

# The Quantitative Morse Theorem

Ta Lê Loi

University of Dalat, Dalat, Vietnam  
loitl@dlu.edu.vn

Phan Phien

Nhatrang College of Education, Nhatrang, Vietnam  
phieens@yahoo.com

## Abstract

In this paper, we give a proof of the quantitative Morse theorem stated by Y. Yomdin in [12]. The proof is based on the quantitative Sard theorem, the quantitative inverse function theorem and the quantitative Morse lemma.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 58K05; Secondary 58E05, 97N40

**Keywords:** Morse theorem, Quantitative assessment, Critical and near-Critical point

## 1 Introduction

One of the first basic results of classical singularity theory are that Sard Theorem [11] and [7], and Morse theorem [6]. These theorems research critical points and critical values of smooth mappings on open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The quantitative assessments and applications of the theorems were also considered. Y. Yomdin in [13] introduced the concept of near-critical points and near-critical values of a map, and there have been many results on quantitative assessments for the set of these points and values. One of them is the quantitative Sard theorem for mappings of class  $C^k$  (see [12], [13], [14], [15] and [10]). The results give some explicit bounds in term of  $\varepsilon$ -entropy of the set of near-critical values.

---

This research is supported by Vietnam's National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED).

For Morse theorem, in [12] Y. Yomdin also stated a quantitative form for  $C^k$ -functions. But in the article, he just gave a few suggestions without details for the proof of the theorem. Up to now, he probably hasn't published the proof.

In this paper, we give a detailed proof of the quantitative Morse theorem. The proof is based on the quantitative Sard theorem, the quantitative inverse function theorem and the quantitative Morse lemma.

## 2 Preliminaries

We give here some definitions, notations and results that will be used later.

Let  $\mathbf{M}_{m \times n}$  denote the vector space of real  $m \times n$  matrices,

$\|x\| = (|x_1|^2 + \cdots + |x_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  
 $\mathbf{B}^n$  denotes the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_r^n$  denotes the ball of radius  $r$ , centered at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mathbf{B}_r^n(x_0)$  denotes the ball of radius  $r$ , centered at  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$\|A\| = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|$ , where  $A \in \mathbf{M}_{m \times n}$ ,

$\|A\|_{\max} = \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|$ , where  $A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n} \in \mathbf{M}_{m \times n}$ ,

$\mathcal{B}_{n \times n}$  denotes the unit ball in  $\mathbf{M}_{n \times n}$ ,

$\text{Sym}(n)$  denotes the space of real symmetric  $n \times n$ -matrices.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a differentiable mapping class  $C^k$ ,  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $C^k$ -norm of  $f$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_{C^k} = \sum_{j=1}^k \sup_{x \in M} \|D^j f(x)\|.$$

**Definition 2.2.** A mapping  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is called **Lipschitz** in a neighborhood of a point  $x_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that for all  $x$  and  $y$  near  $x_0$ , we have

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq K \|x - y\|.$$

Then we call  $f$  the  **$K$ -Lipschitz**.

The usual  $m \times n$  Jacobian matrix of partial derivatives of  $f$  at  $x$ , when it exists, is denoted by  $Jf(x)$ . By Rademacher's theorem (see [3, Theorem 3.1.6]), we have the following definition:

**Definition 2.3** (F. H. Clarke - [C1], [C2]). The **generalized Jacobian** of  $f$  at  $x_0$ , denoted by  $\partial f(x_0)$ , is the convex hull of all matrices  $M$  of the form

$$M = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Jf(x_i),$$

where  $f$  is differentiable at  $x_i$  and  $x_i$  converges to  $x_0$  for each  $i$ .  $\partial f(x_0)$  is said to be of **maximal rank** if every  $M$  in  $\partial f(x_0)$  is of maximal rank.

**Theorem 2.4** (Quantitative inverse function theorem, c.f. [1] and [9]).  
 Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $K$ -Lipschitz mapping in a neighborhood of a point  $x_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $\partial f(x_0)$  is of maximal rank, set

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{M \in \partial f(x_0)} \frac{1}{\|M^{-1}\|},$$

$r$  be chosen so that  $f$  satisfies  $K$ -Lipschitz condition and  $\partial f(x) \subset \partial f(x_0) + \delta \mathcal{B}_{n \times n}$ , when  $x \in \mathbf{B}_r^n(x_0)$ . Then  $f$  is invertible in  $\mathbf{B}_{\frac{r\delta}{2K}}^n(x_0)$  and there exists the inverse mapping

$$g : \mathbf{B}_{\frac{r\delta}{2}}^n(f(x_0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

being  $\frac{1}{\delta}$ -Lipschitz.

**Definition 2.5** (Singular values of linear mapping, c.f. [4]). Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a linear mapping. Then there exist  $\sigma_1(L) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r(L) > 0$ , where  $r = \text{rank} L$ , so that  $L(\mathbf{B}^n)$  is an  $r$ -dimensional ellipsoid of semi-axes  $\sigma_1(L) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r(L)$ . Set  $\sigma_0(L) = 1$  and  $\sigma_{r+1}(L) = \dots = \sigma_m(L) = 0$ , when  $r < m$ . We call  $\sigma_0(L), \dots, \sigma_m(L)$  the **singular values** of  $L$ .

**Remark 2.6.** Let  $L$  be a linear mapping or a matrix. Then

(i)  $\sigma_{\max}(L) = \|L\| = \sigma_1(L), \quad \sigma_{\min}(L) = \min_{\|x\|=1} \|Lx\|.$

(ii) When  $L \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $\lambda$  is a eigenvalue of  $L$ , we have

$$\sigma_{\min}(L) \leq |\lambda| \leq \sigma_{\max}(L).$$

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a  $k$  times differentiable mapping,  $k \geq 1$ . For  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ ,  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m \geq 0$ , we call

$$\Sigma(f, \Lambda) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sigma_i(Df(x)) \leq \lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

the set of  $\Lambda$ -critical points of  $f$ , and

$$\Delta(f, \Lambda) = f(\Sigma(f, \Lambda))$$

the set of  $\Lambda$ -critical values of  $f$ .

Set  $\Sigma(f, \Lambda, A) = \Sigma(f, \Lambda) \cap A$ ,  $\Delta(f, \Lambda, A) = f(\Sigma(f, \Lambda, A))$ ,  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . When  $\gamma = (\gamma, \dots, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ , a point  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is called  $\gamma$ -regular value of  $f$  if  $y \notin \Delta(f, \gamma, A)$ , i.e  $f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$  or if  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  then there exists a number  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  so that  $\sigma_i(Df(x)) \geq \gamma$ .

**Remark 2.8.** If  $\Lambda = (0, \dots, 0)$  then  $\Sigma(f, 0)$  is the set of critical points and  $\Delta(f, 0)$  is the set of critical values of  $f$ .

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $X$  be a metric space,  $A \subset X$  a relatively compact subset. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , denoted by  $M(\varepsilon, A)$  the minimal number of closed balls of radius  $\varepsilon$  in  $X$ , covering  $A$ .

**Theorem 2.10** (Quantitative Sard theorem, c.f. [15, Theorem 9.6]). *Let  $f : \mathbf{B}_r^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a mapping of class  $C^k$ ,  $q = \min(n, m)$ ,  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q)$ ,  $\lambda_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, q$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_\delta^m$  is a ball of radius  $\delta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . When  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta$*

$$M(\varepsilon, \Delta(f, \Lambda, \mathbf{B}_r^n) \cap \mathbf{B}_\delta^m) \leq c \left( \frac{R_k(f)}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{n}{k}} \sum_{i=0}^q \min \left( \lambda_0 \dots \lambda_i \left( \frac{r}{\varepsilon} \right)^i \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{R_k(f)} \right)^{\frac{i}{k}}, \left( \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} \right)^i \right),$$

where  $c = c(n, m, k)$ ,  $R_k(f) = \frac{K}{(k-1)!} r^{k-1}$ , and  $K$  is a Lipschitz constant of  $D^{k-1}f$  in  $\mathbf{B}_r^n$ .

**Lemma 2.11** (Quantitative Morse lemma). *Let  $A \in \text{Sym}(n)$ . Suppose that  $Q_0 \in \text{Gl}(n)$  such that  ${}^tQ_0AQ_0 = D_0 = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1)$ . Set*

$$U(A) = \left\{ B \in \text{Sym}(n) : \|B - A\| \leq \frac{1}{2n\|Q_0\|^2} \right\}.$$

Then there exists a mapping  $\mathcal{P} : U(A) \rightarrow \text{Gl}(n) \in C^\omega$  satisfying

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = Q_0, \text{ and if } \mathcal{P}(B) = Q \text{ then } {}^tQBQ = D_0.$$

*Proof.* For  $B \in U(A)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|{}^tQ_0BQ_0 - {}^tQ_0AQ_0\|_{\max} &\leq \|{}^tQ_0(B - A)Q_0\| \\ &\leq \|Q_0\|^2 \|B - A\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

If  ${}^tQ_0BQ_0 = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  then  $|b_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |b_{ij}|$ . So  $\det(b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \neq 0$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore, the normalization (see [5, Lemma p.145]) reductioning  ${}^tQ_0BQ_0$  to the normal form  $D_0$  defines the mapping  $\mathcal{P} : U(A) \rightarrow \text{Gl}(n) \in C^\omega$  satisfying the demands of the lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 2.12.** The reduction a non-degenerate real symmetric matrix  $A$  to the normal form  $D_0$  can be realized by a matrix  $Q_0$  of the form  $Q_0 = SU$ , where  $U$  is a orthogonal matrix, and  $S$  is a diagonal matrix. So

$$\|Q_0\|^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\min}(A)}.$$

### 3 The quantitative Morse theorem

**Theorem 3.1** (c.f. [12, Theorem 4.1, Theorem 6.1]). *Fix  $k \geq 3$ . Let  $f_0 : \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^k$ -function in a open set contain  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$  with all derivatives up to order  $k$  uniformly bounded by  $K$ . Then for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $h$  with  $\|h\|_{C^k} \leq \varepsilon$  and the positive functions  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, d, M, N, \eta$  depending on  $K$  and  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $f = f_0 + h$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *At each critical point  $x_i$  of  $f$ , the smallest absolute value of the eigenvalues of the Hessian  $Hf(x_i)$  is at least  $\psi_1(K, \varepsilon)$ .*
- (ii) *For any two different critical points  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  of  $f$ ,  $\|x_i - x_j\| \geq d(K, \varepsilon)$ . Consequently, the number of the critical points does not exceed  $N(K, \varepsilon)$ .*
- (iii) *For any two different critical points  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  of  $f$ ,  $|f(x_i) - f(x_j)| \geq \psi_2(K, \varepsilon)$ .*
- (iv) *For  $\delta = \psi_3(K, \varepsilon)$  and for each critical point  $x_i$  of  $f$ , there exists a coordinate transformation  $\varphi : \mathbf{B}_\delta^n(x_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \in C^r$  such that*

$$f \circ \varphi^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = y_1^2 + \dots + y_l^2 - y_{l+1}^2 - \dots - y_n^2 + \text{const},$$

and  $\|\varphi\|_{C^{k-1}} \leq M(K, \varepsilon)$ .

- (v) *If  $\|\text{grad}f(x)\| \leq \eta(K, \varepsilon)$ , then  $x \in \mathbf{B}_\delta^n(x_i)$ , with  $x_i$  is a critical point of  $f$ .*

The proof of (i) is based on the suggestion of Y. Yomdin (see [15]). The proofs of (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are based on the quantitative inverse theorem and the quantitative Morse lemma in section 2.

*Proof.*

- (i) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Applying Theorem 2.10,

$$M(r, \Delta(Df_0, \gamma, \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n) \cap \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon^n) \leq cR_k(f_0)^{\frac{n}{k}} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{n}{k}}} \sum_{i=0}^n \left( \frac{\gamma}{R_k(f_0)^{\frac{1}{k}} r^{\frac{k-1}{k}}} \right)^i.$$

When  $r < 1$  and  $\gamma < rR_k(f_0)^{\frac{1}{k}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M(r, \Delta(Df_0, \gamma, \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n) \cap \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon^n) &\leq cR_k(f_0)^{\frac{n}{k}} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{n}{k}}} \sum_{i=0}^n \left( \frac{\gamma}{rR_k(f_0)^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right)^i \\ &\leq cR_k(f_0)^{\frac{n}{k}} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{n}{k}}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\gamma}{rR_k(f_0)^{\frac{1}{k}}}}. \end{aligned}$$

So the Lebesgue measure of  $\Delta(Df_0, \gamma, \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n) \cap \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m(\Delta(Df_0, \gamma, \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n) \cap \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon^n) &\leq r^n m(\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n) M(r, \Delta(Df_0, \gamma, \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n) \cap \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon^n) \\ &\leq r^n m(\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n) cR_k(f_0)^{\frac{n}{k}} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{n}{k}}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\gamma}{rR_k(f_0)^{\frac{1}{k}}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$r(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \min\left(\varepsilon, \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{c^{\frac{1}{n}} R_k(f_0)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right)^{\frac{k}{k-1}}\right),$$

and

$$\gamma(K, 2\varepsilon) = R_k(f_0)^{\frac{1}{k}} r(\varepsilon) \left(1 - \frac{r(\varepsilon)^n cR_k(f_0)^{\frac{n}{k}}}{\varepsilon^n r(\varepsilon)^{\frac{n}{k}}}\right) > 0,$$

with  $R_k(f_0) = \frac{K}{(k-1)!}$ . We get

$$m(\Delta(Df_0, \gamma, \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n) \cap \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon^n) < \varepsilon^n m(\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n) = m(\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon^n).$$

So we can choose a  $\gamma(K, 2\varepsilon)$ -regular value  $v$  of  $Df_0$ , with  $\|v\| < \varepsilon$ .

Now, let  $h : \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear mapping with  $Dh = -v$  and  $f = f_0 + h$ . Then  $\|h\|_{C^k} \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $Df = Df_0 - v$ , and  $Hf = Hf_0 = D(Df_0)$ . So 0 is a  $\gamma(K, 2\varepsilon)$ -regular value of  $Df$ , and at each critical point  $x_i$  of  $f$ , we have

$$\|Hf(x_i)\| \geq \gamma(K, 2\varepsilon). \tag{3.1}$$

By Remark 2.6, the smallest absolute value of the eigenvalues of the Hessian  $Hf(x_i)$  is at least  $\psi_1(K, 2\varepsilon) = \gamma(K, 2\varepsilon)$ .

(ii) Consider  $Df : \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $x_i$  is a critical point of  $f$ . Then applying (3.1) we obtain

$$\delta' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\|Hf(x_i)^{-1}\|} \geq \frac{1}{2} \gamma(K, 2\varepsilon).$$

Choose  $\delta' = \frac{1}{2} \gamma(K, 2\varepsilon)$ , we have

$$\|D(Df)(x) - D(Df)(x_i)\| = \|D(Df_0)(x) - D(Df_0)(x_i)\| \leq K\|x - x_i\|,$$

hence, if  $\|x - x_i\| \leq \frac{\delta'}{K}$ , we get

$$D(Df)(x) \in D(Df)(x_i) + \delta' \mathcal{B}_{n \times n}.$$

Therefore, if  $r = \frac{\delta'}{K}$ , then every  $x \in \mathbf{B}_r^n(x_i)$  we obtain

$$D(Df)(x) \in D(Df)(x_i) + \delta' \mathcal{B}_{n \times n}.$$

Thus, applying Theorem 2.4,  $Df$  is invertible in

$$\mathbf{B}_{\frac{r\delta'}{2K}}^n(x_i) = \mathbf{B}_{\frac{\gamma^2(K,\varepsilon)}{8K^2}}^n(x_i).$$

Hence,  $Df^{-1}(0)$  is unique in  $\mathbf{B}_{\frac{\gamma^2(K,\varepsilon)}{8K^2}}^n(x_i)$ , i.e.  $x_i$  is the unique critical point of  $f$  in the ball  $\mathbf{B}_{\frac{\gamma^2(K,\varepsilon)}{8K^2}}^n(x_i)$ .

So if  $x_i, x_j$  are different critical points of  $f$ , we have

$$d(x_i, x_j) \geq d(K, 2\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\gamma^2(K, 2\varepsilon)}{K^2} > 0.$$

Therefore, the number of critical points  $x_i$  does not exceed

$$N(K, 2\varepsilon) = M \left( \frac{1}{4} \frac{\gamma^2(K, 2\varepsilon)}{K^2}, \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n \right).$$

(iii) Suppose that the number of critical points of  $f$  being  $N$ ,  $N \leq N(K, 2\varepsilon)$ , and critical values of  $f$  ordered as follows:

$$f(x_1) \leq f(x_2) \leq \dots \leq f(x_N).$$

For each critical point  $x_i$  of  $f$ , set

$$U_i = \mathbf{B}_{\frac{d(K, 2\varepsilon)}{2}}^n(x_i) \cap \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n, \quad B_i = \mathbf{B}_{\frac{d(K, 2\varepsilon)}{4}}^n(x_i) \cap \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n.$$

We call  $\lambda_i : \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  the mapping of class  $C^\infty$ , where

$$\lambda_i(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \notin U_i \\ 1, & x \in B_i \end{cases}$$

with all derivatives uniformly bounded by  $C_1$ . Set  $\tilde{f} = f + \lambda$ , with

$$\lambda : \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \lambda_i(x), \quad \text{where } c_i = i \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2C_1 k N^2} > 0.$$

From (ii) we obtain every  $U_i$  disjoint, and we have  $\|\lambda\|_{C^k} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Thus  $\tilde{f}$  will be a Morse function having the same critical points as  $f$  and these will have the same indices. Moreover,  $\tilde{f}(x_i) = f(x_i) + c_i$ . Hence, with  $x_i, x_j$  are critical points,  $i \neq j$ , we obtain

$$|\tilde{f}(x_i) - \tilde{f}(x_j)| = |f(x_i) + c_i - f(x_j) - c_j| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2kC_1N^2} > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore, replacing the linear mapping  $h$  in (i) by

$$h = h_1 + \lambda,$$

with  $h_1 : \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  being a linear mapping such that  $Dh_1 = -v$ , and  $v$  is a  $\gamma(K, \varepsilon)$ -regular value of  $Df_0$ , at a distance of most  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  from 0, we get

$$\|h\|_{C^k} = \|h_1 + \lambda\|_{C^k} \leq \varepsilon,$$

and  $f = f_0 + h = f_0 + h_1 + \lambda$  to satisfy (i) and (ii), with

$$\psi_1(K, \varepsilon) = \gamma(K, \varepsilon); \quad d(K, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\gamma^2(K, \varepsilon)}{K^2}, \quad N(K, \varepsilon) = M \left( \frac{1}{4} \frac{\gamma^2(K, \varepsilon)}{K^2}, \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n \right).$$

Moreover, by (3.2), for any  $i \neq j$ , we have

$$|f(x_i) - f(x_j)| \geq \psi_2(K, \varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2kC_1N^2(K, \varepsilon)} > 0.$$

(iv) According to (ii), we only need to prove (iv) for each critical point  $x_i$ . Moreover, we may assume  $x_i = 0, f(x_i) = 0$ .

Let  $Q_0 \in \text{Gl}(n)$  be a linear transformation satisfying the condition of Remark 2.12 such that

$${}^tQ_0Hf(0)Q_0 = D_0.$$

The coordinate transformation  $\varphi$  is constructed as follows.

First, let  $\mathcal{B} : \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n \rightarrow \text{Sym}(n) \in C^{k-1}$  in a open set contain  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$ , be defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(x) = B_x = (b_{ij}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},$$

where

$$b_{ij}(x) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(stx) ds dt, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Then

$$f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_{ij}(x)x_i x_j \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}(0) = A = Hf(0).$$

Applying Lemma 2.11, we get

$$\mathcal{P} : U(A) \rightarrow \text{Gl}(n),$$

being of class  $C^\omega$  such that  $\mathcal{P}(A) = Q_0$ , and if  $\mathcal{P}(B) = Q$  then  ${}^tQBQ = D_0$ .

According to the Mean Value Theorem and Remark 2.12, the condition to apply Lemma 2.11 is

$$\|Hf(x) - A\| \leq (K + \varepsilon)\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{2n\|Q_0\|^2} = \frac{1}{2n}\sigma_{\min}(A),$$



or

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{(K + \varepsilon)2n\|Q_0\|^2} = \frac{1}{(K + \varepsilon)2n}\sigma_{\min}(A).$$

Set  $\delta = \psi_3(K, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{(K + \varepsilon)2n}\gamma(K, \varepsilon)$  and

$$\varphi : U_\delta(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad y = \varphi(x) = Q_x^{-1}x, \quad \text{with } Q_x = \mathcal{P}(B_x).$$

We have

$$f(x) = {}^t x B_x x = {}^t y ({}^t Q_x B_x Q_x) y = {}^t y D_0 y = y_1^2 + \cdots + y_l^2 - y_{l+1}^2 - \cdots - y_n^2.$$

To prove  $\|\varphi\|_{C^{k-1}} \leq M(K, \varepsilon)$ , present  $\varphi$  as the following composition

$$\varphi : x \in U_\delta(0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}} B_x \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} Q_x \xrightarrow{\text{Inv}} Q_x^{-1} \xrightarrow{L} \varphi(x) = Q_x^{-1}x.$$

By the construction  $\mathcal{B} \in C^{k-1}$ , and by the assumption, the partial derivatives of  $\mathcal{B}$

$$\|\partial^\alpha \mathcal{B}(x)\| \leq K, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n, |\alpha| \leq k - 1.$$

Since  $U(A)$  is compact, there exists  $M_1(K, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\|\partial^\alpha \mathcal{P}(B)\| \leq M_1(K, \varepsilon), \text{ for all } B \in U(A), |\alpha| \leq k - 1.$$

Similarly, since  $\mathcal{P}(U(A))$  is compact, there exists  $C_2(K, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\|\partial^\alpha \text{Inv}(Q)\| \leq C_2(K, \varepsilon), |\alpha| \leq k - 1, \text{ for all } Q \in \mathcal{P}(U(A)).$$

Let

$$\bar{L} : U_\delta \times \text{Inv}(\mathcal{P}(U(A))) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \bar{L}(x, Q') = Q'x.$$

Then  $\bar{L}$  is a bilinear form. Hence there exists  $C_3(K, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\|\partial L\| \leq C_3(K, \varepsilon), \quad \|\partial^\alpha L\| = 0, \text{ for } |\alpha| \geq 2, \text{ and } (x, Q') \in U_\delta \times \text{Inv}(\mathcal{P}(U(A))).$$

Since  $\partial^\alpha \varphi$  can be represented as a sum of products of  $\partial^{\alpha_1} \mathcal{B}, \partial^{\alpha_2} \mathcal{P}, \partial^{\alpha_3} \text{Inv}$  and  $\partial^{\alpha_4} L$ , with  $|\alpha_j| \leq |\alpha|, j = 1, \dots, 4$ , there exists  $M(K, \varepsilon) > 0$  depending on  $K, M_1(K, \varepsilon), C_2(K, \varepsilon)$  and  $C_3(K, \varepsilon)$  such that  $\|\varphi\|_{C^{k-1}} \leq M(K, \varepsilon)$ .

(v) Consider  $Df : \bar{\mathbf{B}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then for  $x_i$  is critical point of  $f$ , we have

$$Df(x_i) = 0, \quad \|Df(x)\| = \|Df(x) - Df(x_i)\| \text{ for all } x \in \bar{\mathbf{B}}^n,$$

moreover

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\|Hf(x_i)^{-1}\|} \geq \frac{1}{2} \gamma(K, \varepsilon).$$

We have

$$\|D(Df)(x) - D(Df)(x_i)\| \leq (K + \varepsilon)\|x - x_i\|,$$

hence with  $\|x - x_i\| \leq \frac{\sigma}{K+\varepsilon}$ , and  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\gamma(K, \varepsilon)$ , we obtain

$$D(Df)(x) \in D(Df)(x_i) + \sigma\mathcal{B}_{n \times n}.$$

Therefore, if  $r = \min(\frac{\sigma}{K+\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{\sigma n}\gamma(K, \varepsilon))$ , then

$$D(Df)(x) \in D(Df)(x_i) + \sigma\mathcal{B}_{n \times n}, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{B}_r^n(x_i).$$

Hence, applying Theorem 2.4, there exist neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $x_i$  and  $Df(x_i)$ , respectively, such that  $Df$  is invertible, with

$$U = \mathbf{B}_{\frac{r\sigma}{2(K+\varepsilon)}}^n(x_i), \quad V = \mathbf{B}_{\frac{r\sigma}{2}}^n(0).$$

So, with  $\eta(K, \varepsilon) = \frac{r\sigma}{2} = \frac{1}{4}r\gamma(K, \varepsilon)$ , as  $\|\text{grad}f(x)\| \leq \eta(K, \varepsilon)$  we have

$$x \in \mathbf{B}_{\frac{r\sigma}{2(K+\varepsilon)}}^n(x_i) \subset \mathbf{B}_{\psi_3(K, \varepsilon)}^n(x_i).$$

□

## References

- [1] F. H. Clarke, On the inverse function theorem, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol 64, No 1 (1976), 97-102.
- [2] F. H. Clarke, Generalized gradients and applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol 205 (1975), 247-262.
- [3] H. Federer, Geometric measures theory, Springer-Verlag, 1969.
- [4] G. H. Golub and C. F. van Loan, Matrix computation, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press 1983.
- [5] M. W. Hirsch, Differential Topology, Springer-Verlag, New York - Heidelberg - Berlin, 1976.
- [6] M. Morse, The critical points of a function of  $n$  variables, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 33, (1931), 71-91.
- [7] A. Morse, The behavior of a function on its critical set, Ann. Math, 40 (1939), 62-70.
- [8] L. Niederman, Prevalence of exponential stability among nearly intergrable Hamiltonian systems, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, (2007), 25p.

- [9] P. Phien, Some quantitative results on Lipschitz inverse and implicit functions theorems, *East-West J. Math.* Vol. 13, No 1 (2011), 7-22.
- [10] A. Rohde, On the  $\varepsilon$ -Entropy of Nearly Critical Values, *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 76 (1994), 166-194.
- [11] A. Sard, The measure of the critical values of differentiable maps, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 48, (1942), 883-890.
- [12] Y. Yomdin, Some quantitative results in singularity theory, *Anales Polonici Mathematici*, 37 (2005), 277-299.
- [13] Y. Yomdin, The Geometry of Critical and Near-Critical Values of Differentiable Mappings, *Math. Ann.* 264, (1983), 495-515.
- [14] Y. Yomdin, Metric properties of semialgebraic sets and mappings and their applications in smooth analysis, (Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Algebraic Geometry, La Rabida, Spain, 1984, J.M. Aroca, T. Sahcez-Geralda, J.L. Vicente, eds.), *Travaux en Cours*, Hermann, Paris (1987), 165-183.
- [15] Y. Yomdin and G. Comte, Tame geometry with application in smooth analysis, *LNM* vol. 1834, 2004.

**Received: September, 2011**