

# CONSTRUCTING 3-LIE ALGEBRAS

RUIPU BAI AND YONG WU

ABSTRACT. 3-Lie algebras are constructed by Lie algebras, derivations and linear functions, associative commutative algebras, whose involutions and derivations. Then the 3-Lie algebras are obtained from group algebras  $F[G]$ . An infinite dimensional simple 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, ], \omega, \delta_0)$  and a non-simple 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, ], \omega_1, \delta)$  are constructed by Laurent polynomials  $A = F[t, t^{-1}]$  and its involutions  $\omega$  and  $\omega_1$  and derivations  $\delta$  and  $\delta_0$ . At last of the paper, we summarize the methods of constructing  $n$ -Lie algebras for  $n \geq 3$  and provide a problem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

To construct the generalized Hamiltonian dynamics, Nambu [1, 2] first proposed the notion of 3-bracket. Thus Nambu dynamics is described by the phase flow given by Nambu-Hamilton equations of motion which involves two Hamiltonians. The notion of  $n$ -Lie algebra was introduced by Filippov in 1985 ([3]). It is a natural generalization of the concept of a Lie algebra to the case where the fundamental multiplication is  $n$ -ary,  $n \geq 2$  (when  $n = 2$  the definition agrees with the usual definition of a Lie algebra). 3-Lie algebras have close relationships with many important fields in mathematics and mathematical physics (cf. [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]). For example, the metric 3-Lie algebras are used to describe a world volume of multiple  $M2$ -branes [4, 7] (BLG).

Since the multiple multiplication, the structure of  $n$ -Lie algebras is more complicated than that of Lie algebras. Especially, the realizations of  $n$ -Lie algebras is hardness.

Filippov in [9] constructed  $n$ -Lie algebra structure on commutative associative algebras by commuting derivations  $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ . Let  $A$  be a commutative associative algebra, then  $A$  is an  $n$ -Lie algebra with the multiplication:

$$(1.1) \quad [x_1, \dots, x_n] = \det \begin{pmatrix} D_1(x_1) & \cdots & D_1(x_n) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ D_n(x_1) & \cdots & D_n(x_n) \end{pmatrix},$$

which is called a *Jacobian algebra* defined by  $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17A30, 17B60.

*Key words and phrases.* 3-Lie algebra, Lie algebra, associative commutative algebra, involution, derivation.

Filippov and Pozhidaev in papers [9, 11, 12] defined *monomial  $n$ -Lie algebra*  $A_G(f, t)$  with the multiplication

$$(1.2) \quad [e_{a_1}, \dots, e_{a_n}] = f(a_1, \dots, a_n)e_{a_1+\dots+a_n+t},$$

where  $G$  is an Abelian group,  $A_G$  is a vector space with a basis  $\{e_g | g \in G\}$ ,  $t \in G$ ,  $f : G^n \rightarrow F$ .

Dzhumadildaev in [10] constructed an  $(n+1)$ -Lie algebra from a strong  $n$ -Lie-Poisson algebra  $(L, \cdot, \omega)$  by endowing a skew-symmetric  $(n+1)$ -multiplication

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{\omega} = Id_L \wedge \omega,$$

where  $Id_L$  is the identity map of  $L$ .

Bai and collaborators in [13, 14] constructed  $n$ -Lie algebras by two-dimensional extensions of metric  $n$ -Lie algebras. And obtain  $(n+1)$ -Lie algebras by  $n$ -Lie algebras and linear functions  $f \in L^*$ , which satisfies  $f(L^1) = 0$ .

In this paper, we pay our main attention to construct 3-Lie algebras. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces some basic notions of  $n$ -Lie algebras. Section 3 provides a construction of 3-Lie algebras from a commutative associative algebras, involutions and derivations. Section 4 constructs 3-Lie algebras from group algebras. Section 5 studies 3-Lie algebras constructed by Laurent polynomials.

## 2. Fundamental notions of $n$ -Lie algebra

An  $n$ -Lie algebra  $L$  (cf.[3]) is a vector space endowed with an  $n$ -ary multilinear skew-symmetric multiplication satisfying the  $n$ -Jacobi identity:  $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y_2, \dots, y_n \in L$

$$(2.1) \quad [[x_1, \dots, x_n], y_2, \dots, y_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n [x_1, \dots, [x_i, y_2, \dots, y_n], \dots, x_n].$$

The  $n$ -ary skew-symmetry of the operation  $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  means that

$$(2.2) \quad [x_1, \dots, x_n] = \text{sgn}(\sigma)[x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}], \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in L,$$

for any permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$ .

A subspace  $B$  of  $L$  is called a *subalgebra* if  $[B, \dots, B] \subseteq B$ . In particular, the subalgebra generated by the vectors  $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for any  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in L$  is called the *derived algebra* of  $L$ , which is denoted by  $L^1$ . If  $L^1 = 0$ , then  $L$  is called an *abelian  $n$ -Lie algebra*.

A *derivation* of an  $n$ -Lie algebra is a linear transformation  $D$  of  $L$  into itself satisfying

$$(2.3) \quad D([x_1, \dots, x_n]) = \sum_{i=1}^n [x_1, \dots, D(x_i), \dots, x_n],$$

for  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in L$ . Let  $Der L$  be the set of all derivations of  $L$ . Then  $Der L$  is a subalgebra of the general Lie algebra  $gl(L)$  and is called *the derivation algebra* of  $L$ .

The map  $\text{ad}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) : L \rightarrow L$  given by

$$\text{ad}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})(x_n) = [x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n], \forall x_n \in L,$$

is referred to as a *left multiplication* defined by elements  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in L$ . It follows from identity (2.1) that  $\text{ad}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  is a derivation. The set of all finite linear combinations of left multiplications is an ideal of  $\text{Der}L$ , which is denoted by  $\text{ad}(L)$ . Every derivation in  $\text{ad}(L)$  is by definition an inner derivation.

An *ideal* of an  $n$ -Lie algebra  $L$  is a subspace  $I$  such that  $[I, L, \dots, L] \subseteq I$ . If  $L^1 \neq 0$  and  $L$  has no ideals except for 0 and itself, then  $L$  is called a *simple  $n$ -Lie algebra*.

### 3. 3-LIE ALGEBRAS CONSTRUCTED BY COMMUTATIVE ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

R.Bai and collaborators (cf [14]) constructed 3-Lie algebra  $(L, [, ], \alpha)$  by a Lie algebra  $(L, [, ])$  and linear function  $\alpha \in L^*$ , where  $\alpha([L, L]) = 0$ , and  $[:, ]_\alpha$  defined as follows

$$[a, b, c]_\alpha = \alpha(c)[a, b] + \alpha(a)[b, c] + \alpha(b)[c, a], \text{ for } a, b, c \in L.$$

And it is proved that the 3-Lie algebra  $(L, [, ], \alpha)$  is a two step 3-solvable 3-Lie algebra, that is,  $L^{(2)} = [L^1, L^1, L^1]_\alpha = 0$ , where  $L^1 = [L, L, L]_\alpha$ .

In this section, we try to construct 3-Lie algebras by commutative associative algebras and their derivations, involutions and linear functions.

Let  $A$  be a commutative associative algebra over a field  $F$ . A derivation  $\Delta$  of  $A$  is a linear mapping of  $A$  satisfying  $\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)y + x\Delta(y)$  for every  $x, y \in A$ . If a linear mapping  $\omega : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying for every  $a, b \in A$ ,  $\omega(ab) = \omega(a)\omega(b)$  and  $\omega^2(a) = a$ , then  $\omega$  is called an *involution* of  $A$ . If  $\text{ch}F \neq 2$ , then for every  $c \in A$ ,

$$\omega(c + \omega(c)) = c + \omega(c), \omega(c - \omega(c)) = -(c - \omega(c)), \text{ and } c = \frac{1}{2}(c + \omega(c)) + \frac{1}{2}(c - \omega(c)).$$

Therefore,

$$A = A_1 \dot{+} A_{-1}, \text{ where } A_1 = \{a \mid a \in A, \omega(a) = a\}, A_{-1} = \{b \mid b \in A, \omega(b) = -b\}.$$

First we give the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative associative algebra,  $\omega$  be an involution of  $A$  and  $\Delta \in \text{Der}A$ . Then  $(A, [, ]_\Delta)$  and  $(A, [, ]_\omega)$  are Lie algebras, where*

$$(3.1) \quad [a, b]_\Delta = (Id_A \wedge \Delta)(a, b) = a\Delta(b) - b\Delta(a) \quad a, b \in A;$$

$$(3.2) \quad [a, b]_\omega = (\omega \wedge Id_A)(a, b) = \omega(a)b - \omega(b)a, \quad a, b \in A.$$

*If  $\Delta$  and  $\omega$  satisfy  $\Delta\omega + \omega\Delta = 0$ , then  $(A, [, ]_{\omega, \Delta})$  is a Lie algebra, where*

$$(3.3) \quad [a, b]_{\omega, \Delta} = ((Id_A - \omega) \wedge \Delta)(a, b) = (a - \omega(a))\Delta(b) - (b - \omega(b))\Delta(a), \quad a, b \in A.$$

*Proof.* Eq. (3.1) is well know result. By the direct computation, Eqs. (3.2) and (3.3) satisfy Eq (2.1), respectively.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative associative algebra,  $\Delta \in \text{Der}(A)$  and  $\omega$  be an involution of  $A$ . If  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in A^*$  satisfy*

$$(3.4) \quad \alpha(ab) = 0, \quad \beta(a\Delta(b) - b\Delta(a)) = 0, \quad \gamma(a\Delta(b) - b\Delta(a)) = \gamma(\omega(a)\Delta(b) - \omega(b)\Delta(a)),$$

*then  $(A, [, , ]_{\alpha, \omega})$  and  $(A, [, , ]_{\beta, \Delta})$  are 3-Lie algebras, where for arbitrary  $a, b, c \in A$ ,*

$$(3.5) \quad [a, b, c]_{\alpha, \omega} = (\alpha \wedge \text{Id}_A \wedge \omega)(a, b, c) = \alpha(a)[b, c]_{\omega} + \alpha(b)[c, a]_{\omega} + \alpha(c)[a, b]_{\omega},$$

$$(3.6) \quad [a, b, c]_{\beta, \Delta} = (\beta \wedge \text{Id}_A \wedge \Delta)(a, b, c) = \beta(a)[b, c]_{\Delta} + \beta(b)[c, a]_{\Delta} + \beta(c)[a, b]_{\Delta}.$$

*If  $\Delta$  and  $\omega$  satisfy  $\Delta\omega + \omega\Delta = 0$ , then  $(A, [, , ]_{\gamma, \omega, \Delta})$  is a 3-Lie algebra, where*

$$(3.7) \quad [a, b, c]_{\gamma, \omega, \Delta} = (\gamma \wedge (\text{Id}_A - \omega) \wedge \Delta)(a, b, c) = \gamma(a)((b - \omega(b))\Delta(c) - (c - \omega(c))\Delta(b)) \\ + \gamma(b)((c - \omega(c))\Delta(a) - (a - \omega(a))\Delta(c)) + \gamma(c)((a - \omega(a))\Delta(b) - (b - \omega(b))\Delta(a)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in A^*$ . Then for every  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$\alpha(\omega(a)b - \omega(b)a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a, b \in A_1; \text{ or } a, b \in A_{-1}, \\ 2\alpha(ab), & \text{if } a \in A_1, b \in A_{-1}, \\ -2\alpha(ab), & \text{if } b \in A_1, a \in A_{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if  $\alpha(ab) = 0$ , then  $\alpha(\omega(a)b - \omega(b)a) = 0$ . From Lemma 3.1, Eq. (3.1), and Theorem 3.1 in [14], the result holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative associative algebra over a field  $F$ ,  $\Delta$  be a derivation of  $A$  and  $\omega : A \rightarrow A$  be an involution of  $A$  satisfying*

$$f\Delta + \Delta\omega = 0.$$

*Then  $A$  is a 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication  $[, , ]_{\omega, \Delta} : A \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow A, \quad \forall a, b, c \in A$ ,*

$$(3.8) \quad [a, b, c]_{\omega, \Delta} = \omega \wedge \text{Id}_A \wedge \Delta(a, b, c) = \begin{vmatrix} \omega(a) & \omega(b) & \omega(c) \\ a & b & c \\ \Delta(a) & \Delta(b) & \Delta(c) \end{vmatrix} \\ = \omega(a)(b\Delta(c) - c\Delta(b)) + \omega(b)(c\Delta(a) - a\Delta(c)) + \omega(c)(a\Delta(b) - b\Delta(a)).$$

*Proof.* It is clear that  $[, , ]_{\omega, \Delta}$  is a 3-ary linear skew-symmetric multiplication on  $A$ . Now we prove that  $[, , ]_{\omega, \Delta}$  satisfies Eq.(2.1). Since  $A$  is commutative and  $\omega$  is an involution of  $A$  which satisfies  $\omega\Delta + \Delta\omega = 0$ , by Eq.(3.8),  $\forall a, b, c, d, e \in A$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega([a, b, c]_{\omega, \Delta}) &= \begin{vmatrix} \omega(a) & \omega(b) & \omega(c) \\ a & b & c \\ \Delta\omega(a) & \Delta\omega(b) & \Delta\omega(c) \end{vmatrix}, \\
 \Delta([a, b, c]_{\omega, \Delta}) &= \begin{vmatrix} \Delta\omega(a) & \Delta\omega(b) & \Delta\omega(c) \\ a & b & c \\ \Delta(a) & \Delta(b) & \Delta(c) \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} \omega(a) & \omega(b) & \omega(c) \\ a & b & c \\ \Delta^2(a) & \Delta^2(b) & \Delta^2(c) \end{vmatrix}, \\
 [[a, b, c]_{\omega, \Delta}, d, e]_{\omega, \Delta} &= \begin{vmatrix} \omega([a, b, c]_{\omega, \Delta}) & \omega(d) & \omega(e) \\ [a, b, c]_{\omega, \Delta} & d & e \\ \Delta([a, b, c]_{\omega, \Delta}) & \Delta(d) & \Delta(e) \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{vmatrix} \omega(a) & \omega(b) & \omega(c) \\ a & b & c \\ \Delta\omega(a) & \Delta\omega(b) & \Delta\omega(c) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} d & e \\ \Delta(b) & \Delta(c) \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} \omega(a) & \omega(b) & \omega(c) \\ a & b & c \\ \Delta(a) & \Delta(b) & \Delta(c) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \omega(d) & \omega(e) \\ \Delta(d) & \Delta(e) \end{vmatrix} \\
 &+ \begin{vmatrix} \Delta\omega(a) & \Delta\omega(b) & \Delta\omega(c) \\ a & b & c \\ \Delta(a) & \Delta(b) & \Delta(c) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \omega(d) & \omega(e) \\ d & e \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} \omega(a) & \omega(b) & \omega(c) \\ a & b & c \\ \Delta^2(a) & \Delta^2(b) & \Delta^2(c) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \omega(d) & \omega(e) \\ d & e \end{vmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[[a, d, e]_{\omega, \Delta}, b, c]_{\omega, \Delta} + [[b, d, e]_{\omega, \Delta}, c, a]_{\omega, \Delta} + [[c, d, e]_{\omega, \Delta}, a, b]_{\omega, \Delta} \\
 &= \circlearrowleft_{a,b,c} \Delta\omega(a) \begin{vmatrix} b & c \\ \Delta(b) & \Delta(c) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \omega(d) & \omega(e) \\ d & e \end{vmatrix} + \circlearrowleft_{a,b,c} a \begin{vmatrix} \omega(b) & \omega(c) \\ \Delta(b) & \Delta(c) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \omega(d) & \omega(e) \\ \Delta(d) & \Delta(e) \end{vmatrix} \\
 &+ \circlearrowleft_{a,b,c} \Delta\omega(a) \begin{vmatrix} \omega(b) & \omega(c) \\ b & c \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} d & e \\ \Delta(d) & \Delta(e) \end{vmatrix} + \circlearrowleft_{a,b,c} \Delta^2(a) \begin{vmatrix} \omega(b) & \omega(c) \\ b & c \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \omega(d) & \omega(e) \\ d & e \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= [[a, b, c]_{\omega, \Delta}, d, e]_{\omega, \Delta},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\circlearrowleft_{a,b,c}$  is the circulation of  $a, b, c$ , for example

$$\circlearrowleft_{a,b,c} \Delta\omega(a) \begin{vmatrix} b & c \\ \Delta(b) & \Delta(c) \end{vmatrix} = \Delta\omega(a) \begin{vmatrix} b & c \\ \Delta(b) & \Delta(c) \end{vmatrix} + \Delta\omega(b) \begin{vmatrix} c & a \\ \Delta(c) & \Delta(a) \end{vmatrix} + \Delta\omega(c) \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ \Delta(a) & \Delta(b) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Therefore,  $(A, [, , ]_{\omega, \Delta})$  is a 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication (3.8).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative associative algebra,  $\Delta \in \text{Der}(A)$  and  $\omega$  be an involution of  $A$  satisfying  $\Delta\omega + \omega\Delta = 0$ . Then 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, , ]_{\Delta, \omega})$  with the multiplication (3.8) can be decomposed into the direct sum of abelian subalgebras  $A_1$  and  $A_{-1}$ , that is,  $A = A_1 \dot{+} A_{-1}$ , and*

$$[A_1, A_1, A_1]_{\omega, \Delta} = [A_{-1}, A_{-1}, A_{-1}]_{\omega, \Delta} = 0.$$

And  $\Delta(A_1) \subseteq A_{-1}$ ,  $\Delta(A_{-1}) \subseteq A_1$ .

*Proof.* For every  $a_i \in A_1$ ,  $\omega(a_i) = a_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $\omega\Delta(a_1) = -\Delta\omega(a_1) = -\Delta(a_1)$ , then

$$[a_1, a_2, a_3]_{\omega, \Delta} = \begin{vmatrix} \omega(a_1) & \omega(a_2) & \omega(a_3) \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ \Delta(a_1) & \Delta(a_2) & \Delta(a_3) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ \Delta(a_1) & \Delta(a_2) & \Delta(a_3) \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Thus  $A_1$  is an abelian subalgebra, and  $\Delta(A_1) \subseteq A_{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(A, [, ],_{\omega, \Delta})$  be the 3-Lie algebra with the multiplication (3.8). If  $I$  is an ideal of the associative algebra  $A$  which satisfies  $\omega(I) \subseteq I$  and  $\Delta(I) \subseteq I$ . Then  $I$  is an ideal of the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, ],_{\omega, \Delta})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be an ideal of the associative algebra  $A$  satisfying  $\omega(I) \subseteq I$  and  $\Delta(I) \subseteq I$ . Then for every  $a \in I$ , and  $\forall b, c \in A$ , by Eq. (3.8)

$$[a, b, c]_{\omega, \Delta} = \omega(a)(b\Delta(c) - c\Delta(b)) + \omega(b)(a\Delta(c) - c\Delta(a)) + \omega(c)(a\Delta(b) - b\Delta(a)) \in I.$$

Therefore,  $I$  is an ideal of the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, ],_{\omega, \Delta})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be commutative associative algebras,  $\omega_i$  be an involution of  $A_i$  and  $\Delta_i$  be a derivation of  $A_i$  which satisfies  $\omega_i\Delta_i + \Delta_i\omega_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $\sigma : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  is an associative algebra isomorphism satisfying  $\sigma\omega_1 = \omega_2\sigma$ , and  $\sigma\Delta_1 = \Delta_2\sigma$ . Then  $\sigma$  is a 3-Lie algebra isomorphism from  $(A_1, [, ],_{\omega_1, \Delta_1})$  onto  $(A_2, [, ],_{\omega_2, \Delta_2})$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $a, b, c \in A_1$ , by Eq.(3.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma([a, b, c]_{\omega_1, \Delta_1}) &= \sigma(\omega_1(a)(b\Delta_1(c) - c\Delta_1(b)) + \omega_1(b)(c\Delta_1(a) - a\Delta_1(c)) + \omega_1(c)(a\Delta_1(b) - b\Delta_1(a))) \\ &= \omega_2(\sigma(a))(\sigma(b)\Delta_2\sigma(c) - \sigma(c)\Delta_2(\sigma(b))) + \omega_2(\sigma(b))(\sigma(c)\Delta_2(\sigma(a)) - \sigma(a)\Delta_2(\sigma(c))) \\ &\quad + \omega_2(\sigma(c))(\sigma(a)\Delta_2(\sigma(b)) - \sigma(b)\Delta_2(\sigma(a))) \\ &= [\sigma(a), \sigma(b), \sigma(c)]_{\omega_2, \Delta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *If  $A$  is a nilpotent commutative associative algebra, then  $(A, [, ],_{\omega, \Delta})$  is a nilpotent 3-Lie algebra.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A^m = 0$  for some positive integer  $m$ . Then for every  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$ ,  $a_1 \cdots a_m = 0$ . Thanks to Eq. (3.8), for every  $a, b \in A$ ,  $ad^m(a, b)(A) \subseteq A^m = 0$ . Therefore,  $(A, [, ],_{\omega, \Delta})$  is a nilpotent 3-Lie algebra.  $\square$

#### 4. 3-LIE ALGEBRAS CONSTRUCTED BY GROUP ALGEBRAS

Let  $(G, +)$  be an additive Abelian group, and  $F[G]$  be the group algebra, that is,  $F[G]$  is a commutative associative algebra with a basis  $\{e_g \mid g \in G\}$ , and for every  $x = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g$ ,

$$y = \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h \in F[G],$$

$$x + y = \sum_{g \in G} (\lambda_g + \mu_g) e_g, \quad xy = \left( \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g \right) \left( \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h \right) = \sum_{g, h \in G} \lambda_g \mu_h e_{g+h}.$$

Define linear mapping  $\omega : F[G] \rightarrow F[G]$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad \omega(x) = \omega\left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g\right) = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_{-g}, \quad \forall x = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g \in F[G].$$

Then  $\omega$  is a linear isomorphism of  $F[G]$  and for  $x = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g, y = \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h \in F[G]$ ,

$$\omega^2(x) = \omega\left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_{-g}\right) = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g = x,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(xy) &= \omega\left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h\right) = \omega\left(\sum_{g, h \in G} \lambda_g \mu_h e_{g+h}\right) = \sum_{g, h \in G} \lambda_g \mu_h e_{-g-h} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_{-g} \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_{-h} = \omega\left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g\right) \omega\left(\sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h\right) = \omega(x) \omega(y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\omega$  is an involution of the commutative associative algebra  $F[G]$ .

Denote  $F^+$  the addition group of  $F$ . For every  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(G, F^+)$ , then  $\alpha$  satisfies  $\alpha(g + h) = \alpha(g) + \alpha(h), \forall g, h \in G$ . Define linear mapping  $\alpha^* : F[G] \rightarrow F[G]$  as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad \alpha^*\left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g\right) = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \alpha(g) e_g, \quad \forall \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g \in F[G].$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $(G, +)$  be an abelian group,  $\omega : F[G] \rightarrow F[G]$  be defined as Eq.(4.1). Then for every  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(G, F^+)$ ,  $\alpha^*$  defined as Eq. (4.2) is a derivation of the algebra  $F[G]$ , and satisfies  $\omega\alpha^* + \alpha^*\omega = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Eqs. (4.1) and (4.2), for arbitrary  $x = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g, y = \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h \in F[G]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*\left(\left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g\right)\left(\sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h\right)\right) &= \alpha^*\left(\sum_{g, h \in G} \lambda_g \mu_h e_{g+h}\right) = \sum_{g, h \in G} \lambda_g \mu_h (\alpha(g) + \alpha(h)) e_{g+h} \\ &= \sum_{g, h \in G} \lambda_g \mu_h \alpha(g) e_{g+h} + \sum_{g, h \in G} \lambda_g \mu_h \alpha(h) e_{g+h} = \alpha^*\left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g\right) \left(\sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h\right) + \left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g\right) \alpha^*\left(\sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h\right), \\ (\omega\alpha^* + \alpha^*\omega)\left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g\right) &= \omega\left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \alpha(g) e_g\right) + \alpha^*\left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_{-g}\right) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \alpha(g) e_{-g} + \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \alpha(g^{-1}) e_{-g} = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \alpha(g) e_{-g} - \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \alpha(g) e_{-g} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group,  $\omega : F[G] \rightarrow F[G]$  be defined as Eq.(4.1),  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(G, F^+)$ ,  $\alpha^*$  be defined as Eq. (4.2). Then  $(F[G], [, , ]_{\omega, \alpha^*})$  is a 3-Lie algebra, where for arbitrary  $\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g, \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h, \sum_{q \in G} \nu_q e_q \in F[G]$ ,*

$$(4.3) \quad \left[ \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g, \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h, \sum_{q \in G} \nu_q e_q \right]_{\omega, \alpha^*} = \sum_{g, h, q \in G} \lambda_g \mu_h \nu_q (\alpha(q - h) e_{h+q-g} + \alpha(g - q) e_{g+q-h} + \alpha(h - g) e_{g+h-q}).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.3, for arbitrary  $\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g, \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h, \sum_{q \in G} \nu_q e_q \in F[G]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g, \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h, \sum_{q \in G} \nu_q e_q \right]_{\omega, \alpha^*} &= \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_{-g} & \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_{-h} & \sum_{q \in G} \nu_q e_{-q} \\ \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g & \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h e_h & \sum_{q \in G} \nu_q e_q \\ \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \alpha(g) e_g & \sum_{h \in G} \mu_h \alpha(h) e_h & \sum_{q \in G} \nu_q \alpha(q) e_q \end{array} \right| \\ &= \sum_{g, h, q \in G} \lambda_g \mu_h \nu_q \{ (\alpha(q) - \alpha(h)) e_{h+q-g} + (\alpha(g) - \alpha(q)) e_{g+q-h} + (\alpha(h) - \alpha(g)) e_{g+h-q} \} \\ &= \sum_{g, h, q \in G} \lambda_g \mu_h \nu_q (\alpha(q - h) e_{h+q-g} + \alpha(g - q) e_{g+q-h} + \alpha(h - g) e_{g+h-q}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows the result.  $\square$

By the above discussions, the products of basis vectors  $\{e_g \mid g \in G\}$  of 3-Lie algebra  $(F[G], [, , ]_{\omega, \alpha^*})$  are as follows: for arbitrary  $g, h, w \in G$ ,

$$(4.4) \quad [e_g, e_h, e_w]_{\omega, \alpha^*} = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} e_{-g} & e_{-h} & e_{-w} \\ e_g & e_h & e_w \\ \alpha(g) e_g & \alpha(h) e_h & \alpha(w) e_w \end{array} \right| = \alpha(w - h) e_{h+w-g} + \alpha(g - w) e_{g+w-h} + \alpha(h - g) e_{g+h-w}.$$

For  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(G, F^+)$ , define mapping

$$(4.5) \quad \phi_\alpha : F[G] \rightarrow F, \quad \phi_\alpha(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \alpha(g), \quad \forall x = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g \in F[G].$$

Denote  $I_0 = \{x \mid x = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g e_g \in F[G], \phi_\alpha(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \alpha(g) = 0\}$ . Then  $I_0$  is a subspace of  $F[G]$ . And we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.3.** *For  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(G, F^+)$ , if  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $I_0$  is a maximal ideal of 3-Lie algebra  $(F[G], [, , ]_{\omega, \alpha^*})$ . Therefore,  $(F[G], [, , ]_{\omega, \alpha^*})$  is a non-simple 3-Lie algebra.*

*Proof.* For arbitrary  $g, h, w \in G$ , by Eq. (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\alpha([e_g, e_h, e_w]_{\omega, \alpha^*}) &= \phi_\alpha(\alpha(w-h)e_{h+w-g} + \alpha(g-w)e_{g+w-h} + \alpha(h-g)e_{g+h-w}) \\ &= (\alpha(w) - \alpha(h))(\alpha(h) + \alpha(w) - \alpha(g)) + (\alpha(g) - \alpha(w))(\alpha(g) + \alpha(w) - \alpha(h)) \\ &\quad + (\alpha(h) - \alpha(g))(\alpha(g) + \alpha(h) - \alpha(w)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the derived algebra of  $(F[G], [, ], \omega, \alpha^*)$  is contained in  $I_0$ . Therefore,  $I_0$  is an ideal of the 3-Lie algebra  $(F[G], [, ], \omega, \alpha^*)$ .

Since  $\alpha \neq 0$ , without loss of generality suppose  $\alpha(d) = 1$  for some non-zero element  $d$  of  $G$ . Then for every  $x \in F[G]$ ,  $x = \phi_\alpha(x)e_d + (x - \phi_\alpha(x)e_d)$ . Since

$$\phi_\alpha(x - \phi_\alpha(x)e_d) = \phi_\alpha(x) - \phi_\alpha(x) = 0,$$

we have  $F[G] = Fe_d + I_0$  as the direct sum of subspaces. Therefore,  $I_0$  is a maximal ideal of 3-Lie algebra  $(F[G], [, ], \omega, \alpha^*)$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.1** Let  $G = \{A = (a_{ij}) | a_{ij} \in F, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  be the set of all  $(m \times n)$ -matrices over a field  $F$ . Then  $G$  is an abelian group in the addition:  $\forall A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}) \in G, A + B = (a_{ij} + b_{ij})$ . Define  $\alpha : G \rightarrow F^+$  and  $\omega : F[G] \rightarrow F[G]$  as follows

$$\alpha(A) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}, \quad \omega(e_A) = e_{-A}.$$

Then  $\alpha \in Hom(G, F^+)$  and  $\omega$  is an involution of  $F[G]$ . By Theorem 4.2,  $F[G]$  is an  $mn$ -dimensional 3-Lie algebra with the multiplication:  $\forall A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}), C = (c_{ij}) \in G$ ,

$$[e_A, e_B, e_C]_{\omega, \alpha^*} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (c_{ij} - b_{ij})e_{B+C-A} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij} - c_{ij})e_{C+A-B} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (b_{ij} - a_{ij})e_{A+B-C}.$$

**Example 4.2** Let  $G = \{A = (a_{ij}) | a_{ij} \in F, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  be the group of all  $(n \times n)$ -matrices over a field  $F$  with the addition:  $\forall A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}) \in G, A + B = (a_{ij} + b_{ij})$ . Let  $\beta \in Hom(G, F^+)$ ,  $\beta(A) = tr(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$ ,  $\omega : F[G] \rightarrow F[G]$ ,  $\omega(e_A) = e_{-A}$ . Then by Theorem 4.2,  $F[G]$  is an  $n^2$ -dimensional 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication:  $\forall A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}), C = (c_{ij}) \in G$ ,

$$[e_A, e_B, e_C]_{\omega, \beta^*} = tr(C - B)e_{B+C-A} + tr(A - C)e_{A+C-B} + tr(B - A)e_{A+B-C}.$$

**Example 4.3** Let  $G = Z_p^+$  be the addition group of the prime field  $Z_p$ ,  $chF_p = p$ . Then the multiplication of the group algebra  $Z_p[G]$  is

$$e_{\bar{r}}e_{\bar{s}} = e_{\overline{r+s}}, \quad \forall \bar{s}, \bar{r} \in G.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha : G &\rightarrow Z_p^+, \alpha(\bar{r}) = \bar{r}, \forall \bar{r} \in Z_p; \\ \omega : Z_p[G] &\rightarrow Z_p[G], \omega(e_{\bar{r}}) = e_{-\bar{r}}, \bar{r} \in Z_p.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.2 ,  $(Z_p[G], [, ], \omega, \alpha^*)$  is a  $p$ - dimensional 3-Lie algebra with the multiplication as follows

$$[e_{\bar{r}}, e_{\bar{s}}, e_{\bar{k}}] = \begin{vmatrix} e_{-\bar{r}} & e_{-\bar{s}} & e_{-\bar{k}} \\ e_{\bar{r}} & e_{\bar{s}} & e_{\bar{k}} \\ \bar{r}e_{\bar{r}} & \bar{s}e_{\bar{s}} & \bar{k}e_{\bar{k}} \end{vmatrix} = \overline{k - s}e_{\overline{s+k-r}} + \overline{r - k}e_{\overline{k+r-s}} + \overline{s - r}e_{\overline{r+s-k}}.$$

### 5. 3-LIE ALGEBRAS CONSTRUCTED FROM LAURENT POLYNOMIALS

In this section we study 3-Lie algebras constructed by Laurent polynomials. In the following, denote  $A = F[t^{-1}, t]$ , the set of Laurent polynomials over a field  $F$ .

We know that the derivation algebra  $DerA = \{t^s \frac{d}{dt} \mid s \in Z\}$  with the product

$$(5.1) \quad [t^m \delta, t^n \delta] = (n - m)t^{m+n} \delta, \quad m, n \in Z,$$

where  $\delta = t \frac{d}{dt}$ ,  $Z$  is the set of all integer numbers.

Let  $\omega : A \rightarrow A$  be an algebra homomorphism and satisfy  $\omega^2 = Id_A$ . Since  $\omega(1) = 1$  and  $\omega(t)\omega(t^{-1}) = 1$ , we have  $\omega(t) = \lambda t^r$ ,  $\lambda \in F$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then  $\omega(t^m) = \lambda^m t^{rm}$ ,

$$t^m = \omega^2(t^m) = \omega(\omega(t^m)) = \omega((\omega(t))^m) = \omega(\lambda^m t^{rm}) = \lambda^{m+rm} t^{r^2 m}.$$

We obtain  $r = -1$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ ; or  $r = 1$ ,  $\lambda = \pm 1$ . Therefore, we get the following result.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\omega : A \rightarrow A$  be a linear map. If  $chF \neq 2$ , then  $\omega$  is an involution of  $A$  if and only if  $\omega$  satisfies*

$$(5.2) \quad \omega(t^m) = \varepsilon^m t^m, \varepsilon = \pm 1, \forall m \in Z; \text{ or } \omega(t^m) = \lambda^m t^{-m}, \lambda \in F, \lambda \neq 0, \forall m \in Z.$$

*Proof.* The result follows from the above discussions. □

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $\omega$  be an involution of  $A$ ,  $\delta = t^l \frac{d}{dt} \in DerF[t^{-1}, t]$  and  $chF \neq 2$ . Then  $\omega\delta + \delta\omega = 0$  if and only if  $\omega, \delta$  satisfy the following one possibilities*

- (i)  $\omega(t^m) = (-1)^m t^m, \forall m \in Z, \delta = t^{2k} \frac{d}{dt}, k \in Z$ .
- (ii)  $\omega(t^m) = \lambda^m t^{-m}, \lambda \in F, \lambda \neq 0, \forall m \in Z, \delta = t \frac{d}{dt}$ .
- (ii) If  $chF = 2$ ,  $\omega(t^m) = t^{-m}, \forall m \in Z, \delta = t \frac{d}{dt}$ .

*Proof.* The result follows from Lemma 5.1 and the direct computation. □

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $\delta = t \frac{d}{dt}$ ,  $chF \neq 2$ ,  $\omega_\lambda : A \rightarrow A$ ,*

$$\omega_\lambda(t^m) = \lambda^m t^{-m}, \lambda \in F, \lambda \neq 0, \forall m \in Z.$$

Then  $(A, [, , ]_{\omega_\lambda, \delta})$  is a 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication:  $\forall t^l, t^m, t^n \in L$ ,

$$(5.3) \quad [t^l, t^m, t^n]_{\omega_\lambda, \delta} = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda^l t^{-l} & \lambda^m t^{-m} & \lambda^n t^{-n} \\ t^l & t^m & t^n \\ lt^l & mt^m & nt^n \end{vmatrix} \\ = \lambda^l(n-m)t^{m+n-l} + \lambda^m(l-n)t^{n+l-m} + \lambda^n(m-l)t^{l+m-n}.$$

*Proof.* The result follows from Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 5.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $\lambda = 1$  in Theorem 5.3. Then the multiplication of the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, , ]_{\omega_1, \delta})$  is as follows:  $\forall t^l, t^m, t^n \in A$ ,*

$$(5.4) \quad [t^l, t^m, t^n]_{\omega_1, \delta} = \begin{vmatrix} t^{-l} & t^{-m} & t^{-n} \\ t^l & t^m & t^n \\ lt^l & mt^m & nt^n \end{vmatrix} \\ = (n-m)t^{m+n-l} + (l-n)t^{n+l-m} + (m-l)t^{l+m-n}.$$

$$\text{And} \quad \omega([t^l, t^m, t^n]_{\omega_1, \delta}) = -[\omega(t^l), \omega(t^m), \omega(t^n)]_{\omega_1, \delta}.$$

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $chF \neq 2$ . Then the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, , ]_{\omega_\lambda, \delta})$  with the multiplication (5.3) for some  $\lambda \neq 0$  is isomorphic to the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, , ]_{\omega_1, \delta})$  with the multiplication (5.4), where  $\delta = t \frac{d}{dt}$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $\omega_\lambda : A \rightarrow A$ ,  $\omega_\lambda(t^m) = \lambda^m t^{-m}$ ,  $\lambda \in F$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then we have  $\omega_\lambda \delta + \delta \omega_\lambda = 0$ .

Define  $\sigma : A \rightarrow A$ ,  $\sigma(t^m) = \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} t^m$ ,  $\forall m \in Z$ . Then

$$\sigma(t^m t^n) = \sigma(t^m) \sigma(t^n), \quad \delta \sigma(t^m) = \delta(\lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} t^m) = m \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} t^m = \sigma \delta(t^m), \\ \omega_1 \sigma(t^m) = \omega_1(\lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} t^m) = \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} t^{-m} = \lambda^{-\frac{m}{2}} (\lambda^m t^{-m}) = \sigma \omega_\lambda(t^m).$$

$$\delta \sigma(t^m) = \delta(\lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} t^m) = m \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} t^m = \sigma \delta(t^m).$$

Follows from Theorem 3.7, the result holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.6.** *If  $chF = p > 2$ , then for every integer  $k \in Z$  and  $k \neq 0$ ,*

$$I_k = \{ (t^{kp} + t^{-kp})h(t) \mid \forall h(t) \in A \}, \quad J_k = \{ (t^{kp} - t^{-kp})h(t) \mid \forall h(t) \in A \}$$

*are non-zero proper ideals of the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, , ]_{\omega_1, \delta})$ .*

*Proof.* Since for every integer  $k \in Z$  and  $k \neq 0$ ,  $I_k, J_k$  are ideals of the associative algebra  $A = F[t^{-1}, t]$ , and satisfy

$$\omega(I_k) \subseteq I_k, \quad \omega(J_k) \subseteq J_k, \quad \Delta(I_k) \subseteq I_k, \quad \Delta(J_k) \subseteq J_k.$$

By Theorem 3.5,  $I_k$  and  $J_k$  are proper ideals of the 3-Lie algebra.  $\square$

By the above discussions,  $A_1$  and  $A_{-1}$  are two abelian subalgebras of  $(A, [, ], \omega_1, \delta)$ , and  $A = A_1 \dot{+} A_{-1}$ , where

$$A_1 = \{ p(t) \mid p(t) \in A, \omega(p(t)) = p(t) \} = \left\{ \sum_{i=r}^s a_i(t^i + t^{-i}), a_i \in F, r, s \in Z \right\},$$

$$A_{-1} = \{ p(t) \mid p(t) \in A, \omega(p(t)) = -p(t) \} = \left\{ \sum_{i=r}^s a_i(t^i - t^{-i}), a_i \in F, r, s \in Z \right\}.$$

If  $B = F[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, t_1, \dots, t_k]$  is the commutative associative algebra of  $k$  variable Laurent polynomials over a field  $F$  of characteristic zero. Then for every  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ,  $\delta_j = t_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}$  are derivations of  $B$ , where for every  $p(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} a_{i_1 \dots i_k} t_1^{i_1} \dots t_k^{i_k} \in B$ ,  $\delta_j(p(t_1, \dots, t_k)) = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} i_j a_{i_1 \dots i_k} t_1^{i_1} \dots t_k^{i_k}$ .

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $B = F[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, t_1, \dots, t_k]$  with  $chF = 0$ ,  $\Delta_j = t_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}$  be a derivation of  $B$ . For an algebra homomorphism  $\omega : B \rightarrow B$ ,  $\omega$  satisfies  $\Delta_j \omega + \omega \Delta_j = 0$  and  $\omega^2 = id_B$  if and only if*

$$(5.5) \quad \omega(t_1^{r_1} \dots t_j^{r_j} \dots t_k^{r_k}) = (\lambda_1^{r_1} \dots \lambda_j^{r_j} \dots \lambda_k^{r_k}) t_1^{-r_1} \dots t_j^{-r_j} \dots t_k^{-r_k},$$

where  $\lambda_s \in F$ ,  $\lambda_s \neq 0$ ,  $r_s \in Z$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq k$ . Therefore,  $(B, [, ], \omega, \delta_j)$  is a 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication:  $\forall t_1^{r_1} \dots t_j^{r_j} \dots t_k^{r_k}, t_1^{i_1} \dots t_j^{i_j} \dots t_k^{i_k}, t_1^{n_1} \dots t_j^{n_j} \dots t_k^{n_k} \in B$ ,

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & [t_1^{r_1} \dots t_j^{r_j} \dots t_k^{r_k}, t_1^{i_1} \dots t_j^{i_j} \dots t_k^{i_k}, t_1^{n_1} \dots t_j^{n_j} \dots t_k^{n_k}]_{\omega, \Delta_j} \\ &= (\lambda_1^{r_1} \dots \lambda_j^{r_j} \dots \lambda_k^{r_k})(n_j - i_j) t_1^{i_1+n_1-r_1} \dots t_j^{i_j+n_j-r_j} \dots t_k^{i_k+n_k-r_k} \\ &+ (\lambda_1^{i_1} \dots \lambda_j^{i_j} \dots \lambda_k^{i_k})(r_j - n_j) t_1^{r_1+n_1-i_1} \dots t_j^{r_j+n_j-i_j} \dots t_k^{r_k+n_k-i_k} \\ &+ (\lambda_1^{n_1} \dots \lambda_j^{n_j} \dots \lambda_k^{n_k})(i_j - r_j) t_1^{i_1-n_1+r_1} \dots t_j^{i_j-n_j+r_j} \dots t_k^{i_k-n_k+r_k}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof is completely similar to Theorem 5.3. □

In the following we study the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, \omega, \delta_{2k})$ , where the derivation

$$\delta_{2k} = t^{2k} \frac{d}{dt} \in Der A, \quad k \in Z.$$

From Lemma 5.2, if  $chF \neq 2$ , for  $\delta_{2k} = t^{2k} \frac{d}{dt} \in Der(F[t^{-1}, t])$ ,  $k \in Z$ , then the involution  $\omega : A \rightarrow A$  satisfies

$$\delta_{2k} \omega + \omega \delta_{2k} = 0$$

if and only if  $\omega$  is defined as  $\omega(t^m) = (-1)^m t^m$ ,  $\forall m \in Z$

Therefore, we have the following result.

**Theorem 5.8.** *If  $chF \neq 2$ , then  $A$  is a 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\omega, \delta_{2k}}$  : for arbitrary  $t^l, t^m, t^n \in A$ ,*

$$(5.7) \quad [t^l, t^m, t^n]_{\omega, \delta_{2k}} = \begin{vmatrix} (-1)^l t^l & (-1)^m t^m & (-1)^n t^n \\ t^l & t^m & t^n \\ lt^{2k+l-1} & mt^{m+2k-1} & nt^{2k+n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\ = \{(-1)^l(n-m) + (-1)^m(l-n) + (-1)^n(m-l)\}t^{2k+l+m+n-1}.$$

*Proof.* The result follows from Lemma 5.1. Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

Define linear functions  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma : A \rightarrow F$ :

$$\alpha(t^m) = (-1)^m, \quad \beta(t^m) = 1, \quad \gamma(t^m) = m, \quad \forall t^m \in A,$$

that is, for every  $p(t) = \sum_{i=m}^n a_i t^i \in A$ ,

$$\alpha(p(t)) = \sum_{i=m}^n (-1)^i a_i, \quad \beta(p(t)) = \sum_{i=m}^n a_i, \quad \gamma(p(t)) = \sum_{i=m}^n i a_i.$$

Then Eq.(5.7) can be written as:  $\forall t^l, t^m, t^n \in A$ ,

$$[t^l, t^m, t^n]_{\omega, \delta_{2k}} = (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma)(t^l, t^m, t^n) t^{l+m+n+2k-1},$$

$$\text{where } (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma)(t^l, t^m, t^n) = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha(t^l) & \alpha(t^m) & \alpha(t^n) \\ \beta(t^l) & \beta(t^m) & \beta(t^n) \\ \gamma(t^l) & \gamma(t^m) & \gamma(t^n) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} (-1)^l & (-1)^m & (-1)^n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ l & m & n \end{vmatrix}.$$

**Remark** References [11, 12, 9] studied  $n$ -Lie algebras  $A(G, f, t)$ , where  $G$  is an additive Abelian group,  $f : G^n \rightarrow F$ . By the above discussions, the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\omega, \delta_{2k}})$  is isomorphic to the 3-Lie algebra  $A(Z, f, 2k+1)$  in [12], where  $Z$  is the set of all integers,

$$f(l, m, n) = \begin{vmatrix} (-1)^l & (-1)^m & (-1)^n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ l & m & n \end{vmatrix}, \quad \forall l, m, n \in Z.$$

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let  $A = F[t^{-1}, t]$  be the Laurent polynomials over the field of complex numbers. Then for any integer  $k, k \neq 0$ , 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\omega, \delta_{2k}})$  with the multiplication (5.7) is isomorphic to the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\omega, \delta_0})$ , where  $\delta_0 = \frac{d}{dt}$ , and for every*

$t^l, t^m, t^n \in A$ ,

$$(5.8) \quad [t^l, t^m, t^n]_{\omega, \delta_0} = \{(-1)^l(n-m) + (-1)^m(l-n) + (-1)^n(m-l)\}t^{l+m+n-1}.$$

*Proof.* If  $k = 2s$ , define linear mapping  $\sigma : (A, [, ], \omega, \delta_0) \rightarrow (A, [, ], \omega, \delta_k)$ ,  $\sigma(t^m) = t^{m-k}$ ,  $\sigma(1) = 1$ , for every  $t^m \in A$ . Then for every  $t^l, t^m, t^n \in A$ ,

$$\sigma([t^l, t^m, t^n]_{\omega, \delta_0}) = \{(-1)^l(n-m) + (-1)^m(l-n) + (-1)^n(m-l)\}t^{l+m+n-k-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma(t^l), \sigma(t^m), \sigma(t^n)]_{\omega, \delta_{2k}} &= [t^{l-k}, t^{m-k}, t^{n-k}]_{\omega, \delta_{2k}} \\ &= \{(-1)^{l-k}(n-m) + (-1)^{m-k}(l-n) + (-1)^{n-k}(m-l)\}t^{l+m+n-k-1} \\ &= \{(-1)^l(n-m) + (-1)^m(l-n) + (-1)^n(m-l)\}t^{l+m+n-k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $k = 2s + 1$ , define linear mapping  $\sigma : A \rightarrow A$ ,  $\sigma(t^m) = it^{m-k}$ ,  $\sigma(1) = 1$ , where  $i^2 = -1$ . Then for every  $t^l, t^m, t^n \in A$ ,

$$\sigma([t^l, t^m, t^n]_{\omega, \delta_0}) = i \{(-1)^l(n-m) + (-1)^m(l-n) + (-1)^n(m-l)\}t^{l+m+n-k-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma(t^l), \sigma(t^m), \sigma(t^n)]_{\omega, \delta_{2k}} &= [it^{l-k}, it^{m-k}, it^{n-k}]_{\omega, \delta_{2k}} \\ &= -i \{(-1)^{l-k}(n-m) + (-1)^{m-k}(l-n) + (-1)^{n-k}(m-l)\}t^{l+m+n-k-1} \\ &= i \{(-1)^l(n-m) + (-1)^m(l-n) + (-1)^n(m-l)\}t^{l+m+n-k-1} \\ &= \sigma([t^l, t^m, t^n]_{\omega, \delta_0}). \end{aligned}$$

The result holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let  $A = F[t^{-1}, t]$  over the field  $F$  of complex numbers. Then 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, ], \omega, \delta_0)$  is a simple 3-Lie algebra.*

*Proof.* By Eq.(5.8), for every  $t^l, t^m, t^n \in A$ ,

$$(5.9) \quad [t^l, t^m, t^{-m+1}]_{\omega, \delta_0} = \{(-1)^l(-m+1-m) + (-1)^m(l+m-1) + (-1)^{-m+1}(m-l)\}t^l \\ = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } l = m \text{ or } l = -m + 1, \\ \{(-1)^{l+1}2m + (-1)^m2l + (-1)^l + (-1)^{m+1}\}t^l \neq 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

If  $m = 0, n = 1$ , then we have

$$[t^l, 1, t^1]_{\omega, \delta_0} = \{(-1)^l + (l-1) + l\}t^l = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } l = 1, \\ \{2l + (-1)^l - 1\}t^l, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $I$  be a non-zero ideal of the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, ], \omega, \delta_0)$ . For every non-zero vector  $p(t) = \sum_{i=r}^s a_i t^i \in I$ , where  $a_s \neq 0, a_r \neq 0$ , and  $m$  is a positive integer such that  $m > s$  and  $-m + 1 < r$ . Thanks to Eq.(5.8) and the Vandermonde determinant, we have  $t^l \in I$  if  $a_l \neq 0$  for  $r \leq l \leq s$ . We conclude that there is an integer  $l$  such that  $t^l \in I$ .

Now we prove  $I = A$ . If  $t^m \in I$ , then by Eq.(5.9), for every  $l \neq m$  and  $l \neq -m + 1$ , we have  $t^l \in I$ . Therefore, we can choose  $j$  satisfying  $j \neq \pm m$ ,  $j \neq -m + 1$  (and then  $-m + 1 \neq -j + 1$ ) such that  $t^j \in I$ . Again by Eq.(5.8), we have  $t^m \in I$  and  $t^{-m+1} \in I$ .

Summarizing above discussions, we get  $I = A$ . Therefore,  $(A, [, , ]_{\omega, \delta_0})$  is a simple 3-Lie algebra.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.11.** *If  $chF = p > 2$ , then the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, , ]_{\omega, \delta_0})$  in Theorem 5.8 is a non-simple 3-Lie algebra.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $I_k = \{(t^{kp} + t^{-kp})h(t) \mid \forall h(t) \in A\}$ ,  $J_k = \{(t^{kp} - t^{-kp})h(t) \mid \forall h(t) \in A\}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ . Then  $\omega(I_k) \subseteq I_k$ ,  $\delta_0(I_k) \subseteq I_k$ ,  $\omega(J_k) \subseteq J_k$  and  $\delta_0(J_k) \subseteq J_k$ . Thanks to Theorem 3.4,  $I_k$  and  $J_k$  are non-zero proper ideals of the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, , ]_{\omega, \delta_0})$ . Therefore, the result holds.  $\square$

By the above discussions, if  $chF = p > 2$ , then  $J_1 = \{(t^p - t^{-p})h(t) \mid \forall h(t) \in A\}$  is an ideal of the 3-Lie algebra  $(A, [, , ]_{\omega, \delta_0})$ , and satisfies  $\omega(J_1) \subseteq J_1$  and  $\delta_0(J_1) \subseteq J_1$ . Then we get the quotient 3-Lie algebra of  $(A, [, , ]_{\omega, \delta_0})$  relating to the ideal  $J_1$ , which is denoted by  $(\bar{A}, [, , ]_{\omega, \delta_0})$ . The multiplication of  $\bar{A} = A/J_1$  in the basis  $\bar{t}^{-p+1}, \dots, \bar{t}^{-1}, \bar{1}, \bar{t}, \dots, \bar{t}^p$  as follows

$$(5.10) \quad [\bar{t}^l, \bar{t}^m, \bar{t}^n]_{\omega, \delta_0} = \{(-1)^l(n-m) + (-1)^m(l-n) + (-1)^n(m-l)\} \bar{t}^{l+m+n-1},$$

where  $\bar{t}^p = \bar{t}^{-p}$ .

**Theorem 5.12.** *The 3-Lie algebra  $(\bar{A}, [, , ]_{\omega, \delta_0})$  is a simple 3-Lie algebra, where  $[, , ]_{\omega, \delta_0}$  is defined as Eq. (5.10) and  $\dim \bar{A} = 2p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{I}$  be a nonzero ideal of the 3-Lie algebra  $(\bar{A}, [, , ]_{\omega, \delta_0})$ . Suppose  $h(\bar{t}) = \sum_{i=1-p}^p a_i \bar{t}^i \in \bar{I}$  and  $h(\bar{t}) \neq 0$ .

Case I. If  $h(\bar{t}) = \sum_{i=1-p}^p a_i \bar{t}^i = \bar{t}^p$ . For every  $l$  satisfying  $1-p < l < p$ , since

$$[\bar{t}^l, \bar{t}^p, \bar{t}^{1-p}] = \{(-1)^l - 2l + 1\} \bar{t}^l \in \bar{I}, \quad (-1)^l - 2l + 1 \neq 0, \quad l \neq 1-p,$$

we get  $\bar{t}^l \in \bar{I}$  for  $1-p < l \leq p$ .

Thanks to  $p \geq 3$ ,  $\bar{t}^2 \in \bar{I}$ , then  $-2\bar{t}^{p-1} = [\bar{t}^2, \bar{t}^{-1}, \bar{t}^{1-p}] \in \bar{I}$ . It follows  $\bar{I} = \bar{A}$ .

Case II. If  $h(\bar{t}) = \sum_{i=1-p}^p a_i \bar{t}^i \in \bar{I}$  satisfies  $a_p \neq 0$ , and there is an integer  $k$  satisfying  $1-p \leq k < p$  and  $a_k \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality, we suppose  $a_p = 1$ . By Eq.(5.10)

$$[h(\bar{t}), \bar{t}^{p-1}, \bar{t}^{2-p}] = \sum_{i=1-p}^p a_i (3(-1)^i + 2i - 1) \bar{t}^i \in \bar{I}.$$

Since  $3(-1)^i + 2i - 1 = 0$  if and only if  $i = p - 1$  or  $i = 2 - p$ , and  $3(-1)^i + 2i - 1 = 3(-1)^j + 2j - 1$  if and only if  $i = j$  for  $i \neq p - 1, i \neq 2 - p, j \neq p - 1, j \neq 2 - p$ , we obtain  $\bar{t}^p \in I$  (using Vandermonde determinant). Follows the discussions of the Case I,  $\bar{I} = \bar{A}$ .

Case III. If  $h(\bar{t}) = \sum_{i=1-p}^p a_i \bar{t}^i = \sum_{i=1-p}^s a_i \bar{t}^i$ , where  $s < p$ ,  $a_s = 1$  (that is,  $a_p = a_{p-1} = \dots = a_{s+1} = 0$ ) and there is an integer  $k$  satisfying  $1 - p \leq k < s$  such that  $a_k \neq 0$ . Then  $s > 1 - p$  and

$$[h(\bar{t}), \bar{t}^p, \bar{t}^{1-s}] = \sum_{i=1-p}^s a_i \{(-1)^i(1-s) - i - s + 1 + i(-1)^s\} \bar{t}^{i+p-s} = \sum_{j=1-s}^p b_j \bar{t}^j \in \bar{I},$$

where  $b_i = a_i((-1)^i(1-s) - i - s + 1 + i(-1)^s)$ . We obtain

$$b_p = (-1)^s(1-s) - s - s + 1 + s(-1)^s = -2s + (-1)^s + 1 \neq 0 \text{ since } 1 - p < s < p.$$

Follows from Case II,  $\bar{I} = \bar{A}$ .

Case IV. If  $h(\bar{t}) = \sum_{i=1-p}^p a_i \bar{t}^i = \bar{t}^l$ , where  $l < p$ . If  $l < p - 1$ , then

$$[\bar{t}^l, \bar{t}^p, \bar{t}^{l+1}] = \{(-1)^l(2l+1) + 1\} \bar{t}^p \in \bar{I}, \text{ and } (-1)^l(2l+1) + 1 \neq 0, \text{ we obtain } \bar{t}^p \in \bar{I}.$$

If  $l = p - 1$ , then  $[\bar{t}^{p-1}, \bar{t}^p, \bar{t}^{-p+2}] = 4\bar{t}^p \in \bar{I}$ . Therefore,  $\bar{t}^p \in \bar{I}$ .

Summarizing above discussions,  $\bar{I} = \bar{A}$ . It follows the result.  $\square$

## 6. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

Since the multiple multiplication, constructions of  $n$ -Lie algebras is a continuously difficult problem in the structure theory of  $n$ -Lie algebras, for  $n \geq 3$ .

In [3, 10, 11, 12],  $n$ -Lie algebras are realized by associative commutative algebras and its arbitrary  $n$  pairwise commuting derivations, and linear functions.

Papadopoulos in ([7]) constructed 3-Lie algebras by Dirac  $\gamma$ -matrices. Let  $A$  be spanned by the four-dimensional  $\gamma$ -matrices  $(\gamma^\mu)$  and let  $\gamma^5 = \gamma^1 \dots \gamma^4$ . Then the product

$$(6.1) \quad [x, y, z] = [[x, y]\gamma^5, z], \quad \forall a, b, c \in A.$$

defines a 3-Lie algebra which is isomorphic to the unique simple 3-Lie algebra ([3]).

In [17] 3-Lie algebras are constructed from metric Lie algebras. Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$  be a metric Lie algebra over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , that is,  $B$  is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfying  $B([x, y], z) = -B(y, [x, z])$  for every  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Suppose  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $[x_i, x_j] = \sum_{k=1}^m a_{ij}^k x_k$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . Set

$$(6.2) \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{F}x^0 \oplus \mathbb{F}x^{-1} \quad (\text{the direct sum of vector space}).$$

Then there is a 3-Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  given by

$$(6.3) \quad [x_0, x_i, x_j] = [x_i, x_j], \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m; \quad [x^{-1}, x_i, x_j] = 0, \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq m;$$

$$(6.4) \quad [x_i, x_j, x_k] = \sum_{s=1}^m a_{ij}^s B(x_s, x_k) x^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq m.$$

And  $A$  is a metric 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication (6.4) and (6.5).

In [14], 3-Lie algebras are realized by Lie algebras and linear functions. Let  $(L, [,])$  be a Lie algebra,  $f \in L^*$  satisfying  $f([x, y]) = 0$  for every  $x, y \in L$ . Then  $L$  is a 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication

$$(6.5) \quad [x, y, z]_f = f(x)[y, z] + f(y)[z, x] + f(z)[x, y], \quad \forall x, y, z \in L.$$

And it is proved in [14] that every  $m$ -dimensional 3-Lie algebras can be obtained by the multiplication (6.2) and (6.6) for  $m \leq m$ .

Awata, Li and et al in [18] constructed a 2-step solvable 3-Lie algebra from  $(n \times n)$ -matrices. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m, \mathbb{F})$  be the general linear Lie algebra. Then there is a 3-Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined by

$$(6.6) \quad [A, B, C] = (trA)[B, C] + (trB)[C, A] + (trC)[A, B], \quad \forall A, B, C \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

In this paper we construct 3-Lie algebras by associative commutative algebras and their derivations and involutions. From Example 4.1 and 4.2 we can obtain 3-Lie algebras from any  $\alpha \in Hom(G^+, F^+)$  which is not isomorphic to the 3-Lie algebra obtain by Eq.(6.7), where  $G$  is the set of all  $(n \times n)$ -matrices over a field  $F$ .

So we may provide a problem that is how can we realize 3-Lie algebras by Lie algebras, and associative commutative algebras with multilinear functions and general linear mappings.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author (R. Bai) was supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (A2010000194).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Nambu, Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 7 (1973) 2405-2412.
- [2] L. Takhtajan, On foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 160 (1994) 295-315.
- [3] V.T. Filippov,  $n$ -Lie algebras, *Sib. Mat. Zh.*, 26 (1985) 126-140.
- [4] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, Modeling multiple  $M2$ s, *Phys. Rev. D* 75 (2007) 045020 [hep-th/0611108]; Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple  $M2$ -branes, *Phys. Rev. D* 77 (2008) 065008 [arXiv:0711.0955]; Comments on multiple  $M2$ -branes, *JHEP* 02 (2008) 105 [arXiv:0712.3738].
- [5] P. Ho, R. Hou and Y. Matsuo, Lie 3-algebra and multiple  $M_2$ -branes, arXiv: 0804.2110.

- [6] P. Ho, M. Chebotar and W. Ke, On skew-symmetric maps on Lie algebras, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh A* 113 (2003) 1273-1281.
- [7] A. Gustavsson, Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes, arXiv: 0709.1260.
- [8] G. Papadopoulos, M2-branes, 3-Lie algebras and Plucker relations, arXiv: 0804.2662.
- [9] V.T. Filippov, On  $n$ -Lie algebra of Jzcpbians, *J. Siberian Mathe.*, 39(3) (1998): 576-581.
- [10] A.S. Dzhumadildaev, Identities and derivations for Jacobian algebras, arXiv: 0202040v1[math.RA]
- [11] A. P. Pozhidaev, Monomial  $n$ -Lie algebras, *Algebra and Logic*, 37(5) (1998): 307-322.
- [12] A. P. Pozhidaev, Simple  $n$ -Lie algebras, *Algebra and Logic*, 38(3) (1999): 181-192.
- [13] R. Bai, Y. Wu, J. Li and H. Zhou, Constructing  $(n + 1)$ -Lie algebras from  $n$ -Lie algebras, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 45 (2012)
- [14] R. Bai, C. Bai and J. Wang, Realizations of 3-Lie algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* 51, 063505 (2010). 475206.
- [15] S. Kasymov, On a theory of  $n$ -Lie algebras, *Algebra i Logika* 26 (1987) 277-297.
- [16] J.T. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, Deformations of Lie algebras using  $\sigma$ -derivations, arXiv: 5036 2003
- [17] P. Ho, Y. Imamura, Y. Matsuo, M2 to D2 revisited, *J. High Energy Phys.* 0807,003 2008; e-print arXiv:0805.1202.
- [18] H. Awata, M. Li, D. Minic and T. Yoneya, On the quantization of Nambu brackets, *JHEP* 2 (2001) 013 (17pp).

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING 071002,  
P.R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* bairuipu@hbu.cn

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING 071002,  
P.R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* wuyg1022@sina.com