

Integrable systems on semidirect product Lie groups

S. Capriotti[†] & H. Montani^{‡*}

[†] *Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Sur,
Av. Alem 1253, 8000 - Bahía Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina.*

[‡] *Departamento de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales,
Unidad Académica Caleta Olivia,
Universidad Nacional de la Patagonia Austral.
9011 - Caleta Olivia, Santa Cruz, Argentina*

November 11, 2018

Abstract

We study integrable systems on the semidirect product of a Lie group and its Lie algebra as the representation space of the adjoint action. Regarding the tangent bundle of a Lie group as phase space endowed with this semidirect product Lie group structure, we construct a class of symplectic submanifolds equipped with a Dirac bracket on which integrable systems (in the Adler-Kostant-Symes sense) are naturally built through collective dynamics. In doing so, we address other issues as factorization, Poisson-Lie structures and dressing actions. We show that the procedure

*e-mail: hmontani@uaco.unpa.edu.ar

becomes recursive for some particular Hamilton functions, giving rise to a tower of nested integrable systems.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Semidirect products with the adjoint representation	5
2.1	Bilinear forms and factorization	6
2.2	Poisson-Lie structure on H_2	8
2.3	Dressing vectors	11
2.4	Hamiltonian dressing action	13
3	Phase spaces in H_2	14
3.1	Fibration of symplectic submanifolds in H_2	16
3.2	The left action of H_1 on H_2 and its restriction to $\mathcal{N}(h_1^-, \eta_1^-)$. .	18
4	Dynamics and integrable systems on H_2	19
4.1	Hamilton equations and collective dynamics on $\mathcal{N}(g_1^-, \eta_1^-)$. . .	19
4.2	(Ω, Γ) coordinates	20
4.3	Solving by factorization	22
4.4	The nested equation of motion	25
5	Tower of integrable systems	29
6	Example: $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$	32
6.1	Iwasawa decomposition of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$	32
6.2	Semidirect product of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ with $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$	34
6.3	Dirac brackets on $\mathcal{N}_2(h_1^-, 0) \subset SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$	36
6.4	Solving by factorization	38
7	Conclusions	42
8	Acknowledgments	43

1 Introduction

Integrable hamiltonian systems find a natural setting in the realm of Lie algebras and Lie groups where their equations of motion are realized as Lax pairs. It becomes an standard framework for many integrable systems after the seminal work by Arnold [3] encoding in this framework the equations of motion associated with the rigid body and incompressible fluid. This setting fits perfectly for systems which are strongly symmetric, in such a way that the configuration space can be identified with the symmetry group.

Those systems with a Lie group as configuration space have the cotangent bundle of this Lie group as phase space, which in turn can be identified with the Cartesian product of the group itself and the dual of its Lie algebra. They are symplectic manifolds, meaning that the Poisson brackets are nondegenerate, enjoying many nice properties related to the symmetry issues [1],[14]. The restriction of this bracket to functions on the dual of the Lie algebra produces the Lie-Poisson bracket whose symplectic leaves coincides with coadjoint orbits. When the Lie algebra is supplied with a nondegenerate Ad-invariant bilinear form, one can translate the Poisson structure to the Lie algebra and the equations of motion turns into the Lax pair form.

It is surprising that when symmetries are broken one may still find a Lie groups-Lie algebras setting encoding these kind of systems by considering semidirect products of Lie groups [24]. This is the case when potential energy is included as, for instance, in the rigid body system and its N-dimensional analogues [19],[17],[18]. The general setting is that reduction of the cotangent bundle of a Lie group by the action of some Lie subgroup makes semidirect products arise. A deep understanding of this connection began to appear in ref. [8], and it was fully clarified in ref. [15], where the heavy top and compressible flow are presented as motivating examples. There are many other dynamical systems falling in this scheme as the Kirchhoff equation for the motion of a rigid body in an ideal incompressible fluid moving under a potential and at rest at infinity, and the Leggett equation for the magnetic moment in the low tem-

perature phases of 3He [16],[7], where other issues of this kind of systems are analyzed. Since then, further developments and applications has been carried out widening the involvement of semidirect product Lie groups in dynamical systems [9],[13],[5],[10].

In this work we investigate integrable systems on semidirect product Lie groups from the point of view of the Adler-Kostant-Symes (AKS) [2],[11],[23] and Reyman and Semenov-Tian-Shansky [20],[21] theory. In this context, non trivial integrable systems are generated by a restriction procedure: given a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$, with $\mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-$ Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} , the restriction of the set of *Ad*-invariant functions on a coadjoint orbit of \mathfrak{g} to the coadjoint orbit of one of its components, for instance \mathfrak{g}_+ , gives rise to a non trivial set of Poisson commuting functions, so that the Arnold-Liouville theorem holds. These ideas are extended to cotangent bundles of the Lie groups G, G_+, G_- associated with $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-$, and the solution of the equations of motion on the cotangent bundle of the factors G_+ , for instance, arises as the G_+ -factor of an exponential curve in G .

As the general framework, we consider the semidirect product of a Lie group with the underlying vector space of its Lie algebra regarded as the representation space of the adjoint action and, for dynamical issues, the Dirac scheme as presented in ref. [4] focusing our attention on a family of symplectic submanifolds in $G \times \mathfrak{g}$ defined as the level set of the *projection map* $\Psi : G \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow G_- \times \mathfrak{g}_-$.

We study hamiltonian systems on the tangent bundle of a semidirect product Lie group and work out the structure of the Hamilton equations of motion by writing them out in terms of its original components. In particular, collective dynamics and factorization will connect our construction with integrable systems. Because the factorization arises from a Poisson-Lie group, dressing vector fields are strongly involved in the dynamics, making relevant to analyze the induced Poisson-Lie structure on the semidirect products and the associated dressing actions. The construction produces a set of equations of motion resembling those of the heavy top just by substituting the adjoint action by the dressing one, and they can be solved by factorization following the AKS

ideas. Also, for some particular collective hamiltonians, the construction can be promoted to a recursive procedure on iterated semidirect products, leading to a tower of integrable systems. Some issues of this developments are showed in an explicit example built on $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and its Iwasawa decomposition.

The work is ordered as follows: in Section II we fix the algebraic tools of the problem, in Section III we describe the involved phase spaces, with the corresponding Dirac brackets, working out symmetries, the additional Poisson-Lie structure and the associated dressing actions. In Section IV we focus on the dynamical systems, collective dynamics and integrability by factorization describing also the nested equation of motion inherited from the nested semidirect product structure. In Section V, we build up a tower of integrable system for a particular collective hamiltonian, and finally, in Section VI we present an example on $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ showing the explicit solution obtained by factorization.

2 Semidirect products with the adjoint representation

Let H_1 be a Lie group and \mathfrak{h}_1 its Lie algebra, which we assume equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$k_1 : \mathfrak{h}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Associated to them, we consider the semidirect product $H_2 = H_1 \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_1$, where the vector space \mathfrak{h}_1 , with the trivial Lie algebra structure, is regarded as the representation space for the *adjoint action* of H_1 in the right action structure of semidirect product, so the Lie group structure for $(a, X), (b, Y) \in H_2$ is

$$(a, X) \bullet (b, Y) = (ab, \text{Ad}_b^1 X + Y) \tag{1}$$

where Ad^1 stands for the adjoint action of H_1 on its Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_1 .

In this setting, the right and left translation applied on $(v, Z) \in T_{(a, X)} H_2$

reads:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (R_{(b,Y)}^\bullet)_{*(a,X)}(v, Z) = ((R_b)_* v, \text{Ad}_{b^{-1}}^1 Z)_{(ab, \text{Ad}_{b^{-1}}^1 X+Y)} \\ (L_{(b,Y)}^\bullet)_{*(a,X)}(v, Z) = ((L_b)_* v, \text{Ad}_{a^{-1}}^1 [Y, (R_{a^{-1}})_* v] + Z)_{(ba, \text{Ad}_{a^{-1}}^1 Y+X)} \end{array} \right.$$

Let $(X, U) \in \mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1$. So, we have that the right and left invariant vector fields on $H_2 = H_1 \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_1$ at a point (a, V) are defined as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (X, U)^{R^\bullet} \Big|_{(a,V)} := (R_{(a,V)}^\bullet)_{*(e,0)}(X, U) = (Xa, \text{Ad}_{a^{-1}}^1 U)_{(a,V)} \\ (X, U)^{L^\bullet} \Big|_{(a,V)} := (L_{(a,V)}^\bullet)_{*(e,0)}(X, U) = (aX, [V, X] + U)_{(a,V)} \end{array} \right.$$

The exponential map $\text{Exp}^\bullet : \mathfrak{h}_2 \rightarrow H_2$ is

$$\text{Exp}^\bullet(t(X, Y)) = \left(e^{tX}, - \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} t^n (\text{ad}_X^1)^{n-1} \right) Y \right) \quad (2)$$

and the adjoint action of H_2 on \mathfrak{h}_2 is given by

$$\text{Ad}_{(b,Z)}^2(X, Y) = (\text{Ad}_b^1 X, \text{Ad}_b^1 ([Z, X]_1 + Y)) \quad (3)$$

The Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathfrak{h}_1 \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_1$ is

$$[(Y, V), (X, Z)]_2 = \text{ad}_{(Y,V)}^2(X, Z) = ([Y, X]_1, [Y, Z]_1 + [V, X]_1) \quad (4)$$

underlying the Lie group semidirect product structure of $H_2 = H_1 \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_1$. The coadjoint action becomes in

$$\text{ad}_{(Y,V)}^{2*}(\eta, \xi) = (\text{ad}_Y^{1*} \eta + \text{ad}_V^{1*} \xi, \text{ad}_Y^{1*} \xi)$$

2.1 Bilinear forms and factorization

We now consider the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_1 equipped with the symmetric nondegenerate Ad^1 -invariant bilinear form $\mathfrak{k}_1 : \mathfrak{h}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and we define on \mathfrak{h}_2 the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\mathfrak{k}_2 : \mathfrak{h}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\mathfrak{k}_2((X, U), (Y, V)) := \mathfrak{k}_1(X, V) + \mathfrak{k}_1(Y, U) \quad (5)$$

which is also Ad^2 -invariant.

Let us assume that there exists a factorization $H_1 = H_1^+ H_1^-$ where H_1^+ and H_1^- are Lie subgroups of H_1 , implying that every $h_1 \in H_1$ can be written as

$$h_1 = h_1^+ h_1^- \quad (6)$$

for some $h_1^+ \in H_1^+$ and $h_1^- \in H_1^-$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_1^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1^-$ with \mathfrak{h}_1^+ and \mathfrak{h}_1^- being Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{h}_1 . The factorization at this level can be seen in the crossed Lie bracket

$$[X_1^-, X_1^+] = (X_1^+)^{X_1^-} + (X_1^-)^{X_1^+} \quad (7)$$

where $X_1^+, (X_1^+)^{X_1^-} \in \mathfrak{h}_1^+$ and $X_1^-, (X_1^-)^{X_1^+} \in \mathfrak{h}_1^-$. Also we assume that $\mathfrak{h}_1^+, \mathfrak{h}_1^-$ are *isotropic subspaces* in relation with $\mathfrak{k}_1 : \mathfrak{h}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1^+, \mathfrak{h}_1^-)$ compose a *Manin triple*. In this way, each Lie algebra is also *Lie bialgebra* and the associated groups are *Poisson-Lie groups*.

The factorization of H_1 induces the factorization $H_2 = H_2^+ \bullet H_2^-$, where $H_2^\pm = H_1^\pm \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1^\pm$, such that any element $(h_1, X_1) \in H_2$ can be written as

$$(h_1, Y_1) = (h_1^+, X_1^+) \bullet (h_1^-, X_1^-) = \left(h_1^+ h_1^-, \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 X_1^+ + X_1^- \right)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} h_1 = h_1^+ h_1^- \\ X_1^+ = \Pi_+ \left(\text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 Y_1 \right) \\ X_1^- = \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 \Pi_- \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 Y_1 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Remark: Observe the above factorization is fully determined by factorization in the previous step: let $h_2 = (h_1, Y_1) \in H_2$, $h_1 \in H_1$ and $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{h}_1$, then

$$h_2 = \left(h_1^+, \Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 Y_1 \right) \cdot \left(h_1^-, \text{Ad}_{(h_1^-)}^1 \Pi_- \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 Y_1 \right)$$

where h_1^+ and h_1^- are such that $h_1 = h_1^+ h_1^-$.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_2 decomposes in a direct sum as $\mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathfrak{h}_2^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2^-$, with $\mathfrak{h}_2^\pm = \mathfrak{h}_1^\pm \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1^\mp$ being Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{h}_2 such that for $(X, V) \in \mathfrak{h}_2$,

$$(X, V) = (X_1^+, V_1^+) + (X_1^-, V_1^-)$$

Moreover, \mathfrak{h}_2^+ and \mathfrak{h}_2^- are *isotropic subspaces* in relation with \mathfrak{k}_2 implying the bijections $\gamma(\mathfrak{h}_2^\pm) = (\mathfrak{h}_2^\mp)^*$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_2^\pm)^\perp = \mathfrak{h}_2^\pm$. For the sake of simplicity, we shall use γ to generically denote the bijections induced by the bilinear forms \mathfrak{k}_i , for any i , no confusion would arise from this ambiguity since it becomes clear which map must be used from the labels of its arguments.

Let us quote some useful relations holding for every Lie bialgebra and Poisson-Lie group. They can be obtained from the interplay of the relation (7) and the bilinear forms \mathfrak{k}_i :

$$X_+^{Y_-} = -\gamma^{-1} \left(ad_{Y_-}^* \gamma(X_+) \right) \quad , \quad X_+^{h_-} = \gamma^{-1} \left(Ad_{h_-}^* \gamma(X_+) \right) \quad (9)$$

and

$$Ad_{h_+^{-1}} X_- = h_+^{-1} h_+^{X_-} + \gamma^{-1} \left(Ad_{h_+}^* \gamma(X_-) \right) \quad (10)$$

We remark that Ad denotes the adjoint action of the groups $H = H^+ H^-$, while Ad denotes the corresponding adjoint actions of the factors H^+ or H^- .

2.2 Poisson-Lie structure on H_2

The Lie algebras \mathfrak{h}_i , \mathfrak{h}_i^+ and \mathfrak{h}_i^- besides the bilinear form $\mathfrak{k}_i : \mathfrak{h}_i \otimes \mathfrak{h}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for $i = 1, 2$, constitute *Manin triples* $(\mathfrak{h}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i^+, \mathfrak{h}_i^-)$ so, each Lie algebra is indeed a Lie bialgebra, and the associated Lie groups are Poisson-Lie groups. For instance, on H_2^+ the Poisson-Lie structure π_2^+ is defined as, see ref. [12],

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \gamma(X_1^-, V_1^-) (h_1^+, Z_1^+)^{-1} \otimes \gamma(Y_1^-, W_1^-) (h_1^+, Z_1^+)^{-1}, \pi_2^+ (h_1^+, Z_1^+) \right\rangle \\ &= \mathfrak{k}_2 \left(\Pi_- Ad_{(h_1^+, Z_1^+)^{-1}}^2 (X_1^-, V_1^-), \Pi_+ Ad_{(h_1^+, Z_1^+)^{-1}}^2 (Y_1^-, W_1^-) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Having in mind the expression (3), and the Poisson-Lie structure in H_1^+

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \gamma(X_1^-) (h_1^+)^{-1} \otimes \gamma(Y_1^-) (h_1^+)^{-1}, \pi_1^+ (h_1^+) \right\rangle \\ &= \mathfrak{k}_1 \left(\Pi_- Ad_{(h_1^+)^{-1}}^1 X_1^-, \Pi_+ Ad_{(h_1^+)^{-1}}^1 Y_1^- \right) \end{aligned}$$

we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{k}_2 \left((X_1^-, V_1^-) \otimes (Y_1^-, W_1^-), \left(R_{(h_1^+, Z_1^+)^{-1}} \right)_*^{\otimes 2} \pi_2^+ (h_1^+, Z_1^+) \right) \\
&= \mathbf{k}_1 \left(X_1^- \otimes W_1^- + V_1^- \otimes Y_1^-, \left(R_{h_1^+} \right)_*^{\otimes 2} \pi_1^+ (h_1^+) \right) \\
& \quad + \mathbf{k}_1 \left(X_1^- \otimes Y_1^-, \left(\text{ad}_{\text{Ad}_{h_1^+}^1 Z_1^+}^1 \right)^{\otimes 2} \left(R_{h_1^+} \right)_*^{\otimes 2} \pi_1^+ (h_1^+) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\mathbf{k}_1 (A \otimes B, C \otimes D) = \mathbf{k}_1 (A, C) \mathbf{k}_1 (B, D)$. In terms of the components in $\mathfrak{h}_1^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1^-$ it turns into

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{k}_2 \left((X_1^-, V_1^-) \otimes (Y_1^-, W_1^-), \left(R_{(h_1^+, Z_1^+)^{-1}} \right)_*^{\otimes 2} \pi_2^+ (h_1^+, Z_1^+) \right) \\
&= \mathbf{k}_1 \left(X_1^-, (h_1^+)^{W_1^-} (h_1^+)^{-1} \right) + \mathbf{k}_1 \left(V_1^-, (h_1^+)^{Y_1^-} (h_1^+)^{-1} \right) \\
& \quad + \mathbf{k}_1 \left(Y_1^-, \left[(h_1^+)^{X_1^-} (h_1^+)^{-1}, \text{Ad}_{h_1^+}^1 Z_1^+ \right]_1 \right) \\
& \quad - \mathbf{k}_1 \left(X_1^-, \left[(h_1^+)^{Y_1^-} (h_1^+)^{-1}, \text{Ad}_{h_1^+}^1 Z_1^+ \right]_1 \right) \\
& \quad + \mathbf{k}_1 \left([X_1^-, Y_1^-]_1, \text{Ad}_{h_1^+}^1 Z_1^+ \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The Poisson-Lie bracket for a couple of functions F, G on H_2^+ is

$$\{F, G\}_2^+ (h_2^+) = \langle dF \otimes dG, \pi_2^+ (h_2^+) \rangle \tag{14}$$

In order to take account of the structure of semidirect product of H_2^+ , we write its differentials as $dF = (\mathbf{d}F, \delta F) \in T^*H_1^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1^+$. Due to the relation between \mathbf{k}_1 and \mathbf{k}_2 , eq. (5), we have that the bijections $\gamma_i : \mathfrak{h}_i \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{h}_i)^*$, $i = 1, 2$, are related as

$$\gamma_2 (X_1, X_2) = (\gamma_1 (X_2), \gamma_1 (X_1))$$

Also, we need the right translations on the cotangent bundle

$$R_{(b, Y)}^* (\alpha, \beta) = (R_b^* \alpha, \text{Ad}_b^{1*} \beta) \tag{15}$$

for $(\alpha, \beta) \in T_{(a,X) \cdot (b,Y)}^* H_2$. So, comparing (14) with the expression (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \{dF, dG\}_2^-(h_1^+, Z_1^+) &= \left\langle \delta F \otimes \mathbf{d}G, \left((L_{h_+^{-1}})_* \otimes id \right) \pi_1^+(h_1^+) \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \mathbf{d}F \otimes \delta G, \left(id \otimes (L_{h_+^{-1}})_* \right) \pi_1^+(h_1^+) \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \delta F \otimes \delta G, \left(\text{ad}_{Z_1^+}^1 \right)^{\otimes 2} \left(L_{h_+^{-1}} \right)_* \pi_1^+(h_1^+) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

This expression suggest to write the Poisson-Lie bivector in a block matrix form on $\mathfrak{h}_1^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1^+$ as

$$\pi_2^+(h_1^+, Z_1^+) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left(id \otimes (L_{h_+^{-1}})_* \right) \pi_1^+(h_1^+) \\ \left((L_{h_+^{-1}})_* \otimes id \right) \pi_1^+(h_1^+) & \left(\text{ad}_{Z_1^+}^1 \right)^{\otimes 2} \left(L_{h_+^{-1}} \right)_* \pi_1^+(h_1^+) \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

Let us introduce the map $\pi_+^{2R} : H_+^2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_+^2$ by composing the PL bivector, regarded as a section of $T^{\otimes 2} H_+^2$, with the right translation to the neutral element

$$\pi_+^{2R}(h_1^+, Z_1^+) = \left(R_{(h_1^+, Z_1^+)^{-1}} \right)_*^{\otimes 2} \pi_+^2(h_1^+, Z_1^+) \quad (17)$$

The differential of this map at the neutral element, namely $\delta := (\pi_+^{2R})_{*c} : \mathfrak{h}_+^2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_+^2$, is a linear map that supplies $(\mathfrak{h}_+^2)^*$ with the Lie algebra structure

$$\langle [\eta_2^+, \xi_2^+], X_2^+ \rangle = \langle \eta_2^+ \otimes \xi_2^+, \delta(X_2^+) \rangle$$

for $\eta_2^+, \xi_2^+ \in (\mathfrak{h}_+^2)^*$ and $X_2^+ \in \mathfrak{h}_+^2$. The Jacobi property of the Lie bracket in $(\mathfrak{h}_+^2)^*$ is warranted by requiring that δ be a cocycle. Then using the expression (16) we get

$$\pi_+^{2R}(h_1^+, Z_1^+) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & \left(\text{ad}_{\text{Ad}_{h_1^+}^1} \right)^{\otimes 2} \end{pmatrix} \pi_+^{1R}(h_1^+)$$

An important case happens whenever δ is a coboundary, giving rise to the r -matrix approach to integrable system [20]. In this framework, we may see that in general a coboundary at the level 1 fails in to produce a coboundary at level 2.

2.3 Dressing vectors

We can think of the Poisson-Lie bivector on H_2^+ as providing a linear map from $T^*H_2^+$ to TH_2^+ . It is well known that this map defines a Lie algebra antihomomorphism from $(\mathfrak{h}_2^+)^*$ to $\mathfrak{X}(H_2^+)$, the Lie algebra of vector fields on H_2^+ . It can be translated to a Lie algebra antihomomorphism from \mathfrak{h}_2^- to $\mathfrak{X}(H_2^+)$ by regarding the bijection $\gamma : \mathfrak{h}_2^- \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h}_2^+)^*$ in such a way that, for $h_1^+ \in H_2^+$ and $X_1^- \in \mathfrak{h}_2^-$, the linear map

$$(X_1^-)^R := \left(R_{h_1^+} \right)_* X_1^- \mapsto \left(id \otimes \left(R_{h_1^+} \right)^* \gamma(X_1^-) \right) \pi_2^+(h_1^+)$$

is a Lie algebra antihomomorphism. These vector fields are the *dressing infinitesimal generators* associated with the factorization $H_2 = H_2^+ H_2^-$, and the integral submanifold of this distribution coincides with the symplectic leaves of the Poisson-Lie structure (16).

The *dressing vector* right translated to the tangent space at the neutral element is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(R_{(h_1^+, Z_1^+)^{-1}} \right)_* (Y_1^-, W_1^-)_{H_2^+}(h_1^+, Z_1^+) \\ &= (id \otimes \gamma(Y_1^-, W_1^-)) \left(R_{(h_1^+, Z_1^+)^{-1}} \right)_*^{\otimes 2} \pi_2^+(h_1^+, Z_1^+) \end{aligned}$$

Let us come back to expression (12) and decompose it in the summands of $\mathfrak{h}_1^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1^+$. Since H_1^+ is also a Poisson-Lie group, we may write the above expression in terms of the corresponding dressing vectors:

$$\left(id \otimes \left(R_{h_1^+} \right)^* \gamma(Y_1^-) \right) \pi_1^+(h_1^+) = (h_1^+)^{Y_1^-} \quad (18)$$

Therefore, the above expression reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & (id \otimes \gamma(Y_1^-, W_1^-)) \left(R_{(h_1^+, Z_1^+)^{-1}} \right)_*^{\otimes 2} \pi_2^+(h_1^+, Z_1^+) \\ &= \left((h_1^+)^{Y_1^-} (h_1^+)^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. , (h_1^+)^{\left(W_1^- - \left[\text{Ad}_{h_1^+}^1 Z_1^+, Y_1^- \right] \right)} (h_1^+)^{-1} + \left[\text{Ad}_{h_1^+}^1 Z_1^+, (h_1^+)^{Y_1^-} (h_1^+)^{-1} \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the dressing infinitesimal generators, this element of \mathfrak{h}_2^+ has to be right translated to $(h_1^+, Z_1^+) \in H_2^+$ using that

$$\left(R_{(h_1^+, Z_1^+)^{-1}} \right)_*^{\bullet(e,0)}(v, Z) = \left(\left(R_{h_1^+} \right)_* v, \text{Ad}_{h_1^+}^1 Z \right)_{(h_1^+, Z_1^+)}$$

thus we have obtain the assignment $(Y_1^-, W_1^-) \in \mathfrak{h}_2^+ \longrightarrow (Y_1^-, W_1^-)_{H_2^+} \in \mathfrak{X}(H_2^+)$ defined as

$$(Y_1^-, W_1^-)_{H_2^+} (h_1^+, Z_1^+) = \left((h_1^+)^{Y_1^-}, \Pi_+ \left(\text{Ad}_{h_+^1}^1 W_1^- + \left[(Y_1^-)^{h_1^+}, Z_1^+ \right] \right) \right) \quad (19)$$

This result can be derived also from the factorization of the product $(h_1^-, X_1^-) \bullet (h_1^+, X_1^+) \in H_2$ as

$$(h_1^-, X_1^-) \bullet (h_1^+, X_1^+) = (h_1^+, X_1^+)^{(h_1^-, X_1^-)} \bullet (h_1^-, X_1^-)^{(h_1^+, X_1^+)}$$

where $(h_1^+, X_1^+)^{(h_1^-, X_1^-)} \in H_1^+ \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1^+$ and $(h_1^-, X_1^-)^{(h_1^+, X_1^+)} \in H_1^- \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1^-$. Hence, since

$$\begin{aligned} (h_1^-, X_1^-) \bullet (h_1^+, X_1^+) &= \left(h_1^- h_1^+, \text{Ad}_{h_+^1}^1 X_1^- + X_1^+ \right) \\ &= \left((h_1^+)^{h_1^-} (h_1^-)^{h_1^+}, \text{Ad}_{(h_+^1)^{-1}}^1 X_1^- + X_1^+ \right) \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$(h_1^+, X_1^+)^{(h_1^-, X_1^-)} = \left((h_1^+)^{h_1^-}, \Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{(h_+^1)^{h_1^+}}^1 \left(\text{Ad}_{(h_+^1)^{-1}}^1 X_1^- + X_1^+ \right) \right)$$

and

$$(h_1^-, X_1^-)^{(h_1^+, X_1^+)} = \left((h_1^-)^{h_1^+}, \text{Ad}_{((h_+^1)^{h_1^+})^{-1}}^1 \Pi_- \text{Ad}_{(h_+^1)^{h_1^+}}^1 \left(\text{Ad}_{(h_+^1)^{-1}}^1 X_1^- + X_1^+ \right) \right)$$

which define the reciprocal *dressing actions* between $H_1^+ \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1^+$ and $H_1^- \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1^-$.

Observe that

$$(h_1^+, X_1^+)^{(h_1^-, X_1^-)} \bullet (g_1^-, Y_1^-) = \left((h_1^+, X_1^+)^{(g_1^-, Y_1^-)} \right)^{(h_1^-, X_1^-)}$$

showing that its a *left action*. Also, it is easy to verify that

$$(h_1^-, X_1^-)^{(h_1^+, X_1^+)} \bullet (g_1^+, Y_1^+) = \left((h_1^-, X_1^-)^{(g_1^+, Y_1^+)} \right)^{(h_1^+, X_1^+)}$$

turning it into a *right action*.

The infinitesimal action is attained by considering $(X_1^-, Y_1^-) \in \mathfrak{h}_1^- \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1^-$ and the exponential curve $\text{Exp}^\bullet(t(X_1^-, Y_1^-))$ to get

$$(X_1^-, Y_1^-)_{H_2^+} (h_1^+, Z_1^+) = \left((h_1^+)^{X_1^-}, \Pi_+ \left(\text{Ad}_{(h_+^1)^{-1}}^1 Y_1^- + \text{ad}_{(X_1^-)^{h_1^+}}^1 Z_1^+ \right) \right) \quad (20)$$

that coincides with the expression obtained in eq. (19).

Analogously, for the reciprocal action $(h_1^-, Z_1^-)^{(h_1^+, X_1^+)}$,

$$(X_1^+, Y_1^+)_{H_2^-} (h_1^-, Z_1^-) = \left((h_1^-)^{X_1^+}, \text{Ad}_{(h_1^-)^{-1}}^1 \Pi_- \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 (Y_1^+ - \text{ad}_{X_1^+}^1 Z_1^-) \right)$$

Moreover, they are Lie algebra morphisms:

$$\left[(X_1^-, Y_1^-)_{H_2^+}, (X'_-, Y'_-)_{H_2^+} \right] = - \left([(X_1^-, Y_1^-), (X'_-, Y'_-)] \right)_{H_2^+}$$

$$\left[(X_1^+, Y_1^+)_{H_2^-}, (X'_+, Y'_+)_{H_2^-} \right] = \left([(X_1^+, Y_1^+), (X'_+, Y'_+)] \right)_{H_2^-}$$

2.4 Hamiltonian dressing action

Besides the Poisson-Lie structure on $H_2^+ = H_1^+ \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1^+$ described in (2.2), one may take profit from the identification $H_1^+ \times \mathfrak{h}_1^+ \cong TH_1^+$ to supply H_2^+ with a symplectic structure borrowed from the canonical one in $T^*H_1^+$. In fact, let us assume that H_1 is equipped with nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_1 : \mathfrak{h}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that its restriction to \mathfrak{h}_1^+ is also nondegenerate, and let $\sigma : \mathfrak{h}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_1^*$ be the induced the linear bijection. Then, H_2^+ is a symplectic manifold with the symplectic form ω_1^+ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \omega_1^+, (v_1^+, X_1^+) \otimes (w_1^+, Y_1^+) \rangle_{(h_1^+, Z_1^+)} \\ &= - \left(X_1^+, (h_1^+)^{-1} w_1^+ \right)_1 + \left(Y_1^+, (h_1^+)^{-1} v_1^+ \right)_1 \\ & \quad + \left(Z_1^+, [(h_1^+)^{-1} v_1^+, (h_1^+)^{-1} w_1^+] \right)_1 \end{aligned}$$

for $(h_1^+, Z_1^+) \in H_1^+ \times \mathfrak{h}_1^+$ and $(v_1^+, X_1^+), (w_1^+, Y_1^+) \in T_{h_+} H_1^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1^+$.

We now seek for a hamiltonian function associated with the infinitesimal generators of the dressing actions of H_2^- on H_2^+ , given in eq. (20), relative to the above symplectic structure. In doing so, for a vector $(X_1^-, Y_1^-) \in \mathfrak{h}_2^-$, we split the action as the composition of actions of $(X_1^-, 0)$ followed by the action of $(0, Y_1^-)$.

From the expression of the infinitesimal generator (19) and using the rela-

tions (9, 10), we write down

$$(X_1^-, 0)_{H_2^+} (h_1^+, Z_1^+) = \left(h_1^+ \Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{(h_1^+)^{-1}}^1 X_1^-, \Pi_+ \left[\Pi_- \text{Ad}_{(h_1^+)^{-1}}^1 X_1^-, Z_1^+ \right] \right) \quad (21)$$

This vector field coincides with the lift of the infinitesimal generators of the dressing action of H_1^- on H_1^+ to the tangent bundle TH_1^+ . These vector fields are hamiltonian provided the bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_1$ is also Ad^1 -invariant and, in such a case, the Hamilton function $\theta_{X_1^-}$ associated with $X_1^- \in \mathfrak{h}_1^+$ is

$$\theta_{X_1^-}(h_1^+, Z_1^+) = \left(Z_1^+, \Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{(h_1^+)^{-1}} X_1^- \right)_1 = \langle \Theta(h_1^+, Z_1^+), X_1^- \rangle$$

where $\Theta : H_+^2 \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h}_1^-)^*$ is the Ad^1 -equivariant momentum map

$$\Theta(h_1^+, Z_1^+) = \gamma \left(\Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{(h_1^+)^{-1}} \gamma^{-1} (\sigma(Z_1^+)) \right) \quad (22)$$

The remaining term in the infinitesimal generator (19) is

$$(0, W_1^-)_{H_+^2} (h_+, Z_+) = \left(0, \Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{(h_1^+)^{-1}} W_1^- \right)$$

Observe that

$$i_{(0, W_1^-)_{H_+^2}} \omega_1^+ = - (h_1^+)^{-1} \sigma \left((h_1^+)^{-1} (h_1^+)^{W_1^-} \right)$$

and the condition $di_{(0, W_1^-)_{H_+^2}} \omega_1^+ = 0$ imposes strong restrictions on σ so, in general, the Lie derivative $\mathbf{L}_{(0, W_1^-)_{H_+^2}} \omega_1^+ \neq 0$ meaning that the infinitesimal transformation induced by the vector field $(0, W_1^-)_{H_+^2}$ is not a symplectomorphism. Related to this fact, one may see that the left action of H_2 on itself is in general not hamiltonian relative to the symplectic form of $H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1$.

In the following sections we study some integrable systems on these phase spaces with flows described by dressing vector field like (21).

3 Phase spaces in H_2

We now consider H_2 as phase space equipped with the nondegenerate Poisson bracket inherited from the canonical symplectic form of T^*H_1 and, following the

Dirac procedure developed in ref. [4], we construct a class of phase subspaces of H_2 on which nontrivial integrable systems naturally arise.

The nondegenerate Poisson bracket we consider here is obtained in analogous way as in the previous subsection, by using the inner product $(\cdot)_1$ and the induced bijection $\sigma : \mathfrak{h}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_1^*$, with its restrictions $\sigma|_{\mathfrak{h}_1^\pm} : \mathfrak{h}_1^\pm \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_1^{\pm*}$ being also linear bijections. Thus the symplectic structure in H_2 is

$$\langle \omega_2, (v, X) \otimes (w, Y) \rangle_{(h_1, Z_1)} = - (X, h_1^{-1}w)_1 + (Y, h_1^{-1}v)_1 + (Z_1, [h_1^{-1}v, h_1^{-1}w])_1 \quad (23)$$

for $(h_1, Z_1) \in H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1$, $(v, X), (w, Y) \in T_{(h_1, Z_1)}(H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1)$. Let \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H} be functions on $H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1$ and let us write their differential as $d\mathcal{F} = (\mathbf{d}\mathcal{F}, \delta\mathcal{F}) \in T^*H_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1^*$, then the associated Poisson bracket is

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}\}_2(h_1, Z_1) &= \langle \mathbf{d}\mathcal{F}, h\sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{H}) \rangle - \langle \mathbf{d}\mathcal{H}, h\sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{F}) \rangle \quad (24) \\ &\quad - \langle \sigma(Z_1), [\sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{F}), \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{H})] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

From this expression we get the hamiltonian vector field of \mathcal{H}

$$V_{\mathcal{H}}(h_1, Z_1) = \left(h_1\sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{H}), \sigma^{-1} \left(\text{ad}_{\sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{H})}^{1*} \sigma(Z_1) - h\mathbf{d}\mathcal{H} \right) \right)$$

and the Poisson bracket $\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}\}_2$ just means the Lie derivative of the function \mathcal{F} along the the vector field $V_{\mathcal{H}}$.

The main idea of the approach to integrability we use here is to obtain non trivial integrable systems as the reduction of an almost trivial system defined in a phase space to some submanifold. It fits perfectly in the realm of Dirac method for constrained systems since it produces Lie derivatives of functions on the whole phase space along the projection of the hamiltonian vector fields on the tangent space of the constrained submanifold, giving rise to a representation of these vectors fields in terms of the geometrical data in the total phase space. Integral curves of this projected vector field are the trajectories of the constrained hamiltonian system. In the rest of the current section we adapt the approach developed in reference [4] to the framework of semidirect product and factorization as introduced above.

3.1 Fibration of symplectic submanifolds in H_2

The starting point is the phase space $(H_2, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_2)$, and we introduce the fibration

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2 : H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1 &\longrightarrow H_1^- \times \mathfrak{h}_1^- \\ (h_1, X_1) &\longmapsto (h_1^-, X_1^-) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

such that the fiber on $(h_1^-, X_1^-) \in H_1^- \times \mathfrak{h}_1^-$ is described as

$$\mathcal{N}_2(h_1^-, X_1^-) = \Psi_2^{-1}(h_1^-, X_1^-) = \{(h_1^+ h_1^-, X_1^+ + X_1^-) / h_1^+ \in H_1^+, X_1^+ \in \mathfrak{h}_1^+\}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{N}_2(e, 0) = H_1^+ \times \mathfrak{h}_1^+$. Each fiber $\mathcal{N}_2(h_1^-, X_1^-)$ can be supplied with a nondegenerate Dirac bracket constructed following ref. [4]. We shall use the linear bijection $\sigma : \mathfrak{h}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_1^*$ allows to translate those results to the current framework.

In order to simplify the notation we introduce the projectors $\mathbb{A}_\pm^k(h)$, $k = 1, 2$, defined as

$$\mathbb{A}_\pm^k(h) := \text{Ad}_{h^{-1}}^k \Pi_\pm \text{Ad}_h^k$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{A}_\pm^k(h) \mathbb{A}_\pm^k(h) = \mathbb{A}_\pm^k(h) \\ \mathbb{A}_\mp^k(h) \mathbb{A}_\pm^k(h) = 0 \\ \mathbb{A}_+^k(h) + \mathbb{A}_-^k(h) = Id \end{cases}$$

which will be used in the following.

Therefore the Dirac bracket on $\mathcal{N}_2(h_1^-, X_1^-)$ for $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H} \in C^\infty(H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}\}_2^D(h_1, Z_1) &= \langle h_1 \mathbf{d}\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{A}_+^1(h_1^-) \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{H}) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle h_1 \mathbf{d}\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{A}_+^1(h_1^-) \sigma_1^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{F}) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \sigma(Z_1), [\mathbb{A}_+^1(h_1^-) \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{F}), \mathbb{A}_+^1(h_1^-) \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{H})] \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where we have denoted the differential of a function \mathcal{F} as $d\mathcal{F} = (\mathbf{d}\mathcal{F}, \delta\mathcal{F})$ for its components in $T_{(h_1, Z_1)}^*(H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1) = T_{h_1}^* H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1^*$. In the particular case $h_1^- = e$, $\eta_1^- = 0$, we have $\mathcal{N}_2(e, 0) = H_2^+$ and the Dirac bracket reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}\}_2^D(h_1^+, Z_1^+) &= \langle h_1^+ \mathbf{d}\mathcal{F}, \Pi_+ \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{H}) \rangle - \langle h_1^+ \mathbf{d}\mathcal{H}, \Pi_+ \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{F}) \rangle \\ &\quad - (Z_1^+, [\Pi_+ \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{F}), \Pi_+ \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{H})])_1 \end{aligned}$$

as expected.

Let us consider a generic hamiltonian function \mathcal{H} on $H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1$ so, from the Poisson-Dirac bracket (26) one may obtain the corresponding hamiltonian vector field which turns to be

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathcal{N}}(h, Z) \\ &= (h (\mathbb{A}_+^1 (h_1^-) \sigma^{-1} (\delta \mathcal{H})), \\ & \quad , \sigma^{-1} (\gamma (\mathbb{A}_-^1 (h_1^-) ([\gamma^{-1} (\sigma (Z)), \mathbb{A}_+^1 (h_1^-) \sigma^{-1} (\delta \mathcal{H})] - \gamma^{-1} (h \mathbf{d} \mathcal{H})))) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The Hamilton equation are then

$$\begin{cases} h_1^{-1} \dot{h}_1 = \mathbb{A}_+^1 (h_1^-) \sigma^{-1} (\delta \mathcal{H}) \\ \dot{Z}_1 = \sigma^{-1} (\gamma (\mathbb{A}_-^1 (h_1^-) ([\gamma^{-1} (\sigma (Z)), \mathbb{A}_+^1 (h_1^-) \sigma^{-1} (\delta \mathcal{H})] - \gamma^{-1} (h \mathbf{d} \mathcal{H})))) \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

It is interesting to specialize this structures to left invariant functions. Left translations on H_1 lifted to $TH_1 = H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1$ are

$$(L_{g_1})_*(h_1, Z_1) = (g_1 h_1, Z_1)$$

meaning that left invariant functions are those satisfying $\mathcal{F}_L(g_1 h_1, Z_1) = \mathcal{F}_L(h_1, Z_1)$, and the Dirac bracket of two left invariant functions $\mathcal{F}_L, \mathcal{H}_L$ reduces to

$$\{\mathcal{F}_L, \mathcal{H}_L\}_2^D(h_1, Z_1) = - (Z_1, [\mathbb{A}_+^1 (h_1^-) \sigma^{-1} (\delta \mathcal{F}_L), \mathbb{A}_+^1 (h_1^-) \sigma^{-1} (\delta \mathcal{H}_L)])_1$$

At $h_1^- = e$ and $\eta_1^- = 0$, it reduces to

$$\{\mathcal{F}_L, \mathcal{H}_L\}_2^D(h_1^+, Z_1^+) = - (Z_1^+, [\Pi_+ \sigma^{-1} (\delta \mathcal{F}_L), \Pi_+ \sigma^{-1} (\delta \mathcal{H}_L)])_1 \quad (29)$$

that is the standard *Lie-Poisson bracket* on \mathfrak{h}_1^+ . The hamiltonian vector field for left invariant functions is

$$V_{\mathcal{H}_L}(h, Z) = \gamma (\mathbb{A}_-^1 (h_1^-) [\mathbb{A}_+^1 (h_1^-) \sigma^{-1} (\delta \mathcal{H}_L), \gamma^{-1} (\sigma (Z))])$$

3.2 The left action of H_1 on H_2 and its restriction to

$$\mathcal{N}(h_1^-, \eta_1^-)$$

In *body coordinates* for TH_1 , the *left translation* is $(L_{g_1})_*(h_1, Z_1) = (g_1 h_1, Z_1)$, with the infinitesimal generator

$$X_{H_2}(h_1, Z_1) := \left. \frac{d}{dt}(e^{tX_1} h_1, Z_1) \right|_{t=0} = (X_1 h_1, 0)$$

This action is hamiltonian referred to the symplectic form (23) with associated Ad-equivariant momentum map $\Phi_B : H_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_1^*$

$$\Phi_B(h_1, Z_1) = \gamma(\text{Ad}_{h_1}^1 \gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Z_1))) \quad (30)$$

Hence, the momentum functions

$$\phi_{X_1}(h_1, Z_1) := \langle \gamma(\text{Ad}_{h_1}^1 \gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Z_1))), X_1 \rangle = \left(Z_1, \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 X_1 \right)_1 \quad (31)$$

produce the Lie derivative of a function \mathcal{F} on H_2 along the projection of the vector field X_{H_2} on $\mathcal{N}_2(h_1^-, \eta_1^-)$ through the Dirac bracket (26). The differential of the momentum function is

$$(\mathbf{d}\phi_X, \delta\phi_X) = \left(h_1^{-1} \gamma \left(\left[\gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Z_1)), \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 X_1 \right] \right), \sigma(\text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 X_1) \right) \quad (32)$$

and the Lie derivative of a function \mathcal{F} along the infinitesimal generator X_{H_2} is given by the Dirac bracket

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\mathcal{F}, \phi_X\}_2^D(h_1, Z_1) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{d}\mathcal{F}, h_1 \mathbb{A}_+^1(h_1^-) X_1 \rangle \\ & \quad - \left\langle \delta\mathcal{F}, \sigma^{-1} \left(\gamma \left(\mathbb{A}_-^1(h_1^-) \left[\gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Z_1)), \text{Ad}_{(h_1^-)^{-1}} \Pi_- \text{Ad}_{(h_1^+)^{-1}} X_1 \right] \right) \right) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the Dirac bracket between momentum function ϕ_X, ϕ_Y is

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\phi_X, \phi_Y\}_2^D(h_1, Z_1) \\ &= \phi_{[X, Y]}(h_1, Z_1) \\ & \quad - \left(Z_1, \left[\text{Ad}_{(h_1^-)^{-1}} \Pi_- \text{Ad}_{(h_1^+)^{-1}} X, \text{Ad}_{(h_1^-)^{-1}} \Pi_- \text{Ad}_{(h_1^+)^{-1}} Y \right] \right)_1 \end{aligned}$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{h}_1$. Observe that the second term vanishes whenever $\Pi_-^* \sigma(Z_1)$ is a character of \mathfrak{h}_1^- meaning that the set of hamiltonian vector fields $\{V_{\phi_X}\}_{X \in \mathfrak{h}}$ forms a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{N}_2(h_1^-, \eta_1^-))$

$$[V_{\phi_X}, V_{\phi_Y}] = -V_{\phi_{[X, Y]}}$$

and defines a foliation in $\mathcal{N}_2(h_1^-, Z_1^-)$. It is just in this case when the map $X \rightarrow V_{\phi_X}$ defines an infinitesimal left action of \mathfrak{h}_1 on $\mathcal{N}_2(h_1^-, Z_1^-)$, and they are the infinitesimal generators of the action $H_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2(h_1^-, \eta_1^-) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_2(h_1^-, \eta_1^-)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{d}(g, (h_1^+ h_1^-, \eta_1^+ + \eta_1^-)) \\ &= \left(g \text{Ad}_{(h_1^-)^{-1}}^1 \Pi_+ (h_1^+)^{-1} g h_1^+, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sigma^{-1} \left(\gamma \left(\text{Ad}_{(h_1^-)^{-1}}^1 \Pi_- \text{Ad}_{\Pi_-(h_1^+)^{-1} g h_1^+}^1 \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 \gamma^{-1} (\sigma(Z_1)) \right) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $g \in H_1$.

4 Dynamics and integrable systems on H_2

4.1 Hamilton equations and collective dynamics on $\mathcal{N}(g_1^-, \eta_1^-)$

The Hamilton equations of motion on $\mathcal{N}(g_1^-, \eta_1^-)$ were derived from the Dirac bracket (26) in eq. (28), for any hamiltonian function \mathcal{H} on $H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1 = H_2$. In order to study integrable systems, we shall consider a general *collective hamiltonian*

$$\mathcal{H}(h_1, Z_1) := \mathfrak{h}(\Phi_B(h_1, Z_1))$$

where $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{h}_1^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Ad-invariant. Observe that collective hamiltonians with Ad-invariant $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{h}_1^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are naturally left invariant, i.e., for any $g \in H_1$:

$$\mathcal{H}((Lg)_*(h, Z)) = \mathfrak{h}(\Phi_B((Lg)_*(h, Z))) = \mathfrak{h}(\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}^* \Phi_B(h, Z)) = \mathcal{H}(h, Z)$$

Let us introduce the Legendre transform of $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{h}_1^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined as the map $\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{h}_1^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_1$ with

$$\mathfrak{k}_1(\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{h}(\eta_1), X_1) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{h}(\eta_1 + t\gamma(X_1)) \right|_{t=0}$$

Because of the Ad-invariance of \mathfrak{h} we have that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\text{Ad}_h^* \eta_1) = \text{Ad}_{h^{-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\eta_1)$$

so

$$d\mathcal{H}|_{(h_1, Z_1)} = (0, \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\sigma(Z_1)))) \quad (33)$$

and the Hamilton equations (28) turn into

$$\begin{cases} h_1^{-1} \dot{h}_1 = \mathbb{A}_+^1(h_1^-) \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\sigma(Z_1)) \\ \dot{Z}_1 = \sigma^{-1}(\gamma(\mathbb{A}_-^1(h_1^-) ([\gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Z_1)), \mathbb{A}_+^1(h_1^-) \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\sigma(Z_1))])))) \end{cases}$$

It can be decomposed into the dynamical content on each factor of $H_1 = H_1^+ H_1^-$ and $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_1^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1^-$ by writing

$$h_1^{-1} \dot{h}_1 = (h_1^+ h_1^-)^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} (h_1^+ h_1^-) = \text{Ad}_{(h_1^-)^{-1}}^1 \left((h_1^+)^{-1} \dot{h}_1^+ + \dot{h}_1^- (h_1^-)^{-1} \right)$$

therefore

$$\begin{cases} (h_1^+)^{-1} \dot{h}_1^+ = \Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\sigma(Z_1)) \\ \dot{Z}_1^+ = \sigma^{-1}(\gamma(\mathbb{A}_-^1(h_1^-) [\gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Z_1)), \mathbb{A}_+^1(h_1^-) \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\sigma(Z_1))])) \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{cases} (h_1^-)^{-1} \dot{h}_1^- = 0 \\ \dot{Z}_1^- = 0 \end{cases}$$

4.2 (Ω, Γ) coordinates

The equations (34) are easily handled after introducing the variables

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_1 = \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\sigma(Z_1)) \\ \Gamma_1 = \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 \gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Z_1)) \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

which are related as

$$\Omega_1 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\gamma(\Gamma_1)) \quad (36)$$

Also, observe that

$$\Pi_+ \mathbf{\Gamma}_1 = \Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{h_1^-}^1 \Pi_+ \gamma^{-1} (\sigma(Z_1)) = \gamma^{-1} \left(\text{Ad}_{(h_1^-)^{-1}}^* \Pi_-^* \sigma(Z_1) \right)$$

and because $\Pi_-^* \sigma(Z_1)$ is a character of \mathfrak{h}_1^- , it turns in

$$\Pi_+ \mathbf{\Gamma}_1 = \gamma^{-1} (\Pi_-^* \sigma(Z_1))$$

meaning that $\gamma(\Pi_+ \mathbf{\Gamma}_1) = \Pi_-^* \gamma(\mathbf{\Gamma}_1)$ is a character of \mathfrak{h}_1^- .

The equations (34) state that $\dot{Z}_1^- = 0$, so we can write

$$\text{Ad}_{h_1^-} \gamma^{-1} \left(\sigma \left(\dot{Z}_1^+ \right) \right) = \text{Ad}_{h_1^-} \gamma^{-1} \left(\sigma \left(\dot{Z}_1 \right) \right) = \dot{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_1$$

and

$$\dot{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_1^+ = \Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{h_1^-} \gamma^{-1} \left(\sigma \left(\dot{Z}_1 \right) \right) = \Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{h_1^-} \gamma^{-1} \left(\sigma \left(\dot{Z}_1^- \right) \right) = 0$$

Therefore, the collective Hamilton equations (34) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} (h_1^+)^{-1} \dot{h}_1^+ = \mathbf{\Omega}_1^+ \\ \dot{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_1 = \Pi_- [\mathbf{\Gamma}_1, \mathbf{\Omega}_1^+] \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

which, in some sense, resembles the Euler equation of motion for a rigid body moving under dressing action in place of adjoint action.

The Ad-invariance of \mathfrak{h} implies the relation

$$\text{ad}_{\mathcal{L}_h(\eta)}^* \eta = 0 \implies \text{ad}_{\Pi_+ \mathcal{L}_h(\eta)}^* \eta = -\text{ad}_{\Pi_- \mathcal{L}_h(\eta)}^* \eta$$

that traduces in terms of the new variables $\mathbf{\Omega}_1, \mathbf{\Gamma}_1$ in

$$[\mathbf{\Gamma}_1, \mathbf{\Omega}_1^+] = -[\mathbf{\Gamma}_1, \mathbf{\Omega}_1^-]$$

or, equivalently

$$[\mathbf{\Gamma}_1, \mathbf{\Omega}_1] = 0 \quad (38)$$

Using this result, the Hamilton equations (37) now reads

$$\begin{cases} (h_1^+)^{-1} \dot{h}_1^+ = \mathbf{\Omega}_1^+ \\ \dot{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_1 = -\Pi_- [\mathbf{\Gamma}_1, \mathbf{\Omega}_1^-] \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

Remark: Since $\Pi_-^* \sigma(Z_1)$ is a character of \mathfrak{h}_1^- , so is $\Pi_-^* \gamma(\Gamma_1) = \gamma(\Gamma_1^+)$.

The last Hamilton equations can still be rewritten in another form. Observe that

$$\dot{\Gamma}_1 = -\Pi_- [\Gamma_1, \Omega_1^-] = -[\Gamma_1, \Omega_1^-] + \Pi_+ [\Gamma_1, \Omega_1^-]$$

where the second term in the rhs is equivalent to

$$\Pi_+ [\Gamma_1, \Omega_1^-] = \Pi_+ [\Gamma_1^+, \Omega_1^-] = \gamma^{-1} \left(\Pi_-^* \text{ad}_{\Omega_1^-}^* \gamma(\Gamma_1^+) \right)$$

Here, we observe that

$$\left\langle \Pi_-^* \text{ad}_{\Omega_1^-}^* \gamma(\Gamma_1^+), Z \right\rangle = \left\langle \text{ad}_{\Omega_1^-}^* \gamma(\Gamma_1^+), Z_- \right\rangle = \left\langle \text{ad}_{\Omega_1^-}^* \gamma(\Gamma_1^+), Z \right\rangle$$

for arbitrary $Z \in \mathfrak{h}_1$, so we conclude that

$$\Pi_-^* \text{ad}_{\Omega_1^-}^* \gamma(\Gamma_1^+) = \text{ad}_{\Omega_1^-}^* \gamma(\Gamma_1^+) = 0$$

because $\gamma(\Gamma_1^+)$ is a character of \mathfrak{h}_1^- , implying that

$$\Pi_+ [\Gamma_1, \Omega_1^-] = 0 \tag{40}$$

Thus, finally

$$\dot{\Gamma}_1 = -[\Gamma_1, \Omega_1^-]$$

and the Hamilton equations take the form

$$\begin{cases} (h_1^+)^{-1} \dot{h}_1^+ = \Omega_1^+ \\ \dot{\Gamma}_1 = -[\Gamma_1, \Omega_1^-] \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

4.3 Solving by factorization

We now see how to solve the Hamilton equations (41) by factorization, showing that the solution curves are orbits of the action of the factor curves in H_1^+ and H_1^- of an exponential curve in H_1 .

Let us start with the last version of the Hamilton equation in terms of $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma})$, namely eqs. (41). As it is well known, the second of these equations has the solution

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1(t) = \text{Ad}_{g_1^-(t)}^1 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{1\circ} \quad (42)$$

for some initial value $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1(t_\circ) = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{1\circ}$, provided the curve $g_1^-(t) \in H_1^-$ solves the differential equation

$$\dot{g}_1^-(g_1^-)^{-1} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_1^- \quad (43)$$

In addition, this brings another important consequence: the problem can be solved by factorization. Let us show how this idea works: adding the first equation (41) and (43) we get

$$(h_1^+)^{-1} \dot{h}_1^+ + \dot{g}_1^-(g_1^-)^{-1} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_1$$

that is equivalent to

$$(h_1^+ g_1^-)^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} (h_1^+ g_1^-) = \text{Ad}_{(g_1^-)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_1 \quad (44)$$

By introducing the curve

$$k_1(t) := h_1^+(t) g_1^-(t)$$

and the map $\Theta_1 : H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_1$

$$(h_1^+ g_1^-, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1) \longmapsto \Theta_1(k_1, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1) = \mathcal{L}_h \left(\gamma \left(\text{Ad}_{(g_1^-)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 \right) \right) = \text{Ad}_{(g_1^-)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_1$$

the equation (44) becomes in

$$k_1^{-1} \dot{k}_1 = \Theta_1(k_1, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1) \quad (45)$$

Therefore, solving the differential equation (45) and decomposing the solution in its factors in H_1^+ and H_1^- , one solves the original problem. However, for general Θ_1 this equation can be hardly integrated. The next result is crucial for the success of the AKS procedure.

Proposition: *The vector field on $H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1$ defined by the assignment*

$$(k_1, \Gamma_1) \longmapsto \mathcal{X}(k_1, \Gamma_1) := (\Theta_1(k_1, \Gamma_1), -[\Gamma_1, \Pi_1^- \mathcal{L}_h(\gamma(\Gamma_1))])$$

is in the null distribution of the differential Θ_{1} of Θ_1 .*

Proof: Let us calculate it as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{1*}(\mathcal{X}(k_1, \Gamma_1)) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}_h \left(\gamma \left(\text{Ad}_{(g_1^-(t))^{-1}}(\Gamma_1(t)) \right) \right) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \left. \mathcal{L}_{h*} \gamma \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\text{Ad}_{(g_1^-(t))^{-1}}(\Gamma_1(t)) \right) \right) \right|_{t=0} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \left(\text{Ad}_{(g_1^-(t))^{-1}}(\Gamma_1(t)) \right) \right|_{t=0} &= -\text{Ad}_{(g_1^-)^{-1}} \text{ad}_{g_1^-(g_1^-)^{-1}} \Gamma_1 + \text{Ad}_{(g_1^-)^{-1}} \dot{\Gamma}_1 \\ &= -\text{Ad}_{(g_1^-)^{-1}} [\Omega_1^-, \Gamma_1] - \text{Ad}_{(g_1^-)^{-1}} [\Gamma_1, \Omega_1^-] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\Theta_{1*}(\mathcal{X}(k_1, \Gamma_1)) = 0$$

as stated. ■

Corollary: *The map $\Theta^1 : H_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_1$ is constant along the solution curves of the system of Hamilton equations*

$$\begin{cases} k_1^{-1} \dot{k}_1 = \Theta_1(k_1, \Gamma_1) \\ \dot{\Gamma}_1 = -[\Gamma_1, \Omega_1^-] \end{cases}$$

It makes the differential equation on H_1 (45) easily integrable: because $\Theta_1(k_1, \Gamma_1)$ is a constant of motion $\Theta_{1\circ} \in \mathfrak{h}_1$, it has the exponential solution

$$k(t) = e^{t\Theta_{1\circ}}$$

The decomposition of this solution $k(t)$ in its factor on H_1^+ and H_1^- , as

$$e^{t\Theta_{1\circ}} = h_1^+(t) g_1^-(t)$$

gives the full solution of the original problem. In fact,

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_1^+ = (h_1^+)^{-1} \dot{h}_1^+$$

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_1^- = \dot{g}_1^- (g_1^-)^{-1}$$

and

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_1(t) = \text{Ad}_{g_1^-(t)}^1 \mathbf{\Gamma}_{1\circ} \quad (46)$$

for some initial value $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1(t_\circ) = \mathbf{\Gamma}_{1\circ}$.

4.4 The nested equation of motion

One may think of phase spaces on semidirect product Lie groups as way of packing many variables in a well suited fashion in order to formulate a complicated problem in a simpler way. It becomes evident if we consider the Hamilton equation on $H_1 = H_0 \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_0$ and we express it in terms of the H_0 and \mathfrak{h}_0 variables. In doing so, we consider now the equations (34) on $\mathcal{N}(h_1^-, Z_1^-)$, in terms of the variables $(\mathbf{\Omega}_1, \mathbf{\Gamma}_1)$ as in eqs. (37, 39, 41), and write them in terms the variables in H_0, \mathfrak{h}_0 . Let us first to establish some notational convention regarding the elements of H_1 as objects in $H_0 \times \mathfrak{h}_0$:

$$(h_1, Z_1) := ((h_0, Z_0), (X_0, Y_0))$$

$$\gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Z_1)) := (\gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Y_0)), \gamma^{-1}(\sigma(X_0)))$$

$$\mathcal{L}_h(\sigma(Z_1)) := (\mathcal{L}'_h(\sigma(Z_1)), \mathcal{L}''_h(\sigma(Z_1)))$$

and, having in mind the expression for the corresponding adjoint action given in (3, 4), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_1 &= \left(\text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 \mathcal{L}'_h (\sigma (Z_1)) , \right. \\ &\quad \left. , \left[\text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 Z_0^-, \text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 \mathcal{L}'_h (\sigma (Z_1)) \right] + \text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 \mathcal{L}''_h (\sigma (Z_1)) \right) \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 &= \left(\text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 \gamma^{-1} (\sigma (Y_0)) , \right. \\ &\quad \left. , \left[\text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 Z_0^-, \text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 \gamma^{-1} (\sigma (Y_0)) \right] + \text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 \gamma^{-1} (\sigma (X_0)) \right)\end{aligned}$$

By analogy, we introduce the variables

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_0 &= \text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 \mathcal{L}'_h (\sigma (X_0, Y_0)) \\ \mathbf{N}_0 &= \text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 \mathcal{L}''_h (\sigma (X_0, Y_0)) \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_0 &= \text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 \gamma^{-1} (\sigma (Y_0)) \\ \mathbf{M}_0 &= \text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 \gamma^{-1} (\sigma (X_0)) \\ \mathbf{R}_0^- &= \text{Ad}_{h_0^-}^0 Z_0^-\end{aligned}\tag{47}$$

so, the above expressions for $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_1, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1)$ become

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_1 &= \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_0, \left[\mathbf{R}_0^-, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_0 \right] + \mathbf{N}_0 \right) \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 &= \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_0, \left[\mathbf{R}_0^-, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_0 \right] + \mathbf{M}_0 \right)\end{aligned}\tag{48}$$

Also, the (translated) evolution vector field reads

$$(h_1^+)^{-1} (t) \dot{h}_1^+ (t) = \left((h_0^+)^{-1} (t) \dot{h}_0^+ (t), \left[(h_0^+ (t))^{-1} \dot{h}_0^+ (t), Z_0^+ (t) \right] + \dot{Z}_0^+ (t) \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}& [\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_1^+] \\ &= \left(\left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_0^+ \right] , \right. \\ &\quad \left. , \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_0, \Pi_+ \left[\mathbf{R}_0^-, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_0 \right] \right] + \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_0, \mathbf{N}_0^+ \right] + \left[\left[\mathbf{R}_0^-, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_0 \right], \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_0^+ \right] + \left[\mathbf{M}_0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_0^+ \right] \right)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the equations of motion (37) for the $H_0^\pm, \mathfrak{h}_0^\pm$ coordinates are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (h_0^+)^{-1}(t) \dot{h}_0^+(t) = \tilde{\Omega}_0^+ \\ \dot{Z}_0^+(t) = -[\tilde{\Omega}_0^+, Z_0^+] + [\mathbf{R}_0^0, \tilde{\Omega}_0] + \mathbf{N}_0 \\ \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\Gamma}_0 = \Pi_- [\tilde{\Gamma}_0, \tilde{\Omega}_0^+] \\ \dot{\mathbf{M}}_0 = \Pi_- [\tilde{\Gamma}_0, \mathbf{N}_0^+] + \Pi_- [\mathbf{M}_0, \tilde{\Omega}_0^+] + [\Pi_- [\tilde{\Gamma}_0, \tilde{\Omega}_0^+], \mathbf{R}_0^-] \\ \quad + \Pi_- [\tilde{\Gamma}_0, \Pi_+ [\mathbf{R}_0^-, \tilde{\Omega}_0]] + \Pi_- [[\mathbf{R}_0^-, \tilde{\Gamma}_0], \tilde{\Omega}_0^+] \end{array} \right. \quad (49)$$

They become simpler and more familiar for $\mathbf{R}_0^- = 0$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (h_0^+)^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} h_0^+ = \tilde{\Omega}_0^+ \\ \frac{d}{dt} Z_0^+ = -[\tilde{\Omega}_0^+, Z_0^+] + \mathbf{N}_0 \\ \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\Gamma}_0 = \Pi_- [\tilde{\Gamma}_0, \tilde{\Omega}_0^+] \\ \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{M}_0 = \Pi_- [\tilde{\Gamma}_0, \mathbf{N}_0^+] + \Pi_- [\mathbf{M}_0, \tilde{\Omega}_0^+] \end{array} \right. \quad (50)$$

Remark: Observe that in the last two equations we can replace

$$\Pi_- [\tilde{\Gamma}_0, \tilde{\Omega}_0^+] = \Pi_- [\tilde{\Gamma}_0^-, \tilde{\Omega}_0^+] = (\tilde{\Gamma}_0^-)^{\tilde{\Omega}_0^+}$$

$$\Pi_- [\mathbf{M}_0, \tilde{\Omega}_0^+] = \Pi_- [\mathbf{M}_0^-, \tilde{\Omega}_0^+] = (\mathbf{M}_0^-)^{\tilde{\Omega}_0^+}$$

where $(Y_0^-)^{X_0^+}$ means the dressing action of \mathfrak{h}_0^+ on \mathfrak{h}_0^- (see eq. (7) and ref. [12]). Then, these equations turn into

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\Gamma}_0^- = (\tilde{\Gamma}_0^-)^{\tilde{\Omega}_0^+} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{M}_0^- = (\tilde{\Gamma}_0^-)^{\mathbf{N}_0^+} + (\mathbf{M}_0^-)^{\tilde{\Omega}_0^+} \end{array} \right.$$

that resembles the Euler-Poisson equations of the heavy rigid body. In fact, they are

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\Gamma} = [\Gamma, \Omega] \\ \dot{M} = [M, \Omega] + [\Gamma, N] \end{cases}$$

where Ω is the angular velocity, M is the angular momenta, Γ is the gravitational force as seen from the moving frame, and N is the vector joining the center of mass with a fixed point. In these equations the evolution is generated by the adjoint action of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{so}_3 on itself, while in our case is generated by the dressing action of \mathfrak{h}_0^+ on \mathfrak{h}_0^- .

In order to solve the equations (49) we just need to factorize the exponential curve $k_1(t)$ on H_1

$$k_1(t) = e^{t\Theta_1} = h_1^+(t) g_1^-(t)$$

with

$$\Omega_1(t) = \text{Ad}_{g_1^-(t)}^1 \Theta_1$$

These factors decompose on H_0^\pm and \mathfrak{h}_0^\pm as

$$\begin{cases} h_1^+(t) = (h_0^+(t), Z_0^+(t)) \\ g_1^-(t) = (g_0^-(t), Z_0^-(t)) \end{cases}$$

so that from the solution (46)

$$\Gamma_1(t) = \text{Ad}_{g_1^-(t)}^1 \Gamma_{1o} = \left(\text{Ad}_{g_0^-(t)}^0 \tilde{\Gamma}'_{0o}, \text{Ad}_{g_0^-(t)}^0 \left([Z_0^-(t), \tilde{\Gamma}'_{0o}] + \tilde{\Gamma}''_{0o} \right) \right)$$

we get

$$\Gamma_1(t) = \left(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(t), [\mathbf{R}_0^-, \tilde{\Gamma}_0(t)] + \mathbf{M}_0(t) \right)$$

that implies

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_0(t) = \text{Ad}_{g_0^-(t)}^0 \tilde{\Gamma}'_{0o} \tag{51}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_0(t) = \left[\text{Ad}_{g_0^-(t)}^0 Z_0^-(t) - \mathbf{R}_0^-, \text{Ad}_{g_0^-(t)}^0 \tilde{\Gamma}'_{0o} \right] + \text{Ad}_{g_0^-(t)}^0 \tilde{\Gamma}''_{0o}$$

for some initial value $\Gamma_{1o} = (\tilde{\Gamma}'_{0o}, \tilde{\Gamma}''_{0o})$.

5 Tower of integrable systems

The above construction can be made recursive for appropriated hamiltonians, giving rise thus to a tower of integrable system on semidirect products, all of them solvable by factorization.

First, let us suppose that G is a *semisimple Lie group*, \mathfrak{g} is its Lie algebra, and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ its Killing form. For every $m = 1, 2, \dots$ we define $H_m := H_{m-1} \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_{m-1}$, and we identify $H_0 = G$, $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_0 = (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then we have:

Lemma: *Let \mathfrak{h}_{m-1} be a Lie algebra equipped with a nondegenerate Ad^{m-1} -invariant bilinear form $\mathfrak{k}_{m-1} : \mathfrak{h}_{m-1} \otimes \mathfrak{h}_{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. On $\mathfrak{h}_m := \mathfrak{h}_{m-1} \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_{m-1}$ the bilinear form $\mathfrak{k}_m : \mathfrak{h}_m \times \mathfrak{h}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ given by*

$$\mathfrak{k}_m((X, Y), (X', Y')) := \frac{1}{2} (\mathfrak{k}_{m-1}(X, Y') + \mathfrak{k}_{m-1}(Y, X'))$$

$\forall (X, Y), (X', Y') \in \mathfrak{h}_m$, is nondegenerate and Ad^m -invariant.

Proof: It follows by direct substitution of

$$\text{Ad}_{(b, Z)}^m(X, Y) = (\text{Ad}_b^{m-1} X, \text{Ad}_b^{m-1}([Z, X]_{m-1} + Y))$$

for $(b, Z) \in H_m$ and $(X, Y), (X', Y') \in \mathfrak{h}_m$, in

$$\mathfrak{k}_m(\text{Ad}_{(b, Z)}^m(X, Y), \text{Ad}_{(b, Z)}^m(X', Y'))$$

and using the Ad^{m-1} -invariance of the bilinear form \mathfrak{k}_{m-1} , to reach

$$\mathfrak{k}_m(\text{Ad}_{(b, Z)}^m(X, Y), \text{Ad}_{(b, Z)}^m(X', Y')) = \mathfrak{k}_m((X_1, Y_1), (X', Y'))$$

as expected. ■

As we saw above, eqs. (6, 8), the factorization

$$\begin{cases} H_m = H_m^+ H_m^- \\ \mathfrak{h}_m = \mathfrak{h}_m^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m^- \end{cases}$$

implies that H_{m+1} factorizes according to

$$H_{m+1} = H_{m+1}^+ H_{m+1}^- = (H_m^+ \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_m^+) \cdot (H_m^- \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_m^-)$$

Explicitly, it means that $(h, Y) \in H_{m+1} = H_m \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_m$ admits the factorization

$$(h, Y) = (h_+, X_+) \cdot (h_-, X_-)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} h = h_+ h_- \\ X_+ = \Pi_+ \left(\text{Ad}_{h_-}^m Y \right) \\ X_- = \text{Ad}_{h_-}^m \Pi_- \text{Ad}_{h_-}^m Y \end{cases}$$

The chain of semidirect product of Lie groups produces a chain of semidirect sum of Lie algebras. Each element factorizes as

$$\mathfrak{h}_{m+1} = \mathfrak{h}_{m+1}^+ \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_{m+1}^-$$

such that $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{h}_{m+1} = \mathfrak{h}_m \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_m$, $(X_{\pm}, Y_{\pm}) \in \mathfrak{h}_{m+1}^{\pm} = \mathfrak{h}_m^{\pm} \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_m^{\pm}$, factorizes as

$$(X, Y) = (X_+, Y_+) \oplus (X_-, Y_-)$$

Let us choose the hamiltonian on $\mathcal{N}_{m+1}(h_m^-, Z_m^-) \subset H_{m+1}$ as

$$\mathcal{H}_{m+1}(h_m, Z_m) := \mathfrak{h}^{(m)} \left(\Phi^{(m+1)}(h_m, Z_m) \right) \quad (52)$$

for the momentum map

$$\Phi^{(m+1)}(h_m, Z_m) = \gamma \left(\text{Ad}_{h_m}^m \gamma^{-1} (\sigma(Z_m)) \right) = \text{Ad}_{h_m}^{(m)*} \sigma(Z_m)$$

and $\mathfrak{h}^{(m)} : \mathfrak{h}_m^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(m)}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{k}_m(\gamma^{-1}(\eta), \gamma^{-1}(\eta))$$

Then, its Legendre transform $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}^{(m)}} : \mathfrak{h}_m^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_m$

$$\mathfrak{k}_m(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}^{(m)}}(\eta_1), Y_1) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{h}_m(\eta_m + t\gamma(Y_m)) \right|_{t=0}$$

is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}^{(m)}}(\eta_m) = \gamma^{-1}(\eta_m) = (\gamma^{-1}(\eta'_{m-1}), \gamma^{-1}(\eta_{m-1}))$$

In terms of the variables $(\mathbf{\Omega}, \mathbf{\Gamma})$, see (35), we have

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_m = \text{Ad}_{h_m}^m \gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Z_m)) = \mathbf{\Gamma}_m$$

and the collective Hamilton equations (34) are

$$\begin{cases} (h_m^+)^{-1} \dot{h}_m^+ = \Omega_m^+ \\ \dot{\Omega}_m = \Pi_- [\Omega_m^-, \Omega_m^+] \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

To write down the dynamics in terms of the coordinates of H_{m-1}^+ and \mathfrak{h}_{m-1}^+ , setting

$$(h_m, Z_m) = ((h_{m-1}, Z_{m-1}), (X_{m-1}, Y_{m-1}))$$

we introduce the variables

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}_{m-1} &= \tilde{\Gamma}_{m-1} = \text{Ad}_{h_{m-1}^-}^{m-1} \gamma^{-1}(\sigma(X_{m-1})) \\ \mathbf{N}_{m-1} &= \text{Ad}_{h_{m-1}^-}^{m-1} \gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Y_{m-1})) \\ \mathbf{M}_{m-1} &= \text{Ad}_{h_{m-1}^-}^{m-1} \gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Y_{m-1})) \\ \mathbf{R}_{m-1}^- &= \text{Ad}_{h_{m-1}^-}^{m-1} Z_{m-1}^- \end{aligned}$$

such that Ω_m and Γ_m are now

$$\Omega_m = \left(\tilde{\Omega}_{m-1}, \left[\mathbf{R}_{m-1}^-, \tilde{\Omega}_{m-1} \right] + \mathbf{N}_{m-1} \right)$$

$$\Gamma_m = \left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{m-1}, \left[\mathbf{R}_{m-1}^-, \tilde{\Gamma}_{m-1} \right] + \mathbf{M}_{m-1} \right)$$

and the corresponding equations of motion (49) are

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} (h_{m-1}^+)^{-1} \dot{h}_{m-1}^+(t) &= \tilde{\Omega}_{m-1}^+ \\ \dot{Z}_{m-1}^+(t) &= - \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{m-1}^+, Z_{m-1}^+ \right] + \left[\mathbf{R}_{m-1}^-, \tilde{\Omega}_{m-1} \right] + \mathbf{N}_{m-1} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\Gamma}_{m-1} &= \Pi_- \left[\tilde{\Gamma}_{m-1}, \tilde{\Omega}_{m-1}^+ \right] \\ \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{m-1} &= \Pi_- \left[\tilde{\Gamma}_{m-1}, \mathbf{N}_{m-1}^+ \right] + \Pi_- \left[\mathbf{M}_{m-1}, \tilde{\Omega}_{m-1}^+ \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\Pi_- \left[\tilde{\Gamma}_{m-1}, \tilde{\Omega}_{m-1}^+ \right], \mathbf{R}_{m-1}^- \right] \\ &\quad + \Pi_- \left[\tilde{\Gamma}_{m-1}, \Pi_+ \left[\mathbf{R}_{m-1}^-, \tilde{\Omega}_{m-1} \right] \right] \\ &\quad + \Pi_- \left[\left[\mathbf{R}_{m-1}^-, \tilde{\Gamma}_{m-1} \right], \tilde{\Omega}_{m-1}^+ \right] \end{aligned} \right.$$

A simpler set of equations is obtained by setting the constant point $Z_{m-1}^- = 0$, which implies that $\mathbf{R}_{m-1}^- = \text{Ad}_{h_{m-1}^-}^{m-1} Z_{m-1}^- = 0$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (h_{m-1}^+)^{-1}(t) \dot{h}_{m-1}^+(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{m-1}^+ \\ \dot{Z}_{m-1}^+(t) = -[\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{m-1}^+, Z_{m-1}^+] + \mathbf{N}_{m-1} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1} = \Pi_- [\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{m-1}^+] \\ \dot{\mathbf{M}}_{m-1} = \Pi_- [\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}, \mathbf{N}_{m-1}^+] + \Pi_- [\mathbf{M}_{m-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{m-1}^+] \end{array} \right.$$

besides the equations $d\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{m-1}^+/dt = d\mathbf{M}_{m-1}^+/dt = 0$.

Thus, the dynamical system (53) replicates one level down in the tower by projecting on the second component of the semidirect product, and all of them are solvable by factorization.

6 Example: $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$

6.1 Iwasawa decomposition of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$

Let us consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, with the Iwasawa decomposition of $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{R}}$ by taking $\mathfrak{h}_0^+ = \mathfrak{su}_2$ and $\mathfrak{h}_0^- = \mathfrak{b}$,

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{b}$$

where \mathfrak{su}_2 is the real subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of antihermitean matrices, and \mathfrak{b} is the subalgebra of upper triangular matrices with real diagonal and null trace.

For \mathfrak{su}_2 we take the basis

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \quad (54)$$

and in \mathfrak{b} this one

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad iE = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (55)$$

We also introduce the dual basis $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3\} \subset \mathfrak{su}_2^*$ and $\{\mathbf{e}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{h}\} \subset \mathfrak{b}^*$. This decomposition translate to the group giving $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) = SU(2) \times B$, where now B is the group of 2×2 upper triangular matrices with real diagonal and determinant 1. So, in order to fit the previous notation we identify $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{h}_0^+ = \mathfrak{su}_2$, $\mathfrak{h}_0^- = \mathfrak{b}$, and $H_0^+ = SU(2)$ and $H_0^- = B$.

The Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ in this basis is

$$\begin{aligned}
[X_1, X_2] &= -2X_3 & [X_3, X_1] &= -2X_2 & [X_2, X_3] &= -2X_1 \\
[E, (iE)] &= 0 & [H, E] &= 2E & [H, (iE)] &= 2(iE) \\
[X_1, E] &= -X_3 & [X_1, (iE)] &= H & [X_1, H] &= 2X_1 - 4(iE) \\
[X_2, E] &= H & [X_2, (iE)] &= X_3 & [X_2, H] &= 2X_2 - 4E \\
[X_3, E] &= 2(iE) & [X_3, (iE)] &= -2E & [X_3, H] &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

The Killing form for $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is

$$\kappa(X, Y) := \text{tr}(ad(X)ad(Y)) = 4 \text{tr}(XY), \tag{57}$$

and the restriction to \mathfrak{su}_2 is negative definite. Hence we take

$$k_0(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Im} \kappa(X, Y) \tag{58}$$

that is a symmetric nondegenerate Ad-invariant bilinear form turning \mathfrak{b} and \mathfrak{su}_2 into *isotropic subspaces*. It induces the linear bijection $\gamma : \mathfrak{su}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}^*$ defined on the basis as

$$\gamma(X_1) = -\mathbf{e} \quad , \quad \gamma(X_2) = \tilde{\mathbf{e}} \quad , \quad \gamma(X_3) = -2\mathbf{h} \tag{59}$$

or its dual $\gamma^* : \mathfrak{b} \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}_2^*$

$$\gamma^*(E) = -\mathbf{x}_1 \quad , \quad \gamma^*(iE) = \mathbf{x}_2 \quad , \quad \gamma^*(H) = -2\mathbf{x}_3 \tag{60}$$

On \mathfrak{su}_2 there is the standard Killing form κ defined as

$$\kappa_{\mathfrak{su}_2}(X, Y) := 4 \text{tr}(XY),$$

such that on the basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, \}$ it is $(\kappa_{\mathfrak{su}_2})_{ij} = -8\delta_{ij}$. Then, we may use it to set a linear bijection $\zeta : \mathfrak{su}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}_2^*$ such that

$$\langle \zeta(X), Y \rangle = \kappa_{\mathfrak{su}_2}(X, Y)$$

then, for the basis element we have

$$\langle \zeta(X_i), X_j \rangle = \kappa_{\mathfrak{su}_2}(X_i, X_j) = -8\delta_{ij} \implies \mathbf{x}_i = -8\zeta(X_i)$$

that implies explicitly

$$\zeta(X_1) = -\frac{1}{8}\mathbf{x}_1 \quad , \quad \zeta(X_2) = -\frac{1}{8}\mathbf{x}_2 \quad , \quad \zeta(X_3) = -\frac{1}{8}\mathbf{x}_3 \quad (61)$$

With these bijection we get the isomorphism $\vartheta : \gamma \circ \zeta^{-1} \circ \gamma^* : \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}^*$ such that

$$\vartheta(E) = -8\mathbf{e} \quad , \quad \vartheta(iE) = -8\tilde{\mathbf{e}} \quad , \quad \vartheta(H) = -32\mathbf{h} \quad (62)$$

and we define the linear bijection $\sigma : \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})^*$ from the bijections (61) and (62), so we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(X_1) &= -\frac{1}{8}\mathbf{x}_1 \quad , \quad \sigma(X_2) = -\frac{1}{8}\mathbf{x}_2 \quad , \quad \sigma(X_3) = -\frac{1}{8}\mathbf{x}_3 \\ \sigma(E) &= -8\mathbf{e} \quad , \quad \sigma(iE) = -8\tilde{\mathbf{e}} \quad , \quad \sigma(H) = -32\mathbf{h} \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

that is symmetric $\langle \sigma(X), Y \rangle = \langle \sigma(Y), X \rangle$ because it is defined from a symmetric bilinear form.

6.2 Semidirect product of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ with $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$

Then, we shall work on $H_0 = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ by considering the semidirect product Lie group $H_1 = SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with the vector space $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ regarded as the representation space for the *adjoint action* in the right action structure of semidirect product

$$(a, X) \bullet (b, Y) = (ab, \text{Ad}_{b^{-1}}^0 X + Y)$$

We assume $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form (58)

$$\mathfrak{k}_0(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Im} \kappa(X, Y) = -\text{Im} \text{tr}(XY)$$

Let h_0^+, h_0^- be generic element of $SU(2)$ and B , respectively, then

$$h_0^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad h_0^- = \begin{pmatrix} a & b + ic \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, satisfying $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$, $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. We have also an expression analogous to (10) for the adjoint action of \mathfrak{b} on \mathfrak{su}_2 ,

$$\text{Ad}_{h_-^0}^0 X_+^0 = (h_-^0)^{X_+^0} (h_-^0)^{-1} + \gamma^{-1} \left(\text{Ad}_{(h_-^0)^{-1} \gamma}^* (X_+^0) \right)$$

and each term in the rhs can be calculated explicitly, for $X_+^0 = x_1 X_1 + x_2 X_2 + x_3 X_3$, to have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_- \text{Ad}_{h_-^0}^0 X_+^0 &= (h_-^0)^{Z_+^0} (h_-^0)^{-1} \\ &= \left(2bcx_1 + \left(b^2 - c^2 + a^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} \right) x_2 + 2acx_3 \right) E \\ &\quad + \left(x_1 \left(c^2 - b^2 + a^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} \right) + x_2 2bc - x_3 2ab \right) (iE) \\ &\quad - \left(x_1 \frac{c}{a} + x_2 \frac{b}{a} \right) H \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{h_-^0}^0 X_+^0 &= \gamma^{-1} \left(\text{Ad}_{(h_-^0)^{-1} \gamma}^* (X_+^0) \right) \\ &= x_1 \frac{1}{a^2} X_1 + x_2 \frac{1}{a^2} X_2 + \left(x_1 \frac{b}{a} - x_2 \frac{c}{a} + x_3 \right) X_3 \end{aligned}$$

In order to build the variables $(\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_0, \mathbf{N}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_0, \mathbf{M}_0, \mathbf{R}_0^-)$ introduced in eq. (47), we shall need the adjoint action of B on $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that for $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ we write

$$X = x_1 X_1 + x_2 X_2 + x_3 X_3 + x_H H + x_E E + x_{(iE)} (iE)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Ad}_{h_-^0}^0 X \\ &= x_1 \frac{1}{a^2} X_1 + x_2 \frac{1}{a^2} X_2 + \left(x_1 \frac{b}{a} - x_2 \frac{c}{a} + x_3 \right) X_3 \\ &\quad + \left(2bcx_1 + \left(b^2 - c^2 + a^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} \right) x_2 + 2acx_3 + x_E a^2 - 2x_H b a \right) E \\ &\quad + \left(x_1 \left(c^2 - b^2 + a^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} \right) + x_2 2bc - x_3 2ab + x_{(iE)} a^2 - 2x_H c a \right) (iE) \\ &\quad - \left(x_1 \frac{c}{a} + x_2 \frac{b}{a} - x_H \right) H \end{aligned}$$

The operators $\mathbb{A}_\pm^0(h_-)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{A}_+^0(h_-)X &= \Pi_+X + \frac{1}{a^2} \left(\left(b^2 + c^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} - a^2 \right) x_2 - 2acx_3 \right) E \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{a^2} \left(\left(b^2 + c^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} - a^2 \right) x_1 + 2abx_3 \right) (iE) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{a} (x_1c + x_2b) H\end{aligned}\quad (64)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{A}_-^0(h_-)X &= \frac{1}{a^2} \left(\left(a^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} - b^2 - c^2 \right) x_2 + 2acx_3 + a^2x_E \right) E \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{a^2} \left(\left(a^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} - b^2 - c^2 \right) x_1 - 2abx_3 + a^2x_{(iE)} \right) (iE) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{c}{a}x_1 + \frac{b}{a}x_2 - x_H \right) H\end{aligned}\quad (65)$$

Integrable systems are built in fiber on points (h_-^0, Z_-^0) with $\Pi_-^* \sigma(Z_-^0)$ being a character of \mathfrak{b} . Because the coadjoint left action of $b \in B$ on \mathfrak{b}^* is

$$Ad_{b^{-1}}^* \mathbf{e} = 2\frac{b}{a}\mathbf{h} + a^{-2}\mathbf{e} \quad , \quad Ad_{b^{-1}}^* \bar{\mathbf{e}} = 2\frac{c}{a}\mathbf{h} + a^{-2}\bar{\mathbf{e}} \quad , \quad Ad_{b^{-1}}^* \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} \quad (66)$$

we conclude that the only character in \mathfrak{b} is 0, so $\Pi_-^* \sigma(Z_-^0) = 0$

6.3 Dirac brackets on $\mathcal{N}_2(h_1^-, 0) \subset SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$

The last result of the previous subsections allows to write the Dirac bracket on $\mathcal{N}_2(h_1^-, Z_1^-)$, given in (26), for Z_1^- a character of \mathfrak{b} as

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}\}^D(h, Z_+) & \\ &= \langle h\mathbf{d}\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{A}_+^0(h_-) \Pi_+ \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{H}) \rangle - \langle h\mathbf{d}\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{A}_+^0(h_-) \Pi_+ \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{F}) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \sigma(Z_+), \Pi_+[\mathbb{A}_+^0(h_-) \Pi_+ \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{F}), \mathbb{A}_+^0(h_-) \Pi_+ \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{H})] \rangle\end{aligned}\quad (67)$$

The last term in the rhs can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \sigma(Z_+), \Pi_+[\mathbb{A}_+^0(h_-) \Pi_+ \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{F}), \mathbb{A}_+^0(h_-) \Pi_+ \sigma^{-1}(\delta\mathcal{H})] \rangle \\ = 16(\delta\mathcal{F})_i(\delta\mathcal{H})_j \varepsilon_{ijk} (z_i - \mathcal{B}_k(h_-, Z_+))\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}(h_-, Z_+) = \frac{b}{a}z_3X_1 - \frac{c}{a}z_3X_2 + \left(\frac{b}{a}z_1 - \frac{c}{a}z_2 - \left(\frac{b^2}{a^2} + \frac{c^2}{a^2} + \frac{1}{a^4} - 1 \right) z_3 \right) X_3$$

Observe that

$$\vec{\nabla}_z \times \mathcal{B}(h_-, Z_+) = 0$$

$$\vec{\nabla}_z \cdot \mathcal{B}(h_-, Z_+) = -\left(\frac{b^2}{a^2} + \frac{c^2}{a^2} + \frac{1}{a^4} - 1\right)$$

so it can be regarded as a static magnetic field associated to a magnetic monopole charge density

$$\rho_m = -\frac{1}{a^2} \left(b^2 + c^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} - a^2\right) = \frac{1}{a^2} \text{tr} \left(h_- H h_-^\dagger\right)$$

For *left invariant functions* the Poisson-Dirac bracket reduces to

$$\{\mathcal{F}^L, \mathcal{H}^L\}^D(h, Z) = -16 (\delta\mathcal{F})_i (\delta\mathcal{H})_j \varepsilon_{ijk} (z_i - \mathcal{B}_k(h_-, Z_+)) \quad (68)$$

Now we shall consider the semidirect product Lie group $H_2 = H_1 \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_1$, where $H_1 = SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. As in previous sections, in both cases we use the right action structure of semidirect product (1). We define on \mathfrak{h}_1 the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$k_1((X, U), (Y, V)) = \frac{1}{2} (k_0(X, V) + k_0(U, Y))$$

Regarding $H_2 = H_1 \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_1$ as a phase space, where H_1 inherits the factorization of $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) = H_0^+ \times H_0^-$ being $H_0^+ = SU(2)$ and $H_0^- = B$, the group of 2×2 complex upper triangular matrices with positive real diagonal elements and determinant equal 1. This means that $H_2 = H_1^+ \times H_1^-$ where $H_1^\pm = H_0^\pm \mathbb{S} \mathfrak{h}_0^\pm$.

In this framework, we shall study dynamical system on the fibration $H_2 \xrightarrow{\Psi_2} H_2^-$ by applying the Dirac procedure explained above. We use the results obtained in section (4.4), describing in terms of the variables $(\mathbf{\Omega}_1, \mathbf{\Gamma}_1)$, see eq. (35), a collective system on $\mathcal{N}(h_1^-, Z_1^-)$ with equations of motion (49) (34)

$$\begin{cases} (h_1^+)^{-1} \dot{h}_1^+ = \mathbf{\Omega}_1^+ \\ \dot{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_1 = \Pi_-[\mathbf{\Gamma}_1, \mathbf{\Omega}_1^+] \end{cases}$$

Regarding H_1 as the semidirect product $H_1 = H_0 \circledast \mathfrak{h}_0$, we introduce the variables $(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_0, \mathbf{N}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_0, \mathbf{M}_0, \mathbf{R}_0^-)$ as defined in eq. (47), yielding the set of differential equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (h_0^+)^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} h_0^+ = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_0^+ \\ \frac{d}{dt} Z_0^+ = - [\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_0^+, Z_0^+] + \mathbf{N}_0 \\ \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_0 = \Pi_- [\tilde{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_0^+] \\ \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{M}_0 = \Pi_- [\tilde{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_0, \mathbf{N}_0^+] + \Pi_- [\mathbf{M}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_0^+] \end{array} \right. \quad (69)$$

since $Z_0^- = 0$ and $\mathbf{R}_0^- = 0$.

6.4 Solving by factorization

In particular, we consider a collective hamiltonian on H_2

$$\mathcal{H}^{(2)}(h_1, Z_1) := \mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(\Phi_B^2(h_1, Z_1))$$

with

$$\Phi_B^{(2)}(h_1, Z_1) = \gamma(\text{Ad}_{h_1}^1 \gamma^{-1}(\sigma(Z_1))) = \text{Ad}_{h_1^{-1}}^{1*} \sigma(Z_1)$$

and the Hamilton function on \mathfrak{h}_1^* as $\mathfrak{h}^{(2)} : \mathfrak{h}_1^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(X_0, Y_0) = -\frac{1}{16} \text{Re } \kappa(X_0, Y_0)$$

which is Ad^1 since it is naturally Ad^0 invariant. The Killing form on $\kappa : \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined in eq. (57).

Its Legendre transform, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}} : \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2^*\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2^*\mathbb{C}$, is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}}(X_0, Y_0) = \frac{i}{2}(X_0, Y_0)$$

Remembering that $\sigma(Z_1^-)$ is a character of \mathfrak{h}_1^- , we conclude that $(\sigma(X_0^-), \sigma(Y_0^-)) \in \text{ch } \mathfrak{h}_0^- \oplus \text{ch } \mathfrak{h}_0^-$, and we saw in (66) that in \mathfrak{b} there are no nontrivial characters, so $X_0^- = Y_0^- = 0$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}}(X_0, Y_0) = \frac{i}{2}(X_0^+, Y_0^+)$$

The AKS scheme states that the H_1^\pm factors of the exponential curve

$$k(t) = e^{t\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{h}(2)}(X_0, Y_0)} = e^{\frac{i}{2}t(X_0^+, Y_0^+)} \quad (70)$$

solve the original system of differential equations, for some time independent $(X_0^+, Y_0^+) \in \mathfrak{h}_1^+$. In order to analyze what is happening at the level of H_0 and \mathfrak{h}_0 , we write these exponential by using the definition of the exponential, eq. (2),

$$e^{\frac{i}{2}t(X_0^+, Y_0^+)} = \left(e^{i\frac{t}{2}X_0^+}, -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{i}{2}t\right)^n (\text{ad}_{X_0^+}^1)^{n-1} Y_0^+ \right) \quad (71)$$

Using the result (8), we have

$$\begin{cases} \Pi_+ e^{\frac{i}{2}t(X_0^+, Y_0^+)} = \left(h_0^+(t), -\text{Ad}_{k_0^-}^0 \mathbb{A}_0^+ (k_0^-) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{i}{2}t\right)^n (\text{ad}_{X_0^+}^1)^{n-1} Y_0^+ \right) \\ \Pi_- e^{\frac{i}{2}t(X_0^+, Y_0^+)} = \left(k_0^-(t), -\Pi_- \mathbb{A}_0^- (k_0^-) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{i}{2}t\right)^n (\text{ad}_{X_0^+}^1)^{n-1} Y_0^+ \right) \end{cases}$$

where we wrote $e^{i\frac{t}{2}X_0^+} = h_0^+(t) k_0^-(t)$.

The exponential for $X_0^+ \in \mathfrak{su}_2$ can be explicitly computed from the relations

$$\begin{cases} (X_0^+)^{2n} = (-1)^n \|X_0^+\|^n I \\ (X_0^+)^{2n+1} = (-1)^n \|X_0^+\|^n X_0^+ \end{cases}$$

where $\|X\| = \sqrt{\det X}$, then

$$\exp\left(i\frac{t}{2}X_0^+\right) = \cosh\left(t\frac{\|X_0^+\|}{2}\right) + iX_0^+ \sinh\left(t\frac{\|X_0^+\|}{2}\right)$$

Without loss of generality, we may take $\|X\| = 1$, so

$$\exp\left(i\frac{t}{2}X_0^+\right) = \cosh\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + iX_0^+ \sinh\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$$

In order to obtain the factors $h_0^+(t)$ and $k_0^-(t)$, we write the time independent vector $X_0^+ = a_1 X_1 + a_2 X_2 + a_3 X_3$, so $\|X\| = 1$ is equivalent to $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = 1$. Thus, the curve in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$

$$\exp\left(i\frac{t}{2}X_0^+\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(t/2) - a_3 \sinh(t/2) & -(a_1 - ia_2) \sinh(t/2) \\ -(a_1 + ia_2) \sinh(t/2) & \cosh(t/2) + a_3 \sinh(t/2) \end{pmatrix}$$

can be factorized as $\exp(i\frac{t}{2}X_0^+) = h_0^+(t)k_0^-(t)$, for $h_0^+(t) \in SU(2)$ and $k_0^-(t) \in B$, with

$$h_0^+(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\cosh(t/2) - a_3 \sinh(t/2)}{\sqrt{\cosh t - a_3 \sinh t}} & \frac{(a_1 - ia_2) \sinh(t/2)}{\sqrt{\cosh t - a_3 \sinh t}} \\ -\frac{(a_1 + ia_2) \sinh(t/2)}{\sqrt{\cosh t - a_3 \sinh t}} & \frac{\cosh(t/2) - a_3 \sinh(t/2)}{\sqrt{\cosh t - a_3 \sinh t}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (72)$$

$$k_0^-(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\cosh t - a_3 \sinh t} & \frac{-(a_1 - ia_2) \sinh t}{\sqrt{\cosh t - a_3 \sinh t}} \\ 0 & (\sqrt{\cosh t - a_3 \sinh t})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (73)$$

Let us now address the second component in the exponential solution (71). In order to handle it more easily, we shall introduce some notation. For the basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, eq. (54), we introduce the following notation: we write each element X in $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ as

$$X = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{X} := x_1 X_1 + x_2 X_2 + x_3 X_3$$

where $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is the real 3-vector formed by the components of X . Also, the relations

$$[X_i, X_j] = -2\epsilon_{ijk} X_k$$

$$X_i X_j = -\delta_{ij} I - \epsilon_{ijk} X_k$$

will be useful. With the above notation, the adjoint Lie bracket can be written as

$$\text{ad}_X Y = -2(\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{X}$$

where $Y := \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{X}$, and " \times " means the standard vector product in \mathbb{R}^3 ; and

$$(\text{ad}_X)^n Y = (-2)^n \left[\underbrace{\mathbf{x} \times (\cdots (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}) \cdots)}_{n \text{ times}} \right] \cdot \mathbf{X}$$

The next Lemma and its Corollary will help us to write the exponential terms in $e^{\frac{i}{2}t(X_+^0, Y_+^0)}$ in a more friendly way.

Lemma: Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be a pair of vectors. Then, for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{x} \times (\cdots (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}) \cdots)}_{n \text{ times}} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \|\mathbf{x}\|^{n-2} [(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{x} - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \mathbf{y}] & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \|\mathbf{x}\|^{n-1} \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y} & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Let us assume additionally that the 3-vector $\mathbf{x} := (x^1, x^2, x^3)$ has unit norm $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 1$, then we can establish the following.

Corollary: The map $(\text{ad}_{X_0^+})^n : \mathfrak{su}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(2)$, for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$, has the formula

$$(\text{ad}_{X_0^+})^n (Y_0^+) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} 2^n [(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) X_0^+ - Y_0^+] & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} 2^{n-1} [X_0^+, Y_0^+] & \text{for } n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

where $Y_0^+ := \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{X}$.

Thus, the second component in the rhs of eq. (71)

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{it}{2} \right)^n (\text{ad}_{X_0^+})^{n-1} \right] Y_0^+ \\ & = \frac{i}{2} (t - \sinh t) (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) X_0^+ + \frac{i}{2} (\sinh t) Y_0^+ + \frac{1}{4} (\cosh t - 1) [X_0^+, Y_0^+] \end{aligned}$$

and, finally, the factorization of this term is obtained by following the relations (8), to get

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^+(t) & = \Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{k_0^-(t)}^0 \mathbb{A}_0^+ (k_0^-(t)) \left(\frac{i}{2} (t - \sinh t) (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) X_0^+ \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{i}{2} (\sinh t) Y_0^+ + \frac{1}{4} (\cosh t - 1) [X_0^+, Y_0^+] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^-(t) & = \mathbb{A}_0^- (k_0^-(t)) \left(\frac{i}{2} (t - \sinh t) (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) X_0^+ \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{i}{2} (\sinh t) Y_0^+ + \frac{1}{4} (\cosh t - 1) [X_0^+, Y_0^+] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Using the expression analogous to (10) for the adjoint action of \mathfrak{b} on \mathfrak{su}_2 ,

$$\text{Ad}_{k_0^-}^0 Z_0^+ = (k_0^-)^{Z_0^+} (k_0^-)^{-1} + \gamma^{-1} \left(\text{Ad}_{(k_0^-)^{-1}}^* \gamma (Z_0^+) \right)$$

we have that, for $Z_0^+ \in \mathfrak{su}_2$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Pi_+ \text{Ad}_{k_0^-(t)}^0 \mathbb{A}_0^+ (k_0^-(t)) Z_0^+ = \gamma^{-1} \left(\text{Ad}_{(k_0^-(t))^{-1}}^* \gamma (Z_0^+) \right) \\ \mathbb{A}_0^- (k_0^-(t)) Z_0^+ = (k_0^-)^{-1} (k_0^-)^{Z_0^+} \end{array} \right.$$

In summary, joining the explicit forms of curves (72, 73) on the group factors with the curves (74, 75) we get the solution for the hamiltonian system (69) with the Hamilton function $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}(h_1, Z_1)$ defined at the beginning of this subsection.

7 Conclusions

Starting from a Poisson-Lie group, we have constructed integrable systems on the semidirect product with its Lie algebra showing that most of the standard issues of integrability and factorization are well suited in this framework, allowing for wider class of systems. We have obtained the Poisson-Lie structure on the semidirect product having a very simple relation with the original one, although it does not map coboundaries into coboundaries. This construction allows to supply each tangent bundle with two Poisson structures: a nondegenerate one which is derived from the canonical symplectic structure on the associated cotangent bundle through some linear bijection, and a Poisson-Lie one inherited from the semidirect product Lie group structure on the trivialization of tangent bundle. Moreover, the construction can be iterated on iterated semidirect products giving rise to a chain of phase spaces sharing both the Poisson structures.

On this chain, we get collective systems from the left translation momentum map on the whole Lie group, and by means of the Dirac brackets we project the collective dynamics onto a class of phase spaces which are isomorphic to the tangent bundle of one of the factors. That means, Dirac bracket produces non trivial integrable system on these phase subspaces from the collective hamiltonians on the whole phase space. The associated hamiltonian vector fields turn to be dressing vectors associated with the Poisson-Lie structures of the previ-

ous step in the chain, and they fail in to be hamiltonian in relation with the Poisson-Lie structure of the corresponding level.

The system thus obtained are integrable by factorization of the Lie group in the previous step, and it can be traced back to the factorization of the initial Lie group of the chain. Moreover, for some special hamiltonians we get a tower of integrable system where the dynamical system at each level replicates one level down by projecting on the second component of the semidirect product.

Thus, the presented construction provides a setting for new class of integrable by factorization systems which are obtained from semidirect products of Lie groups.

8 Acknowledgments

The authors thank to CONICET (Argentina) for financial support. S. Capriotti wants to acknowledge financial support from IRSES project GEOMECH (nr. 246981) within the 7th European Community Framework Programme.

References

- [1] M. Abraham, J. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics*, Massachusetts: Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, 2nd. ed., (1978).
- [2] M. Adler, P. van Moerbeke, *Completely integrable systems, Euclidean Lie algebras and curves*, Adv. Math. 38 (1980), 267-317; ;
- [3] V. I. Arnold, *Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaites*, Annales de l'institute Fourier, tome 16, n. 1 (1966), 319-361; V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1989).

- [4] S. Capriotti, H. Montani, *Dirac method and symplectic submanifolds in the cotangent bundle of a factorizable Lie group*, J. Math. Phys. 52, 073504 (2011), arXiv: math-ph/1011.2648.
- [5] H. Cendra, D. Holm, J. Marsden, T. Ratiu, *Lagrangian reduction, the Euler-Poincare equations, and semidirect products*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., 186, (1998), 1-25.
- [6] Dirac, P. A. M., *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, Belfer Graduate School of Science Monographs Series Number 2, (1964).
- [7] V.L. Golo, M.I. Monastyrskii, and S.P. Novikov, *Solutions to the Ginsburg-Landau equations for planar textures in superfluid ^3He* , Commun. Math. Phys. 69 (1979), 237-246.
- [8] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Symplectic techniques in physics*, Cambridge, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1984.
- [9] D. Holm, J. Marsden, T. Ratiu, *The Euler Poincare equations and semidirect products with applications to continuum theories*, Adv. in Math 137 (1998), 1-81.
- [10] D. Holm, C. Tronci, *Geodesic flows on semidirect-product Lie groups: geometry of singular measure-valued solutions*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci., 465 (2012) 457–476.
- [11] B. Kostant, *The solution to a generalized Toda lattice and representation theory*, Adv. Math. 34 (1979), 195-338.
- [12] J.-H. Lu, A. Weinstein, *Poisson Lie groups, dressing transformations and Bruhat decompositions*, J. Diff. Geom. 31 (1990), 501-526.
- [13] J. Marsden, G. Misiolek, S. Perlmutter, T. Ratiu, *Symplectic reduction for semidirect products and central extensions*, Diff. Geom. and Appl. 9 (1998), 173-212.

- [14] J. Marsden, T. Ratiu, *Introduction to mechanics and symmetry*, Massachusetts: Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, 2nd. ed., (1978).
- [15] J. Marsden, A. Weinstein, T. Ratiu, *Semidirect products and reduction in mechanics*, Trans. AMS 281 (1984), 147-177; *Reduction and hamiltonian structures on duals of semidirect product Lie algebras*, Contemporary Math. 28 (1984), 55-100.
- [16] S.P. Novikov, *The Hamiltonian formalism and a many-valued analogue of Morse theory*, Russ. Math. Surveys 37 (1982), 1-56.
- [17] T. Ratiu, *Euler-Poisson equations on Lie algebras and the N-dimensional heavy rigid body*, Amer. J. Math. 104 (1980), 409-448.
- [18] T. Ratiu, *Euler-Poisson equations on Lie algebras and the N-dimensional rigid body*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78 (1981), 1327-1328.
- [19] T. Ratiu and P. van Moerbeke, *The Lagrange rigid body motion*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 32 (1982), 211-234.
- [20] Reyman, A. G. and Semenov-Tian-Shansky, M. A., *Group-Theoretical Methods in the Theory of Finite-Dimensional Integrable Systems*, in Dynamical Systems VII (Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences), V.I. Arnold, S.P. Novikov (eds), Springer, 1993.
- [21] Reyman, A. G. & Semenov-Tian-Shansky, M. A., *Reduction of hamiltonian systems, affine Lie algebras, and Lax equations I*, Invent. Math. 54 (1979), 81-100; *Reduction of hamiltonian systems, affine Lie algebras, and Lax equations II*, Invent. Math. 63 (1981), 423-32.
- [22] M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Dressing transformations and Poisson group actions*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 21 (1985), 1237-1260.
- [23] W. Symes, *Systems of Toda type, inverse spectral problem and representation theory*, Inv. Math 159 (1980), 13-51.

- [24] A. M. Vinogradov and B. A. Kupershmidt, *The structures of Hamiltonian mechanics*, Russian Math. Survey 32 (1977), 177-243.