

Contextual Query Using Bell Tests

João Barros, Zeno Toffano, Youssef Meguebli and Bich-Liên Doan

SUPELEC, 3 rue Joliot Curie, F-91192, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
joaocarlos.pintobarros@supelec.fr, zeno.toffano@supelec.fr,
youssef.meguebli@supelec.fr, bich-lien.doan@supelec.fr

Abstract. Tests are essential in Information Retrieval and Data Mining in order to evaluate the effectiveness of a query. An automatic measure tool intended to exhibit the meaning of words in context has been developed and linked with Quantum Theory, particularly entanglement. “Quantum like” experiments were undertaken on semantic space based on the Hyperspace Analogue Language (HAL) method. A quantum HAL model was implemented using state vectors issued from the HAL matrix and query observables, testing a wide range of window sizes. The Bell parameter S , associating measures on two words in a document, was derived showing peaks for specific window sizes. The peaks show maximum quantum violation of the Bell inequalities and are document dependent. This new correlation measure inspired by Quantum Theory could be promising for measuring query relevance.

Keywords: Bell inequality, entanglement, information retrieval, co-occurrence, HAL, tests, context, IR algorithms, Quantum Theory

1 Introduction

In this work we present original “quantum-like” tests that could be useful in the domain of Information Retrieval (IR) and Data Mining.

Context is used to disambiguate terms. Melucci [1] showed that a query or a document can be generalized, in different contexts, as vectors, where the likelihood of context of a set of documents can be considered. Quantum Mechanics has been invoked to enrich the search capabilities in IR by Rijsbergen [2] by using the mathematical formalism of the Hilbert vector space.

Analogies between concepts issued from Quantum Theory with Information Retrieval tools have been made by several authors. Widdows [3] uses the quantum formalism for experiments with negation and disjunction and Arafat [4] shows that user needs can be represented by a state vector. Other analogies have been stated by Li & Cunningham [5] such as: “state vector”/“objects” in a collection; “observable”/“query”, “eigenvalues”/“relevance or not for one object”; “probability of getting one eigenvalue”/“relevance degree of object to a query”.

Bruza and Cole explicitly calculated eigenvectors associated to a word [6] and in the field of concept representation an explicit Bell inequality violation was found [7].

2 Bell Inequality and Bell Parameters for binary outcomes

Entanglement, which can be made manifest through Bell inequality violations [8] (commonly presented in the form of the CHSH inequality [9]) has become a very important research trend in Physics. Several experiments have proved the existence of entangled particles [10,11] and this fact is now widely accepted. The field has fascinated many scientists throughout the last decades also leading to much parallel scientific and pseudoscientific research as is well described by Keiser in a recent book [12]. Part of the attraction arises because of the concept of “nonlocality” of the quantum world suggesting “spooky action at distance” (a discussion can be found in [13]). Even though in general the violation of Bell inequalities demands entanglement, higher violations of the inequalities do not necessarily mean more entanglement.

Quantum Information has emerged bridging physics and information science. Though initially discovered in the context of foundations of Quantum Mechanics, the violations of Bell inequalities referred above are nowadays a key point in a wide range of branches of Quantum Information. Entanglement is at the heart of this field because it is seen as a potential “resource” for new applications such as coding or computing [14]. New theorems of the kind of Bell, named no-go theorems (for example the Kochen-Specker theorem [15]), have been proposed.

In practice most experiments have used polarized photons as the famous experiment in 1982 by Aspect et al. [11]. More sophisticated set-ups are constantly being proposed and discussed [16,17] very often to rule out local hidden variable models.

Some macroscopic tests have been proposed in the form of thought experiments or combined with yes-no questions showing also Bell inequality violations, for example by Aerts [18].

The CHSH-Bell parameter S_{Bell} for tests with two binary outcomes, +1 or -1, can be defined as follows:

$$S_{Bell} = |E(A, B) - E(A, C)| + |E(B, D) + E(C, D)| \quad (1)$$

where A, B, C and D are tests and $E(X, Y)$ stands for the expectation value of the outcome of mutual tests X and Y .

We briefly recall some important facts about the Bell parameter. It is easily verified that S_{Bell} can never exceed 4. More specifically in the so called classical, local and separable situation S_{Bell} lies between 0 and 2. In this case, for example, we could write $E(X, Y) = E(X)E(Y)$.

The case $2 \leq S_{Bell} \leq 2\sqrt{2}$ can be achieved with quantum entangled states obtained experimentally with photons. Less underlined is the case where $S_{Bell} > 2\sqrt{2}$, also known as the Tsirelsons bound [16,19]. This zone between $2\sqrt{2}$ and 4 is called the “no-signaling” region. The maximum value $S_{Bell} = 4$ can be attained with logical probabilistic constructions often named PR boxes [20].

3 Bell Tests in Semantic Space using HAL

Our approach presented here can be perceived as an experiment done on objects outside the domain of physics. The objects are words within texts. We study the relationships between words within a document; these relationships can be formed by creating a “semantic space” using the Hyperspace Analogue Language (HAL) method [21].

The HAL algorithm does not require any explicit human a-priori judgment. In this procedure a HAL lexical co-occurrence matrix is built with a “window” representing a span of words passed over the corpus being analyzed. The width of this window can be varied. Words within the window are recorded as co-occurring with strength inversely proportional to the number of other words separating them within the window.

The point of the co-occurrence matrix is that the rows effectively constitute vectors in a high-dimensional space, so that that the elements of the normalized vectors are frequency counts (probabilities), and the dimensionality of the space is determined by the number of columns in the matrix (context vectors).

The HAL method has already been used as a tool for a physical analogy between semantic space and Quantum Theory, where at each word was associated a given spectrum (in analogy with spectral emission lines of atoms) [22].

Our method uses the HAL matrices for calculating quantum mechanical mean values of query observables and combining them in order to calculate a Bell parameter S_{query} . We carried out our tests in a symmetric matrix obtained by the sum of the HAL matrix and its transpose (equivalent to run HAL backwards). This is due to the fact that we did not consider the order in which words appear in a text.

The tests were carried out on documents in English. The programming scheme of the algorithm implementation is represented in Figure 3 (Appendix).

4 Quantum model for Bell Tests using HAL

In this section we intend to define operators, in analogy with Quantum Theory, that will give a new possible approach to document queries. We make the following definitions.

4.1 Document vector states

In the N dimensional HAL space each document will have an associated vector. The vector state of the document is the sum of all the word vectors $|w_i\rangle$ it contains. Each word vector state is extracted from the lines of the symmetric HAL matrix. The document vector state is defined as:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_i^N |w_i\rangle \quad (2)$$

We are now interested in analyzing how two words are connected within a document, namely word A and word B . The two word vectors $|w_A\rangle$ and $|w_B\rangle$ define a plane on the semantic space. We will not consider the part of the document corresponding to the orthogonal projection with the two chosen words. The resulting normalized state vector $|\psi\rangle$ from now on will be the document vector state.

To obtain $|\psi\rangle$ we take the vectors $|w_A\rangle$ and $|w_B\rangle$ and normalize them obtaining two new vectors: $|u_A\rangle$ and $|u_B\rangle$. Now we apply the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process to the non-orthogonal basis $\{|u_A\rangle, |u_B\rangle\}$, and doing so, we can obtain two new basis that describe the plane formed by the original vectors $|w_A\rangle$ and $|w_B\rangle$: the basis $\{|u_A\rangle, |u_{A\perp}\rangle\}$ and $\{|u_B\rangle, |u_{B\perp}\rangle\}$. In this way we can parameterize the plane in two ways: in the first we explicit the parallel component of a vector with respect to $|w_A\rangle$ and in the second we explicit the parallel component of a vector with respect to $|w_B\rangle$. By projecting the vector $|\Psi\rangle$ on one of these basis, we obtain its projection onto this plane. Taking this vector and normalizing it gives us the desired vector $|\psi\rangle$. Explicitly what we get is:

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha |u_A\rangle + \alpha_{\perp} |u_{A\perp}\rangle = \beta |u_B\rangle + \beta_{\perp} |u_{B\perp}\rangle \quad (3)$$

The coefficients α , α_{\perp} , β and β_{\perp} are obtained by projecting the state $|\Psi\rangle$ on both basis vectors and then normalizing to unity. For example for α we have:

$$\alpha = \frac{\langle u_A | \Psi \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle u_A | \Psi \rangle^2 + \langle u_{A\perp} | \Psi \rangle^2}} \quad (4)$$

4.2 Query operators

We want now to define query operators. The purpose of these operators is to quantify a query within our formalism. The query operators \hat{A} and \hat{B} are defined in a way that they attribute the value $+1$ to the component of the state that corresponds to the word meaning we are interested in, and -1 in the orthogonal direction. More precisely we will use operators acting as the spin Pauli matrix $\hat{\sigma}_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ on their respective decomposition basis. These operators are associated with observables because they are Hermitian. Explicitly:

$$\hat{A} |\psi\rangle = \alpha |u_A\rangle - \alpha_{\perp} |u_{A\perp}\rangle, \quad \hat{B} |\psi\rangle = \beta |u_B\rangle - \beta_{\perp} |u_{B\perp}\rangle \quad (5)$$

The expectation values of these operators are calculated in the same way as in quantum mechanics using the Born rule, for example, the mean value in the context of document associated to $|\psi\rangle$ for the query about A is written as usual in quantum mechanics:

$$\langle A \rangle_{\psi} = \langle \psi | \hat{A} | \psi \rangle = \alpha^2 - \alpha_{\perp}^2 = 2\alpha^2 - 1 \quad (6)$$

From this example we see that we can obtain a score for a search related to word A . This corresponds to something reasonable for the query score since

it increases with α which is equal to the scalar product between the document vector and the word vector as shown in Eq. 4. Score values range from +1 to -1. +1 is obtained when the document vector is parallel to the query vector, and -1 when it is orthogonal. Following this line of thought other operators can be defined using, for example, the Pauli matrix $\hat{\sigma}_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

For the choice of the query operator $\hat{A}_x = \hat{\sigma}_x$ in the $\{|u_A\rangle, |u_{A\perp}\rangle\}$ basis we have:

$$\hat{A}_x (\alpha |u_A\rangle + \alpha_\perp |u_{A\perp}\rangle) = \alpha_\perp |u_A\rangle + \alpha |u_{A\perp}\rangle \quad (7)$$

We see that this operator switches the components of the vector state. This can be interpreted as a measure of different meaning in the document with respect to the original direction corresponding to word A .

We do not consider the expectation values for the spin Pauli matrix $\hat{\sigma}_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ due to the fact that here the components of the vector state issued from the HAL matrix are always real. Possible future generalizations may include a way of obtaining vector components on the complex plane.

4.3 Combining operators and expectation values of two queries

For technical reasons we choose the basis associated to the word A given above in Eq. 5 and write the operators with respect to this basis. We can write the transformation matrix \hat{M} from the A basis to the B basis. It is easy to see that:

$$\hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle u_B | u_A \rangle & \langle u_B | u_{A\perp} \rangle \\ \langle u_{B\perp} | u_A \rangle & \langle u_{B\perp} | u_{A\perp} \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p & \sqrt{1-p^2} \\ -\sqrt{1-p^2} & p \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

By construction, this matrix can be simply expressed by the scalar product $p = \langle u_B | u_A \rangle$ which in our case is always positive and smaller than 1, unless there is a perfect alignment between the two words, then it is 1.

Any operator expressed in its matrix form on the basis associated to the word B can be written in the basis associated to word A using the transformation matrix \hat{M} . From our previous definition of \hat{B} , its matrix form in the basis associated to word A becomes:

$$\hat{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 2p^2 - 1 & 2p\sqrt{1-p^2} \\ 2p\sqrt{1-p^2} & 1 - 2p^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

With the two operators expressed in the common basis we can now combine two query operators and calculate quantum mechanical mean values using the Born rule. For example for the query of the combination of words A and B in the context of the document represented by Ψ we will write:

$$\langle \hat{A}\hat{B} \rangle_\psi = \langle \psi | \hat{A}\hat{B} | \psi \rangle \quad (10)$$

4.4 Bell parameter calculation

Bell tests are usually a proof of non-separability of the combination of two different systems. Here we make a connection to this scenario in physics using words and their meanings within the document.

For purposes of document analysis we have chosen to take an approach leading to the calculation of a Bell parameter as defined in Eq. 1. Concretely we calculate quantum means defined in Eq. 10, using different query operators which can be considered as measuring devices, and then define the Bell query parameter:

$$S_{query} = \left| \langle \hat{A}\hat{B}_+ \rangle + \langle \hat{A}_x\hat{B}_+ \rangle \right| + \left| \langle \hat{A}\hat{B}_- \rangle - \langle \hat{A}_x\hat{B}_- \rangle \right| \quad (11)$$

using the following operators

$$\hat{A}; \hat{A}_x; \hat{B}_+ = -\frac{\hat{B} + \hat{B}_x}{\sqrt{2}}; \hat{B}_- = \frac{\hat{B} - \hat{B}_x}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (12)$$

Our particular operator choice was inspired from the usual example that maximizes the violation of the Bell inequalities. All operators have the property of being their own inverse, that is, their square is the identity (property of the Pauli matrices) which means that their eigenvalues are +1 and -1. With this we can calculate the corresponding parameters considering different queries among different documents. Two examples are presented in the next section.

5 Results and Discussion

With the formalism presented before we are in a position to apply it to different documents. We calculated the Bell parameter defined in Eq. 11 using the algorithm presented in the Appendix. We will discuss the obtained results in a relevance perspective. In the following examples all the documents were taken from Wikipedia (see following section).

5.1 Test on Documents: “Reagan” and “Iran”

As a first application we considered an example originally introduced by Bruza and Cole [6], which is the query for the word “Reagan” in the context of “Iran”. If we talk about Reagan alone one usually associates this with the fact that he was President, but if we include Iran it will be more likely that we are interested in the Iran-contra scandal. Four documents were considered which are close to the query: “Reagan administration scandals”¹, “Reagan”², “IranContra affair”³ and “Iran”⁴.

¹ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reagan_administration_scandals
(accessed 12/04/2013)

² <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reagan> (accessed 12/04/2013)

³ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iran-Contra_affair (accessed 12/04/2013)

⁴ <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iran> (accessed 12/04/2013)

We plot the parameters S_{query} defined above in Eq. 11 as a function of the HAL window length for the query of the words “Reagan” and “Iran”. The results are shown in Figure 1.

The considered HAL window starts at the beginning of the document, in the first word, and will run through all the words until the end of the text. When finished we start the same process again with a new window length (increasing the length by one unit). This is done for all window lengths we desire to analyze.

There is clearly a common behavior for the three queries in the documents (with just one exception): the parameter starts from zero and increases until it reaches a maximum, never crossing the Tsirelson’s bound $2\sqrt{2}$, but getting very close to it, and then drops again. This suggests that each document, given a two word query, has an optimal HAL window size that maximizes the parameter S_{query} .

For the query of “Iran - Reagan”, among the four documents, it is predictable that the document that is more closely related to this query is the “Iran-Contra affair”, followed by the documents: “Reagan administration scandals” and “Reagan”, with an expected greater relevance for the first. The least related document should be “Iran”.

At first sight it may appear that since we are looking for “Reagan - Iran”, the documents “Reagan” and “Iran” should appear on the same level in the search. However in general, the meaning “Reagan” has less importance in the context “Iran” (because the common concept “Iran” includes its history, culture, geographical situation, etc.) than “Iran” in the context of “Reagan”. In Figure 1 we also observe that this is basically the order in which the peaks appear.

The document regarding “Iran” always gives a constant value of S_{query} equal to 2. This fact is easily explained in the framework of our model. In fact it is not hard to see that when we do a two word query in which one of these words is not present in the document, the result for S_{query} is always 2. Besides if neither of the two words is present the result is always zero. Let us now consider the other three documents.

The first peak appears using a window length around $l = 30$. The S_{query} curve peaks before this value in the document of “Iran-Contra affair”. The other two documents cannot be clearly distinguished. This corresponds to our previous prediction. In fact, it makes sense that the “sooner” a peak appears the less interaction, in the sense of window length, we have to consider to get higher correlation between the two words. Bearing this in mind, the document “Iran-Contra affair” is clearly the one selected by the model. The other two documents (“Reagan” and “Reagan administration scandals”) are not clearly distinguishable. On one side the peak of the “Reagan” document appears first, but the curve for “Reagan administration scandals” has a bigger extension close to the Tsirelson’s bound $2\sqrt{2}$, meaning high correlation for several window sizes, which can also be a clue for some strong correlation between words.




Fig. 1. Bell parameter for the query of words “Reagan - Iran” in four documents: “Reagan administration scandals”, “Reagan”, “Iran-Contra affair” and “Iran”.

5.2 Test on Documents about “Orange”

The second case considered concerns the polysemy of the word “orange” and associated concepts. In this example we are interested in the ambiguity between the meanings color and fruit. We also associate the concept of juice. The documents considered were: Orange (Colour)⁵, Orange (Fruit)⁶, Orange Juice⁷ and Juice⁸. Two queries are considered: “Orange Fruit” and “Orange Juice”. The results are presented in Figure 2.

The query “Orange - Fruit” presents the first peak around $l = 22$ for the document “Orange color”, the second in $l = 29$ for the document “Orange fruit”, then for $l = 40$ the document “Orange juice” and very far away the document “Juice”. It is interesting to note that, even though the peaks are close, the peak of the curve “Orange - Color” appears before the one for “Orange - Fruit”. This may be the suggestion of a strong correlation between the origin of the name of the color and the name of the fruit. The poor correlation of the general term “Juice” with the specific query “Orange - Fruit” is very clear on the graph according to this criterion.

⁵ [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Orange_\(colour\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Orange_(colour)) (accessed 12/04/2013)

⁶ [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Orange_\(fruit\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Orange_(fruit)) (accessed 12/04/2013)

⁷ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Orange_juice (accessed 12/04/2013)

⁸ <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Juice> (accessed 12/04/2013)




Fig. 2. Bell parameter for the query on the words “Orange - Fruit” and “Orange - Juice” in four different documents: “Juice”, “Orange (Color)”, “Orange (Fruit)” and “Orange Juice”.

Finally, the last query was “Orange - Juice”. Again, here, we recover precisely the order that we would expect for the documents: “Orange juice”, “Orange Fruit”, “Juice” and “Orange Color”. It is worth noticing that in the latter case the peak corresponds to a window length range considered to be optimal for implementation of HAL ($l = 10$) which may indicate an even a stronger correlation between the words.

6 Conclusion and Perspectives

In this work, we presented a novel search experiment based on the Bell parameter extraction in semantic space using the HAL method.

The semantic vectors in HAL are representations that are essentially measures of context. The HAL method has already been used for the analogies with Quantum Theory by Bruza and Woods [23] for activating associations of concepts and by Wittek and Darány [22] for extracting spectral content from the semantic space. HAL shows high potentiality because it is a simple way to build a semantic space with a measure that is independent of user judgment.

The main feature of Quantum Theory explored in this work is the violation of the Bell inequalities which can be related to entanglement and non-locality, impossible at a classical level. The results show Bell inequality violation up to the maximal value of $S_{Bell} = 2\sqrt{2}$, (the Tsirelson’s bound).

In our model each document is associated to a two dimensional Hilbert space (dependent on the search we are interested in), and queries are observables acting on it. A Bell parameter is then defined.

We found that the Bell parameter is strongly dependent on the HAL window size. From our results it is suggested that for this kind of model there is an optimal window size that maximizes the Bell parameter. This is reminiscent of what was also noticed by Bruza and Woods [23]: if the window size is set too large spurious co-occurrence associations are represented in the matrix and, if the

window size is too small, relevant associations may be missed. In this model we see that too large windows may also dilute connections between associated words. Only one document, the one that did not present one of the words of the query, did not violate the Bell inequality. In general, a pattern of “early” appearance of the peak (smallest window sizes) seems to be related to the relevance of the document for the search.

In a near future other measures of quantum properties (as proper measures of entanglement) will also serve to make a better comparison between the results issued from the standard information retrieval methods.

It is not clear how to interpret the Bell inequality violation here and what is the meaning of the optimal length that maximizes the Bell parameter. Can correlation and entanglement give a measure of query relevance? Experiments and systematic comparisons with other methods used in IR, such as Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA) and the ranking method Okapi BM25, could give further indications.

An important technical point is that we introduced a new tool which has connections with the Quantum Theory: query observables. Here we made a practical choice similar to the spin Pauli matrices, but we think that it should be possible, after much experimentation on different documents, to introduce new families of query observables adapted to different purposes and contexts. In the domain of IR many concepts are introduced to define, for example, opinion-like queries in social networks [24]. Efforts are also being made in order to diversify query results of ambiguous queries, for example concepts such as sentiment diversification to identify positive, negative and neutral sentiments about the search topic can be used [25].

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the students of SUPELEC Fabien dANGELO and Sixte BOISSÉ for helping on the implementation and test the HAL algorithm.

Appendix

The algorithm was implemented using Python programming language along with the string module and pylab. All words are considered and simple plurals (constructed by adding an “s”) are treated as if singular words. Lower and upper case letters are not distinguished, which means that if two words differ from each other by changes on the case, they are considered equivalent. Even if words have the same origin, they are treated differently (for example “battle” and “battling” are distinct).




Fig. 3. Flow diagram of the Quantum HAL algorithm described.

References

1. Melucci, M.: Context Modeling and Discovery Using Vector Space Bases, Proc. of the ACM Conf. on Inf. and Knowledge Management, pp. 808-815 (2005)
2. van Rijsbergen, C.J.: The Geometry of Information Retrieval. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004)
3. Widdows, D.: Semantic vector products: Some initial investigations, Proc. of the Second AAI Symposium on Quantum Interaction, volume 26, (2008)
4. Arafat, S.: Foundations research in information retrieval inspired by quantum theory, PhD thesis, University of Glasgow (2008)
5. Li Y., Cunningham H.: Geometric and Quantum Methods for Information Retrieval, SIGIR Forum, 42, pp.22-32 (2008)
6. Bruza, P. D., Cole, R. J.: Quantum Logic of Semantic Space: An Exploratory Investigation of Context Effects in Practical Reasoning, College Publications, pp. 339-361 (2005)
7. Kitto, K., Bruza, P. D.: Tests and Models of Non-compositional Concepts, Proc. of the 34th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society. Sapporo, Japan (2012)
8. Bell, J. S.: On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen Paradox. Physics 1, 195 (1964).
9. Clauser, J. F., Horne, M. A., Shimony, A., Holt, R. A.: Proposed experiment to test local hidden-variable theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23 n. 15 , pp. 880-884 (1969)

10. Freedman, S. J., Clauser, J. F.: Experimental test of local hidden-variable theories, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 28, 938 (1972)
11. Aspect, A., Grangier, P., Roger, G.: Experimental Realization of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen-Bohm Gedankenexperiment: A New Violation of Bell's Inequalities, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 49, 91 (1982)
12. Kaiser, D.: *How the Hippies saved Physics*, W.W. Norton & Company, New York (2012)
13. Khrennikov, A.: Bell argument: Locality or realism? Time to make the choice, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 1424, pp. 160-175 (2011)
14. Nielsen, M. A., Chuang, I. L.: *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University Press, New York (2000)
15. Kochen, S., Specker, E.P.: The problem of hidden variables in quantum mechanics, *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics* 17, 5987 (1967)
16. Cabello, A.: Violating Bell's inequality beyond Cirel'son's bound, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 060403 (2002)
17. Rössler, O. E., Parisi, J., Frhlich, D., Toffano, Z.: Direction-Correlated Correlated Photons Cannot Self-Interfere: A Prediction, *Z. Naturforsch.*, 61a, 418 (2006)
18. Aerts, D.: Quantum Structure in cognition, *J. Of Math. Psychology*, 53, pp. 314-348 (2009)
19. Cirel'son (Tsirelson) B.S.: Quantum generalizations of Bell's inequality, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 4:2, 93-100 (1980)
20. Popescu S., Rohrlich, D.: Quantum nonlocality as an axiom, *Foundations of Physics*, 24, pp. 379-385, (1994)
21. Lund, K., Burgess, C.: Producing high-dimensional semantic spaces from lexical co-occurrence. *Behav Research Methods Instruments and Computers* 28, pp. 203-208, (1996)
22. Wittek, P., Darányi, S.: Spectral Composition of Semantic Spaces. *QI 2011, LNCS 7052*, Springer-Verlag: pp. 60-70, (2011)
23. Bruza, P.D., Woods, J.: Quantum collapse in semantic space : interpreting natural language argumentation. In: *Second Quantum Interaction Symposium*, (2008)
24. Meguebli, Y., Popineau, F., Doan, B. L.: A Novel Architecture for a Smart Information Retrieval System Based on Opinions Engineering, *Proceedings of the Symposium on Hu-man-Computer Interaction and Information Retrieval*, Cambridge, Massachusetts, (2012)
25. Kacimi, M., Gamper, J.: Diversifying search results of controversial queries, *20th ACM in-ternational conference on Information and knowledge management*, pp: 93-98, (2011)