

Ferrimagnetic Spin Wave Resonance and Superconductivity in Carbon Nanotubes Incorporated in Diamond Matrix

Dmitri Yerchuck (a), Yauhen Yerchak (b), Vyacheslav Stelmakh (b), Alla Dovlatova (c), Andrey Alexandrov (c)
 (a) - Heat-Mass Transfer Institute of National Academy of Sciences of RB, Brovka Str.15, Minsk, 220072, dpy@tut.by
 (b) - Belarussian State University, Nezavisimosti Avenue 4, Minsk, 220030, RB
 (c) - M.V.Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, 119899
 (Dated: April 15, 2019)

The phenomenon of ferrimagnetic spin wave resonance [uncompensated antiferromagnetic spin wave resonance] has been detected for the first time. It has been observed in carbon nanotubes, produced by high energy ion beam modification of diamond single crystals in $\langle 100 \rangle$ direction. Peculiarities of spin wave resonance observed allow to insist on the formation in given nanotubes of s^+ -superconductivity at room temperature, coexisting with uncompensated antiferromagnetic ordering.

PACS numbers: 71.10.-w, 73.63.Fg, 78.30.-j, 76.30.-v, 76.50.+g, 78.67.-n
 Keywords: magnetism, nanotubes, superconductivity

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

A number of theoretical and experimental works have been devoted to the studies of ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic spin waves, including resonance behavior. Theoretical works are starting in 1930 from pioneering work of Bloch [1], where the ferromagnetic spin waves were fundamentally studied. It has been found in particular, that ferromagnetic spin waves obey the k^2 -dispersion law. At the same time, it was established, that antiferromagnetic spin waves have quite other - linear k -dispersion law. It was done by Hulthen. Hulthen has carried through the quantization of the spin waves in an antiferromagnet and he has found for the first time k -dispersion law for antiferromagnetic spin waves [2] in 1936. The study of antiferromagnetic spin waves was extended by Anderson [3] by inclusion of zero-point spin wave energy. Anderson has shown, that the exact ground-state energy eigenvalue of antiferromagnet is close to the energy of its approximate two-sublattice model. Anderson has found, that the frequencies of the spin waves fall into two branches, and they are

$$\omega_k = dJS\sqrt{1 - \gamma_k^2}, \quad (1)$$

where γ_k is

$$\gamma_k = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\cos k_i}{d}, \quad (2)$$

k_i , $i = \overline{1, d}$ are the components of the wave vector \vec{k} , d is dimensionality of the lattice, S is spin value, J is exchange coupling parameter. The equation (1) is reduced in the case of small amplitude values of vector \vec{k} to the following form

$$\omega_k \sim dJSk, \quad (3)$$

This dispersion law is coinciding with the law, obtained in [2], that is it really quite different from the ferromagnetic

case. Anderson draws attention, that the difference in dispersion laws is not the most significant difference between the two types of spin waves; it is the difference in amplitude per quantum of excitation which leads to the more striking effects. It means, in particular, that it is required much more energy to excite an antiferromagnetic spin wave, than to excite a ferromagnetic spin wave.

Spin wave theory was developed, for instance, in the works [4], [5], [6], [7], [8] and in many others. It has been shown in [4], [5], that the transformation to normal spin wave modes, used by Anderson for the case of the absence of external magnetic field is not suitable in the case of its presence. Independently, normal spin wave modes were derived using a different formalism by Ziman [6] and by Nakamura [7]. A brief outline is given in [5] for the spin-wave approximation to the near ground states of ferromagnetism and antiferromagnetism. Simple pictorial models of spin waves were introduced. These models clarify the striking difference between ferromagnetic spin waves, which obey the k^2 -dispersion law, and antiferromagnetic spin waves, which obey the k -dispersion law. The frequency spectrum and the damping constant of the spin waves in the two-sublattice antiferromagnetics were investigated in [8] on the quantum-statistical basis by the use of the relaxation function method. The interest for nanophysics represents the study of uniform spin wave modes in antiferromagnetic nanoparticles with uncompensated moments, performed in the work by Bahl et al [9]. According to [9], in magnetic nanoparticles the uniform precession ($q = 0$ spin wave) mode gives the predominant contribution to the magnetic excitations. The authors have calculated the energy of the uniform mode in antiferromagnetic nanoparticles with uncompensated magnetic moments, using the coherent potential approximation. They have shown, that in the simple uniaxial case, the uncompensated moment has a profound effect on the excitation energy, but in the planar case it is much less significant. In fact it has been shown, that spin wave modes in antiferromagnetics and ferrimagnetics are obe-

ing qualitatively to the same laws, the difference is the only quantitative.

Especially interesting seem to be the resonance phenomena on spin waves. The phenomenon of ferromagnetic spin wave resonance (FMSWR) was theoretically predicted by Kittel [10] and it was experimentally confirmed by Seavey and Tannenwald [11]. On the observation of antiferromagnetic spin wave resonance was claimed in [12], at that the authors have insisted, that their work is the first work in given field. The experiments were performed on epitaxial films of MnF_2 . Let us remark, that the interpretation of rather interesting experimental results, proposed in [12], seems to be incorrect. The authors describe antiferromagnetic spin wave resonance in the frame of the k^2 -dispersion law, that is, in full contradiction with general spin wave theory, briefly above reviewed. The analysis of their results is embarrassed by inaccurate representation of experimental data in [12]. The authors insist, that several SWR modes are unresolved, however concrete number of unresolved modes is not indicated. Taking into account the distance between the first and the second lines in low intensive line sequence in the spectrum of the the sample with the thickness in $0.98 \mu m$, equaled to ≈ 50 G and the distance of the first line in given sequence from the position of main resonance mode equaled to ≈ 83 G, then according to k^2 -dispersion law authors' concept, the first line has to be the seventh mode. It is not in agreement with corresponding distance between the the seventh and the ninth modes in the spectrum of the sample with the thickness in $0.23 \mu m$, since to the splitting in ≈ 50 G in the spectrum of the sample with the thickness in $0.98 \mu m$ has to correspond the splitting $\approx (0.98/0.23)^2 \times 50$ G, that is 907.4 G. At the same time the evaluation of analogous distance, that is the distance between the seventh and the ninth modes from the spectrum of the sample with the thickness in $0.23 \mu m$ gives the value in ≈ 575 G. We see, that the discrepancy is large. Moreover, taking into account the linewidth of the modes, equaled to 8 G, the only the first and the third modes can be unresolved between themselves, but they must give the feature (shoulder or not very pronounced peak) on the wing of much more broad main resonance mode, all the more the mode with number 5 has to be seen. To give the correct explanation for the spectra observed, the additional experimental data have to be represented - the values of ratio of amplitudes of magnetic component of microwave field and the ratio of Q-factors, gain factors for intensity in the spectra of both the samples and also modulation amplitude and modulation frequency used. It can be, in particular, new quantum effect.

Therefore, it is followed from above given analysis, that the work [12] cannot be referred to the bibliography of the works, describing the phenomenon of AFMSWR. At the same time the spin-wave spectrum in antiferromagnets was studied experimentally already in 60th years of the last century, at that the theory of AFMSWR was simultaneously developed. For instance, the role of uniaxial

tension on the spin-wave spectrum in easy-plane antiferromagnets was studied, [13], [14], [15]. It was shown that the effect of uniaxial tension in the basal plane of the antiferromagnet crystal can be described by an effective magnetic field, at that the additional gap arising in the spin-wave spectrum has to be taken into consideration. The effect of uniaxial tension is rather strong. It has been shown, that even weak distortions can significantly modify the spin wave spectrum.

Very interesting results on AFMSWR are reported in [16]. Spin wave resonance lines with extremely large wave numbers corresponding to wave vectors k in the range $10^5 - 10^6 cm^{-1}$ were observed in thin plates of $FeBO_3$. Spin-wave resonance was clearly observed in the temperature interval 30-250 K It was the low frequency branch of the spin-wave spectrum, which was analysed at static magnetic field H , directed transversely to C_3 crystal axis by the relation, taking additionally into account, in distinction from relation (1), Dzyaloshinsky field and magnetoelastic coupling

$$\omega_{1,k} = \gamma \sqrt{H(H + H_D) + H_\Delta^2 + \alpha_1^2 k_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 k_2^2}, \quad (4)$$

where γ is the gyromagnetic ratio, H_D is the Dzyaloshinsky field, H_Δ is a parameter determined by magnetoelastic coupling, α_1 and α_2 are non-uniform exchange constants in the basal plane and along the C_3 -axis respectively, k_1 and k_2 are wave-vector components analogously in the basal plane and along the C_3 -axis respectively, external field H is applied in the basal plane of the crystal.

We have to remark, that there is optical analogue of AFMSWR, that is antiferroelectric spin wave resonance (AFESWR), which was theoretically described and experimentally discovered for the first time by infrared (IR) spectroscopy study of carbynes in [17]. Especially significant was the observation of AFESWR with linear k -dispersion law, where k is magnitude of wave vector \vec{k} , that is the general spin wave theory, [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], was experimentally confirmed for AFESWR-case too. It is in agreement with the theoretical results represented in [17], from which is followed, that the conclusions of the antiferroelectric spin wave theory and antiferromagnetic spin wave theory are qualitatively identical, in particular, the same linear k -dispersion law is taking place (at low k -values).

Discovery of new types of superconducting materials has accelerated in 21th century. Especially interesting was the discovery of superconductivity coexisting with antiferromagnetic ordering in the iron-based layered pnictide compound $LaFeAsO$ (that is, in material with prevailed 2D-dimensional structure). It was reported in [18]. Next, the superconductivity has been discovered in both oxygen containing $RFeAsO$ ($R = La, Nd, Sm$) compounds and in oxygen free AFe_2As_2 ($A = Ba, Sr, Ca$) compounds. It is interesting, that the superconductivity occurs upon doping into the FeAs layers of either electrons or holes.

Let us remark, that owing to the highly two-dimensional structure the pnictides are like to the cuprates. It gave rise to the viewpoint that the physics of the pnictides is similar to the cuprates. However, there is at present the dominating viewpoint that Mott-transition physics does not play a significant role for the iron pnictides, and there are strong indications, that antiferromagnetic ordering is determined by the formation of the spin-density wave (SDW), that is quite another type of antiferromagnetism in comparison with Heisenberg antiferromagnetism of localized spins takes place.

At the same time on the coexistence of the superconductivity with spin wave resonance has not been reported. It will be reported in given work on the observation of uncompensated antiferromagnetic spin wave resonance, that is, in fact, ferrimagnetic spin wave resonance in carbon NTs, which is coexisting with the superconductivity for the first time.

On the experimental revealing of magnetic ordering at all in carbon structurally ordered systems was reported for the first time during the IBMM-Conference in Knoxville, TN, USA [19] and on E-MRS Conference in Strasbourg, France [20]. Let us remark, that almost in the same time was reported on magnetic ordering in structurally non-ordered carbon materials in the work [21], where ferromagnetic ordering in pyrolytic carbon, produced by chemical vapour deposition method was found. Let us also remark, that simultaneously, the reports [19], [20] were the first reports on the formation by high energy ion beam modification (HEIBM) of diamond single crystals structurally and magnetically ordered quasi-one-dimensional (quasi-1D) system along ion tracks, that is, on the formation of new carbon allotropic form, which was identified with nanotubes (NTs), incorporated in diamond matrix in direction, which is precisely coinciding with ion beam direction. Given NTs possess by a number of very interesting physical properties [22], [25], [24]. When concern the only magnetic ordering, it was established, that, for instance, incorporated nanotubes, produced by neon HEIBM of diamond single crystal along $\langle 100 \rangle$ crystallographic direction, possess by weak antiferromagnetic ordering [22], [25], [24]. At the same time, copper HEIBM with implantation direction along $\langle 111 \rangle$ crystal axis, nickel HEIBM with implantation direction along $\langle 110 \rangle$ axis [22], [25], [24] and boron HEIBM of polycrystalline diamond films with implantation direction transversely to film surface [23] lead to formation of NTs, incorporated in diamond matrix, which possess by ferromagnetic ordering. It was established directly by observation of ferromagnetic spin wave resonance [23], [25], [24]. It was found, that magnetic ordering is inherent property for given carbon electronic system and it is not connected with magnetic impurities. Very recently [26], antiferroelectric ordering has been found in the same pure carbon allotropic form - quasi-1D carbon zigzag-shaped nanotubes (CZSNTs), obtained by boron- and copper-HEIBM of diamond single crystals in $\langle 111 \rangle$ -direction. The proof for antiferroelectric ordering was

obtained directly by means of the detection of the new optical phenomenon - antiferroelectric spin wave resonance (AFESWR), which was theoretically described and experimentally confirmed for the first time in [17]. Recently, the physical origin of the mechanism of the formation of ferromagnetic ordering in carbon nanotubes produced by high energy ion beam modification of diamond single crystals in $\langle 110 \rangle$ and $\langle 111 \rangle$ directions has been established. It is determined by asymmetry of spin density distribution in Su-Schrieffer-Heeger topological soliton lattice formed in 1D Fermi quantum liquid state of the only π -electronic subsystem of given NTs [27]. It was experimentally proved, that σ -electronic subsystem does not give any contribution to the mechanism of the formation of ferromagnetic ordering in given NTs.

Quite other picture was observed in carbon NTs, produced by high energy ion beam modification of diamond single crystals in $\langle 100 \rangle$ direction. The strong uncompensated antiferromagnetic ordering magnetic strength characteristics of which are comparable with magnetic strength characteristics of magnetic ordering in atomic systems with unfilled or partly filled inner atomic shells] coexisting with superconductivity at room temperature is argued in the work [28]. The mechanisms of superconducting state formation are proposed to be the following. On the one hand, s-wave mechanism, mediated by the coupling of charge carriers with stretched phonon modes like to those ones, taking place in MgB_2 [29], heavily boron doped diamond [30], [31], [32], [33] and sandwich S-Si-QW-S structures [34] seems to be realised. Moreover, just crimped cylindrical shape allows to increase the strength of C-C bonds by preservation of high density of the states on Fermi surface, resulting from low dimensionality (quasi-1D) of NTs. On the 'other hand, the multiband structure of valence and conductivity bands allows to realise the formation of joint antiferromagnetic-superconducting state by means of the $s\pm$ -wave and p -wave formation like to pnictides. Taylor expansion over dimerization coordinate of electron-electron interaction and electron-phonon interaction indicate on the possibility of the formation of superconducting state by different channels. The independent on dimerization coordinate (which can be both in static and dynamic states) electron-electron repulsion terms can give the contribution to antiferromagnetic-superconducting s^+ -state formation like to pnictides. The attractive terms, which are proportional to dimerization coordinate, can lead to formation of superconducting s-state by two mechanisms. The first mechanism is like to BCS-superconductivity mechanism [35]. The second mechanism is new. It is mediated by the coupling of charge carriers with stretched phonon modes in C-C bonds.

Especially interesting seems to be the role of external quantized EM-field, since the only in resonance conditions the switch to superconducting state was realised. It was explained by appearance by resonance of long-lived coherent system of resonance hypersound phonons. It means, that quantized radiospectroscopy-range EM-field

has to be working constituent for realisation of oom temperature superconducting state. It was suggested, that the room temperature superconducting state in $\langle 100 \rangle$ -NTs, incorporated in diamond matrix is the superposition of a number of superconducting-wave states above indicated.

The main aim of the work presented is to obtain experimentally the exact experimental proof for the conclusions in [28].

II. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE

The same samples, that in [28], that is the samples of type IIa natural diamond, implanted by high energy ions of nickel (the energy of ions in ion beam was 335 MeV) have been studied. The absolute spin number in each of the samples studied did not exceed before implantation the value $\approx 10^{12}$ spins. Therefore, the samples were magnetically pure samples. Ion implantation (ion beam dose was $5 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) was performed along $\langle 100 \rangle$ crystal direction, that is, transversely to sample (100)-plane uniformly along all the plane surface. The temperature of the samples during the implantation was controlled and it did not exceed 400 K.

X-band ESR-spectrometer "Radiopan" was used for the registration of magnetic resonance spectra. They were registered by using of TE_{102} mode rectangular cavity at room temperature. The ruby standard sample was permanently placed in the cavity on its sidewall. One of the lines of ESR absorption by Cr^{3+} point paramagnetic centers (PC) in ruby crystal was used for the correct relative intensity measurements of resonance absorption, for the calibration of the amplitude value of magnetic component of the microwave field and for precise phase tuning of modulation field. The correct relative intensity measurements become to be possible owing to unsaturating behavior of ESR absorption in ruby in the range of the microwave power applied, which was ≈ 100 mW in the absence of attenuation. Unsaturable character of the absorption in a ruby standard was confirmed by means of the measurements of the absorption intensities in two identical ruby samples in dependence on the microwave power level. The first sample was standard sample, permanently placed in the cavity, the second sample was placed in the cavity away from the loop of magnetic component of microwave field so, that its resonance line intensity was about 0.1 of the intensity of corresponding line of the standard sample. The slightly different orientation of the samples allowed the simultaneous registration of both the samples without overlapping of their absorption lines. The foregoing intensity ratio about 0.1 was precisely preserved for all microwave power values in the range used. Consequently, ruby samples are really good standard samples by ESR spectroscopy studies.

III. RESULTS

The ESR spectrum observed in carbon nanotubes, produced by nickel high energy $\langle 100 \rangle$ ion beam modification of natural diamond single crystals, is presented in Figure 1 in crystal direction [111]. It was reported in [28], that the spectrum observed was excited spontaneously the only by very precise orientation of external static magnetic field \vec{H}_0 in the $0\bar{1}1$ plane of the sample and that resulting spectrum was consisting of three clearly pronounced lines, at that two from given new lines have rather large anisotropic linewidths and from two very broad lines, which were registered only partly in the range of magnetic fields 0-4000 G. We will preserve the designations for three clearly pronounced lines, which were used in [28], that is R_b for the relatively right broad line and by L for the more broad left line. The right broad line was overlapped with relatively narrow almost isotropic line, designated by R_n (given line was observed by usual(that is not very precise) sample orientation). Very broad strongly intensive anisotropic absorption can be characterised by two dip positions (in integrated spectrum) at ~ 2410 G and ~ 2892 G (corresponding to two different lines by spectrum registration in the direction coinciding with [111] diamond lattice direction, Figure 1. It was established in [28], that two dip positions for given background absorption were coinciding by static magnetic field direction in 60 degrees from [100] diamond crystal direction, that was argued to be the display of the fact, that the symmetry of the interaction, leading to the appearance of very strong background absorption is determined by inherent magnetic symmetry of NTs, produced by [100] HEIBM, which is not coinciding with structure symmetry of given NTs.

Let us summarise to better understanding of subsequent discussion the experimental result,described in [28]. Dependencies of absorption amplitudes of L-line and R_b line on magnetic component of microwave field at fixed orientation of polarising magnetic field $\vec{H}_0||[100]$ crystal axis have been studied. Given dependencies were quite different for L-line and R_b line. The dependence for L-line is superlinear. It is similar to the dependencies, which were earlier observed in the samples, modified by HEIBM with copper, neon, nickel ions (however with dose 5×10^{14}) [22], [25], [24], that is, in the case of entire modification of diamond layer, which is localised near surface. In that case the layer consists the only of NTs, which seem to be interacting each other, that in its turn leads to more short spin-lattice relaxation time T_1 for individual spin carrier (see for more detailed explanation the papers [22], [25], [24]. In the studied sample (integral dose is 5×10^{13}), individual NTs are isolated by diamond structure, nevertheless the superlinear dependence is taking place, indicating on another mechanism of T_1 shortening. Dependence of absorption amplitude of the right broad line R_b in ESR spectrum of NTs on magnetic component of microwave field was found to be strongly nonlinear. It is characterised for the values of

relative magnetic component of microwave field $H_1/H_1^{(0)}$ in the range (0-0.75) by usual saturating law, but in the range (0.75-1) it acquires prominent superlinear nonsaturating character [28]. Given dependence was observed in ESR-spectroscopy for the first time. Angular dependence of g-factor of the line L consists of two branches. One branch is in the angle range 0-60 degrees from [100] crystal lattice direction (which is coinciding with NT axis direction), the second branch is in the angle range 60-90 degrees. It was remarked in [28], that the connection point of two branches, equaled to 60 degrees for the g-values of L-line is coinciding with the point of the junction of two dips in the very broad (and consequently very intensive) absorption, testifying on the same (or related) nature of the resonance processes, which are responsible for the appearance of L-line and very broad lines. It was also found, that the deviation of g-values from free electron value $g = 2.0023$ is very large, at that the minimal value is achieved in the range 16-20 degrees from the [011] direction in diamond lattice and it is equal to ≈ 2.0719 , maximal g-value corresponds to NT axis direction, that is to [100] crystal lattice direction and it is equal to ≈ 2.3120 . Given values are characteristic for the systems with the strong magnetic ordering. Given data were interpreted to be the direct proof of the spontaneous transition of NT system, incorporated in diamond lattice, in the state with the strong magnetic ordering. Angular dependence of ESR absorption intensity of the line L has qualitatively opposite character to g-factor dependence. The maximal absorption value corresponds to the direction, being to be transversal to NT axis, which is coincides with [011] direction in diamond lattice. Additional maximum is observed at 60 degrees from given direction. It is characteristic, that both the maxima in angular dependence of absorption intensity of the line L are observed also in angular dependence of its linewidth. It is indication, that the main features in angular dependence of ESR absorption intensity are governed by angular dependence of linewidth. Especially interesting, that the line L is asymmetric with values of the ratio A/B of the asymmetry extent, which are quite different in comparison with those ones by usual Dyson shape [36]. Let us remark, that if Dyson effect is taking place, then by usual tuning of microwave phase on absorption registration the value A/B is equal to 2.55 for derivative of the resonance signal in the case of static (immobile) paramagnetic centers (PC) in conductive media when the samples are thick in comparison with the skin depth. It is determined by the space dispersion contribution [37], which is appeared by ESR detection in conductive media. It corresponds to the ratio of space dispersion contribution and absorption contribution to resulting ESR response equaled to (1 : 1) [37]. The value A/B for absorption derivative is increasing from 2.55 to more than 19 for mobile PC in dependence on velocity of the spin diffusion [38]. In the case of thin samples the ratio A/B has intermediate values, between 1 and above indicated, depending on the thickness of the samples. It was found, that the ratio

A/B is less than 1 and it is strongly anisotropic. The maximal A/B value is near [111] crystal lattice direction and it is equal to 0.83. The minimal A/B value is near 60 degrees from [011] crystal lattice direction and it is equal to 0.49. It was also remarked, that by Dyson effect in conducting samples (in particular in the samples with metallic NTs, producing the network) the maximal deviation from the ratio A/B = 1 has to be observed by microwave field propagation direction along the sample side with maximal size, that is by H_0 along [100] crystal direction, in the case, when the network is opaque for microwave field in direction, transverse to NT axis direction, or by H_0 along [011] in the case, when the network is opaque the only for microwave field propagation in direction, coinciding with NT axes. The observed maximal deviation of ratio A/B from A/B = 1 at ≈ 60 degrees from [011] confirms the conclusion on nontrivial nature of Dyson-like effect in the case studied.

It has been found to be substantial, that Q-factor is increasing in the ranges, where deviation of ratio A/B from A/B = 1 is also increasing, that is, increase is starting near 60 degrees from [011] crystal lattice direction and increase takes place in the range near 10-30 degrees from the same [011] crystal direction. For usual Dyson effect it has to be conversely, Q-factor has to be minimal in the direction of maximal deviation of ratio A/B from A/B = 1, that is near 30 degrees from [100] crystal lattice direction. However, it was found, that Q-factor has in given direction the maximal value.

It was argued in [28], that the results above described are agreed with spontaneous transition of the system to the state which characterises by coexistence simultaneously of antiferromagnetic (AFM) ordering and superconductivity, which is realized in electron spin resonance conditions and it is absent without resonance. It was also concluded, that the nature of given state and mechanisms, leading to its formation cannot be entirely coinciding with all known ones. Really, the suggestion on just AFM ordering (but not ferromagnetic) is in agreement with observation of two both very broad and moderately broad lines. The appearance of two resonance lines (if linearly polarised microwave field is used by detection) was established by Kittel in the work [39], which was the first work on the theory of AFM-resonance. We have found, that magnetic moments of two sublattices being to be opposite directed are uncompensated in their magnitude, that is, strongly speaking, we are dealing with uncompensated AFM-resonance or in other words with ferrimagnetic resonance. This is so indeed, since the ratio of intensities of the absorption, corresponding to L and R_b -lines is equal to ≈ 3.5 .

The experimental spectra were analysed more carefully. It has been found, that along with main uncompensated AFM-modes the uncompensated AFM spin wave resonance (SWR) modes are also excited. They are clearly registered on right side from main uncompensated AFM-modes, see Figure 2, where the modes with the numbers $m = \bar{1}, \bar{3}$ are represented. The splitting between




Figure 1: Spectral distribution of ESR absorption intensity in diamond single crystal, implanted by high energy nickel ions by beam direction transversely (100) sample plane, the sample was rotated in $(0\bar{1}1)$ plane, $\vec{H}_0 \parallel [111]$ crystal axis




Figure 2: Detailed spectral distribution of magnetic resonance absorption intensity in the range 3000 - 4100 Gauss in diamond single crystal, implanted by high energy nickel ions by beam direction transversely (100) sample plane, the sample was rotated in $(0\bar{1}1)$ plane, $\vec{H}_0 \parallel [111]$ crystal axis

the modes is the following: between the center of "gravity" of two main AFM-modes and the first SWR-mode the splitting is equal to $246 \pm 5G$, between the first SWR-mode and the second SWR-mode it is equal to $228 \pm 10G$ and the distance between the second and the third SWR-modes is equal to $251 \pm 15G$. Therefore, we have nearly equidistant SWR splitting, that is SWR with practically linear dispersion law and consequently the direct proof for the formation of uncompensated AFM ordering.




Figure 3: Dependence of AFMSWR mode intensity on mode number in diamond single crystal, implanted by high energy nickel ions by beam direction transversely (100) sample plane, the sample was rotated in $(0\bar{1}1)$ plane, $\vec{H}_0 \parallel [111]$ crystal axis

The shape of SWR-modes and their intensity distribution were analysed. Taking into account the experimental distribution for the values of amplitudes A_i , $i = \bar{1}, \bar{3}$, of SWR-modes $A_1:A_2:A_3 = 1.06 : 2.65 : 1.83$ and the linewidth ΔH_j , $j = \bar{1}, \bar{3}$, $\Delta H_1 = 35.7 \pm 3G$, $\Delta H_2 = 49.6 \pm 5G$, $\Delta H_3 = 134 \pm 8G$, we obtain the intensity distribution, represented in Figure 3. It is seen, that the strong growth of intensity is observed with the mode number increasing. So, the intensity of the third mode is greater by a factor of 24.3 of the intensity of the first mode. Given growth can be approximated by exponential dependence, although it is evident, that the number of experimental points is insufficient upto insist, that given law is really takes place. Nevertheless, the strong growth of intensity with mode number is unusual for all earlier known magnetic and electrical SWR resonances, for which the intensity of the mode with greater number is not exceeding the intensity of the mode with lower number, see, for instance, [11], [40], [17]. It seems to be very useful to analyse the asymmetry extent A/B of the modes observed. It was done for the only second and the third modes. It is interesting, that the deviation of asymmetry extent from 1 is positive in distinction from the case of the main AFM-modes. The ratio A/B is equal 1.07 ± 0.03 for the second mode and it is equal 1.25 ± 0.05 for the third mode. Therefore, there is clear tendency to the increase of asymmetry extent with mode number increase.

IV. DISCUSSION

It will be further argued, that the additional results above described confirm the preliminary conclusions of the work [28], that is spontaneous transition of the sys-

tem studied to the state which characterises by coexistence simultaneously of uncompensated AFM ordering and superconductivity is really takes place in magnetic resonance conditions. We will show, that the peculiarities of angular dependences of cavity Q-factor and A/B ratio, the numerical values of A/B ratio for both main uncompensated AFM-modes and AFMSWR modes are not connected with usual Dyson effect and they are determined by quite other mechanism.

It is known, that the dynamical spin susceptibility of a superconductor with s^+ channel is given by an formula, obtained by random phase approximation (RPA) method in [41]. Within RPA the spin response has an operator form. It is

$$\hat{\chi}_s(\vec{q}, \Omega) = [\hat{I} - \hat{\Gamma}\hat{\chi}_s^0(\vec{q}, \Omega)]^{-1}\hat{\chi}_s^0(\vec{q}, \Omega), \quad (5)$$

where \hat{I} is the unit operator, $\hat{\Gamma}$ is the coupling operator, $\hat{\chi}_s^0(\vec{q}, \Omega)$ is the operator, formed by the interband and intraband bare susceptibilities. Given relation was analysed in the work [42] in application to iron based superconductors. However, the result obtained will be qualitatively true for any superconductor with s^+ channel. It has been found, that in the normal state, the observable quantity, corresponding to dynamical spin susceptibility operator is only weakly logarithmically depends on frequency. In a superconducting state, it has a resonance behavior. In the absence of SDW instability the authors of [42] have obtained the following expression for resonance frequency

$$\Omega = \sqrt{v^2(\vec{q} - \vec{Q})^2 - (\Omega_0)^2}, \quad (6)$$

where $v = \frac{v_F}{\sqrt{2}}$, v_F is Fermi velocity, \vec{Q} is momentum, corresponding to point in the folded Brillouin zone, around which electron pockets are centered. Ω_0 in (6) is

$$\Omega_0 = 2\Delta\sqrt{(\Gamma_{SDW}^r)^{-1} - \log\frac{E_F}{E_0}}, \quad (7)$$

where E_F is Fermi energy, Γ_{SDW}^r is factor, characterising interband interaction and E_0 is the largest of superconducting gap Δ and the cutoff energy associated with nonequivalence of the Fermi surfaces for electrons and holes. The shape of given resonance was represented in Figure 3 of [41]. It is seen, that the shape is strongly asymmetric. The authors have also found that the resonance peak is confined to the AFM wave vector \vec{Q} and disappears rapidly for $|\vec{q}| < |\vec{Q}|$. So already at $|\vec{q}| = 0.995|\vec{Q}|$ the susceptibility is much smaller than its value at $|\vec{Q}|$. Physically the appearance of magnetic resonance behavior in s^+ superconductors is determined by the presence of the magnetic fluctuation spectrum consisting of the continuum of the AFM spin fluctuations peaked at \vec{Q} and which arise to be the consequence of the interband scattering.

Spin resonance in s^+ superconductor was compared in [41] and in [42] with the spin resonance in $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductors. Both the resonances have the similarities and differences. On the one hand, they are excitonic resonances, and they occur because the superconducting gap changes sign between the Fermi surface points with momenta \vec{k} and $\vec{k} + \vec{Q}$. On the other hand, the resonance frequency in a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductors disperses downward because of the nodes, while for a nodeless s^+ superconductor, the resonance disperses upward, with large velocity. Concerning our data, it is seen from Figure 1 in given paper and from Figures 1 to 3 and Figure 9 in [28], that symmetry extent of resonance line L is in agreement with the formation of s^+ superconducting state in the sample studied. Really, to more intensive right side of resonance line by its registration with the frequency scan will correspond the line with more intensive left side by its registration with the fixed frequency and by scan of static magnetic field.

Therefore, asymmetry of spin resonance in superconductors has quite other origin in comparison with Dyson effect in metals (or other conducting media). We will show that AFMSWR resonance in s^+ superconductors has also peculiarities, which allow to detect the superconducting state. They are the following.

1. The change of asymmetry extent of resonance modes in comparison with main AFM modes (positive deviation from A/B = 1) and its increase with mode number increase.

It can be explained by the fact, that AFMSWR modes have nodes, that, like to the resonance lines in a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductors become the opposite asymmetry in comparison with s^+ nodeless wave registered directly by AFM resonance. It is clear, that positive deviation from 1 will growth with increase of node number, that is, with mode number increase, that actually takes place. 2. The substantial growth of the intensity of the AFMSWR modes with mode number increase, see Figure 3.

Let us remark that intensity conservation law for SWR modes was found for NTs incorporated in diamond matrix with other implantation directions [24], carbynes and for some organic quasi-1D substances (polyvinylidenehalogenides - PVDF) [17]. At that, there are similarities in spectroscopic properties between FMSWR, observed by ESR in ferromagnetically ordered 1D-lattices of topological solitons (SSH-solitons or those ones, belonging to SSH-class) and antiferroelectric SWR (AFESWR). So, amplitude of FMSWR modes are decreasing with mode number m proportionally $\frac{1}{m}$. At the same time, the linewidths are increasing by such a way, that intensity of the modes is practically conserved [24]. It holds by AFESWR also true. So, in [17] is given the concrete example - the ratio of relative amplitudes of the first to the second AFESWR modes in PVDF sample, is $1.9(\pm 0.2) \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The linewidths are 19 and $37(\pm 1.5) \text{ cm}^{-1}$, which gives the same ratio for the linewidths of the second to the first modes. Given property was considered to be sufficient to insist, that topological quasiparticles are re-

sponsible for SWR. In the other earlier known cases, for instance by FMSWR in ferromagnetic metals, the intensity of SWR modes is decreasing with mode number increasing, see, for instance, Figure 1 in [11].

The substantial increase of the intensity of AFMSWR modes with mode number increasing becomes to be understandable, if to take into account the presence of the magnetic fluctuation spectrum consisting of the continuum of the AFM spin fluctuations peaked at \vec{Q} . For AFMSWR modes $|\vec{q}| \neq 0$ and $|\vec{q}|$ is increasing with mode number increasing coming near to the value of \vec{Q} . Then the dynamical magnetization will be determined by Fourier component of the magnetic fluctuation field on the operating microwave frequency of the spectrometers, which is added to dynamical magnetization produced by magnetic component of microwave field used.

Therefore, we obtain the direct experimental proof of the formation of superconducting s^+ channel in the sample studied. The possibility of the realization of another channels and the role of resonance conditions are discussed in [28].

V. CONCLUSIONS

The phenomenon of ferrimagnetic spin wave resonance [uncompensated antiferromagnetic spin wave resonance] has been detected for the first time. Given phenomenon was observed in carbon nanotubes, produced by high energy ion beam modification of diamond single crystals in $\langle 100 \rangle$ direction. The fact itself of observation of uncompensated antiferromagnetic spin wave resonance is

direct proof of the formation of antiferromagnetic ordering [uncompensated], which is found rather strong. It is comparable with magnetic ordering in classical magnetic substances, elementary units of which contains the elements with unfilled inner atomic shells. Given property of carbon is established also for the first time. Spin wave resonance observed has two main peculiarities.

1. The opposite deviation of the asymmetry extent ratio A/B from 1 of resonance modes in comparison with main AFM mode, at that it increases with mode number increase. It is explained qualitatively by existence of nodes like to explanation of the asymmetry extent of the resonance lines in a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductors.

2. The substantial increase of the intensity of AFM-SWR modes with mode number increase. It is explained by taking into account the presence of the magnetic fluctuation spectrum consisting of the continuum of the AFM spin fluctuations peaked at AFM vector \vec{Q} . For AFM-SWR modes wave vector $|\vec{q}| \neq 0$ and $|\vec{q}|$ is increasing with mode number increase, coming near to the value of \vec{Q} . Then the dynamical magnetization will be determined by Fourier component of the magnetic fluctuation field with the frequency, coinciding with the operating microwave frequency of the spectrometer. Given component is added to dynamical magnetization produced by magnetic component of microwave field used and it determines mode intensity growth.

The peculiarities of AFMSWR above indicated allow to insist on the formation in given nanotubes of s^+ -superconductivity at room temperature, coexisting with uncompensated antiferromagnetic ordering.

-
- [1] Bloch F, Z.Physik, **61** (1930) 206
 [2] Hulthén L, Proc.Roy.Acad.Sci.(Amsterdam), **39** (1936) 190
 [3] Anderson P W, Phys.Rev., **86** (1952) 694-701
 [4] Keffer F, Thesis, Berkeley, January 1952
 [5] Keffer F, Kaplan H, Yafet Y, American Journal of Physics, **21**, N 4 (1953) 250-257
 [6] Ziman J M, Proc.Phys.Soc.(London), **A65** (1952) 540
 [7] Nakamura T., Progr.Theor.Phys., **7** (1952) 539
 [8] Tani K., Progr.Theor.Phys., **31**, N 3 (1964) 335-356
 [9] Bahl C R H, Garde J, Lefmann K, Jensen T B S, Lindgard P-A, Madsen D E and Morup S, Eur.Phys.J.B, **62** (2008) 53-57
 [10] Kittel C, Phys.Rev., **110**, N 6 (1958) 1295-1297
 [11] Seavey M H, Jr, Tannenwald P E, Phys.Rev.Lett., **1**, N 5 (1958) 168-170
 [12] Lui M, Ramos C A, King A R, and Jaccarino V, J.Appl.Phys., **67** (1990) 5518-5520
 [13] Turov E A, Gusejnov N G, Sov.Phys.JETP, **38** (1960) 1326
 [14] Borovik-Romanov A.S, Rudashevsky E G, Sov.Phys.JETP, **47** (1964) 2095
 [15] Turov E A, Shavrov V G, Fiz.Tverd.Tela **7** (1965) 217
 [16] Krug v.Nidda H-A, Svistov L E, Prozorova L A, Low Temp.Phys. **36** (2010) 736; DOI 10.1063/1.3490859
 [17] Yearchuck D, Yerchak Y, Alexandrov A, Phys.Lett.A, **373**, N 4 (2009) 489-495
 [18] Kamihara Y., Watanabe T., Hirano M., and Hosono H., J.Am.Chem.Soc., **130** (2008) 3296
 [19] Erchak D.P, Penina N.M, Stelmakh V.F, Tolstykh VP, Zaitsev AM, The 7th Int.Conf.IBMM 90, Abstracts, Knoxville, USA, 1990, p.313
 [20] Efimov V.G, Erchak D.P, Gelfand R.B, Penina N.M, Stelmakh V.F, VS Varichenko, Ulyashin A.G, Zaitsev AM, E-MRS 1990 Fall Meeting, Abstracts, Strasbourg, France, 1990, p.C-V/P 12
 [21] Kawataba K., Mizutani M., Fukuda M., Mizogami S., Synthetic Metals, **33** (1989) 399-402
 [22] Erchak D.P, Efimov V.G, Zaitsev AM, Stelmakh V.F, Penina N.M, Varichenko VS, Tolstykh VP, Nucl.Instrum.Meth.in Phys.Res.,B, **69** (1992) 443-451
 [23] Erchak D.P, Guseva M.B, Alexandrov A.F, Alexander H, Pilar v.Pilchau A, Pis'ma Zh.Experiment.Teor.Fiz., **58**, N 4 (1993) 268-271, JETP Letters, **58**, N 4 (1993) 275-278
 [24] Ertchak D.P, Efimov V.G, Stelmakh V.F, Review, Zh.Prikladn.Spectr., **64**, N 4 (1997) 421-449, J.Appl.Spectr., **64**, N 4, (1997) 433-460

- [25] Ertchak D.P, Efimov V.G, Stelmakh V.F, Martinovich V.A, Alexandrov A.F, Guseva M B, Penina N.M, Karpovich I.A, Varichenko V S, Zaitsev A M, Fahrner W R, Fink D, *Phys.Stat.Sol.,b*, **203**, N2 (1997) 529-548
- [26] Yerchuck D, Dovlatova A, *J.Phys.Chem.,C*, DOI: 10.1021/jp205549b, **116**, N 1 (2012) 63-80
- [27] Yerchuck D, Stelmakh V, Dovlatova A, Yerchak Y, Alexandrov A, in press
- [28] Yerchuck D, Stelmakh V, Dovlatova A, Yerchak Y, Alexandrov A, in press
- [29] Nagamitsu J., Nakagawa N., Muranaka T., and Akimitsu J., *Nature*, **410** (2001) 63
- [30] Ekimov E A, Sidorov V A, Bauer E D, Mel'nik N N, Curro N J, Thompson J D, and Stishov S M, *Nature*, **428** (2004) 542
- [31] Takano Y., M. Nagao, K. Kobayashi, H. Umezawa, I.Sakaguchi, M. Tachiki, T. Hatano, and H.Kawarada, *Appl.Phys.Lett.*, **85** (2004) 2581
- [32] Blase X, Adessi Ch, Connetable D, *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, **93** (2004) 237004
- [33] Lee K.-W. and Pickett W E, *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, **93** (2004) 237003
- [34] Bagraev N T, Gehlhoff W, Klyachkin L E, Malyarenko A M, and Romanov V V, arXiv:0806.2800v1 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [35] Bardeen J, Cooper L N, Schrieffer J.R, *Phys.Rev.*, **108**, N 5 (1957) 1175-1204
- [36] Dyson, F D *Phys.Rev.* **1955**, *98*, 349-359
- [37] Erchak D.P, Zaitsev A M, Stel'makh V.F, Tkachev V D, *Phys.Tekhn.Polupr.*, **14**, N 1 (1980) 139-143, *Sov.Phys.Semicond., USA*, **14**, N 1 (1980) 79-82
- [38] Poole C P, Jr, *Technique of EPR-spectroscopy*, Moscow, Mir, 1970, 557 pp
- [39] Kittel C, *Phys.Rev.*, **82** (1951) 565
- [40] Yearchuck D, Yerchak Y, Kirilenko A, Popechits V, *Doklady NANB*, **52** (2008) 48-53
- [41] Korshunov M.M, Eremin I, *Phys.Rev.B*, **78** (2008) 140509(R)
- [42] Chubukov A V, Efremov D V, and Eremin I, *Phys.Rev.B*, **78** (2008) 134512-134512-10