

Numerical gradient schemes for heat equations based on the collocation polynomial and Hermite interpolation^{*}

Hou-Biao Li^{*}, Ming-Yan Song, Er-Jie Zhong, Xian-Ming Gu

School of Mathematics Sciences, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, 611731, P. R. China

Abstract

As is well-known, the advantage of the high-order compact difference scheme (H-OCD) is unconditionally stable and convergent with the order $O(\tau^2 + h^4)$ under the maximum norm. In this article, a new numerical gradient scheme based on the collocation polynomial and Hermite interpolation is presented. Moreover, the convergence order of this kind of method is also $O(\tau^2 + h^4)$ under the discrete maximum norm when the space step size is just twice the one of H-OCD method, which accelerates the computational process and makes the result much smoother to some extent. In addition, some corresponding analyses are made and the Richardson extrapolation technique is also considered in time direction. The results of numerical experiments are also consistent with these theoretical analysis.

Key words: Heat equation; compact difference schemes; numerical gradient; collocation polynomial; Hermite interpolation; Richardson extrapolation

1 Introduction

Recently, a great deal of efforts have been devoted to the development of numerical approximation of heat equation problems (see, [2,5,9,14]). It is well known that

^{*} Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11101071, 1117105, 11271001, 51175443) and the Fundamental Research Funds for China Scholarship Council.

^{*} Corresponding author.

Email address: lihoubiao0189@163.com (Hou-Biao Li).

the traditional numerical schemes have low accuracy, and thus need fine discretization to obtain desired accuracy, which leads to many computational challenges due to the prohibitive computer memory and CPU time requirements (see, [9]).

For heat equations, the forward Euler methods, backward Euler methods and Crank-Nicolson methods were presented in Ref.[5]. In addition, three layer implicit schemes also appeared in Ref.[14]. The forward Euler method and backward method only have one-order accuracy in time and two-order accuracy in space. Also, the forward Euler method is not stable when $c\tau/h^2 > 1/2$. The three layer implicit compact format can reach $O(\tau^2 + h^4)$, but the format is complex. The Crank-Nicolson method has two-order accuracy in time and space, which is not better one compared to the high-order compact difference scheme (see, [9]) with two-order accuracy in time and four-order accuracy in space. The high-order compact difference format (H-OCD) has many advantages such as using less grid backplane points, high accuracy, unconditionally stability with the convergence order $O(\tau^2 + h^4)$ under the maximum norm. Therefore, this scheme plays more and more important role in the numerical solution of partial differential equations and the computational fluid mechanics field (see, [7,10,15]). But the amount of its calculation will increase rapidly with the increase of grid points.

This article will give a new numerical gradient scheme based on the collocation polynomial and Hermite interpolation to overcome the above problem on the high-order compact difference scheme. First, we obtain the intermediate points of the mesh-grid points by cubic and bi-cubic Hermite interpolation. And then, based on these intermediate points, the new explicit scheme on the gradient of the discrete solutions of heat equations is deduced, which will greatly reduce the amount of calculation in the same accuracy as the high-order compact difference schemes.

The outline of the article is organized as follows. In Section 2, the compact difference scheme is derived for one-dimensional heat equations, and the numerical gradient method is presented and then its convergence is analyzed in detail. In Section 3, we generalize the previous one-dimensional numerical gradient scheme to the two-dimensional one, some similar results are obtained. In addition, the Richardson extrapolation on time term is also considered. Finally, some numerical results are reported in Section 4.

2 One-dimensional Numerical Gradient Schemes Based on the Local Hermite Interpolation and Collocation Polynomial

For the convenience of description, let us firstly consider the one-dimensional case and then generalize them to the two-dimensional one.

2.1 The High-Order Compact Difference Scheme in One-dimensional Case

Firstly, let us consider the following one-dimensional heat equation problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) = c \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x, t), & (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), & x \in [0, 1], \\ u(0, t) = g_1(t), \quad u(1, t) = g_2(t), & t \in (0, T], \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where T is a positive number. Denote $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, T]$. In addition, the solution $u(x, t)$ is assumed to be sufficiently smooth and has the required continuous partial derivative.

Next, let us recall the compact difference scheme, which has been introduced in Ref. [10].

Let $\Omega_h = \{x_j | x_j = jh, 0 \leq j \leq N\}$ be a uniform partition of $[0, 1]$ with the mesh size $h = 1/N$ and $\Omega_\tau = \{t_k | t_k = k\tau, 0 \leq k \leq M\}$ is a uniform partition of $[0, T]$ with the time step size $\tau = T/M$. We denote $\Omega_{h\tau} = \Omega_h \times \Omega_\tau$. Let $\{u_j^k | 0 \leq j \leq N, 0 \leq k \leq M\}$ be a mesh function defined on $\Omega_{h\tau}$. For convenience, some other notations are introduced below.

$$\begin{aligned} [u]_j^k &= u(x_j, t_k), \quad u_j^k \approx u(x_j, t_k), \quad u_j^{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{u_j^k + u_j^{k+1}}{2}, \\ \delta_t u_j^{k+\frac{1}{2}} &= u_j^{k+1} - u_j^k, \quad u_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^k = \frac{u_j^k + u_{j-1}^k}{2}, \\ \delta_x u_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^k &= u_j^k - u_{j-1}^k, \quad \delta_x^2 u_j^k = u_{j-1}^k - 2u_j^k + u_{j+1}^k. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we sometimes use the index pair (j, k) to represent the mesh point (x_j, t_k) . In order to obtain the high-order compact difference scheme on the equation (1), let us firstly recall the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 ([10]). *Suppose $g(x) \in \mathbb{C}^6[x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}]$, then*

$$\frac{1}{12}[g''(x_{i-1}) + 10g''(x_i) + g''(x_{i+1})] - \frac{1}{h^2}[g(x_{i-1}) - 2g(x_i) + g(x_{i+1})] = \frac{h^4}{240}g^{(6)}(\omega_i), \quad (2)$$

where $\omega_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})$.

Next, let us consider the equation (1) at the point $(x_j, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}})$. Since

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_j, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) = c \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x_j, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M-1, \quad (3)$$

then, for $g = [g_0, g_1, \dots, g_N]$, we introduce the operator β with the help of Lemma 2.1. We denote

$$\beta g_j = \frac{1}{12}[g_{j-1} + 10g_j + g_{j+1}], \quad 1 \leq j \leq N-1. \quad (4)$$

By the famous Taylor formula, we have that

$$\frac{1}{12\tau}[\delta_t u_{j-1}^{k+\frac{1}{2}} + 10\delta_t u_j^{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_t u_{j+1}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}] = \frac{c}{h^2}\delta_x^2 u(x_j, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) + R_j^k, \quad (5)$$

and

$$R_j^k = \tau^2 \beta r_j^k + \frac{ch^4}{480}[\frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial x^6}(\theta_j^k, t_k) + \frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial x^6}(\theta_j^{k+1}, t_{k+1})], \quad (6)$$

where $r_j^k = \frac{1}{24}\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t^3}(x_j, \xi_j^k) - \frac{c}{8}\frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^2 \partial t^2}(x_j, \eta_j^k)$, and $\xi_j^k, \eta_j^k, \theta_j^k, \theta_j^{k+1} \in (x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}), 1 \leq j \leq N-1, 0 \leq k \leq M-1$.

Noting the initial and boundary conditions in the equation (1), we obtain the following high-order compact difference scheme.

$$\begin{aligned} & (u_{j-1}^{k+1} - u_{j-1}^k) + 10(u_j^{k+1} - u_j^k) + (u_{j+1}^{k+1} - u_{j+1}^k) \\ & = \frac{6c\tau}{h^2}\delta_x^2(u_j^k + u_j^{k+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $1 \leq j \leq N-1, 0 \leq k \leq M-1$,

$$u_j^0 = \varphi(x_j), \quad 0 \leq j \leq N, \quad (8)$$

$$u_0^k = g_1(k\tau), \quad u_N^k = g_2(k\tau), \quad 0 \leq k \leq M. \quad (9)$$

Denoting $u_h^k = [u_1^k, u_2^k, \dots, u_{N-1}^k]^T$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M-1$, the above equations (7)-(9) can be written as

$$(T_1 - \frac{6c\tau}{h^2}T_2)u_h^{k+1} = (T_1 + \frac{6c\tau}{h^2}T_2)u_h^k + F_0, \quad (10)$$

where

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 10 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In addition, if we denote

$$C = \max\left\{\frac{c}{240} \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{\partial^6 u(x, t)}{\partial x^6} \right|, \frac{1}{24} \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{\partial^3 u(x, t)}{\partial t^3} \right| + \frac{c}{8} \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{\partial^4 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2 \partial t^2} \right|\right\},$$

then, according to [10], we have

$$|R_j^k| \leq C(\tau^2 + h^4), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N - 1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M - 1.$$

That is, the truncation error of the compact difference scheme (7) is $O(\tau^2 + h^4)$.

2.2 One-dimensional Numerical Gradient Scheme Based on the Local Hermite Interpolation and Collocation Polynomial

As is stated in previous Section 1 and Section 2.1, the compact difference method have some advantages. However, the amount of calculation will be increased rapidly with the increase of mesh-grid points, see those numerical experiments in Section 4. In order to deal with this problem, next we give a new numerical gradient scheme based on the collocation polynomial and Hermite interpolation.

Let U_h be the vector space of the grid function on $\Omega_{\tau h}$. The u_h denotes the discrete solution satisfying the formula (7)-(9). Denote

$$P_j = \frac{\partial u(x_j, t)}{\partial x}, P_j^k = \frac{\partial u(x_j, t_k)}{\partial x}. \quad (11)$$

Our strategy is as follows:

- (1) First, get the values of points u_j^T by H-OCD scheme (7)-(9);
- (2) And then, obtain the formula (see Eq. (17)) of P_j with the help of collocation polynomials; i.e.,

$$P_j = \frac{1}{12h} [8u(x_{j+1}, t) - 8u(x_{j-1}, t) + u(x_{j-2}, t) - u(x_{j+2}, t)];$$

- (3) Finally, get the values (see Eq. (14)) of intermediate points $u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^t$ based on the Hermite interpolation; i.e.,

$$u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^t = \frac{1}{2}(u_j^t + u_{j+1}^t) + \frac{h}{8}(P_j - P_{j+1}).$$

Thus, combining H-OCD scheme with the above improvements, a new explicit **numerical gradient scheme** for the gradient terms of the discrete solutions of heat equations is deduced, which will greatly reduce the amount of calculation in the same accuracy with the high-order compact difference format. Next, let us give the concrete analysis.

2.2.1 The Local Hermite Interpolation and Refinement in one-dimensional case

For convenience, we just consider Hermite cubic and bi-cubic interpolation functions $u_H(x, t)$ on the interval $[x_j, x_{j+1}] \subset \Omega_h$, and its vertexes are as follows:

$$z_1(x_j, t), z_2(x_{j+1}, t) \in \Omega_{\tau h}.$$

On the segment $z_1 - z_2$, let the cubic interpolation function satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} u_H(z_1) &= u(z_1), \quad u_H(z_2) = u(z_2), \\ (u_H)_x(z_1) &= u_x(z_1), \quad (u_H)_x(z_2) = u_x(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Based on Ref.[6], we can get the Hermite interpolation polynomial as follows

$$u_H(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t) = \frac{1}{2}[u(z_1) + u(z_2)] + \frac{h}{8}[u_x(z_1) - u_x(z_2)], \quad (12)$$

where $j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$. The interpolation errors are

$$\begin{aligned} u_H(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t) - u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t) &= \frac{1}{4!}u_{xxxx}(\xi_j)(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - x_j)^2(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - x_{j+1})^2 \\ &= \frac{h^4}{24 \times 16}u_{xxxx}(\xi_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where ξ_j lies between z_1 and z_2 (see, [6]). So, by (11), we have the refinement computation format

$$u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^t = \frac{1}{2}[u(x_j, t) + u(x_{j+1}, t)] + \frac{h}{8}(P_j - P_{j+1}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 2. \quad (14)$$

From (13), we know that the above refinement schemes have the four-order accuracy in space direction.

2.2.2 The Collocation Polynomial in one-dimensional case

From (14), we know that we must obtain the expression of P_j in order to get the specific formula of the intermediate points. Here, we choose the collocation polynomial method. For convenience, we firstly consider the sub-domain

$$[x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}] \subset \Omega, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1.$$

Then, we denote

$$\xi = x - x_j, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1.$$

In order to get the approximation polynomial of u , we consider the polynomial space

$$H_4 = \text{span}\{1, \xi, \xi^2, \xi^3, \xi^4\}, \quad (15)$$

and the approximation polynomial of u

$$H(\xi) = a_0 + a_1\xi + a_2\xi^2 + a_3\xi^3 + a_4\xi^4. \quad (16)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} H(x_{j-1}) &= u_{j-1}^t, & H(x_{j+1}) &= u_{j+1}^t, \\ c \frac{\partial^2 H(x_{j-1})}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_{j-1}, t), & c \frac{\partial^2 H(x_j)}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_j, t), \\ c \frac{\partial^2 H(x_{j+1})}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_{j+1}, t), & j &= 2, 3, \dots, N-2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (1) and (11), the expression of P_j can be described as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} P_j &= \frac{1}{2h}[u(x_{j+1}, t) - u(x_{j-1}, t)] + \frac{h}{12c}[\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_{j-1}, t) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_{j+1}, t)] \\ &= \frac{1}{12h}[8u(x_{j+1}, t) - 8u(x_{j-1}, t) + u(x_{j-2}, t) - u(x_{j+2}, t)], \\ P_1 &= \frac{1}{6h}[-2g_1(t) - 3u(x_1, t) + 6u(x_2, t) - u(x_3, t)], \\ P_{N-1} &= \frac{1}{6h}[2g_2(t) + 3u(x_{N-1}, t) - 6u(x_{N-2}, t) + u(x_{N-3}, t)], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $j = 2, 3, \dots, N-3$.

So

$$\begin{aligned} u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^t &= \frac{1}{2}[u(x_j, t) + u(x_{j+1}, t)] + \frac{h}{8}(P_j - P_{j+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[u(x_j, t) + u(x_{j+1}, t)] + \frac{1}{96}[8u(x_{j+1}, t) - 8u(x_{j-1}, t) + u(x_{j-2}, t) - \\ &\quad u(x_{j+2}, t)] - \frac{1}{96}[8u(x_{j+2}, t) - 8u(x_j, t) + u(x_{j-1}, t) - u(x_{j+3}, t)] \\ &= \frac{1}{96}[56u(x_j, t) + 56u(x_{j+1}, t) + u(x_{j-2}, t) - 9u(x_{j+2}, t) + u(x_{j+3}, t)], \\ u_{\frac{3}{2}}^t &= \frac{1}{2}[u(x_1, t) + u(x_2, t)] + \frac{h}{8}(P_1 - P_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{96}[50u(x_1, t) + 60u(x_2, t) - 10u(x_3, t) - u(x_0, t) + u(x_4, t) - 4g_1(t)], \\ u_{N-\frac{3}{2}}^t &= \frac{1}{2}[u(x_{N-2}, t) + u(x_{N-1}, t)] + \frac{h}{8}(P_{N-2} - P_{N-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{96}[60u(x_{N-2}, t) + 50u(x_{N-1}, t) - 10u(x_{N-3}, t) + u(x_{N-4}, t) - \\ &\quad u(x_N, t) - 4g_2(t)], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $j = 2, 3, \dots, N - 3$.

Next, according to our improvement scheme, let us analyze the convergence order of this kind of numerical gradient scheme.

Theorem 2.1 *If $u(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}_x^6(\Omega)$ and $u(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}_t^3(\Omega)$, then we have*

$$\left| \frac{\partial u(x_j, t_k)}{\partial x} - P_j^k \right| \leq O(h^4), \quad (19)$$

where $j = 2, 3, 4, \dots, N - 2$.

Proof. When $T = k\tau$, by (17), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} P_j^k &= \frac{1}{12h} [8u(x_{j+1}, t_k) - 8u(x_{j-1}, t_k) + u(x_{j-2}, t_k) - u(x_{j+2}, t_k)] \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \frac{u(x_{j+1}, t_k) - u(x_{j-1}, t_k)}{2h} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{u(x_{j+2}, t_k) - u(x_{j-2}, t_k)}{4h}. \end{aligned}$$

So, by the H-OCD method and the energy method with the Sobolev embedding theorem in [4],

$$\left| \frac{\partial u(x_j, t_k)}{\partial x} - \left\{ \frac{4}{3} \frac{u(x_{j+1}, t_k) - u(x_{j-1}, t_k)}{2h} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{u(x_{j+2}, t_k) - u(x_{j-2}, t_k)}{4h} \right\} \right| = O(h^4),$$

where $j = 2, 3, 4, \dots, N - 2$. Thus the proof is completed. \square

By the above theorem, we know that the accuracy of the partial derivative of u (i.e., P_j) in space direction is $O(h^4)$ when $T = k\tau$. In fact, due to (14), it is easy to prove that the accuracy of the intermediate points is $O(h^4)$, too. The corresponding analysis is as follows.

Theorem 2.2 *If $u(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}_x^6(\Omega)$ and $u(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}_t^3(\Omega)$, and $u(x, t)$ is the exact solution of the equation (1), then*

$$|u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) - u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^k| \leq O(\tau^2 + h^4), \quad (20)$$

where $j = 2, 3, \dots, N - 3$.

Proof. First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) - u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^k| &= |u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) - u_H(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) + u_H(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) - u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^k| \\ &\leq |u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) - u_H(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k)| + |u_H(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) - u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^k|, \end{aligned}$$

then, by the Taylor expansion at $(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, T)$ (Here $T = k\tau$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_j, t_k) &= u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) - \frac{h}{2}u_x(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) + \frac{h^2}{8}u_{xx}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) - \frac{h^3}{48}u_{xxx}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) + O(h^4), \\ u(x_{j+1}, t_k) &= u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) + \frac{h}{2}u_x(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) + \frac{h^2}{8}u_{xx}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) + \frac{h^3}{48}u_{xxx}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) + O(h^4). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (14), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) &= \frac{1}{2}[u(x_j, t_k) + u(x_{j+1}, t_k)] - \frac{h^2}{8}u_{xx}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) + O(h^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[u(x_j, t_k) + u(x_{j+1}, t_k)] - \frac{h^2}{8}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) + O(h^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[u(x_j, t_k) + u(x_{j+1}, t_k)] - \frac{h^2}{8}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[\frac{1}{h}\delta_x u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) + O(h^2)] + O(h^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[u(x_j, t_k) + u(x_{j+1}, t_k)] + \frac{h^2}{8}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{u(x_j, t_k) - u(x_{j+1}, t_k)}{h} + O(h^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[u(x_j, t_k) + u(x_{j+1}, t_k)] + \frac{h}{8}[u_x(x_j, t_k) - u_x(x_{j+1}, t_k)] + O(h^4) \\ &= u_H(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) + O(h^4), \end{aligned}$$

where $j = 2, 3, \dots, N-3$.

Note that there is no change in time direction corresponding to H-OCD method. Therefore, by (12), (14) and Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_H(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, T) - u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^T &= \frac{1}{2}[u(z_1) + u(z_2)] + \frac{h}{8}[u_x(z_1) - u_x(z_2)] - \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[u(x_j, T) + u(x_{j+1}, T)] - \frac{h}{8}(P_j - P_{j+1}) \\ &= \frac{h}{8}[u_x(z_1) - u_x(z_2)] - \frac{h}{8}(P_j - P_{j+1}) \\ &= \frac{h}{8}[u_x(z_1) - P_j - u_x(z_2) + P_{j+1}] \\ &\leq O(\tau^2 + h^4) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) - u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^k| &\leq |u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) - u_H(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k)| + |u_H(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k) - u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^k| \\ &\leq O(h^4) + O(\tau^2 + h^4) \\ &\leq O(\tau^2 + h^4). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is completed. \square

2.3 The Richardson Extrapolation on the H-OCD Scheme in one-dimensional case

For the compact difference H-OCD scheme considered in Section 2.1, the numerical solution and its difference quotient in space direction are unconditionally convergent with the convergence order $O(\tau^2 + h^4)$ under the maximum norm. And the convergence of the difference quotient in space direction may be proved by the energy method with the Sobolev embedding theorem, that is,

$$\left| \frac{\partial u(x_j, t_k)}{\partial x} - \left\{ \frac{4u(x_{j+1}, t_k) - u(x_{j-1}, t_k)}{2h} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{u(x_{j+2}, t_k) - u(x_{j-2}, t_k)}{4h} \right\} \right| = O(\tau^2 + h^4),$$

and

$$|u(x_j, t_k) - u_j^k| = O(\tau^2 + h^4), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N-1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M.$$

Next, we consider the Richardson extrapolation on this H-OCD scheme (7)-(9) in time direction in order to reduce the total computing time by [3].

Lemma 2.2 ([8]). *Let $\{V_j^k | 0 \leq j \leq N, 0 \leq k \leq M\}$ be the solution of the equation below*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tau} \delta_t V_j^{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{a}{h^2} \delta_x^2 V_j^{k+\frac{1}{2}} &= g_j^{k+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N-1, 0 \leq k \leq M-1, \\ V_j^0 &= \varphi_j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N-1, \\ V_0^k &= 0, V_N^k = 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

then

$$|V^k|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2} (|V^0|_1^2 + \frac{\tau}{2a} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} |g^{l+\frac{1}{2}}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N,$$

where

$$|g^{l+\frac{1}{2}}|^2 = h \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (g_j^{l+\frac{1}{2}})^2.$$

Theorem 2.3 *Let $u_j^k(h, \tau)$ be the solution of H-OCD scheme (7)-(9) with the time step τ and the space step h . Then*

$$|u(x_j, t_k) - [\frac{4}{3} u_j^{2k}(h, \frac{\tau}{2}) - \frac{1}{3} u_j^k(h, \tau)]| = O(\tau^4 + h^4), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N-1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M.$$

Proof. Let us consider the following initial-boundary problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \Delta u &= F_u(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T], \\ u(0, t) &= u(1, t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ u(x, 0) &= 0, \quad x \in (0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

with the smooth solution $p(x, t)$, where

$$F_p(x, t) = \frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial^3 u(x, t)}{\partial t^3} - \frac{c}{8} \frac{\partial^4 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2 \partial t^2}.$$

By (6), we know

$$R_j^k = F_p(x_j, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) \tau^2 + O(\tau^4 + h^4), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N-1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M-1.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta_t e_j^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\tau} - \frac{c}{h^2} \delta_x^2 e_j^{k+\frac{1}{2}} &= F_p(x_j, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) \tau^2 + O(\tau^4 + h^4), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N-1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M-1, \\ e_j^0 &= 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N, \\ e_0^k &= 0, \quad e_m^k = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Here $e_j^k = u(x_j, t_k) - u_j^k$, $0 \leq j \leq N$, $0 \leq k \leq M$.

In addition, according to H-OCD (7)-(9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta_t p_j^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\tau} - \frac{c}{h^2} \delta_x^2 p_j^{k+\frac{1}{2}} &= F_p(x_j, t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N-1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M-1, \\ p_j^0 &= 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N, \\ p_0^k &= 0, \quad p_m^k = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$u(x_j, t_k) - p_j^k(h, \tau) = O(\tau^2 + h^4), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N-1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M.$$

Denote

$$r_j^k = e_j^k + \tau^2 p_j^k, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M.$$

Combine the above equations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta_t r_j^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\tau} - \frac{c}{h^2} \delta_x^2 r_j^{k+\frac{1}{2}} &= O(\tau^4 + h^4), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N-1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M-1, \\ r_j^0 &= 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N, \\ r_0^k &= 0, \quad r_N^k = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M, \end{aligned}$$

and then by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$|r^k|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2} (|r^0|_1^2 + \frac{\tau}{2a} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} |O^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\tau^4 + h^4)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N,$$

where

$$|O^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\tau^4 + h^4)|^2 = h \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (g_j^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\tau^4 + h^4))^2.$$

That is

$$|r^k|_\infty = O(\tau^4 + h^4), \quad 1 \leq k \leq M,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} u_j^k(h, \tau) &= u(x_j, t_k) + \tau^2 p(x_j, t_k) + O(\tau^4 + h^4), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N-1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M, \\ u_j^{2k}(h, \frac{\tau}{2}) &= u(x_j, t_k) + (\frac{\tau}{2})^2 p(x_j, t_k) + O((\frac{\tau}{2})^4 + h^4), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N-1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|u(x_j, t_k) - [\frac{4}{3} u_j^{2k}(h, \frac{\tau}{2}) - \frac{1}{3} u_j^k(h, \tau)]| = O(\tau^4 + h^4), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N-1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M.$$

Thus the conclusion is proved. \square

Remark 2.1 With the Richardson extrapolation method above, the truncation errors in time direction for H-OCD scheme is $O(\tau^4 + h^4)$ in terms of the maximum norm. Similarly, the extrapolation $\frac{16}{15} u_{2j}^{4k}(\frac{\tau}{4}, \frac{h}{2}) - \frac{1}{15} u_j^k(\tau, h)$ will can obtain the following result for any $1 \leq j \leq N-1, 1 \leq k \leq M$.

$$|u(x_j, t_k) - [\frac{16}{15} u_{2j}^{4k}(\frac{\tau}{4}, \frac{h}{2}) - \frac{1}{15} u_j^k(\tau, h)]| = O(\tau^4 + h^6).$$

3 Two-dimensional Numerical Gradient Scheme Based on the Local Hermite Interpolation and Collocation Polynomial

3.1 The High-Order Compact Difference Scheme in Two-dimensional Case

Next, let us generalize the previous one-dimensional H-OCD scheme to the two-dimensional one. Similar to the previous Section 2, the following two-dimensional heat equation problem is considered

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}, & (x, y, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \\ u(x, y, 0) = \varphi(x, y), & (x, y) \in [a, b] \times [c, d], \\ u(a, y, t) = g_1(y, t), \quad u(b, y, t) = g_2(y, t), & (y, t) \in [c, d] \times (0, T], \\ u(x, c, t) = g_3(x, t), \quad u(x, d, t) = g_4(x, t), & (x, t) \in [a, b] \times (0, T], \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

where T is a positive number. Denote $\Omega = (a, b) \times (c, d)$. In addition, the solution $u(x, y, t)$ is assumed to be sufficiently smooth and has the required continuous partial derivative.

Let $h_x = \frac{b-a}{N_x}$, $h_y = \frac{d-c}{N_y}$ and $\Omega_h = \{(x_i, y_j) | x_i = ih_x, y_j = jh_y, 0 \leq i, j \leq N\}$. When $\tau = T/M$, define $\Omega_\tau = \{t_k | t_k = k\tau, 0 \leq k \leq M\}$ and $\Omega_{h\tau} = \Omega_h \times \Omega_\tau$. In addition, we denote $\{u_{ij}^k | 0 \leq i, j \leq N, 0 \leq k \leq M\}$ the mesh function defined on $\Omega_{h\tau}$. Moreover, some other notations are introduced below.

$$\begin{aligned} [u]_{ij}^k &= u(x_i, y_j, t_k), \quad u_{ij}^k \approx u(x_i, y_j, t_k); \\ \delta_x^2 u_{ij}^k &= u_{i-1,j}^k - 2u_{ij}^k + u_{i+1,j}^k, \quad \delta_y^2 u_{ij}^k = u_{i,j-1}^k - 2u_{ij}^k + u_{i,j+1}^k. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, define the operators $D_x = \frac{d}{dx}$, $D_y = \frac{d}{dy}$, $E = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (hD)^k = e^{hD}$ and its inverse operator $E^{-1} = e^{-hD}$. Obviously

$$\delta^2 = E^{-1} - 2 + E = e^{-hD} - 2 + e^{hD} = h^2 D^2 + 1/12 h^4 D^4 + O(h^6).$$

Note that $\delta^2 = h^2 D^2 + O(h^4)$, therefore

$$\delta^2 = h^2 D^2 + \frac{1}{12} h^2 D^2 [\delta^2 - O(h^4)] + O(h^6) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{12} \delta^2\right) h^2 D^2 + O(h^6). \quad (23)$$

i.e.,

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{12} \delta^2\right)^{-1} \delta^2 = h^2 D^2 + O(h^6).$$

Apply (23) to (22), we obtain that

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_x^2 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_y^2\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_y^2\right)}{h_x^2} \delta_x^2 u + \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_x^2\right)}{h_y^2} \delta_y^2 u + O(h^4), \quad (24)$$

where $O(h^4) = O(h_x^4 + h_y^4)$. In addition, according to the Crank-Nicolson difference scheme [2], we further have that

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_x^2 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_y^2\right) \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\tau} = \left[\frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_y^2\right)}{h_x^2} \delta_x^2 + \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_x^2\right)}{h_y^2} \delta_y^2 \right] \frac{u^{n+1} + u^n}{2},$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_x^2 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_y^2 - \tau \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_y^2\right)}{2h_x^2} \delta_x^2 - \tau \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_x^2\right)}{2h_y^2} \delta_y^2\right) u^{n+1} \\ & = \left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_x^2 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_y^2 + \tau \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_y^2\right)}{2h_x^2} \delta_x^2 + \tau \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_x^2\right)}{2h_y^2} \delta_y^2\right) u^n. \end{aligned}$$

Next, in order to the convenience of description, let $h = h_x = h_y$, $N = N_x = N_y$ and define $r = \tau/2h^2$, then the above equation can be reduced to the following discrete form by the initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{10}{3}r\right) u_{ij}^{n+1} - \left(\frac{2r}{3} - \frac{1}{12}\right) \left(u_{i-1,j}^{n+1} + u_{i+1,j}^{n+1} + u_{i,j-1}^{n+1} + u_{i,j+1}^{n+1}\right) - \frac{r}{6} \left(u_{i-1,j-1}^{n+1} + u_{i-1,j+1}^{n+1} \right. \\ & \left. + u_{i+1,j-1}^{n+1} + u_{i+1,j+1}^{n+1}\right) = \left(\frac{2r}{3} + \frac{1}{12}\right) \left(u_{i-1,j}^n + u_{i+1,j}^n + u_{i,j-1}^n + u_{i,j+1}^n\right) + \\ & \left(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{10}{3}r\right) u_{ij}^n + \frac{r}{6} \left(u_{i-1,j-1}^n + u_{i-1,j+1}^n + u_{i+1,j-1}^n + u_{i+1,j+1}^n\right), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. $u_{ij}^0 = u_0(x_i, y_j)$, $u_{0j}^k = g_1(y_j, t_k)$, $u_{Nj}^k = g_2(y_j, t_k)$, $u_{i0}^k = g_3(x_i, t_k)$, $u_{iN}^k = g_4(x_i, t_k)$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, k = 1, 2, \dots, M$. The concrete computation process of the above discrete scheme may be described as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & -B_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -B_1 & A_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -B_1 & A_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{h1}^{n+1} \\ u_{h2}^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{hN-1}^{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & B_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ B_2 & A_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & B_2 & A_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{h1}^n \\ u_{h2}^n \\ \vdots \\ u_{hN-1}^n \end{pmatrix} + \\ & \begin{pmatrix} U_{h1}^{n+1} \\ U_{h2}^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ U_{hN-1}^{n+1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_1 u_{h0}^{n+1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ B_1 u_{hN}^{n+1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} U_{h1}^n \\ U_{h2}^n \\ \vdots \\ U_{hN-1}^n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_2 u_{h0}^n \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ B_2 u_{hN}^n \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$




Fig. 1. The relationships between $u(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$ and the around points $u(x_i, y_j)$, the partial derivatives $P(x_i, y_j)$.




Fig. 2. The relationships between $P(x_i, y_j)$ and the around points $u(x_i, y_j)$.

3.2.1 The Local Hermite Interpolation and Refinement in two-dimensional case

For convenience, we denote

$$K_{i,j} = \frac{\partial u(x_i, y_j, t)}{\partial x} \triangleq \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Big|_{(x_i, y_j, t)}, \quad L_{i,j} = \frac{\partial u(x_i, y_j, t)}{\partial y}.$$

Let us consider Hermite bilinear interpolation functions $\Psi_H(x, y, t)$ on the rectan-

gular mesh $[x_i, x_{i+1}] \times [y_j, y_{j+1}] \subset \Omega_h$, and its four vertexes are as follows.

$$z_1 : (x_i, y_j, t), z_2 : (x_{i+1}, y_j, t), z_3 : (x_i, y_{j+1}, t), z_4 : (x_{i+1}, y_{j+1}, t) \in \Omega_h.$$

On the segment $z_1 - z_2$, let the bilinear interpolation function satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_H(z_1) &= u(z_1), \quad \Psi_H(z_2) = u(z_2), \\ (\Psi_H)_x(z_1) &= u_x(z_1), \quad (\Psi_H)_x(z_2) = u_x(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Based on Ref.[6], we can get the following Hermite interpolation polynomial

$$\Psi_H(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_j, t) = \frac{1}{2}[u(z_1) + u(z_2)] + \frac{h}{8}[u_x(z_1) - u_x(z_2)], \quad (27)$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$. The interpolation errors are

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_H(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_j, t) - u(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_j, t) &= \frac{u_{xxxx}(\xi_i, y_j, t)}{4!} (x_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - x_i)^2 (x_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - x_{i+1})^2 \\ &= \frac{h_x^4}{384} u_{xxxx}(\xi_i, y_j, t), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where ξ_j lies between z_1 and z_2 (see, [6]). Thus, we obtain the following approximate computation formula for any $i = 2, 3, \dots, N - 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$.

$$u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}^k = \frac{1}{2} (u_{ij}^k + u_{i+1, j}^k) + \frac{h_x}{8} (K_{ij} - K_{i+1, j}). \quad (29)$$

Similarly, we have also that

$$u_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}^k = \frac{1}{2} (u_{ij}^k + u_{i, j+1}^k) + \frac{h_y}{8} (L_{ij} - L_{i, j+1}). \quad (30)$$

Therefore, for $i, j = 2, 3, \dots, N - 3$, $u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}^k$ can be approximated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}^k &= \frac{1}{2} (u_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}^k + u_{i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}}^k) + \frac{h}{8} (K_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}} - K_{i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (u_{ij}^k + u_{i, j+1}^k) + \frac{h}{16} (L_{ij} - L_{i, j+1} + L_{i+1, j} - L_{i+1, j+1}) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{4} (u_{i+1, j}^k + u_{i+1, j+1}^k) + \frac{h}{16} (K_{ij} - K_{i+1, j} + K_{i, j+1} - K_{i+1, j+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

In Section 3.2, we will prove that the above refinement scheme has the four-order accuracy in space direction, see Theorem 3.2.

3.2.2 The Collocation Polynomial in two-dimensional case

Next, we use the collocation polynomial method to obtain the approximate values of K_{ij} and L_{ij} . For convenience, we consider the sub-domain

$$[x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}] \times [y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}] \subset \Omega, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1.$$

and denote

$$\xi = x - x_i, \eta = y - y_j, (x, y) \in \Omega_h, (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1).$$

In order to get the approximation polynomial of u , we consider the polynomial space

$$H_4 = \text{span} \{1, \xi, \eta, \xi^2, \xi\eta, \eta^2, \xi^3, \xi^2\eta, \xi\eta^2, \eta^3, \xi^4, \xi^2\eta^2, \eta^4\} \quad (32)$$

and define the approximation polynomial as follows

$$\begin{aligned} H(\xi, \eta) = & a_0 + a_1\xi + a_2\eta + a_3\xi^2 + a_4\xi\eta + a_5\eta^2 + a_6\xi^3 + a_7\xi^2\eta + \\ & a_8\xi\eta^2 + a_9\eta^3 + a_{10}\xi^4 + a_{11}\xi^2\eta^2 + a_{12}\eta^4. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} H(x_m, y_n) = & u(x_m, y_n), m = i-1, i, i+1, n = j-1, j, j+1, (m-i)(n-j) \neq 0; \\ \frac{\partial^2 H(x_m, y_n)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H(x_m, y_n)}{\partial y^2} = & \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_m, y_n, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $n = j, m = i-1, i, i+1$ and $n = j \pm 1, m = i$. Then, we can obtain the following numerical gradient approximate scheme.

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} = \frac{1}{12h} [8u(x_{i+1}, y_j, t) - 8u(x_{i-1}, y_j, t) + u(x_{i-2}, y_j, t) - u(x_{i+2}, y_j, t)], \quad (34) \\ i = 2, 3, \dots, N-2, j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{ij} = \frac{1}{12h} [8u(x_i, y_{j+1}, t) - 8u(x_i, y_{j-1}, t) + u(x_i, y_{j-2}, t) - u(x_i, y_{j+2}, t)], \quad (35) \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, j = 2, 3, \dots, N-2. \end{aligned}$$

3.3 The truncation errors of numerical gradient scheme

As stated in the previous Section 2, the truncation errors of the compact difference method in [10] are $O(\tau^2 + h^4)$. In fact, the above numerical gradient schemes

(34) and (35) have also the same convergence order.

Theorem 3.1 *If $u(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{C}_x^6(\Omega)$ and $u(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{C}_y^6(\Omega)$, then we have*

$$\left| K_{ij} - \frac{\partial u(x_i, y_j, t)}{\partial x} \right| < O(h^4), (i = 2, 3, \dots, N-2, j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1), \quad (36)$$

$$\left| L_{ij} - \frac{\partial u(x_i, y_j, t)}{\partial y} \right| < O(h^4), (j = 2, 3, \dots, N-2, i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1). \quad (37)$$

Proof. According to (34), we know

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} &= \frac{1}{12h} [8u(x_{i+1}, y_j, t) - 8u(x_{i-1}, y_j, t) + u(x_{i-2}, y_j, t) - u(x_{i+2}, y_j, t)] \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \frac{u(x_{i+1}, y_j, t) - u(x_{i-1}, y_j, t)}{2h} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{u(x_{i-2}, y_j, t) - u(x_{i+2}, y_j, t)}{4h}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, according to Taylor series expansion theorem, we have

$$\frac{\partial u(x_i, y_j, t)}{\partial x} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{u(x_{i+1}, y_j, t) - u(x_{i-1}, y_j, t)}{2h} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{u(x_{i-2}, y_j, t) - u(x_{i+2}, y_j, t)}{4h} + O(h^4)$$

So

$$\left| \frac{\partial u(x_i, y_j, t)}{\partial x} - K_{ij} \right| = O(h^4).$$

Similarly, we may also prove that

$$\left| \frac{\partial u(x_i, y_j, t)}{\partial y} - L_{ij} \right| = O(h^4).$$

Thus the proof is completed. \square

By the above theorem, we know that the accuracy of numerical gradient schemes (34) and (35) is $O(h^4)$ in the space direction. In fact, in the intermediate points $(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t)$, the above refinement scheme (31) has also the four-order accuracy in space direction.

Theorem 3.2 *If $u(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{C}_x^6(\Omega)$ and $u(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{C}_y^6(\Omega)$, then*

$$\left| u\left(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k\right) - u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}^k \right| \leq O(h^4), i, j = 2, 3, \dots, N-3. \quad (38)$$

Proof. First, by the Taylor expansion of $u(x_i, y_j, t_k)$ at $(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_i, y_j, t_k) &= u\left(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_j, t_k\right) - \frac{h}{2}u_x\left(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_j, t_k\right) + \frac{h^2}{8}u_{xx}\left(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_j, t_k\right) - \\ &\quad \frac{h^3}{48}u_{xxx}\left(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_j, t_k\right) + O(h^4), \\ u(x_{i+1}, y_j, t_k) &= u\left(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_j, t_k\right) + \frac{h}{2}u_x\left(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_j, t_k\right) + \frac{h^2}{8}u_{xx}\left(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_j, t_k\right) + \\ &\quad \frac{h^3}{48}u_{xxx}\left(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_j, t_k\right) + O(h^4). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} u\left(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k\right) &= \frac{1}{4}\left[u\left(x_i, y_j, t_k\right) + u\left(x_i, y_{j+1}, t_k\right) + u\left(x_{i+1}, y_j, t_k\right) + u\left(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1}, t_k\right)\right] - \\ &\quad \frac{h^2}{8}u_{xx}\left(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k\right) - \frac{h^2}{16}\left[u_{yy}\left(x_i, y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k\right) - u_{yy}\left(x_{i+1}, y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k\right)\right] + O(h^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left[u\left(x_i, y_j, t_k\right) + u\left(x_i, y_{j+1}, t_k\right) + u\left(x_{i+1}, y_j, t_k\right) + u\left(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1}, t_k\right)\right] - \\ &\quad \frac{h}{16}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\delta_y\left[u\left(x_i, y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k\right) - u\left(x_{i+1}, y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k\right)\right] - \frac{h}{8}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[\delta_x u\left(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t_k\right)\right] + O(h^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left(u_{ij}^k + u_{i,j+1}^k + u_{i+1,j}^k + u_{i+1,j+1}^k\right) - \frac{h}{16}\left(L_{ij} - L_{i,j+1} + L_{i+1,j} - L_{i+1,j+1}\right) - \\ &\quad \frac{h}{16}\left(K_{ij} - K_{i+1,j} + K_{i,j+1} - K_{i+1,j+1}\right) + O(h^4) \\ &= u_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+\frac{1}{2}}^k + O(h^4). \end{aligned}$$

That is, the conclusion holds. \square

In addition, to reduce the total computing time, we also consider the Richardson extrapolation on the H-OCD scheme (25) in two-dimensional case. For convenience, we take the following initial-boundary problem as a simple example.

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \Delta u &= F_u(x, y, t), (x, y, t) \in (a, b) \times (c, d) \times (0, T], \\ u(x, c, t) &= u(x, d, t) = u(a, y, t) = u(b, y, t) = 0, (x, y) \in [a, b] \times [c, d], 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ u(x, y, 0) &= 0, [x, y] \in [a, b] \times [c, d] \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

with the smooth solution $u(x, y, t)$, where

$$F_u(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial^3 u(x, y, t)}{\partial t^3} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^4 u(x, y, t)}{\partial x^2 \partial t^2}.$$

Theorem 3.3 *Let $u(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{C}^{8,6}(\Omega_h \times [0, T])$ be the solution of Eq. (22) with initial-boundary (39) and $u_{ij}^k(h, \tau)$ is the numerical solution of H-OCD scheme (25) with the time step τ and the space step h . Then*

$$\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq N-1, 1 \leq k \leq M} \left| u(x_i, y_j, t_k) - \left[\frac{4}{3} u_{ij}^{2k}\left(h, \frac{\tau}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{3} u_j^k(h, \tau) \right] \right| = O(\tau^4 + h^4).$$

Proof. The proof is completely similar to the Theorem 2.3. In addition, corresponding numerical experiments will be shown in Table 10. \square

4 Numerical Experiments

4.1 Numerical Experiments for the One-dimensional Case

Example 4.1 When $u(x, 0) = \sin(\pi x)$, $u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0$ for the equation (1) with $(x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T]$, the exact solution of the problem (1) is

$$u(x, t) = \exp(-\pi^2 t) \sin(\pi x).$$

Next, let us observe and compare the numerical solutions in the same number of points and the time for the above two schemes.

First, we note that matrix computations are based on LAPACK, and optimized basic linear algebra subroutines (BLAS) on all Matlab platforms, which speeds up matrix multiplications and the LAPACK routines themselves, according to Matlab user manual. Therefore, all the numerical experiments were performed in MATLAB 2011b. In addition, for convenience, we denote $Rate(h) = \log_2(\frac{Error(h)}{Error(\frac{h}{2})})$ and $Error(h) = \max_{x_k=x_0+kh, k=0,1,\dots,N} \{|(u(x_k, T) - u_k^T)|\}$, where $u(x_k, T)$ represents the exact solution and u_k^T is the numerical solution. Let

$$Error(P) = \max_{x_k=x_0+kh, k=0,1,\dots,N} \{| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_j, T) - P_k^T | \}.$$

Table 1 lists the computational results of the mesh-grid points, intermediate points and u_x with different spacial step sizes when time step size is fixed as $\tau = 1/100000$. We can see that the convergence orders in space can reach $O(h^4)$ which is consistent with the theoretical analysis in this article.

Fig.1 displays the errors curves with different step sizes of the mesh-grid points (by H-OCD method) and all the points (by new method) when $T = 1$. They display that, the changes of the truncation errors in the mesh-grid points and the other points are all large with the changes of h and τ . At the same time, the shape of the curves is approximately the same. That means that the points obtained through the new method are not worse than the H-OCD method.

Fig.2 shows that the curve of the numerical solutions (**the red line**) in all the points (by new method) is **more close** to the curve of the exact solutions (**the green line**) when $h = 1/8$, $\tau = 1/100$, $T = 1$. That is to say, the simulation result

Table 1

Errors and rate of intermediate points and numerical gradient P (17) in space direction with $\tau = 1/100000$.

h	mesh-grid points		intermediate points		P(i.e., $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$)	
	Error	Rate	Error	Rate	Error	Rate
1/4	8.3491e-007	4.0355	5.4790e-007	3.5729	3.7721e-006	3.7762
1/8	5.0915e-008	4.0073	4.6043e-008	3.9694	2.5732e-007	3.9370
1/16	3.1660e-009	4.0045	2.9394e-009	3.9952	1.7976e-008	3.9823
1/32	1.9725e-010	4.0466	1.8433e-010	4.0474	1.1374e-009	3.9715
1/64	1.1936e-011	*	1.1148e-011	*	7.2504e-011	*




Fig. 3. Top: The error curves of the mesh-grid points (H-OCD method) when $T = 1$; Bottom: The error curves of all the points (new method) when $T = 1$.




Fig. 4. The curves of the numerical solutions and exact solutions in all the points (new method) and the curve of the numerical solutions in the mesh-grid points (H-OCD method) when $h = 1/8, \tau = 1/100, t = 1$.

of the red line is better than another. In order to make the figure 2 more clearly, we choose $h = 1/8$.

Fig.3 displays the error surface maps with different step sizes in both spatial and time directions of the mesh-grid points (by H-OCD method) and all the points (by new method) when $t = 1$. They display that, the changes of the truncation errors in the mesh-grid points and the other points are large with the changes of h and τ . At the same time, the shape of the curves is approximately the same. That means that the points obtained through the new method are very well too.

In addition, from Table 2, we can know that, H-OCD method takes more time to compute the same number of the difference points, compared to the new method. For example, if we need the numerical solutions of 255 points to simulate the real figure, we only need $h = 1/128$. Through the method talked in this article, we can get the numerical solutions of 255 points. However, it just take 12.8879 seconds to do that, which is much less than 142.64 seconds the H-OCD method needs.

Example 4.2 For $u(x, 0) = \exp(x), u(0, t) = \exp(t), u(1, t) = \exp(1 + t)$ with $(x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T]$, the exact solution of the problem (1) is

$$u(x, t) = \exp(x + t).$$




Fig. 5. Top: The error surface map of the compact difference scheme in the mesh-grid points; Bottom: The error surface map of the numerical gradient scheme in the intermediate points.

Table 2

Errors of the numerical solutions in the mesh-grid points (H-OCD method), all the points (numerical gradient scheme) and the time to get those solutions when $\tau = h^2, T = 1, h = 1/(n - 1)$.

grid node number	H-OCD method		numerical gradi- ent scheme	
	Error	Time	Error	Time
N=15	6.0041e-008	0.1544	9.5518e-007	0.0312
N=31	3.7541e-009	0.5725	6.0041e-008	0.1560
N=63	2.3464e-010	2.5389	3.7623e-009	0.5839
N=127	1.4665e-011	11.505	2.3536e-010	2.7233
N=255	9.1633e-013	142.64	1.4713e-011	12.8879

Next, let us compare the numerical solution with the exact solution as follows (see, Tab. 3-6).

Table 3

Errors and rate of H-OCD scheme (7-9), intermediate points (new method) and numerical gradient P_j (17) in space direction with $\tau = 1/100000$.

h	mesh-grid points		intermediate points		P_j (i.e., $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$)	
	Error	Rate	Error	Rate	Error	Rate
1/4	8.4064e-006	3.9974	2.9136e-004	3.8091	6.8324e-003	2.7543
1/8	5.2636e-007	3.9895	2.0787e-005	3.9099	1.1027e-003	2.8763
1/16	3.3138e-008	3.9993	1.3828e-006	3.9564	1.3792e-004	2.9379
1/32	2.0721e-009	4.0472	8.9081e-008	3.9787	1.7998e-005	2.9689
1/64	1.2534e-010	*	5.6505e-009	*	2.2987e-006	*

Table 4

Errors and rate of H-OCD scheme (7-9), intermediate points (new method) and numerical gradient P_j (17) in time direction with $h = 1/10000$.

τ	Compact difference		intermediate points		P_j (i.e., $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$)	
	Error	Rate	Error	Rate	Error	Rate
1/10	4.3449e-004	1.9988	4.3449e-004	1.9988	2.0491e-003	1.9769
1/20	1.0871e-004	1.9998	1.0871e-004	1.9998	5.2055e-004	1.9887
1/40	2.7183e-005	1.9999	2.7183e-005	1.9999	1.3116e-004	1.9945
1/80	6.7960e-006	2.0005	6.7960e-006	2.0005	3.2914e-005	1.9987
1/160	1.6984e-006	2.0053	1.6984e-006	2.0053	8.2362e-006	2.0053
1/320	4.2303e-007	2.0246	4.2303e-007	2.0246	2.0515e-006	2.0259
1/640	1.0397e-007	*	1.0397e-007	*	5.0375e-007	*

From Tables 3 and 4, we know that the numerical results are consistent with our theoretical results.

In addition, the conclusion in the space direction we get from Tab. 5 is the same as that from Table 2. Thus, combining with Fig.4, the advantage of the numerical gradient scheme is obviously. In Table 6, we consider the Richardson extrapolation on this H-OCD scheme (7)-(9) in time direction, the result is consistent with the Theorem 2.3.

Table 5

Errors of the numerical solutions in the mesh-grid points and all the points (new method) and the time to get those solutions when $\tau = h^2, t = 1, h = 1/(n - 1)$.

grid node number	H-OCD method		numerical gradi- ent scheme	
	Error	Time	Error	Time
N=15	6.2975e-007	0.1248	1.3540e-005	0.0406
N=31	3.9376e-008	0.5725	1.1199e-006	0.1265
N=63	2.4630e-009	3.1590	8.0259e-008	0.5959
N=127	1.5453e-010	20.117	5.3654e-009	3.1844
N=255	1.2050e-011	128.44	3.4669e-010	21.542

Table 6

Errors and rate of all the points (new method) for Problem 4.1, 4.2 when $\tau = h, T = 1$.

$\tau = h$	Problem 4.1		Problem 4.2	
	Error	$\frac{error(h,\tau)}{error(h/2,\tau/2)}$	Error	$\frac{error(h,\tau)}{error(h/2,\tau/2)}$
1/8	5.5147e-006	14.2567	2.0369e-005	14.9661
1/16	3.8682e-007	15.6251	1.3610e-006	15.4867
1/32	2.4756e-008	15.9066	8.7881e-008	15.7438
1/64	1.5563e-009	15.9741	5.5819e-009	15.8711
1/128	9.7429e-011	15.9934	3.5170e-010	15.9209
1/256	6.0918e-012	*	2.2091e-011	*

4.2 Numerical Experiments for the Two-dimensional Case

Example 4.3 When

$$u(x, y, 0) = \sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y),$$

$$u(0, y, t) = u(1, y, t) = u(x, 0, t) = u(x, 1, t) = 0,$$

the exact solution of the problem (22) is

$$u(x, y, t) = e^{-2\pi^2 t} \sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y), (x, y, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T].$$

Next, let us observe and compare the numerical solutions from different methods.

Table 7 lists the computational results of the mesh-grid points and intermediate points with different spacial step sizes when time step size is fixed as $\tau = 1/100000$. We can see that the convergence orders in space can reach $O(h^4)$ which is consistent with the theoretical analysis (see Theorem 3.1-3.2) in this article. In addition, from Table 8, we see also that the numerical gradient scheme has the same the convergence order $O(\tau^2 + h^4)$ as H-OCD method when the time and space step sizes are both changing.

Table 7

Errors and rate of intermediate points and numerical gradient P (17) in space direction with $\tau = 1/100000$.

h	H-OCD mesh-grid points		intermediate points (New method)	
	Error	Rate	Error	Rate
1/4	5.3017e-011	3.9403	*	*
1/8	3.4536e-012	3.9878	4.6576e-012	3.9629
1/16	2.1769e-013	3.9805	2.9869e-013	3.9791
1/32	1.3791e-014	*	1.8939e-014	*

Table 8

Errors and rate of all the points (new method) for Problem 4.3 when $\tau = h^2, h = 1/n - 1, T = 1$.

N	H-OCD method		numerical gradient	
	Error	$\frac{error(h,\tau)}{error(h/2,\tau/2)}$	Error	$\frac{error(h,\tau)}{error(h/2,\tau/2)}$
N=5	1.6485e-009	9.7908	1.8257e-009	11.0802
N=10	1.6838e-010	15.6079	1.6477e-010	15.3196
N=20	1.0788e-011	15.9751	1.0755e-011	15.9015
N=40	6.7530e-013	*	6.7638e-013	*

In addition, Table 9 and Figure 6 also show similar results to those of Table 5 and Figure 3-4, respectively. Table 10 lists the computational results on Richardson extrapolation scheme. These results show that its convergence order in time direction can reach $O(\tau^4)$, which is consistent with the theoretical analysis (see Theorem 3.3).

For this two-dimension problem, we have obtained the similar experimental results as the previous one-dimension problem, which all shows that this method is effective.

Table 9

A comparison of computation time between H-OCD method and numerical gradient scheme.

grid number	H-OCD method		grid number	numerical gradient	
	Error	Time		Error	Time
n=16	1.6485e-009	0.0374	n=17	1.8257e-009	0.0421
n=81	1.6838e-010	0.4563	n=117	1.6838e-010	0.5756
n=224	3.3602e-011	2.8782	n=433	3.4081e-011	2.8860
n=361	1.0788e-011	9.0527	n=745	1.0788e-011	10.8556
n=624	4.4056e-012	25.2347	n=1233	4.4370e-012	26.8556
n=899	2.1338e-012	63.2506	n=1783	2.1347e-012	66.8199
n=1599	6.7530e-013	422.8602	n=3183	6.7638e-013	424.2073




Fig. 6. The curves of the numerical solution and exact solution for Example 4.3 when $h = 1/20, \tau = 1/400, T = 1$.

5 Conclusions

Recently, many people devote themselves on the development of numerical approximation of heat equation problems. By the numerical comparisons, we know that the high-order compact difference scheme (H-OCD) in [10] is better than the traditional numerical schemes. In this article, we further improve this method to a new numerical gradient scheme, which speeds up the convergence of the H-OCD

Table 10

The convergence order of Richardson extrapolation scheme for Problem 4.3 when $\tau = h/20, T = 1$.

h	H-OCD method	Numerical gradient		
	Error	$\frac{error(h,\tau)}{error(h/2,\tau/2)}$	Error	$\frac{error(h,\tau)}{error(h/2,\tau/2)}$
$h=1/5$	2.1070e-011	14.1419	3.2895e-011	16.4508
$h=1/10$	1.4899e-012	15.9254	1.9996e-012	15.7474
$h=1/20$	9.3555e-014	15.9822	1.2698e-013	15.9392
$h=1/40$	5.8537e-015	15.9955	7.9665e-015	15.9848
$h=1/40$	3.6596e-016	*	4.9838e-016	*

scheme to some extent. Moreover, our theoretical analysis and numerical experiments show that this numerical gradient scheme has the same convergence order as H-OCD in [10].

Acknowledgements. *The authors sincerely thank the reviewers and editor for their valuable and detailed comments and suggestions on the early manuscript of this paper, which led to a substantial improvement on the presentation and contents of this paper.*

References

- [1] C. Besse, F. Deluzet, C. Negulescu, C. Yang, Efficient Numerical Methods for Strongly Anisotropic Elliptic Equations, J Sci Comput, 2013, 55: 231-254.
- [2] J. W. Hu, H. M. Tang, Numerical methods of differential equations, Science Press, 2007.
- [3] H. L. Liao, Z. Z. Sun, Maxmum Norm Error Bounds of ADI and Compact ADI methods for Solving Parabolic Equations, Numerical Methods for Partial Diffiential Equations, 2010, vol.26: 37-60.
- [4] H. L. Liao, Z. Z. Sun, H. S. Shi, Error Estimate of Fourth-order Compact Scheme for Linear Schromdinger Equations, SIAM Numer. Anal., 2010, vol.47: 4381-4401.
- [5] K. W. Morton, Numerical Solutions of Partial Differential Equations(2nd ed.), Posts and Telecom Press, 2006.
- [6] Timothy Sauer, Numerical Analysis, Posts and Telecom Press, 2010.
- [7] R. K. Shukla, X. L. Zhong, Derivation of High-order Compact Finite Difference Schemes for Non-uniform Grid Using Polynomial Interpolation, Journal of Computational Physics, 2005, 204: 404-429.

- [8] Z. Z. Sun, Numerical methods of partial differential equations, Science Press, 2012.
- [9] Z. Z. Sun, Compact Difference Schemes for Heat Equations with the Neumann Boundary Conditions. Numerical Methods Partial Differential Equations, 2009, 25: 1320-1341.
- [10] Z. Z. Sun, Z. B. Zhang, A Linearized Compact Difference Schemes for a Class of Nonlinear Delay Partial Differential Equations, Applied Mathematical Modelling, 2013, vol.37: 742-752.
- [11] Maxime Theillard, Chris H. Rycroft, Frédéric Gibou, A Multigrid Method on Non-Graded Adaptive Octree and Quadtree Cartesian Grids, J Sci Comput 2013, 55: 1-15.
- [12] T. Ye, R. Mittal, H.S. Udaykumar, W.Shyy. An Accurate Cartesian Grid Method for Viscous Incompressible Flows with Complex Immersed Boundaries, Journal of Computational Physics, 1999, 156: 209-240.
- [13] E. J. Zhong, T. Z. Huang, Gradient Operator of Discrete Solution of Poisson Equation, Proceeding of the Sixth International Conference of Matrices and Operators, 2011, vol.2: 96-100.
- [14] W. S. Zhang, Finite Difference Methods for Partial Difference Equations in Science Computation, Higher Education Press, 2006.
- [15] Z. F. Tian, P. X. Yu, High-order compact ADI (HOC-ADI) method for solving unsteady 2D Schrodinger equation, Computer Physics Communications, 2010, 181: 861-868.