

PAIRS OF BOUNDARY SLOPES WITH SMALL DIFFERENCES

KAZUHIRO ICHIHARA

Dedicated to Professor Fico González Acuña on the occasion of his 70th birthday

ABSTRACT. We show that, for any positive real number, there exists a knot in the 3-sphere admitting a pair of boundary slopes whose difference is at most the given number.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we give somewhat curious examples of pairs of boundary slopes for knots in the 3-sphere S^3 . The *boundary slope* of an essential surface F in a knot exterior is defined as the slope represented by the boundary of F on the peripheral torus of the knot. Note that such slopes for a knot in S^3 are naturally parametrized by rational numbers.

In [2], for each non-trivial knot, Culler and Shalen proved that there always exist at least two boundary slopes. Thus we can take a pair of boundary slopes, and consider their difference as a pair of rational numbers. Actually, in [3], they showed that, for any non-trivial knot in S^3 not having the meridional boundary slope, there always exists a pair of boundary slopes whose difference is at least 2.

It is known that this lower bound can be improved for some class of knots. For example, as observed in [8, Section 1], any alternating knot admits a pair of boundary slopes whose difference is bounded from below in terms of its crossing number. As another example, in [4], it was shown that, if a knot exterior contains only two essential surfaces, then the difference between their boundary slopes are bounded from below in terms of the Euler characteristics and the number of sheets (with some exceptional cases). Furthermore, in [9], the author together with Mizushima showed that, for any nontrivial Montesinos knot, there exists a pair of boundary slopes whose difference is bounded from below similarly.

In contrast to these results, in this paper, we show that there exists pairs of boundary slopes for knots with arbitrarily small differences.

Corollary. *For any positive number ε , there exists a knot in S^3 admitting a pair of boundary slopes whose difference is at most ε .*

Actually we give a concrete examples of a sequence of knots with pairs of boundary slopes becoming arbitrarily close to each other.

Date: January 6, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 57M25.

Key words and phrases. boundary slope, Montesinos knot.

The author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), No. 23740061, Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

Theorem. *The Montesinos knot $K_n = M(-1/2, 2/5, 1/n)$ with an odd positive integer $n \geq 11$ admits a pair of boundary slopes $2(n-1)^2/n$ and $2(n^2 - 9n + 15)/(n-7)$.*

Proof of Corollary. Consider the sequence of the Montesinos knots $\{K_n = M(-1/2, 2/5, 1/n)\}$ for odd positive integers $n \geq 11$. Let $r_n = 2(n-1)^2/n$ and $r'_n = 2(n^2 - 9n + 15)/(n-7)$ be the pairs of boundary slopes for K_n , whose existence is guaranteed by the theorem above. Then their difference is calculated as $r'_n - r_n = 2(n^2 - 9n + 15)/(n-7) - 2(n-1)^2/n = 2(1/(n-7) - 1/n)$. Thus, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the differences $|r'_n - r_n|$ converge to 0. \square

To prove the theorem, we depend on the machinery to enumerate the boundary slopes for Montesinos knots, which was developed by Hatcher and Oertel in [6]. In the next section, we will briefly recall the machinery. Based on this, in Sect. 3, we will give a proof of our theorem, and in the last section, we will give supplementary data for the surfaces with the desired boundary slopes.

We here note that to find the example, the key ingredient was the computer program [5] written by Dunfield, which implements the algorithm given in [6].

2. BOUNDARY SLOPES FOR MONTESINOS KNOTS

In this section, after setting up our notation and definition, we briefly recall the machinery developed by Hatcher and Oertel in [6] to enumerate the boundary slopes for Montesinos knots. See [6] for a fundamental reference, and also see [7], [8], [9], [10], [11] and [1] for related results and detailed explanations.

2.1. Essential surface and Montesinos knot. We start with recalling basic definitions and notations. For example, see the book [12] as a detailed reference.

Let K be a knot (i.e., an embedded circle) in the 3-sphere S^3 . Denote by $E(K)$ the exterior of K in S^3 , meaning that, $E(K)$ is the complement of an open tubular neighborhood of K in S^3 . A compact surface F with non-empty boundary ∂F properly embedded in $E(K)$ is called *essential* if it is incompressible and boundary-incompressible. The *boundary slope* of F is defined as the slope (i.e., an isotopy class of a non-trivial unoriented simple closed curve) represented by ∂F on the torus $\partial E(K)$. Such slopes for a knot in S^3 are naturally parametrized by rational numbers by using a standard meridian-preferred longitude system. In particular, when an irreducible fraction p/q corresponds to a slope γ , then γ minimally intersects with the meridian in $|q|$ times and the longitude $|p|$ times.

A knot in S^3 is called a *Montesinos knot* if the knot is composed of a number of rational tangles. We here omit various properties of Montesinos knots.

2.2. Hatcher-Oertel's machinery. We here briefly recall the machinery developed by [6], which actually gives an algorithm to enumerate all the boundary slopes for a given Montesinos knot. See [7], [8], [9], [10], [11] and [1] also.

2.2.1. Edgepath system. The heart of the Hatcher-Oertel's machinery would be using combinatorial objects, called "edgepath systems", to describe properly embedded surfaces in Montesinos knot exteriors. In particular, for an edgepath system satisfying certain conditions, one can construct an essential surface properly embedded in the given Montesinos knot exterior. Furthermore, from some combinatorial data of an edgepath system, we can compute the boundary slope, the Euler characteristic, and the number of boundary components of the surface so obtained.

An *edgepath system* is defined as a finite collection of edgepaths, and an *edgepath* is defined as a finite path lying on a special kind of an embedded graph on a plane, which we call the *diagram*, denoted by \mathcal{D} . Note that we allow a single point on an edge as an edgepath, and regard each edgepath running from right to left.




FIGURE 1. The diagram \mathcal{D}

The diagram \mathcal{D} , which is an embedded graph in the uv -plane, is precisely described as follows. For an irreducible fraction p/q , a vertex of \mathcal{D} is set as: either a point $\langle p/q \rangle = ((q-1)/q, p/q)$, a point $\langle p/q \rangle^\circ = (1, p/q)$, or a point $\langle \infty \rangle = (-1, 0)$. If a pair of vertices $\langle p/q \rangle$ and $\langle r/s \rangle$ satisfy the condition $|ps - qr| = 1$, (regarding ∞ as $1/0$), then the two vertices are connected by an edge. Such an edge is denoted by $\langle p/q \rangle - \langle r/s \rangle$.

2.2.2. Rational point. An edge path consisting of a single point is called a *constant edgepath*. Also we can consider edgepaths ended at some interior points on edges. Such a point in the interior of an edge is expressed as a rational point on an edge as follows.

Let e be an edge $\langle p/q \rangle - \langle r/s \rangle$ with $q \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$, and k and l positive integers. Then, $\frac{k}{k+l}\langle p/q \rangle + \frac{l}{k+l}\langle r/s \rangle$ denotes a point on e with uv -coordinates

$$(1) \quad \frac{kq}{kq+ls} \left(\frac{q-1}{q}, \frac{p}{q} \right) + \frac{ls}{kq+ls} \left(\frac{s-1}{s}, \frac{r}{s} \right) = \left(\frac{kq+ls-(k+l)}{kq+ls}, \frac{kp+lr}{kq+ls} \right)$$

Also, $\frac{k}{k+l}\langle p/q \rangle + \frac{l}{k+l}\langle p/q \rangle^\circ$ denotes a point on e with uv -coordinates

$$(2) \quad \frac{k}{k+l} \left(\frac{q-1}{q}, \frac{p}{q} \right) + \frac{l}{k+l} \left(1, \frac{p}{q} \right) = \left(\frac{kq+lq-k}{kq+lq}, \frac{p}{q} \right)$$

These might seem to be somewhat unnatural formula, but, they actually reflects certain natural information of the surface so constructed. See [6] or [7] for example.

2.2.3. *From edgpath system to surface.* For an edgpath system, under certain conditions, one can obtain a properly embedded surface in a Montesinos knot exterior. The following lemma gives such conditions. See [6, Section 1, Proposition 1.1] and [7, Section 2] for a proof for example.

Lemma 1. *For a Montesinos knot $K = M(R_1, \dots, R_N)$, a properly embedded surface in the exterior of K is constructed corresponding to an edgpath system satisfying the following conditions:*

For an edgpath system $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$,

- (E1) *the starting point of γ_i lies on the edge $\langle R_i \rangle - \langle R_i \rangle^\circ$, and if this starting point is not the vertex $\langle R_i \rangle$, then the edgpath γ_i is constant on the horizontal edge $\langle R_i \rangle - \langle R_i \rangle^\circ$,*
- (E2) *each γ_i is minimal, i.e., it never stops and retraces itself, nor does it ever go along two sides of the same triangle of \mathcal{D} in succession,*
- (E3) *all the ending points of the γ_i 's are lying on one vertical line in \mathcal{D} , and whose vertical coordinates add up to zero, and*
- (E4) *each γ_i proceeds monotonically from right to left; "monotonically" means in a weak sense that motion along vertical edges is permitted.*

Conversely, every essential surface in a Montesinos knot exterior is expressed by an edgpath system in such a way.

A surface represented by an edgpath system as in Lemma 1 is called a *candidate surface*.

2.2.4. *Sign, length and twist.* The boundary slope of a candidate surface corresponding to an edgpath system is calculated as follows.

We first define the *sign* of an edge e in \mathcal{D} not connected to $\langle \infty \rangle$, which we denote by $\sigma(e)$. Recall that we are assuming that an edge in \mathcal{D} is oriented from right to left. Then the edge is said to be *increasing* (respectively *decreasing*) if the v -coordinate increases (resp. decreases) as a point moves along the edge in that direction. According to whether e is increasing or decreasing, we assign $+1$ or -1 to an edge e as the sign $\sigma(e)$ respectively.

We next define the *length* of an edge e in \mathcal{D} , denoted by $|e|$. The length of a complete edge is set to be 1. The length of an edge such as $(\frac{k}{k+l}\langle p/q \rangle + \frac{l}{k+l}\langle r/s \rangle) - \langle r/s \rangle$ is set to be $\frac{k}{k+l}$.

Now, for a non-constant edge e on \mathcal{D} in the region with $u > 0$, we define the *twist* $\tau(e)$ of e as $-2\sigma(e)|e|$. For the other kind of edges, its twist is set to be 0. Taking sum of all the edges included, we define the twist $\tau(\Gamma)$ of an edgpath system Γ , and equivalently, the twist $\tau(F)$ of a candidate surface F corresponding to an edgpath system. In general, plural candidate surfaces correspond to an edgpath system, but the twist is well-defined. See [6] or [7].

Under these settings, as shown in [6], or as explained in [7], we can calculate the boundary slope of a candidate surface as follows.

Lemma 2. *Let F be a candidate surface for a Montesinos knot. The boundary slope r of F is calculated as $r = \tau(F) - \tau(F_S)$, where F_S denotes a Seifert surface in the list of candidate surfaces of the knot.*

2.2.5. *Incompressibility.* In the next section, to prove our theorem, we will give a pair of edgpath systems satisfying all the conditions in Lemma 1. Then we need

to check that the surfaces so obtained are actually essential. To do this, we will use two lemmas, essentially obtained in [6].

To state the lemmas, we need some more definitions. Assume that the u -coordinate of the left endpoint of an edgepath is u_0 . Then the edgepath is said to be of *type I*, *type II* or *type III* if u_0 satisfies $u_0 > 0$, $u_0 = 0$ or $u_0 < 0$, respectively.

The next lemma is a version of [9, Lemma 2.1(1)].

Lemma 3. *For a type I edgepath system, if all the last edges of the edgepaths in the edgepath system have a common sign, then all the candidate surfaces for the edgepath system are essential.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.4 and Propositions 2.6, 2.7 and 2.8(a) in [6], if a type I edgepath system represents an inessential surface, then its cycle of final r -values must include both positive and negative terms. We here omit the precise definition of r -values, but this is equivalent to that there exist a pair of last edges of edgepaths in the edgepath system having different signs. See [9, Subsection 2.3] for details. \square

The next lemma is just the Proposition 2.1 in [6].

Lemma 4. *For a type I edgepath system, if at least one of its edgepaths is constant, then all the candidate surfaces for the edgepath system are essential.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM

We first prepare an edgepath system representing a Seifert surface, and calculate its twist.

Lemma 5. *The edgepath system Γ_S given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &: \langle \infty \rangle - \langle -1 \rangle - \langle -\frac{1}{2} \rangle \\ \delta_2 &: \langle \infty \rangle - \langle 0 \rangle - \langle \frac{1}{2} \rangle - \langle \frac{2}{5} \rangle \\ \delta_3 &: \langle \infty \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle - \langle \frac{1}{2} \rangle - \cdots - \langle \frac{1}{n-1} \rangle - \langle \frac{1}{n} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

represents a Seifert surface of the Montesinos knot $K_n = M(-1/2, 2/5, 1/n)$, and its twist is equal to $4 - 2n$.

Proof. We here check that Γ_S satisfies two conditions to represent a Seifert surface described in [6, Section 1, subsection ‘‘Computing ∂ -Slopes’’].

For the first condition, we take the mod 2 values of p and q in all vertices $\langle \frac{p}{q} \rangle$, and reduce the edges in Γ_S to one of the three types, say $\langle \frac{odd}{even} \rangle - \langle \frac{even}{odd} \rangle$, $\langle \frac{even}{odd} \rangle - \langle \frac{odd}{odd} \rangle$, and $\langle \frac{odd}{even} \rangle - \langle \frac{odd}{odd} \rangle$. (Note that we regard ∞ as $1/0$.) The condition we have to check is that each of edgepaths in Γ_S uses only one of the three types. Then we see that δ_1 (respectively, δ_2 , δ_3) uses only the edges of type $\langle \frac{odd}{even} \rangle - \langle \frac{odd}{odd} \rangle$ (resp. $\langle \frac{odd}{even} \rangle - \langle \frac{even}{odd} \rangle$, $\langle \frac{odd}{even} \rangle - \langle \frac{odd}{odd} \rangle$). It follows that Γ_S satisfies the first condition.

The second condition which we have to check is that Γ_S contains even number of edgepaths with penultimate vertices corresponding to odd-integers. Here, for type III edgepaths, penultimate vertices mean the vertices on the vertical v -axis. Actually we see that δ_1 and δ_3 have the penultimate vertices $\langle -1 \rangle$ and $\langle 1 \rangle$, which

correspond to odd integers, while δ_2 has the penultimate vertex $\langle 0 \rangle$, which does an even integers. It follows that Γ_S satisfies the second condition.

To calculate the twists, it suffices to count the number of increasing/decreasing edges in Γ_S . Actually we see that the number of increasing (respectively decreasing) edges in Γ_S is just n (resp. 2). Thus the twist $\tau(\Gamma_S) = -2(n-2) = 4 - 2n$. \square

Now let us give a proof of the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem. Let K_n be the Montesinos knot $M(-1/2, 2/5, 1/n)$ with an odd positive integer $n \geq 11$.

We give a pair of edgepath systems for K_n , and show that they represent essential surfaces properly embedded in $E(K_n)$ with boundary slopes $2(n-1)^2/n$ and $2(n^2 - 9n + 15)/(n-7)$.

The first edgepath system $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ is given as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 &: \left(\frac{1}{n} \langle -1 \rangle + \frac{n-1}{n} \langle -\frac{1}{2} \rangle \right) - \langle -\frac{1}{2} \rangle \\ \gamma_2 &: \left(\frac{1}{n} \langle 0 \rangle + \frac{n-1}{n} \langle \frac{1}{2} \rangle \right) - \langle \frac{1}{2} \rangle - \langle \frac{2}{5} \rangle \\ \gamma_3 &: \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \langle 0 \rangle + \frac{1}{n} \langle \frac{1}{n} \rangle \right) - \langle \frac{1}{n} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

This clearly shows that Γ satisfies (E1), (E2) and (E4) in Lemma 1.

The uv -coordinates of their endpoints are calculated by (1) as follows.

$$\gamma_1 : \left(\frac{n-1}{2n-1}, \frac{-n}{2n-1} \right), \quad \gamma_2 : \left(\frac{n-1}{2n-1}, \frac{n-1}{2n-1} \right), \quad \gamma_3 : \left(\frac{n-1}{2n-1}, \frac{1}{2n-1} \right)$$

From these, we see that the condition (E3) in Lemma 1 is satisfied for Γ . Thus we have a candidate surface, say F , for Γ in the exterior $E(K_n)$.

Since Γ is of type I and all the last edges of edgepaths have a common sign, by Lemma 3, the surface F is essential.

Now let us calculate the boundary slope of F . By setting

$$\begin{aligned}e_1 &: \left(\frac{1}{n} \langle -1 \rangle + \frac{n-1}{n} \langle -\frac{1}{2} \rangle \right) - \langle -\frac{1}{2} \rangle \\ e_{2,1} &: \langle \frac{1}{2} \rangle - \langle -\frac{2}{5} \rangle \\ e_{2,2} &: \left(\frac{1}{n} \langle 0 \rangle + \frac{n-1}{n} \langle -\frac{1}{2} \rangle \right) - \langle \frac{1}{2} \rangle \\ e_3 &: \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \langle 0 \rangle + \frac{1}{n} \langle \frac{1}{n} \rangle \right) - \langle \frac{1}{n} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

the twists of F is obtained as follows.

$$-2(\sigma(e_1) |e_1| + \sigma(e_{2,1}) |e_{2,1}| + \sigma(e_{2,2}) |e_{2,2}| + \sigma(e_3) |e_3|) = -2 \left(-\frac{1}{n} + 1 - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{n-1}{n} \right) = \frac{2}{n}$$

Thus the boundary slope r of F is calculated as

$$r = \frac{2}{n} - 2(2-n) = \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n}$$

by Lemmas 2 and 5.

The second edgpath system $\Gamma' = (\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \gamma'_3)$ is given as the following.

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma'_1 &: \frac{n-7}{n-4} \langle -\frac{1}{2} \rangle + \frac{3}{n-4} \langle -\frac{1}{2} \rangle^\circ \\ \gamma'_2 &: \left(\frac{n-9}{n-7} \langle \frac{1}{2} \rangle + \frac{2}{n-7} \langle \frac{2}{5} \rangle \right) - \langle \frac{2}{5} \rangle \\ \gamma'_3 &: \left(\frac{n-8}{n-7} \langle 0 \rangle + \frac{1}{n-7} \langle \frac{1}{n} \rangle \right) - \langle \frac{1}{n} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

This clearly shows that Γ' satisfies (E1), (E2) and (E4) in Lemma 1.

The uv -coordinates of endpoints are calculated by (1) and (2) as follows.

$$\gamma'_1 : \left(\frac{n-1}{2n-8}, -\frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \gamma'_2 : \left(\frac{n-1}{2n-8}, \frac{n-5}{2n-8} \right), \quad \gamma'_3 : \left(\frac{n-1}{2n-8}, \frac{1}{2n-8} \right)$$

From these, we see that the condition (E3) in Lemma 1 is satisfied for Γ' . Thus we have a candidate surface, say F' , for Γ' in the exterior $E(K_n)$.

Since Γ' contains a constant edgpath, the surface F' is essential by Lemma 4.

Now let us calculate the boundary slope of F' . Since γ'_1 is a constant edge path, it has no contribution to twists. The edgpaths γ'_2 and γ'_3 both consist of only single edges, the twists of F' is obtained as follows.

$$\tau(F') = -2(\sigma(\gamma'_2) |\gamma'_2| + \sigma(\gamma'_3) |\gamma'_3|) = -2 \left(\frac{n-9}{n-7} - \frac{n-8}{n-7} \right) = \frac{2}{n-7}$$

Thus the boundary slope r' of F' is calculated as

$$r' = \frac{2}{n-7} - 2(2-n) = \frac{2(n^2 - 9n + 15)}{n-7}$$

by Lemmas 2 and 5.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 1. The essential surfaces obtained in the proof above were essentially treated in [7, 4.1.3. (7) and (8)].

4. DENOMINATORS AND EULER CHARACTERISTICS

In this section, as an addendum, we include some calculations about the pair of surfaces we found.

4.1. The numbers of sheets and the numbers of boundary components. If an essential surface meets a small meridional circle of a Montesinos knot minimally in m points, then the *number of sheets* of the surface is defined as m . When we construct a surface from an edgpath system, the number of sheets of the surface denoted by $\sharp s$ is determined as follows.

When the last edge of an edgpath in an edgpath system is a partial edge of length $k/(k+l)$ with the fraction $k/(k+l)$ irreducible, then $\sharp s$ has to be a multiple of $k+l$. When an edgpath in an edgpath system is a constant edgpath such as $\frac{k}{k+l} \langle p/q \rangle - \frac{l}{k+l} \langle p/q \rangle^\circ$, then $\sharp s$ has to be a multiple of k . Consequently $\sharp s$ is determined as the least common multiple of these integers.

In our settings, from this, we immediately obtain the following.

Proposition. *Let F and F' be essential surfaces constructed in the proof of Theorem. Then their numbers of sheets are n and $n-7$, respectively. \square*

For an essential surface in a knot exterior, the number of sheets is equal to the product of the number of boundary components and the denominator of the boundary slope. Thus, in our setting, we have:

Corollary. *Let F and F' be essential surfaces constructed in the proof of Theorem. Then each of them has a single boundary component. In particular, both are non-orientable.* \square

4.2. Euler characteristics. As explained in [7, Section 3, subsection “Euler characteristics”], we have a formula of the Euler characteristic χ for a type I candidate surface corresponding to an edgepath system $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$ as follows.

$$(3) \quad \frac{-\chi}{\#s} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\begin{cases} 0 & (\text{if } \gamma_i \text{ is constant}) \\ |\gamma_i| & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \right) + N_{\text{const}} - N + \left(N - 2 - \sum_{\gamma_i \in \Gamma_{\text{const}}} \frac{1}{q_i} \right) \frac{1}{1-u},$$

where $\#s$ denotes the number of sheets, N_{const} the number of the constant edgepaths, Γ_{const} the set of constant edgepaths in Γ , and u the common u -coordinate of the constant edgepaths.

From this formula, we have the following by direct calculations.

Proposition. *For essential surfaces F and F' constructed in the proof of Theorem, the values $\frac{-\chi}{\#s}$ are both equal to 1. This implies that their Euler characteristics are $-n$ and $-n + 7$ respectively, and are equal to the denominators of their boundary slopes.*

Some examples of the surfaces with the last property were obtained in [1]. The above proposition says that $K_n = M(-1/2, 2/5, 1/n)$ with an odd positive integer $n \geq 11$ admits two distinct essential surfaces enjoying the property.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank In Dae Jong, Hidetoshi Masai and Kimihiko Motegi for helpful discussions. He also thanks to the referees for careful readings.

REFERENCES

1. J. Callahan, Denominators of Boundary Slopes for (1,1)-Knots, preprint, arXiv:1301.6298
2. M. Culler and P.B. Shalen, Bounded, separating, incompressible surfaces in knot manifolds, *Invent. Math.*, 75, 537–545 (1984)
3. M. Culler and P.B. Shalen, Boundary slopes of knots, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 74, 530–547 (1999)
4. M. Culler and P.B. Shalen, Knots with only two strict essential surfaces, *Geometry and Topology Monographs*, 7, 335–430 (2004)
5. N. Dunfield, Program to compute the boundary slopes of a 2-bridge or Montesinos knot, Available online at <http://www.computop.org>
6. A.E. Hatcher and U. Oertel, Boundary slopes for Montesinos knots, *Topology*, 28, 453–480 (1989)
7. K. Ichihara and S. Mizushima, Bounds on numerical boundary slopes for Montesinos knots, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 37, 211–252 (2007)

8. K. Ichihara and S. Mizushima, Crossing number and diameter of boundary slope set of Montesinos knot, *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 16, 565–589 (2008)
9. K. Ichihara and S. Mizushima, Lower bounds on boundary slope diameters for Montesinos knots, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 49, 321–348 (2009)
10. K. Ichihara and S. Mizushima, Crosscap numbers of pretzel knots, *Topology Appl.*, 157, 193–201 (2010)
11. K. Ichihara and S. Mizushima, Boundary slopes and the numbers of positive/negative crossings for Montesinos knots, *Kobe Math. J.*, 28, 21–40 (2011)
12. D. Rolfsen, *Knots and links*, Publish or Perish Inc. (1976).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES AND SCIENCES, NIHON UNIVERSITY,
3-25-40 SAKURAJOSUI, SETAGAYA-KU, TOKYO 156-8550, JAPAN
E-mail address: `ichihara@math.chs.nihon-u.ac.jp`