}Cs and ^{40}K were employed as γ sources, placing those in proximity of the experimental set-up. Natural Sm was deposited on the surface of the reflecting foil facing the Li_2MoO_4 crystal on the opposite side of the LD, ^{147}Sm was used as α source for illuminating the crystal. Finally an Am-Be neutron source was placed close to the detector for studying the neutron capture reaction on ^6Li .

The LD performances were evaluated by means of a ^{55}Fe X-ray source close to the detector so that to illuminate homogeneously the Ge face. The two lines at 5.9 keV and at 6.5 keV, produced by the source, were used for the computation of the FWHM of the LD and for a calibration of the LY of the Li_2MoO_4 crystal. The detector, the same used in [25], showed good performance in terms of energy resolution. The FWHM energy resolution at the ^{55}Fe X-rays was 262 eV.

3.1 Calibration with γ sources

The crystal was exposed to ^{137}Cs and ^{40}K γ sources in order to evaluate the energy resolution of the thermal channel of the detector and also to estimate the LY for β/γ interactions. We define the LY as the ratio between the measured scintillation light (keV) produced by a β/γ event in the crystal and the nominal energy of that event (MeV). The LY for β/γ events was evaluated averaging the LY of ^{137}Cs and ^{40}K lines, the computed value is:

$$LY_{\beta/\gamma} = 0.433 \pm 0.012 \text{ keV/MeV}. \quad (3.1)$$

This is the first time that the $LY_{\beta/\gamma}$ for this compound is evaluated at low temperature and it is in agreement with the estimation of [18].

The FWHM energy resolution of the Li_2MoO_4 crystal is evaluated and it varies from 3.9 ± 0.8 keV at 661 keV to 4.7 ± 1.1 keV at 1460 keV (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 3).

3.2 Calibration with a neutron source

We decided to expose our set-up to an intense neutron source, about 200 n/s. An Am-Be neutron source was placed next to our experimental set-up. In fact the compound is extremely interesting for neutron spectroscopy [24], due to the relatively high neutron absorption cross section of ^6Li , both for thermal (940 b at 25 meV) and fast (10 b at 240 keV) neutrons.

The aim of the measurement was to study the reaction:






Figure 2. Light vs. Heat scatter plots for a calibration measurement with a ^{137}Cs source. In the inset the source peak is shown, where just β/γ events are selected from the Heat channel.




Figure 3. Light vs. Heat scatter plots for a calibration measurement with a ^{40}K source. In the inset the source peak is shown, where just β/γ events are selected from the Heat channel.

After the absorption of a neutron by a ^6Li nucleus there is the emission of ^3H and ^4He nuclei. The kinetic energy of the reaction products is 4.78 MeV plus the kinetic energy of the neutrons. ^6Li is an interesting nuclide because by means of its high absorption cross section and reaction allows

neutron spectroscopy, even for high energy neutrons.

The live time of the calibration measurement was 18 h, in Fig. 4 is shown the Light vs. Heat energy scatter plot. The graph is characterized by a peculiar feature, a line at 4.78 MeV, produced




Figure 4. Light vs. Heat scatter plot for a calibration measurement with a Am-Be neutron source. The larger LY band is ascribed to β/γ events produced by the interactions of neutrons with the materials surrounding the detector, while in the lower one there are direct neutron interactions in the absorber.

by the energy deposit of ^3H and ^4He nuclei in the crystal, these are reaction products of ^6Li thermal neutrons absorption. If we apply a cut on the Light channel selecting just events in the low LY band (thus excluding β/γ ones), we obtain the energy spectrum of Fig. 5, which consists of just neutron energy deposits in the absorber. The energy spectrum shows various signatures produced by neutron interactions:

- nuclear recoils produced by fast neutrons scattering on the different nuclei of the compound, which are represented by the continuum of events that extends up to 4.8 MeV. Large energy deposits are produced by the scattering on light nuclei, e.g. on Lithium and Oxygen isotopes, where neutrons can lose a large fraction of their kinetic energy;
- thermal neutrons absorption peak at 4.78 MeV;
- fast neutron absorptions on ^6Li nuclei, which are represented by events with energy greater than 4.78 MeV. The absorption cross section shows a resonance at about 240 keV [26], so the excess of events at around 5.02 MeV can be ascribed to this type of interactions (Q -value of the reaction + kinetic energy of the neutron).

The achieved FWHM energy resolution is 14 ± 2 keV at 4.78 MeV.




Figure 5. Energy spectrum of low light yield events (lower band of the Light vs. Heat scatter plot for the calibration neutron measurement). The most intense peak at 4.78 MeV is ascribed to the ${}^6\text{Li}(n_{\text{thermal}}, \alpha){}^3\text{H}$ reaction, while the cluster of events at 5 MeV is produced by the resonance neutron capture still on ${}^6\text{Li}$.

This detector proved to be able to tag neutrons with kinetic energy varying from few meV up to some MeV, assuming that the energy transfer from the neutron to the reaction products is linear. The measured light yield of ${}^3\text{H} + {}^4\text{He}$ interactions in the detector is 0.122 ± 0.022 keV/MeV at 4.78 MeV, this is estimated considering the amount of light produced by thermal neutron absorption on ${}^6\text{Li}$ and the energy released during the reaction. We are not able to disentangle the light signals produced by the two particles, because of the nature of the process (the two particles are produced at the same time), we are just able to give a cumulative LY.

3.3 Calibration with an α source

During the testing of our Li_2MoO_4 crystal, we have also performed a calibration with a ${}^{147}\text{Sm}$ α source. The crystal was faced to a smeared Sm α source. The isotope that we were interested in observing was ${}^{147}\text{Sm}$, which α -decays with a Q -value at 2.31 MeV. The choice of such a low energy α was driven by the fact that we were interested in studying the discrimination power for α and β/γ events in the same energy range, looking at the combination of the thermal and light channels.

According to the "Birks law" [27], the scintillation light produced by α particles is smaller compared to an electron because of its larger dE/dx . The large density energy deposit of α particles can induce saturation effects in the scintillator. The energy dependence of α particle LY is a consequence of the energy dependence of the stopping power. As previously shown, LY of β/γ events is independent of the energy deposit over a wide energy range (up to 1.46 MeV), we suppose




Figure 6. Light vs. Heat scatter plot for a 83 h calibration measurement with a Sm α source.

they do not suffer of saturation effects due to their low dE/dx .

In Fig. 6 is shown the calibration measurement. In the band with the lower LY there are two lines, the first one, at 2.25 MeV, is produced by the α source, while the second at higher energy is caused by a ^{210}Po surface contamination of the crystal at 5.3 MeV.

The computed LY(^{210}Po) estimated at 5.3 MeV is 0.182 ± 0.023 keV/MeV, while for the ^{147}Sm α particle is LY(^{147}Sm) = 0.127 ± 0.013 keV/MeV. These values are estimated for the first time, and they are smaller compared to other important scintillating bolometers used for rare events searches, it is the $\sim 70\%$ of ZnMoO_4 [7] and the $\sim 5\%$ of CdWO_4 [28].

By means of the two α lines shown in the scatter plot, we are able to estimate the LY $_{\alpha}$ as a function of the energy deposit. Fitting the α band with a second-order polynomial function, forcing that at zero energy there is no light production, we can estimate the LY $_{\alpha}$ for different α energy deposits. We obtain the following equation:

$$LY_{\alpha}(E_{\alpha}) = (0.087 \pm 0.026) + (0.018 \pm 0.007) \cdot E_{\alpha}[\text{MeV}] \text{ keV/MeV.} \quad (3.3)$$

The LY $_{\alpha}$ can not be directly compared to the LY $_{\beta/\gamma}$ evaluated in Sec. 3.1, because they have been estimated with two independent measurements with different experimental set-ups, hence different light collection efficiencies.

Before the setting-up of the samarium there was no clear evidence of ^{210}Pb contamination in the bulk of the crystal (the γ calibrations, described in Sec. 3.1, did not show any α contaminations during the 87 h measurement). During the installation of the Sm source, the detector was unwillingly contaminated by ^{210}Pb . Li_2MoO_4 crystals are hygroscopic, thus when we were assembling

our detector, the surface of the absorber was contaminated by ^{210}Pb nuclei implantation on the surface, probably because the ^{222}Rn content in the working environment was not minimized, as explained in [29].

From the data acquired during the α calibration, we estimate also the scintillation Quenching Factor for α particles which is defined as:

$$QF_{\alpha}(E) = LY_{\alpha}(E)/LY_{\beta/\gamma}(E). \quad (3.4)$$

For an α of 5.3 MeV (^{210}Po) the scintillation QF_{α} is 0.42 ± 0.03 , for this estimation we assumed that the $LY_{\beta/\gamma}$ is constant from 1460 keV up to 5.3 MeV. This value is larger compared to other compounds containing Mo: it is 2.5 times larger than that of ZnMoO_4 [7] and PbMoO_4 [8]. For this reason Li_2MoO_4 seems to be a candidate for DBD searches in ^{100}Mo , thanks to the good particle discrimination, but obviously highly performing LD are needed.

4. Internal contaminations

The Li_2MoO_4 crystal was grown by means of the Czochralski technique starting from MoO_3 and Li_2CO_3 powders. This crystal was the same used in [17], where the authors have evaluated the intrinsic radiopurity level by means of High Purity Germanium γ spectroscopy. In this work, we present improved limits on the main primordial contaminants, ^{238}U and ^{232}Th , by few orders of magnitude. The contamination level of the crystal has been evaluated by looking at the α band of the energy scatter plot, where the background level is lower compared to the β/γ one, and the sensitivity is higher.

The detector was operated in background conditions for 344 h, in Fig. 7 is shown the acquired statistics. During the measurement we were not able to remove the ^{147}Sm source, nevertheless we were able to evaluate the internal contaminations of the crystal by looking at higher energies of the α energy spectrum (higher than 2.3 MeV). The heat axis of the scatter plot is calibrated with the α lines. As already mentioned in the previous section the two α lines are produced by ^{147}Sm at 2.25 MeV, expressly deposited on the set-up, and by ^{210}Po caused by a surface contamination of the crystal.

The β/γ band shows a line at 1.28 MeV, this is produced by ^{40}K , but it is expected to be found at 1.46 MeV. This miscalibration is not produced by a wrong extrapolation of the calibration function, but by a different mechanism of the energy conversion inside the absorber [30]. In fact, when a particle deposits energy in the absorber, this can be released through the emission of scintillation photons, the production of thermal phonons or the stimulation of not-detectable channels (e.g. lattice imperfections); the same phenomenon is observed in other crystals [25][28]. However, the energy calibration of the β/γ scale can be corrected by providing a different calibration for this band.

The detector has a high radiopurity level of internal contaminations, in Table 1 are shown the results. The secular equilibrium for the ^{232}Th chain and for the first part of the ^{238}U chain is




Figure 7. Light vs. Heat scatter plot for the 344 h background measurement.

Table 1. Evaluated internal radioactive contaminations for the Li_2MoO_4 crystal. Limits are computed at 90% C.L., and are more stringent compared to the given in [17], where the same crystal was studied.

Chain	Nuclide	Activity [$\mu\text{Bq/kg}$]
^{232}Th	^{232}Th	< 94
^{238}U	^{238}U	< 107
	^{210}Pb	729 ± 160

assumed. The given limits are more stringent compare to the ones given in [17]. We are not able to estimate the internal content of ^{40}K due to the intense ^{40}K contamination of the experimental set-up. We would like to stress the fact that the ^{210}Pb contamination was caused by a not careful handling of the crystal during the assembly of the experimental set-up, it is not an internal background source of the crystal.

5. Conclusions

We successfully tested a 33 g Li_2MoO_4 crystal for more than 400 h as a scintillating bolometer. Calibrations with different sources were performed, energy resolution and LY for the different interacting particles were estimated. We demonstrated the detector ability to identify neutrons from β/γ and from α events. This feature is important for direct dark matter searches, where high energy neutrons may induce an unavoidable background in the region of interest.

The high radiopurity level and the scintillation properties make the studied compound a good candidate for the search of double-beta decay in ^{100}Mo , also because of the large fraction of isotope source with respect to the total mass of the molecule, and for ^7Li solar axions search.

Acknowledgments

This project was partially carried out in the frame of the LUCIFER project, funded by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) ERC grant agreement no. 247115. This work was also supported by the ISOTTA project, funded within the ASPERA 2nd Common Call for R&D Activities.

Thanks are due to the LNGS mechanical workshop and in particular to E. Tatananni, A. Rotilio, A. Corsi, and B. Romualdi for continuous and constructive help in the overall set-up construction. Finally, we are especially grateful to M. Perego and M. Guetti for their invaluable help.

References

- [1] J.D. Vergados, H. Ejiri, F. Simkovic, *Theory of neutrinoless double-beta decay*, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75** (2012) 106301.
- [2] A. Giuliani, A. Poves, *Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay*, *Adv. High En. Phys.* (2012) 857016.
- [3] J.J. Gomez-Cadenas et al., *The search for neutrinoless double beta decay*, *Riv. Nuovo Cim.* **35** (2012) 29.
- [4] L. Simard et al., *The NEMO-3 results after completion of data taking*, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **375** (2012) 042011.
- [5] S. Pirro et al., *Scintillating Double-Beta-Decay Bolometers*, *Phys. At. Nucl.* **69** (2006) 2109.
- [6] S.J. Lee et al., *The development of a cryogenic detector with CaMoO_4 crystals for neutrinoless double beta decay search*, *Astropart. Phys.* **34** (2011) 732.
- [7] J.W. Beeman et al., *Performances of a large mass ZnMoO_4 scintillating bolometer for a next generation $0\nu\text{DBD}$ experiment*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2142.
- [8] F.A. Danevich et al., *Feasibility study of PbWO_4 and PbMoO_4 crystal scintillators for cryogenic rare events experiments*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **622** (2010) 608.
- [9] J.W. Beeman et al., *A next-generation neutrinoless double beta decay experiment based on ZnMoO_4 scintillating bolometers*, *Phys. Lett. B* **710** (2012) 318.
- [10] O. Barinova et al., *Czochralski grown large diameter Li_2MoO_4 single crystals*, *Poster at 17th Int. Conf. on Crystal Growth and Epitaxy ICCGE-17, Warsaw, Poland, 11-16 August 2013*.
- [11] G. Bertone, D. Hooper, J. Silk, *Particle dark matter: Evidence, candidates and constraints*, *Phys. Rept.* **405** (2005) 279.
- [12] J.L. Feng, *Dark Matter Candidates from Particle Physics and Methods of Detection*, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **48** (2010) 495.
- [13] J.E. Kim, G. Carosi, *Axions and the strong CP problem*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82** (2010) 557.

- [14] S. Moriyama, *Proposal to Search for a Monochromatic Component of Solar Axions Using ^{57}Fe* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 3222.
- [15] M. Krcmar et al., *Search for solar axions using ^7Li* , *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 115016.
- [16] P. Belli et al., *Search for ^7Li solar axions using resonant absorption in LiF crystal: Final results*, *Phys. Lett. B* **711** (2012) 41.
- [17] O.P. Barinova et al., *Intrinsic radiopurity of a Li_2MoO_4 crystal*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **607** (2009) 573.
- [18] O.P. Barinova et al., *First test of Li_2MoO_4 crystal as a cryogenic scintillating bolometer*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **613** (2010) 54.
- [19] J. Beeman et al., *Characterization of bolometric Light Detectors for rare event searches*, submitted to *J. Inst.* [arXiv:1304.6289].
- [20] E. Andreotti et al., *^{130}Te neutrinoless double-beta decay with CUORICINO*, *Astropart. Phys.* **34** (2011) 822.
- [21] E. Gatti and P. F. Manfredi, *Processing the signals from solid state detectors in elementary particle physics*, *Riv. Nuovo Cimento* **9** (1986) 1.
- [22] V. Radeka and N. Karlovac, *Least-square-error amplitude measurement of pulse signals in presence of noise*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods* **52** (1967) 86.
- [23] G. Piperno, S. Pirro, M. Vignati, *Optimizing the energy threshold of light detectors coupled to luminescent bolometers*, *J. Inst.* **6** (2011) P10005.
- [24] J. Gironnet et al., *Neutron spectroscopy ^6LiF bolometers*, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1185** (2009) 751.
- [25] J. Beeman et al., *New experimental limits on the α decays of lead isotopes*, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **49** (2013) 50.
- [26] Japan Atomic Energy Agency, *Nuclear Data Center*, <http://www.ndc.jaea.go.jp/>.
- [27] J.B. Birks, *The Theory and Practice of Scintillation Counting*, Pergamon, London, 1964.
- [28] C. Arnaboldi et al., *CdWO_4 scintillating bolometer for Double Beta Decay: Light and Heat anticorrelation, light yield and quenching factors*, *Astropart. Phys.* **34** (2010) 143.
- [29] M. Clemenza, C. Maiano, L. Pattavina, and E. Previtali, *Radon-induced surface contaminations in low background experiments*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1805.
- [30] P. Lecoq et al., *Inorganic Scintillators for Detector Systems: Physical Principles and Crystal Engineering*, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [31] C. Arnaboldi et al., *A novel technique of particle identification with bolometric detectors*, *Astropart. Phys.* **34** (2011) 797.