

5D relativistic atom optics and interferometry

Christian J. Bordé

SYRTE, Observatoire de Paris, F-75014 Paris, France
and Laboratoire de Physique des Lasers, F-93430 Villetaneuse, France
<http://christian.j.borde.free.fr>

December 8, 2021

Abstract

This contribution is an update of a previous presentation of 5D matter-wave optics and interferometry with a correction of some algebraic errors. Electromagnetic interactions are explicitly added in the 5D metric tensor in complete analogy with Kaluza's work. The 5D Lagrangian is rederived and an expression for the Hamiltonian suitable for the parabolic approximation is presented. The corresponding equations of motion are also given. The 5D action is shown to cancel for the actual trajectory which is a null geodesics of the 5D metric. This presentation is mainly devoted to the classical aspects of the theory and only general consequences for the quantum phase of matter-waves are outlined. The application to Bordé-Ramsey interferometers is given as an illustration.

1 Introduction

The foundations of relativistic 5D-optics for matter waves have been presented in an earlier publication [1]. This is a natural framework to unify and compare photon and atom optics thanks to formulas valid for arbitrary mass. The concept of mass and its relationship with proper time in terms of associated dynamical variables and conjugate quantum observables are presented again here. Gravito-inertial fields and electromagnetic fields are included in the 5D metric tensor as in Kaluza's theory. A corrected expression is given for the 5D Lagrangian and corresponding equations of motion are derived. As in 4D, a superaction makes the link with the quantum mechanical phase in 5D. The 5D generalization of the ABCD theorem [2, 3, 4, 5] for matter-wave packets leads to a single formula for the quantum phase in presence of external fields taking into account the internal degrees of freedom of the particle.

2 The status of mass in classical relativistic mechanics: from 4 to 5 dimensions

In special relativity, the total energy E and the momentum components p^1, p^2, p^3 of a particle, transform as the contravariant components of a four-vector

$$p^\mu = (p^0, p^1, p^2, p^3) = (E/c, \vec{p}) \quad (1)$$

and the covariant components are given by :

$$p_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} p^\mu \quad (2)$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor. In Minkowski space of signature $(+, -, -, -)$:

$$p_\mu = (p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3) = (E/c, -p^1, -p^2, -p^3) \quad (3)$$

These components are conserved quantities when the system considered is invariant under corresponding space-time translations. They will become the generators of space-time translations in the quantum theory. For massive particles of rest mass m , they are connected by the following energy-momentum relation (see figure 1):

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4 \quad (4)$$

or, in manifestly covariant form,

$$p^\mu p_\mu - m^2 c^2 = 0 \quad (5)$$

This equation cannot be considered as a definition of mass since the origin of mass is not in the external motion but rather in an internal motion (see Appendix A). It simply relates two relativistic invariants and gives a relativistic expression for the total energy. Thus mass appears as an additional momentum component mc corresponding to internal degrees of freedom of the object and which adds up quadratically with external components of the momentum to yield the total energy squared (Pythagoras' theorem). In the reference frame in which $p = 0$ the mass squared is responsible for the total energy and can thus be seen as stored internal energy just like kinetic energy is a form of external energy. Even when this internal energy is purely kinetic e.g. in the case of a photon in a box, it appears as pure mass m^* for the global system (i.e. the box). This new mass is the relativistic mass of the stored particle:

$$m^* c^2 = \sqrt{p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4} \quad (6)$$

The concept of relativistic mass has been criticized in the past but, as we shall see, it becomes relevant for embedded systems. We may have a hierarchy of composed objects (e.g. nuclei, atoms, molecules, atomic clock ...) and at each level the mass m^* of the larger object is given by the sum of energies p^0 of the inner particles. It transforms as p^0 with the internal coordinates and is a scalar with respect to the upper level coordinates.

Mass is conserved when the system under consideration is invariant in a proper time translation and will become the generator of such translations in the quantum theory. In the case of atoms, the internal degrees of freedom give rise to a mass which varies with the internal excitation. For example, in the presence of an electromagnetic field inducing transitions between internal energy levels, the mass of atoms becomes time-dependent (Rabi oscillations). It is thus necessary to enlarge the usual framework of dynamics to introduce this new dynamical variable as a fifth component of the energy-momentum vector.

Figure 1: 5D energy-momentum picture

Equation (5) can be written with a five dimensional notation :

$$G^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\hat{p}_{\hat{\mu}}\hat{p}_{\hat{\nu}} = 0 \text{ with } \hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu} = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (7)$$

where $\hat{p}_{\hat{\mu}} = (p_{\mu}, p_4 = -mc)$; $G^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}$; $G^{\hat{\mu}4} = G^{4\hat{\nu}} = 0$; $G^{44} = G_{44} = -1$

This leads us to consider also the picture in the coordinate space and its extension to five dimensions. As in the previous case, we have a four-vector representing the space-time position of a particle:

$$x^\mu = (ct, x, y, z)$$

and in view of the extension to general relativity:

$$dx^\mu = (cdt, dx, dy, dz) = (dx^0, dx^1, dx^2, dx^3) \quad (8)$$

The relativistic invariant is, in this case, the elementary interval ds , also expressed with the proper time τ of the particle:

$$ds^2 = dx^\mu dx_\mu = c^2 dt^2 - d\vec{x}^2 = c^2 d\tau^2 \quad (9)$$

which is, as that was already the case for mass, equal to zero for light

$$ds^2 = 0 \quad (10)$$

and this defines the usual light cone in space-time.

For massive particles proper time and interval are non-zero and equation (9) defines again an hyperboloid. As in the energy-momentum picture we may enlarge our space-time with the additional dimension $s = c\tau$

$$d\hat{x}^\mu = (cdt, dx, dy, dz, cd\tau) = (dx^0, dx^1, dx^2, dx^3, dx^4) \quad (11)$$

and introduce a generalized light cone for massive particles¹

$$d\sigma^2 = G_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} d\hat{x}^{\hat{\mu}} d\hat{x}^{\hat{\nu}} = c^2 dt^2 - d\vec{x}^2 - c^2 d\tau^2 = 0 \quad (12)$$

As pointed out in the case of mass, proper time is not defined by this equation from other coordinates but is rather a true evolution parameter representative of the internal evolution of the object. It coincides numerically with the time coordinate in the frame of the object through the relation:

$$cd\tau = \sqrt{G_{00}} dx^0 \quad (13)$$

Finally, if we combine momenta and coordinates to form a mixed scalar product, we obtain a new relativistic invariant which is the differential of the action. In 4D:

$$dS = -p_\mu dx^\mu \quad (14)$$

¹In this picture, anti-particles have a negative mass and propagate backwards on the fifth axis as first pointed out by Feynman. Still, their relativistic mass m^* is positive and hence they follow the same trajectories as particles in gravitational fields as we shall see from the equations of motion.

Figure 2: 5D coordinates

and in 5D we shall therefore introduce the superaction:

$$\widehat{S} = - \int \widehat{p}_{\hat{\mu}} d\widehat{x}^{\hat{\mu}} \quad (15)$$

equivalent to

$$\widehat{p}_{\hat{\mu}} = - \frac{\partial \widehat{S}}{\partial \widehat{x}^{\hat{\mu}}} \quad \text{with } \hat{\mu} = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (16)$$

If this is substituted in

$$G^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \widehat{p}_{\hat{\mu}} \widehat{p}_{\hat{\nu}} = 0 \quad (17)$$

we obtain the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in 5D

$$G^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \partial_{\hat{\mu}} \widehat{S} \partial_{\hat{\nu}} \widehat{S} = 0 \quad (18)$$

which has the same form as the eikonal equation for light in 4D. It is already this striking analogy which pushed Louis de Broglie to identify action and the phase of a matter wave in the 4D case. We shall follow the same track for a quantum approach in our 5D case.

What is the link between the three previous invariants given above? As in optics, the direction of propagation of a particle is determined by the momentum vector tangent to the trajectory. The 5D momentum can therefore be written in the form:

$$\widehat{p}^{\hat{\mu}} = d\widehat{x}^{\hat{\mu}} / d\lambda \quad (19)$$

where λ is an affine parameter varying along the ray. This is consistent with the invariance of these quantities for uniform motion.

In 4D the canonical 4-momentum is:

$$p_{\mu} = mc \frac{g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\nu}}{\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}}} = mc g_{\mu\nu} u^{\nu} \quad (20)$$

where $u^{\nu} = dx^{\nu} / d\tau$ is the normalized 4-velocity with $d\tau = \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}}$ given by (9).

We observe that $d\lambda$ can always be written as the ratio of a time to a mass:

$$d\lambda = \frac{d\tau}{m} = \frac{dt}{m^*} = \frac{d\theta}{M} = \dots \quad (21)$$

where τ is the proper time of individual particles (e.g. atoms in a clock or in a molecule), t is the time coordinate of the composed object (clock, interferometer or molecule) and θ its proper time; m, m^*, M are respectively the mass, the relativistic mass of individual particles and their contribution to the scalar mass of the device or composed object.

In the usual paradigm of relativity, the time t is a coordinate variable and the proper time τ is taken as the evolution parameter to describe the motion of particles in space-time. In this presentation however, proper time is an independent coordinate describing the internal motion of massive particles, so that we shall rather chose the coordinate time as the evolution parameter. Another

good reason for this choice is that, in order to describe an ensemble of atoms or of atom waves within a clock or an atom interferometer, it cannot be a good choice to use the proper time of a specific atom to describe the full device. We shall therefore write in 5D:

$$\widehat{p}_{\hat{\mu}} = m^* G_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \dot{\widehat{x}}^{\hat{\nu}} = m^* \dot{\widehat{x}}_{\hat{\mu}} \quad (22)$$

expressed with the "relativistic mass" :

$$m^* = m \frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{mc}{\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu}} \quad (23)$$

and where the dot refers to derivation with respect to a "laboratory time" (identical to the proper time θ of the apparatus only in the absence of gravitation or inertial effects). With this choice $\dot{\widehat{x}}^0 = c$ and $\widehat{p}^0 = m^* c$. An alternate choice could be to take the proper time θ of the full device as the evolution parameter. In which case:

$$cd\theta = \sqrt{G_{00}} dx^0 \text{ and } M = m^* \sqrt{G_{00}} \quad (24)$$

From :

$$d\sigma^2 = G_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} d\widehat{x}^{\hat{\mu}} d\widehat{x}^{\hat{\nu}} = 0 \quad (25)$$

we infer in 5D

$$d\widehat{S} = 0 \quad (26)$$

and in 4D

$$dS = -p_\mu dx^\mu = -mc^2 d\tau \quad (27)$$

In Appendix A, we generalize these relations to an object, such as a clock, a molecule..., composed of a number of subparticles and illustrate the origin of proper time as coming from the inner structure of the object.

3 Generalization in the presence of gravitational and electromagnetic interactions

The previous 5D scheme can be extended to general relativity with a 4D metric tensor $g^{\mu\nu}$ and an electromagnetic 4-potential A_μ

$$g^{\mu\nu} (p_\mu - qA_\mu) (p_\nu - qA_\nu) = m^2 c^2 \quad (28)$$

($q = -e$ for the electron).

We shall search for a metric tensor $G_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$ for 5D such that the generalized interval given by:

$$d\sigma^2 = G_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} d\widehat{x}^{\hat{\mu}} d\widehat{x}^{\hat{\nu}}$$

is an invariant.

Let us recall that, from the equivalence principle, the metric tensor $g^{\mu\nu}$ can be obtained from the Minkovski flat space-time tensor $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ using infinitesimal frame transformations from a locally inertial frame. Quite generally any infinitesimal coordinate transformation considered as a gauge transformation can be used to introduce a component of the gravito-inertial field. As an example, in 4D, the transformation (case of a rotation):

$$\begin{aligned} dx'^i &= dx^i + \alpha_0^i dx^0 \\ dx'^0 &= dx^0 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

transforms the interval

$$ds^2 = g'_{00}(dx'^0)^2 + g'_{ij}dx'^i dx'^j \quad (30)$$

into

$$ds^2 = g_{00}(dx^0)^2 + 2g_{0i}dx^0 dx^i + g_{ij}dx^i dx^j \quad (31)$$

with

$$g_{00} = g'_{00} + \alpha_0^i \alpha_0^j g'_{ij} \quad (32)$$

$$g_{0i} = \alpha_0^j g'_{ij} \quad (33)$$

$$g_{ij} = g'_{ij} \quad (34)$$

$$g^{00} = g'^{00} = 1/g'_{00} \quad (35)$$

$$g'^{ij} = 1/g'_{ij} \quad (36)$$

Using :

$$g_{ij}g^{i0} = -g^{00}g_{j0} \quad (37)$$

we find

$$\alpha_0^i = -\frac{g^{i0}}{g^{00}} \quad (38)$$

$$\alpha_0^i \alpha_0^j g'_{ij} = -\frac{g_{i0}g^{i0}}{g^{00}} \quad (39)$$

In the case of rotation we recover the usual metric tensor in the rotating frame. The action S becomes

$$S = - \int p'_\mu dx'^\mu = - \int p'_0 dx'^0 - \int p'_i dx'^i \quad (40)$$

$$= - \int p'_0 dx^0 - \int p'_i (dx^i + \alpha_0^i dx^0) \quad (41)$$

$$S = - \int (p'_0 + p'_i \alpha_0^i) dx^0 - \int p'_i dx^i = - \int p_\mu dx^\mu$$

which gives the Sagnac phase as $\int (p_i g^{i0} / g^{00}) dx^0$.

The same approach can be used with the fifth dimension by introducing the gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned} dx'^4 &= dx^4 + \beta_\mu^4 d\hat{x}^\mu \\ d\hat{x}'^\mu &= d\hat{x}^\mu \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

to generate the off-diagonal elements $G_{\mu 4}$

$$d\sigma^2 = G_{44} (dx^4)^2 + 2G_{44}\beta_\mu^4 dx^4 d\hat{x}^\mu + (g_{\mu\nu} + \beta_\mu^4 \beta_\nu^4 G_{44}) d\hat{x}^\mu d\hat{x}^\nu$$

$$G_{44} = G'_{44} \quad (43)$$

$$G_{\mu 4} = \beta_\mu^4 G_{44} \quad (44)$$

$$G_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \beta_\mu^4 \beta_\nu^4 G_{44} \quad (45)$$

The superaction \hat{S} given by (15) becomes

$$\hat{S} = - \int \hat{p}_\mu d\hat{x}'^\mu = - \int p_\mu d\hat{x}'^\mu - \int \hat{p}_4 dx'^4 \quad (46)$$

$$= - \int p_\mu d\hat{x}^\mu + \int mc(dx^4 + \beta_\mu^4 d\hat{x}^\mu) \quad (47)$$

$$\hat{S} = - \int (p_\mu - mc\beta_\mu^4) d\hat{x}^\mu + \int mc^2 d\tau \quad (48)$$

which yields the Aharonov-Bohm phase if $mc\beta_\mu^4 = qA_\mu$.

The metric tensor in five dimensions $G_{\mu\nu}$ is thus written as in Kaluza's theory to include the electromagnetic gauge field potential A_μ

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} &= \begin{pmatrix} G_{\mu\nu} & G_{\mu 4} \\ G_{4\nu} & G_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} + \kappa^2 G_{44} A_\mu A_\nu & \kappa G_{44} A_\mu \\ \kappa G_{44} A_\nu & G_{44} \end{pmatrix} \\ G^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} &= \begin{pmatrix} G^{\mu\nu} & G^{\mu 4} \\ G^{4\nu} & G^{44} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{\mu\nu} & -\kappa A^\mu \\ -\kappa A^\nu & G^{44} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where κ is given by the gyromagnetic ratio of the object. This metric tensor is such that

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\lambda}} G_{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\nu}} &= \begin{pmatrix} G^{\mu\lambda} & G^{\mu 4} \\ G^{4\lambda} & G^{44} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G_{\lambda\nu} & G_{\lambda 4} \\ G_{4\nu} & G_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \delta_{\hat{\nu}}^{\hat{\mu}} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} G^{\mu\lambda} & -\kappa A^\mu \\ -\kappa A^\lambda & G^{44} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_{\lambda\nu} + \kappa^2 G_{44} A_\lambda A_\nu & +\kappa G_{44} A_\lambda \\ +\kappa G_{44} A_\nu & G_{44} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} G^{\mu\lambda} g_{\lambda\nu} & \kappa G_{44} G^{\mu\lambda} A_\lambda - \kappa G_{44} A^\mu = 0 \\ -\kappa A^\lambda (g_{\lambda\nu} + \kappa^2 G_{44} A_\lambda A_\nu) + \kappa G_{44} G^{44} A_\nu & -\kappa^2 G_{44} A^\lambda A_\lambda + G^{44} G_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \delta_{\hat{\nu}}^{\hat{\mu}} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\mu\lambda} g_{\lambda\nu} &= \delta_\nu^\mu \\ G^{44} &= 1/G_{44} + \kappa^2 A^\lambda A_\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

The equation :

$$G^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \hat{p}_{\hat{\mu}} \hat{p}_{\hat{\nu}} = 0 \quad (52)$$

with

$$\hat{p}_{\hat{\mu}} = (p_\mu, -mc) \quad (53)$$

and $G_{44} = -1$ is therefore equivalent to equation (28)

$$g^{\mu\nu} (p_\mu - qA_\mu) (p_\nu - qA_\nu) = m^2 c^2 \quad (54)$$

Higher order electromagnetic interactions are introduced via the multipolar expansion $p_\mu - qA_\mu + Q^\lambda F_{\mu\lambda}$, where dipole moments will become operators in the quantum description.

4 Hamiltonian and Lagrangian : parabolic approximation

In some cases it is convenient to assume that the energy E is close to a known value E_0 either because energy is conserved and remains equal to its initial value or because of a slow variation of parameters. This means that the usual hyperbolic dispersion curve is locally approximated by the parabola tangent to the hyperbola for the energy E_0 . This approximation scheme applies to massive as well as to massless particles. We can then make use of the identity: $E = \frac{E_0}{2} + \frac{E^2}{2E_0} + O(\varepsilon^2)$ valid to second-order in $\varepsilon = E - E_0$ (parabolic approximation).

Let us start with the exact formula:

$$\hat{p}^0 = \frac{\hat{p}^0}{2} + \frac{(\hat{p}^0)^2}{2\hat{p}^0} \quad (55)$$

in which $(\hat{p}^0)^2$ is obtained from:

$$0 = G^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \hat{p}_{\hat{\mu}} \hat{p}_{\hat{\nu}} = G^{00} (\hat{p}_0)^2 + 2G^{0\hat{i}} \hat{p}_0 \hat{p}_{\hat{i}} + G^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} \hat{p}_{\hat{i}} \hat{p}_{\hat{j}} \quad (56)$$

$$= G^{00} \left(\hat{p}_0 + \frac{G^{0\hat{i}}}{G^{00}} \hat{p}_{\hat{i}} \right)^2 + \left(G^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} - \frac{G^{0\hat{i}} G^{0\hat{j}}}{G^{00}} \right) \hat{p}_{\hat{i}} \hat{p}_{\hat{j}} \quad (57)$$

$$= \frac{1}{G^{00}} (\hat{p}^0)^2 + \hat{f}^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} \hat{p}_{\hat{i}} \hat{p}_{\hat{j}} \quad (58)$$

i.e.:

$$(\hat{p}^0)^2 = -G^{00} \hat{f}^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} \hat{p}_{\hat{i}} \hat{p}_{\hat{j}} \quad (59)$$

where

$$\widehat{f}^{ij} = G^{\widehat{i}\widehat{j}} - \frac{G^{0\widehat{i}}G^{0\widehat{j}}}{G^{00}} \quad (60)$$

is the 4D metric tensor, inverse of $G_{\widehat{i}\widehat{j}}$. Hence

$$\widehat{p}^0 = \frac{\widehat{p}^0}{2} - \frac{G^{00}\widehat{f}^{ij}\widehat{p}_i\widehat{p}_j}{2\widehat{p}^0} = G^{00}\widehat{p}_0 + G^{0i}\widehat{p}_i \quad (61)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{p}_0 &= \frac{\widehat{p}^0}{2G^{00}} - \frac{\widehat{f}^{ij}\widehat{p}_i\widehat{p}_j}{2\widehat{p}^0} - \frac{G^{0j}\widehat{p}_j c}{G^{00}} \\ \widehat{i}, \widehat{j} &= 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

With the choice of time coordinate such that $\dot{\widehat{x}}^0 = c$ the Hamiltonian can be finally written:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{m^*c^2}{2G^{00}} - \frac{\widehat{f}^{ij}\widehat{p}_i\widehat{p}_j}{2m^*} - \frac{G^{0j}\widehat{p}_j c}{G^{00}} \\ \widehat{i}, \widehat{j} &= 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

This expression is exact but requires the knowledge of the relativistic mass m^* . In the parabolic approximation this quantity will finally be approximated by its central value. From the previous exact expression of the Hamiltonian, the Lagrangian is recovered as:

$$\widehat{L} = -\widehat{p}_{\widehat{\mu}}\dot{\widehat{x}}^{\widehat{\mu}} = -\frac{1}{2}m^*G_{\widehat{\mu}\widehat{\nu}}\dot{\widehat{x}}^{\widehat{\mu}}\dot{\widehat{x}}^{\widehat{\nu}} \quad (64)$$

5 Equations of motion

From this Lagrangian we may infer the following equations of motion:

$$\widehat{p}_{\widehat{\mu}} = -\frac{\partial \widehat{L}}{\partial \dot{\widehat{x}}^{\widehat{\mu}}} = m^*G_{\widehat{\mu}\widehat{\nu}}\dot{\widehat{x}}^{\widehat{\nu}} \quad (65)$$

i.e.

$$\dot{\widehat{x}}^{\widehat{i}} = \frac{\widehat{f}^{ij}\widehat{p}_j}{m^*} + \frac{G^{0j}c}{G^{00}} \quad (66)$$

and

$$\dot{\widehat{p}}_{\widehat{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{2}m^*(\partial_{\widehat{\lambda}}G_{\widehat{\mu}\widehat{\nu}})\dot{\widehat{x}}^{\widehat{\mu}}\dot{\widehat{x}}^{\widehat{\nu}} \quad (67)$$

or

$$\dot{\widehat{p}}^{\widehat{\mu}} = \frac{1}{2}m^*G^{\widehat{\mu}\widehat{\lambda}}(\partial_{\widehat{\lambda}}G_{\widehat{\kappa}\widehat{\nu}} - 2\partial_{\widehat{\nu}}G_{\widehat{\lambda}\widehat{\kappa}})\dot{\widehat{x}}^{\widehat{\kappa}}\dot{\widehat{x}}^{\widehat{\nu}} \quad (68)$$

These equations can be compared to those obtained either from the equation for geodesic lines in 5D obtained from $\delta d\sigma^2 = 0$ with

$$d\sigma^2 = G_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}d\hat{x}^{\hat{\mu}}d\hat{x}^{\hat{\nu}} \quad (69)$$

or from the condition:

$$D\hat{x}^{\hat{\mu}} = 0 \quad (70)$$

We proceed as in 4D and find:

$$\ddot{\hat{x}}^{\hat{\mu}} + {}^{(5)}\Gamma_{\hat{\nu}\hat{\lambda}}^{\hat{\mu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\hat{\nu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\hat{\lambda}} = 0$$

with

$${}^{(5)}\Gamma_{\hat{\nu}\hat{\lambda}}^{\hat{\mu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\hat{\nu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\hat{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{2}G^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\kappa}}(2\partial_{\hat{\lambda}}G_{\hat{\kappa}\hat{\nu}} - \partial_{\hat{\kappa}}G_{\hat{\nu}\hat{\lambda}})\dot{\hat{x}}^{\hat{\nu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\hat{\lambda}} \quad (71)$$

We wish now to check that we recover the usual equations of motion in 4D when the metric is independent of the 5th coordinate:

$$\ddot{\hat{x}}^{\hat{\mu}} + {}^{(5)}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\hat{\mu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\nu}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\lambda} + {}^{(5)}\Gamma_{4\lambda}^{\hat{\mu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^4\dot{\hat{x}}^{\lambda} + {}^{(5)}\Gamma_{\nu 4}^{\hat{\mu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\nu}\dot{\hat{x}}^4 + {}^{(5)}\Gamma_{44}^{\hat{\mu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^4\dot{\hat{x}}^4 = 0 \quad (72)$$

with

$${}^{(5)}\Gamma_{4\lambda}^{\hat{\mu}} = \frac{G_{44}}{2}\kappa F_{\lambda}^{\hat{\mu}} \quad (73)$$

$${}^{(5)}\Gamma_{\nu 4}^{\hat{\mu}} = \frac{G_{44}}{2}\kappa F_{\nu}^{\hat{\mu}} \quad (74)$$

$${}^{(5)}\Gamma_{44}^{\hat{\mu}} = G^{\mu\nu}\partial_4 G_{\nu 4} = 0 \quad (75)$$

The Christoffel symbols in 4D and 5D are connected by:

$${}^{(5)}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\hat{\mu}} - {}^{(4)}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\mu} = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}(A_{\nu}F_{\lambda}^{\hat{\mu}} + A_{\lambda}F_{\nu}^{\hat{\mu}}) \quad (76)$$

Hence

$$\ddot{\hat{x}}^{\hat{\mu}} + {}^{(4)}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\hat{\mu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\nu}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\lambda} + \frac{\kappa^2}{2}(A_{\nu}F_{\lambda}^{\hat{\mu}} + A_{\lambda}F_{\nu}^{\hat{\mu}})\dot{\hat{x}}^{\nu}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\lambda} = -G_{44}\dot{\hat{x}}^4\kappa F_{\lambda}^{\hat{\mu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\lambda} \quad (77)$$

using

$$-G_{44}\dot{\hat{x}}^4 = -\dot{\hat{x}}_4 + G_{4\lambda}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\lambda} \quad (78)$$

we recover the usual 4D equation of motion:

$$m^*(\ddot{\hat{x}}^{\hat{\mu}} + {}^{(4)}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\hat{\mu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\nu}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\lambda}) = qF_{\lambda}^{\hat{\mu}}\dot{\hat{x}}^{\lambda} \quad (79)$$

since

$$m^*\dot{\hat{x}}_4 = \hat{p}_4 = -mc \quad (80)$$

If we reintroduce the dependence in the fifth coordinate, we obtain the rate of mass change associated with the change of internal motion induced by an electromagnetic field:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{p}_4 &= \frac{1}{2}m^* (\partial_4 G_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}) \hat{x}^{\hat{\mu}} \hat{x}^{\hat{\nu}} \\ &= m^* (\partial_4 G_{\mu 4}) \hat{x}^{\mu} \hat{x}^4\end{aligned}\quad (81)$$

and similar expressions for the rate of energy-momentum changes induced by internal transitions. In the case of electric dipole transitions, the photon energy-momentum is exchanged at the Rabi frequency rate. However in this approximation we do not obtain the Rabi oscillations (pendellösung) which require to introduce two coupled modes and therefore a quantum treatment of their amplitudes.

6 5D expression of the phase shift

The total phase difference between both arms of an interferometer is usually calculated as the sum of three terms: the difference in the action integral along each path, the difference in the phases imprinted on the atom waves by the beam splitters and a contribution coming from the splitting of the wave packets at the exit of the interferometer [3, 5]. If α and β are the two branches of the interferometer:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\phi(q) &= \sum_{j=1}^N [S_{\beta}(t_{j+1}, t_j) - S_{\alpha}(t_{j+1}, t_j)] / \hbar \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\tilde{k}_{\beta j} q_{\beta j} - \tilde{k}_{\alpha j} q_{\alpha j} \right) - (\omega_{\beta j} - \omega_{\alpha j}) t_j + (\varphi_{\beta j} - \varphi_{\alpha j}) \\ &+ [\tilde{p}_{\beta, D}(q - q_{\beta, D}) - \tilde{p}_{\alpha, D}(q - q_{\alpha, D})] / \hbar\end{aligned}\quad (82)$$

where $S_{\alpha j} = S_{\alpha}(t_{j+1}, t_j)$ and $S_{\beta j} = S_{\beta}(t_{j+1}, t_j)$ are the action integrals along α (β) paths; $\hbar k_{\alpha j}$ ($\hbar k_{\beta j}$) are the momenta transferred to the atoms by the j -th beam splitter along the α (β) arm; $q_{\alpha j}$ and $q_{\beta j}$ are the classical coordinates of the centers of the beam splitter/atom interactions; $\omega_{\alpha j}$ ($\omega_{\beta j}$) are the angular frequencies of the e.m. waves; $\varphi_{\alpha j}$ ($\varphi_{\beta j}$) are the fixed phases of the j -th beam splitters; D is the detection port.

With our new approach in 5D the action terms should be replaced by the phase jumps induced by the beam splitters along the fourth space coordinate $c\tau$:

$$\sum_{j=1}^N c^2 [\delta m_{\beta j} \tau_{\beta j} - \delta m_{\alpha j} \tau_{\alpha j}] / \hbar\quad (83)$$

in which $\delta m_{\beta j}$ ($\delta m_{\alpha j}$) are the mass changes introduced by each splitter. To

Figure 3:

obtain this result we write the action terms as:

$$\sum_{j=1}^N S_{\beta}(t_{j+1}, t_j) = \sum_{j=1}^N -c^2 [m_{\beta j+1} \tau_{\beta j+1} - (m_{\beta j} + \delta m_{\beta j}) \tau_{\beta j}] \quad (84)$$

with $m_{\beta N+1} = m_{\beta D}$ and $\tau_{\beta N+1} = \tau_{\beta D}$. We shift j by one unit for the first term:

$$\sum_{j=1}^N S_{\beta}(t_{j+1}, t_j) = c^2 m_{\beta 1} \tau_{\beta 1} + \sum_{j=1}^N -c^2 [m_{\beta j} \tau_{\beta j} - (m_{\beta j} + \delta m_{\beta j}) \tau_{\beta j}] - c^2 m_{\beta D} \tau_{\beta D} \quad (85)$$

We suppress the first term $c^2 m_{\beta 1} \tau_{\beta 1}$ and add a current term $c^2 m_{\beta D} \tau$ following the logic of a phase term analogous to the spatial terms (these terms are generally eliminated between both arms of the interferometer but they are indeed new phases arising in the 5D approach):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N S_{\beta}(t_{j+1}, t_j) & \text{ is replaced by } \sum_{j=1}^N -c^2 [m_{\beta j} \tau_{\beta j} - (m_{\beta j} + \delta m_{\beta j}) \tau_{\beta j}] + c^2 m_{\beta D} (\tau - \tau_{\beta D}) \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^N c^2 \delta m_{\beta j} \tau_{\beta j} + c^2 m_{\beta D} (\tau - \tau_{\beta D}) \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

We have therefore in 5D:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\phi(q) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\tilde{k}_{\beta j} q_{\beta j} - \tilde{k}_{\alpha j} q_{\alpha j} \right) - (\omega_{\beta j} - \omega_{\alpha j}) t_j + (\varphi_{\beta j} - \varphi_{\alpha j}) \\ &\quad + [\tilde{p}_{\beta, D} (q - q_{\beta, D}) - \tilde{p}_{\alpha, D} (q - q_{\alpha, D})] / \hbar \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

where $p_{\beta j}$, $\hbar k_{\beta j}$ and $q_{\beta j}$ have now an additional 4-component equal respectively to $m_{\beta j} c$, $\delta m_{\beta j} c$ and $c\tau_{\beta j}$. For Hermite-Gauss wave packets, this phase should be evaluated at the mid-point (mid-point theorem [4]) $q = (q_{\beta, D} + q_{\alpha, D}) / 2$. This mid-point phase shift is:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\phi((q_{\beta, D} + q_{\alpha, D}) / 2) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\tilde{k}_{\beta j} q_{\beta j} - \tilde{k}_{\alpha j} q_{\alpha j} \right) - (\omega_{\beta j} - \omega_{\alpha j}) t_j + (\varphi_{\beta j} - \varphi_{\alpha j}) \\ &\quad + [(\tilde{p}_{\beta, D} + \tilde{p}_{\alpha, D}) (q_{\alpha, D} - q_{\beta, D}) / 2] / \hbar \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

If energy is conserved, we may use the conservation of the Lagrange invariant (derived from Stokes theorem):

$$(\tilde{p}_{\alpha j+1} + \tilde{p}_{\beta j+1}) (q_{\beta j+1} - q_{\alpha j+1}) - \left[(\tilde{p}_{\alpha j} + \tilde{p}_{\beta j}) + \hbar (\tilde{k}_{\beta j} + \tilde{k}_{\alpha j}) \right] (q_{\beta j} - q_{\alpha j}) = 0 \quad (89)$$

and obtain the 5D scalar product:

$$\delta\phi((q_{\beta N+1} + q_{\alpha N+1}) / 2) = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\frac{\tilde{k}_{\beta j} - \tilde{k}_{\alpha j}}{2} (q_{\beta j} + q_{\alpha j}) \right] - (\omega_{\beta j} - \omega_{\alpha j}) t_j + (\varphi_{\beta j} - \varphi_{\alpha j}) \quad (90)$$

(we have also assumed $q_{\beta 1} = q_{\alpha 1}$). If energy is not conserved, we may use instead

the symplectic Lagrange-Helmholtz invariant in the quadratic approximation (Hamiltonian of degree 2 at most in position and momentum):

$$\frac{\tilde{p}_{\alpha j+1}}{m_{\alpha}^*} (q_{\beta j+1} - q_{\alpha j+1}) - \frac{\tilde{p}_{\alpha j}}{m_{\alpha}^*} (q_{\beta j} - q_{\alpha j}) = \frac{\tilde{p}_{\beta j+1}}{m_{\beta}^*} (q_{\alpha j+1} - q_{\beta j+1}) - \frac{\tilde{p}_{\beta j}}{m_{\beta}^*} (q_{\alpha j} - q_{\beta j}) \quad (91)$$

which reduces to the previous Lagrange invariant with a good approximation for small relative energy changes. This explains the cancellation of the action and of the mid-point phase shift in the usual 4D approach as emphasized in reference [1].

For the illustration, let us apply the previous formulas to the Bordé-Ramsey interferometer [6, 7] represented on the figure.

Figure 4:

Formula (88) gives for the mid-point 5D phase:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\phi((\widehat{q}_{\beta 4} + \widehat{q}_{\alpha 4})/2) &= \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q}_1 + (m_b - m_a)c^2\tau_1/\hbar - \omega t_1 \\
&\quad - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q}_{\beta 2} + (-m_b + m_a)c^2\tau_{\beta 2}/\hbar + \omega t_2 \\
&\quad - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q}_{\beta 3} + (m_b - m_a)c^2\tau_{\beta 3}/\hbar - \omega t_3 \\
&\quad + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q}_{\beta 4} + (-m_b + m_a)c^2\tau_{\beta 4}/\hbar + \omega t_4 \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^4 (\varphi_{\beta j} - \varphi_{\alpha j}) \\
&\quad + \left[(\vec{p}_{\beta b 4} + \vec{p}_{\alpha a 4} + \hbar\vec{k}) \cdot (\vec{q}_{\alpha 4} - \vec{q}_{\beta 4}) / 2 \right] / \hbar \\
&\quad + [(m_b + m_a + m_a - m_b)(\tau_{\alpha 4} - \tau_{\beta 4}) / 2] c^2 / \hbar \quad (92)
\end{aligned}$$

In the absence of gravito-inertial fields (e.g. in the inertial frame of the atoms):

$$\begin{aligned}
t_2 &= t_1 + T; \quad \vec{q}_{\beta 2} = \vec{q}_1 + \frac{(\vec{p}_1 + \hbar\vec{k})T}{m_{b1}^*}; \quad \tau_{\beta 2} = \tau_1 + \frac{m_b}{m_{b1}^*}T; \quad \vec{p}_{\beta 2} = \vec{p}_1 + \hbar\vec{k} \\
t_3 &= t_2 + T'; \quad \vec{q}_{\beta 3} = \vec{q}_1 + \frac{(\vec{p}_1 + \hbar\vec{k})T}{m_{b1}^*} + \frac{\vec{p}_1 T'}{m_a^*}; \quad \tau_{\beta 3} = \tau_1 + \frac{m_b}{m_{b1}^*}T + \frac{m_a}{m_a^*}T'; \quad \vec{p}_{\beta 3} = \vec{p}_1 \\
t_4 &= t_3 + T; \quad \vec{q}_{\beta 4} = \vec{q}_1 + \frac{(\vec{p}_1 + \hbar\vec{k})T}{m_{b1}^*} + \frac{(\vec{p}_1 - \hbar\vec{k})T}{m_{b2}^*} + \frac{\vec{p}_1 T'}{m_a^*}; \\
\tau_{\beta 4} &= \tau_1 + \frac{m_b}{m_{b1}^*}T + \frac{m_b}{m_{b2}^*}T + \frac{m_a}{m_a^*}T'; \quad \vec{p}_{\beta 4} = \vec{p}_1 - \hbar\vec{k} \quad (93)
\end{aligned}$$

and for the lower branch:

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{q}_{\alpha 2} &= \vec{q}_1 + \frac{\vec{p}_1 T}{m_a^*}; \quad \tau_{\alpha 2} = \tau_1 + \frac{m_a}{m_a^*}T; \quad \vec{p}_{\alpha 2} = \vec{p}_1 \\
\vec{q}_{\alpha 3} &= \vec{q}_1 + \frac{\vec{p}_1 (T + T')}{m_a^*}; \quad \tau_{\alpha 3} = \tau_1 + \frac{m_a}{m_a^*}(T + T'); \quad \vec{p}_{\alpha 3} = \vec{p}_1 \\
\vec{q}_{\alpha 4} &= \vec{q}_1 + \frac{\vec{p}_1 (2T + T')}{m_a^*}; \quad \tau_{\alpha 4} = \tau_1 + \frac{m_a}{m_a^*}(2T + T'); \quad \vec{p}_{\alpha 4} = \vec{p}_1
\end{aligned}$$

We see that the final positions and proper times differ on both arms by small quantities, owing to the relativistic differences of velocities on both arms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{q}_{\beta 4} - \vec{q}_{\alpha 4} &= \left(\frac{1}{m_{b1}^*} + \frac{1}{m_{b2}^*} - \frac{2}{m_a^*} \right) \vec{p}_1 T + \left(\frac{1}{m_{b1}^*} - \frac{1}{m_{b2}^*} \right) \hbar\vec{k} T \\
\tau_{\beta 4} - \tau_{\alpha 4} &= \left(\frac{m_b}{m_{b1}^*} + \frac{m_b}{m_{b2}^*} - \frac{2m_a}{m_a^*} \right) T
\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\delta\phi = [2\omega - (m_{b1}^* + m_{b2}^* - 2m_a^*)c^2/\hbar] T \quad (94)$$

For each segment, we check the conservation of the symplectic invariant (Lagrange-Helmoltz), e.g.:

$$\left(\frac{\vec{p}_{\beta 2}}{m_{b1}^*} + \frac{\vec{p}_{\alpha 2}}{m_a^*}\right) \cdot (\vec{q}_{\alpha 2} - \vec{q}_{\beta 2})/2 + \left(\frac{m_b}{m_{b1}^*} + \frac{m_a}{m_a^*}\right) (\tau_{\alpha 2} - \tau_{\beta 2}) c^2/2 = 0 \quad (95)$$

$$\frac{\vec{p}_{\alpha 3} + \vec{p}_{\beta 3}}{m_a^*} \cdot (\vec{q}_{\beta 3} - \vec{q}_{\alpha 3}) - \frac{\vec{p}_{\alpha 2} + \vec{p}_{\beta 2}}{m_a^*} \cdot (\vec{q}_{\beta 2} - \vec{q}_{\alpha 2}) + \frac{2m_a}{m_a^*} (\tau_{\beta 3} - \tau_{\alpha 3}) c^2/2 - \frac{2m_a}{m_a^*} (\tau_{\beta 2} - \tau_{\alpha 2}) c^2/2 = 0 \quad (96)$$

which correspond to a conserved Lagrange invariant only in the approximation of conserved energy along the arms of the interferometer. Within this approximation, we may use the approximate expression

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\phi &= \vec{k} \cdot [\vec{q}_1 - (\vec{q}_{\alpha 2} + \vec{q}_{\beta 2})/2 - (\vec{q}_{\alpha 3} + \vec{q}_{\beta 3})/2 + (\vec{q}_{\alpha 4} + \vec{q}_{\beta 4})/2] \\ &\quad + \omega_{ba} [\tau_1 - (\tau_{\alpha 2} + \tau_{\beta 2})/2 - (\tau_{\alpha 3} + \tau_{\beta 3})/2 + (\tau_{\alpha 4} + \tau_{\beta 4})/2] \\ &\quad - \omega (t_1 - t_2 + t_3 - t_4) \\ &= 2\omega T - \frac{\omega_{ba} T}{2} \left(2\frac{m_a}{m_a^*} + \frac{m_b}{m_{b1}^*} + \frac{m_b}{m_{b2}^*}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\hbar k^2 T}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m_{b1}^*} + \frac{1}{m_{b2}^*}\right) + \frac{\vec{k} \cdot \vec{p}_1 T}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m_{b2}^*} - \frac{1}{m_{b1}^*}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

The same recoil and second-order Doppler corrections are obtained from this approximate formula to first-order but only expression (94) is exact. The second-term corresponds to the difference in proper times for the clock term (that we have called a quantum Langevin twin paradox in reference [1]). Note that this clock term implies that the mass differs on both arms and does not correspond to a different clock on each arm as in the classical Langevin twin paradox. The coherent quantum superposition of both arms is essential to generate a clock. The remaining piece of the recoil shift comes from first-order Doppler shifts contained in the third term and originating from the spatial \vec{q} part of the shift.

7 Conclusion

As a conclusion, the motion of massive or massless particles in 5D follows a null geodesic just as it is the case for photons in 4D. The Lagrangian is proportional to the interval squared and both vanish for the real motion. This has the consequence that the phase, which is proportional to the 5D superaction, will also vanish between two points of the real trajectory of the particle. As a consequence the phase shift in atom interferometers results only from the phase jumps introduced by the beam splitters.

8 Appendix A

If we consider an object (such as a clock, a molecule...) composed of a number of subparticles, the 5D superaction differential is given by the sum:

$$d\widehat{S} = \sum_A (-p_{A\mu} dx_A^\mu + m_A c^2 d\tau_A) \quad (98)$$

where m_A is the mass of particle A . With the following change of coordinates:

$$dx_A^\mu = dX^\mu + d\xi_A^\mu \quad (99)$$

$$d\widehat{S} = -P_\mu dX^\mu + \sum_A (-p_{A\mu} d\xi_A^\mu + m_A c^2 d\tau_A) \quad (100)$$

with

$$P_\mu = \sum_A p_{A\mu} \text{ and } p_{A\mu} = (m_A^*/m^*) P_\mu + \pi_{A\mu} \quad (101)$$

The coordinates X^μ and ξ_A^μ are such that

$$\sum_A m_A^* d\xi_A^\mu = 0 \text{ and } \xi_A^0 = 0 \quad (102)$$

(common time coordinate for all the particles of the composed object). One obtains for the full object:

$$d\widehat{S} = -P_\mu dX^\mu + M c^2 d\theta = -P_{\hat{\mu}} dX^{\hat{\mu}} = 0 \quad (103)$$

provided that:

$$M c^2 d\theta = \sum_A (-p_{A\mu} d\xi_A^\mu + m_A c^2 d\tau_A) \quad (104)$$

$$= \sum_A (-\pi_{Aj} d\xi_A^j + m_A c^2 d\tau_A) \quad (105)$$

The source of the proper time θ for the object lies in the internal degrees of freedom and its mass $M c^2$ is given by its internal Hamiltonian. A well-defined quantum phase for the composed object requires that it should be in an eigenstate of this internal Hamiltonian.

9 Acknowledgements

Special thanks to Dr Luc Blanchet and to Dr Peter Wolf for many fruitful and stimulating discussions.

References

- [1] Ch. J. Bordé, *5D optics for atomic clocks and gravito-inertial sensors*, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics **163**, 315-332 (2008).
- [2] Ch. J. Bordé, *Propagation of Laser beams and of atomic systems*, Les Houches Lectures, Session LIII, 1990, *Fundamental Systems in Quantum Optics*, J. Dalibard, J.-M. Raimond and J. Zinn-Justin eds, Elsevier Science Publishers (1991) p.287-380.
- [3] Ch.J. Bordé, *Theoretical tools for atom optics and interferometry*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t.2, Série IV, 509-530 (2001).
- [4] Ch.J. Bordé, *Atomic clocks and inertial sensors*, Metrologia, **39** (5) 435-463 (2002).
- [5] Ch.J. Bordé, *Quantum Theory of Atom-Wave Beam Splitters and Application to multidimensional Atomic Gravito-Inertial Sensors*, General Relativity and Gravitation, **36**, 475-502 (2004).
- [6] Ch.J. Bordé, *Atomic interferometry with internal state labelling*, Phys. Lett., **A140**, 10-12 (1989).
- [7] Ch.J. Bordé, *Atomic interferometry and laser spectroscopy*, in: Laser Spectroscopy X, World Scientific (1991) pp. 239-245.
- [8] Ch.J. Bordé, A. Karasiewicz and Ph. Tourenç, *General relativistic framework for atomic interferometry*, Int. J. of Mod. Phys. **D 3**, 157-161 (1994).
- [9] Ch.J. Bordé, N. Courtier, F. du Burck, A.N. Goncharov and M. Gorlicki, *Molecular interferometry experiments*, Phys. Lett. A **188**, 187-197 (1994).
- [10] Ch.J. Bordé, *Matter-wave interferometers: a synthetic approach*, in *Atom Interferometry*, ed. P. Berman, Academic Press (1997) pp. 257-292

This figure "Fig1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1304.7201v1>

This figure "Fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1304.7201v1>

This figure "InterfBRcorr.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1304.7201v1>

This figure "Phase2chemins-b.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1304.7201v1>