

Fuzzy equilibrium existence for Bayesian abstract fuzzy economies and applications to random quasi-variational inequalities with random fuzzy mappings

Monica Patriche

University of Bucharest e-mail: monica.patriche@yahoo.com

The date of receipt and acceptance will be inserted by the editor

Abstract In this paper, we introduce a Bayesian abstract fuzzy economy model and we prove the Bayesian fuzzy equilibrium existence. As applications, we prove the existence of the solutions for two types of random quasi-variational inequalities with random fuzzy mappings and we also obtain random fixed point theorems.

Key words Bayesian abstract fuzzy economy, Bayesian fuzzy equilibrium, incomplete information, random fixed point, random quasi-variational inequalities, random fuzzy mapping.

AMS Subject Classification: 58E35, 47H10, 91B50, 91A44.

1 INTRODUCTION

The study of fuzzy games has begun with the paper written by Kim and Lee in 1998 [16]. This type of games is a generalization of classical abstract economies. For an overview of results concerning this topic, the reader is referred to [24]. Though, the existence of random fuzzy equilibrium has not been studied by now. We introduce the new model of Bayesian abstract fuzzy economy and explore the existence of the Bayesian fuzzy equilibrium. Our model is characterized by a private information set, an action (strategy) fuzzy mapping, a random fuzzy constraint one and a random fuzzy preference mapping. The Bayesian fuzzy equilibrium concept is an extension of

Correspondence to:

University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, 14 Academiei Street, 010014 Bucharest, Romania

the deterministic equilibrium. We generalize the former deterministic models introduced by Debreu [8], Shafer and Sonnenschein [25], Yannelis and Prabhakar [29] or Patriche [24] and search for applications.

Since Fichera and Stampacchia introduced the variational inequalities (in 1960s), this domain has been extensively studied. For recent results we refer the reader to [1]-[4], [6],[7], [12], [17], [20]-[22], [26], [28] and the bibliography therein. Noor and Elsanousi [19] introduced the notion of a random variational inequality. Existence of solutions of the random variational inequality and random quasi-variational inequality problems has been proved, for instance, in [12], [13], [18], [27], [33].

In this paper, we first define the model of the Bayesian abstract fuzzy economy and we prove a theorem of Bayesian fuzzy equilibrium existence. Then we apply it in order to prove the existence of solutions for two types of random quasi-variational inequalities with random fuzzy mappings. We generalize some results obtained by Yuan in [27]. As a consequence, we obtain random fixed point theorems.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, some notational and terminological conventions are given. We also present, for the reader's convenience, some results on Bochner integration. In Section 3, the model of differential information abstract fuzzy economy is introduced and the main result is stated. Section 4 contains existence results for solutions of random quasi-variational inequalities with random fuzzy mappings.

2 NOTATION AND DEFINITION

Throughout this paper, we shall use the following notation:

1. \mathbb{R}_{++} denotes the set of strictly positive reals. $\text{co}D$ denotes the convex hull of the set D . $\overline{\text{co}}D$ denotes the closed convex hull of the set D . 2^D denotes the set of all non-empty subsets of the set D . If $D \subset Y$, where Y is a topological space, $\text{cl}D$ denotes the closure of D .

For the reader's convenience, we review a few basic definitions and results from continuity and measurability of correspondences and Bochner integrable functions.

Let Z and Y be sets.

Definition 1 *The graph of the correspondence $P : Z \rightarrow 2^Y$ is the set $G_P = \{(z, y) \in Z \times Y : y \in P(z)\}$.*

Let Z, Y be topological spaces and $P : Z \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a correspondence.

1. P is said to be *upper semicontinuous* if for each $z \in Z$ and each open set V in Y with $P(z) \subset V$, there exists an open neighborhood U of z in Z such that $P(y) \subset V$ for each $y \in U$.

2. P is said to be *lower semicontinuous* if for each $z \in Z$ and each open set V in Y with $P(z) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, there exists an open neighborhood U of z in Z such that $P(y) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in U$.

Lemma 1 (see [32]). Let Z and Y be two topological spaces and let D be an open subset of Z . Suppose $P_1 : Z \rightarrow 2^Y$, $P_2 : Z \rightarrow 2^Y$ are upper semicontinuous correspondences such that $P_2(z) \subset P_1(z)$ for all $z \in D$. Then the correspondence $P : Z \rightarrow 2^Y$ defined by

$$P(z) = \begin{cases} P_1(z), & \text{if } z \notin D, \\ P_2(z), & \text{if } z \in D \end{cases}$$

is also upper semicontinuous.

Definition 2 Let Y be a metric space and Y' be its dual. $P : Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ is said to be monotone if $\operatorname{Re}\langle u - v, y - x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $u \in P(y)$ and $v \in P(x)$ and $x, y \in Y$.

Let now (Ω, F, μ) be a complete, finite measure space, and Y be a topological space.

1. The correspondence $P : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to have a *measurable graph* if $G_P \in F \otimes \beta(Y)$, where $\beta(Y)$ denotes the Borel σ -algebra on Y and \otimes denotes the product σ -algebra.

2. The correspondence $T : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to be *lower measurable* if for every open subset V of Y , the set $\{\omega \in \Omega : T(\omega) \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ is an element of F .

Recall (see Debreu [9], p. 359) that if $T : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has a measurable graph, then T is lower measurable. Furthermore, if $T(\cdot)$ is closed valued and lower measurable then $T : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has a measurable graph.

Lemma 2 (see [15]). Let $P_n : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ be a sequence of correspondences with measurable graphs. Then the correspondences $\cup_n P_n$, $\cap_n P_n$ and $Y \setminus P_n$ have measurable graphs.

Let (Ω, F, μ) be a measure space and Y be a Banach space.

It is known (see [15], Theorem 2, p.45) that, if $x : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is a μ -measurable function, then x is Bochner integrable if and only if $\int_{\Omega} \|x(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) < \infty$.

It is denoted by $L_1(\mu, Y)$ the space of equivalence classes of Y -valued Bochner integrable functions $x : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ normed by $\|x\| = \int_{\Omega} \|x(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega)$.

Also it is known (see [9], p.50) that $L_1(\mu, Y)$ is a Banach space.

Definition 3 The correspondence $P : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to be *integrably bounded* if there exists a map $h \in L_1(\mu, R)$ such that $\sup\{\|x\| : x \in P(\omega)\} \leq h(\omega)$ μ -a.e.

We denote by S_P^1 the set of all selections of the correspondence $P : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ that belong to the space $L_1(\mu, Y)$, i.e.

$$S_P^1 = \{x \in L_1(\mu, Y) : x(\omega) \in P(\omega) \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}\}.$$

Further, we will see the conditions under which S_P^1 is nonempty and weakly compact in $L_1(\mu, Y)$. Aumann measurable selection theorem (see Appendix) and Diestel's Theorem (see Appendix) are necessary.

Let $F(Y)$ be a collection of all fuzzy sets over Y .

Definition 4 A mapping $P : \Omega \rightarrow F(Y)$ is called a fuzzy mapping. If P is a fuzzy mapping from Ω , $P(\omega)$ is a fuzzy set on Y and $P(\omega)(y)$ is the membership function of y in $P(\omega)$.

Let $A \in F(Y)$, $a \in [0, 1]$, then the set $(A)_a = \{y \in Y : A(y) \geq a\}$ is called an a -cut set of fuzzy set A .

Definition 5 A fuzzy mapping $P : \Omega \rightarrow F(Y)$ is said to be measurable if for any given $a \in [0, 1]$, $(P(\cdot))_a : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a measurable set-valued mapping.

Definition 6 We say that a fuzzy mapping $P : \Omega \rightarrow F(Y)$ is said to have a measurable graph if for any given $a \in [0, 1]$, the set-valued mapping $(P(\cdot))_a : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has a measurable graph.

Definition 7 A fuzzy mapping $P : \Omega \times X \rightarrow F(Y)$ is called a random fuzzy mapping if for any given $x \in X$, $P(\cdot, x) : \Omega \rightarrow F(Y)$ is a measurable fuzzy mapping.

3 BAYESIAN FUZZY EQUILIBRIUM EXISTENCE FOR BAYESIAN ABSTRACT FUZZY ECONOMIES

3.1 THE MODEL OF A BAYESIAN ABSTRACT FUZZY ECONOMY

We now define the next model of the Bayesian abstract fuzzy economy which generalizes the model in [23].

Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite measure space, where Ω denotes the set of states of nature of the world and the σ -algebra F , denotes the set of events. Let Y denote the strategy or commodity space, where Y is a separable Banach space.

Let I be a countable or uncountable set (the set of agents). Let $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ a fuzzy mapping and $z \in (0, 1]$.

Let $L_{X_i} = \{x_i \in S_{(X_i(\cdot))_z} : x_i \text{ is } F_i\text{-measurable}\}$. Denote by $L_X = \prod_{i \in I} L_{X_i}$ and by $L_{X_{-i}}$ the set $\prod_{j \neq i} L_{X_j}$. An element x_i of L_{X_i} is called a strategy for agent i . The typical element of L_{X_i} is denoted by \tilde{x}_i and that of $X_i(\omega)$ by $x_i(\omega)$ (or x_i).

Definition 8 A general Bayesian abstract fuzzy economy is a family $G = \{(\Omega, F, \mu), (X_i, F_i, A_i, P_i, a_i, b_i, z_i)_{i \in I}\}$, where

- (1) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is the *action (strategy) fuzzy mapping* of agent i ,
- (2) F_i is a sub σ -algebra of F which denotes the *private information of agent i* ,
- (3) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $A_i(\omega, \cdot) : L_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is the *random fuzzy constraint mapping of agent i* ;
- (4) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $P_i(\omega, \cdot) : L_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is the *random fuzzy preference mapping of agent i* ;
- (5) $z \in (0, 1]$ is such that for all $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \subset (X_i(\omega))_z$ and $(P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} \subset X_i(\omega)_z$;
- (6) $a : S_X^1 \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is a random fuzzy constraint function and $p : S_X^1 \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is a random fuzzy preference function.

Definition 9 A Bayesian fuzzy equilibrium for G is a strategy profile $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that for all $i \in I$,

- (j) $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)} \mu - a.e.$
- (jj) $(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{p_i(\tilde{x}^*)} = \emptyset \mu - a.e.$

Remark 1 Now we assume that for each $i \in I$, X_i is a compact convex nonempty subset of Y and for each $\omega \in \Omega$, we set $(X_i(\omega))_z = X_i$. Then we obtain the deterministic classical model of Yannelis-Prabhakar in [29] for an abstract economy with any set of players.

Remark 2 The interpretation of the preference fuzzy mapping P_i is that $y_i \in (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})}$ means that at the state ω of the nature, agent i strictly prefers y_i to $\tilde{x}_i(\omega)$ if the given strategy of other agents is fixed. The preference do not need to be representable by utility functions. However, it will be assumed that $\tilde{x}_i(\omega) \notin (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} \mu - a.e.$

3.2 EXISTENCE OF THE BAYESIAN FUZZY EQUILIBRIUM

This is our first theorem. The constraint and preference correspondences derived from the constraint and preference fuzzy mappings verify the assumptions of measurable graph and weakly open lower sections. Our results is a generalization of Theorem 3 in [23].

Theorem 1 Let I be a countable or uncountable set. Let the family $G = \{(\Omega, F, \mu), (X_i, F_i, A_i, P_i, a_i, b_i, z_i)_{i \in I}, \}$ be a general Bayesian abstract economy satisfying A.1)-A.4). Then there exists a Bayesian fuzzy equilibrium for G .

For each $i \in I$:

A.1)

(a) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow X_i(\omega)_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence.

(b) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F_i -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}$ is convex and has a non-empty interior in the relative norm topology of $(X_i(\omega))_z$.

(b) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has measurable graph i.e. $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) \in \Omega \times L_X \times Y : y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}\} \in F \otimes \beta_w(L_X) \otimes \beta(Y)$ where $\beta_w(L_X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the weak topology on L_X and $\beta(Y)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the norm topology on Y .

(c) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}$ has weakly open lower sections, i.e., for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and for each $y \in Y$, the set $((A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})})^{-1}(\omega, y) = \{\tilde{x} \in L_X : y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}\}$ is weakly open in L_X ;

(d) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous in the sense that the set $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \subset V\}$ is weakly open in L_X for every norm open subset V of Y .

A.3)

(a) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has nonempty open convex values such that $(P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} \subset (X(\omega))_z$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$.

(b) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has measurable graph

(c) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has weakly open lower sections, i.e., for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and for each $y \in Y$, the set $((P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})})^{-1}(\omega, y) = \{\tilde{x} \in L_X : y \in (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})}\}$ is weakly open in L_X ;

A.4)

(a) For each $\tilde{x}_i \in L_{X_i}$, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x}_i(\omega) \notin (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})}$.

Proof. For each $i \in I$, define $\Phi_i : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ by $\Phi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) = (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})}$. We prove first that L_X is a non-empty, convex, weakly compact subset in $L_1(\mu, Y)$.

Since (Ω, F, μ) is a complete finite measure space, Y is a separable Banach space and $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has measurable graph, by Aumann's selection theorem (see Appendix) it follows that there exists a F_i -measurable function $f_i : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ such that $f_i(\omega) \in X_i(\omega)$ μ -a.e. Since X_i is integrably bounded, we have that $f_i \in L_1(\mu, Y)$, hence L_{X_i} is non-empty and $L_X = \prod_{i \in I} L_{X_i}$ is non-empty. Obviously L_{X_i} is convex and L_X is also

convex. Since $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is integrably bounded and has convex weakly compact values, by Diestel's Theorem (see Appendix) it follows that L_{X_i} is a weakly compact subset of $L_1(\mu, Y)$. More over, L_X is weakly compact. $L_1(\mu, Y)$ equipped with the weak topology is a locally convex topological vector space.

The correspondence Φ_i is convex valued, by Lemma 2 it has a measurable graph and for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\Phi_i(\omega, \cdot)$ has weakly open lower sections. Let

$U_i = \{(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X : \Phi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) \neq \emptyset\}$. For each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, let $U_i^{\tilde{x}} = \{\omega \in \Omega : \Phi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) \neq \emptyset\}$ and for each $\omega \in \Omega$, let $U_i^\omega = \{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \Phi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) \neq \emptyset\}$. The values of Φ_i/U_i have non-empty interiors in the relative norm topology of $X_i(\omega)$. By the Caratheodory-type selection theorem (see Appendix), there exists a function $f_i : U_i \rightarrow Y$ such that $f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Phi_i(\omega, \tilde{x})$ for all $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in U_i$, for each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, $f_i(\cdot, \tilde{x})$ is measurable on $U_i^{\tilde{x}}$, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $f_i(\omega, \cdot)$ is continuous on U_i^ω and moreover $f_i(\cdot, \cdot)$ is jointly measurable.

Define $G_i : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ by $G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) = \begin{cases} \{f_i(\omega, \tilde{x})\} & \text{if } (\omega, \tilde{x}) \in U_i \\ \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} & \text{if } (\omega, \tilde{x}) \notin U_i. \end{cases}$

Define $G'_i : L_X \rightarrow 2^{L_{X_i}}$, by $G'_i(\tilde{x}) = \{y_i \in L_{X_i} : y_i(\omega) \in G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) \mu - a.e.\}$ and $G' : L_X \rightarrow 2^{L^X}$ by $G'(\tilde{x}) := \prod_{i \in I} G'_i(\tilde{x})$ for each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$. We

shall prove that G' is an upper semicontinuous correspondence with respect to the weakly topology of L_X and has non-empty convex closed values. By applying Fan-Glicksberg's fixed-point theorem [11] to G' , we obtain a fixed point which is the equilibrium point for the abstract economy.

It follows by Theorem III.40 in [5] and the projection theorem that for each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, the correspondence $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \text{cl}A_i(\cdot, \tilde{x})_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has a measurable graph. For each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, the correspondence $G_i(\cdot, \tilde{x})$ has a measurable graph. Since $\Phi_i(\omega, \cdot)$ has weakly open lower sections for each $\omega \in \Omega$, it follows that U_i^ω is weakly open in L_X . By Lemma 1, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $G_i(\omega, \cdot) : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semi-continuous in the sense that the set $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : G_i(\omega, \tilde{x})\} \subset V$ is weakly open in L_X for every norm open subset V of Y . Moreover, G_i is convex and non-empty valued.

G_i is nonempty valued and for each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, $G_i(\cdot, \tilde{x})$ has measurable graph. Hence, by the Aumann measurable selection theorem for each fixed $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, there exists an F_i -measurable function $y_i : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ such that $y_i(\omega) \in G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) \mu - a.e.$ Since for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $G_i(\omega, \tilde{x})$ is contained in the integrably bounded correspondence $X_i(\cdot)$, then $y_i \in L_{X_i}$ and we conclude that $y_i \in G'_i(\tilde{x})$ for each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$. Thus, G'_i is non-empty valued.

Since for each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, $G_i(\cdot, \tilde{x})$ has measurable graph and for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $G_i(\omega, \cdot) : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous and $G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) \subset X_i(\omega)$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, by u. s. c. Lifting Theorem (see Appendix) it follows that G'_i is weakly upper semicontinuous. G'_i is convex valued since G_i is so.

G' is an weakly upper semicontinuous correspondence and has also non-empty convex closed values.

The set L_X is weakly compact and convex, and then, by Fan-Glicksberg's fixed-point theorem in [11], there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that $\tilde{x}^* \in G'(\tilde{x}^*)$, i.e., for each $i \in I$, $\tilde{x}_i^* \in G'_i(\tilde{x}^*)$.

Then, $\tilde{x}_i^* \in L_{X_i}$ and $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*) \mu - a.e.$ Since $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \notin (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{p_i(\tilde{x}^*)} \mu - a.e.$, it follows that $(\omega, \tilde{x}^*) \notin U_i$ for each $i \in I$ and $\tilde{x}_i^* \in \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)} \mu - a.e.$ We have also that $(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{p_i(\tilde{x}^*)} = \emptyset$. \square

Theorem 2 *Let I be a countable or uncountable set. Let the family $G = \{(\Omega, F, \mu), (X_i, F_i, A_i, P_i, a_i, b_i, z_i)_{i \in I}, \}$ be a general Bayesian abstract econ-*

omy satisfying A.1)-A.4). Then there exists a Bayesian fuzzy equilibrium for G .

For each $i \in I$:

A.1)

(a) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_{z_i} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence;

(b) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_{z_i} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F_i -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}$ is nonempty convex and compact.

(b) For each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, the correspondence $\omega \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has measurable graph;

(c) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous in the sense that the set $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \neq \emptyset\} \subset V$ is weakly open in L_X for every norm open subset V of Y ;

A.3)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, the correspondence $\omega \rightarrow (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has nonempty convex compact values such that $(P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} \subset (X(\omega))_{z_i}$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$;

(b) For each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, the correspondence $\omega \rightarrow (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has a measurable graph;

(c) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous;

A.4)

(a) For each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x}_i(\omega) \notin (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})}$;

(b) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, the set $U_i^\omega = \{\tilde{x} \in L_X : (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} \neq \emptyset\}$ is weakly open in L_X .

Proof. For each $i \in I$, define $\Phi_i : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ by $\Phi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) = (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})}$.

We prove first that L_X is a non-empty, convex, weakly compact subset in $L_1(\mu, Y)$.

Since $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is a complete finite measure space, Y is a separable Banach space and $(X_i(\cdot))_{z_i} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has measurable graph, by Aumann's selection theorem (see Appendix) it follows that there exists a F_i -measurable function $f_i : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ such that $f_i(\omega) \in X_i(\omega)$ $\mu - a.e.$ Since $(X_i(\cdot))_{z_i}$ is integrably bounded, we have that $f_i \in L_1(\mu, Y)$, hence L_{X_i} is non-empty and $L_X = \prod_{i \in I} L_{X_i}$ is non-empty. Obviously L_{X_i} is convex and L_X is also

convex. Since $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is integrably bounded and has convex weakly compact values, by Diestel's Theorem (see Appendix) it follows that L_{X_i} is a weakly compact subset of $L_1(\mu, Y)$. More over, L_X is weakly compact.

$L_1(\mu, Y)$ equipped with the weak topology is a locally convex topological vector space.

Define $G_i : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ by $G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) = \begin{cases} \Phi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) & \text{if } (\omega, \tilde{x}) \in U_i; \\ (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} & \text{if } (\omega, \tilde{x}) \notin U_i. \end{cases}$

For each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, the correspondence $\omega \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has a measurable graph. Hence for each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, the correspondence $G_i(\cdot, \tilde{x})$ has a measurable graph. By the assumption A4) (b), we have that U_i^ω is weakly open in L_X . By Lemma 1, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $G_i(\omega, \cdot) : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semi-continuous. Moreover, G_i is non-empty convex compact valued.

Define $G'_i : L_X \rightarrow 2^{L_{X_i}}$, by $G'_i(\tilde{x}) = \{y_i \in L_{X_i} : y_i(\omega) \in G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) \mu - a.e.\}$ and $G' : L_X \rightarrow 2^{L_X}$ by $G'(\tilde{x}) := \prod_{i \in I} G'_i(\tilde{x})$ for each $x \in L_X$.

Since for each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, $G_i(\cdot, \tilde{x})$ has a measurable graph and for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $G_i(\omega, \cdot) : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous and $G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) \subset (X_i(\omega))_{z_i}$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, by u. s. c. Lifting Theorem (see Appendix) it follows that G'_i is weakly upper semicontinuous. G'_i is convex valued since G_i is so.

G' is an weakly upper semicontinuous correspondence and has also non-empty convex closed values.

The set L_X is weakly compact and convex, and then, by Fan-Glicksberg's fixed-point theorem (1952), there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that $\tilde{x}^* \in G'(\tilde{x}^*)$, i.e., for each $i \in I$, $\tilde{x}_i^* \in G'_i(\tilde{x}^*)$.

Then, $\tilde{x}_i^* \in L_{X_i}$ and $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*) \mu - a.e.$ Since $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \notin A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*) \cap P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*) \mu - a.e.$, it follows that $(\omega, \tilde{x}^*) \notin U_i$ for each $i \in I$ and $\tilde{x}_i^* \in \text{cl}A_i(\tilde{x}^*) \mu - a.e.$ We have also that $A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*) \cap P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*) = \emptyset$. \square

4 RANDOM QUASI-VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

In this section, we are establishing new random quasi variational inequalities with random fuzzy mappings and random fixed point theorems. The proofs rely on the theorem of Bayesian fuzzy equilibrium existence for the Bayesian abstract fuzzy economy.

This is our first theorem.

Theorem 3 *Let I be a countable or uncountable set. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a complete finite separable measure space and let Y be a separable Banach space. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

For each $i \in I$:

A.1)

(a) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow X_i(\omega)_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence.

(b) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F_i -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}$ is convex and has a non-empty interior in the relative norm topology of $(X_i(\omega))_z$.

(b) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has measurable graph i.e. $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) \in \Omega \times L_X \times Y : y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}\} \in F \otimes \beta_w(L_X) \otimes \beta(Y)$ where $\beta_w(L_X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the weak topology on L_X and $\beta(Y)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the norm topology on Y .

(c) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}$ has weakly open lower sections, i.e., for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and for each $y \in Y$, the set $((A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})})^{-1}(\omega, y) = \{\tilde{x} \in L_X : y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}\}$ is weakly open in L_X ;

(d) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous in the sense that the set $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \subset V\}$ is weakly open in L_X for every norm open subset V of Y .

A.3)

$\psi_i : \Omega \times L_X \times Y \rightarrow R \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ is such that:

(a) $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on L_X for each fixed $(\omega, y) \in \Omega \times Y$;

(b) $\tilde{x}(\omega) \notin \text{cl}\{y \in Y : \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) > 0\}$ for each fixed $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$;

(c) for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $\psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, \cdot)$ is concave;

(d) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \alpha_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) > 0\}$ is weakly open in L_X , where $\alpha_i : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow R$ is defined by $\alpha_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) = \sup_{y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}} \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y)$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$;

(e) $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}) : \alpha_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) > 0\} \in \mathcal{F}_i \otimes B(L_X)$.

Then, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that for every $i \in I$,

i) $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$;

ii) $\sup_{y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}} \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y) \leq 0$.

Proof. For every $i \in I$, let $P_i : \Omega \times S_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ and $p_i : S_X^1 \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that $(P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} = \{y \in Y : \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) > 0\}$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$.

We shall show that the abstract economy $G = \{(\Omega, F, \mu), (X_i, F_i, A_i, P_i a_i, p_i, z_i)_{i \in I}\}$ satisfies all hypotheses of Theorem 1.

Suppose $\omega \in \Omega$.

According to A3 a), we have that $\tilde{x} \rightarrow (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has open lower sections with nonempty compact values and according to A3 b), $\tilde{x}_i(\omega) \notin (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})}$ for each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$. Assumption A3 c) implies that $\tilde{x} \rightarrow (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has convex values.

By the definition of α_i , we note that $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} \neq \emptyset\} = \{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \alpha_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) > 0\}$ so that $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} \neq \emptyset\}$ is weakly open in L_X by A3 c).

According to A2 b) and A3 e), it follows that the correspondences $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ and $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ have measurable graphs.

Thus the Bayesian abstract fuzzy economy $G = \{(\Omega, F, \mu), (X_i, F_i, A_i, P_i, a_i, b_i, z_i)_{i \in I}\}$ satisfies all hypotheses of Theorem 1. Therefore, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that for every $i \in I$:

$\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)} \mu - a.e$ and

$(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{p_i(\tilde{x}^*)} = \emptyset \mu - a.e$;

that is, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that for every $i \in I$:

- i) $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$;
- ii) $\sup_{y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}} \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y) \leq 0$.

If $|I|=1$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1 *Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite separable measure space and let Y be a separable Banach space. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

A.1)

(a) $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence.

(b) $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}$ is convex and has a non-empty interior in the relative norm topology of $(X(\omega))_z$.

(b) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has measurable graph i.e. $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) \in \Omega \times L_X \times Y : y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}\} \in F \otimes \beta_w(L_X) \otimes \beta(Y)$ where $\beta_w(L_X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the weak topology on L_X and $\beta(Y)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the norm topology on Y .

(c) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}$ has weakly open lower sections, i.e., for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and for each $y \in Y$, the set $((A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})})^{-1}(\omega, y) = \{\tilde{x} \in L_X : y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}\}$ is weakly open in L_X ;

(d) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \text{cl}(A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous in the sense that the set $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \text{cl}(A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} \subset V\}$ is weakly open in L_X for every norm open subset V of Y .

A.3)

$\psi_i : \Omega \times L_X \times Y \rightarrow R \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ is such that:

(a) $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \psi(\omega, \tilde{x}, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on L_X for each fixed $(\omega, y) \in \Omega \times Y$;

(b) $\tilde{x}(\omega) \notin \text{cl}\{y \in Y : \psi(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) > 0\}$ for each fixed $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$;

(c) for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $\psi(\omega, \tilde{x}, \cdot)$ is concave;

(d) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \alpha(\omega, \tilde{x}) > 0\}$ is weakly open in L_X , where $\alpha : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow R$ is defined by $\alpha(\omega, \tilde{x}) = \sup_{y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}} \psi(\omega, \tilde{x}, y)$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$;

(e) $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}) : \alpha(\omega, \tilde{x}) > 0\} \in \mathcal{F} \otimes B(L_X)$.

Then, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that:

- i) $\tilde{x}^*(\omega) \in \text{cl}(A(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a(\tilde{x}^*)}$;
- ii) $\sup_{y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a(\tilde{x}^*)}} \psi(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y) \leq 0$.

As a consequence of Theorem 2, we prove the following random generalized quasi-variational inequality with random fuzzy mappings. This theorem is comparable with Theorem 4.1 in [27], which is valid in a non-fuzzy framework and concerns upper-semicontinuous correspondences defined on metrizable spaces.

Theorem 4 Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite separable measure space and let Y be a separable Banach space. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

For each $i \in I$:

A.1)

(a) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence.

(b) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F_i -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}$ is convex and has a non-empty interior in the relative norm topology of $(X_i(\omega))_z$.

(b) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has measurable graph i.e. $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) \in \Omega \times L_X \times Y : y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}\} \in F \otimes \beta_w(L_X) \otimes \beta(Y)$ where $\beta_w(L_X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the weak topology on L_X and $\beta(Y)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the norm topology on Y .

(c) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}$ has weakly open lower sections, i.e., for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and for each $y \in Y$, the set $((A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})})^{-1}(\omega, y) = \{\tilde{x} \in L_X : y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}\}$ is weakly open in L_X ;

(d) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous in the sense that the set $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \subset V\}$ is weakly open in L_X for every norm open subset V of Y ;

A.3)

$G_i : \Omega \times Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y')$ and $g_i : Y \rightarrow (0, 1]$ are such that:

(a) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $y \rightarrow (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)} : Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ is monotone with non-empty values;

(b) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $y \rightarrow (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)} : L \cap Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ is lower semicontinuous from the relative topology of Y into the weak*-topology $\sigma(Y', Y)$ of Y' for each one-dimensional flat $L \subset Y$;

A.4)

(a) $f_i : \Omega \times L_X \times Y \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$ is such that $\tilde{x} \rightarrow f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on L_X for each fixed $(\omega, y) \in \Omega \times Y$, $f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}(t, \omega)) = 0$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$ and $y \rightarrow f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y)$ is concave on Y for each fixed $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$;

(b) for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, the set

$\{\tilde{x} \in S_X^1 : \sup_{y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}} [\sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)}} \text{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x} - y \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y)] > 0\}$ is weakly open in L_X

(c) $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}) : \sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)}} \text{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x} - y \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) > 0\} \in \mathcal{F} \otimes B(L_X)$.

Then, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that for every $i \in I$:

i) $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in \text{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$;

ii) $\sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*(\omega)))_{g_i(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))}} \text{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) \leq 0$ for all $y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$.

Proof. Let us define $\psi_i : \Omega \times L_X \times Y \rightarrow R \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ by

$$\psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) = \sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x} - y \rangle + f_i(t, \omega, \tilde{x}, y) \text{ for each } (\omega, \tilde{x}, y) \in \Omega \times L_X \times Y.$$

According to assumption A4 a), $\tilde{x} \rightarrow f_i(t, \omega, \tilde{x}, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on L_X for each fixed $(\omega, y) \in \Omega \times Y$ and $f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}(t, \omega)) = 0$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$ implies that $\tilde{x}(\omega) \notin \{y \in Y : \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) > 0\}$ for each fixed $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$.

We also have that for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $\psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, \cdot)$ is concave. This fact is a consequence of assumption A3 b).

All hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied. According to Theorem 2, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in \operatorname{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$ for every $i \in I$

and

$$(1) \sup_{y \in A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*)_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}} \sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)}} [\operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y)] \leq 0 \text{ for every } i \in I.$$

Finally, we will prove that

$$\sup_{y \in A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*)_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}} \sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*(\omega))_{g_i(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))}} [\operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y)] \leq 0 \text{ for every } i \in I.$$

In order to do that, let us consider $i \in I$ and the fixed point $\omega \in \Omega$.

Let $y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $z_\lambda^i(\omega) := \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega)$. According to assumption A2 b), $z_\lambda^i(\omega) \in A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*)$.

According to (1), we have $\sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, z_\lambda^i(\omega))_{g_i(z_\lambda^i(\omega))}} [\operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - z_\lambda(\omega) \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, z_\lambda(\omega))] \leq 0$ for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

According to assumption A4 a), $f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, \tilde{x}^*(t, \omega)) = 0$. For each $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ and for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we also have that $f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2) \geq \lambda f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y_1) + (1 - \lambda)f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y_2)$.

Therefore, for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & t \left\{ \sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, z_\lambda^i(\omega))_{g_i(z_\lambda^i(\omega))}} [\operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y)] \right\} \leq \\ & \sup_{u \in F_i(\omega, (G_i(\omega, z_\lambda^i(\omega))_{g_i(z_\lambda^i(\omega))})} t [\operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, z_\lambda^i(t, \omega))] = \\ & \sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, z_\lambda^i(\omega))_{g_i(z_\lambda^i(\omega))}} [\operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - z_\lambda^i(\omega) \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, z_\lambda^i(\omega))] \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$(2) \sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, z_\lambda^i(\omega))_{g_i(z_\lambda^i(\omega))}} [\operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y)] \leq 0.$$

Now, we are using the lower semicontinuity of $y \rightarrow (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)} : L \cap Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ in order to show the conclusion. For each $z_0 \in (G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*(\omega))_{g_i(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))}$ and $e > 0$ let us consider $U_{z_0}^i$, the neighborhood of z_0 in the topology $\sigma(Y', Y)$, defined by $U_{z_0}^i := \{z \in Y' : |\operatorname{Re}\langle z_0 - z, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle| < e\}$. As $y \rightarrow (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)} : L \cap Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ is lower semicontinuous, where $L = \{z_\lambda(\omega) : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$ and $U_{z_0}^i \cap (G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*(\omega))_{g_i(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))} \neq \emptyset$, there exists a non-empty neighborhood $N(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))$ of $\tilde{x}^*(\omega)$ in L such that for each $z \in N(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))$, we have that $U_{z_0}^i \cap (G_i(\omega, z))_{g_i(z)} \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $\delta \in (0, 1]$, $t \in (0, \delta)$ and $u \in (G_i(\omega, z_\lambda^i(\omega))_{g_i(z_\lambda^i(\omega))} \cap U_{z_0}^i \neq \emptyset$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\langle z_0 - u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle < e$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Re}\langle z_0, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle < \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle + e$.

It follows that

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle z_0, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle + f(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y) < \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle + f(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y) + e < e.$$

The last inequality comes from (2). Since $e > 0$ and $z_0 \in (G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*(\omega)))_{g_i(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))}$ have been chosen arbitrarily, the next relation holds:

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle z_0, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y) < 0.$$

Hence, for each $i \in I$, we have that $\sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*(\omega)))_{g_i(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))}} [\operatorname{Re}\langle z_0, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y)] \leq 0$ for every $y \in \operatorname{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$.

If $|I|=1$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2 *Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite separable measure space and let Y be a separable Banach space. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

A.1)

(a) $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence.

(b) $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}$ is convex and has a non-empty interior in the relative norm topology of $(X(\omega))_z$.

(b) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has measurable graph i.e. $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) \in \Omega \times L_X \times Y : y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}\} \in F \otimes \beta_w(L_X) \otimes \beta(Y)$ where $\beta_w(L_X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the weak topology on L_X and $\beta(Y)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the norm topology on Y .

(c) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}$ has weakly open lower sections, i.e., for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and for each $y \in Y$, the set $((A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})})^{-1}(\omega, y) = \{\tilde{x} \in L_X : y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}\}$ is weakly open in L_X ;

(d) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \operatorname{cl}(A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous in the sense that the set $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \operatorname{cl}(A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} \subset V\}$ is weakly open in L_X for every norm open subset V of Y ;

A.3)

$G : \Omega \times Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y')$ and $g : Y \rightarrow (0, 1]$ are such that:

(a) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $y \rightarrow (G(\omega, y))_{g(y)} : Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ is monotone with non-empty values;

(b) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $y \rightarrow (G(\omega, y))_{g(y)} : L \cap Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ is lower semicontinuous from the relative topology of Y into the weak*-topology $\sigma(Y', Y)$ of Y' for each one-dimensional flat $L \subset Y$;

A.4)

(a) $f : \Omega \times L_X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$ is such that $\tilde{x} \rightarrow f(\omega, \tilde{x}, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on L_X for each fixed $(\omega, y) \in \Omega \times Y$, $f(\omega, \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}(t, \omega)) = 0$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$ and $y \rightarrow f(\omega, \tilde{x}, y)$ is concave on Y for each fixed $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$;

(b) for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, the set

$\{\tilde{x} \in S_X^1 : \sup_{y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}} [\sup_{u \in (G(\omega, y))_{g(y)}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x} - y \rangle + f(\omega, \tilde{x}, y)] > 0\}$ is weakly open in L_X

(c) $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}) : \sup_{u \in (G(\omega, y))_{g(y)}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x} - y \rangle + f_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) > 0\} \in \mathcal{F} \otimes B(L_X)$.

Then, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that:

- i) $\tilde{x}^*(\omega) \in \operatorname{cl}(A(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a(\tilde{x}^*)}$;
- ii) $\sup_{u \in (G(\omega, \tilde{x}^*(\omega)))_{g(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle + f(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) \leq 0$ for all $y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a(\tilde{x}^*)}$.

We obtain the following random fixed point theorem as a particular case of Theorem 3.

Theorem 5 *Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite separable measure space and let Y be a separable Banach space. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

For each $i \in I$:

A.1)

(a) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence.

(b) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F_i -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}$ is convex and has a non-empty interior in the relative norm topology of $(X_i(\omega))_z$.

(b) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has measurable graph i.e. $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) \in \Omega \times L_X \times Y : y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}\} \in F \otimes \beta_w(L_X) \otimes \beta(Y)$ where $\beta_w(L_X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the weak topology on L_X and $\beta(Y)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the norm topology on Y .

(c) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}$ has weakly open lower sections, i.e., for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and for each $y \in Y$, the set $((A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})})^{-1}(\omega, y) = \{\tilde{x} \in L_X : y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}\}$ is weakly open in L_X ;

(d) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \operatorname{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous in the sense that the set $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \operatorname{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} \subset V\}$ is weakly open in L_X for every norm open subset V of Y ;

Then, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that for every $i \in I$, $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in \operatorname{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$.

If $|I|=1$, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6 *Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite separable measure space and let Y be a separable Banach space. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(a) $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence.

(b) $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}$ is convex and has a non-empty interior in the relative norm topology of $(X(\omega))_z$.

(b) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has measurable graph i.e. $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) \in \Omega \times L_X \times Y : y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}\} \in F \otimes \beta_w(L_X) \otimes \beta(Y)$ where $\beta_w(L_X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the weak topology on L_X and $\beta(Y)$ is the Borel σ -algebra for the norm topology on Y .

(c) the correspondence $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}$ has weakly open lower sections, i.e., for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and for each $y \in Y$, the set $((A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})})^{-1}(\omega, y) = \{\tilde{x} \in L_X : y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}\}$ is weakly open in L_X ;

(d) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \text{cl}(A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous in the sense that the set $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \text{cl}(A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} \subset V\}$ is weakly open in L_X for every norm open subset V of Y ;

Then, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that $\tilde{x}^*(\omega) \in \text{cl}(A(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a(\tilde{x}^*)}$.

Theorem 7 Let I be a countable or uncountable set. Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite separable measure space and let Y be a separable Banach space. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

For each $i \in I$:

(a) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_{z_i} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence;

(b) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_{z_i} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F_i -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}$ is nonempty convex and compact;

(b) For each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, the correspondence $\omega \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has a measurable graph;

(c) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous;

A.3)

$\psi_i : \Omega \times L_X \times Y \rightarrow R \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ is such that:

(a) $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \{y \in Y : \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) > 0\} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous with compact values on L_X for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$;

(b) $\tilde{x}_i(\omega) \notin \{y \in Y : \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) > 0\}$ for each fixed $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$;

(c) for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $\psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, \cdot)$ is concave;

(d) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \alpha_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) > 0\}$ is weakly open in L_X , where $\alpha_i : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow R$ is defined by $\alpha_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) = \sup_{y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}} \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y)$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$;

(e) $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}) : \alpha_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) > 0\} \in \mathcal{F}_i \otimes B(L_X)$.

Then, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that for every $i \in I$,

i) $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$;

ii) $\sup_{y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}} \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y) \leq 0$.

Proof. For every $i \in I$, let $P_i : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ and $p_i : L_X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that $(P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} = \{y \in Y : \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) > 0\}$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$.

We shall show that the abstract economy $G = \{(\Omega, F, \mu), (X_i, F_i, A_i, P_i, a_i, p_i, z_i)_{i \in I}\}$ satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.

Suppose $\omega \in \Omega$.

According to A3 a), we have that $\tilde{x} \rightarrow (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous with nonempty values and according to A3 b), $\tilde{x}_i(\omega) \notin (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})}$ for each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$. Assumption A3 c) implies that $\tilde{x} \rightarrow (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ has convex values.

By the definition of α_i , we note that $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{\alpha_i(\tilde{x})} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} \neq \emptyset\} = \{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \alpha_i(\omega, \tilde{x}) > 0\}$ so that $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{\alpha_i(\tilde{x})} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} \neq \emptyset\}$ is weakly open in L_X by A3 d).

According to A2 b) and A3 e), it follows that the correspondences $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{\alpha_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ and $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{p_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ have measurable graphs.

Thus the Bayesian abstract fuzzy economy $G = \{(\Omega, F, \mu), (X_i, F_i, A_i, P_i, a_i, b_i, z_i)_{i \in I}\}$ satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 2. Therefore, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that for every $i \in I$:

- $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{\alpha_i(\tilde{x}^*)} \mu - a.e$ and
- $(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{\alpha_i(\tilde{x}^*)} \cap (P_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{p_i(\tilde{x}^*)} = \emptyset \mu - a.e$;
- that is, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that for every $i \in I$:
- i) $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{\alpha_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$;
- ii) $\sup_{y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{\alpha_i(\tilde{x}^*)}} \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y) \leq 0$.

If $|I|=1$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3 *Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite separable measure space and let Y be a separable Banach space. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

A.1)

(a) $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence.

(b) $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{\alpha(\tilde{x})}$ is non-empty convex and compact;

(b) For each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, the correspondence $\omega \rightarrow (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{\alpha(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has a measurable graph;

(c) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{\alpha(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous;

A.3)

$\psi : \Omega \times L_X \times Y \rightarrow R \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ is such that:

(a) $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \{y \in Y : \psi(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) > 0\} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous with weakly compact values on L_X for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$;

- (b) $\tilde{x}(\omega) \notin \{y \in Y : \psi(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) > 0\}$ for each fixed $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$;
(c) for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $\psi(\omega, \tilde{x}, \cdot)$ is concave;
(d) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \alpha(\omega, \tilde{x}) > 0\}$ is weakly open in L_X ,
where $\alpha : \Omega \times L_X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\alpha(\omega, \tilde{x}) = \sup_{y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}} \psi(\omega, \tilde{x}, y)$
for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$;
(e) $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}) : \alpha(\omega, \tilde{x}) > 0\} \in \mathcal{F} \otimes B(L_X)$.

Then, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that:

- i) $\tilde{x}^*(\omega) \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a(\tilde{x}^*)}$;
ii) $\sup_{y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a(\tilde{x}^*)}} \psi(\omega, \tilde{x}^*, y) \leq 0$.

As a consequence of the Theorem 2, we prove the following Tan and Yuan's type (1995) random quasi-variational inequality with random fuzzy mappings.

Theorem 8 *Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite separable measure space and let Y be a separable Banach space. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

For each $i \in I$:

A.1)

(a) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_{z_i} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence;

(b) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_{z_i} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F_i -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}$ is nonempty convex and weakly compact;

(b) For each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, the correspondence $\omega \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has a measurable graph;

(c) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous;

A.3)

$G_i : \Omega \times Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y')$ and $g_i : Y \rightarrow (0, 1]$ are such that:

(a) For each fixed $(\omega, y) \in \Omega \times Y$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \{y \in Y : \sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i(\omega) - y \rangle > 0\} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous with compact values;

(b) for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, the set

$\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \sup_{y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}} \sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i(\omega) - y \rangle > 0\}$ is weakly open in L_X

(c) $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}) : \sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i(\omega) - y \rangle > 0\} \in \mathcal{F} \otimes B(L_X)$.

A.4)

$H_i : \Omega \times Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y')$ and $h_i : Y \rightarrow (0, 1]$ are such that:

(a) For each fixed $(\omega, y) \in \Omega \times Y$, $(H_i(\omega, y))_{h_i(y)} \subset (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)}$;

(b) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $y \rightarrow (H_i(\omega, y))_{h_i(y)} : Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ is monotone with non-empty values;

(c) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $y \rightarrow (H_i(\omega, y))_{h_i(y)} : L \cap Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ is lower semicontinuous from the relative topology of Y into the weak*-topology $\sigma(Y', Y)$ of Y' for each one-dimensional flat $L \subset Y$.

Then, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that for every $i \in I$:

- i) $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$;
- ii) $\sup_{u \in (H_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*(\omega)))_{h_i(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle \leq 0$ for all $y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$.

Proof. Let us define $\psi_i : \Omega \times L_X \times Y \rightarrow R \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ by $\psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) = \sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i(\omega) - y \rangle$ for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) \in \Omega \times L_X \times Y$.

We have that $\tilde{x}_i(\omega) \notin \{y \in Y : \psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, y) > 0\}$ for each fixed $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$ and, as a consequence of assumption A3 b), it follows that for each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $\psi_i(\omega, \tilde{x}, \cdot)$ is concave.

All the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied. According to Theorem 2, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in \operatorname{cl}(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$ for every $i \in I$.

and

- (1) $\sup_{y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}} \sup_{u \in (G_i(\omega, y))_{g_i(y)}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle \leq 0$ for every $i \in I$.

Finally, we will prove that

$\sup_{y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}} \sup_{u \in (H_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*(\omega)))_{h_i(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle \leq 0$ for every $i \in I$.

In order to do that, let us consider $i \in I$ and the fixed point $\omega \in \Omega$.

Let $y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $z_\lambda^i(\omega) := \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega)$. According to assumption A2 a), $z_\lambda^i(\omega) \in A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*)$.

According to (1), we have $\sup_{u \in (H_i(\omega, z_\lambda^i(\omega)))_{h_i(z_\lambda^i(\omega))}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - z_\lambda^i(\omega) \rangle \leq 0$ for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

For each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & t \left\{ \sup_{u \in (H_i(\omega, z_\lambda^i(\omega)))_{h_i(z_\lambda^i(\omega))}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle \right\} = \\ & \sup_{u \in (H_i(\omega, z_\lambda^i(\omega)))_{h_i(z_\lambda^i(\omega))}} t \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle = \\ & \sup_{u \in (H_i(\omega, z_\lambda^i(\omega)))_{h_i(z_\lambda^i(\omega))}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - z_\lambda^i(\omega) \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$(2) \sup_{u \in (H_i(\omega, z_\lambda^i(\omega)))_{h_i(z_\lambda^i(\omega))}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle \leq 0.$$

Now, we are using the lower semicontinuity of $y \rightarrow (H_i(\omega, y))_{h_i(y)} : L \cap Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ in order to show the conclusion. For each $z_0 \in (H_i(\omega, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega)))_{h_i(\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega))}$ and $e > 0$ let us consider $U_{z_0}^i$, the neighborhood of z_0 in the topology $\sigma(Y', Y)$, defined by $U_{z_0}^i := \{z \in Y' : |\operatorname{Re}\langle z_0 - z, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle| < e\}$. As $y \rightarrow (H_i(\omega, y))_{h_i(y)} : L \cap Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ is lower semicontinuous, where $L = \{z_\lambda^i(\omega) : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$ and $U_{z_0}^i \cap (H_i(\omega, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega)))_{h_i(\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega))} \neq \emptyset$, there exists a non-empty neighborhood $N(\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega))$ of $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega)$ in L such that for each $z \in N(\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega))$, we have that $U_{z_0}^i \cap (H_i(\omega, z))_{h_i(z)} \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $\delta \in (0, 1]$, $t \in (0, \delta)$ and $u \in (H_i(\omega, z_\lambda^i(\omega)))_{h_i(z_\lambda^i(\omega))} \cap U_{z_0}^i \neq \emptyset$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\langle z_0 - u, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle < e$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Re}\langle z_0, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle < \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle + e$.

It follows that

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle z_0, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle < \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle + e < e.$$

The last inequality comes from (2). Since $e > 0$ and $z_0 \in (H_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*(\omega)))_{h_i(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))}$ have been chosen arbitrarily, the next relation holds:

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle z_0, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle < 0.$$

Hence, for each $i \in I$, we have that $\sup_{u \in (H_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*(\omega)))_{h_i(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))}} \operatorname{Re}\langle z_0, \tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) - y \rangle \leq 0$ for every $y \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$.

If $|I|=1$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4 *Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite separable measure space and let Y be a separable Banach space. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

A.1)

(a) $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence.

(b) $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}$ is non-empty convex and compact.

(b) For each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, the correspondence $\omega \rightarrow (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has a measurable graph;

(c) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous;

A.3)

$G : \Omega \times Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y')$ and $g : Y \rightarrow (0, 1]$ are such that:

(a) For each fixed $(\omega, y) \in \Omega \times Y$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \{y \in Y : \sup_{u \in (G(\omega, y))_{g(y)}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}(\omega) - y \rangle > 0\} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous with compact values;

(b) for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, the set

$\{\tilde{x} \in L_X : \sup_{y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}} \sup_{u \in (G(\omega, y))_{g(y)}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}(\omega) - y \rangle > 0\}$ is weakly open in L_X ;

(c) $\{(\omega, \tilde{x}) : \sup_{u \in (G(\omega, y))_{g(y)}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}(\omega) - y \rangle > 0\} \in \mathcal{F} \otimes B(L_X)$;

A.4)

$H : \Omega \times Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y')$ and $h : Y \rightarrow (0, 1]$ are such that:

(a) For each fixed $(\omega, y) \in \Omega \times Y$, $(H(\omega, y))_{h(y)} \subset (G(\omega, y))_{g(y)}$;

(b) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $y \rightarrow (H(\omega, y))_{h(y)} : Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ is monotone with non-empty values;

(c) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $y \rightarrow (H(\omega, y))_{h(y)} : L \cap Y \rightarrow 2^{Y'}$ is lower semicontinuous from the relative topology of Y into the weak*-topology $\sigma(Y', Y)$ of Y' for each one-dimensional flat $L \subset Y$.

Then, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that:

i) $\tilde{x}^*(\omega) \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a(\tilde{x}^*)}$;

ii) $\sup_{u \in (H(\omega, \tilde{x}^*(\omega)))_{h(\tilde{x}^*(\omega))}} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, \tilde{x}^*(\omega) - y \rangle \leq 0$ for all $y \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a(\tilde{x}^*)}$.

We obtain the following random fixed point theorem as a corollary.

Theorem 9 *Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite separable measure space and let Y be a separable Banach space. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

For each $i \in I$:

A.1)

(a) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_{z_i} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence;

(b) $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X_i(\omega))_{z_i} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F_i -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})}$ is non-empty convex and compact;

(b) For each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, the correspondence $\omega \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has a measurable graph;

(c) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a_i(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous;

Then, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that for every $i \in I$, $\tilde{x}_i^*(\omega) \in (A_i(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a_i(\tilde{x}^*)}$.

If $|I|=1$, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 10 *Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite separable measure space and let Y be a separable Banach space. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

A.1)

(a) $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty, convex, weakly compact-valued and integrably bounded correspondence;

(b) $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is such that $\omega \rightarrow (X(\omega))_z : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ is F -lower measurable;

A.2)

(a) For each $(\omega, \tilde{x}) \in \Omega \times L_X$, $(A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})}$ is non-empty convex and compact;

(b) For each $\tilde{x} \in L_X$, the correspondence $\omega \rightarrow (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ has a measurable graph;

(c) For each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tilde{x} \rightarrow (A(\omega, \tilde{x}))_{a(\tilde{x})} : L_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is upper semicontinuous;

Then, there exists $\tilde{x}^* \in L_X$ such that for every $i \in I$, $\tilde{x}^*(\omega) \in (A(\omega, \tilde{x}^*))_{a(\tilde{x}^*)}$

5 APPENDIX

The results below have been used in the proof of our theorems. For more details and further references see the paper quoted.

Theorem 11 (*Projection theorem*). Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete, finite measure space, and Y be a complete separable metric space. If H belongs to $F \otimes \beta(Y)$, its projection $\text{Proj}_\Omega(H)$ belongs to F .

Theorem 12 (*Aumann measurable selection theorem [30]*). Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite measure space, Y be a complete, separable metric space and $T : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a nonempty valued correspondence with a measurable graph, i.e., $G_T \in F \otimes \beta(Y)$. Then there is a measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(\omega) \in T(\omega)$ μ -a.e.

Theorem 13 (*Diestel's Theorem [30, Theorem 3.1]*). Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite measure space, X be a separable Banach space and $T : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ be an integrably bounded, convex, weakly compact and nonempty valued correspondence. Then $S_T = \{x \in L_1(\mu, Y) : x(\omega) \in T(\omega) \mu\text{-a.e.}\}$ is weakly compact in $L_1(\mu, Y)$.

Theorem 14 (*Carathéodory-type selection theorem [15]*). Let (Ω, F, μ) be a complete measure space, Z be a complete separable metric space and Y a separable Banach space. Let $X : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a correspondence with a measurable graph, i.e., $G_X \in F \otimes \beta(Y)$ and let $T : \Omega \times Z \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a convex valued correspondence (possibly empty) with a measurable graph, i.e., $G_T \in F \otimes \beta(Z) \otimes \beta(Y)$ where $\beta(Y)$ and $\beta(Z)$ are the Borel σ -algebras of Y and Z , respectively.

Suppose that:

- (a) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $T(\omega, x) \subset X(\omega)$ for all $x \in Z$.
- (b) for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $T(\omega, \cdot)$ has open lower sections in Z , i.e., for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and $y \in Y$, $T^{-1}(\omega, y) = \{x \in Z : y \in T(\omega, x)\}$ is open in Z .
- (c) for each $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times Z$, if $T(\omega, x) \neq \emptyset$, then $T(\omega, x)$ has a non-empty interior in $X(\omega)$.

Let $U = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times Z : T(\omega, x) \neq \emptyset\}$ and for each $x \in Z$, $U^x = \{\omega \in \Omega : (\omega, x) \in U\}$ and for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $U^\omega = \{x \in Z : (\omega, x) \in U\}$. Then for each $x \in Z$, U^x is a measurable set in Ω and there exists a Caratheodory-type selection from $T|_U$, i.e., there exists a function $f : U \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(\omega, x) \in T(\omega, x)$ for all $(\omega, x) \in U$, for each $x \in Z$, $f(\cdot, x)$ is measurable on U^x and for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $f(\omega, \cdot)$ is continuous on U^ω . Moreover, $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ is jointly measurable.

Theorem 15 (*U. s. c. Lifting Theorem. [30]*). Let Y be a separable space, (Ω, F, μ) be a complete finite measure space and $X : \Omega \rightarrow 2^Y$ be an integrably bounded, nonempty, convex valued correspondence such that for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $X(\omega)$ is a weakly compact, convex subset of Y . Denote by S_X the set $\{x \in L_1(\mu, Y) : x(\omega) \in X(\omega) \mu\text{-a.e.}\}$. Let $T : \Omega \times S_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a nonempty, closed, convex valued correspondence such that $T(\omega, x) \subset X(\omega)$ for all $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times S_X^1$. Assume that for each fixed $x \in S_X$, $T(\cdot, x)$ has a measurable graph and that for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, $T(\omega, \cdot) : S_X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is u.s.c.

in the sense that the set $\{x \in S_X : T(\omega, x) \subset V\}$ is weakly open in S_X for every norm open subset V of Y . Define the correspondence $\Phi : S_X \rightarrow 2^{S_X}$ by

$$\Phi(x) = \{y \in S_X : y(\omega) \in T(\omega, x) \text{ } \mu - \text{a.e.}\}.$$

Then Φ is weakly u.s.c., i.e., the set $\{x \in S_X : \Phi(x) \subset V\}$ is weakly open in S_X for every weakly open subset V of S_X .

References

1. M.-R. Bai, S.-Z. Zhou, G.-Y. Ni, On the generalized monotonicity of variational inequalities, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, Volume 53, Issue 6, March 2007, Pages 910-917
2. A. Bnouhachem, M.H. Xu, M. Khalfaoui, S. Zhaohan, A new alternating direction method for solving variational inequalities, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, Volume 62, Issue 2, July 2011, Pages 626-634
3. G. Cai, S. Bu, Hybrid algorithm for generalized mixed equilibrium problems and variational inequality problems and fixed point problems, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, Volume 62, Issue 12, December 2011, Pages 4772-4782
4. G. Cai, S. Bu, Strong convergence theorems based on a new modified extragradient method for variational inequality problems and fixed point problems in Banach spaces, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, Volume 62, Issue 6, September 2011, Pages 2567-2579
5. C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 580, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
6. A.Cegielski and R. Zalas, Methods for Variational Inequality Problem Over the Intersection of Fixed Point Sets of Quasi-Nonexpansive Operators, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, Volume 34, Issue 3, March 2013, pages 255-283
7. M. S.R. Chowdhury, K.-K. Tan, Generalized bi-quasi-variational inequalities for quasi-pseudo-monotone type I operators on non-compact sets, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, Volume 60, Issue 3, August 2010, Pages 423-431
8. G. Debreu, A social equilibrium existence theorem, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **38** (1952), 886-903.
9. G. Debreu, Integration of correspondences. *Proc. Fifth Berkely Symp. Math. Statist. Prob.* **2** (1966), 351-372, University of California Press.
10. J. Diestel, J. Uhl, *Vector Measures*, Mathematical Surveys, Vol. 15. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1977
11. K. Fan, Fixed-point and minimax theorems in locally convex topological linear spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **38** (1952), 121-126.
12. J. Gwinner and F. Raciti, On a class of random variational inequalities on random sets, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, 27(5-6):619-636, 2006

13. J. Gwinner and F. Raciti, Some equilibrium problems under uncertainty and random variational inequalities, *Ann. Oper. Res.* (2012), 200: 299-319.
14. S.-J. Jiang, L.-P. Pang, J. Shen, Existence of solutions of generalized vector variational-type inequalities with set-valued mappings, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, Volume 59, Issue 4, February 2010, Pages 1453-1461
15. T. Kim, K. Prikry and N.C. Yannelis, On a Carathéodory-type selection theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 135 (1988), 664-670.
16. W. K. Kim and K. H. Lee, *Fuzzy fixed point and existence of equilibria of fuzzy games*, *J. Fuzzy Math.* **6** (1998), 193-202.
17. B.-S. Lee, M. F. Khan, Salahuddin, Generalized vector variational-type inequalities, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, Volume 55, Issue 6, March 2008, Pages 1164-1169
18. S. S. Nazemi, A New System of Random Generalized Variational Inclusions with Random Fuzzy Mappings and Random $(H(\cdot, \cdot), \Phi) - \eta$ -Accretive Mappings in Banach Spaces, *SRN Applied Mathematics Volume 2012* (2012), Article ID 731058, doi:10.5402/2012/731058
19. Noor, M.A. and Elsanousi, S.A., Iterative algorithms for random variational inequalities, *Pan Amer. Math. Journal* 3 (1993), 39-50.
20. M.A. Noor, Some aspects of extended general variational inequalities, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2012 (2012). Article ID: 303569
21. M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor, General equilibrium bifunction variational inequalities, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, Volume 64, Issue 11, December 2012, Pages 3522–3526
22. M.A. Noor, K.I. Noor, Some new classes of extended general mixed quasi-variational inequalities, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2012 (2012). Article ID: 962978.
23. M. Patriche, Bayesian abstract economies, *Optimization*, Volume 60, Number 4, 2011, 451 – 461.
24. M. Patriche, *Equilibrium in games and competitive economies*, The Publishing House of the Romanian Academy, 2011;
25. W. Shafer and H. Sonnenschein, Equilibrium in abstract economies without ordered preferences. *J. Math. Econom.* **2** (1975), 345-348.
26. K.-K. Tan, E. Tarafdar and G. X.-Z. Yuan, A Study of Variational Inequalities for Set-Valued Mappings, *J. of Inequal. & Appl.*, 1999, Vol. 3, pp. 161–181
27. K.-K. Tan and G. X.-Z. Yuan, Random equilibria of random generalized games with applications to non-compact random quasi-variational inequalities, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, Journal of the Juliusz Schauder Center, Volume 5, 1995, 59–82
28. F.-Q. Xia, N.-J. Huang, An inexact hybrid projection–proximal point algorithm for solving generalized mixed variational inequalities, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, Volume 62, Issue 12, December 2011, Pages 4596-4604
29. N. C. Yannelis and N. D. Prabhakar, Existence of maximal elements and equilibrium in linear topological spaces, *J. Math. Econom.* **12** (1983), 233-245.
30. N.C. Yannelis, On the Existence of Bayesian Nash Equilibrium. In: Agramovich, Y., Avgerinos, E., Yannelis, N.C. eds., *Functional Analysis and Economic Theory*, Springer-Verlag 1998
31. N.C. Yannelis, Integration of Banach-valued correspondences. In: Khan, M.A., Yannelis, N.C. eds, *Equilibrium Theory in Infinite Dimensional Spaces (Studies in Economic Theory)*. Springer-Verlag 1991b

32. X. Z. Yuan, The Study of Minimax inequalities and Applications to Economies and Variational inequalities, *Memoirs of the American Society*, vol **132**, (1988), Nr 625.
33. X.-Z. Yuan and J.-M. Roy, Some new deterministic and random variational inequalities and their applications, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis* **8** (4) (1995), 381-391.