

Compensating the electron beam energy spread by the natural transverse gradient of laser undulator in all-optical x-ray light sources

Tong Zhang, Chao Feng, Haixiao Deng,* Dong Wang, Zhimin Dai and Zhentang Zhao

Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China

*denghaixiao@sinap.ac.cn

Abstract: All-optical ideas provide a potential to dramatically cut off the size and cost of x-ray light sources to the university-laboratory scale, with the combination of the laser-plasma accelerator and the laser undulator. However, the large longitudinal energy spread of the electron beam from laser-plasma accelerator may hinder the way to high brightness of these all-optical light sources. In this paper, the beam energy spread effect is proposed to be significantly compensated by the natural transverse gradient of a laser undulator when properly transverse-dispersing the electron beam. Theoretical analysis and numerical simulations on conventional laser-Compton scattering sources and high-gain all-optical x-ray free-electron lasers with the electron beams from laser-plasma accelerators are presented.

© 2018 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (140.2600) Free-electron lasers (FELs); (230.1150) All-optical devices; (290.0290) Scattering; (340.7480) X-rays, soft x-rays, extreme ultraviolet (EUV).

References and links

1. B. J. McNeill and N. R. Thompson, "X-ray free-electron lasers," *Nature Photonics* **4**, 814–821 (2010).
2. W. Ackermann, G. Asova, V. Ayvazyan, A. Azima, N. Baboi, J. Bähr, V. Balandin, B. Beutner, A. Brandt, A. Bolzmann, R. Brinkmann, O. I. Brovko, M. Castellano, P. Castro, L. Catani, E. Chiadroni, S. Choroba, A. Cianchi, J. T. Costello, D. Cubaynes, J. Dardis, W. Decking, H. Delsim-Hashemi, A. Delsérieys, G. Di Pirro, M. Dohlus, S. Düsterer, A. Eckhardt, H. T. Edwards, B. Faatz, J. Feldhaus, K. Flöttmann, J. Frisch, L. Fröhlich, T. Garvey, U. Gensch, C. Gerth, M. Görler, N. Golubeva, H. J. Grabosch, M. Grecki, O. Grimm, K. Hacker, U. Hahn, J. H. Han, K. Honkavaara, T. Hott, M. Hüning, Y. Ivanisenko, E. Jaeschke, W. Jalmuzna, T. Jezynski, R. Kammering, V. Katalev, K. Kavanagh, E. T. Kennedy, S. Khodyachykh, K. Klose, V. Kocharyan, M. Körfer, M. Kollwe, W. Koprek, S. Korepanov, D. Kostin, M. Krassilnikov, G. Kube, M. Kuhlmann, C. L. S. Lewis, L. Lilje, T. Limberg, D. Lipka, F. Löhler, H. Luna, M. Luong, M. Martins, M. Meyer, P. Michelato, V. Miltchev, W. D. Möller, L. Monaco, W. F. O. Müller, A. Napieralski, O. Napoly, P. Nicolosi, D. Nölle, T. Nünez, A. Oppelt, C. Pagan, R. Paparella, N. Pchalek, J. Pedregosa-Gutierrez, B. Petersen, B. Petrosyan, G. Petrosyan, L. Petrosyan, J. Pflüger, E. Plönjes, L. Poletto, K. Pozniak, E. Prat, D. Proch, P. Pucyk, P. Radcliffe, H. Redlin, K. Rehlich, M. Richter, M. Roehrs, J. Roensch, R. Romaniuk, M. Ross, J. Rossbach, V. Rybnikov, M. Sachwitz, E. L. Saldin, W. Sandner, H. Schlarb, B. Schmidt, M. Schmitz, P. Schmüser, J. R. Schneider, E. A. Schneidmiller, S. Schnepp, S. Schreiber, M. Seidel, D. Sertore, A. V. Shabunov, C. Simon, S. Simrock, E. Sombrowski, A. A. Sorokin, P. Spänknebel, R. Spesyvtsev, L. Staykov, B. Steffen, F. Stephan, F. Stulle, H. Thom, K. Tiedtke, M. Tischer, S. Toleikis, R. Treusch, D. Trines, I. Tsakov, E. Vogel, T. Weiland, H. Weise, M. Wellhöfer, M. Wendt, I. Will, A. Winter, K. Wittenburg, W. Wurth, P. Yeates, M. V. Yurkov, I. Zagorodnov, and K. Zapfe, "Operation of a free-electron laser from the extreme ultraviolet to the water window," *Nature Photonics* **1**, 336–342 (2007).
3. E. Allaria, R. Appio, L. Badano, W.A. Barletta, S. Bassanese, S.G. Biedron, A. Borgia, E. Busetto, D. Castrotovo, P. Cinquegrana, S. Cleva, D. Cocco, M. Cornacchia, P. Craievich, I. Cudin, G. D'Auria, M. Dal Forno,

- M.B. Danailov, R. De Monte, G. De Ninno, P. Delgiusto, A. Demidovich, S. Di Mitri, B. Diviacco, A. Fabris, R. Fabris, W. Fawley, M. Ferianis, E. Ferrari, S. Ferry, L. Froehlich, P. Furlan, G. Gaio, F. Gelmetti, L. Giannessi, M. Giannini, R. Gobessi, R. Ivanov, E. Karantzoulis, M. Lonza, A. Lutman, B. Mahieu, M. Milloch, S.V. Milton, M. Musardo, I. Nikolov, S. Noe, F. Parmigiani, G. Penco, M. Petronio, L. Pivetta, M. Predonzani, F. Rossi, L. Rumiz, A. Salom, C. Scafuri, C. Serpico, P. Sigalotti, S. Spampinati, C. Spezzani, M. Svandrlik, C. Svetina, S. Tazzari, M. Trovo, R. Umer, A. Vascotto, M. Veronese, R. Visintini, M. Zaccaria, D. Zangrando, and M. Zangrando, "Highly coherent and stable pulses from the FERMI seeded free-electron laser in the extreme ultraviolet," *Nature Photonics* **6**, 699–704 (2012).
4. P. Emma, R. Akre, J. Arthur, R. Bionta, C. Bostedt, J. Bozek, A. Brachmann, P. Bucksbaum, R. Coffee, F.-J. Decker, Y. Ding, D. Dowell, S. Edstrom, A. Fisher, J. Frisch, S. Gilevich, J. Hastings, G. Hays, Ph. Hering, Z. Huang, R. Iverson, H. Loos, M. Messerschmidt, A. Miahnahri, S. Moeller, H.-D. Nuhn, G. Pile, D. Ratner, J. Rzepiela, D. Schultz, T. Smith, P. Stefan, H. Tompkins, J. Turner, J. Welch, W. White, J. Wu, G. Yocky, and J. Galayda, "First lasing and operation of an ångstrom-wavelength free-electron laser," *Nature Photonics* **4**, 641–647 (2010).
 5. T. Ishikawa, H. Aoyagi, T. Asaka, Y. Asano, N. Azumi, T. Bizen, H. Ego, K. Fukami, T. Fukui, Y. Furukawa, S. Goto, H. Hanaki, T. Hara, T. Hasegawa, T. Hatsui, A. Higashiya, T. Hirono, N. Hosoda, M. Ishii, T. Inagaki, Y. Inubushi, T. Itoga, Y. Joti, M. Kago, T. Kameshima, H. Kimura, Y. Kirihara, A. Kiyomichi, T. Kobayashi, C. Kondo, T. Kudo, H. Maesaka, X. M. Maréchal, T. Masuda, S. Matsubara, T. Matsumoto, T. Matsushita, S. Matsui, M. Nagasono, N. Nariyama, H. Ohashi, T. Ohata, T. Ohshima, S. Ono, Y. Otake, C. Saji, T. Sakurai, T. Sato, K. Sawada, T. Seike, K. Shirasawa, T. Sugimoto, S. Suzuki, S. Takahashi, H. Takebe, K. Takeshita, K. Tamasaku, H. Tanaka, R. Tanaka, T. Tanaka, T. Togashi, K. Togawa, A. Tokuhisa, H. Tomizawa, K. Tono, S. Wu, M. Yabashi, M. Yamaga, A. Yamashita, K. Yanagida, C. Zhang, T. Shintake, H. Kitamura, and N. Kumagai, "A compact X-ray free-electron laser emitting in the sub-ångström region," *Nature Photonics* **6**, 540–544 (2012).
 6. R. W. Schoenlein, W. P. Leemans, A. H. Chin, P. Volfbeyn, T. E. Glover, P. Balling, M. Zolotorev, K.-J. Kim, S. Chattopadhyay, and C. V. Shank, "Femtosecond x-ray pulses at 0.4 Å generated by 90° thomson scattering: A tool for probing the structural dynamics of materials," *Science* **274**, 236–238 (1996).
 7. H. P. Schlenvoigt, K. Haupt, A. Debus, F. Budde, O. Jäckel, S. Pfotenhauer, H. Schwoerer, E. Rohwer, J. G. Gallacher, E. Brunetti, R. P. Shanks, S. M. Wiggins, and D. A. Jaroszynski, "A compact synchrotron radiation source driven by a laser-plasma wakefield accelerator," *Nature Physics* **4**, 130–133 (2007).
 8. F. Grüner, S. Becker, U. Schramm, T. Eichner, M. Fuchs, R. Weingartner, D. Habs, J. Meyer-ter-Vehn, M. Geissler, M. Ferrario, L. Serafini, B. van der Geer, H. Backe, W. Lauth, and S. Reiche, "Design considerations for table-top, laser-based VUV and X-ray free electron lasers," *Appl. Phys. B: Lasers Opt.* **86**, 431–435 (2007).
 9. K. Nakajima, "Compact x ray sources towards a table top free electron laser," *Nature Physics* **4**, 92–93 (2008).
 10. M. Fuchs, R. Weingartner, A. Popp, Z. Major, S. Becker, J. Osterhoff, I. Cortrie, B. Zeitler, R. Horlein, G. D. Tsakiris, U. Schramm, T. P. Rowlands-Rees, S. M. Hooker, D. Habs, F. Krausz, S. Karsch, and F. Grüner, "Laser-driven soft-X-ray undulator source," *Nature Physics* **5**, 826–829 (2009).
 11. P. Sprangle, B. Hafizi, and J. Peñano, "Laser-pumped coherent x-ray free-electron laser," *Phys. Rev. Spec. Top.—Accel. Beams* **12**, 050702 (2009).
 12. S. Kneip, C. McGuffey, J. L. Martins, S. F. Martins, C. Bellei, V. Chvykov, F. Dollar, R. Fonseca, C. Huntington, G. Kalintchenko, A. Maksimchuk, S. P. D. Mangles, T. Matsuoka, S. R. Nagel, C. A. J. Palmer, J. Schreiber, K. Ta Phuoc, A. G. R. Thomas, V. Yanovsky, L. O. Silva, K. Krushelnick, and Z. Najmudin, "Bright spatially coherent synchrotron X-rays from a table-top source," *Nature Physics* **6**, 980–983 (2010).
 13. J. Dai, H. Deng, and Z. Dai, "Proposal for an x-ray free electron laser oscillator with intermediate energy electron beam," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 034802 (2012).
 14. T. Shintake, K. Huke, J. Tanaka, I. Sato, and I. Kumabe, "Development of Microwave Undulator," *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics* **22**, 844–851 (1983).
 15. C. Chang, M. Shumail, S. Tantawi, J. Neilson, and C. Pellegrini, "Electron dynamics and transverse-kick elimination in a high-field short-period helical microwave undulator," *Applied Physics Letters* **101**, 161102 (2012).
 16. S. Kashiwagi, R. Kato, G. Isoyama, K. Sakaue, A. Masuda, T. Nomoto, T. Gowa, M. Washio, R. Kuroda, and J. Urakawa, "Development of compact coherent EUV source based on laser Compton scattering," *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **78**, 1112–1115 (2009).
 17. G. A. Krafft and G. Priebe, "Compton Sources of Electromagnetic Radiation," *Rev. Accel. Sci. Technol.* **03**, 147–163 (2010).
 18. K. Ta Phuoc, S. Corde, C. Thaury, V. Malka, A. Tafzi, J. P. Goddet, R. C. Shah, S. Sebban, and A. Rousse, "All-optical Compton gamma-ray source," *Nature Photonics* **6**, 308–311 (2012).
 19. S. Chen, N. D. Powers, I. Ghebregziabher, C. M. Maharjan, C. Liu, G. Golovin, S. Banerjee, J. Zhang, N. Cunningham, A. Moorti, S. Clarke, S. Pozzi, and D. P. Umstadter, "MeV-Energy X Rays from Inverse Compton Scattering with Laser-Wakefield Accelerated Electrons," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 155003 (2013).
 20. W. P. Leemans, B. Nagler, A. J. Gonsalves, C. Toth, K. Nakamura, C. G. R. Geddes, E. Esarey, C. B. Schroeder, and S. M. Hooker, "Gev electron beams from a centimetre-scale accelerator," *Nature Physics* **2**, 696–699 (2006).

21. O. Lundh, J. Lim, C. Rechatin, L. Ammoura, A. Ben-Ismaïl, X. Davoine, G. Gallot, J-P. Goddet, E. Lefebvre, V. Malka, and J. Faure, "Few femtosecond, few kiloampere electron bunch produced by a laser-plasma accelerator," *Nature Physics* **7**, 219–222 (2011).
22. S. Fritzler, E. Lefebvre, V. Malka, F. Burgy, A. E. Dangor, K. Krushelnick, S. P. D. Mangles, Z. Najmudin, J.-P. Rousseau, and B. Walton, "Emittance Measurements of a Laser-Wakefield-Accelerated Electron Beam," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 165006 (2004).
23. E. Brunetti, R. P. Shanks, G. G. Manahan, M. R. Islam, B. Ersfeld, M. P. Anania, S. Cipiccia, R. C. Issac, G. Raj, G. Vieux, G. H. Welsh, S. M. Wiggins, and D. A. Jaroszynski, "Low Emittance, High Brilliance Relativistic Electron Beams from a Laser-Plasma Accelerator," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 215007 (2010).
24. J. S. Liu, C. Q. Xia, W. T. Wang, H. Y. Lu, Ch. Wang, A. H. Deng, W. T. Li, H. Zhang, X. Y. Liang, Y. X. Leng, X. M. Lu, C. Wang, J. Z. Wang, K. Nakajima, R. X. Li, and Z. Z. Xu, "All-Optical Cascaded Laser Wakefield Accelerator Using Ionization-Induced Injection," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 035001 (2011).
25. G. R. Plateau, C. G. R. Geddes, D. B. Thorn, M. Chen, C. Benedetti, E. Esarey, A. J. Gonsalves, N. H. Matlis, K. Nakamura, C. B. Schroeder, S. Shiraishi, T. Sokollik, J. van Tilborg, C. Toth, S. Trotsenko, T. S. Kim, M. Battaglia, Th. Stöhlker, and W. P. Leemans, "Low-Emittance Electron Bunches from a Laser-Plasma Accelerator Measured using Single-Shot X-Ray Spectroscopy," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 064802 (2012).
26. V. Petrillo, L. Serafini, and P. Tomassini, "Ultrahigh brightness electron beams by plasma-based injectors for driving all-optical free-electron lasers," *Phys. Rev. Spec. Top.—Accel. Beams* **11**, 070703 (2008).
27. C. Chang, C. Tang, and J. Wu, "High-Gain Thompson-Scattering X-Ray Free-Electron Laser by Time-Synchronic Laterally Tilted Optical Wave," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 064802 (2013).
28. C. Chang, J. Liang, D. Hei, M. F. Becker, K. Tang, Y. Feng, V. Yakimenko, C. Pellegrini, and J. Wu, "High-brightness X-ray free-electron laser with an optical undulator by pulse shaping," *Opt. Express* **21**, 32013–32018 (2013).
29. S. P. D. Mangles, C. D. Murphy, Z. Najmudin, A. G. R. Thomas, J. L. Collier, A. E. Dangor, E. J. Divall, P. S. Foster, J. G. Gallacher, C. J. Hooker, D. A. Jaroszynski, A. J. Langley, W. B. Mori, P. A. Norreys, F. S. Tsung, R. Viskup, B. R. Walton, and K. Krushelnick, "Monoenergetic beams of relativistic electrons from intense laser-plasma interactions," *Nature* **431**, 535–538 (2004).
30. C. G. R. Geddes, C. Toth, J. van Tilborg, E. Esarey, C. B. Schroeder, D. Bruhwiler, C. Nieter, J. Cary, and W. P. Leemans, "High-quality electron beams from a laser wakefield accelerator using plasma-channel guiding," *Nature* **431**, 538–541 (2004).
31. T. I. Smith, J. M. J. Madey, L. R. Elias, and D. A. G. Deacon, "Reducing the sensitivity of a free-electron laser to electron energy," *J. Appl. Phys.* **50**, 4580–4583 (1979).
32. Z. Huang, Y. Ding, and C. B. Schroeder, "Compact X-ray Free-Electron Laser from a Laser-Plasma Accelerator Using a Transverse-Gradient Undulator," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 204801 (2012).
33. Y. Cai, Y. Ding, R. Hettel, Z. Huang, L. Wang, L. Xiao, "An X-ray Free Electron Laser Driven by an Ultimate Storage Ring," SLAC-PUB-15380 (2013).
34. H. X. Deng, and C. Feng, "Using Off-Resonance Laser Modulation for Beam-Energy-Spread Cooling in Generation of Short-Wavelength Radiation," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 084801 (2013).
35. C. Feng, H. X. Deng, D. Wang, and Z. T. Zhao, "Phase-merging harmonic generation free-electron laser", *New Journal of Physics* **16**, 043021 (2014).
36. G. Fuchert, A. Bernhard, S. Ehlers, P. Peiffer, R. Rossmanith, and T. Baumbach, "A novel undulator concept for electron beams with a large energy spread," *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **672**, 33–37 (2012).
37. A. H. Compton, "A Quantum Theory of the Scattering of X-rays by Light Elements," *Phys. Rev.* **21**, 483–502 (1923).
38. D. Du, X. Liu, G. Korn, J. Squier, and G. Mourou, "Laser-induced breakdown by impact ionization in SiO₂ with pulse widths from 7 ns to 150 fs," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **64**, 3071–3073 (1994).
39. J. M. J. Madey, "Stimulated Emission of Bremsstrahlung in a Periodic Magnetic Field," *J. Appl. Phys.* **42**, 1906–1913 (1971).
40. R. Bonifacio, C. Pellegrini, and L. M. Narducci, "Collective Instabilities and High-Gain Regime in a Free Electron Laser," *Opt. Commun.* **50**, 373–378 (1984).
41. B. Hidding, G. Pretzler, J. B. Rosenzweig, T. Königstein, D. Schiller, and D. L. Bruhwiler, "Ultracold Electron Bunch Generation via Plasma Photocathode Emission and Acceleration in a Beam-Driven Plasma Blowout," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 035001 (2012).
42. F. Li, J. F. Hua, X. L. Xu, C. J. Zhang, L. X. Yan, Y. C. Du, W. H. Huang, H. B. Chen, C. X. Tang, W. Lu, C. Joshi, W. B. Mori, and Y. Q. Gu, "Generating High-Brightness Electron Beams via Ionization Injection by Transverse Colliding Lasers in a Plasma-Wakefield Accelerator," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 015003 (2013).
43. S. Reiche, "GENESIS 1.3: a fully 3D time-dependent FEL simulation code," *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **429**, 243–248 (1999).

X-ray continuously revolutionizes the understanding of the matters, and creates new sciences and technologies, which has been proved by 20 Nobel Prizes awarded for x-ray related works.

Therefore, synchrotron radiation light sources and free-electron lasers (FELs) based on particle accelerators are being developed worldwide to satisfy the dramatically growing demands in the material and biological sciences [1]. Recently, the successful operation of the first FEL facilities in the XUV and hard x-ray region announced the birth of the coherent x-ray science era [2–5]. However, considering the size and cost of these large-scale facilities, scientists begin to envision much more compact x-ray light sources [6–13] which may be popularized and afforded by the university-scale laboratories.

The marriage between the advanced laser technique and accelerators has contributed significantly to the miniaturization of the x-ray sources. On one hand, the developing of optical undulator shortens the period length towards down to sub-centimeter level [14, 15], and thus reduces the electron beam energy required by the x-ray light sources from several GeV to tens of MeV, e.g. the well-known laser-Compton scattering light sources [6, 11, 16–19]. On the other hand, the laser-plasma accelerators (LPAs) have made a great breakthrough in the generation of electron beam with peak current above 10 kA, low emittance less than $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ and beam energy of 1 GeV [20–25]. Taking the great advantages of the laser undulator and the LPA, novel table-top all-optical Compton gamma-ray source has already been experimentally demonstrated [18]. And more recently, high-gain all-optical x-ray FELs are being under consideration [26–28].

Currently, the electron beam from LPA usually has large energy spread with a few percent level, which may be the bottleneck for achieving high brightness in the x-ray light source applications. Thus, various great efforts have been made to reduce the energy spread in the LPA community [20, 29, 30]. Meanwhile, in the light source community, the concept of transverse gradient undulator (TGU) proposed in FEL oscillator [31] has been recently suggested to overcome the electron beam energy spread in the LPA driving high-gain FELs [32], ultimate storage rings [33] and seeded FEL regime [34, 35]. Novel design of TGU has been also used in superconducting undulator to achieve much higher field gradient [36]. In this paper, we explore a novel concept for compensating the beam energy spread effect in the all-optical x-ray sources by using the nonlinear Gaussian distribution of laser, i.e., the controllable natural transverse field gradient of the laser undulator (TGLU). Theoretical analysis and three-dimensional simulations indicate that the radiation pulses from TGLU boosted x-ray sources present orders of magnitude brightness enhancement when compared with normal all-optical sources driven by the LPA beams with relatively large energy spread, as well as the significantly improved spatial and temporal characteristics.

In order to generate table-top x-ray sources, all-optical scheme consists of the LPA and the laser undulator has been proposed. The principle of the laser undulator is more generally known as laser-Compton scattering, where the electrons with relativistic energy γ_0 scatter the laser photons with wavelength λ_l to a shorter wavelength λ_s at the expense of the electrons kinetic energy [37]. The interaction between the electron and the laser undulator can be described by the undulator resonant relation from the conventional theory of undulator radiation, i.e.,

$$\lambda_s = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma_0^2} \left(1 + \frac{K_0^2}{2} \right) \quad (1)$$

The equivalent period length of the laser undulator λ_u is determined by the scattering angle ϕ of the electron beam and the laser by $\lambda_u = \lambda_l / (1 - \cos \phi)$, the dimensionless undulator parameter K could be written as $K = eE\lambda_u / (2\pi mc^2)$, where e , E , m and c is the elementary charge, the amplitude of laser field, the rest electron mass and the speed of light in vacuum, respectively. It is expected that K could reach 1–2 with the state-of-the-art laser technique [27, 38], and still be moving forward.

To clearly illustrate the transverse gradient of a laser undulator, we start from the case where the electron beam interacts with a counter-propagating laser undulator, i.e., $\phi = \pi$. The trans-




Fig. 1. *Left*: The electric field distribution of laser undulator and the incident electron beam in the transverse plane, where $x^*o^*y^*$ and xoy is the coordinates of the laser undulator and the electron beam, respectively, σ_r is rms transverse radius of the laser undulator, x_0 is the centroid displacement between the electron beam and the laser undulator, '+'/'-' sign of x_0 indicates that the electron beam is located at the right or left side of the origin point o^* , the longitudinal coordinates z^* and z are perpendicular to the transverse plane. *Right*: the dimensionless strength parameter of the laser undulator with transverse nonlinear Gaussian gradient, and the (x, γ) phase-space of the electron beam with/without transverse dispersion.

verse field of the laser undulator can be seen in Fig. 1., into which the electron beam is guided with a transverse displacement of x_0 , thus in the frame of electron beam, the undulator parameter felt by the electron at the position of x could be written as

$$K(x) = K_0 e^{-\frac{(x+x_0)^2 - x_0^2}{2\sigma_r^2}} \quad (2)$$

where $K_0 = K_m e^{-\frac{x_0^2}{2\sigma_r^2}}$ is the undulator parameter at the center of electron beam. Then the gradient of the laser undulator can be observed from the derivative of Equation (2), i.e.,

$$\frac{\Delta K}{K} = -\frac{x_0}{\sigma_r^2} \Delta x \quad (3)$$

Thus, the equivalent transverse field gradient of laser undulator could be written as $\alpha = -\frac{x_0}{\sigma_r^2}$, which can be controlled obviously by adjusting the laser beam size and the injection position of the electron beam. Under such circumstance, if the electron beam with large energy spread was properly dispersed by $x = \eta \Delta \gamma / \gamma$ in the transverse plane, with η the dispersion strength, the longitudinal energy spread effects of the electron beam could be converted to the transverse plane and could be potentially compensated.

The essence of the TGLU on suppressing the beam energy spread effects can be learnt from the laser-Compton scattering process. Here we consider a $10 \mu\text{m}$ wavelength laser with $100 \mu\text{m}$ radius and 3.3 ps flat-top distribution in longitudinal, i.e., a laser undulator with period number $N_u = 100$, and a cold electron beam with energy of 45 MeV and relative energy spread of 1%, then an effective undulator strength $K_0 = 2.3$ results in radiation of 1 keV photon energy. According to the theory of undulator radiation, the bandwidth of 1 keV photon will be 1% for cold electron beam, which however will be significantly broadened by a large beam energy spread.




Fig. 2. Calculated spectra of laser-Compton scattering with different strengths of transverse dispersion. The energy of the cold beam is 45 MeV with energy spread of 1%, and the beam size of laser undulator is $\sigma_r = 100 \mu\text{m}$, displacement between the laser and electron is $x_0 = -100 \mu\text{m}$.

If the electron beam with a dispersion η was injected at $x_0 = -100 \mu\text{m}$, the radiation spectrum on the beam axis can be calculated from the sum of the spontaneous emission spectrum of individual electrons. Figure 2. shows the radiation spectra with different dispersions to the electron beam, it is found that the radiation bandwidth is approximately 6 times improved with $\eta = 140 \mu\text{m}$, when compared with the case of $\eta = 0 \mu\text{m}$, which in fact already achieves the theoretical limit of the undulator radiation, i.e. the energy spread effect has been successfully compensated.

It is well known that the FEL gain at small-signal regime is proportional to the derivative of the undulator spontaneous emission spectra, which greatly depends on the beam energy detuning, i.e., $\Delta\gamma/\gamma_0$ [39]. In order to maximize the small-signal gain, in principle, $\Delta\gamma/\gamma_0$ should be kept as a constant with different x value. Then theoretically one can derive the optimal relationship between the TGLU and the dispersion as follows [32],

$$-\frac{x_0}{\sigma_r^2} = \frac{2 + K_0^2}{\eta K_0^2} \quad (4)$$

In the cases of Fig. 2., the gradient of the laser undulator is 10^5m^{-1} and the Eq. (4) predicts the optimal dispersion of $140 \mu\text{m}$, which is pretty consistent with the simulated results. Moreover, the spectra also demonstrate a maximum small-signal gain for the case of $140 \mu\text{m}$ dispersion strength.

Recently, it is reported that the powerful x-ray from high-gain Compton-scattering could be realizable by significantly extending the interaction length of the electron beam and the laser undulator, but rather small beam energy spread of 5×10^{-5} was critically required [27]. Here we demonstrate the advantages of TGLU in the application of high-gain all-optical x-ray FELs, where the collision angle is $\phi = \pi/2$, then accordingly the transverse laser shape should be subtly manipulated to introduce the proper transverse gradient [27]. For the transversely dispersed electron beam in the laser undulator, taking the coupling reduction between the electron beam

and the radiation into accounts, the effective FEL parameter can be defined as [32],

$$\rho_T = \rho \left(1 + \frac{\eta^2 \sigma_\delta^2}{\sigma_x^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} \quad (5)$$

where σ_x is the electron beam radius, σ_δ is the fractional energy spread, ρ is the classical FEL parameter [40]. Assuming the average beta function of the LPA beam in laser undulator to be $\bar{\beta} = N_u \lambda_u / 2$, then including the transverse gradient of a laser undulator, the FEL power gain length can be written similarly as that in Ref. [32]:

$$L_g^T \approx \frac{\lambda_u}{4\pi\sqrt{3}\rho_T} \left(1 + \left(\frac{K_0^2}{2 + K_0^2} \right)^2 \frac{x_0^2 \varepsilon_n N_u \lambda_u}{2\gamma \rho_T^2 \sigma_r^4} \right) \quad (6)$$

with N_u the total period number of laser undulator.

Equation (6) gives the clue about the gain length shortening for relative large beam energy spread, which means the energy spread reduction could be compensated by TGLU. For instance, the LPA beam with energy spread of 1% and other parameters shown in Table 1, the laser undulator with $x_0 = \sigma_r = 100 \mu\text{m}$, dispersion $\eta = -140 \mu\text{m}$ would contribute 54% shortening to the FEL gain length.

Table 1. Parameters of high-gain Compton scattering all-optical x-ray source

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
Beam Energy	E_b	60	MeV
Relative Energy Spread	σ_δ	0.5%	
Norm. Emittance	ε_n	50	nm
Beam Size (rms)	σ_x	1.5	μm
Peak Current	I_p	3	kA
Laser Wavelength	λ	10	μm
FEL Wavelength	λ_{ph}	1.0	nm
FEL Photon Energy	E_{ph}	1.24	keV

Thanks to the continuous progresses in the LPA community, we are optimistic about achieving the beam parameters shown in Table 1 [41, 42]. In order to illustrate the great potential of TGLU in the all-optical x-ray FELs, here we present the detailed three-dimensional numerical simulations. The well-benchmarked FEL code GENESIS 1.3 [43] is modified to adapt the TGLU configuration. After the two-dimensional scan of the transverse dispersion η and the gradient α of the laser undulator against the radiation power, the optimal working condition $\eta = 480 \mu\text{m}$ and $\alpha_{\text{opt}} = 3900 \text{m}^{-1}$ is found. Furthermore, according to the theory of TGLU, with the optimal dispersion of $480 \mu\text{m}$, a bundle of (σ_r, x_0) could be operational. The simulation results in Fig. 3. show the working region highlighted by the fitted curve $x_0 = -\alpha_{\text{opt}} \sigma_r^2$, e.g. $\alpha_{\text{opt}} = 3900 \text{m}^{-1}$ for $(\sigma_r, x_0) = (200 \mu\text{m}, -156 \mu\text{m})$. It is worth to stress that the optimal working region becomes larger as the transverse radius of the laser undulator increases, which could be mathematically seen from $|\Delta x_0| = 2\alpha_{\text{opt}} \sigma_r \Delta \sigma_r$.

Figure 4. shows the simulated FEL power growth around 1.2keV photon energy for the normal laser undulator case and the TGLU case. One can see that the power improvement of the TGLU case is almost 300 times of magnitude within the 5 mm long laser-beam interaction length even though it is still far away from the FEL saturation. Further studies indicate that, for the case of 1% beam energy spread, at least 1 order of magnitude improvement of FEL power can be achieved.




Fig. 3. Radiation power contour view v.s. the transverse position x_0 of the incident electron beam and the transverse radius σ_r of the laser undulator, the white dashed line indicated the optimal relation between x_0 and σ_r with $x_0 = -\alpha_{\text{opt}}\sigma_r^2$, where α_{opt} is optimal gradient with regard to the optimal dispersion of $\eta = 480 \mu\text{m}$.




Fig. 4. Power gain curve for the case of 0.5% energy spread, in which the TGLU boosted case (red solid line) shows an additional gain of 300-fold over the normal case (blue solid line); the up left inset shows the transverse field pattern for TGLU case.

Moreover, TGLU also results much better transverse radiation field pattern which could be seen from Fig. 4. While the transverse coherence is very poor because of the large energy spread

and relatively low gain with the absence of TGLU.

Finally, we would like to discuss some practical issues about the TGLU application in the x-ray light source generation. Because the electron beam is sent into the laser undulator with x_0 displacement with regards to the centroid, the beam should be deflected by the non-balanced magnetic field, or the beam centroid trajectory be deviated by $\Delta x = \frac{\alpha K_0^2}{4\gamma^2} (N_u \lambda_u)^2 \approx 6 \mu\text{m}$, which would make the radiation power growth not sustainable. Well, numerical simulation shows that the external correction field with the magnitude of about 0.1 T would be enough to correct the trajectory back into normal. Other issues like beam energy jitter and incident position offset are also substantially studied. The electron beam incident position offset error is originated both from the intrinsic random incident error and the beam energy jitter induced incident deviation, thus the latter is energy-correlated while the former is energy-uncorrelated. Numerical study shows that the random energy-uncorrelated incident offset of $\sim 5 \mu\text{m}$ in root mean square would keep the radiation power level up the case without TGLU applied. Since the energy-correlated incident offset only contributes the TGLU gradient shifting, the FEL resonant condition is always maintained, thus the requirement is not so much stringent [31], e.g. rms beam energy jitter of 1% only slightly move the incident position of $4.8 \mu\text{m}$, which is a small portion of the optimal working region as shown in Fig. 3. Similarly, within the rms incident angle offset of about $300 \mu\text{rad}$, the TGLU x-ray light source would be stable.

In conclusion, a novel operation mode of all-optical x-ray light source was proposed with the electron beam from the advanced laser-plasma accelerator and laser undulator. Using the natural transverse gradient of the laser undulator, the beam energy spread impact to the power gain of x-ray source could be effectively compensated. The theory and numerical simulation indicate that the optimal working condition could be found with different transverse radius of laser undulator and the electron beam incident position. The utilization of TGLU in the laser-Compton scattering shows the great advantage of almost orders of brightness enhancement by narrowing the bandwidth. The high-gain all-optical x-ray FEL light source example shows that with the laser beam size of hundreds μm , TGLU could increase the output power by about 2 orders of magnitude with much better transverse field mode. It is convinced that the natural transverse gradient of the laser undulator holds great potential in developing table-top all-optical x-ray light sources, and it also can be extended to the applications in the radio frequency and Tera-hertz undulators.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to C. Chang, Y. Ding, Z. Huang, C. Schroeder, L. Shen, D. Huang, B. Liu and B. Jiang for helpful discussions. This work was partially supported by the Major State Basic Research Development Program of China (2011CB808300) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11175240, 11205234 and 11322550).