

Spectral analysis and slow spreading dynamics on complex networks

Géza Ódor

*Research Centre for Natural Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences,
MTA TTK MFA, P. O. Box 49, H-1525 Budapest, Hungary*

(Dated: September 24, 2018)

The Susceptible-Infected-Susceptible (SIS) model is one of the simplest memoryless system for describing information/epidemic spreading phenomena with competing creation and spontaneous annihilation reactions. The effect of quenched disorder on the dynamical behavior has recently been compared to quenched mean-field (QMF) approximations in scale-free networks. QMF can take into account topological heterogeneity and clustering effects of the activity in the steady state by spectral decomposition analysis of the adjacency matrix. Therefore, it can provide predictions on possible rare-region effects, thus on the occurrence of slow dynamics. I compare QMF results of SIS with simulations on various large dimensional graphs. In particular, I show that for Erdős-Rényi graphs this method predicts correctly the epidemic threshold and the rare-region effects. Griffiths Phases emerge if the graph is fragmented or if we apply strong, exponentially suppressing weighting scheme on the edges. The latter model describes the connection time distributions in the face-to-face experiments. In case of generalized Barabási-Albert type of networks with aging connections strong rare-region effects and numerical evidence for Griffiths Phase dynamics are shown.

PACS numbers: 89.75.Hc, 05.70.Ln, 89.75.Fb

I. INTRODUCTION

Dynamical processes evolving on complex networks are of current interest of research [1, 2]. In networks with large topological dimension defined as $N \propto r^d$, where N is the number of nodes within the (chemical) distance r , the dynamics is expected to be exponentially fast. However, there are observations showing the appearance of generically slow time evolution. For example in working memory of the brain [3] or in recovery processes following a virus pandemic [4–6] power-law type of time dependencies have been found, resembling of dynamical critical phenomena [7]. In social networks the occurrence of generic slow dynamics was suggested to be the result of bursty behavior of agents connected by small world networks [8].

Another possible explanation is related to the emergence of arbitrarily large, rare-regions (RR) that can change their state exponentially slowly as the function of their sizes. Near phase transitions from active to inactive states in disordered system [9–12] a so called Griffiths Phase (GP) [13, 14] can develop, characterized by non-universal, power-law dynamics. Griffiths singularities affect the dynamical behavior both below and above the transition point and can be best described via renormalization group methods in networks [15, 16]. It has been conjectured [17–19] that such slow dynamics can occur only in finite dimensional networks as the consequence of heterogeneity: explicit reaction or purely topological disorder. This is based on optimal fluctuation theory and simulations of the Contact Process (CP) [21, 22] on Erdős-Rényi (ER) [20] and on Generalized Small World networks [23–25]. In case of networks with infinite topological dimension, like the Barabási-Albert (BA)[26] graph slow dynamics has been found only in tree networks and weighting schemes, that suppress the infor-

mation propagation among hubs [27, 28].

The Susceptible-Infected-Susceptible (SIS) model [29] is another fundamental system to describe simple epidemic (information) possessing binary site variables: infected/active or healthy/inactive. Infected sites propagate the epidemic (or active) all of their neighbors with rate λ or recover (spontaneously deactivate) with rate 1. SIS differs from the CP in which the branching rate is normalized by k , the number of outgoing edges of a vertex, thus it allows an analytic treatment, using symmetric matrices. By decreasing the infection rate of the neighbors a continuous phase transition occurs at some λ_c critical point from a state with finite activity density ρ to an inactive steady state with $\rho = 0$. The latter is also called absorbing, because no spontaneous activation of sites is allowed. In [28] it was shown that a dissortative weighing scheme of the edges $w_{ij} \in (0, 1)$ can effectively slow down the information propagation in SIS model and result in long living rare regions, causing slow dynamics.

Heterogeneous Mean Field (HMF) theory represents an exact result in annealed networks and provides a good approximation in networks with high d , when the dynamical fluctuations are irrelevant [30, 31]. To describe quenched heterogeneity of the network the so-called Quenched Mean-Field (QMF) approximation is introduced [32–34]. In [28] I compared density decay simulation results of the SIS model with the QMF approximations. Here I show further evidences for the agreement of QMF and dynamical simulations in case of certain ER and BA graphs. In particular, I investigate the prediction of QMF for the interaction weight scheme of [37] applied for ER graphs. This kind of disorder on interactions is important, because face-to-face experiments [38] resulted in such distribution of connect intensities that can be modeled with it. In [27, 28] k dependent weights were applied on the edges, while in [17–19] the infection

probability of nodes were reduced to slow down the fast epidemic spreading in small world networks. Now I apply QMF for k independent weights, distributed and frozen on the graph edges before the start of the epidemic process.

In the original BA graph construction one starts from a single connected node and add new links with the linear preferential rule. This causes initial nodes with high connectivity and those are attached at step i will have a vanishing average degree $\langle k_i \rangle \propto 1/i^{1/2}$. In various network studies, like article citations [39] and model calculations [40] the degradation of connection capability of aging nodes have been analyzed. It is well known that in neural networks this happens indeed. In this paper I investigate a generalized model, in which fraction of edges of the aging nodes are removed by a random, linear preferential rule [41]. In this case the edge distribution of the BA graph $P(k) \propto k^{-3}$ will be cut off by an exponential factor for large k -s and the QMF suggests GP behavior in agreement with the dynamical simulations.

II. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS AND QUENCHED MEAN-FIELD APPROXIMATIONS

A mean-field theory of the SIS model [32, 34], capable of taking into account the topological heterogeneity in a network of size N is based on the rate equation of $\rho_i(t)$, the infection probability of node i at time t :

$$\frac{d\rho_i(t)}{dt} = -\rho_i(t) + \lambda(1 - \rho_i(t)) \sum_{j=1}^N A_{ij} w_{ij} \rho_j(t). \quad (1)$$

Here A_{ij} is an element of the adjacency matrix assigned with 1, if there is an edge between nodes i and j or 0 otherwise and w_{ij} describes the possibility of weights attributed to the edges. For large times the SIS model evolves into a steady state, with an order parameter $\rho \equiv \langle \rho_i \rangle$. This equation with symmetric weights under the exchange of $i \leftrightarrow j$ can be treated by a spectral decomposition (SD) on an orthonormal eigenvector basis. Furthermore the non-negativity of the $B_{ij} = A_{ij} w_{ij}$ matrix involves a unique, real, non-negative largest eigenvalue Λ_1 . In the QMF approximation one can find λ_c and $\rho(\lambda)$ around it by taking into account the principal eigenvector only. Using the linear superposition expansion of ρ one can solve Eq. (1), which provides $\Lambda_1 = 1/\lambda_c$, i.e. a stable $\rho > 0$ (active) solution for $\lambda > \lambda_c$ and an inactive one for $\lambda \leq \lambda_c$. The order parameter near, above λ_c can be approximated via

$$\rho(\lambda) \approx a_1 \Delta + a_2 \Delta^2 + \dots, \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta = \lambda \Lambda_1 - 1 \ll 1$ and the coefficients

$$a_j = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i(\Lambda_j) / [N \sum_{i=1}^N f_i^3(\Lambda_j)] \quad (3)$$

are functions of eigenvectors of the largest eigenvalues. This expression is exact if there is a gap between Λ_1 and Λ_2 [35].

It was proposed in [32] and tested on weighted BA models [28] that the localization of activity in the active steady state can be characterized by the Inverse Participation Ratio (IPR), related to the eigenvector of the largest eigenvalue $\mathbf{f}(\Lambda_1)$ as

$$IPR(N) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N f_i^4(\Lambda) \quad (4)$$

This quantity remains small: $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} IPR(N) = 0$ in case of homogeneous eigenvector components and takes the maximal value 1 if all activity is concentrated on a single node. I used the sparse matrix package OCTAVE [36] for generating and diagonalizing B_{ij} and calculating $IPR(N)$, $\Lambda_1(N)$, $a_i(N)$ for network sizes up to $N = 200.000$. In the numerical analysis I extrapolated and fitted the $IPR(N)$ data assuming a power-law form

$$IPR = IPR(N) + X(1/N)^b, \quad (5)$$

containing the free parameters: X and b .

It was derived by [44], that the largest eigenvalue of A_{ij} of general random graphs is determined by the maximum degree k_{max}

$$\Lambda_1(N) = (1 + o(1)) \max\{\sqrt{k_{max}}, \langle k^2 \rangle / \langle k \rangle\}, \quad (6)$$

where the $o(1)$ term tends to zero as the limiting values $\max\{\sqrt{k_{max}}, \langle k^2 \rangle / \langle k \rangle\}$ diverge to infinity.

For the classical, random ER graph case, with finite connection probability: $p = \langle k \rangle / N$ we have $k_{max} = \ln N / \ln \ln N$, therefore

$$\Lambda_1(N) = (1 + o(1)) \max\{\sqrt{\ln N / \ln \ln N}, Np\}. \quad (7)$$

Although in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit the first term dominates the maximum and predicts a divergence

$$\Lambda_1(N) = \sqrt{\ln N / \ln \ln N}, \quad (8)$$

this function grows so slowly, that even for extremely large sizes: $\Lambda_1(10^9) < 2.7$ and practically one observes a constant value. Thus, for $\langle k \rangle \geq 3$ and for $N < 2 \times 10^5$ graphs considered here the largest eigenvalue seems to tend to the finite value

$$\Lambda_1(N) = (1 + o(1)) \langle k \rangle, \quad (9)$$

in agreement with the HMF theoretical value [4]:

$$\lambda_c^{HMF} = \langle k \rangle / \langle k^2 \rangle \quad (10)$$

and with recent simulation results of Ref. [45].

For the random, unweighted SF networks, with power-law degree distribution $P(k) \propto k^{-3}$ the largest eigenvalue diverges and follows the finite size scaling law

$$\Lambda_1(N) \propto N^{1/4} \quad (11)$$

deduced in [46]. Generally, in the numerical analysis of the QMF results least-squares fitting with the simple power-law form

$$1/\Lambda_1 = \lambda_c + Y(1/N)^c \quad (12)$$

was applied, but in the ER case the logarithmic convergence of the largest eigenvalue (8) has been tested.

III. SIS MODEL SIMULATIONS

Simulations of the SIS model were performed in such a way that in a given time step either a deactivation at site i , with probability: $1/(1 + \lambda)$ or activation of all neighboring inactive sites with probabilities: $w_{ij}\lambda/(1 + \lambda)/N_i$ were attempted. Here N_i is the number of neighboring, inactive sites, which was computed when the node i was selected randomly. These reaction steps were iterated N_a times, where N_a is the number of active sites at time t . Following this system update, which selects each node once in average the time was incremented by $\Delta t = 1$ Monte Carlo step (MSc). Throughout the paper the time is measured by MCs. The density of active sites $\rho(t)$ was calculated and stored at exponentially growing time steps: $t_i = 1 + 1.08^i$. The system was initialized from a fully active state and the graph updates were repeated until $t < t_{max}$ or in case of extinction of activity. The maximum simulation time depends on the system size, ranging from $t_{max} = 10^7$ for $N = 10^5$ to $t_{max} = 10^5$ for $N = 10^6$. To obtain good statistics the simulations have been repeated for $10^2 - 10^4$ independent graph realizations and $\rho(t)$ averaged over them.

To explore in more detail the decay of the density functions, I have computed effective decay exponents of the power-laws $\rho(t) \propto t^{-\alpha}$, defined as the local slopes

$$\alpha_{\text{eff}}(t) = -\frac{\ln[\rho(t)/\rho(t')]}{\ln(t/t')}, \quad (13)$$

where t and t' was chosen in such a way that the discrete approximate of the derivative is sufficiently smooth.

IV. THE SIS MODEL ON ER GRAPHS

In the Erdős-Rényi graph a giant connected component emerges for $\langle k \rangle \geq 1$ [47]. Above this phase transition point arbitrarily large connected sub-graph may exist and $d = \infty$. It has been conjectured [17, 19] that in this case the epidemic spreading is too fast to let the formation of active RRs of size l with the lifetime: $\tau \propto \exp(l)$, hence GP cannot occur. Contrary, for $\langle k \rangle < 1$ the topological dimension is zero in the ER graph. It was also hypothesized [17, 19] and shown by simulations of the CP [52], that in the fragmented phase strong rare-region effects and GP dynamics can be observed.

Now I investigate this hypothesis by simulations and with the help of QMF method in case of the SIS model.

Application of the QMF leads to the following results. In the percolating phase with $\langle k \rangle = 4$ the $IPR(N)$ value decays to zero as $\sim 1/N$, indicating the disappearance of activity clustering in the steady state as shown on Fig. 1. On the other hand a_1 is roughly constant, while a_2 and a_3 extrapolate to zero, suggesting a clean mean-field critical transition, characterized by $\beta = 1$ leading order parameter exponent in agreement with our expectations. In the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit extrapolation with the form (11) results in $\Lambda_1 = 5.23(3)$, which is larger than what a simulation should show for reasonable graph sizes ($N < 10^9$): $\Lambda_1 = 4$ (see Eq. (9)). The critical point estimate of QMF is: $\lambda_c = 1/\Lambda_1 = 0.191(1)$. Probably the application of pair, or higher level QMF, taking into account longer correlations of the order parameter would increase this value as in [53] for random regular networks. These results are summarized in the first line of Table I.




FIG. 1: (Color online) Finite size scaling of QMF results on the ER model with $\langle k \rangle = 4$ for $N = 1000, 2000, 4000, \dots, 128000$. Bullets, λ_c ; boxes, IPR; up-triangles, a_1 ; down-triangles, a_2 ; right-triangles, a_3 . Line: least-squares fitting with the form $\sim 1/N$.

Extensive simulations for the more interesting, limiting case $\langle k \rangle = 1$ have been performed for graphs with $N = 10^6$ nodes. As Figure 2 shows a mean-field type of phase transition appears at $\lambda_c = 1.094(1)$, which is close to the the HMF value $\lambda_c^{HMF} = 1$.

In the fragmented phase, for $\langle k \rangle = 0.3$ the IPR remains constant and tends to $0.22(2)$ (see Fig. 3) and the coefficients of the order parameter $\rho(\lambda)$ vanish as $a_i \propto 1/N$. The epidemic threshold estimate $\lambda_c = 1/\Lambda_1$ decreases very slowly with N . The inset of Fig. 3 shows the slowly increasing Λ_1 approximated with the form (8). However, the density fluctuations of ρ drive finite clusters, thus the whole system into the absorbing state in the fragmented phase, that can't be described by the QMF. Since a real GP singularity causes dynamical behavior even in the active phase the clustering behavior obtained by the SD decomposition predicts the existence of strong RR effects correctly. This underlines the capability of QMF to treat the effects of topological disorder well. The numerical




FIG. 2: (Color online) Density decay as a function of time for the SIS on ER graph with $\langle k \rangle = 1$. Network size $N = 10^6$. Different curves correspond to $\lambda = 1.05, 1.09, 1.095, 1.1, 1.02, 1.05, 1.095, 1.115, 1.12, 1.15$ (from bottom to top curves). Inset: effective exponents of the same data near the phase transition point.

results are summarized in the row 'ER-03' of Table I.




FIG. 3: (Color online) Finite size scaling of QMF results for ER graph with $\langle k \rangle = 0.3$ $N = 1000, 2000, 4000, \dots, 128000$. Bullets, λ_c ; boxes, IPR; up-triangles, a_1 ; down-triangles, a_2 ; right-triangles, a_3 . Line: least-squares fitting with a form $1/N$. Inset: $\Lambda_1(N)$ (bullets) and the form (8) (line).

The simulations confirm the existence of GP by showing an extended region of λ dependent power-laws of the density decay. As one can see of Fig. 4 for $\langle k \rangle = 0.3$ the slopes of the curves on the log.-log. plot vary in wide range from $\simeq 1.34(1)$ at $\lambda = 4$ to $\simeq 0.43(2)$ at $\lambda = 20$. For higher λ -s the decay curves show oscillations superimposed on the power-law. This can be explained by the gradual deactivation of RR-s in the fragments.

Finally, I have also studied the steady state behavior by QMF on a weighted ER model introduced in [37]. This model was motivated by the face-to-face experiments an-




FIG. 4: (Color online) Density decay as a function of time in the SIS defined on ER graph with $\langle k \rangle = 0.3$. Network size $N = 10^6$. Different curves correspond to $\lambda = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 20, 50, 100$ (from bottom to top curves).

alyzed in [38] with infection rates λw_{ij} , proportional to the intensity of contacts, such that the quenched weights generate a $P(\omega) = 1/\omega$ probability distribution function. In this model the both the simulations, HMF and percolation approaches [37] suggests RR effects in the percolating phase of ER with $\langle k \rangle = 4$. Weight factors are displaced on the graph edges

$$w_{ij} = \exp(-ar) \quad , \quad (14)$$

where $r \in (0, 1)$ is a uniformly distributed random variable and a controls the strength of the disorder $w_{ij} \in (1/e^a, 1)$ as in Ref. [37].

The QMF analysis for $a = 6$ shows that this kind disorder suppresses the infection rates very efficiently and one arrives to very similar results as for the fragmented case (see Fig. 5). The $IPR(N)$ values are finite and constant the coefficients a_i decay as $\sim 1/N$ and $\Lambda_1(N)$ follows the theoretical form (8) (see inset of Fig. 5). The $N \rightarrow \infty$ extrapolated values are summarized in the row 'ER-4-6' of Table I. This means that due to the lower cutoff value of the weights the network is quasi fragmented and the RR effects reported in [37] are supported by the QMF method.

On the other hand, if the disorder is weak, characterized by $a = 1$ a similar QMF analysis leads to the values shown in the row 'ER-4-E1' of Table I. In this case $\Lambda_1(N)$ remains finite, while the $IPR(N)$ converges to zero, meaning the lack of RR effects in agreement with the phase diagram shown in [37].

V. THE SIS MODEL ON AGING BA GRAPHS

In this section I show the application of the QMF method to generalized BA tree networks (BAT) in which the spreading behavior is weakened by preferential depletion of the links [41]. In Ref. [28] SIS models on top




FIG. 5: (Color online) Finite size scaling of QMF results for the weighted ER graphs for $\langle k \rangle = 4$, in the range of sizes $N = 1000, 2000 \dots 200000$. Bullets, λ_c ; boxes, IPR; up-triangles, a_1 ; down-triangles, a_2 ; right-triangles, a_3 . Line: least-squares fitting with a $1/N$. Inset: $\Lambda_1(N)$ (bullets) and the form (8) (line).

of BA graphs have been studied by QMF and extensive dynamical simulations. For these infinite topological dimensional networks no strong rare-region effects have been found, except when dissipative weighting scheme was applied, which suppresses the hub-hub connections. This slows down the fast epidemic spreading in case of tree BA topologies.

Now I consider the SIS model on generalizations of BA type of networks [26]. The choice of this model is motivated by the fact that it allows to construct tree structures in a very simple way, in contrast with other standard network generation models, e.g [54]. BA is a growing network model in which, at each time step s , a new vertex (labeled by s) with m edges is added to the network and connected to an existing vertex s' of degree $k_{s'}$ with probability $\Pi_{s \rightarrow s'} = k_{s'} / \sum_{s'' < s} k_{s''}$. This process is iterated until reaching the desired network size N . The resulting network has a SF degree distribution $P(k) \simeq 2m^2 k^{-3}$; additionally, fixing $m = 1$ leads to a strictly tree (loop-less) topology.

Following the generation of BAT an aging procedure was applied on the network by gradually removing a fraction of randomly selected links connecting nodes i and j with the probability

$$q_{i,j} = (k_i k_j) / \sum_{i=1}^N k_i, \quad (15)$$

The procedure was repeated until $\sum_i k_i^N \geq 1.6N$, i.e. 20% of the original links of the graph were removed. As the consequence the original scale-free distribution $P(k) \propto k^{-3}$ gets an exponential cutoff as shown in the right inset of Fig. 7. This is quite similar to what one observes in most empirical degree distributions. The topological dimension of the graph becomes finite.

TABLE I: Spectral QMF analysis results for SIS in different networks

Network	$1/\Lambda_1$	c	IPR	b
ER-4	0.19(1)	1.1(1)	0.00003(5)	1.1(1)
ER-03	0.01(1)	-	0.22(2)	0.9(2)
ER-4-E6	0.01(1)	-	0.22(3)	0.9(3)
ER-4-E1	0.30(3)	0.34(1)	0.01(1)	0.93(9)
GBA2	0.001(2)	0.18(5)	0.28(5)	0.5(1)

The SD analysis of this model (GBA2) gives IPR results with strong clustering in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, thus it suggests rare-region effects (see Fig. 6). While




FIG. 6: (Color online) Finite size scaling of QMF SD results of the SIS on generalized BAT with $N = 1000, 2000, 4000, 8000, 16000, 32000$ nodes. Bullets, λ_c ; boxes, IPR; up-triangles, a_1 ; down-triangles, a_2 ; right-triangles, a_3 . Line: least-squares fitting with the form $\sim 1/N$.

the IPR converges to 0.18(5) the largest eigenvalue increases and the coefficients a_i decrease as $\sim 1/N$. A power-law fit for the critical point estimate results in $\lambda_c = 1/\Lambda_1 = 0.001 + 0.17(1/N)^{0.18}$, which is a similar to the behavior found in case of SIS on BA graphs [28]. The finite size scaling exponent c is close to the value predicted by analytical considerations see Eq. ((11)).

Simulations show clear λ -dependent, power-law density decays (see Fig. 7). The effective decay exponents saturate to constant values in the large time limit (left inset of Fig. 7) albeit some (log.) correction is possible. Furthermore, the results do not seem to depend on the size of the networks used. These are well known indications of Griffiths Phases.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion the usability of the QMF method for detecting rare-region effects has been demonstrated on dif-




FIG. 7: (Color online) Density decay as a function of time for the SIS on BA graph with network sizes: $N = 10^5$ (thin lines), $N = 10^6$ (thick lines). Different curves correspond to $\lambda = 2.4, 2.45, 2.47, 2.5, 2.55, 2.6, 2.7$ (from bottom to top curves). Left inset: Local slopes of the same data. Right inset: Degree distribution of the aging BA graph for $N = 4 \times 10^6$ nodes.

ferent complex networks. Comparison with dynamical simulations has confirmed that the clustering behavior, in the steady-state is related to the occurrence of slow behavior and Griffiths Phases. Finite size scaling and asymptotic values of the largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix agree with the theoretical predictions for the SIS model defined on top of ER and BA networks. In particular the slow, logarithmic decrease of the threshold value for random networks is studied. In the fragmented phase QMF results in inhomogeneous, clustered principal eigenvector. However, it can't describe well the inactive phase, because it neglects dynamical fluctuations, which in a real system drive all finite clusters to extinction in finite times. Still, it predicts correctly the relevancy of topological disorder in agreement with the GP found by the simulations. In the percolating phase of ER graph

the QMF method results in the irrelevancy of network heterogeneity on the SIS scaling, providing the expected the mean-field critical behavior. This has been confirmed by dynamical simulations at $\langle k \rangle = 1$.

The methodology has been applied to two more interesting cases, where GP behavior could be expected. In case of a quenched weight scheme, capable of describing phenomenologically observed face-to-face inter-event distribution QMF supports recent numerical simulation results. However, it is more likely than the dynamical power-law behavior is restricted to finite times, because in an infinite dimensional graph arbitrarily large (dimensional) subspaces may survive an extinction process and provide finite contribution to the density. For weak disorders it predicts the lack of GP on the percolating ER model. It has also been shown that QMF describes well the GP behavior of SIS on a Barabási-Albert type model with aging nodes, i.e. when links are diluted by a preferential detachment rule. This is confirmed by dynamical simulations, exhibiting non-universal power-laws, with negligible size dependencies.

Finite size scaling of the QMF results also predict the disappearance of the largest three amplitudes (a_i) of the order parameter as $\sim 1/N$, except for the non-clustering ER cases, when the leading order one (a_1) remains constant, meaning a linear mean-field exponent with negligible corrections. Application of the present method to real networks, where large-scale modularity is an ubiquitous property [55] would reveal interesting consequences on the dynamics of processes evolving on them.

Acknowledgments

I thank R. Juhász for useful discussions. Support from the Hungarian research fund OTKA (Grant No. T77629) and the European Social Fund through project FuturICT.hu (grant no.: TAMOP-4.2.2.C-11/1/KONV-2012-0013) is acknowledged.

-
- [1] S. N. Dorogovtsev, A. V. Goltsev, and J. F. F. Mendes, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1275 (2008).
 - [2] A. Barrat, M. Barthélemy, and A. Vespignani, *Dynamical Processes on Complex Networks* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008).
 - [3] S. Johnson, J. J. Torres, and J. Marro, *PLoS ONE* **8**(1): e50276 (2013)
 - [4] R. Pastor-Satorras and A. Vespignani, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 3200 (2001).
 - [5] R. Pastor-Satorras and A. Vespignani, *Evolution and Structure of the Internet: A Statistical Physics Approach* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, 2004).
 - [6] M. Karsai et al. *Phys. Rev. E* **83** (2011) 025102(R)
 - [7] A. Haimovici, E. Tagliazucchi, P. Balenzuela, and D. R. Chialvo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 178101 (2013).
 - [8] M. Karsai, M. Kivela, R. K. Pan, K. Kaski, J. Kertesz, A. L. Barabasi, and J. Saramaki, *Phys. Rev. E* **83**, 025102(R) (2011).
 - [9] J. Marro and R. Dickman, *Nonequilibrium Phase Transitions in Lattice Models* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
 - [10] G. Ódor, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76** (2004) 663
 - [11] G. Ódor, *Universality in Nonequilibrium Lattice Systems* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2008).
 - [12] M. Henkel, H. Hinrichsen, and S. Lübeck, *Nonequilibrium phase transition: Absorbing Phase Transitions* (Springer Verlag, Netherlands, 2008).
 - [13] R. B. Griffiths, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **23**, 17 (1969).
 - [14] T. Vojta, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **39**, R143 (2006).
 - [15] I. A. Kovács and F. Iglói, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **23**, 404204 (2011).
 - [16] R. Juhász and I. A. Kovács, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2013) P06003

- [17] M. A. Muñoz, R. Juhász, C. Castellano, and G. Ódor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 128701 (2010).
- [18] G. Ódor, R. Juhász, C. Castellano, and M. A. Munoz, in *Nonequilibrium Statistical Physics Today*, Vol. 1332, edited by P. L. Garrido, J. Marro, and F. de los Santos (AIP, 2011) pp. 172–178 .
- [19] R. Juhász, G. Ódor, C. Castellano, and M. A. Muñoz, Phys. Rev. E. **85**, 066125 (2012) .
- [20] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, A. (1959) Publ. Math. **6**, 290291.
- [21] T. E. Harris, Ann. Prob. **2**, 969 (1974).
- [22] T. M. Liggett, *Interacting Particle Systems* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985).
- [23] M. Aizenman and C.M. Newman, Commun. Math. Phys. **107**, 611 (1986).
- [24] R. Juhász, G. Ódor, Phys. Rev. E **80**, 041123 (2009).
- [25] R. Juhász, Phys. Rev. E **78**, 066106 (2008).
- [26] A.-L. Barabási and R. Albert, Science **286**, 509 (1999).
- [27] G. Ódor and R. Pastor-Satorras, Phys. Rev. E **86**, (2012) 026117.
- [28] G. Ódor, Phys. Rev. E **87**, (2013) 042132.
- [29] R. M. Anderson and R. M. May, *Infectious diseases in humans*, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1992).
- [30] M. Boguñá, C. Castellano, and R. Pastor-Satorras, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 036110 (2009).
- [31] S. C. Ferreira, C. Castellano, and R. Pastor-Satorras, Phys. Rev. E **86**, 041125 (2012).
- [32] A. V. Goltsev, S. N. Dorogovtsev, J. G. Oliveira, and J. F. F. Mendes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 128702 (2012)
- [33] D. Chakrabarti, Y. Wang, C. Wang, J. Leskovec, and C. Faloutsos, ACM Trans. Inf. Syst. Secur. **10**, 1 (2008).
- [34] P. Van Mieghem, J. Omic, and R. Kooij, IEEE ACM T. Network. **17**, 1 (2009).
- [35] P. Van Mieghem, Eur. Phys. Lett. **97**, 48004 (2012).
- [36] <http://www.gnu.org/software/octave>
- [37] C. Buono, F. Vazquez, P. A. Macri, L. A. Braunstein, arXiv:1301.1645v1.
- [38] C. Cattuto, W. V. den Broeck, A. Barrat, V. Colizza, J.-F. Pinton, and A. Vespignani, PLoS ONE **5**, e11596 (2010).
- [39] Y.-Ho Eom and A. Fortunato, Plos one **6** (2011) e24296.
- [40] S. N. Dorogovtsev and J. F. F. Mendes, Phys. Rev. E **62** (2000) 1842.
- [41] C. M. Schneider, L. de Arcangelis and H. J. Herrmann, EPL, **95** (2011) 16005.
- [42] R. Albert and A.-L. Barabási, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 47 (2002).
- [43] S. N. Dorogovtsev and J. F. F. Mendes, *Evolution of networks: From biological nets to the Internet and WWW* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003).
- [44] F. Chung, L. Lu and V. Vu, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **100** 6313 (2003).
- [45] M. Boguñá, C. Castellano and R. Pastor-Satorras, arXiv:1305.4819 (2013).
- [46] A. Halu, S. Garnerone, A. Vezzani and G. Bianconi, Phys. Rev. E **87**, 022104 (2013)
- [47] M. Molloy and B. A. Reed, 1995, Random Struct. Algor. **6** (1995) 161.
- [48] C. Castellano and R. Pastor-Satorras, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 038701 (2006).
- [49] C. Castellano and R. Pastor-Satorras, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 148701 (2008).
- [50] S. C. Ferreira, R. S. Ferreira, and R. Pastor-Satorras, Phys. Rev. E **83**, 066113 (2011).
- [51] S. C. Ferreira, R. S. Ferreira, C. Castellano, and R. Pastor-Satorras, Phys. Rev. E **84**, 066102 (2011).
- [52] G. Ódor, EPJ Web of Conferences **44**, (2013) 04005.
- [53] A. S. Mata and S. C. Ferreira, arXiv:1305.5153.
- [54] M. Catanzaro, M. Boguñá, and R. Pastor-Satorras, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 027103 (2005).
- [55] M. E. J. Newman, Nat. Phys. **8**, (2012) 25.