

Does the first part of the second law also imply its second part?

N.D. Hari Dass

Chennai Mathematical Institute, Chennai, India

CQIQC, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Email: dass@cmi.ac.in, dass@cts.iisc.ernet.in

ABSTRACT: Sommerfeld called the *first part* of the second law to be the *entropy axiom*, which is about the existence of the state function *entropy*. It was usually thought that the *second part* of the second law, which is about the non-decreasing nature of entropy of thermally isolated systems, did not follow from the first part. In this note, we point out the surprise that the first part in fact implies the second part.

KEYWORDS: Thermodynamics, Entropy, Second Law.

Contents

1. The Entropy Axiom: the first part of the second law	1
2. A bonanza from first law for ideal gases	1
3. A consequence of the entropy axiom	2
4. Entropy Axiom and Universality of Carnot Cycles	3

1. The Entropy Axiom: the first part of the second law

Historically, the element of *directionality* inherent in all thermal phenomena i.e that heat only flows from hotter to colder bodies, was stated most admirably through Clausius's formulation of the second law on the one hand, and Kelvin's formulation on the other. Planck and Maxwell added their formulations which were essentially the same as these two, but stated differently, laying emphasis on one aspect rather than the other. All of them were expressions of *the impossibility* of the so called *perpetual machines of the second kind*.

In this note, we shall start with a very different approach; this is the perceptive remark by Sommerfeld [1] that there are two *distinct* aspects of the second law. What he calls the first part is what can be called the *entropy axiom*, which postulates a state function that is now called *entropy*. To the author's surprise, the first part turns out to be fully equivalent to the entire second law! This will be explained in detail. As will be seen, the entropy axiom is not some arbitrarily picked postulate; for ideal gases, this is in fact a *consequence* of the first law.

2. A bonanza from first law for ideal gases

As is well known, Joule's famous experiment on free expansion implies that for *ideal gases*, the internal energy U is a function of T only. In fact, this should be taken as an *independent* characterization of ideal gases from the gas law $PV = nRT$; it is independent because it can not be derived from the gas laws without further assumptions. Now let us consider $\frac{dQ}{T}$; according to the first law, considering only mechanical work,

$$\frac{dQ}{T} = \frac{dU(T)}{T} + nR\frac{dV}{V} = dA(T) + nRd\ln V \quad (2.1)$$

where $U = \frac{dA(T)}{dT}$. This is a *remarkable* result which states that for ideal gases, $\frac{dQ}{T}$ is indeed a perfect differential even though dQ was not. This means that $\int \frac{dQ}{T}$ is yet another state

function. The interesting question is whether this is just an *accident* valid only for ideal gases? Before attempting an answer to it, let us call $\frac{dQ}{T}$ as the perfect differential dS , where S shall be called *entropy*. Clausius introduced this concept in 1865, fifteen years after he formulated the first and second laws. The entropy for the ideal gas, from above, is

$$S = \int \frac{dU(T)}{T} + nR \ln V + S_0 \quad (2.2)$$

with S_0 being an *undetermined constant*. When C_V of the ideal gas is constant, this becomes

$$S = nC_V \ln T + nR \ln V + S_0 \quad S = nC_P \ln T - nR \ln P + S'_0 \quad (2.3)$$

The existence of entropy as a state function is what Sommerfeld calls *the entropy axiom*. We have seen that for ideal gases this is not really an axiom, and is in fact a direct consequence of first law when combined with the two laws for ideal gases. In general, U will not be a function of T alone and it is clear that the entropy axiom will not always be valid.

3. A consequence of the entropy axiom

To explore the status of the entropy axiom for other than the ideal gases, let us consider gases obeying the van der Waals equation:

$$\left(P + \frac{an^2}{V^2}\right)(V - nb) = nRT \quad (3.1)$$

If we consider in particular the so called *ideal* vdW gases, for which $C_V = \text{const.}$, it is not hard to see that for the choice $U(V, T) = nC_V T - \frac{an^2}{V}$, $\frac{dQ}{T}$ is again a perfect differential. This continues to be so as long as $U(V, T) = f(T) - \frac{an^2}{V}$, but for choices other than these, $\frac{dQ}{T}$ is indeed not an exact differential.

Therefore what the entropy axiom does on one hand is restrict the possible choices of internal energy. In fact, one can obtain a precise expression of this restriction by simultaneously demanding that dU as well as $\frac{dQ}{T}$ are perfect differentials. Taking (V, T) as the independent variables, these integrability conditions are, respectively,

$$\left(\frac{\partial C_V}{\partial V}\right)_T = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_T\right)_V \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_V\right)_T = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \frac{1}{T} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_T + P \right\}\right)_V \quad (3.3)$$

Simplifying this and using the previous equation, one arrives at one of the most important equations of thermodynamics i.e

$$\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)_T = T \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_V - P \quad (3.4)$$

Eqn.(3.4) can be taken to be equivalent to the entropy axiom when the first law is valid.

When applied to an ideal gas for which $PV = nRT$, it is seen that this equation would require $(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V})_T = 0$ which is the same as U being a function of T alone. Earlier we had shown the converse i.e when U is a function of T alone, the entropy axiom is satisfied. In the vdW case too, the particular form of $U(V, T) = f(T) - \frac{an^2}{V}$, is indeed a solution of eqn.(3.4)!

The discussion of the entropy axiom so far seems rather mathematically oriented, without any obvious physical significance. Actually, the entropy axiom has very deep physical significance, perhaps one of the deepest in physics! To bring this out, we first demonstrate an *equivalence* between the entropy axiom, and *universality* of Carnot cycles.

4. Entropy Axiom and Universality of Carnot Cycles




Figure 1: The Carnot engine obeying first law and its reverse

Within the caloric theory, Carnot had reached the very important conclusion that all ideal heat engines *must* have universal *efficiency* if energy conservation (impossibility of perpetual mobiles of first kind, according to Carnot) is to be respected. Now, with the new paradigm of interconvertability of heat and work, it is pertinent to raise afresh the issue of the universality of all ideal Carnot cycles.

But unlike in the caloric theory, now the Carnot cycle is characterized by the amount of heat Q_H absorbed by the system at the higher temperature T_H , and the heat $Q_L < Q_H$ relinquished at the lower temperature T_L . Even in the new theory, the notion of efficiency can still be kept to mean the amount of work performed per heat absorbed at the higher temperature.

The important difference from the caloric theory is that the heat relinquished at the lower temperature is no longer the same as that absorbed at the higher temperature, but is in fact reduced by the amount of work performed. Consequently, the efficiency is given by $e = \frac{\Delta W}{Q_H} = \frac{Q_H - Q_L}{Q_H}$. What is not clear a priori is that in the new theory, the ratio $\frac{Q_L}{Q_H}$ is universal for all ideal heat engines.

What, if any, would go wrong if the efficiencies of all ideal heat engines were not the same? Precisely the same kind of analysis that Carnot carried out earlier can be done now too. The ideal Carnot cycle and its reverse are shown in the next figure. In the reverse

engine, heat Q_L is absorbed at the lower temperature and $Q_H > Q_L$ is exhausted at the higher temperature after work equal to $Q_H - Q_L = eQ_H$ has been performed *on* the system. If there were two ideal Carnot engines C, C' with efficiencies $e, e' > e$, then a composite of C' with the reverse of C (see figure) would extract an amount of heat $(e' - e)Q_H$ from the lower reservoir and convert it *completely* to work W of same magnitude, without expelling *any* heat at the higher reservoir. In other words, a *perpetuum mobile of the second kind* would be possible! This can only be avoided if the efficiencies of all ideal Carnot cycles, even in the new theory, are the same.




Figure 2: Universality of the Carnot cycles




Figure 3: Universality of the Carnot cycles

This line of thinking, as Carnot had already demonstrated earlier, puts severe restrictions on efficiencies of *nonideal* (i.e. not reversible) heat engines too. While the reverse of an irreversible (nonideal) Carnot cycle cannot be used, the argument can still be made use of with the irreversible Carnot cycle in combination with the reverse of a reversible cycle; therefore, if the efficiency of an irreversible cycle *exceeds* that of an ideal Carnot cycle, one can still construct a perpetual mobile of the second kind. Therefore one can conclude that *efficiency of an irreversible engine has to be necessarily lower than a reversible engine*.

We will now proceed to determine the conditions for the universality of ideal engines. We can just use the same calculus that Clausius used to establish the existence of internal energy U . So, considering an *infinitesimal Carnot cycle*, the efficiency de is given by $de = \frac{\Delta W}{N(V,T)\delta_T V}$. On using the results previously obtained, one gets $de = \frac{1}{N(V,T)} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T} \right)_V \delta T$. Clausius is supposed to have been aware that for ideal gases $N(V,T) = P$. This is also what follows from Joule's experiment on expansions of ideal gases. In the light of the first law, this implies that for ideal gases U is a function of T only, and consequently $dU(T) = C_V(T)dT$. On combining this with eqn(2.11) one concludes that $N = P$. Therefore, for ideal gases

$$de = \frac{1}{P} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T} \right)_V dT = \frac{dT}{T} \quad (4.1)$$

This is how Clausius fixed the universal Carnot function in the earlier Clapeyron equation to be $\mu(T) = \frac{1}{T}$ leading to the modern form of the Clapeyron equation which should aptly be called the *Clausius-Clapeyron equation*. However, to avoid confusion because of this equation being referred to in current literature as *Clapeyron equation*, we shall also continue with that practice with the understanding that the latter is a shorthand for the former. It is of course to be recalled that the earlier Clapeyron equation was based on

the now defunct caloric theory, whereas Clausius derivation is what follows from the new theory of heat; it is just that many quantities (but of course not all) were insensitive to the actual nature of heat!

Now the requirement of universality of efficiencies of all ideal heat engines means that in particular they must equal the efficiency of all ideal heat engines based on ideal gases as the working substance, and one gets the extremely important consequence that $N(V, T) = T \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T} \right)_V$ for all thermodynamic systems! On the other hand, $\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \right)_T = N - P$. Therefore, universality of efficiencies of Carnot engines, in the light of the first law, requires that

$$\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \right)_T = T \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T} \right)_V - P \quad (4.2)$$

Lo and behold, this is nothing but the condition for entropy axiom! In other words, *the entropy axiom is equivalent to the condition of universality of all Carnot engines*. This is the underlying physical significance of the entropy axiom. But since the universality is also equivalent to the impossibility of perpetual mobiles of the second kind, we draw the powerful conclusion that the entropy axiom, what Sommerfeld called the first part of the second law, is equivalent to the impossibility of perpetual mobiles of second kind. But the latter is one of the formulations of the *second law of thermodynamics*, what Sommerfeld would have called the *second part of the second law*. Therefore, the entropy axiom is not just the first part of the second law, it is, at the same time, also its second part!

However, most people recognize second law in the form where it states that *entropy of a thermally isolated system never decreases*. As the system while executing a Carnot cycle is certainly not *thermally isolated* (except during the adiabatic stages), one can not immediately see the consequence of the second law, so formulated, for a Carnot cycle. Instead, we shall focus on the so called *Clausius inequality* which we shall later see to be equivalent to the form of the second law so stated. This inequality states that for *any* cycle, not necessarily reversible, the following is always true:

$$\oint \frac{dQ}{T} \leq 0 \quad (4.3)$$

The equality holding only for reversible cycles. It is very important to stress that though $\frac{dQ}{T}$ is a perfect differential, the integral of this over a path is the entropy difference between the endpoints only if the path is reversible. That is why even though $\frac{dQ}{T}$ has been integrated over a closed path in eqn.(4.3), the rhs is not zero! Furthermore, the rhs can be *negative* so a naive interpretation of the integral as a change of entropy would actually imply an entropy decrease! We shall return to a fuller discussion of these subtleties shortly.

We shall now demonstrate that the entropy axiom, through its equivalence to the impossibility of perpetual mobiles of the second kind via its equivalence to the universality of ideal Carnot cycles, indeed yields the Clausius inequality, *without any further assumptions*. For that we make use of Clausius's own ingenious construction.

Consider an *arbitrary* cycle \tilde{C} , not necessarily a reversible ones. In executing this, let the system start at A_1 , and absorb an amount of heat $(\Delta Q)_1$ during the segment $A_1 A_2$ at temperature T_1 . The cycle is completed by absorbing $(\Delta Q)_2$ during $A_2 A_3$ at T_2 , and so

on, till the system returns to its starting state A_1 by absorbing $(\Delta Q)_n$ during $A_n A_1$ at T_n . The sign of (ΔQ) can be positive or negative.




Figure 4: Proving the Clausius inequality.

Clausius's ingenuity lay in picturing the heat absorbed at each stage as the heat relinquished during a *reversible* Carnot cycle operating between some arbitrary temperature T_0 and the temperature of the stage of \tilde{C} during which the heat was absorbed. Clearly, there are, in addition to the cycle \tilde{C} , n Carnot cycles C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n operating between T_0 and the temperatures T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n . This is schematically shown in the figure for the stage $A_i A_{i+1}$.

So, the cycle \tilde{C} starts at A_1 and at the same time C_1 starts at E_1 and goes through the reversible Carnot cycle by eventually delivering $(\Delta Q)_1$ to \mathcal{C} during $A_1 A_2$ at temperature T_1 . It must therefore absorb $\frac{T_0}{T_1}(\Delta Q)_1$ from the reservoir at T_0 . After \tilde{C} and C_1, \dots, C_n have been completed, all have returned to their original states; the total heat absorbed from the *single* reservoir at T_0 being

$$\Delta Q = T_0 \sum_i \frac{(\Delta Q)_i}{T_i} \quad (4.4)$$

and this is completely converted to work, with no other changes. If this heat were *positive*, we would indeed have realized a perpetual machine of the second kind. On the other hand, if this heat were *negative*, the work done would have been *on* the system, and this would only have amounted to a *refrigerator*, with no contradictions. The case when $\Delta Q = 0$ does not also contradict anything.

In conclusion, the entropy axiom is completely equivalent to a) universality of all ideal heat engines, b) the impossibility of perpetual machines of second kind, and consequently, c) Clausius inequality of eqn.(4.3). The standard form of the second law stating that entropy of thermally isolated systems never decreases emerges from the Clausius inequality, as expounded in several books on Thermodynamics..

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges support from the Department of Science and Technology to the project IR/S2/PU-001/2008.

References

- [1] A. Sommerfeld, *Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics*, Levant Books, Kolkata 2005(Reprinted from the original).