

Energy-momentum's local conservation laws and generalized isometric embeddings of vector bundles

Nabil Kahouadji

Abstract

Using exterior differential systems, we provide a positive answer to the generalized isometric embedding problem of vector bundles, and show how conservation laws for a class of PDE can be constructed, for instance, for covariant divergence-free energy-momentum tensors.

1 Introduction

Exterior differential systems play an important role in mathematics in general as well as in differential geometry since they are a way of studying PDE from a geometric viewpoint. After the emergence of abstract notion of manifolds [4] and [12], which are defined, roughly speaking, as Hausdorff topological spaces that possess local embeddings in Euclidean spaces (local charts), the question of the existence of an isometric embedding of Riemannian manifolds into Euclidean spaces emerged naturally. Another way to express this question is to find whether any abstract Riemannian manifold is in fact a submanifold of a Euclidean space. In 1871, Schlaefli [13] discussed the local form of this embedding and conjectured that a neighborhood in an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold would generally require an embedding space of $m(m+1)/2$ dimensions. Janet [6] and Cartan [2] gave a positive answer in the local and analytic case. A global existence of the isometric embedding of Riemannian manifolds in the smooth case was established by Nash [10, 11] and Kuiper [9] in the smooth case. A new problem is considered in [8] where the author addresses the question of the existence of isometric embedding of vector bundles, in a sense that will be later explained, as a natural generalization of the classical isometric

embedding problem and used to construct conservation laws for a class of PDE. For that purpose, the following paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we recall the basic definitions and results (proofs and details may be found in [7]) concerning connections on vector bundles that allow us to state in section 3 the problem of constructing conservation laws by generalized isometric embeddings of vector bundles, originally stated by F. Hélein (see [5]). We also give some examples and motivations of the problem. In section 4 we give a brief introduction to exterior differential systems and Cartan-Kähler theory. In section 5 we state the author's main theorem in [8] and explain the principal steps of the proof. Finally, in section 6 we present an application for energy-momentum tensors.

2 On Cartan's Structure Equations

Let $\xi = (\mathbb{V}, \pi, \mathcal{M})$ be a vector bundle over a smooth m -dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} with an r -dimensional vector space \mathbb{V} as a standard fiber. Denote by $(\Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M}), [,])$ the Lie algebra of vector fields on \mathcal{M} and $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ the moduli space of cross-sections of the vector bundle \mathbb{V} .

2.1 Connection on a vector bundles

A connection on a vector bundle is a way of “differentiating” cross-sections along vector fields, and it is usually defined as a bilinear operator ∇ on $\Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M}) \times \Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ with values on $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ which satisfy $\nabla_{(fX)}S = f\nabla_X S$ and a Leibniz identity type $\nabla_X(fS) = X(f)S + f\nabla_X S$ for all $X \in \Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M})$ and for all $S \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V})$.

Given a connection ∇ , we define its curvature as a trilinear operator \mathcal{R}^∇ on $\Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M}) \times \Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M}) \times \Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ with values on $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ which associates any cross-section S and any two vector fields X and Y with the cross section

$$\mathcal{R}^\nabla(X, Y)S = \left([\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]} \right) S$$

From the definition, one can easily check the following property:

Theorem 1. *For any f, g and h smooth functions on \mathcal{M} , $S \in \Gamma(E)$ a section of ξ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M})$ two tangent vector fields of \mathcal{M} , we have*

$$\mathcal{R}^\nabla(fX, gY)(hS) = f.g.h.\mathcal{R}^\nabla(X, Y)S \quad (1)$$

Depending on the situation, expressing the connection and its curvature following Cartan's formalism seems to be more convenient: let us denote by \mathcal{O} an open set of \mathcal{M} . A set of r local sections $S = (S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r)$ of ξ is called a moving frame (or a frame field) if for all p in \mathcal{O} , $S(p) = (S_1(p), S_2(p), \dots, S_r(p))$ form a basis of the fiber \mathbb{V}_p over the point p .

If we consider $X \in \Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M})$ a tangent vector field on \mathcal{M} , then since $\nabla_X S_j$ is another section of ξ , it can be expressed in the moving frame S as follows:

$$\nabla_X S_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \omega_j^i(X) S_i \quad (2)$$

where $\omega_j^i \in \Gamma(\mathrm{T}^*\mathcal{M})$ are differential 1-forms on \mathcal{M} .

Definition 1. The $r \times r$ matrix $\omega = (\omega_j^i)$ whose entries are differential 1-forms is called the connection 1-form of ∇ .

The connection ∇ is completely determined by the matrix $\omega = (\omega_j^i)$. Conversely, a matrix of differential 1-forms on \mathcal{M} determines a connection (in a non-invariant way depending on the choice of the moving frame).

Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M})$ be two tangent vector fields. As previously, since $\mathcal{R}^\nabla(X, Y)S_j$ is a section of ξ , it can be expressed on the moving frame S as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}^\nabla(X, Y)S_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \Omega_j^i(X, Y) S_i \quad (3)$$

where $\Omega_j^i \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathrm{T}^*\mathcal{M})$ are differential 2-forms on \mathcal{M} .

Definition 2. The $r \times r$ matrix $\Omega = (\Omega_j^i)$ whose entries are differential 2-forms, is called the curvature 2-form of the connection ∇ .

With this viewpoint, we can state the following theorem that gives the relation between the connection 1-form ω and the curvature 2-form Ω .

Theorem 2.

$$d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = \Omega \quad (\text{matrix form}) \quad (4)$$

or

$$d\omega_j^i + \sum_{k=1}^r \omega_k^i \wedge \omega_j^k = \Omega_j^i \quad (\text{on components}) \quad (5)$$

This viewpoint is convenient for endowing connection on a vector bundle when we have a connection on another vector bundle. Actually, since connections and their curvatures are expressed by differential forms, one can use the usual operations on differential forms, for instance, the pull-back, to induce these objects on another vector bundle. Let us consider $\xi = (\mathbb{V}, \pi, \mathcal{M})$ and $\xi' = (\mathbb{V}', \pi', \mathcal{M})$ to be two vector bundles on \mathcal{M} of the same rank. Consider a map $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and denote $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}'$ the associated bundle map i.e. (f, \tilde{f}) satisfies the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{V} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \mathbb{V}' \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

If ∇' is a connection on \mathbb{V}' , the vector bundle morphism induces a pull-back connection on \mathbb{V} by $\nabla = \tilde{f}^* \nabla'$ such that for any $S' \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}')$ and $X \in \Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M})$, $\nabla_X(\tilde{f}^* S') = (\tilde{f}^* \nabla')_X(\tilde{f}^* S') = \tilde{f}^*(\nabla_{f_* X} S')$ where $f_{*,p} : \mathrm{T}_p \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}_{f(p)} \mathcal{M}$ is the linear tangent map.

We can also induce a connection on ξ in another way. The connection ∇' is completely determined by the matrix of differential 1-forms $\omega' = (\omega'^i_j)$. Consequently, the connection ∇ can be defined by the matrix ω whose entries ω^i_j are the pull-back of ω'^i_j by \tilde{f} , i.e., $\omega = \tilde{f}^* \omega'$. Since the pull-back commutes with the exterior differentiation and with the wedge product¹, the curvature 2-form Ω of the connection ∇ is the pull-back of the curvature 2-form of ∇' , i.e. $\Omega = \tilde{f}^* \Omega'$.

An interesting property of a connection when the vector bundle is endowed with a Riemannian metric is to be “compatible” with that metric. Let us recall that a Riemannian metric g on ξ is a positively-defined scalar product on each fiber. Then a connection ∇ is said to be compatible with the metric g , or a metric connection, if ∇ satisfies the Leibniz identity: $\nabla_X(g(S_1, S_2)) = g(\nabla_X S_1, S_2) + g(S_1, \nabla_X S_2)$ for all $S_1, S_2 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ and for all $X \in \Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M})$.

The following result shows an interesting property of metric connections and will be useful in answering one case of the generalized isometric embedding problem (stated in the next section).

¹ $d(f^* \alpha) = f^*(d\alpha)$ and $f^*(\alpha \wedge \beta) = f^*(\alpha) \wedge f^*(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\wedge \mathrm{T}^* \mathcal{M})$.

Proposition 1. *Let $S = (S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n)$ be an orthonormal moving frame with respect to g , i.e. $g_p(S_i, S_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for all $p \in \mathcal{O}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, r$, then the matrix of 1-forms ω associated to S and the curvature matrix of 2-forms Ω are both skew-symmetric, i.e., $\omega_j^i + \omega_i^j = 0$ and $\Omega_j^i + \Omega_i^j = 0$.*

This means that metric connections and their curvatures are $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ -valued differential forms rather than just being $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ -valued differential forms.

2.2 Tangent Bundle Case

We consider in this subsection a fundamental class of vector bundles which is the tangent bundle of a manifold, i.e., $\mathbb{V} = \text{TM}$. All of the results stated above obviously remain true. However, this class of vector bundles provides more notions. For instance, let us consider, as previous, a local moving frame $S = (S_1, \dots, S_m)$ over $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{M}$. One can naturally associate S with a moving coframe $\eta = (\eta^1, \dots, \eta^m)$ defined as a local frame field of 1-forms, such that for all $p \in \mathcal{U}$, $\eta^i(p)(S_j) = \delta_j^i$.

We define the torsion 2-form of ∇ to be the TM -valued differential 2-form $\Theta = (\Theta^i)$ such that $\Theta^i = d\eta^i + \omega_j^i \wedge \eta^j$. This can be written in a more condensed manner and is said to be the first Cartan structure equation

$$d\eta + \omega \wedge \eta = \Theta \quad (6)$$

The torsion of a connection measures the default for a connection to have the parallelogram property. When Θ vanishes, the connection is said to be torsion-free. Moreover, a torsion-free connection which is also compatible with a Riemannian metric is said to be a Levi-Civita connection. Note that a Levi-Civita connection on a Riemannian manifold is unique.

The moving coframe η , the connection 1-form ω , the curvature 2-form Ω and the torsion 2-form are connected as follows:

Proposition 2. *On a tangent bundle, the four forms η, ω, Θ and Ω are connected by the following systems of equations:*

$$d\Theta + \omega \wedge \Theta = \Omega \wedge \eta \quad (7)$$

and

$$d\Omega = \Omega \wedge \omega - \omega \wedge \Omega \quad (8)$$

The equation (8) is the expression of the Bianchi identities via the connection 1-form and the curvature 2-form. Let us notice that equation (8) is also valid on an arbitrary vector bundle.

2.3 Cartan's Structure Equations

Let (\mathcal{M}, g) be an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let $\eta = (\eta^1, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^m)$ be an orthonormal moving coframe on \mathcal{M} . According to equations (6), (5) and the proposition 1, we establish the Cartan structure equations:

$$\begin{cases} d\eta^i + \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j^i \wedge \eta^j = 0 & \text{(torsion-free)} \\ d\omega_j^i + \sum_{k=1}^m \omega_k^i \wedge \omega_j^k = \Omega_j^i \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where the matrix (ω_j^i) is the Levi-Civita connection 1-form (torsion-free connection which is compatible with the Riemannian metric g). Because η is an orthonormal coframe field, (ω_j^i) and (Ω_j^i) are skew-symmetric.

2.4 The Cartan Lemma

We end this section with a technical lemma, which is easy to establish and very useful for computations.

Lemma 1. *Let \mathcal{M} be an m -dimensional manifold. $\omega^1, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^r$ a set of linearly independent differential 1-forms ($r \leq m$) and $\theta^1, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^r$ differential 1-forms such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \theta^i \wedge \omega^i = 0 \quad (10)$$

then there exist r^2 functions h_j^i in $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$\theta^i = \sum_{j=1}^r h_j^i \omega^j \quad \text{with } h_j^i = h_i^j. \quad (11)$$

3 A new problem: generalized isometric embeddings

Many fundamental quantities in physics (mass, energy, movement quantity, momentum, electric charge, ...), when some conditions are satisfied, do not change as the physical system evolves. One can then consider that there are *conservation laws* that govern the evolution of a given physical system. From a mathematical viewpoint, a conservation law can be seen as a map defined on a space \mathcal{F} (which can be, for instance, a function space, a fiber bundle section space, ...) that associates each element f of \mathcal{F} with a vector field X on an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold \mathcal{M} , such that if f is a solution of a given PDE on \mathcal{F} , the vector field X has a vanishing divergence. If we denote by g the Riemannian metric on the manifold \mathcal{M} , we can canonically associate each vector field $X \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{M})$ with a differential 1-form $\alpha_X := g(X, \cdot)$. Since $\operatorname{div}(X) = *d*\alpha_X$ (or $\operatorname{div}(X)\operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{M}} = d(X \lrcorner \operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{M}})$), where $*$ is the Hodge operator, $\operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the volume form on \mathcal{M} , and $X \lrcorner \operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the interior product of $\operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{M}}$ by the vector field X , the requirement $\operatorname{div}(X) = 0$ may be replaced by the requirement $d(X \lrcorner \operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{M}}) = 0$ and hence, conservation laws may also be seen as maps on \mathcal{F} with values on differential $(m-1)$ -forms such that solutions of PDEs are mapped to closed differential $(m-1)$ -forms on \mathcal{M} . More generally, we could extend the notion of conservation laws as mapping to differential p -forms (for instance, Maxwell equations in the vacuum can be expressed, as it is well-known, by requiring a system of differential 2-forms to be closed). As in [8], we address the question of finding conservation laws for a class of PDE described as follows:

Question 1. *Let \mathbb{V} be an n -dimensional vector bundle over \mathcal{M} . Let g be a metric bundle and ∇ a connection that is compatible with that metric. We then have a covariant derivative d_{∇} acting on vector valued differential forms. Assume that ϕ is a given covariantly closed \mathbb{V} -valued differential p -form on \mathcal{M} , i.e.,*

$$d_{\nabla}\phi = 0. \tag{12}$$

Does there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and an embedding Ψ of \mathbb{V} into $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ given by $\Psi(x, X) = (x, \Psi_x X)$, where Ψ_x is a linear map from \mathbb{V}_x to \mathbb{R}^N such that:

- Ψ is isometric, i.e, for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the map Ψ_x is an isometry,

- If $\Psi(\phi)$ is the image of ϕ by Ψ , i.e., $\Psi(\phi)_x = \Psi_x \circ \phi_x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$, then

$$d\Psi(\phi) = 0. \quad (13)$$

In this problem, the equation (12) represents the given PDE (or a system of PDEs) and the map Ψ plays the role of a conservation law. Note that the problem is trivial when the vector bundle is a line bundle. Indeed, the only connection on a real line bundle which is compatible with the metric is the flat one.

3.1 Motivations:

There are basically two main motivations to the statement of the above problem (see [5]) coming from geometry and physics:

3.1.1 The isometric embedding problem:

A fundamental example is the isometric embedding of Riemannian manifolds in Euclidean spaces. A map u between two Riemannian manifolds (\mathcal{M}, g) and (\mathcal{N}, h) is said to be isometric if $u^*(h) = g$. After the emergence of the abstract notion of manifolds, due to the works of Gauss [4] and Riemann [12], a natural question arose: does there exist an abstract manifold? Another way to express this question is to know if any given abstract manifold is in fact a submanifold of a certain Euclidean space or equivalently, does any arbitrary Riemannian manifold admit an isometric embedding in a Euclidean space. This problem is known as the isometric embedding problem which has been considered in various specializations and with assorted conditions. It is related to Question 1 as follows: \mathcal{M} is an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold, \mathbb{V} is the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}$, the connection ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection, $p = 1$ and the $T\mathcal{M}$ -valued differential 1-form ϕ is the identity map on $T\mathcal{M}$. Then (12) expresses the torsion-free condition for the connection ∇ and any solution Ψ of (13) provides us with an isometric embedding u of the Riemannian manifold \mathcal{M} into a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N through $du = d\Psi(\phi)$ and conversely. An answer to the local analytic isometric embeddings of Riemannian manifolds is given by the Cartan-Janet theorem:

Theorem 3. *Every m -dimensional real analytic Riemannian manifold can be locally embedded isometrically in an $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ -dimensional Euclidean space.*

Janet [6] solved the local problem for an analytic Riemannian surface, and Cartan [2] immediately extended the result to any m -manifold, treating it as an application to his theory of Pfaffian systems. In [11], Nash solved the problem in the smooth and global case. Despite the fact that the Cartan–Janet result is local and the analyticity hypotheses on the data may seem to be too restrictive, the Cartan–Janet theorem is important because it actualizes the embedding in an optimal dimension, unlike the Nash isometric embedding.

Consequently, if the above problem has a positive answer for $p = 1$, the notion of isometric embeddings of Riemannian manifolds is extended to the notion of *generalized isometric embeddings* of vector bundles. The general problem, when p is arbitrary, can also be viewed as an *embedding of covariantly closed vector valued differential p -forms*.

3.1.2 Harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds:

A harmonic map u between two Riemannian manifolds (\mathcal{M}, g) and (\mathcal{N}, h) is a critical point of the Dirichlet functional

$$E[u] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} |du|^2. \quad (14)$$

Locally, the Euler-Lagrange systems is expressed² by

$$\Delta_g u^i + g^{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{jk}^i(u(x)) \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^\beta} = 0 \quad (15)$$

where Γ_{jk}^i denote the Christoffel symbols of the connection on \mathcal{N} . Harmonic maps are in fact common to mathematicians and physicists. For instance, when the target Riemannian manifold (\mathcal{N}, h) is replaced by $(\mathbb{R}, \langle, \rangle_{\mathbb{R}})$, harmonic maps are harmonic functions on (\mathcal{M}, g) . If the target manifold is $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle, \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n})$, a map u is harmonic if and only if each component of u is a harmonic function on \mathcal{M} . Other examples of harmonic maps are isometries, geodesics and isometric immersions.

Harmonic maps are related to Question 1 since they produce covariantly closed vector valued forms as expounded in [5]. Indeed, harmonic maps can be characterized as follows: let us consider a map u defined on an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold \mathcal{M} which takes values in an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold \mathcal{N} . On the induced bundle by u over \mathcal{M} , the $u^*T\mathcal{N}$ -valued differential $(m - 1)$ -form $*du$ is covariantly

²We use here the usual convention on repeated indices.

closed if and only if the map u is harmonic, where the connection on the induced bundle is the pull-back by u of the Levi-Civita connection on \mathcal{N} . A positive answer to Question 1 in this case will make it possible to construct conservation laws on \mathcal{M} from covariantly closed vector valued differential $(m - 1)$ -forms, provided, for example, by harmonic maps. In his book [5], motivated by the question of the compactness of weakly harmonic maps in Sobolev spaces in the weak topology (which is still an open question), Hélein considers harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds and explains how conservation laws may be obtained explicitly by Noether's theorem if the target manifold is symmetric and formulates the problem for non-symmetric target manifolds.

4 A short review of exterior differential systems

In [8], the author gives a positive answer to the *generalized isometric embedding* of an arbitrary vector bundle relative to a covariantly closed vector valued differential $(m - 1)$ -form in the local and analytic case, by reformulating the problem in terms of an exterior differential system. Since the Cartan–Kähler theory plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 8 and since the reader may not be familiar with exterior differential systems (EDS) and the Cartan–Kähler theorem, generalities are expounded concerning these notions and results in this section. For details and proofs, the reader may consult Élie Cartan's book [3] and the third chapter of [1].

4.1 EDS and exterior ideals

Let us denote³ by $\Gamma(\wedge T^*\mathcal{M})$ the space of smooth differential forms on \mathcal{M} . An exterior differential system is a finite set of differential forms $I = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k\} \subset \Gamma(\wedge T^*\mathcal{M})$ for which we associate the set of equations $\{\omega_i = 0 \mid \omega_i \in I\}$.

Example 1. $I = \{adx + bdy + cdz\}$ is an EDS on \mathbb{R}^3 , where a, b and c are functions on \mathbb{R}^3 . The EDS I is said to be a Pfaffian system because it contains only differential 1-form.

A subset of differential forms $\mathcal{I} \subset \Gamma(\wedge T^*\mathcal{M})$ is an exterior ideal if the exterior product of any differential form of \mathcal{I} by a differential form

³This is a graded algebra under the wedge product. We do not use the standard notation $\Omega(\mathcal{M})$ so as to not confuse it with the curvature 2-form of the connection.

of $\Gamma(\wedge T^*\mathcal{M})$ belongs to \mathcal{I} and if the sum of any two differential forms of the same degree belonging to \mathcal{I} , belongs also to \mathcal{I} . The exterior ideal generated by I is the smallest exterior ideal containing I .

Example 2. (continued) Let us denote $\omega = adx + bdy + cdz$. The exterior ideal generated by I is:

$$\mathcal{I} = \{\alpha \wedge \omega | \alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge T^*\mathbb{R}^3)\} \quad (16)$$

An exterior ideal is said to be an exterior differential ideal if it is closed under the exterior differentiation and hence, the exterior differential ideal generated by an EDS is the smallest exterior differential ideal containing the EDS.

Example 3. (continued) The exterior differential ideal generated by I is:

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \{\alpha \wedge \omega + \beta \wedge d\omega | \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\wedge T^*\mathbb{R}^3)\} \quad (17)$$

Let us notice that an EDS is closed if and only if the exterior differential ideal and the exterior ideal generated by that EDS are equal. In particular, if I is an EDS, $I \cup dI$ is closed.

Let $I \subset \Gamma(\wedge T^*\mathcal{M})$ be an EDS on \mathcal{M} and let \mathcal{N} be a submanifold of \mathcal{M} . The submanifold \mathcal{N} is an integral manifold of I if $\iota^*\varphi = 0, \forall \varphi \in I$, where ι is an embedding $\iota : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. The purpose of this theory is to establish when a given EDS, which represents a PDE in a jet space, has or has not integral manifolds. We consider in this subsection, an m -dimensional real manifold \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{I} \subset \Gamma(\wedge T^*\mathcal{M})$ an exterior differential ideal on \mathcal{M} .

An EDS which contains only differential 1-forms is called a Pfaffian system. A necessary and sufficient condition to the existence of integral manifold for Pfaffian systems is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 4. (Frobenius)⁴

Let $I = \{\omega^1, \dots, \omega^r\}$ be a Pfaffian system on an m -dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the Pfaffian system to be completely integrable is:

$$d\omega^i \wedge \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^r = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, r. \quad (18)$$

⁴Note that the Frobenius theorem may be stated using distribution of vector fields. Classical details may be found in [7].

Example 4. (continued) *The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of integral surfaces for I is:*

$$c\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial a}{\partial y}\right) + b\left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial a}{\partial z}\right) + a\left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial b}{\partial z}\right) = 0. \quad (19)$$

For instance,

1. $I_1 = \{dz - xdy\}$ is not completely integrable in \mathbb{R}^3 .
2. $I_2 = \{xdx + ydy + zdz\}$ is completely integrable in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$.
3. $I_3 = \{zdx + xdy + ydz\}$ is not completely integrable in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$.

If an EDS contains differential 1-forms and functions, we can still apply the Frobenius theorem on the submanifold defined on the vanishing of these functions (outside the possible singularities). However, if the EDS contains differential forms of degree greater than 1, the Frobenius theorem is not helpful. For instance, let Ω be a closed differential 2-form on an $2m$ -dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} such that $\Omega^m \neq 0$ and $\Omega^{m+1} = 0$. The couple (\mathcal{M}, Ω) is called a *symplectic manifold*. The integral m -dimensional manifolds of $\{\Omega\}$, if they exist, are called Lagrangian manifolds. Finding then Lagrangian manifolds for a given symplectic manifold is the same as searching the existence of integral manifolds of a differential 2-form. The following theory represents a powerful tool to answer this question.

4.2 Introduction to Cartan–Kähler theory

Definition 3. *Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$. A linear subspace E of $\mathbb{T}_M\mathcal{M}$ is an integral element of \mathcal{I} if $\varphi_E = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{I}$, where φ_E means the evaluation of φ on any basis of E . We denote by $\mathcal{V}_p(\mathcal{I})$ the set of p -dimensional integral elements of \mathcal{I} .*

\mathcal{N} is an integral manifold of \mathcal{I} if and only if each tangent space of \mathcal{N} is an integral element of \mathcal{I} . It is not hard to notice from the definition that a subspace of a given integral element is also an integral element. We denote by $\mathcal{I}_p = \mathcal{I} \cap \Gamma(\wedge^p \mathbb{T}^*\mathcal{M})$ the set of differential p -forms of \mathcal{I} . Thus, $\mathcal{V}_p(\mathcal{I}) = \{E \in G_p(\mathbb{T}\mathcal{M}) \mid \varphi_E = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{I}_p\}$.

Definition 4. *Let E be an integral element of \mathcal{I} . Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_p\}$ be a basis of $E \subset \mathbb{T}_M\mathcal{M}$. The polar space of E , denoted by $H(E)$, is the vector space defined as follows:*

$$H(E) = \{v \in \mathbb{T}_M\mathcal{M} \mid \varphi(v, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_p) = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{I}_{p+1}\}. \quad (20)$$

Notice that $E \subset H(E)$. The polar space plays an important role in exterior differential system theory as we can notice from the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *Let $E \in \mathcal{V}_p(\mathcal{I})$ be a p -dimensional integral element of \mathcal{I} . A $(p+1)$ -dimensional vector space $E^+ \subset T_M\mathcal{M}$ which contains E is an integral element of \mathcal{I} if and only if $E^+ \subset H(E)$.*

In order to check if a given p -dimensional integral element of an EDS \mathcal{I} is contained in a $(p+1)$ -dimensional integral element of \mathcal{I} , we introduce the following function called the extension rank $r : \mathcal{V}_p(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ that associates each integral element E with an integer $r(E) = \dim H(E) - (p+1)$. The extension rank $r(E)$ is in fact the dimension of $\mathbb{P}(H(E)/E)$ and is always greater or equal than -1 . If $r(E) = -1$, then $\dim H(E) = \dim E$ so that $H(E) = E$ and consequently, there is no hope of extending the integral element. An integral element E is said to be regular if $r(E)$ is constant on a neighborhood of M .

Definition 5. *An integral flag of \mathcal{I} in $M \in \mathcal{M}$ of length n is a sequence $(0)_M \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_n \subset T_M\mathcal{M}$ of integral elements E_k of \mathcal{I} .*

An integral element E is said to be ordinary if its base point $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is an ordinary zero of $I_0 = I \cap \Gamma(\wedge^0 T^*\mathcal{M})$ and if there exists an integral flag $(0)_M \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_n = E \subset T_z\mathcal{M}$ where the E_k , $k = 1, \dots, (n-1)$ are regular. Moreover, if E_n is itself regular, then E is said to be regular. We can now state the following important results of the Cartan–Kähler theory.

Theorem 5. *(Cartan's test) Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \Gamma(\wedge^* T^*\mathcal{M})$ be an exterior ideal which does not contain 0-forms (functions on \mathcal{M}). Let $(0)_M \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_n \subset T_M\mathcal{M}$ be an integral flag of \mathcal{I} . For any $k < n$, we denote by C_k the codimension of the polar space $H(E_k)$ in $T_M\mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{I}) \subset G_n(T\mathcal{M})$ is at least of codimension $C_0 + C_1 + \dots + C_{n-1}$ at E_n . Moreover, E_n is an ordinary integral flag if and only if E_n has a neighborhood \mathcal{O} in $G_n(T\mathcal{M})$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{O}$ is a manifold of codimension $C_0 + C_1 + \dots + C_{n-1}$ in \mathcal{O} .*

The numbers C_k are called Cartan characters of the k -integral element. The following proposition is useful in the applications. It allows us to compute the Cartan characters of the constructed flag in the proof of the Theorem 8.

Proposition 4. *At a point $M \in \mathcal{M}$, let E be an n -dimensional integral element of an exterior ideal $\mathcal{I} \cap \Gamma(\wedge^* \mathbb{T}^* \mathcal{M})$ which does not contain differential 0-forms. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n, \pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_s$ (where $s = \dim \mathcal{M} - n$) be a coframe in an open neighborhood of $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $E = \{v \in \mathbb{T}_M \mathcal{M} \mid \pi_a(v) = 0 \text{ for all } a = 1, \dots, s\}$. For all $p \leq n$, we define $E_p = \{v \in E \mid \omega_k(v) = 0 \text{ for all } k > p\}$. Let $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_r\}$ be the set of differential forms which generate the exterior ideal \mathcal{I} , where φ_ρ is of degree $(d_\rho + 1)$. For all ρ , there exists an expansion*

$$\varphi_\rho = \sum_{|J|=d_\rho} \pi_\rho^J \wedge \omega_J + \tilde{\varphi}_\rho \quad (21)$$

where the 1-forms π_ρ^J are linear combinations of the forms π and the terms $\tilde{\varphi}_\rho$ are, either of degree 2 or more on π , or vanish at z . Moreover, we have

$$H(E_p) = \{v \in \mathbb{T}_M \mathcal{M} \mid \pi_\rho^J(v) = 0 \text{ for all } \rho \text{ and } \sup J \leq p\} \quad (22)$$

In particular, for the integral flag $(0)_z \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_n \cap \mathbb{T}_z \mathcal{M}$ of \mathcal{I} , the Cartan characters C_p correspond to the number of linear independent forms $\{\pi_\rho^J|_z$ such that $\sup J \leq p\}$.

The following theorem is a generalization in higher dimension of the well-known Cauchy problem.

Theorem 6 (Cauchy-Kowalevskaya). *Let y be a coordinate on \mathbb{R} , let $x = (x^i)$ be a coordinate on \mathbb{R}^n , let $z = (z^a)$ be coordinate on \mathbb{R}^s , and let p_i^a be coordinate on \mathbb{R}^{ns} . Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^{ns}$ be an open domain, and let $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ be a real analytic mapping. Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open domain and let $f : \mathcal{D}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ be a real analytic mapping so that the "1-graph"*

$$\Gamma_f = \{(x, y, f(x), Df(x)) \mid x \in \mathcal{D}_0\} \quad (23)$$

lies in \mathcal{D} for some constant y_0 , where $Df(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{ns}$ is the Jacobian of f described by the condition that $p_i^a(Df(x)) = \partial f^a / \partial x^i$. Then, there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_0 \times \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathcal{D}_0 \times \{y_0\}$ and a real analytic mapping $F : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ which satisfies the PDE with initial condition

$$\begin{aligned} \partial F / \partial y &= G(x, y, F, \partial F / \partial x) \\ F(x, y_0) &= f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{D}_0 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Moreover, F is unique in the sense that any other real-analytic solution of (24) agrees with F in some neighborhood of $\mathcal{D}_0 \times \{y_0\}$.

The following theorem is of great importance not only because it is a generalization of the well-known Frobenius theorem but also represents a generalization of the Cauchy–Kovalevskaya theorem.

Theorem 7. (*Cartan–Kähler*)

Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \Gamma(\wedge^* T^* \mathcal{M})$ be a real analytic exterior differential ideal which does not contain functions. Let $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a p -dimensional connected real analytic Kähler-regular integral manifold of \mathcal{I} . Suppose that $r = r(\mathcal{X}) \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a real analytic submanifold of \mathcal{M} of codimension r which contains \mathcal{X} and such that $T_M \mathcal{Z}$ and $H(T_M \mathcal{X})$ are transversal in $T_M \mathcal{M}$ for all $M \in \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{M}$. There exists then a $(p+1)$ -dimensional connected real analytic integral manifold \mathcal{Y} of \mathcal{I} , such that $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{Z}$. Moreover, \mathcal{Y} is unique in the sense that another integral manifold of \mathcal{I} having the stated properties coincides with \mathcal{Y} on an open neighborhood of \mathcal{X} .

The analyticity condition of the exterior differential ideal is crucial because of the requirements in the Cauchy–Kovalevskaya theorem used in the Cartan–Kähler theorem’s proof. It has an important corollary. Actually, in the application, this corollary is often more used than the theorem and is sometimes called *the Cartan–Kähler theorem* in literature.

Corollary 1. (*Cartan–Kähler*)

Let \mathcal{I} be an analytic exterior differential ideal on a manifold \mathcal{M} . If E is an ordinary integral element of \mathcal{I} , there exists an integral manifold of \mathcal{I} passing through z and having E as a tangent space at point M .

The following example, even “trivial”, illustrate how one can apply the Cartan–Kähler theory to non-Pfaffian systems.

Example 5. Let $(\mathbb{R}^4, dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + dx^2 \wedge dx^4)$ be a symplectic space. Let us look for Lagrangian surfaces, i.e., integral surfaces for Ω . Since $\Omega = dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + dx^2 \wedge dx^4$ is closed, the exterior ideal \mathcal{I} generated by Ω is closed.

\mathcal{I} contains neither function nor differential 1-forms. Any point M of \mathbb{R}^4 is an integral point and any tangent vector on $T_M \mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$ is an integral 1-element. Let us notice that $r_0 = 3$. Consequently, there exist integral 2-elements of \mathcal{I} . Let us consider $E_1 = \text{span}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}\right\}$ as an integral 1-element of \mathcal{I} .

Note that $H(E_1) = \{X \in T_M \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \Omega(X, E_1) = 0\}$. Hence $H(E_1)$ is defined by the equation $X^1 = 0$, where X^i are the components of the

tangent vector X . Therefore, $C_1 = 1$ and the extension rank $r_1 = 2$, and there exist 2-integral elements of \mathcal{I} . Consider $E_2 = \text{span}\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}\}$ is an integral 2-element of \mathcal{I} . Since the extension ranks r_0, r_1 and r_2 are constant on a neighborhood of M , the flag $M \subset E_1 \subset E_2$ is regular. The Cartan-Kähler theorem assures then the existence of integral surfaces of \mathcal{I} .

5 The main result: construction of conservation laws

In this section, we state Theorem 8 concerning the existence of some generalized isometric embedding problem. We explain the main steps of the proof by setting a strategy for solving the problem in the general case (i.e., m, n and $1 \leq p \leq m - 1$ are arbitrary).

Theorem 8 (See [8]). *Let \mathbb{V} be a real analytic n -dimensional vector bundle over a real analytic m -dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} endowed with a metric g and a connection ∇ compatible with g . Given a non-vanishing covariantly closed \mathbb{V} -valued differential $(m - 1)$ -form ϕ , there exists a local isometric embedding of \mathbb{V} in $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+\kappa_{m,m-1}^n}$ over \mathcal{M} where $\kappa_{m,m-1}^n \geq (m - 1)(n - 1)$ such that the image of ϕ is a conservation law.*

The existence Theorem 8 can be applied for instance to harmonic maps. The last section of this paper is dedicated to presenting an application to energy-momentum tensors which occur e.g. in general relativity.

Our approach and strategy for solving Question 1 in the general case and for proving Theorem 8 is the following: first, we reformulate the problem by means of an exterior differential system on a manifold that have to be defined, and since all the data involved are real analytic, we use the Cartan-Kähler theory to prove the existence of integral manifolds, and hence to conclude the desired result. The problem can be represented by the following diagram which summarizes the notations

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{V}^n & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathcal{M}^m \times \mathbb{R}^N \\
 \downarrow d_{\nabla}\phi=0 & & \downarrow d\Psi(\phi)=0 \\
 \mathcal{M}^m & & \mathcal{M}^m
 \end{array}$$

where N is an integer that have to be defined in terms of the problem's data: n , m and p . Let us then set up a general strategy as an attempt to solve the general problem. We denote by $\kappa_{m,p}^n$ the *embedding codimension*, i.e., the dimension of the fiber extension in order to achieve the desired embedding.

Let us first recast our problem by using moving frames and coframes. For convenience, we adopt the following conventions for the indices: $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$ are the fiber indices, $\lambda, \mu, \nu = 1, \dots, m$ are the manifold indices and $a, b, c = n + 1, \dots, n + \kappa_{m,p}^n$ are the extension indices. We also adopt the Einstein summation convention, i.e., there is a summation when the same index is repeated in high and low positions. However, we will write the sign \sum and make explicit the values of the summation indices when it is necessary. Let $\eta = (\eta^1, \dots, \eta^m)$ be a moving coframe on \mathcal{M} . Let $E = (E_1, \dots, E_n)$ be an g -orthonormal moving frame of \mathbb{V} . The covariantly closed \mathbb{V} -valued differential p -form $\phi \in \Gamma(\wedge^p T^* \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{V}_n)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$\phi = E_i \phi^i = E_i \psi_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p}^i \eta^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p} \quad (25)$$

where $\psi_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p}^i$ are functions on \mathcal{M} , we assume that $1 \leq \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_p \leq m$ in the summation and $\eta^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p}$ means $\eta^{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{\lambda_p}$.

Definition 6. *Let $\phi \in \Gamma(\wedge^p T^* \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{V})$ be a \mathbb{V} -valued differential p -form on \mathcal{M} . The generalized torsion of a connection relative to ϕ (or for short, a ϕ -torsion) on a vector bundle over \mathcal{M} is a \mathbb{V} -valued differential $(p+1)$ -form $\Theta = (\Theta^i) := d_{\nabla} \phi$, i.e., in a local frame*

$$\Theta = E_i \Theta^i := E_i (d\phi^i + \eta_j^i \wedge \phi^j) \quad (26)$$

where (η_j^i) is the connection 1-form of ∇ which is an $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ -valued differential 1-form (since ∇ is compatible with the metric bundle).

Hence, the condition of being covariantly closed $d_{\nabla} \phi = 0$ is equivalent to the fact that, $d\phi^i + \eta_j^i \wedge \phi^j = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. From the above definition, the connection ∇ is then said to be ϕ -torsion free. We also notice that the generalized torsion defined above reduces to the standard torsion in the tangent bundle case when $\phi = E_i \psi_{\lambda}^i \eta^{\lambda} = E_i \eta^i$ (since $\phi = \text{Id}_{T\mathcal{M}}$, the functions $\psi_{\lambda}^i = \delta_{\lambda}^i$ are the Kronecker tensors), and the connection is Levi-Civita.

Let us assume that the problem has a solution. We consider the flat connection 1-form ω on the Stiefel space $SO(n + \kappa_{m,p}^n)/SO(\kappa_{m,p}^n)$, the n -adapted frames of $\mathbb{R}^{(n+\kappa_{m,p}^n)}$, i.e., the set of orthonormal families of n vectors (e_1, \dots, e_n) of $\mathbb{R}^{(n+\kappa_{m,p}^n)}$ which can be completed by orthonormal $\kappa_{m,p}^n$ vectors $(e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+\kappa_{m,p}^n})$ to obtain an orthonormal set of $(n + \kappa_{m,p}^n)$ vectors. Since we work locally, we will assume without loss of generality that we are given a cross-section $(e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+\kappa_{m,p}^n})$ of the bundle fibration $SO(n + \kappa_{m,p}^n) \rightarrow SO(n + \kappa_{m,p}^n)/SO(\kappa_{m,p}^n)$. The flat standard 1-form of the connection ω is defined as follows: $\omega_j^i = \langle e_i, de_j \rangle$ and $\omega_i^a = \langle e_a, de_i \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{n+\kappa_{m,p}^n}$. Notice that ω satisfies the Cartan structure equations. Suppose now that such an isometric embedding exists, then, if $e_i = \Psi(E_i)$, the condition $d\Psi(\phi) = 0$ yields to

$$e_i(d\phi^i + \omega_j^i \wedge \phi^j) + e_a(\omega_i^a \wedge \phi^i) = 0, \quad (27)$$

a condition which is satisfied iff

$$\eta_j^i = \Psi^*(\omega_j^i) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^*(\omega_i^a) \wedge \phi^i = 0. \quad (28)$$

The problem then turns to finding moving frames $(e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+\kappa_{m,p}^n})$ such that there exist m -dimensional integral manifolds of the exterior ideal generated by the naive exterior differential system $\{\omega_j^i - \eta_j^i, \omega_i^a \wedge \phi^i\}$ on the product manifold

$$\Sigma_{m,p}^n = \mathcal{M} \times \frac{SO(n + \kappa_{m,p}^n)}{SO(\kappa_{m,p}^n)}. \quad (29)$$

Strictly speaking, the differential forms live in different spaces. Indeed, one should consider the projections $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$ and π_{St} of $\Sigma_{m,p}^n$ on \mathcal{M} and the Stiefel space and consider the ideal on $\Sigma_{m,p}^n$ generated by $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}^*(\eta_j^i) - \pi_{St}^*(\omega_j^i)$ and $\pi_{St}^*(\omega_i^a) \wedge \pi_{\mathcal{M}}^*(\phi^i)$. It seems reasonable however to simply write $\{\omega_j^i - \eta_j^i, \omega_i^a \wedge \phi^i\}$.

To find integral manifolds of the naive EDS, we would need to check that the exterior ideal is closed under the differentiation. However, this turns out not to be the case. The idea is then to add to the naive EDS the exterior differential of the forms that generate it and therefore, we obtain a closed one.

Let us notice that some objects which we are dealing with in the following, have a geometric meaning in the tangent bundle case with a standard 1-form ($\phi = \text{Id}_{T\mathcal{M}}$) but not in an arbitrary vector bundle case as we noticed earlier with the notion of torsion of a connection. That leads us to notions in a generalized sense in such a way that we recover the standard notions in the tangent bundle case. First of all, the Cartan lemma, which in the isometric embedding problem implies the symmetry of the second fundamental form, does not hold. Consequently, we can not assure nor assume that the coefficients of the second fundamental form are symmetric as in the isometric embedding problem. In fact, we will show that these conditions should be replaced by *generalized Cartan identities* that express how coefficients of the second fundamental form are related to each other, and of course, we recover the usual symmetry in the tangent bundle case. Another difficulty is the analogue of the Bianchi identity of the curvature tensor. We will define *generalized Bianchi identities* relative to the covariantly closed vector valued differential p -form and a *generalized curvature tensor space* which correspond, in the tangent bundle case, to the usual Bianchi identities and the Riemann curvature tensor space respectively. Finally, besides the *generalized Cartan identities* and *generalized curvature tensor space*, we will make use of a *generalized Gauss map*.

The key to the proof of Theorem 8 is Lemma 2 for two main reasons: on one hand, it assures the existence of suitable coefficients of the second fundamental form that satisfy the *generalized Cartan identities* and the *generalized Gauss equation*, properties that simplify the computation of the Cartan characters. On the other hand, the lemma gives the minimal required *embedding codimension* $\kappa_{m,m-1}^n$ that ensures the desired embedding. Using Lemma 2, we give another proof of Theorem 8 by an explicit construction of an ordinary integral flag. When the existence of integral manifold is established, we just need to project it on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+\kappa_{m,p}^n}$.

This naive EDS is not closed. Indeed, the generalized torsion-free of the connection implies that $d(\omega_i^a \wedge \phi^i) \equiv 0$ modulo the naive EDS, but the second Cartan structure equation yields to $d(\omega_j^i - \eta_j^i) \equiv \omega_a^i \wedge \omega_j^a + \Omega_j^i$ modulo the naive EDS, where $\Omega = (\Omega_j^i)$ is the curvature 2-form of the connection. Consequently, the exterior ideal that we now consider on $\Sigma_{m,p}^n$ is

$$\mathcal{I}_{m,p}^n = \{\omega_j^i - \eta_j^i, \omega_a^i \wedge \omega_j^a + \Omega_j^i, \omega_i^a \wedge \phi^i\}_{\text{alg}} \quad (30)$$

The curvature 2-form of the connection is an $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ -valued two form and is related to the connection 1-form (η_j^i) by the Cartan structure equation:

$$\Omega_j^i = d\eta_j^i + \eta_k^i \wedge \eta_j^k \quad (31)$$

A first covariant derivative of ϕ has led to the generalized torsion. A second covariant derivative of ϕ gives rise to *generalized Bianchi identities*⁵ as follows:

$$d_{\nabla}^2(\phi) = 0 \iff \Omega_j^i \wedge \phi^j = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (32)$$

The conditions $\Omega_j^i \wedge \phi^j = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ are called *generalized Bianchi identities*. We then define a generalized curvature tensor space $\mathcal{K}_{m,p}^n$ as the space of curvature tensor satisfying the *generalized Bianchi identities*:

$$\mathcal{K}_{m,p}^n = \{(\mathcal{R}_{j;\lambda\mu}^i) \in \wedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \wedge^2(\mathbb{R}^m) \mid \Omega_j^i \wedge \phi^j = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, n\} \quad (33)$$

where $\Omega_j^i = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_{j;\lambda\mu}^i \eta^\lambda \wedge \eta^\mu$.

In the tangent bundle case, $\mathcal{K}_{n,1}^n$ is the Riemann curvature tensor space which is of dimension $m^2(m^2 - 1)/12$.

All the data are analytic, we can then apply the Cartan–Kähler theory if we are able to check the involution of the exterior differential system by constructing an m -integral flag: If the exterior ideal $\mathcal{I}_{m,p}^n$ satisfies the Cartan test, the flag is then ordinary and by the Cartan–Kähler theorem, there exist integral manifolds of $\mathcal{I}_{m,p}^n$. To be able to project the product manifold $\Sigma_{m,p}^n$ on \mathcal{M} , we also need to show the existence of m -dimensional integral manifolds on which the volume form on $\eta^{1,\dots,m}$ on \mathcal{M} does not vanish.

Let us express the 1-forms ω_i^a in the coframe (η^1, \dots, η^m) in order to later make the computation of Cartan characters easier. Let $\mathcal{W}_{m,p}^n$ be an $\kappa_{m,p}^n$ -dimensional Euclidean space. One can think of it as a normal space. We then write $\omega_i^a = H_{i\lambda}^a \eta^\lambda$ where $H_{i\lambda}^a \in \mathcal{W}_{m,m-1}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m$ and define the forms $\pi_i^a = \omega_i^a - H_{i\lambda}^a \eta^\lambda$. We can also consider $H_{i\lambda} = (H_{i\lambda}^a)$ as

⁵In the tangent bundle case and $\phi = E_i \eta^i$, we recover the standard Bianchi identities of the Riemann curvature tensor, i.e, $\mathcal{R}_{jkl}^i = \mathcal{R}_{lij}^k$ and $\mathcal{R}_{jkl}^i + \mathcal{R}_{ljk}^i + \mathcal{R}_{klij}^i = 0$.

a vector of $\mathcal{W}_{m,p}^n$. The forms that generate algebraically $\mathcal{I}_{m,p}^n$ are then expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_a \omega_i^a \wedge \omega_j^a - \Omega_j^i &= \sum_a \pi_i^a \wedge \pi_j^a + \sum_a (H_{j\lambda}^a \pi_i^a - H_{i\lambda}^a \pi_j^a) \wedge \eta^\lambda \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (H_{i\lambda} \cdot H_{j\mu} - H_{i\mu} \cdot H_{j\lambda} - \mathcal{R}_{j;\lambda\mu}^i)}_* \eta^\lambda \wedge \eta^\mu \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and

$$\omega_i^a \wedge \phi^i = \psi_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p}^i \pi_i^a \wedge \eta^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p} + \sum_{\substack{\lambda = 1, \dots, m \\ 1 \leq \mu_1 < \dots < \mu_p \leq m}} \overbrace{H_{i\lambda}^a \psi_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}^i}^{**} \eta^{\lambda \mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \quad (35)$$

These new expressions of the forms in terms of vectors H and the differential 1-form π will help us to compute the Cartan characters of an m -integral flag. To simplify these calculations, we will choose $H_{i\lambda}^a$, which are the coefficients of the second fundamental form, so that the quantities marked with (*) and (**) in the equation (34) and (35) vanish and hence:

$$H_{i\lambda} \cdot H_{j\mu} - H_{i\mu} \cdot H_{j\lambda} = \mathcal{R}_{j;\lambda\mu}^i \quad \text{generalized Gauss equation} \quad (36)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda = 1, \dots, m \\ 1 \leq \mu_1 < \dots < \mu_p \leq m}} H_{i\lambda}^a \psi_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p}^i \eta^{\lambda \mu_1 \dots \mu_p} = 0 \quad \text{generalized Cartan identities} \quad (37)$$

As mentioned previously in the introduction, the system of equations (37) is said to be *generalized Cartan identities* because it gives us relations between the coefficients of the second fundamental form which are not necessarily the usual symmetry given by the Cartan lemma. These properties of the coefficients and the fact that the curvature tensor ($\mathcal{R}_{j;\lambda\mu}^i$) satisfies generalized Bianchi identities lead us to name the equation (36) as the *generalized Gauss equation*.

We now define a *generalized Gauss map* $\mathcal{G}_{m,p}^n : \mathcal{W}_{m,p}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{m,p}^n$ defined for $H_{i\lambda}^a \in \mathcal{W}_{m,p}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m$ by

$$\left(\mathcal{G}_{m,p}^n(H) \right)_{j;\lambda\mu}^i = \sum_a (H_{i\lambda}^a H_{j\mu}^a - H_{i\mu}^a H_{j\lambda}^a) \quad (38)$$

Let us specialize in the conservation laws case, i.e., when $p = m - 1$. We adopt the following notations: $\Lambda = (1, 2, \dots, m)$ and $\Lambda \setminus k =$

$(1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, m)$. We have thus $\eta^\Lambda = \eta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^m$ and $\eta^{\Lambda \setminus k} = \eta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{k-1} \wedge \eta^{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^m$. Let us construct an ordinary m -dimensional integral element of the exterior ideal $\mathcal{I}_{m,m-1}^n$ on $\Sigma_{m,m-1}^n$. Generalized Bianchi identities are trivial in this case and so $\dim \mathcal{K}_{m,m-1}^n = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$.

The generalized Gauss equation is $H_{i\lambda} \cdot H_{j\mu} - H_{i\mu} \cdot H_{j\lambda} = \mathcal{R}_{j;\lambda\mu}^i$, where $H_{i\lambda}$ is viewed as a vector of the $\kappa_{m,m-1}^n$ -Euclidean space $\mathcal{W}_{m,m-1}^n$. Generalized Cartan identities are

$$\sum_{\lambda=1, \dots, m} (-1)^{\lambda+1} H_{i\lambda}^a \psi_{\Lambda \setminus \lambda}^i = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \quad (39)$$

The following lemma was proved in [8] and is the key to the proof of Theorem 8 .

Lemma 2. *Let $\kappa_{m,m-1}^n \geq (m-1)(n-1)$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{m,m-1}^n \subset \mathcal{W}_{m,m-1}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m$ be the open set consisting of those elements $H = (H_{i\lambda}^a)$ so that the vectors $\{H_{i\lambda} | i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } \lambda = 1, \dots, m-1\}$ are linearly independent as elements of $\mathcal{W}_{m,m-1}^n$ and satisfy generalized Cartan identities. Then $\mathcal{G}_{m,m-1}^n : \mathcal{H}_{m,m-1}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{m,m-1}^n$ is a surjective submersion.*

Let $\mathcal{Z}_{m,m-1}^n = \{(x, \Upsilon, H) \in \Sigma_{m,m-1}^n \times \mathcal{H}_{m,m-1}^n \mid \mathcal{G}_{m,m-1}^n(H) = \mathcal{R}(x)\}$. We conclude from Lemma 2 that $\mathcal{Z}_{m,m-1}^n$ is a submanifold⁶ and hence,

$$\dim \mathcal{Z}_{m,m-1}^n = \dim \Sigma_{m,m-1}^n + \dim \mathcal{H}_{m,m-1}^n \quad (40)$$

where

$$\dim \Sigma_{m,m-1}^n = m + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + n\kappa_{m,m-1}^n \quad (41)$$

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_{m,m-1}^n = (nm-1)\kappa_{m,m-1}^n - \frac{n(n-1)m(m-1)}{4} \quad (42)$$

We define the map $\Phi_{m,m-1}^n : \mathcal{Z}_{m,m-1}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_m(\mathcal{I}_{m,m-1}^n, \eta^\Lambda)$ which associates $(x, \Upsilon, H) \in \mathcal{Z}_{m,m-1}^n$ with the m -plan on which the differential forms that generate algebraically $\mathcal{I}_{m,m-1}^n$ vanish and the volume form η^Λ on \mathcal{M} does not vanish. $\Phi_{m,m-1}^n$ is then an embedding and hence $\dim \Phi(\mathcal{Z}_{m,m-1}^n) = \dim \mathcal{Z}_{m,m-1}^n$. In what follows, we prove that in fact $\Phi(\mathcal{Z}_{m,m-1}^n)$ contains only ordinary m -integral elements of $\mathcal{I}_{m,m-1}^n$. Since

⁶ $\mathcal{Z}_{m,m-1}^n$ is the fiber of \mathcal{R} by a submersion. The surjectivity of $\mathcal{G}_{m,m-1}^n$ assures the non-emptiness.

the coefficients $H_{i\lambda}^a$ satisfy the generalized Gauss equation and generalized Cartan identities, the differential forms that generate the exterior ideal $\mathcal{I}_{m,m-1}^n$ are as follows:

$$\omega_a^i \wedge \omega_j^a + \Omega_j^i = \sum_a \pi_i^a \wedge \pi_j^a + \sum_a (H_{j\lambda}^a \pi_i^a - H_{i\lambda}^a \pi_j^a) \eta^\lambda \quad (43)$$

$$\omega_i^a \wedge \phi^i = \psi_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p}^i \pi_i^a \wedge \eta^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p} \quad (44)$$

The final step is then computing the Cartan characters and checking by the Cartan's test that $\Phi(\mathcal{Z}_{m,m-1}^n)$ contains only ordinary m -integral flags. The Cartan–Kähler theorem then assures the existence of an m -integral manifold on which η^Λ does not vanish since the exterior ideal is in involution. We finally project the integral manifold on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+\kappa}$. Let us notice that the requirement of the non-vanishing of the volume form η^Λ on the integral manifold yields to project the integral manifold on \mathcal{M} and also to view it as a graph of a function f defined on \mathcal{M} with values in the space of n -adapted orthonormal frames of $\mathbb{R}^{n+\kappa}$. In the isometric embedding problem, the composition of f with the projection of the frames on the Euclidean space is by construction the isometric embedding map.

6 Conservation laws for covariant divergence-free energy-momentum tensors

We present here an application for Theorem 8 to covariant divergence free energy-momentum tensors.

Proposition 5. *Let (\mathcal{M}^m, g) be a real analytic m -dimensional Riemannian manifold, ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection and T be a contravariant 2-tensor with a vanishing covariant divergence. Then there exists a conservation law of T on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+(m-1)^2}$.*

Proof. Let us consider a contravariant 2-tensor $T \in \Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathrm{T}\mathcal{M})$ which is expressed in a chart by $T = T^{ij} \xi_i \otimes \xi_j$, where (ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m) is the dual basis of an orthonormal moving coframe (η^1, \dots, η^m) . The volume form is denoted by $\eta^I = \eta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^m$. Using the interior product, we can associate any contravariant 2-tensor T with a $\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M}$ -valued m -differential form τ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathrm{T}\mathcal{M}) &\longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathrm{T}\mathcal{M} \otimes \wedge^{(m-1)} \mathrm{T}^* \mathcal{M}). \\ T = T^{ij} \xi_i \otimes \xi_j &\longmapsto \tau = \xi_i \otimes \tau^i \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau^i = T^{ij}(\xi_j \lrcorner \eta^I)$

The tangent space $\mathbb{T}\mathcal{M}$ is endowed with the Levi-Civita connection ∇ . Let us compute the covariant derivative of τ .

$$d_{\nabla}\tau = \xi_i \otimes (d\tau^i + \eta_j^i \wedge \tau^j) \quad (45)$$

On one hand, using the first Cartan equation that expresses the vanishing of the torsion of the Levi-Civita connection and the expression of the Christoffel symbols⁷ in term of the connection 1-form, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\tau^i &= d\left(T^{ij}(\xi_j \lrcorner \eta^I)\right) = d(T^{ij}) \wedge (\xi_j \lrcorner \eta^I) + T^{ij}d(\xi_j \lrcorner \eta^I) \\ &= \left(\xi_j(T^{ij}) + T^{ij}\Gamma_{kj}^k\right)\eta^I \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

and

$$\eta_j^i \wedge \tau^j = \eta_j^i \wedge T_{jk}(\xi_k \lrcorner \eta^I) = \left(T^{jk}\Gamma_{kj}^i\right)\eta^I \quad (47)$$

consequently

$$d_{\nabla}\tau = \xi_i \otimes \left[\left(\xi_j(T^{ij}) + T^{ij}\Gamma_{kj}^k + T^{jk}\Gamma_{kj}^i\right)\eta^I\right] \quad (48)$$

On the other hand, a straightforward computation of the divergence of the contravariant 2-tensor leads us to

$$\nabla_j T^{ij} = \xi_j(T^{ij}) + T^{ij}\Gamma_{kj}^k + T^{jk}\Gamma_{kj}^i \quad (49)$$

We conclude then that

$$d_{\nabla}\tau = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla_j T^{ij} = 0 \quad (50)$$

Hence, for an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold \mathcal{M} , the main result of this article assures the existence of an isometric embedding $\Psi : \mathbb{T}\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+(m-1)^2}$ such that $d(\Psi(\tau)) = 0$ is a conservation law for a covariant divergence-free energy-momentum tensor. \square

For instance, if $\dim\mathcal{M} = 4$, then $\Psi(\tau)$ is a closed differential 3-form on \mathcal{M} with values in \mathbb{R}^{13} .

⁷The Christoffel symbols are the functions Γ defined by: $\eta_j^i = \Gamma_{kj}^i \eta^k$.

References

- [1] R. L. Bryant, S. S. Chern, R. B. Gardner, H. L. Goldschmidt, and P. A. Griffiths, *Exterior differential systems*, vol. **18**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [2] É. Cartan, *Sur la possibilité de plonger un espace Riemannien donné dans un espace Euclidien*, Annales de la Société Polonaise de Mathématique (1927), 1–7.
- [3] É. Cartan, *Les systèmes différentiels extérieurs et leurs applications géométriques*, Hermann, Paris, 1971.
- [4] C. F. Gauss, *Disquisitiones generales circa superficies curvas*, Werke IV, 1827.
- [5] F. Hélein, *Applications harmoniques, lois de conservation et repères mobiles*, Diderot Editeur, Arts et Sciences, 1996.
- [6] M. Janet, *Sur la possibilité de plonger un espace Riemannien donné dans un espace Euclidien*, Annales de la Société Polonaise de Mathématique (1926), 38–43.
- [7] N. Kahouadji, *Les systèmes différentiels extérieurs et plongements isométriques*, Master's thesis, Université Pierre et Marie CURIE, 2006.
- [8] N. Kahouadji, *Construction of local conservation laws by generalized isometric embedding of vector bundles*, ArXiv:0804.2608 or Hal-00273687, April 2008.
- [9] N. H. Kuiper, *On C^1 -isometric imbeddings*, Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie Van Wetenschappen, vol. **A 58**, 1955.
- [10] J. Nash, *C^1 -isometric imbeddings*, Annals of mathematics no. **60** (1954), 383–396.
- [11] J. Nash, *The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds*, Annals of mathematics no. **63** (1956), 20–63.
- [12] B. Riemann, *Über die hypothesen welche der geometrie zugrunde liegen*, Gött. Abh no. **13** (1968), 1–20.

- [13] L. Schläfli, *Nota alla memoria del signor Beltrami “Sugli spazii di curvatura costante”*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata 2 (1871), 176–193.