Variational formulations of sound-proof models

C. J. Cotter¹ and D. D. $Holm^2$

AMS Classification:

Keywords: Variational principles, anelastic, sound-proof, slice models, Kelvin circulation laws

Abstract

We derive a family of ideal (nondissipative) 3D sound-proof fluid models that includes both the Lipps-Hemler anelastic approximation (AA) and the Durran pseudo-incompressible approximation (PIA). This family of models arises in the Euler-Poincaré framework involving a constrained Hamilton's principle expressed in the Eulerian fluid description. The derivation in this framework establishes the following properties of each member of the entire family: the Kelvin-Noether circulation theorem, conservation of potential vorticity on fluid parcels, a Lie-Poisson Hamiltonian formulation possessing conserved Casimirs, a conserved domain integrated energy and an associated variational principle satisfied by the equilibrium solutions.

Having set the stage with the derivations of 3D models using the constrained Hamilton's principle, we then derive the corresponding 2D vertical slice models for these sound-proof theories.

1 Introduction

The fastest-moving atmospheric and oceanic waves are the sound waves, whose presence can adversely affect numerical simulations of atmospheric and ocean circulations, by poisoning the desired low frequency circulations with high frequency oscillations. These high frequency oscillations must somehow be filtered or removed in order to facilitate numerical simulations of the slower motions that are needed in predictions of weather and climate. An effective way of *sound-proofing* the numerical simulations is to replace the exact governing equations with an approximate system that does not possess sound waves. Leading candidates for the sound-proofed approximations include the anelastic approximation (AA) of [OP62], [LH82] and [Ban96], and the pseudo-incompressible approximation (PIA) of [Dur89, Dur08]. Recently, [Kle09] re-examined the range of scales for which the AA and PIA sound-proof fluid approximations are valid by using the method of asymptotic expansions.

¹Department of Aeronautics, Imperial College London. London SW7 2AZ, UK. colin.cotter@imperial.ac.uk

²Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London. London SW7 2AZ, UK. Partially supported by European Research Council Advanced Grant 267382. d.holm@imperial.ac.uk

Here we derive a family of ideal (nondissipative) 3D sound-proof fluid models from a constrained Hamilton's principle in the Euler-Poincaré framework. The AA and PIA arise in this family from applying different constraints. We then pursue the implications of the framework, particularly for conservation of energy and potential vorticity. After analysing the basic properties of this 3D family of sound-proof models, we derive their corresponding 2D slice models and analyse their properties once again using the Euler-Poincaré approach recently developed in [CH13]. These slice models assume a y-independent solution structure but include the y-component of velocity and the Coriolis force. Because they assume a constant y-gradient of potential temperature, they possess solutions that are not solutions of the full three dimensional equations. Slice models have been used to study the formation and subsequent evolution of fronts, and are very useful for benchmarking numerical schemes since they can be run quickly on a single workstation [Cul07].

1.1 Anelastic approximation (AA)

[Ban96] examined the anelastic approximation for deep fluid convection and proposed an alternative form of the anelastic equations. This alternative model combines the results of [DF69] and [LH82] to produce a hybrid theory that (1) conserves the domain integrated energy; (2) preserves potential vorticity on fluid parcels; and (3) accurately represents the acoustic adjustment process in Lamb's problem, cf. [Ban95]. These equations for a dry anelastic compressible fluid (atmosphere) rotating at angular frequency Ω under constant vertical gravitational acceleration $g\hat{z}$ comprise the following constrained dynamical system,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} + 2\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{u} = -\nabla \left(\frac{p'}{\rho_0}\right) + \frac{g\theta'}{\theta_0}\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \qquad (1.1)$$

$$\frac{d(\theta_0 + \theta')}{dt} = 0, \qquad (1.2)$$

with the density-weighted incompressibility constraint

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho_0(z)\mathbf{u}) = 0, \qquad (1.3)$$

and two diagnostic relations for the thermodynamic variables ρ' and T',

$$\frac{\theta'}{\theta_0} = \frac{p'}{\rho_0 g H_\rho} - \frac{\rho'}{\rho_0}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p'}{p_0} = \frac{\rho'}{\rho_0} + \frac{T'}{T_0}.$$
(1.4)

In these equations, the fluid velocity is denoted \mathbf{u} , the advective time derivative is written as $d/dt = \partial/\partial t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla$, and the state variables for this anelastic motion are: pressure p, density ρ , potential temperature θ , and temperature T. Each of these state variables consists of the sum of the base state (with subscript 0) and a dynamic contribution denoted with a prime, as in

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x, y, z, t) &= \theta_0(z) + \theta'(x, y, z, t) , \\ D(x, y, z, t) &= \rho_0(z) + \rho'(x, y, z, t) , \quad \text{etc.,} \end{aligned}$$

where θ' (resp. ρ') is the dynamic contribution to the potential temperature (resp. mass density) field. The base state satisfies

$$\frac{dp_0}{dz} = -g\rho_0, \quad p_0 = \rho_0 RT_0, \quad C_v \,\theta_0 \frac{d\pi_0}{dz} = -g, \qquad (1.5)$$

where $\pi_0 = T_0/\theta_0$. The constants R and C_v are the ideal gas constant R and the specific heat at constant pressure C_v for dry air. The scale height of the base density state is

$$1/H_{\rho} = -\rho_0(z)^{-1} d\rho_0/dz \,. \tag{1.6}$$

Given the base state functions satisfying relations (1.5), as well as the velocity **u** and the dynamic contributions p' and θ' at any time, the system is completed by the constraint in (1.3), whose preservation determines p', and the thermodynamic diagnostic relations in (1.4). The distinctions between the anelastic approximation (AA) and the traditional models [DF69], [LH82] are discussed in detail by [Ban96] and [Kle09]. The important point for us here is that the model equations (1.1) - (1.6) agree in both formulations, that of [LH82] and that of [Ban96].

1.2 Pseudo-incompressible approximation (PIA)

The dynamical equations of the pseudo-incompressible approximation (PIA) are given by [Dur89] as a motion equation and an advection law. These are, respectively,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} + 2\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{u} = -\left(\theta_0 + \theta'\right)\nabla p' + \frac{g\theta'}{\theta_0}\hat{\mathbf{z}},\qquad(1.7)$$

and
$$\frac{d(\theta_0 + \theta')}{dt} = 0.$$
 (1.8)

Note that the pressure force in the PIA motion equation (1.7) differs from that in the AA motion equation (1.1). The weighted incompressibility constraint for PIA is

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\rho_0(z)\,\theta_0(z)\mathbf{u}\right) = 0\,,\tag{1.9}$$

which also differs from the corresponding density-weighted incompressibility constraint (1.3) for AA. However, both the entropy (i.e., heat and buoyancy) advection law (1.8) and the two diagnostic relations (1.4) for the thermodynamic variables are the *same* for the two models AA and PIA.

The AA and PIA equations differ in the pressure forces in their motion equations, (1.1) versus (1.7), and in their weighted incompressibility constraints, (1.3) versus (1.9). As we shall see, these differences are related. Namely, the difference in pressure forces arises from a difference in the constraints enforced by the pressure as a Lagrange multiplier, in the Hamilton's principles for the two theories.

1.3 Outlook

In this paper, we show that both sets of motion equations (1.1) for AA and (1.7) for PIA are members of a single family of Euler-Poincaré equations obtained from a constrained Hamilton's principle expressed in the Eulerian fluid description. Two sets of constraints arise from the underlying Lagrangian fluid flow. One set of constraints affects the variations of velocity, density and potential temperature. The other set of constraints imposes sound-proofing by using the pressure as a Lagrange multiplier to enforce conditions on the density and potential temperature. The Euler-Poincaré formulation of this family of sound-proofed fluid equations provides their Kelvin-Noether circulation theorems which lead to the conservation of potential vorticity on fluid parcels. Domainintegrated energy is also conserved for the entire sound-proofed family of equations. This result can

be demonstrated by applying a Legendre transformation to obtain a Lie-Poisson bracket formulation of the sound-proofed family of equations. In turn, the Lie-Poisson Hamiltonian formulation reveals a set of conserved integral quantities known as Casimirs. In the underlying Lagrangian fluid flow, the Casimirs generate motion of the fluid along streamlines of the steady solutions of the sound-proofed family of equations. Thus, the Casimirs provide a constrained-energy variational principle for obtaining equilibrium solutions of sound-proofed fluid dynamics. They also form the basis for determining the Lyapunov stability conditions for these equilibria, following the approach applied to the Euler-Boussinesg equations in [AHMR86]. (The Euler-Boussinesg equations form a special case of the sound-proofed models in which the base state is constant.) In general, these conservation laws are very important for the analysis of geophysical flows and the design of numerical schemes for weather prediction and climate modelling. For example, in [Cul07], conservation laws were emphasised in analysing the ability of numerical schemes to reproduce balanced model solutions in asymptotic limits. See [Thu08] for a review of the relevance of conservation laws to the design of dynamical cores of weather and climate models. The Euler-Poincaré formulation allows us to produce hierarchies of models that all share the same conservation properties, from fully compressible deep atmosphere models to semi-geostrophic balanced models, for example. Here we show that the Euler-Poincaré formulation also unifies the family of sound-proofed equations.

Previous related work. In previous related work on Hamiltonian structures of soundproof models, a two-dimensional study of the Hamiltonian structure of the Lipps-Hemler AA model was presented by [SS92], who also studied wave-activity conservation laws in the two-dimensional case. A canonical Hamiltonian formulation of the 3D Lipps-Hemler AA model in the Lagrangian fluid description was given in Appendix A of [Ber95]. As one would expect, the Lie-Poisson Hamiltonian formulation for the Eulerian fluid description of these equations is equivalent to the canonical formulation of [Ber95] in the Lagrangian fluid description. This equivalence is guaranteed by the Euler-Poincaré theorem [HMR98]. This theorem was developed for applications in geophysical fluid dynamics (GFD) in [HMR02] and specialised to slice geometries in [CH13]. It states that the following four dynamical perspectives of fluid mechanics are equivalent: (1) Hamilton's principle for the Lagrangian fluid description; (2) the Euler-Lagrange equations in the Lagrangian fluid description; (3) Hamilton's principle for the Eulerian fluid description with certain constrained variations; and (4) the Euler-Poincaré equations in the Eulerian fluid description.

The methods of this paper are based on the Euler–Poincaré theory of reduction by symmetry of variational principles introduced in [HMR98]. However, in this paper we shall just quote the main results of this theory and apply them to derive a family of sound-proofed models.

Organization of the Paper. In §2 we recall from [HMR98, HMR02] the results of the Euler-Poincaré theorem for Lagrangians in continuum mechanics depending on advected quantities (such as density and potential temperature), along with their associated Kelvin–Noether theorem and Lie-Poisson Hamiltonian formulations. These results establish the mathematical framework into which we place the dynamical equations for the AA and PIA models in §3. In §4 we introduce soundproof slice models that preserve the fluid properties guaranteed by the Euler-Poincaré framework. Summary conclusions of the main results of the paper and some of the outstanding problems it raises are discussed in §5.

2 The Euler–Poincaré Theorem in GFD

We begin by recalling from [HMR98, HMR02] the statements of the Euler–Poincaré equations and their associated Kelvin–Noether theorem in the context of continuum mechanics and approximate models in GFD.

The Euler-Poincaré equations for a GFD reduced Lagrangian $\ell [\mathbf{u}, D, \theta]$ (usually the domain integrated kinetic energy minus the domain integrated potential energy) involve the Eulerian fluid velocity vector \mathbf{u} , the scalar potential temperature θ and the mass density D as functions of three dimensional space with coordinates \mathbf{x} and time t. In vector notation, these equations are expressed as [HMR98, HMR02],

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{1}{D}\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\mathbf{u}} + \frac{1}{D}\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u^j}\nabla u^j + \frac{1}{D}\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\theta}\nabla\theta - \nabla\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta D} = 0, \qquad (2.1)$$

or, equivalently, in *curl form* as,

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} \right) - \mathbf{u} \times \operatorname{curl} \left(\frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} \right) + \nabla \left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} - \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta D} \right) + \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \theta} \nabla \theta, \qquad (2.2)$$

where $\delta l/\delta D$ is the variational derivative of l with respect to D, defined by

$$\int \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta D} \delta D \, \mathrm{d}x = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\ell[\mathbf{u}, D + \epsilon \delta D, \theta] - \ell[\mathbf{u}, D, \theta]}{\epsilon},$$

for all density perturbations δD . Similar definitions hold for $\delta l/\delta \theta$ and $\delta l/\delta \mathbf{u}$ (the latter of which is the linear momentum, a vector quantity). The Euler–Poincaré system is completed by including the *auxiliary equations* for advection of the total potential temperature θ ,

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta = 0, \qquad (2.3)$$

and the continuity equation for the mass density D,

$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (D\mathbf{u}) = 0. \qquad (2.4)$$

Specific models are then obtained (for example, the sound-proof models discussed in this paper) by choosing a particular form of the Lagrangian ℓ .

Kelvin-Noether circulation theorem. The Euler–Poincaré motion equation in either form (2.1) or (2.2) results in the *Kelvin-Noether circulation theorem*,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{\gamma_t(\mathbf{u})} \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = -\oint_{\gamma_t(\mathbf{u})} \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\theta} \nabla\theta \cdot d\mathbf{x} , \qquad (2.5)$$

where the curve $\gamma_t(\mathbf{u})$ moves with the fluid velocity \mathbf{u} . Then, by Stokes' theorem, the Euler– Poincaré equations generate circulation of the quantity $D^{-1}\delta\ell/\delta\mathbf{u}$ whenever the gradients $\nabla\theta$ and $\nabla(D^{-1}\delta\ell/\delta\theta)$ are not collinear. Potential vorticity conservation laws. Taking the curl of equation (2.2) and using advection of the potential temperature θ and the continuity equation for the density D yields conservation of potential vorticity PV on fluid parcels, as expressed by

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla q = 0, \qquad (2.6)$$

where
$$q = \frac{1}{D} \nabla \theta \cdot \operatorname{curl} \left(\frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} \right).$$
 (2.7)

Consequently, the following domain integrated quantities are conserved, for any smooth function Φ ,

$$C_{\Phi} = \int d^3x \ D \,\Phi(\theta, q) \,, \quad \forall \,\Phi \,.$$
(2.8)

Legendre transform, energy conservation and Hamiltonian formulation. The absence of explicit time dependence in the Lagrangian ℓ [\mathbf{u} , D, θ] gives the *conserved domain integrated* energy, via Noether's theorem for time translation invariance. This energy is easily calculated using the *Legendre transform* to be

$$E\left[\mathbf{u}, D, \theta\right] = \int d^{3}x \,\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\mathbf{u}}\right) - \ell\left[\mathbf{u}, D, \theta\right].$$
(2.9)

When the Legendre transform is completed to express $E[\mathbf{u}, D, \theta]$ as $H[\mathbf{m}, D, \theta]$ with

$$\mathbf{m} \equiv \delta \ell / \delta \mathbf{u}$$
 and $\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{u}$, (2.10)

the Euler–Poincaré system (2.1)–(2.4) may be expressed in Hamiltonian form as

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} = \{\mu, H\}, \quad \text{with} \quad \mu \in [\mathbf{m}, D, \theta], \qquad (2.11)$$

and the *Lie-Poisson bracket* is given in Euclidean component form by

$$\{F, H\}[\mathbf{m}, D, \theta] = -\int d^{3}x \left\{ \sum_{i} \frac{\delta F}{\delta m_{i}} \left[\sum_{j} \left(\partial_{j} m_{i} + m_{j} \partial_{i} \right) \frac{\delta H}{\delta m_{j}} + \left(D \partial_{i} \right) \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} - \left(\theta_{,i} \right) \frac{\delta H}{\delta \theta} \right] + \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} \sum_{j} \left(\partial_{j} D \right) \frac{\delta H}{\delta m_{j}} + \frac{\delta F}{\delta \theta} \sum_{j} \left(\theta_{,j} \right) \frac{\delta H}{\delta m_{j}} \right\}.$$

$$(2.12)$$

The conserved quantities C_{Φ} in (2.8) are then understood in the *Lie-Poisson Hamiltonian* formulation (2.11) – (2.12) of the Euler–Poincaré system (2.1) – (2.4) as *Casimirs* that commute under the Lie-Poisson bracket (2.12) with any functional of (\mathbf{m}, D, θ) . The Casimirs also result via Noether's theorem from symmetry of the Hamilton's principle for the Euler–Poincaré system under the particle relabeling transformations that leave invariant the Lagrangian $\ell[\mathbf{u}, D, \theta]$. For full mathematical details, consult [MR95, HMR98, HMR02, CH12]. The Euler–Poincaré framework. The four properties (2.5)–(2.9) and the Lie-Poisson Hamiltonian formulation (2.11) - (2.12) of the Euler–Poincaré equation (2.1) and its auxiliary equations (2.3) and (2.4) are all desirable elements of approximate models for applications in geophysical fluid dynamics expressed in the variables (\mathbf{u}, D, θ) . Thus, the Euler–Poincaré theory offers a unified framework in which to derive approximate GFD models that possess these properties: the Kelvin-Noether circulation theorem, conservation of potential vorticity on fluid parcels, and the Lie-Poisson Hamiltonian formulation with its associated conserved Casimirs and conserved domain integrated energy. Previous work [HMR98, HMR02] has shown that many useful GFD approximations may be formulated as Euler–Poincaré equations, whose shared properties thus follow from this underlying common framework. The aim of the next section of this paper is to cast the sound-proof motion equations, including those for AA (1.1) and for PIA (1.7), into the Euler–Poincaré framework.

3 Hamilton's principle for sound-proof motion equations

3.1 The sound-proof Lagrangians

In the Eulerian fluid representation, we consider Hamilton's principle $\delta S = 0$ for fluid motion in a three dimensional domain with action functional $\mathcal{S} = \int dt \,\ell$ and Lagrangian $\ell[\mathbf{u}, D, \theta]$. For the sound-proof models, we propose a Lagrangian given by the total kinetic energy in the rotating frame, minus the total potential energy including the thermodynamic energy, plus the sound-proof constraint imposed by the pressure p' as a Lagrange multiplier,

$$\ell_{\rm SP} = \int \left[D\left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}) - gz - C_v \pi_0(z) \theta \right) + p' \underbrace{\left(\rho_0(z)\Theta(\theta_0(z)) - D\Theta(\theta)\right)}_{\text{SP constraint}} \right] d^3x \,. \tag{3.1}$$

Here *D* denotes the mass density, **u** is the Eulerian fluid velocity, **R** is a vector field whose curl is $2\mathbf{\Omega}$ (twice the local angular rotation vector), θ is the total potential temperature, $\theta_0(z)$, $\pi_0(z)$ and $\rho_0(z)$ are reference profiles and Θ is an arbitrary smooth function still to be chosen.

The constraint imposed by the pressure. In the Lagrangian (3.1) the pressure p' plays the role of a Lagrange multiplier in Hamilton's principle that enforces a constraint that relates the mass density D and potential temperature θ . To understand the meaning of this constraint, one may combine (2.3) and (2.4) into

$$\frac{\partial (D\Theta(\theta))}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (D\Theta(\theta)\mathbf{u}) = 0, \qquad (3.2)$$

where Θ is a smooth function. Imposing the sound-proofing constraint $D\Theta(\theta) = \rho_0(z)\Theta(\theta_0(z))$ then yields

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\rho_0(z) \,\Theta(\theta_0(z)) \mathbf{u} \right) = 0 \,. \tag{3.3}$$

For $\Theta(\theta) = 1 - \alpha + \alpha \theta$, one recovers PIA flows for $\alpha = 1$ and AA flows for $\alpha = 0$. For $\alpha = 0$ and $\rho_0(z) = const$, one recovers divergence-free flows.

Time-dependent reference states. Following [Dur08] one may allow reference states with *prescribed* time-dependence by replacing $\rho_0(z)$ by $\tilde{\rho}(x, y, z, t)$, $\theta_0(z)$ by $\tilde{\theta}(x, y, z, t)$ and $\pi_0(z)$ by $\tilde{\pi}(x, y, z, t)$. Imposing the sound-proofing constraint $D \Theta(\theta) = \tilde{\rho} \Theta(\tilde{\theta})$ then yields

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\widetilde{\rho} \,\Theta(\widetilde{\theta}) \mathbf{u} \right) = - \,\partial_t (\widetilde{\rho} \,\Theta(\widetilde{\theta})) \,, \tag{3.4}$$

in which the right-hand side is a prescribed function of (x, y, z, t). The pressure is then determined from the sound-proof motion equation via an elliptic equation involving

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\widetilde{\rho} \, \Theta(\widetilde{\theta}) \partial_t \mathbf{u} \right) = - \, \partial_t^2 \left(\widetilde{\rho} \, \Theta(\widetilde{\theta}) \right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{u} \partial_t \left(\widetilde{\rho} \, \Theta(\widetilde{\theta}) \right) \right)$$

The resulting sound-proof fluid equations keep their form, as long as the time-dependent background state remains in hydrostatic balance. However, the time-dependence in $\tilde{\rho}$, $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\pi}$ prevents conservation of energy, although potential vorticity is still conserved on fluid parcels.

Variational derivatives of the Lagrangian ℓ_{SP} . The Lagrangian ℓ_{SP} in (3.1) possesses the following variational derivatives at fixed **x** and *t*.

$$\frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell_{\rm SP}}{\delta \mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}),
\frac{\delta \ell_{\rm SP}}{\delta D} = \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}) - gz
- C_v \pi_0(z)\theta - p'\Theta(\theta),
\frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell_{\rm SP}}{\delta \theta} = -C_v \pi_0(z) - p'\Theta'(\theta),
\frac{\delta \ell_{\rm SP}}{\delta p'} = \rho_0(z)\Theta(\theta_0(z)) - D\Theta(\theta).$$
(3.5)

One obtains the corresponding motion equations upon substitution of these variational derivatives into the Euler-Poincaré formula (2.1).

3.2 The motion equation for SP

From the Euclidean component formula (2.2) for Hamilton's principles of this type, we find the fluid motion equation for the SP model in three dimensions,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} - \mathbf{u} \times \operatorname{curl}\mathbf{R} + \Theta(\theta)\nabla p' + \left(g + C_v \theta \frac{d\pi_0}{dz}\right)\hat{\mathbf{z}} = 0, \qquad (3.6)$$

where curl $\mathbf{R} = 2\mathbf{\Omega}(\mathbf{x})$ is the Coriolis parameter (i.e., twice the local angular rotation frequency). We use equation (1.5) to rewrite the last term in parentheses as

$$g + C_v \theta \frac{d\pi_0}{dz} = g\left(1 - \frac{\theta}{\theta_0}\right) = -g \frac{\theta'(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\theta_0(z)}.$$
(3.7)

Consequently, we obtain the SP motion equation, namely,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} - \mathbf{u} \times 2\mathbf{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}) + \Theta(\theta)\nabla p' - \frac{g\theta'}{\theta_0}\hat{\mathbf{z}} = 0, \qquad (3.8)$$

as the Euler–Poincaré equation for the SP Lagrangian (3.1).

Solving for the SP pressure. The SP contraint with arbitrary smooth function Θ

$$\rho_0(z)\Theta(\theta_0(z)) = D\,\Theta(\theta) \tag{3.9}$$

is obtained from stationarity of the Lagrangian (3.1) with respect to variations in p'. Upon substituting this constraint into the continuity equation (3.2), we find the SP divergence condition in equation (3.3). Preservation of this condition determines the pressure p' analytically, by solving the elliptic equation obtained by taking the divergence of the SP motion equation (3.8) after first multiplying it by the product $\rho_0(z)\Theta(\theta_0(z))$.

The boundary condition for the resulting elliptic equation is obtained from the normal component of the SP motion equation (3.8) evaluated on the boundary and using the boundary condition for the velocity, e.g., that it has no normal component at the boundary, which yields a Neumann boundary condition for obtaining the pressure.

3.3 The Kelvin–Noether theorem for SP equations

From equation (2.5), the Kelvin–Noether circulation theorem corresponding to the SP fluid motion equation (3.8) in three dimensions is,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{\gamma_t(\mathbf{u})} (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{R}) \cdot d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= - \oint_{\gamma_t(\mathbf{u})} (\Theta(\theta) \nabla p' - \theta \frac{g}{\theta_0} \nabla z) \cdot d\mathbf{x} ,$$

$$= - \iint_S \nabla \theta \times (\Theta'(\theta) \nabla p' - \frac{g}{\theta_0} \nabla z) \cdot d\mathbf{x} ,$$
(3.10)

where the curve $\gamma_t(\mathbf{u})$ moves with the sound-proof fluid velocity \mathbf{u} . By Stokes' theorem, these sound-proof equations generate circulation of $(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{R})$ around $\gamma_t(\mathbf{u})$ whenever the vectors $\nabla \theta$, $\nabla p'$ and ∇z are not collinear. Using advection of θ and the SP continuity equation, one finds conservation of potential vorticity $q_{\rm SP}$ on fluid parcels, cf. equation (2.6),

$$\frac{\partial q_{\rm SP}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla q_{\rm SP} = 0, \qquad (3.11)$$

where $q_{\rm SP} = \frac{1}{D} \nabla \theta \cdot \operatorname{curl} (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{R}).$

Consequently, the following domain integrated quantities are conserved, for any smooth integrable function Φ , cf. equation (2.8),

$$C_{\Phi} = \int D \Phi(\theta, q_{\rm SP}) d^3x \,, \quad \text{for all } \Phi \,. \tag{3.12}$$

3.4 Energy conservation, Lie-Poisson Hamiltonian formulation and nonlinear Lyapunov stability analysis

One uses the Legendre transform (2.9) of the Lagrangian (3.1) to find the corresponding Hamiltonian,

$$H_{\rm SP} = \int \left(\frac{1}{2D} |\mathbf{m} - D\mathbf{R}|^2 + Dgz + C_v \pi_0(z) D\theta \right) - p' \underbrace{\left(\rho_0(z) \Theta(\theta_0(z)) - D\Theta(\theta) \right)}_{\rm SP \ constraint} d^3x .$$
(3.13)

The Lie-Poisson bracket (2.12) now generates the SP motion equation (3.8), as well as the auxiliary equations (2.3)–(2.4) and the SP constraint (3.9) from the Hamiltonian $H_{\rm SP}$ according to equation (2.11). The conserved energy is obtained by evaluating the Hamiltonian $H_{\rm SP}$ on the SP constraint, as

$$E_{\rm SP} = \int \left(\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 + gz + C_v \pi_0(z)\theta\right) D \, d^3x \,. \tag{3.14}$$

This is the sum of the kinetic energy, gravitational potential energy and thermodynamic internal energy. That is, the SP constraint (3.9) does not interfere with conservation of the usual total energy.

Variational principle for SP equilibrium solutions. The equilibrium solutions of the SP equations occur at critical points of the sum H_{Φ} , where

$$H_{\Phi} = H_{\rm SP} + C_{\Phi} \,, \tag{3.15}$$

and C_{Φ} represents a family of Casimirs given by

$$C_{\Phi} = \int D \Phi(\theta, q) d^3x, \quad \forall \Phi.$$
(3.16)

Here Φ is an arbitrary smooth function and q is defined upon using the definition of the momentum density **m** in (2.10) as,

$$q \equiv \frac{1}{D} \nabla \theta \cdot \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{m}/D).$$

Thus, the Casimir conservation laws for our Lie-Poisson Hamiltonian formulation of the threedimensional anelastic equations in the Eulerian fluid description provide a constrained-energy variational principle for the equilibrium solutions of the dynamical SP equations and form a basis for determining their Lyapunov stability conditions, as done for the Euler-Boussinesq equations in [AHMR86]. The AA and PIA equations comprise special cases of the SP equations when $\Theta(\theta) = 1 - \alpha + \alpha \theta$. In turn, the Euler-Boussinesq equations form a special case of the AA and PIA equations in which the base state is constant. Consequently, the analyses of the nonlinear Lyapunov stability conditions for the equilibrium solutions of the three-dimensional SP equations and their special cases the AA and PIA equations all follow a similar procedure to that performed in [AHMR86], which will produce a similar result, modified according to the non-constant base state.

4 Sound-proof vertical slice models

Slice models are used to describe the formation of fronts in the atmosphere and ocean, modelling the situation in which there is a strong horizontal temperature gradient which maintains a vertical shear flow in the direction tangential to the gradient, through geostrophic balance. On the f -plane, this base flow can be modelled with a three- dimensional flow with constant temperature gradient in the y-direction, and velocity pointing in the x-direction with a linear shear in the z-direction. This base flow is unstable to y-independent perturbations to all three components of velocity and temperature, rapidly leading to y-independent front formation. The y-component of velocity is coupled to the other variables through the constant y-gradient of temperature. In the case of the incompressible Euler- Boussinesq equations, solutions of these equations are also solutions of the full three-dimensional equations. In other cases, solutions of the three-dimensional equations are not recovered but the slice models provide very useful tools for analysing numerical methods for the atmosphere since they can be compared with semigeostrophic solutions [Cul07].

[CH13] considered variational formulations for vertical slice models in which the prognostic variables are independent of y, with the exception of potential temperature, which has a time-independent constant y-derivative, *i.e.*,

$$\theta(x, y, z, t) = \theta_S(x, z, t) + (y - y_0)s, \tag{4.1}$$

where s is a constant. Here, we adopt the notation $\mathbf{u} = (u, w)$, and treat v separately, where u, v and w are the x- y- and z-components of velocity, respectively. We also write $\nabla = (\partial_x, \partial_z)$. Consequently, the y-independent mass density D(x, z, t) satisfies

$$\partial_t D + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}D) = 0, \qquad (4.2)$$

and three-dimensional scalar tracer equation (2.3) becomes a dynamic equation for $\theta_S(x, z, t)$ which satisfies,

$$\partial_t \theta_S + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta_S + vs = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

For a slice Lagrangian $l[\mathbf{u}, v, D, \theta_S]$, [CH13] showed that these conditions lead to the *slice* Euler-Poincaré equations (written here in curl form),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{u} \times \left(\operatorname{curl} \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} \right)
+ \nabla \left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} - \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta D} \right)
+ \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta v} \nabla v + \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \theta_S} \nabla \theta_S = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta v} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta v} + \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \theta_S} s = 0,$$
(4.5)

where in the slice notation we write $\boldsymbol{u} \times \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{v} = (-w(\partial_z v_1 - \partial_x v_3), u(\partial_z v_1 - \partial_x v_3))$. The system (4.4–4.5) is completed by including the advection equations (4.2) and (4.3) for D and θ_S , respectively.

Kelvin-Noether theorem. [CH13] showed that the equations (4.4–4.5) can be combined to obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{1}{D}\overline{\boldsymbol{m}} + \mathbf{u} \times \operatorname{curl}\left(\frac{1}{D}\overline{\boldsymbol{m}}\right) + \nabla\left(\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\overline{\boldsymbol{m}} - \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta D}\right) = 0,$$

where

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{m}} = s \frac{\delta l}{\delta \boldsymbol{u}} - \frac{\delta l}{\delta v} \nabla \theta_S.$$

This leads to the Kelvin-Noether conservation law for circulation obeyed by slice models in the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{c(\mathbf{u})} \overline{\frac{\mathbf{m}}{D}} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = 0, \qquad (4.6)$$

where $c(\mathbf{u})$ is any closed material loop that moves with the slice fluid velocity \mathbf{u} in the vertical slice plane (*i.e.*, not in the y-direction).

Potential vorticity Equation (4.6) implies that potential vorticity q is conserved along flow lines of the fluid velocity \mathbf{u} ,

$$\partial_t q + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla q = 0, \qquad (4.7)$$

with potential vorticity

$$q = \nabla^{\perp} \overline{\boldsymbol{m}} = s \nabla^{\perp} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}}\right) - \nabla^{\perp} \frac{\delta l}{\delta v} \cdot \nabla \theta_{S}, \qquad (4.8)$$

which is in fact the usual Ertel PV written in the slice variable notation.

Energy conservation As for the three-dimensional case, the absence of explicit time dependence in the slice Lagrangian $\ell[\mathbf{u}, v, D, \theta_S]$ gives the **conserved domain integrated energy**, via Noether's theorem for time translation invariance. This energy is again easily calculated using the **Legendre transform** to be

$$E[\mathbf{u}, v, D, \theta_S] = \int \left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} + v \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta v}\right) dx dz - \ell [\mathbf{u}, v, D, \theta_S].$$

When the Legendre transform is completed to express $E[\mathbf{u}, v, D, \theta_S]$ as $H[\mathbf{m}, n, D, \theta_S]$ with $\mathbf{m} \equiv \delta \ell / \delta \mathbf{u}$, $n \equiv \delta \ell / \delta \mathbf{v}$, $\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{u}$, and $\delta H / \delta n = v$, the Euler–Poincaré system (4.2–4.5) may be expressed in Hamiltonian form as

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} = \{\mu, H\}, \quad \text{with} \quad \mu \in [\mathbf{m}, D, \theta_S], \qquad (4.9)$$

and the Lie-Poisson bracket is given by

$$\{F, H\}[\mathbf{m}, D, \theta] = -\int \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta \boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \nabla \right) \frac{\delta H}{\delta \boldsymbol{m}} - \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta \boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \nabla \right) \frac{\delta F}{\delta \boldsymbol{m}} \right) \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}z \\ -\int n \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta \boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta n} - \frac{\delta H}{\delta \boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta n} \right) \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}z \\ -\int \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta \boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \nabla \theta_S + \frac{\delta F}{\delta n} s \right) \frac{\delta H}{\delta \theta_S} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}z \\ +\int \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta \boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \nabla \theta_S + \frac{\delta H}{\delta n} s \right) \frac{\delta F}{\delta \theta_S} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}z.$$

4.1 Hamilton's principle for sound-proof slice models

In the present notation, the Lagrangian for the Sliced Sound-proof Approximation (SSA) in Eulerian (x, z) coordinates is,

$$l_{\rm SSA}[\mathbf{u}, v, D, \theta_S] = \int_{\Omega} \frac{D}{2} \left(|\mathbf{u}|^2 + v^2 \right) + fDvx - gDz - DC_v \pi_0(z)\theta_S + p' \underbrace{\left(\rho_0(z)\Theta(\theta_S^0(z)) - D\Theta(\theta_S) \right)}_{\rm SSA \ constraint} dx \, dz,$$
(4.10)

As before, the constraints are imposed by the pressure as a Lagrange multiplier.

The variational derivatives of the SSA Lagrangian are,

$$\frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta l_{\text{SSA}}}{\delta \mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u}, \qquad \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta l_{\text{SSA}}}{\delta v} = v + fx, \\
\frac{\delta l_{\text{SSA}}}{\delta D} = \frac{1}{2} \left(|\mathbf{u}|^2 + v^2 \right) + fvx \\
- gz - C_v \pi_0(z) \theta_S - p' \Theta(\theta_S), \\
\frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta l_{\text{SSA}}}{\delta \theta_S} = -C_v \pi_0(z) - p' \Theta'(\theta_S).$$
(4.11)

For the sliced sound-proof approximation SSA, the Euler-Poincaré approach yields the following

equations on the slice semidirect product with advected density D and scalar θ_S :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - fv\hat{\mathbf{x}}
+ \left(g + C_v \frac{d\pi_0}{dz}\theta_S\right)\hat{\mathbf{z}} + \Theta(\theta_S)\nabla p' = 0,
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla v + \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{u}f
- (C_v\pi_0(z) + p'\Theta'(\theta_S))s = 0,
\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}D) = 0
\frac{\partial \theta_S}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta_S + vs = 0,$$
(4.12)

For $\Theta(\theta) = 1 - \alpha + \alpha \theta$, we have SPIA flows for $\alpha = 1$ and SAA flows for $\alpha = 0$. For $\alpha = 0$ and $\rho_0(z) = const$, one recovers sliced incompressible flows. Note that the solutions of the slice equations for SSA models are not solutions of the full three-dimensional equations.

One obtains the expected Kelvin circulation conservation law (4.6), written for the SSA models as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{c(\mathbf{u})} (s\mathbf{u} - (v + fx)\nabla\theta_S) \cdot d\mathbf{x} = 0,$$

which leads to potential vorticity conservation

$$\partial_t q + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla q = 0$$

with potential vorticity

$$q = s \nabla^{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \nabla^{\perp} (v + fx) \cdot \nabla \theta_S,$$

This is the same circulation theorem as for the Euler-Boussinesq slice model in [CH13].

The Euler-Poincaré slice equations are Hamiltonian, with conserved energy

$$H = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2D} \left(|\mathbf{m}|^2 + (n - Dfx)^2 \right)$$
$$+ gDz + DC_v \pi_0(z) \theta_S d^3x \, ,$$

and Casimir conservation laws,

$$C_{\Phi} = \int D\Phi(q) \, \mathrm{d}V \,, \tag{4.13}$$

for an arbitrary smooth function Φ . Furthermore, critical points of the sum

$$H_C = H + C_\Phi \tag{4.14}$$

are equilibrium solutions. This is a general feature of Lie-Poisson Hamiltonian theories, see, e.g., [HMRW85], and in this case is the basis for studying Lyapunov stability for critical-point equilibria of the slice models. However, this feature will not be pursued further in the present paper.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we derived in the Euler-Poincaré variational framework a family of 3D soundproof models that contains the Lipps-Hemler anelastic approximation (AA) and the Durran pseudoincompressible approximation (PIA) as special cases. In this family of 3D soundproof models, the pressure arises as a Lagrange multiplier enforcing a constraint on the density, just as in the standard incompressible case. The models in the sound-proof family only differ in how the constraint is enforced. We have explained how these constraint forces relate to the standard forms of the equations. Having found Lagrangians that lead to these models in Euler-Poincaré form, we immediately deduce that the models have a conserved energy, inherit a Lie-Poisson structure, and have a Kelvin-Noether circulation theorem and corresponding conserved potential vorticity. This formulation opens the way for further approximations in the Lagrangian, such as Lagrangian averaging or balanced models. We also briefly mentioned the interesting case of time-dependent background profiles, which was discussed in [Dur08]. These models are useful for driving local area models using profiles from global model output, or to model seasonal variations or regional climate change. In this case, potential vorticity will be conserved but we expect changes in domainintegrated energy in general, since there is now an explicit time-dependence in the Lagrangian. It is interesting to consider the case where the time-dependence in the background flow is slow, since in that case we expect the energy to be almost invariant; we will study this problem in future work.

In the second half of the paper, we adapted this derivation to obtain vertical slice soundproof models. These vertical slice models are used to model front formation, and allow for full three dimensional velocity vectors, but with all fields being y-dependent except for potential temperature which is assumed to have a constant gradient in the y-direction. Unlike the incompressible Boussinesq model, our slice models do not recover solutions of the full 3D equations since they have linearised the equation of state under the assumption of small θ variation in the y-direction. However, we believe that they can play a role in the validation of numerical schemes and closures as part of the programme of asymptotic limit analysis described in [Cul07], in which numerical solutions are compared with numerical solutions of the limiting semi-geostrophic model, which are most easily obtained for models in slice configuration using a geometric algorithm. Initial work on this programme for Boussinesq models is shown in [VCC13]. A requirement for such tests is that the model being tested has a conserved energy and potential vorticity. Both conservation laws are provided by these models, which can be obtained from minor adaptations of existing soundproof codes.

Acknowledgements

We thank P. Smolarkiewicz for stimulating conversations about this work and for providing helpful comments on a much earlier draft. We also thank D. Durran for discussions about sound-proof models. The authors are also grateful to M. J. P. Cullen and A. Visram for very useful and interesting discussions about slice models. The work by CJC was partially supported by the Natural Environment Research Council Next Generation Weather and Climate programme. The work by DDH was partially supported by Advanced Grant 267382 from the European Research Council.

References

- [AHMR86] H.D.I. Abarbanel, D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, and T. Ratiu. Nonlinear stability analysis of stratified ideal fluid equilibria. *Phil Trans. Roy. Soc.*, 318:349–409, 1986.
- [Ban95] P. R. Bannon. Hydrostatic adjustment: Lamb's problem. J. Atmos. Sci., 52:1743– 1752, 1995.
- [Ban96] P. R. Bannon. On the anelastic approximation for a compressible atmosphere. J. Atmos. Sci., 53:3618–3628, 1996.
- [Ber95] P. Bernardet. The pressure term in the anelastic model: a symmetric elliptic solver for an Arakawa C grid in generalized coordinates. *Monthly Weather Rev.*, 123:2474–2490, 1995.
- [CH12] C.J. Cotter and D.D. Holm. On Noether's Theorem for the Euler–Poincaré equation on the diffeomorphism group with advected quantities. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, pages 1–21, 2012.
- [CH13] C. J. Cotter and D. D. Holm. A variational formulation of vertical slice models. To appear in *Proc Roy Soc A*, preprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.2067, 2013.
- [Cul07] M.J.P. Cullen. Modelling atmospheric flows. Acta Numerica, 2007.
- [DF69] D. R. Dutton and G. H. Fichtl. Approximate equations of motion for gases and liquids. J. Atmos. Sci., 1969.
- [Dur89] D. R Durran. Improving the anelastic approximation. J. Atmos. Sci., 46:1453–1461, 1989.
- [Dur08] D. R. Durran. A physically motivated approach for filtering acoustic waves from the equations governing compressible stratified flow. J. Fluid Mech., 601:365–379, 2008.
- [HMR98] D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, and T. Ratiu. The Euler-Poincaré equations and semidirect products with applications to continuum theories. *Adv. in Math.*, 137, 1998.
- [HMR02] D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, and T. S. Ratiu. The Euler–Poincaré equations in geophysical fluid dynamics. In Proceedings of the Isaac Newton Institute Programme on the Mathematics of Atmospheric and Ocean Dynamics. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [HMRW85] D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, T. S. Ratiu, and A Weinstein. Nonlinear stability of fluid and plasma equilibria. *Physics Reports*, 123:1–116, 1985.
- [Kle09] R. Klein. Asymptotics, structure, and integration of soundproof atmospheric flow equations. *Theor. Comput. Fluid Dyn.*, 23:161–195, 2009.
- [LH82] F. B. Lipps and R. S. Hemler. A scale analysis of deep moist convection and some related numerical calculations. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 39:2192–2210, 1982.
- [MR95] J. E. Marsden and T. S. Ratiu. *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry*, volume 17 of *Texts in Applied Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1995.

- [OP62] Y. Ogura and N. A. Phillips. Scale analysis for deep and shallow convection in the atmosphere. J. Atmos. Sci., 19:173–179, 1962.
- [SS92] J. F. Scinocca and T. G. Shepherd. Nonlinear wave-activity conservation laws and Hamiltonian structure for the two-dimensional anelastic equations. J. Atmos. Sci., 49:5–27, 1992.
- [Thu08] J. Thuburn. Some conservation issues for the dynamical cores of NWP and climate models. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 227(7):3715–3730, 2008.
- [VCC13] A.R. Visram, C.J. Cotter, and M.J.P. Cullen. A framework for evaluating model error using asymptotic convergence in the Eady model. Submitted, 2013.