

# New results on systems of generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems

Monica Patriche

*University of Bucharest Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science  
14 Academiei Street  
010014 Bucharest, Romania  
monica.patriche@yahoo.com*

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we firstly prove the existence of the equilibrium for the generalized abstract economy. We apply these results to show the existence of solutions for systems of vector quasi-equilibrium problems with multivalued trifunctions. Secondly, we consider the generalized strong vector quasi-equilibrium problems and study the existence of their solutions in the case when the correspondences are weakly naturally quasi-concave or weakly bi-convex and also in the case of weak-continuity assumptions. In all situations, fixed-point theorems are used.

*Keywords:* generalized abstract economy, vector quasi-equilibrium problem, existence of solutions, Ky-Fan fixed point theorem, weakly naturally quasi-concave correspondence.

---

## 1. Introduction

The vector equilibrium problem is a unified model of several problems, for instance, vector variational inequalities, vector optimization problems or Debreu-type equilibrium problems. For new results on this topic, the reader is referred to [1],[4],[9],[11],[13],[15],[17],[18], [19],[21], [26].

There are a lot of approaches in order to establish existence results for solutions of vector equilibrium problems. Lin, Chen and Ansari [17] used the existence theorems for generalized abstract economies. This method was proven to be a fruitful one, and it deserves to be deepened. The generalized abstract economy was introduced by Kim and Tan [14] and generalizes the

previous models of abstract economies due to Debreu [5], Shafer and Sonnenshine [23] or Borglin and Keiding [3]. Kim and Tan motivated their work by the fact that any preference of a real agent could be unstable by the fuzziness of consumers' behaviour or market situations.

In this paper, by following the direction opened by Lin, Chen and Ansari [17], we firstly prove the existence of the equilibrium for the generalized abstract economy with upper semicontinuous fuzzy correspondences. We apply these results to show the existence of solutions for systems of vector quasi-equilibrium problems with multivalued trifunctions. Secondly, we consider the generalized strong vector quasi-equilibrium problems and study the existence of their solutions in the case when the correspondences are weakly naturally quasi-concave or weakly biconvex and also in the case of weak-continuity assumptions. In both last situations, fixed-point theorems are used.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 contains preliminaries and notations. In Sections 3, the equilibrium existence of the generalized abstract economy model is obtained. Section 4 studies the existence of solutions for systems of generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems. Section 5 presents types of convexity conditions which are sufficient in order to guarantee that the generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems can be solved. The case of weak-continuity assumptions is approached at the end.

## 2. Preliminaries and notation

For the reader's convenience, we present several properties of the correspondences which are used in our proofs.

Let  $X$  be a subset of a topological vector space  $E$ . The set  $X$  is said to *have the property (K)* if, for every compact subset  $B$  of  $X$ , the convex hull  $\text{co}B$  is relatively compact in  $E$ . It is clear that each compact convex set in a Hausdorff (resp., locally) topological vector space always has property (K). A normal topological space in which each open set is an  $F_\sigma$  is called *perfectly normal*.

Let  $X, Y$  be topological spaces and  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a correspondence.  $T$  is said to be *upper semicontinuous* if for each  $x \in X$  and each open set  $V$  in  $Y$  with  $T(x) \subset V$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $T(y) \subset V$  for each  $y \in U$ .  $T$  is said to be *lower semicontinuous* if for each  $x \in X$  and each open set  $V$  in  $Y$  with  $T(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $T(y) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for each  $y \in U$ .  $T$  is said

to have *open lower sections* if  $T^{-1}(y) := \{x \in X : y \in T(x)\}$  is open in  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ .  $T$  is said to be *compact* if, for any  $x \in X$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $V(x)$  such that  $T(N(x)) = \cup_{y \in N(x)} T(y)$  is relatively compact in  $Y$ .

The set valued map  $\bar{T}$  is defined by  $\bar{T}(x) := \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in \text{cl}_{X \times Y} \text{Gr } T\}$  (the set  $\text{cl}_{X \times Y} \text{Gr } (T)$  is called the adherence of the graph of  $T$ ). It is easy to see that  $\text{cl } T(x) \subset \bar{T}(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ .  $T$  is said to be *quasi-regular* if it has non-empty convex values, open lower sections and  $\bar{T}(x) = \text{cl}T(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ .  $T$  is said to be *regular* if it is quasi-regular and has an open graph.

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological vector spaces,  $K$  is a non-empty subset of  $X$ ,  $C$  is a non-empty closed convex cone and  $T : K \rightarrow 2^Y$  is a correspondence, then [20],  $T$  is called *upper  $C$ -continuous at  $x_0 \in K$*  if, for any neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin in  $Y$ , there is a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x_0$  such that, for all  $x \in V$ ,  $T(x) \subset T(x_0) + U + C$ .  $T$  is called *lower  $C$ -continuous at  $x_0 \in K$*  if, for any neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin in  $Y$ , there is a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x_0$  such that, for all  $x \in V$ ,  $T(x_0) \subset T(x) + U - C$ .

Now, we are presenting the approximation of upper semicontinuous correspondences due to C. I. Tulcea

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set, let  $Y$  be a nonempty subset of a topological vector space  $E$ , and let  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ . A family  $(f_j)_{j \in J}$  of correspondences between  $X$  and  $Y$ , indexed by a nonempty filtering set  $J$  (denote by  $\leq$  the order relation in  $J$ ), is an *upper approximating family for  $F$*  [24] if (1)  $T(x) \subset f_j(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and all  $j \in J$ ; (2) for each  $j \in J$  there is a  $j^* \in J$  such that, for each  $h \geq j^*$  and  $h \in J$ ,  $f_h(x) \subset f_j(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and (3) for each  $x \in X$  and  $V \in \beta$ , where  $\beta$  is a base for the zero neighborhood in  $E$ , there is a  $j_{x,V} \in J$  such that  $f_h(x) \subset T(x) + V$  if  $h \in J$  and  $j_{x,V} \leq h$ . From (1)-(3), it is easy to deduce that that for each  $x \in X$  and  $k \in J$ ,  $T(x) \subset \cap_{j \in J} f_j(x) = \cap_{k \leq j, k \in J} f_j(x) \subset \text{cl}T(x) \subset \bar{T}(x)$ .

By observing Theorem 3 and the Remark of Tulcea [[24], p.280 and pp 281-282], we have the following:

**Lemma 1.** (see [6]). *Let  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of paracompact spaces and let  $(Y_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of nonempty closed convex subsets, each in a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space and each has property  $(K)$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $T_i : X_i \rightarrow 2^{Y_i}$  be compact and upper semicontinuous with nonempty and convex values. Then, there is a common filtering set  $J$  (independent of  $i \in I$ ) such that, for each  $i \in I$ , there is a family  $(f_{ij})_{j \in J}$  of correspondences between*

$X_i$  and  $Y_i$  with the following properties:

- (i) for each  $j \in J$ ,  $(f_{ij})_{j \in J}$  is regular;
- (ii)  $(f_{ij})_{j \in J}$  and  $(\bar{f}_{ij})_{j \in J}$  are upper approximating families for  $F_i$ ;
- (iii) for each  $j \in J$ , the correspondence  $\bar{f}_{ij}$  is continuous if  $Y_i$  is compact.

Lemma 1 is a version of Lemma 1.1 in [25] ( for  $D = Y$ , we obtain Lemma 1.1 in [25]).

**Lemma 2.** (see [22]) Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $Y$  be a non-empty subset of a locally convex topological vector space  $E$  and  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a correspondence. Let  $\beta$  be a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 in  $E$  consisting of open absolutely convex symmetric sets. Let  $D$  be a compact subset of  $Y$ . If for each  $V \in \beta$ , the correspondence  $T^V : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is defined by  $T^V(x) = (T(x) + V) \cap D$  for each  $x \in X$ , then  $\bigcap_{V \in \beta} \overline{T^V}(x) \subseteq \overline{T}(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ .

The following lemma is an important result concerning the continuity of correspondences which will be used in our proofs.

**Lemma 3.** (see [25]). Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological spaces and let  $D$  be an open subset of  $X$ . Suppose  $T_1 : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ ,  $T_2 : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  are upper semicontinuous correspondences such that  $T_2(x) \subset T_1(x)$  for all  $x \in D$ . Then the correspondence  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  defined by

$$T(z) = \begin{cases} T_1(x), & \text{if } x \notin D, \\ T_2(x), & \text{if } x \in D \end{cases}$$

is also upper semicontinuous.

The property of properly  $C$ -quasiconvexity for correspondences is presented below.

Let  $X$  be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space  $E$ ,  $Z$  be a real topological vector space,  $Y$  be a subset of  $Z$  and  $C$  be a pointed closed convex cone in  $Z$  with its interior  $\text{int}C \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Z$  be a correspondence with non-empty values.  $T$  is said to be *properly  $C$ -quasiconvex on  $X$* , iff for any  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , either  $T(x_1) \subset T(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) + C$  or  $T(x_2) \subset T(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) + C$ .

### 3. Equilibrium existence for generalized abstract economies

Because of the fuzziness of consumers' behaviour or market situations, in a real market, any preference of a real agent would be unstable. Therefore, Kim and Tan [14] introduced the fuzzy constraint correspondences in defining the following generalized abstract economy.

Let  $I$  be any set of agents (countable or uncountable). For each  $i \in I$ , let  $X_i$  be a nonempty set of actions available to the agent  $i$  in a topological vector space  $E_i$  and  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ .

**Definition 1.** [14] A generalized abstract economy  $\Gamma = (X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)_{i \in I}$  is defined as a family of ordered quadruples  $(X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)$  where  $A_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  is a constraint correspondence such that  $A_i(x)$  is the state attainable for the agent  $i$  at  $x$ ,  $F_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  is a fuzzy constraint correspondence such that  $F_i(x)$  is the unstable state for the agent  $i$  and  $P_i : X \times X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  is a preference correspondence such that  $P_i(x, y)$  is the state preferred by the agent  $i$  at  $x$ .

**Definition 2.** An equilibrium for  $\Gamma$  is a point  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $x_i^* \in A_i(x^*)$ ,  $y_i^* \in F_i(x^*)$  and  $P_i(x^*, y^*) \cap A_i(x^*) = \emptyset$ .

If for each  $i \in I$  and each  $x \in X$ ,  $F_i(x) = X_i$  and the preference correspondence  $P_i$  satisfies  $P_i(x, y) = P_i(x, y')$  for each  $x, y, y' \in X$ , the definition of a generalized abstract economy and an equilibrium coincide with the usual definitions of an abstract economy and an equilibrium due to Shafer and Sonnenschein [23].

The following theorem is the compact version of Theorem 5.1 of Lin and al. ([16]). The set  $X$  is compact and the correspondences  $A_i$ ,  $F_i$  and  $P_i$  have open lower sections.

**Theorem 4.** For each  $i \in I$  ( $I$  finite), let  $X_i$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of a topological vector space  $E_i$ ,  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ ,  $A_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  a constraint correspondence,  $P_i : X \times X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  a preference correspondence and  $F_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  a fuzzy constraint correspondence. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) For all  $x \in X$ ,  $A_i(x)$  and  $F_i(x)$  are nonempty and convex;
- (ii) For all  $y_i \in X_i$ ,  $A_i^{-1}(y_i)$ ,  $F_i^{-1}(y_i)$  and  $P_i^{-1}(y_i)$  are open sets;
- (iii) For all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ ,  $x_i \notin \text{co}P_i(x, y)$ ;

(iv) The set  $W_i := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x_i \in A_i(x) \text{ and } y_i \in F_i(x)\}$  is closed in  $X \times X$ ;

Then there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $x_i^* \in A_i(x^*)$ ,  $y_i^* \in F_i(x^*)$  and  $A_i(x^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$ .

We state the following result which is an equilibrium existence theorem for a generalized abstract economy with upper semicontinuous correspondences. We use a method of approximation of upper semi-continuous correspondences developed by C. I. Tulcea in [24].

**Theorem 5.** For each  $i \in I$  ( $I$  finite), let  $X_i$  be a nonempty compact convex subset with property (K) of a topological vector space  $E_i$ ,  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  be perfectly normal,  $A_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  a constraint correspondence,  $P_i : X \times X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  a preference correspondence and  $F_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  a fuzzy constraint correspondence. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) For all  $x \in X$ ,  $A_i(x)$  and  $F_i(x)$  are nonempty and convex;
  - (ii) For all  $y_i \in X_i$ ,  $P_i^{-1}(y_i)$  are open sets and the correspondences  $F_i, A_i$  are upper semi-continuous, compact, with nonempty convex closed values;
  - (iii) For all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ ,  $x_i \notin \text{co}P_i(x, y)$ ;
  - (iv) The set  $U_i := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : P_i(x, y) \cap A_i(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is open.
- Then there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x^*)$ ,  $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(x^*)$  and  $A_i(x^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* According to Lemma 1, there is a common filtering set  $J$  such that, for every  $i \in I$ , there exists a family  $(A_{ij})_{j \in J}$  of regular correspondences between  $X$  and  $X_i$ , such that both  $(A_{ij})_{j \in J}$  and  $(\overline{A_{ij}})_{j \in J}$  are upper approximating families for  $A_i$  and a family  $(F_{ij})_{j \in J}$  of regular correspondences between  $X$  and  $X_i$ , such that both  $(F_{ij})_{j \in J}$  and  $(\overline{F_{ij}})_{j \in J}$  are upper approximating families for  $F_i$ . The correspondences  $A_{ij}$  and  $F_{ij}$  are regular, it follows that  $A_{ij}$  and  $F_{ij}$  have an open graph and thus they have open lower sections. Since  $A_i$  and  $F_i$  have closed graphs, the set  $W_i := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x_i \in A_i(x) \text{ and } y_i \in F_i(x)\}$  is closed in  $X \times X$ . Therefore the abstract economy  $\Gamma_j = (X_i, A_{ij}, P_i, F_{ij})_{i \in I}$  satisfies all hypotheses of Theorem 1. It follows by Theorem 1 that  $\Gamma_j$  has an equilibrium  $(x^{*j}, y^{*j}) \in X \times X$  such that  $A_{ij}(x^{*j}) \cap P_i(x^{*j}, y^{*j}) = \emptyset$ ,  $x_i^{*j} \in A_{ij}(x^{*j})$  and  $y_i^{*j} \in F_{ij}(x^{*j})$  for all  $i \in I$ . Since  $A_i(x^{*j}) \subset A_{ij}(x^{*j})$ , it follows that  $A_i(x^{*j}) \cap P_i(x^{*j}, y^{*j}) = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\{(x^{*j}, y^{*j})\}_{j \in I} \subset U_i^C$  which is closed in  $X \times X$  by condition (iv). On the other hand, note that  $(x^{*j}, y^{*j})_{j \in I}$  is a net

in the compact set  $X \times X$ ; without loss of generality, we may assume that  $(x^{*j})_{j \in I}$  converges to  $x^* \in X$  and  $(y^{*j})_{j \in I}$  converges to  $y^* \in X$ . Then, for each  $i \in I$ ,  $x_i^* = \lim_{j \in I} x_i^{*j}$  and  $y_i^* = \lim_{j \in I} y_i^{*j}$ . As  $(x^*, y^*) \in U_i^C$  for all  $i \in I$ , it follows that  $A_i(x^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$ . Since  $(x^{*j}, y^{*j})$  is an equilibrium point of  $\Gamma_j$ , we have that  $x_i^{*j} \in A_{ij}(x^{*j}) \subset \overline{A_{ij}}(x^{*j})$  and  $y_i^{*j} \in F_{ij}(x^{*j}) \subset \overline{F_{ij}}(x^{*j})$ . As  $\overline{A_{ij}}$  and  $\overline{F_{ij}}$  have a closed graph, it follows that  $(x^*, x_i^*) \in \text{Gr} \overline{A_{ij}}$  and  $(x^*, y_i^*) \in \text{Gr} \overline{F_{ij}}$  for every  $i \in I$ . For each  $i \in I$ , since  $(A_{ij})_{j \in I}$  is an upper approximation family for  $A_i$ , it follows that  $\bigcap_{j \in I} \overline{A_{ij}}(x) \subset \overline{A_i}(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ , so that  $(x^*, x_i^*) \in \text{Gr} \overline{A_i}$ . Also, we have that  $(x^*, y_i^*) \in \text{Gr} \overline{F_i}$ . Therefore, for each  $i \in I$ ,  $A_i(x^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$ ,  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x^*)$  and  $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(x^*)$ .

The next results from this section prove the existence of equilibrium for generalized abstract economies.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\Gamma = \{X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a generalized abstract economy, where  $I$  is any index set, such that, for each  $i \in I$ :*

- i)  $X_i$  is a non-empty convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space  $E_i$ ,  $D_i$  is a non-empty compact subset of  $X_i$  and denote  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ ,  $D = \prod_{i \in I} D_i$ ;
  - ii)  $A_i : X \rightarrow 2^{D_i}$  is upper semicontinuous such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $A_i(x)$  is a non-empty closed convex subset of  $X_i$ ;
  - iii)  $P_i : X \times X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  is upper semicontinuous such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $P_i(x)$  is a non-empty closed convex subset of  $X_i$ ;
  - iv) the set  $W_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : A_i(x) \cap P_i(x, y) \neq \emptyset\}$  is open;
  - v) for each  $x \in W_i$ ,  $x_i \notin P_i(x, y)$ ;
- Then, there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in D \times D$  such that  $x_i^* \in A_i(x^*)$ ,  $y^* \in F_i(x^*)$  and  $A_i(x^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ .

*Proof.* According to the assumption i),  $D$  is a non-empty compact subset of  $X$ . For each  $i \in I$ , the correspondence  $G_i : X \times X \rightarrow 2^{D_i}$  defined by  $G_i(x, y) = A_i(x) \cap P_i(x, y)$  for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  has closed convex values and it is upper semicontinuous. Let us define  $T_i : X \times X \rightarrow 2^{D_i}$  by

$$T_i(x, y) = \begin{cases} (A_i(x) \cap P_i(x, y)) \times F_i(x), & \text{if } x \in W_i; \\ A_i(x) \times F_i(x), & \text{if } x \notin W_i. \end{cases}$$

According to Lemma 3,  $T_i$  is upper semicontinuous and has non-empty closed convex values.

Let us define the correspondence  $T : X \times X \rightarrow 2^D$ , by  $T(x, y) = \prod_{i \in I} T_i(x, y)$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then,  $T$  is also upper semicontinuous. Since each  $T(x, y)$  is a non-empty closed convex subset of the compact set  $D$ , by Himmelberg's

fixed point Theorem [12], there exists an  $(x^*, y^*) \in D \times D$  such that  $(x^*, y^*) \in T(x^*, y^*)$ . Then,  $x_i^* \in T_i(x^*)$  for each  $i \in I$ . According to the assumption v),  $x_i^* \notin P_i(x^*, y^*)$  and therefore,  $x_i^* \in A_i(x^*)$ ,  $y_i^* \in F_i(x^*)$  and  $(A_i \cap P_i)(x^*) = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\Gamma = \{X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a generalized abstract economy, where  $I$  is any index set, such that, for each  $i \in I$ :*

i)  $X_i$  is a non-empty convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space  $E_i$ ,  $D_i$  is a non-empty compact subset of  $X_i$  and denote  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ ,  $D = \prod_{i \in I} D_i$ ;

ii)  $\overline{A_i^{V_i}}, \overline{F_i^{V_i}} : X \rightarrow 2^{D_i}$  are correspondences with non-empty convex values for each open absolutely convex symmetric neighborhood  $V_i$  of 0 in  $E_i$ ;

iii)  $P_i : X \times X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  is upper semicontinuous with non-empty closed convex values;

iv) the set  $W_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : A_i(x) \cap P_i(x, y) \neq \emptyset\}$  is open;

v) for each  $(x, y) \in W_i$ ,  $x_i \notin P_i(x, y)$ ;

Then there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in D \times D$  such that  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x^*)$ ,  $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(x^*)$  and  $A_i(x^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ .

*Proof.* According to assumption iv),  $W_i$  is open in  $X$  for each  $i \in I$ .

Let  $\beta_i$  be a basis of open absolutely convex symmetric neighborhoods of 0 in  $E_i$  and let  $\beta = \prod_{i \in I} \beta_i$ .

For each  $V = (V_i)_{i \in I} \in \beta$ , for each  $i \in I$ , let's define  $T_i^{V_i} : X \times X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$

by

$$T_i^{V_i}(x, y) := \begin{cases} (\overline{A_i^{V_i}}(x) \cap P_i(x, y)) \times \overline{F_i^{V_i}}(x), & \text{if } (x, y) \in W_i, \\ \overline{A_i^{V_i}}(x) \times \overline{F_i^{V_i}}(x), & \text{if } (x, y) \notin W_i \end{cases} \quad \text{for each } (x, y) \in X \times X.$$

According to assumption ii), each  $T_i^{V_i}$  is upper semicontinuous with non-empty closed convex values. Let us define  $T^V : X \times X \rightarrow 2^D$  by  $T^V(x, y) = \prod_{i \in I} T_i^{V_i}(x, y)$  for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . The correspondence  $T^V$  is upper semi-

continuous with non-empty closed convex values. Therefore, according to Himmelberg's fixed point Theorem [12], there exists  $(x_V^*, y_V^*) = \prod_{i \in I} (x_V^*, y_V^*)_i \in$

$D \times D$  such that  $(x_V^*, y_V^*) \in T^V(x_V^*, y_V^*)$ . It follows that  $(x_V^*, y_V^*)_i \in \overline{T_i^{V_i}}(x_V^*, y_V^*)_i$  for each  $i \in I$ .

For each  $V = (V_i)_{i \in I} \in \beta$ , let's define  $Q_V = \bigcap_{i \in I} \{(x, y) \in D \times D : (x_i, y_i) \in T_i^{V_i}(x, y)\}$ .



$Q_V$  is nonempty since  $(x_V^*, y_V^*) \in Q_V$ , then  $Q_V$  is nonempty and closed.

We prove that the family  $\{Q_V : V \in \mathfrak{B}\}$  has the finite intersection property.

Let  $\{V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, \dots, V^{(n)}\}$  be any finite set of  $\mathfrak{B}$  and let  $V^{(k)} = \prod_{i \in I} V_i^{(k)}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $V_i = \bigcap_{k=1}^n V_i^{(k)}$ , then  $V_i \in \mathfrak{B}_i$ ; thus  $V = \prod_{i \in I} V_i \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{B}_i$ .

Clearly  $Q_V \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^n Q_{V^{(k)}}$  so that  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n Q_{V^{(k)}} \neq \emptyset$ .

Since  $D \times D$  is compact and the family  $\{Q_V : V \in \mathfrak{B}\}$  has the finite intersection property, we have that  $\bigcap \{Q_V : V \in \mathfrak{B}\} \neq \emptyset$ . Take any  $(x^*, y^*) \in \bigcap \{Q_V : V \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ , then for each  $V_i \in \mathfrak{B}_i$ ,  $(x_i^*, y_i^*) \in \overline{T_i^{V_i}}(x^*, y^*)$ . According to Lemma 2, we have that  $(x_i^*, y_i^*) \in \overline{T_i}(x^*, y^*)$ , for each  $i \in I$ .

According to condition (5) we have that  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x^*)$ ,  $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(x^*)$  and  $(A_i \cap P_i)(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ .

#### 4. Systems of vector quasi-equilibrium problems under upper semi-continuity assumptions

For each  $i \in I$ , let  $X_i$  be a non-empty subset of a topological vector space  $E_i$ ,  $Y_i$  a topological vector space and let  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  and  $C_i \subset X_i$  a closed cone with  $\text{int}C \neq \emptyset$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $A_i, F_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  and  $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  be correspondences with non-empty values. We consider the following systems of generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems (in short, SGVQEP (I)):

Find  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x^*)$ ,  $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(x^*)$  and  $f_i(x^*, y^*, u_i) \subseteq C_i$  for each  $u_i \in A_i(x^*)$ .

The next theorems establish the existence of the solutions for systems of vector quasi-equilibrium problem.

**Theorem 8.** *For each  $i \in I$  ( $I$  finite), let  $X_i$  be a non-empty compact convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space  $E_i$ . Let  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  be perfectly normal and  $C_i$  a closed cone with  $\text{int}C_i \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  be a correspondence with non-empty values. For each  $i \in I$ , assume that:*

- i)  $F_i, A_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  are upper semicontinuous correspondences with non-empty closed convex values;
- ii) for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $f_i(x, y, x_i) \subseteq C_i$ ;

- iii)  $f_i(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is lower  $(-C_i)$ -semicontinuous;
- iv) for each  $x, y \in X$ , the correspondence  $f_i(x, y, \cdot)$  is  $C_i$ -quasi-convex;
- v)  $U_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \text{there exists } u_i \in A_i(x) \text{ such that } f_i(x, y, u_i) \subseteq C_i\}$  is open.

Then, there exists a solution  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  of (SGVQEP)(I).

*Proof.* For each  $i \in I$ , let  $P_i : X \times X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  be defined by

$$P_i(x, y) = \{u_i \in X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \not\subseteq C_i\} \text{ for each } (x, y) \in X \times X.$$

We will show that  $P_i$  has an open graph and convex values.

We are proving firstly the convexity of  $P_i(x_0, y_0)$ , where  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$  is arbitrary fixed. Let us consider  $u_1, u_2 \in P_i(x_0, y_0)$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Since  $u_1, u_2 \in X_i$  and the set  $X_i$  is convex, the convex combination  $u = \lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2 \in X_i$ . Further, by using the property of properly  $C$ -quasiconvexity of  $f_i(x_0, y_0, \cdot)$ , we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_1) \subset f_i(x_0, y_0, u) + C_i$ . We will prove that  $u \in P_i(x_0, y_0)$ . If, by contrary,  $u \notin P_i(x_0, y_0)$ , then,  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u) \subseteq C_i$  and, consequently,  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_1) \subset f_i(x_0, y_0, u) + C_i \subseteq C_i + C_i \subseteq C_i$ , which contradicts  $u_1 \in P_i(x_0, y_0)$ . It remains that  $u \in P_i(x_0, y_0)$ . Therefore,  $P_i(x_0, y_0)$  is a convex set.

According to assumption *ii*), it follows that  $x_i \notin P_i(x, y)$  for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ .

The closedness of the  $(\text{Gr}P_i)^C$  will be shown now. We consider the net  $\{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha, u_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subset (\text{Gr}P_i)^C$  such that  $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha, u_\alpha) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, u_0) \in X \times X \times X_i$ . Then,  $u_\alpha \notin P_i(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , i.e.  $f_i(x_\alpha, y_\alpha, u_\alpha) \subseteq C_i$ . We prove that  $(x_0, y_0, u_0) \in (\text{Gr}P_i)^C$ , that is  $u_0 \notin P_i(x_0, y_0)$ . We use the lower  $(-C_i)$ -continuity of  $F$  and we conclude that, for each neighborhood  $U$  of the origin in  $X_i$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $V(x_0, y_0, u_0)$  of  $(x_0, y_0, u_0)$  such that,  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_0) \subset f_i(x_\alpha, y_\alpha, u_\alpha) + U + C_i$  for each  $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha, u_\alpha) \in V(x_0, y_0, u_0)$ . Then, for each  $(x, y, u) \in V(x_0, y_0, u_0)$ ,  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_0) \subset C_i + U + C_i \subset C_i + U$ . We will prove that  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_0) \subseteq C_i$ . If, by contrary, there exists  $a \in f_i(x_0, y_0, u_0)$  and  $a \notin C_i$ , then,  $0 \notin B := C_i - a$  and  $B$  is closed. Thus,  $B$  is open and  $0 \in X_i \setminus B$ . There exists an open symmetric neighborhood  $U_1$  of the origin in  $X_i$ , such that  $U_1 \subset X_i \setminus B$  and  $U_1 \cap B$  is closed. Therefore,  $0 \notin B + U_1$ , i.e.,  $a \notin C_i + U_1$ , which contradicts  $f(x_0, y_0, z_0) \subset U_1 + C_i$ . It follows that  $f(x_0, y_0, z_0) \subseteq C_i$  and then,  $u_0 \in P_i(x_0, y_0)^C$  and  $(\text{Gr}P_i)^C$  is closed and therefore,  $\text{Gr}P_i$  is open and  $P_i$  has open lower sections.

According to *vi*),  $U_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : A_i(x) \cap P_i(x, y) \neq \emptyset\}$  is open and according to *ii*),  $x_i \notin P_i(x, y)$  for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ .

All the assumptions of Theorem 5 are fulfilled. Then, there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $A_i(x^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$ ,  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x^*)$  and

$y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(x^*)$ . Consequently, there exist  $x^*, y^* \in X$  such that  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x^*)$ ,  $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(x^*)$  and  $f_i(x^*, y^*, u) \subseteq C_i$  for each  $u \in A_i(x^*)$ .

**Remark 1.** We note that Theorem 8 differs from Theorem 3.2.1 in [17] in the following way: the correspondences  $A_i$  and  $F_i$  are upper semi-continuous and  $f_i$  is lower  $(-C_i)$ -continuous for each  $i \in I$ .

**Theorem 9.** For each  $i \in I$ , let  $X_i$  be a non-empty convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space  $E_i$ ,  $D_i$  a non-empty compact subset of  $X_i$  and denote  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ ,  $D = \prod_{i \in I} D_i$ . Let  $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  be correspondence with non-empty values. For each  $i \in I$ , assume that:

- i)  $F_i, A_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  are upper semicontinuous correspondences with non-empty closed convex values;
- ii) for each  $x, y \in X$ ,  $f_i(x, y, x_i) \subseteq \text{int}C_i$ ;
- iii) for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ ,  $f_i(x, y, \cdot)$  is upper semicontinuous;
- iv)  $f_i(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is upper  $(C_i)$ -semicontinuous;
- v) for each  $x, y \in X$ , the correspondence  $f_i(x, y, \cdot)$  is  $C_i$ -quasi-convex;
- vi)  $U_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \text{there exists } u_i \in A_i(x) \text{ such that } f(x, y, u_i) \subseteq \text{int}C_i\}$  is open.

Then, there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  such that  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x^*)$ ,  $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(x^*)$  and  $f_i(x^*, y^*, u) \subseteq \text{int}C_i$  for each  $u \in A_i(x^*)$ .

*Proof.* For each  $i \in I$ , let  $P_i : X \times X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  be defined by

$$P_i(x, y) = \{u_i \in X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \not\subseteq \text{int}C_i\} \text{ for each } (x, y) \in X \times X.$$

We will prove that  $P_i$  has a closed graph and non-empty closed convex values.

Let us fix  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$ .

In order to prove the convexity of  $P_i(x_0, y_0)$ , let us consider  $u_1, u_2 \in P_i(x_0, y_0)$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $u$  be the convex combination  $u = \lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2 \in X_i$ . Further, by using the property of properly C-quasiconvexity of  $f_i(x_0, y_0, \cdot)$ , we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_1) \subset f_i(x_0, y_0, u) + C_i$ . We will prove that  $u \in P_i(x_0, y_0)$ . If, by contrary,  $u \notin P_i(x_0, y_0)$ ,  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u) \subseteq \text{int}C_i$  and, consequently,  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_1) \subset f_i(x_0, y_0, u) + C_i \subseteq \text{int}C_i + C_i \subseteq \text{int}C_i$ , which contradicts  $u_1 \in P_i(x_0, y_0)$ . It remains that  $u \in P_i(x_0, y_0)$ . Therefore,  $P_i(x_0, y_0)$  is a convex set.

Further, we will prove that  $P_i(x_0, y_0)$  is closed.

Let us consider the net  $\{u_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subseteq P_i(x_0, y_0)$  such that  $u_\alpha \rightarrow u_0$ . Then,  $u_\alpha \in X_i$  and  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_\alpha) \not\subseteq \text{int}C_i$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Since  $X_i$  is a closed

set, it follows that  $u_0 \in X_i$ . We assume, by absurd, that  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_0) \subseteq \text{int}C_i$ . Since  $f_i(x_0, y_0, \cdot)$  is upper semicontinuous, then,  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_\alpha) \subset \text{int}C_i$  for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, our assumption is false and  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_0) \not\subseteq \text{int}C_i$ , i.e.  $u_0 \in P_i(x_0, y_0)$  and  $P_i(x_0, y_0)$  is a closed set.

Now, the closedness of  $P_i$  will be shown. We consider the net  $\{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha, u_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subset \text{Gr}P_i$  such that  $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha, u_\alpha) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, u_0) \in X \times X \times X_i$ . Then,  $u_\alpha \in P_i(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and we prove that  $(x_0, y_0, u_0) \in \text{Gr}P_i$ , that is  $u_0 \in P_i(x_0, y_0)$ . If, by absurd,  $u_0 \notin P_i(x_0, y_0)$ , then,  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_0) \subseteq \text{int}C_i$ . This relation implies that there exists a neighbourhood  $U_0$  of the origin in  $Z$  such that  $f_i(x_0, y_0, u_0) + U_0 \subset \text{int}C_i$ . Further, we use the upper  $C_i$ -continuity of  $f_i$  and we conclude that there exists a neighbourhood  $V(x_0, y_0, u_0)$  of  $(x_0, y_0, u_0)$  such that,  $f_i(x, y, u) \subset f_i(x_0, y_0, u_0) + U_0 + C$  for each  $(x, y, u) \in V(x_0, y_0, u_0)$ . Then, for each  $(x, y, u) \in V(x_0, y_0, u_0)$ ,  $f_i(x, y, u) \subset \text{int}C_i + C_i \subset \text{int}C_i$ , which implies the existence of  $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$  such that for each  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ ,  $f_i(x_\alpha, y_\alpha, u_\alpha) \subset \text{int}C_i$ . The last relation contradicts  $u_\alpha \in P_i(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$ . Consequently, the assumption that  $u_0 \notin P_i(x_0, y_0)$  is false. Since  $u_0 \in P_i(x_0, y_0)$ ,  $\text{Gr}P_i$  is closed, and, since  $X_i$  is compact, it follows that  $P_i$  is upper semicontinuous.

According to vi),  $U_i = (x, y) \in X \times X : A_i(x) \cap P_i(x, y) \neq \emptyset$  is open and according to ii),  $x_i \notin P_i(x, y)$  for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ .

All the assumptions of Theorem 6 are fulfilled. Then, there exists  $(x^*, y^*)$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $A_i(x^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$ ,  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i(x^*)}$  and  $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i(x^*)}$ . Consequently, there exist  $x^*, y^* \in X$  such that  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i(x^*)}$ ,  $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i(x^*)}$  and  $f(x^*, y^*, u) \subseteq \text{int}C_i$  for each  $u \in A_i(x^*)$ .

**Theorem 10.** *For each  $i \in I$ , let  $X_i$  be a non-empty convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space  $E_i$ ,  $D_i$  a non-empty compact subset of  $X_i$  and denote  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ ,  $D = \prod_{i \in I} D_i$ . Let  $f_i : X \times X \times X_i \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  be a lower semicontinuous correspondence with non-empty values. For each  $i \in I$ , assume that:*

- i)  $\overline{F_i^{V_i}}, \overline{A_i^{V_i}} : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  are correspondences with non-empty convex values for each open absolutely convex symmetric neighborhood  $V_i$  of 0 in  $E_i$ ;
- ii) for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $f_i(x, y, x_i) \subseteq \text{int}C_i$ ;
- iii) for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ ,  $f_i(x, y, \cdot)$  is upper semicontinuous;
- iv)  $f_i(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is upper  $(C_i)$ -semicontinuous;
- v) for each  $x, y \in X$ , the correspondence  $f_i(x, y, \cdot)$  is  $C_i$ -quasi-convex;

vi)  $U_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \text{there exists } u_i \in A_i(x) \text{ such that } f(x, y, u_i) \subseteq \text{int}C_i\}$  is open.

Then, there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  such that  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x^*)$ ,  $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(x^*)$  and  $f_i(x^*, y^*, u) \subseteq \text{int}C_i$  for each  $u \in A_i(x^*)$ .

*Proof.* For each  $i \in I$ , let  $P_i : X \times X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  be defined by

$$P_i(x, y) = \{u_i \in X_i : f_i(x, y, u_i) \not\subseteq \text{int}C_i\} \text{ for each } (x, y) \in X \times X.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 9, we can show that  $P_i$  is upper semicontinuous with non-empty closed convex values.

According to vi),  $U_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : A_i(x) \cap P_i(x, y) \neq \emptyset\}$  is open and according to ii),  $x_i \notin P_i(x, y)$  for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ .

All the assumptions of Theorem 7 are fulfilled. Then, there exists  $(x^*, y^*)$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $A_i(x^*) \cap P_i(x^*, y^*) = \emptyset$ ,  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x^*)$  and  $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(x^*)$ . Consequently, there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  such that  $x_i^* \in \overline{A_i}(x^*)$ ,  $y_i^* \in \overline{F_i}(x^*)$  and  $f_i(x^*, y^*, u) \subseteq \text{int}C_i$  for each  $u \in A_i(x^*)$ .

## 5. Strong vector quasi-equilibrium problems

Let us consider  $E_1, E_2$  and  $Z$  be topological vector spaces, let  $K \subset E_1$ ,  $D \subset E_2$  be subsets and  $C_i \subset Z$  a nonempty closed convex cone. Let us also consider the correspondences  $A : K \rightarrow 2^K$ ,  $F : K \rightarrow 2^D$  and  $f : K \times D \times K \rightarrow 2^Z$ .

We will study the existence of the solutions for the following extension of the generalized strong vector quasi-equilibrium problem (shortly, GSVQEP): finding  $x^* \in K$  and  $y^* \in \overline{F}(x^*)$  such that  $x^* \in \overline{A}(x^*)$  and  $f_i(x^*, y^*, z) \subset C_i$ ,  $\forall z \in \overline{A}(x^*)$ , where the correspondence  $\overline{A}$  is defined by  $\overline{A}(x) = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in \text{cl}_{X \times Y} \text{Gr}A\}$ . Note that  $\text{cl}A(x) \subset \overline{A}(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ .

The element  $x^*$  will be called a strong solution for the GSVQEP and the set of all strong solutions for the GSVQEP will be denoted by  $V_A(f)$ .

### 5.1. Strong vector quasi-equilibrium problems without continuity assumptions

In this subsection, we prove that the set  $V_A(f)$  is non-empty also in the case when the correspondences do not satisfy continuity assumptions defined explicitly. Instead, we will use some conditions concerning generalized convexity, mainly, the weakly naturally quasi-concavity property of correspondences. Other assumptions refer to correspondences with weakly convex graphs. We present these notions below.

Let us denote  $\Delta_{n-1} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1 \text{ and } \lambda_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}$   
the standard  $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The correspondence  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to have *weakly convex graph* [7] if for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each finite set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$ , there exists  $y_i \in F(x_i)$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \text{co}(\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}) \subset \text{Gr}(F)$$

The relation (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i \in F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i\right) \quad (\forall (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}).$$

We introduced in [22] the weakly naturally quasi-concave correspondences.

**Definition 3.** (see [22]) Let  $X$  be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space  $E$  and  $Y$  a non-empty subset of a topological vector space  $Z$ . The set-valued map  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to be weakly naturally quasi-concave (WNQ) iff for each  $n$  and for each finite set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$ , there exists  $y_i \in F(x_i)$ ,  $(i \in \{1, \dots, n\})$  and  $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) : \Delta_{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}$  a mapping with  $g_i$  continuous,  $g_i(1) = 1$  and  $g_i(0) = 0$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i) y_i \in F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i\right)$  for every  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$ .

**Example 1.** (see [20]) Let  $F : [0, 4] \rightarrow 2^{[-2, 2]}$  be defined by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} [0, 2] & \text{if } x \in [0, 2); \\ [-2, 0] & \text{if } x = 2; \\ (0, 2] & \text{if } x \in (2, 4]. \end{cases}$$

$F$  is neither upper semicontinuous, nor lower semicontinuous in 2.  $F$  is weakly naturally quasi-concave.

The next theorem is our first result concerning the existence of the strong solutions for the GSVQEP.

**Theorem 11.** Let  $E_1, E_2, Z$  be topological vector spaces,  $K \subset E_1$  and  $D \subset E_2$  be subsets. Let  $L$  be a simplex in  $K \times D$  and denote  $L_K = \text{pr}_K L$ . Let  $(A, F) : L_K \rightarrow 2^L$  be weakly naturally quasi-concave. Let us suppose that, for

each  $n \in N$ ,  $\lambda \in \Delta_{n-1}$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in L_k$ ,  $A(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n A(x_i)$ . Let  $f : L \times K \rightarrow 2^K$  a correspondence such that the following assumptions are fulfilled:

- i)  $\forall (x, y) \in L$ ,  $f(x, y, A(x)) \subset C$ ;
- ii) for each  $z \in K$ , for each  $n \in N$ ,  $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Delta_{n-1}$  and  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in L$ ,  $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda'_i y_i, z) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n f(x_i, y_i, z)$ .

Then,  $V_f \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let us define  $P : L \rightarrow 2^K$ ,  $M : L \rightarrow 2^L$ ,  $M(x, y) = (P(x, y), F(x))$ , where  $P(x, y) = \{u \in A(x) : f(u, y, z) \subset C \forall z \in A(x)\}$ . Firstly, the weakly naturally quasi-concavity of  $M$  will be proved. Let us consider  $n \in N$ ,  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in L$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , there exists  $(u_i, v_i) \in M(x_i, y_i)$ , that is  $u_i \in A(x_i)$  and  $f(u_i, y_i, z) \subset C \forall z \in A(x_i)$  and  $v_i \in F(x_i)$ . Let  $\lambda \in \Delta_{n-1}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (x_i, y_i) \in L$  and let us denote

$(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (u_i, v_i)$ . Since  $(A, F)$  is weakly naturally quasiconcave, it follows

that there exists  $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) : \Delta_{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}$  a function depending on  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  with  $g_i$  continuous,  $g_i(1) = 1$ ,  $g_i(0) = 0$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that for every  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i) (u_i, v_i) \in$

$(A, F)(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i)$ . According to assumption ii),  $f(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i) u_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i, z) \subset f(u_i, y_i, z)$

$\subset C$ . We have that  $f(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i) u_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i, z) \subset C \forall z \in A(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i)$  and then,

$f(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i) u_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i, z) \subset C \forall z \in A(x_i)$ , because  $A(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i) \subset A(x_i)$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore,  $P$  is weakly naturally quasi-concave.

Therefore,  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i) u_i \in A(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i)$ ,  $f(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i) u_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i, z) \subset C \forall z \in A(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i) v_i \in F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i)$ , that is  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i) u_i \in P(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i)$

and  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i) v_i \in F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i)$ . Consequently,  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i) (u_i, v_i) \in M(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (x_i, y_i))$ , hence,  $M$  is weakly naturally quasi-concave. Further, we will prove that  $M$  has a continuous selection on  $L$ .  $L$  is a simplex, and let us suppose that it is the convex hull of the affinely independent set  $\{(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_n, b_n)\}$ .

There exist unique continuous functions  $\lambda_i : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that, for each  $(x, y) \in L$ , we have  $(\lambda_1(x, y), \lambda_2(x, y), \dots, \lambda_n(x, y)) \in \Delta_{n-1}$  and  $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x, y)(a_i, b_i)$ . Let us define  $h : L \rightarrow L$  by  $h(a_i, b_i) = (c_i, d_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $h(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(a_i, b_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(c_i, d_i) \in M(x, y)$ . We show that  $h$  is continuous. Let  $(x_m, y_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence which converges to  $(x_0, y_0) \in L$ , where  $(x_m, y_m) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x_m, y_m)(a_i, b_i)$  and  $(x_0, y_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x_0)(a_i, b_i)$ . By the continuity of  $\lambda_i$ , it follows that, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $\lambda_i(x_m, y_m) \rightarrow \lambda_i(x_0, y_0)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $h(x_m, y_m) \rightarrow h(x_0, y_0)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.  $h$  is continuous.

We proved that  $M$  has a continuous selection on  $B$ . According to Brouwer fixed point Theorem,  $h$  has a fixed point  $(x^*, y^*) \in L$ ,  $h(x^*, y^*) = (x^*, y^*)$ . Then,  $(x^*, y^*) \in M(x^*, y^*)$ . Therefore,  $x^* \in P(x^*, y^*)$  and  $y^* \in F(x^*)$ , which implies that there exist  $x^* \in K$  and  $y^* \in F(x^*)$  such that  $x^* \in A(x^*)$  and  $f(x^*, y^*, x) \subset C$ ,  $\forall x \in A(x^*)$ , i.e.  $x^* \in V_A(f)$  and then,  $V_A(f)$  is non-empty.

We will prove a similar result in the case of biconvexity. The biconvex sets were introduced by Aumann [2]. For the reader's convenience, we present below the most important notions concerning biconvexity.

Let  $X \subset E_1$  and  $Y \subset E_2$  be two nonempty convex sets,  $E_1, E_2$  be topological vector spaces and let  $B \subset X \times Y$ .

The set  $B \subset X \times Y$  is called a *biconvex set* on  $X \times Y$  if the section  $B_x = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in B\}$  is convex for every  $x \in X$  and the section  $B_y = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in B\}$  is convex for every  $y \in Y$ . Let  $(x_i, y_i) \in X \times Y$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . A convex combination  $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x_i, y_i)$ , (with  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ ,  $\lambda_i \geq 0$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is called *biconvex combination* if  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = x$  or  $y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_n = y$ . Let  $D \subseteq X \times Y$  be a given set. The set  $H := \bigcap \{D_I : D \subseteq D_I, D_I \text{ is biconvex}\}$  is called *biconvex hull of  $D$*  and is denoted  $\text{biconv}(D)$ .

**Theorem 12.** (Aumann and Hart [2]). *A set  $B \subseteq X \times Y$  is biconvex if and only if  $B$  contains all biconvex combinations of its elements.*

**Theorem 13.** (Aumann and Hart [2]). *The biconvex hull of a set  $P$  is biconvex. Furthermore, it is the smallest biconvex set (in the sense of set inclusion), which contains  $P$ .*



**Lemma 14.** (Gorski, Pfeuffer and Klamroth [10]). Let  $D \subseteq X \times Y$  be a given set. Then  $\text{biconv}(D) \subseteq \text{conv}(D)$ .

Now we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 4.** Let  $B \subset X \times Y$  be a biconvex set,  $Z$  a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space  $F$  and  $T : B \rightarrow 2^Z$  a correspondence.  $T$  is called weakly biconvex if for each finite set  $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\} \subset B$ , there exists  $z_i \in T(x_i, y_i)$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  such that for every biconvex combination  $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x_i, y_i) \in B$  (with  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ ,  $\lambda_i \geq 0$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i \in T(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x_i, y_i))$ .

**Theorem 15.** Let  $E_1, E_2, Z$  be topological vector spaces and  $K \subset E_1$ ,  $D \subset E_2$  be subsets.

Let  $B$  be the biconvex hull of  $\{(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_n, b_n)\} \subset K \times D$  (a biconvex subset of  $K \times D$ ) and denote  $B_K = \text{pr}_K B$ .

Let  $(A, F) : B_K \rightarrow 2^B$ , such that and  $A : B_K \rightarrow 2^K$  and  $F : B_K \rightarrow 2^D$  have weakly convex graphs and for each  $n \in N$ ,  $\lambda \in \Delta_{n-1}$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in B_K$ ,  $A(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n A(x_i)$ .

Let  $f : B \times K \rightarrow 2^C$  such that:

a)  $\forall (x, y) \in B$ ,  $f(x, y, A(x)) \subset C$

b) for each  $z \in K$ , for each  $n \in N$ ,  $\lambda \in \Delta_{n-1}$  and  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in B$ ,

$f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i, z) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n f(x_i, y_i, z)$ .

Then,  $V_f \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let us define  $P : B \rightarrow 2^K$  by  $P(x, y) = \{u \in A(x) : f(u, y, z) \subset C \forall z \in A(x)\}$ .

We will prove that  $P$  is weakly biconvex. Let  $n \in N$  and  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in B$ .

For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , there exists  $u_i \in P_i(x_i, y_i)$ , that is  $u_i \in A(x_i)$  and  $f(u_i, y_i, z) \subset C \forall z \in A(x_i)$ . Let  $\lambda \in \Delta_{n-1}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x_i, y_i) \in B$  and let

us denote  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i$ . Since  $A$  has a weakly convex graph, it follows that

$u \in A(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i)$ .

$f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i, z) \subset f(u_i, y_i, z) \subset C \forall z \in A(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i)$ . Since  $A(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i) \subset A(x_i)$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we have that  $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i, z) \subset C \forall z \in A(x_i) \forall x \in A(x_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore,  $P$  is weakly biconvex.

Let  $M : B \rightarrow 2^B$ ,  $M(x, y) = (P(x, y), F(x)) \forall (x, y) \in B$ . We will prove that  $M$  is weakly biconvex. Let  $n \in N$  and  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in B$ . Since  $P$  is weakly biconvex, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , there exists  $u_i \in P(x_i, y_i)$  such that for each  $\lambda \in \Delta_{n-1}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i \in P(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (x_i, y_i))$ . Since  $F$  has a weakly convex graph, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , there exists  $v_i \in F(x_i, y_i)$  such that for each  $\lambda \in \Delta_{n-1}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \in F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i)$ . It follows that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , there exists  $(u_i, v_i) \in M(x_i, y_i)$  such that for each  $\lambda \in \Delta_{n-1}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (u_i, v_i) \in M(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (x_i, y_i))$ .

Further, it will be shown that  $M$  has a continuous selection on  $B$ . Since  $B$  is biconvex hull of  $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)$ , there exist unique continuous functions  $\lambda_i : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that for each  $(x, y) \in B$ , we have  $(\lambda_1(x, y), \lambda_2(x, y), \dots, \lambda_n(x, y)) \in \Delta_{n-1}$  and  $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x, y)(a_i, b_i)$ .

Define  $h : B \rightarrow B$  by  $h(a_i, b_i) = (c_i, d_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $h(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(a_i, b_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(c_i, d_i) \in M(x, y)$ . We show that  $h$  is continuous. Let  $(x_m, y_m)_{m \in N}$  be a sequence which converges to  $(x_0, y_0) \in B$ , where  $(x_m, y_m) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x_m, y_m)(a_i, b_i)$  implies  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = a$  or  $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_n = b$  and  $(x_0, y_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(x_0)(a_i, b_i)$  with  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = a$  or  $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_n = b$ . By the continuity of  $\lambda_i$ , it follows that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $\lambda_i(x_m, y_m) \rightarrow \lambda_i(x_0, y_0)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $h(x_m, y_m) \rightarrow h(x_0, y_0)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.  $h$  is continuous.

We proved that  $M$  has a continuous selection on  $B$ . According to Brouwer fixed point Theorem,  $h$  has a fixed point  $(x^*, y^*) \in B$ , i.e.  $h(x^*, y^*) = (x^*, y^*)$ . It follows that  $(x^*, y^*) \in M(x^*, y^*)$ . Therefore,  $x^* \in P(x^*, y^*)$  and  $y^* \in F(x^*)$ , which implies that there exists  $x^* \in K$  and  $y^* \in F(x^*)$  such that  $x^* \in A(x^*)$  and  $f(x^*, y^*, x) \subset C$ ,  $\forall x \in A(x^*)$ , i.e.  $x^* \in V_A(f)$  and then,  $V_A(f)$  is non-empty.

5.2. *Strong vector quasi-equilibrium problems under weak continuity assumptions*

Now, our last result is stated. The technique of proof is an approximation one.

The next example shows that our condition over  $A$  can be fulfilled by correspondences which are not lower semicontinuous. Let us recall that, if  $A : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is a correspondence and  $D, V \subset Y$ , then  $A^V : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is defined by  $A^V(x) = (A(x) + V) \cap D, \forall x \in X$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $A : (0, 2) \rightarrow 2^{[1,4]}$  be the correspondence defined by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} [2 - x, 2], & \text{if } x \in (0, 1); \\ \{4\} & \text{if } x = 1; \\ [1, 2] & \text{if } x \in (1, 2). \end{cases} \quad A \text{ is not lower semicontinuous on } (0, 2).$$

Let  $D = [1, 2]$ . For each  $V = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the correspondence  $\overline{A^V}$  is lower semicontinuous and  $\overline{A^V}$  has nonempty convex values.

**Theorem 16.** Let  $E_1, E_2, Z$  be Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces,  $K \subset E_1$  and  $D \subset E_2$  be non-empty convex compact subsets and  $C$  be a non-empty closed convex cone. Let  $A : K \rightarrow 2^K$  be a correspondence such that  $\overline{A}$  and  $\overline{A^{V_1}}$  are lower semi-continuous with non-empty convex values for each absolutely convex symmetric neighbourhood  $V_1$  of 0 in  $X$ . Let  $F : K \rightarrow 2^D$  be such that  $\overline{F}$  and  $\overline{F^{V_2}}$  has non-empty convex values for each absolutely convex symmetric neighbourhood  $V_2$  of 0 in  $Y$ . Let  $f : K \times D \times K \rightarrow 2^Z$  such that the following assumptions are satisfied:

- i) for all  $(x, y) \in K \times D$ ,  $f(x, y, \overline{A}(x)) \subset C$  and  $f(x, y, \overline{A^{V_1}}(x)) \subset C$  for each absolutely convex symmetric neighbourhood  $V_1$  of 0 in  $X$ ;
- ii) for all  $(y, z) \in D \times K$ ,  $f(\cdot, y, z)$  is properly  $C$ -quasiconvex;
- iii)  $f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is upper  $C$ -continuous;
- iv) for all  $y \in D$ ,  $f(\cdot, y, \cdot)$  is lower  $(-C)$ -continuous.

Then,  $V_A(f) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let us define  $P : K \times D \rightarrow 2^K$  and  $M : K \times D \rightarrow 2^{K \times D}$  by

$$P(x, y) = \{u \in A(x) : f(u, y, z) \subset C, \forall z \in A(x)\} \quad \forall (x, y) \in K \times D \text{ and}$$

$$M(x, y) = (P(x, y), F(x)) \quad \forall (x, y) \in K \times D.$$

Let  $V_1$  be an open absolutely convex symmetric neighbourhood of 0 in  $X$ .

Firstly, we will show that  $\overline{P^{V_1}}$  is an upper semicontinuous correspondence with non-empty closed convex values. The elements of  $V_A(f)$  will be obtained as a consequence of the existence of the fixed points for  $\overline{M}$ .

Let  $P^{V_1}$  be defined by  $P^{V_1}(x, y) = \{u \in A^{V_1}(x) : f(u, y, z) \subset C, \forall z \in A^{V_1}(x)\} \forall (x, y) \in K \times D$ .

We will show that  $\overline{P^{V_1}}$  is defined by  $\overline{P^{V_1}}(x, y) = \{u \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(x) : f(u, y, z) \subset C, \forall z \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(x)\} \forall (x, y) \in K \times D$ .

In order to do this fact, the closedness of  $\overline{P^{V_1}}$  will be shown firstly. We consider the net  $\{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha, u_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subset \text{Gr} \overline{P^{V_1}}$  such that  $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha, u_\alpha) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, u_0) \in K \times D \times K$ . Then,  $u_\alpha \in \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and we prove that  $(x_0, y_0, u_0) \in \text{Gr} \overline{P^{V_1}}$ , that is  $u_0 \in \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$ . Since  $\overline{A^{V_1}}$  is upper semicontinuous and  $u_\alpha \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(x_\alpha)$ , then  $u_0 \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(x_0)$ . If, by absurd,  $u_0 \notin \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$ , there exists  $z_0 \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(x_0)$  such that  $f(u_0, y_0, z_0) \not\subset C$ . This relation implies that there exists a neighbourhood  $U_0$  of the origin in  $Z$  such that  $f(u_0, y_0, z_0) + U_0 \not\subset C$ . Further, we use the upper  $C$ -continuity of  $f$  and we conclude that there exists a neighbourhood  $V(u_0, y_0, z_0)$  of  $(u_0, y_0, z_0)$  such that,  $f(u, y, z) \subset f(u_0, y_0, z_0) + U_0 + C$  for each  $(u, y, z) \in V(u_0, y_0, z_0)$ . Then, for each  $(u, y, z) \in V(u_0, y_0, z_0)$ ,  $f(u, y, z) \not\subset C + C \subset C$ , which implies the existence of  $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$  such that for each  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ ,  $f(u_\alpha, y_\alpha, z_\alpha) \not\subset C$ . The last relation contradicts  $u_\alpha \in \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$ . Consequently, the assumption that  $u_0 \notin \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$  is false. Since  $u_0 \in \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$ ,  $\text{Gr} \overline{P^{V_1}}$  is closed, and, since  $K$  is compact, it follows that  $\overline{P^{V_1}}$  is upper semicontinuous.

$\overline{A^{V_1}}(x)$  is non-empty for each  $x \in K$  and, according to the assumption i), it follows that  $\overline{P^{V_1}}(x, y)$  is non-empty.

Let us fix  $(x_0, y_0) \in K \times D$ . We will prove secondly that  $\overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$  is closed. Let us consider the net  $\{u_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subseteq \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$  such that  $u_\alpha \rightarrow u_0$ . Then,  $u_\alpha \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(x_0)$  and  $f(u_\alpha, y_0, z) \subset C$  for all  $z \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(u_\alpha)$ . Since  $\overline{A^{V_1}}(x_0)$  is a closed set, it follows that  $u_0 \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(x_0)$ . By using the lower semicontinuity of  $\overline{A^{V_1}}$ , we obtain that, for any  $z_0 \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(u_0)$  and  $\{u_\alpha\} \rightarrow u_0$ , there exists a net  $\{z_\alpha\}$  such that  $z_\alpha \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(u_\alpha)$  and  $z_\alpha \rightarrow z_0$ . It follows that, for each  $\alpha$ , there exists  $z_\alpha \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(u_\alpha)$  such that  $f(u_\alpha, y_0, z_\alpha) \subset C$ . Since  $f(\cdot, y, \cdot)$  is lower  $(-C)$ -continuous, for each neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin in  $Z$ , there exists a subnet  $\{u_\beta, z_\beta\}$  of  $\{u_\alpha, z_\alpha\}$  such that  $f(u_0, y_0, z_0) \subset f(u_\beta, y_0, z_\beta) + U + C$ . Consequently,  $f(u_0, y_0, z_0) \subset U + C$ . Further, we prove that  $f(u_0, y_0, z_0) \subset C$ . If, by contrary, there exists  $a \in f(u_0, y_0, z_0)$  and  $a \notin C$ , then,  $0 \notin B := C - a$  and  $B$  is closed. Thus,  $Z \setminus B$  is open and  $0 \in Z \setminus B$ . There exists an open symmetric neighbourhood  $U_1$  of the origin in  $Z$ , such that  $U_1 \subset Z \setminus B$  and  $U_1 \cap B = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $0 \notin B + U_1$ , i.e.,  $a \notin C + U_1$ , which contradicts

$f(u_0, y_0, z_0) \subset \overline{U_1} + C$ . It follows that  $f(u_0, y_0, z_0) \subset C$  and then,  $u_0 \in \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$  and  $\overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$  is closed.

Therefore,  $\overline{P^{V_1}}(x, y) = \{u \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(x) : f(u, y, z) \subset C, \forall z \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(x)\} \forall (x, y) \in K \times D$ .

Now, we are proving the convexity of  $\overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$ , where  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$  is arbitrary fixed. Let us consider  $u_1, u_2 \in \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Since  $u_1, u_2 \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(x_0)$  and the set  $\overline{A^{V_1}}(x_0)$  is convex, the convex combination  $u = \lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2 \in \overline{A^V}(x_0)$ . Further, by using the property of properly C-quasiconvexity of  $f(\cdot, y, z)$ , we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $f(u_1, y_0, z) \subset \overline{f(u, y_0, z)} + C$ . We will prove that  $u \in \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$ . If, by contrary,  $u \notin \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$ , there exists  $z_0 \in \overline{A^{V_1}}(x_0)$  such that  $f(u, y_0, z_0) \not\subset C$  and, consequently,  $f(u_1, y_0, z) \subset f(u, y_0, z) + C \not\subset C + C \subset C$ , which contradicts  $u_1 \in \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$ . It remains that  $u \in \overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$ . Therefore,  $\overline{P^{V_1}}(x_0, y_0)$  is a convex set.

In order to prove the existence of the solutions for SVQEP, let us consider  $\beta_i$  a basis of open absolutely convex symmetric neighbourhoods of zero in  $E_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and let  $\beta = \beta_1 \times \beta_2$ . For each system of neighbourhoods  $V = V_1 \times V_2 \in \beta_1 \times \beta_2$ , let's define the set valued maps  $M^V : K \times D \rightarrow 2^{K \times D}$ , by  $M^V(x, y) = (\overline{P^{V_1}}(x, y), \overline{F^{V_2}}(x)) = ((P(x, y) + V_1) \cap K, (F(x) + V_2) \cap D)$ ,  $(x, y) \in K \times D$ .  $\overline{M^V}$  is upper semicontinuous with non-empty closed convex values. Therefore, according to Ky Fan fixed point theorem [8], there exists  $(x_{V_1}^*, y_{V_2}^*) \in K \times D$  such that  $(x_{V_1}^*, y_{V_2}^*) \in \overline{M^V}(x_{V_1}^*, y_{V_2}^*)$ .

For each  $V = V_1 \times V_2 \in \beta$ , let's define  $Q_V = \{(x, y) \in K \times D : x \in \overline{P^{V_1}}(x, y)\} \cap \{(x, y) \in K \times D : y \in \overline{F^{V_2}}(x, y)\}$ .  $Q_V$  is non-empty since  $(x_{V_1}^*, y_{V_2}^*) \in Q_V$ , then  $Q_V$  is non-empty and closed. We prove that the family  $\{Q_V : V \in \beta\}$  has the finite intersection property. Let  $\{V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, \dots, V^{(n)}\}$  be any finite set of  $\beta$  and let  $V^{(k)} = V_1^{(k)} \times V_2^{(k)}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $V_1 = \bigcap_{k=1}^n V_1^{(k)}$  and  $V_2 = \bigcap_{k=1}^n V_2^{(k)}$ . Then,  $V_1 \in \beta_1$  and  $V_2 \in \beta_2$ . Thus,  $V = V_1 \times V_2 \in \beta_1 \times \beta_2$ .

Clearly  $Q_V \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^n Q_{V^{(k)}}$  so that  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n Q_{V^{(k)}} \neq \emptyset$ .

Since  $K \times D$  is compact and the family  $\{Q_V : V \in \beta\}$  has the finite intersection property, we have that  $\bigcap \{Q_V : V \in \beta\} \neq \emptyset$ . Take any  $(x^*, y^*) \in \bigcap \{Q_V : V \in \beta\}$ , then for each  $V \in \beta$ ,  $(x^*, y^*) \in \overline{M^V}(x^*, y^*)$ . According to Lemma 2, we have that  $(x^*, y^*) \in \overline{M}(x^*, y^*)$ . Therefore,  $x^* \in \overline{P}(x^*, y^*)$  and  $y^* \in \overline{F}(x^*)$ . We can prove by using the above technique, that  $\overline{P}$  is defined by  $\overline{P}(x^*, y^*) = \{u \in \overline{A}(x) : f(u, y, z) \subset C, \forall z \in \overline{A}(x)\} \forall (x, y) \in K \times D$ . Consequently, there exist  $x^* \in K$  and  $y^* \in \overline{F}(x^*)$  such that  $x^* \in \overline{A}(x^*)$  and

$f(x^*, y^*, x) \subset C, \forall x \in \overline{A}(x^*)$ , i.e.  $x^* \in V_A(f)$  and then,  $V_A(f)$  is non-empty.

**Remark 2.** *Theorem 2 generalizes Theorem 3.1 in [19], since the correspondences  $A$  and  $F$  verify weaker assumptions than those ones in [19].*

## References

- [1] Q.H. Ansari, J.C. Yao, An existence result for the generalized vector equilibrium, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 12 (1999) 53–56.
- [2] R. Aumann, S. Hart, *Bi-convexity and bi-martingales*, *Isr J Math* **54**, 2 (1986), 159-180.
- [3] A. Borglin and H. Keiding, *Existence of equilibrium actions and of equilibrium: A note on the 'new' existence theorem*, *J. Math. Econom.* **3** (1976), 313-316.
- [4] G.Cai, S. Bu, Strong and weak convergence theorems for general mixed equilibrium problems and variational inequality problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 247, 1 (2013), 34-52.
- [5] G. Debreu, *A social equilibrium existence theorem*. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **38** (1952), 886-893.
- [6] X. P. Ding and G. X. Z. Yuan, *The study of existence of equilibria for generalized games without lower semicontinuity in locally topological vector spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 227 (1998), 420-438.
- [7] X. Ding and He Yiran, *Best Approximation Theorem for Set-valued Mappings without Convex Values and Continuity*, *Appl Math. and Mech. English Edition*, 19, 9 (1998), 831-836.
- [8] K. Fan, *Fixed-point and minimax theorems in locally convex topological linear spaces*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 38(1952), 121-126.
- [9] X.H. Gong, Strong vector equilibrium problem, *J. Global Optim.* 36 (2006) 339–349.
- [10] J. Gorski, F. Pfeuffer, K. Klamroth, *Biconvex sets and optimization with biconvex functions: a survey and extension*, *Math. Meth. Oper. Res.* 66 (2007), 373-407.

- [11] H. He, S. Liu, Y. J. Cho, An explicit method for systems of equilibrium problems and fixed points of infinite family of nonexpansive mappings, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 235, 14, (2011), 4128-4139.
- [12] C. J. Himmelberg (1972), Fixed points of compact multifunctions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 38:205-207.
- [13] S.H. Hou, X.H. Gong, X.M. Yang, Existence and stability of solutions for generalized strong vector equilibrium problems with trifunctions, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 146, 2, (2010) 387-398.
- [14] W. K. Kim and K. K. Tan, New existence theorems of equilibrium and applications *Nonlinear Anal.*, 47 (2001), 531-542
- [15] Y. C. Lin, On generalized vector equilibrium problems, *Nonlinear Analysis* 70 (2009) 1040–1048.
- [16] L. Lin, Z. Yu, Q. Ansari and L. Lai, Fixed point and maximal element theorems with applications to abstract economies and minimax inequalities, *J. of Math. Anal. Appl.* 284, 2, (2003), 656–671.
- [17] L.J. Lin, L.F. Chen and Q.H. Ansari, Generalized abstract economy and systems of generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math* 208 (2007), 341-352.
- [18] Q.-M. Liu, L. Fan, G. Wang, Generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems with set-valued mappings. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 21 (9), (2008), 946-950
- [19] X.J. Long, N. J. Huang and K. L. Teo, Existence and stability of solutions for generalized strong vector quasi-equilibrium problem, *Math. Comp. Model.* 47 (2008), 445-451.
- [20] D.T. Luc, *Theory of Vector Optimization*, in: *Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematics Systems*, vol. 319, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [21] R. Orive, Z. García, On a class of equilibrium problems in the real axis, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 235, 4, (2010), 1065-1076.

- [22] M. Patriche, Fixed point theorems and applications in theory of games, Fixed point theory, to appear.
- [23] Shafer W., Sonnenschein H.: Equilibrium in abstract economies without ordered preferences. *J. Math. Econom.* **2**, 345-348 (1975).
- [24] C. I. Tulcea, *On the Approximation of Upper Semi-continuous Correspondences and the Equilibriums of Generalized Games*, *J. Math. An. Appl.* **136** (1988), 267-289.
- [25] X. Z. Yuan, *The Study of Minimax Inequalities and Applications to Economies and Variational Inequalities*, *Memoirs of the American Society*, 625(1988).
- [26] Z. Wang, Y. Su, D. Wang, Y. Dong, A modified Halpern-type iteration algorithm for a family of hemi-relatively nonexpansive mappings and systems of equilibrium problems in Banach spaces, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 235, 8, (2011), 2364-2371.