Index for Assessing Water Trophic Status in Semi-Enclosed Cuban Bays. Case Study: Cienfuegos Bay

Mabel Seisdedo^a, Roberto Herrera^{a,b}, Gustavo Arencibia^c

^aCentre of Environmental Studies of Cienfuegos Calle 17 esq. Ave 46 s/n. Reparto Reina, Cienfuegos 55100, Cuba. ^bDepartment of Physics, University of Alberta. Edmonton, AB. T6G 2E1. Canada ^cCuban Fisheries Research Centre. 5ta Ave y calle 246. Santa Fe. C.P.17100. Ciudad de La Habana, Cuba.

Abstract

This paper aims at contributing to the coastal environmental management by developing a new trophic status index of the water (TSIW). The index is tailored to semi-enclosed bays with estuarine characteristic like the Cienfuegos bay in Cuba. We also propose pressure indicators related to exporting and assimilation capacities as a tool to assess the vulnerability of the system to eutrophication. The TSIW is based on response indicators to eutrophication processes showing correspondence with the predefined pressure indicators and previous reports on water quality. Thus, the proposed trophic status index is a reliable scientific tool to measure the current stage of the water quality and to establish a baseline for further studies.

Keywords: trophic status index, eutrophication, estuarine water, response indicators, coastal management

1. Introduction

Semi-enclosed bays often have estuarine characteristics due to the little exchange with marine waters and freshwater inputs from the rivers. Eutrophication of estuarine systems is a widespread environmental problem (Cook et al., 2010). Consequences of eutrophication can include high chlorophyll a (Chl.a) levels, anoxia and hypoxia events, and blooms of toxic and noxious algae (Kennish, 2002; Rabalais, 2002; Beman et al., 2005).

According to the conceptual contemporary model of the coastal eutrophication phase II (Cloern, 2001), the ecological impact of nutrient loading on a coastal system depends on some of the system attributes (e.g. morphology and residence time of the water body). This could be related to the inefficiency of applying trophic status indices for estuarine systems (Coelho et al., 2007; Salas et al., 2008) without taking into account differences among systems. In Cuba, several studies have suggested eutrophication-like symptoms in semi-enclosed bays, such as high Chl.a. levels and toxic algae blooms events (Reyes, 2008; Moreira et al., 2007).

Areces-Mallea (1986) first reported the level of water eutrophication for the Cienfuegos bay using a global sense trophic status. More recently, Seisdedo et al. (2009) applying another method, classified the system with noneutrophic water conditions. On the other hand, Reyes (2008) with different approaches for assessing the trophic status of water in five Cuban bays found inconsistencies in the assessments.

Estuarine management activity relies on the assessments of water quality from values of trophic status. The trophic status of one system is compared to similar systems, as well as to its own evolution (e.g. changing pressures due to management actions). However, there is no reliable tool to assess the water trophic status in Cuban semi-enclosed bays. We propose new trophic status index based on response indicators of eutrophication.

The paper is organized as follow. First, we describe the location of our case study with the developing of the trophic status index. Then, we discuss our results providing the means to use the proposed index in Cuban semi-enclosed bays. Finally we discuss our results.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study area

The Cienfuegos bay is situated in the southern central part of Cuba (22°1′ N, 80°20′ W, see Figure 1). It is a semi-enclosed bay connected to the Caribbean Sea by a narrow channel 3.6 km long. Its area is 88.46 km² and a total volume of 0.84 km³ with an average depth of 9.5 m (Muñoz et al., 2012). The bay is divided into two natural lobes. The northern lobe suffers more anthropogenic impact: e.g. sewage discharges from the city and industrial area and the Salado and Damují rivers draining to the bay. The southern lobe is subjected to a lesser degree of pollution arriving from the Caonao and Arimao rivers.




Figure 1: Study area and sampling points location. Cienfuegos Bay, Cuba

2.2. Developing trophic status index of water (TSIW)

The selection of the variables to include in the trophic status index (TSIW) is based on the frequency of appearance on previous studies (e.g. (Karydis, 2009; Vollenweider et al., 1998; Primpas et al., 2010)) and their applications (e.g. (Coelho et al., 2007; Reyes, 2008; Flores Montes et al., 2011)). We consider 50 or more as a valuable frequency. Only response variables are selected since causal variables (nutrients) require specific criteria for each system (USEPA, 2001). The variable criteria for TSIW were based on the exposed levels indicating eutrophication in estuarine systems (Bricker et al., 2003; USEPA, 2001; Cook et al., 2010). In order to combine the variables indicating status we follow CCME (2001) and the number of indicators

was defined considering the results of non parametric correlation analysis (Spearman) using selected variables from data of hydrographical surveys in Cienfuegos bay in 2009.

2.3. Testing the TSIW

Testing is a key stage in the development of any index to ensure that it is sensitive to environmental degradation and reliable, i.e. robust to the effects of natural variability (Harrison and Whitfield, 2004). The index sensitivity should be determined by testing its ability to correctly classify the health status of sites from an independent data set (Lacouture et al., 2006; Astin, 2007). This may be by determining the correlation between index scores and independently-derived indices of environmental quality related to anthropogenic pressures (Griffith et al., 2005; Romero et al., 2007; Uriarte and Borja, 2009). On the other hand, the index reliability may be tested considering their differences among sites, seasons or years (Brooks et al., 2009; Pyron et al., 2008; Martinho et al., 2008). The absence of annual nutrient load data limits the correlation analysis. This is the reason why in this study we define pressure indicators by analyzing their correspondence with the TSIW results. We apply our TSIW using hydrographical surveys data from Cienfuegos bay. Sampling was conducted on fourteen stations on February and September 2011; months were selected to correspond to dry and wet seasons, respectively. The sampling methods and chemical analysis follow Eaton and Franson (2005) and UNEP (1988) specifications.

We used expert criteria to define the pressure indicators (PI) based on some proposals (USEPA, 2001; Bricker et al., 2003; Cardoso and Carmona, 2004). For the classification criteria we take into account the data collected on two semi-enclosed bays with similar physical and environmental characteristics (Muñoz et al., 2012; Pérez, M. and García, E. and Simón, Y., 2008; Seisdedo and Arencibia, 2010), and previous assessments of water trophic status (Reyes, 2008; Seisdedo and Arencibia, 2010). We follow the methodology proposed by Cardoso and Carmona (2004), which fits our analysis including a wide range of variables, such as morphology, temperature and salinity variability, uses and quality objectives.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Formulation of the TSIW

Frequency analysis (Figure 2), helps to select the independent variables Chl.a. and dissolved oxygen (DO), which are direct and indirect response variables, respectively (USEPA, 2001; Cloern, 2001). DO is considered hereafter as saturation percent (satDO, bottom), the same as in most of the applications. Figure 2 also shows that Chl.a stands out as the principal variable to use as a trophic status indicator (Boyer et al., 2009) and the nutrients (causal variables) are used often in their different ways. On the other hand, we found no correlation between Chl.a. and satOD (r= -0.074, p=0.59, N=54). This result is in correspondence with USEPA (2001), and could be associated with temporal difference of the response of Chl.a. and satDO during the eutrophication process (Cloern, 2001).




Figure 2: Frequency of appearance of system variables in approaches of trophic status indices and their applications.

Therefore we considered that eutrophication process could be described by two independent but complementary variables (Chl.a and satDO). Thus, the trophic status index of water is formulated as:

$$TSIW = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{DRI^2 + IRI^2},\tag{1}$$

where the direct response indicator is DRI = c(Chl.a), and the indirect response indicator is IRI = c(DO); with c(x) the concentration of the variable x. $1/\sqrt{2}$ is a normalizing factor to bound the TSIW value between 0 and 1. TSIW reaches its maximum value when both DRI and IRI are 1.

DRI = 0 when $c(\text{Chl.a}) \leq 20 \ \mu g L^{-1}$; DRI = 1 when $c(\text{Chl.a}) > 20 \ \mu g L^{-1}$; IRI = 0 when c(satDO) > 30 %; IRI = 1 when $c(\text{satDO}) \leq 30 \%$. Therefore, we proposed that quality criteria based on trophic status should consider satDO $\leq 30 \%$, and Chl.a $\geq 20 \ \mu g L^{-1}$.

3.2. Selection of PI

Table 1 shows the classifications for both similar bays using criteria of two pressure indicators (USEPA, 2001; Cardoso and Carmona, 2004). Only one of the indicators shows variability. This suggested the need for a better pressure indicator; in Table 2 we proposed a new indicator of assimilation capacity which considers two factors: dilution capacity and nutrient loads. This indicator describes better the variations on the nutrients and compares best with USEPA (2001).

Table 1: Analysis of susceptibility factors in two similar Cuban semi-enclosed bays.

Semi-enclosed	Dilution criteria	Criteria: exporting	Classification
bays	$1/V_e st \ (\mathrm{m}^3)$	capacity, t_{res} (days)	trophic status
Cienfuegos bay	Low (10^{-9})	Mod-Low (39-50)	Non-eutrophic*
Havana bay	Low (10^{-8})	High (7 - 9)	Eutrophic

^{*} Punctual eutrophic conditions in the season with low exporting capacity.

Table 2: Proposal of classification of new pressure indicator based on results of two similar Cuban semi-enclosed bays.

Semi-enclosed	Criteria: assimilation capacity	Classification
bays	$Q/V_{est} (x 10^{-9} ton/m^3)$	
Cienfuegos bay	< 20 (2)*	High
Havana bay	$\geq 20 \ (200)^*$	Low

^{*():} Assimilation capacity value for each Bay.

3.3. Application of TSIW

During the dry season, IRI values were 0 in all sampling stations and IDI values were 0 except in the station 9, which showed the maxima value of Chl.a

(see Table 3). During the wet season, only IRI values were 1 in two of the northern lobe stations (5 and 9). The northern lobe is known to have higher anthropogenic impact (Tolosa et al., 2009) and there are sectors where the water exchange process is very slow (Muñoz et al., 2012). Previous studies have also reported signals of detrimental water quality in the northern lobe (Areces-Mallea, 1986; Seisdedo et al., 2006). Although TSIW values of 0 prevailed (non-eutrophic condition) in both seasons, some stations showed eutrophic conditions.

Table 3: Concentrations of selected variables, in Cienfuegos bay (2009-2010).

Season	Interval of satDO (%)	Interval of Chl.a (%)
dry	57.4 - 103.1	0.72 - 38.2
wet	17.7 - 114.1	1.01 - 10.8

Some authors relate eutrophication events to longer residence time of water (Herrera 2006; Jayachandran & Bijoy 2012), however, our results showed that moderate exporting capacity also represents a big risk of eutrophication. This could be associated with the higher (i.e. doubled) nutrient concentrations reported by Seisdedo et al. (2009) in the wet season. Finally, the trophic assessments using the TSIW showed correspondence with pressure indicators. Eutrophic conditions can be obtained in some areas when at least one of the pressure indicators is not high. The effectiveness of the index was confirmed by its correspondence with previous reports on water quality.

4. Conclusions

Semi-enclosed bays need specific trophic status indices to help improve the coastal environmental management. In this study we have demonstrated that TSIW is a reliable indicator of the water quality for the semi-enclosed Bay of Cienfuegos. The indicators related to exporting and assimilation capacity are useful tools for testing the effectiveness of the trophic status index, as well as to assess the vulnerability of the system to eutrophication events.

References

Areces-Mallea, A., 1986. Bahía de Cienfuegos: eutroficación y planeamiento ambiental. Reporte de investigación del Instituto de Oceanología: Instituto de Oceanología. Academia de Ciencias de Cuba.

- Astin, L. E., 2007. Developing biological indicators from diverse data: The potomac basin-wide index of benthic integrity (b-ibi). Ecological Indicators 7 (4), 895 908.
- Beman, M. J., Arrigo, K. R., Matson, P., 2005. Agricultural runoff fuels large phytoplankton blooms in vulnerable areas of the ocean. Nature 434 (7030), 211–4.
- Boyer, J. N., Kelble, C. R., Ortner, P. B., Rudnick, D. T., Nov. 2009. Phytoplankton bloom status: Chlorophyll a biomass as an indicator of water quality condition in the southern estuaries of Florida, USA. Ecological Indicators 9 (6), S56–S67.
- Bricker, S., Ferreira, J., Simas, T., 2003. An integrated methodology for assessment of estuarine trophic status. Ecological Modelling 169 (1), 39 60.
- Brooks, R., McKenney-Easterling, M., Brinson, M., Rheinhardt, R., Havens, K., OBrien, D., Bishop, J., Rubbo, J., Armstrong, B., Hite, J., 2009. A streamwetlandriparian (swr) index for assessing condition of aquatic ecosystems in small watersheds along the atlantic slope of the eastern u.s. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 150 (1-4), 101–117.
- Cardoso, M., Carmona, A., 2004. Environmental indicators as tools for the management of estuaries Methodology and case study of the Tejo estuary. Journal of Coastal Conservation 10 (1), 13–24.
- CCME, 2001. Recommandations canadiennes pour la qualité des eaux: protection de la vie aquatique. Tech. rep., CCME.
- Cloern, J. E., 2001. Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem. Marine Ecology-progress Series 210, 223–253.
- Coelho, S., Gamito, S., Prez-Ruzafa, A., 2007. Trophic state of Foz de Almargem coastal lagoon (Algarve, South Portugal) based on the water quality and the phytoplankton community. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 71 (12), 218 231.
- Cook, P. L. M., Holland, D. P., Longmore, A. R., 2010. Effect of a flood event on the dynamics of phytoplankton and biogeochemistry in a large temperate Australian lagoon. Limnology and Oceanography 55, 1123–1133.

- Eaton, A., Franson, M., 2005. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American Public Health Association.
- Flores Montes, M., Paulo, J., do Nascimento, G., Gaspar, F., Feitosa, F., Santos, A., Batista, T., Travassos, R., Pitanga, M., 2011. The Trophic Status of an Urban Estuarine Complex in Northeast Brazil. Journal of Coastal Research (SI-64), 408–411.
- Griffith, M. B., Hill, B. H., McCormick, F. H., Kaufmann, P. R., Herlihy, A. T., Selle, A. R., 2005. Comparative application of indices of biotic integrity based on periphyton, macroinvertebrates, and fish to southern Rocky Mountain streams. Ecological Indicators 5, 117–136.
- Harrison, T. D., Whitfield, A. K., 2004. A multi-metric fish index to assess the environmental condition of estuaries. Journal of Fish Biology 65, 683–710.
- Karydis, M., 2009. Eutrophication assessment of coastal waters based on indicators: a literature review. Global NEST Journal 11 (4), 373–390.
- Kennish, M. J., 2002. Environmental threats and environmental future of estuaries. Environmental Conservation 29.
- Lacouture, R. V., Johnson, J. M., Buchanan, C., Marshall, H. G., 2006. Phytoplankton index of biotic integrity for Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries. Estuaries and Coasts 29, 598–616.
- Martinho, F., Viegas, I., Dolbeth, M., Leito, R., Cabral, H. N., Pardal, M. A., 2008. Assessing estuarine environmental quality using fish-based indices: Performance evaluation under climatic instability. Marine Pollution Bulletin 56, 1834–1843.
- Moreira, A., Seisdedo, M., Leal, S., Comas, A., 2007. Composición y abundancia del fitoplancton de la Bahía de Cienfuegos, Cuba. Rev. Invest. Mar 28 (2), 97–109.
- Muñoz, A., Douillet, P., Garcia, O. D., Fichez, R., Herrera, R. H., Alcantara Carrio, J., 2012. Flushing time in the cienfuegos bay, Cuba. Natural Resource Modeling 25 (3), 434–455.

- Pérez, M. and García, E. and Simón, Y., 2008. Sistema de información geográfica para el manejo de las fuentes contaminantes puntuales de la bahía de La Habana. Transporte, Desarrollo y Medio Ambiente 28 (1), 38—42.
- Primpas, I., Tsirtsis, G., Karydis, M., Kokkoris, G. D., 2010. Principal component analysis: Development of a multivariate index for assessing eutrophication according to the European water framework directive. Ecological Indicators 10, 178–183.
- Pyron, M., Lauer, T. E., LeBlanc, D., Weitzel, D., Gammon, J. R., 2008. Temporal and spatial variation in an index of biological integrity for the middle Wabash River, Indiana. Hydrobiologia 600, 205–214.
- Rabalais, N. N., 2002. Nitrogen in Aquatic Ecosystems. Ambio 31, 102–112.
- Reyes, T., 2008. Niveles de eutrofización en las bahías de La Habana, Mariel, Matanzas, Cárdenas y Puerto Padre, Cuba. Ph.D. thesis, Universidad de La Habana. La Habana, Cuba.
- Romero, J., Martnez-Crego, B., Alcoverro, T., Prez, M., 2007. A multivariate index based on the seagrass Posidonia oceanica (POMI) to assess ecological status of coastal waters under the water framework directive (WFD). Marine Pollution Bulletin 55, 196–204.
- Salas, F., Teixeira, H., Marcos, C., Marques, J. C., Perez-Ruzafa, A., 2008. Applicability of the trophic index TRIX in two transitional ecosystems: the Mar Menor lagoon (Spain) and the Mondego estuary (Portugal). Ices Journal of Marine Science 65, 1442–1448.
- Seisdedo, M., Arencibia, G., 2010. Estimación de carga contaminante de nutrientes en la bahía de Cienfuegos. Revista Transporte. Desarrollo y Medio ambiente 30 (64), 33–37.
- Seisdedo, M., Herrera, R., Arencibia, G., 2009. Evaluación del estado trófico de las aguas de la Bahía de Cienfuegos, Cuba (2004-2007). Mapping (137), 24–28.
- Seisdedo, M., Pérez, M., Muñoz, A., 2006. Análisis comparativo del comportamiento de las características físico-químicas de la Bahía de Cienfuegos, Cuba. Mapping (112), 90–94.

- Tolosa, I., Mesa-Albernas, M., Alonso-Hernandez, C. M., 2009. Inputs and sources of hydrocarbons in sediments from Cienfuegos bay, Cuba. Marine Pollution Bulletin 58, 1624–1634.
- UNEP, 1988. Standard chemical methods for marine environmental monitoring. Regional seas: Reference methods for marine pollution studies. UNEP.
- Uriarte, A., Borja, A., 2009. Assessing fish quality status in transitional waters, within the European Water Framework Directive: Setting boundary classes and responding to anthropogenic pressures. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 82, 214–224.
- USEPA, 2001. Nutrient Criteria Technical Guidance Manual. Estuarine and Coastal Marine Waters. EPA-822-B-01-003. Tech. Rep. October, United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- Vollenweider, R. A., Giovanardi, F., Montanari, G., Rinaldi, A., 1998. Characterization of the trophic conditions of marine coastal waters with special reference to the NW Adriatic Sea: proposal for a trophic scale, turbidity and generalized water quality index. Environmetrics 9, 329–357.