

KÄHLER CURRENTS AND NULL LOCI

TRISTAN C. COLLINS AND VALENTINO TOSATTI*

Dedicated to D.H. Phong with admiration on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

ABSTRACT. We prove that the non-Kähler locus of a nef and big class on a compact Kähler manifold equals its null locus. In particular this gives an analytic proof of a theorem of Nakamaye and Ein-Lazarsfeld-Mustață-Nakamaye-Popa. As an application, we show that finite time non-collapsing singularities of the Kähler-Ricci flow on compact Kähler manifolds always form along analytic subvarieties, thus answering a question of Feldman-Ilmanen-Knopf and Campana. We also extend the second author's results about noncollapsing degenerations of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on Calabi-Yau manifolds to the nonalgebraic case. Lastly, we prove an extension theorem for Kähler currents with analytic singularities from a complex submanifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

The general topic of this paper is the study of the boundary of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold, and our goal is to understand how much cohomology classes on the boundary of the Kähler cone deviate from being Kähler. Let (X, ω) be an n -dimensional compact Kähler manifold. If α is a closed real $(1, 1)$ form on X , then we denote by $[\alpha]$ its cohomology class in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. The set of cohomology classes of Kähler metrics is the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K} \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, which is an open convex cone. Classes in its closure $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ are called nef (or numerically effective). A class $[\alpha]$ is said to have positive self-intersection if $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$. Cohomology classes which are nef and with positive self-intersection are quite close to being Kähler. In fact, thanks to a fundamental result of Demailly-Păun [16], such classes contain a Kähler current, which roughly speaking is a singular Kähler metric (see Section 2 for definitions). As a result, we will also refer to them as nef and big classes. Furthermore, thanks to Demailly's regularization theorem [13], the Kähler current can be chosen to have analytic singularities, which in particular implies that it is a smooth Kähler metric on a Zariski open subset of X . The largest possible subset of X that we can obtain in this way is called the ample locus of $[\alpha]$, denoted by $\text{Amp}(\alpha)$. Its complement $E_{nK}(\alpha) = X \setminus \text{Amp}(\alpha)$ is called the non-Kähler locus of $[\alpha]$, and it is a proper analytic subvariety of X . In a sense $E_{nK}(\alpha)$ measures the deviation from $[\alpha]$ to being Kähler, since $E_{nK}(\alpha) = \emptyset$ if and only if $[\alpha]$ is Kähler. Ample

*Supported in part by a Sloan Research Fellowship and NSF grant DMS-1236969.

and non-Kähler loci were introduced by Boucksom [4], and they have been much studied recently in connection with the regularity for complex Monge-Ampère equations in big cohomology classes [1, 5, 15].

Given a nef and big class $[\alpha]$, there is another subset of X which measures its non-Kählerity, namely the null locus

$$\text{Null}(\alpha) = \bigcup_{\int_V \alpha^{\dim V} = 0} V,$$

where the union is over all positive dimensional irreducible analytic subvarieties $V \subset X$ where $[\alpha]$ integrates to zero. Note that since $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$, all such subvarieties are proper subsets of X . The null locus was explicitly first considered by Nakamaye [25], although it was probably studied earlier. Our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, let α be a closed smooth real $(1,1)$ -form on X whose class $[\alpha] \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is nef with $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$. Then*

$$(1.1) \quad E_{nK}(\alpha) = \text{Null}(\alpha).$$

In particular, this proves that $\text{Null}(\alpha)$ is a proper analytic subvariety of X , a fact which is far from clear from its definition (see [25] for a proof of this in the algebraic setting).

This theorem has several diverse applications, which we now describe. The most direct corollary is an analytic proof of the following theorem in algebraic geometry, due to Nakamaye [25] in the case of \mathbb{Q} -divisors and to Ein-Lazarsfeld-Mustață-Nakamaye-Popa [18, Corollary 5.6] in general:

Corollary 1.2 ([18, 25]). *Let X be an n -dimensional smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} and D a nef \mathbb{R} -divisor on X with $D^n > 0$. Then the augmented base locus $\mathbb{B}_+(D)$ of D satisfies $\mathbb{B}_+(D) = \text{Null}(D)$.*

Indeed the initial motivation for our work was to extend this result to all compact Kähler manifolds. For different extensions of this result to possibly singular projective varieties, see [8] in positive characteristic, and [6] in characteristic zero.

From Theorem 1.1, one can also deduce a celebrated result of Demailly-Păun [16]. Our proof is not, by any means, independent of the work of [16], and it relies crucially on the mass concentration technique developed by Demailly-Păun. We are, however, able to avoid the complicated induction on dimension used in [16], which requires working on singular complex analytic spaces and using the results of Păun in [26].

Corollary 1.3 ([16], Theorem 0.1). *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, and denote by \mathcal{K} the Kähler cone of X . Set*

$$\mathcal{P} := \left\{ [\alpha] \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \mid \int_Y \alpha^{\dim Y} > 0, \forall Y \subset X \text{ irreducible analytic subvariety, } \dim Y > 0 \right\}.$$

Then \mathcal{K} is one of the connected components of \mathcal{P} .

Next, as an application of our main Theorem 1.1, we answer affirmatively a question of Feldman-Ilmanen-Knopf [20, Question 2, Section 10] and Campana (see [52, Section 4]) about finite time singularities of the Kähler-Ricci flow on compact Kähler manifolds. Let (X, ω_0) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n , and consider the Kähler-Ricci flow with initial metric ω_0

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \omega = -\text{Ric}(\omega), \quad \omega(0) = \omega_0.$$

The flow always has a solution for short positive time [7], and a result of Tian-Zhang [44] characterizes the maximal existence time T of the flow as

$$T = \sup\{t > 0 \mid [\omega_0] - tc_1(X) \text{ is a Kähler class}\}.$$

Suppose that $T < \infty$, so the flow develops a finite time singularity. The limiting class of the metrics along the flow is $[\alpha] = [\omega_0] - Tc_1(X)$, which is nef but not Kähler. We will say that the Kähler Ricci flow does not develop a singularity at a point $x \in X$ if there is a neighborhood $U \ni x$ and a smooth Kähler metric ω_T on U such that $\omega(t)$ converge to ω_T in the C^∞ topology as $t \rightarrow T^-$.

The following question was asked by Feldman-Ilmanen-Knopf [20, Question 2, Section 10] and Campana (see [52, Section 4]).

Question 1.4. *Do singularities of the Kähler-Ricci flow develop precisely along analytic subvarieties of X ?*

It is not hard to see that if $\int_X \alpha^n = 0$ then the flow develops singularities everywhere on X (see Section 4), so the answer is affirmative in this case. We prove that this is true also when $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let (X^n, ω_0) be any compact Kähler manifold. Assume that the solution $\omega(t)$ of the Kähler-Ricci flow (1.2) starting at ω_0 exists on the maximal time interval $[0, T)$ with $T < \infty$, and that the limiting class $[\alpha] = [\omega_0] - Tc_1(X)$ satisfies $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$. Then as $t \rightarrow T^-$ the metrics $\omega(t)$ develop singularities precisely on the Zariski closed set $E_{nK}(\alpha) = \text{Null}(\alpha)$.*

Note that $\text{Null}(\alpha)$ is precisely the union of all irreducible analytic subvarieties of X whose volume with respect to $\omega(t)$ approaches zero as $t \rightarrow T^-$. So it is intuitively clear (and not hard to prove) that singularities should form at least along $\text{Null}(\alpha)$, and our main theorem implies that no singularities

develop on its complement. Note also that in this setup, Zhang [53] proved that the Ricci curvature of $\omega(t)$ must be unbounded from below on X as $t \rightarrow T^-$. Our result shows that it remains locally uniformly bounded on compact sets away from $\text{Null}(\alpha)$. We also remark here that Tian has also asked a slightly different question in [42, p.81], [43, Conjecture 2], namely that for any finite-time singularities of the Kähler-Ricci flow, the weak limit as $t \rightarrow T^-$ of $\omega(t)$ as currents should be a current which is smooth at least on a Zariski open set. Theorem 1.5 gives a stronger answer in the case when $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$. Let us also note that in the case when X is projective and $[\omega_0]$ is a rational class, the fact that no singularities develop outside $\text{Null}(\alpha)$ follows from the work of Tian-Zhang [44], together with Nakamaye's result [25].

There are many examples of such finite-time singularities. In the case when the class $[\alpha]$ is the pullback of a Kähler class via the blowdown of an exceptional divisor, a delicate analysis of the singularity formation was done by Song-Weinkove [41]. See also [20, 23, 37, 38, 39, 42, 43, 44, 51] for more results about finite time singularities of the Kähler-Ricci flow. We also remark that if X is compact Kähler with nonnegative Kodaira dimension, and T is *any* finite time singularity of the Kähler-Ricci flow, then we must necessarily have that $\int_X (\omega_0 - Tc_1(X))^n > 0$, and our Theorem 1.5 applies (see Section 4).

The next application is to the study of degenerations of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on Calabi-Yau manifolds. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with $c_1(X) = 0$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. We will call such a manifold a Calabi-Yau manifold. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the Kähler cone of X . Then Yau's theorem [49] says that for every Kähler class $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{K}$ there exists a unique Kähler metric $\omega \in [\alpha]$ with $\text{Ric}(\omega) = 0$. Let now $[\alpha] \in \partial\mathcal{K}$ be a $(1, 1)$ class on the boundary of the Kähler cone (so $[\alpha]$ is nef) with $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$. Let $[\alpha_t] : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ be a path of $(1, 1)$ classes with $[\alpha_t] \in \mathcal{K}$ for $t > 0$ and with $[\alpha_0] = [\alpha]$. For $0 < t \leq 1$ write ω_t for the unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric in the class $[\alpha_t]$.

Theorem 1.6. *Let X^n be a Calabi-Yau manifold and $[\alpha] \in \partial\mathcal{K}$ be a nef class with $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$. Then there is a smooth incomplete Ricci-flat Kähler metric ω_0 on $X \setminus \text{Null}(\alpha)$ such that given any path $[\alpha_t]$, $0 < t \leq 1$, of Kähler classes approaching $[\alpha]$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, the Ricci-flat Kähler metrics ω_t in the class $[\alpha_t]$ converge to ω_0 as $t \rightarrow 0$ in the $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(X \setminus \text{Null}(\alpha))$ topology. Moreover, (X, ω_t) converges as $t \rightarrow 0$ to the metric completion of $(X \setminus \text{Null}(\alpha), \omega_0)$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology.*

The same result holds if instead of a path one considers a sequence of Kähler classes $[\alpha_i]$ converging to $[\alpha]$ (the proof is identical). In the special case when X is projective and the class $[\alpha]$ belongs to the real Néron-Severi group (i.e. it is the first Chern class of an \mathbb{R} -divisor), this was proved by the second author in [45], except for the statement about Gromov-Hausdorff convergence which is due to Rong-Zhang [31] (see also [32, 38]). In this case there is a birational contraction map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ to a singular Calabi-Yau variety, which is an isomorphism away from $\text{Null}(\alpha)$, and such that ω_0 is the

pullback of a singular Kähler-Einstein metric on Y [19]. The contraction map is also used crucially to construct a smooth semipositive representative of the limiting class $[\alpha]$. The interested reader can also consult the surveys [46, 47]. In our more general setup there is no contraction map, but our theorem still shows that set where the metrics ω_t fail to converge smoothly to a limiting Kähler metric is precisely equal to $\text{Null}(\alpha)$, exactly as in the projective case (see Section 5).

The main technical ingredient in the proof of our main theorem is an extension result for Kähler currents with analytic singularities in a nef and big class which are defined on submanifold of X . While the actual result (Theorem 3.2) is quite technical, we can derive from it the following extension theorem:

Theorem 1.7. *Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold and let $V \subset X$ be a positive-dimensional compact complex submanifold. Let T be a Kähler current with analytic singularities on V in the Kähler class $[\omega|_V]$. Then there exists a Kähler current \tilde{T} on X in the class $[\omega]$ with $T = \tilde{T}|_V$.*

The problem of extending positive currents from subvarieties has recently generated a great deal of interest in both analytic and geometric applications [11, 21, 33, 48]. At the moment, the best results available are due to Coman-Guedj-Zeriahi [11], who proved that every positive current in a Hodge class on a (possibly singular) subvariety $V \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ is the restriction of a globally defined, positive current. Their proof uses a result of Coltoiu [10] on extending Runge subsets of analytic subsets of \mathbb{C}^N and a delicate, inductive gluing procedure. By contrast, the extension technique developed here is constructive, and relies only on a gluing property of plurisubharmonic functions with analytic singularities. The main technical tool is the use of resolution of singularities in order to obtain estimates which allow us to glue plurisubharmonic functions near their singularities. The ideas used here are similar, and indeed inspired by, techniques developed by Collins-Greenleaf-Pramanik [9] to obtain sharp estimates for singular integral operators. In turn, these were motivated by the seminal work of Phong, Stein and Sturm [27, 28, 29]. The advantage of these local techniques is that we can work on general Kähler manifolds and with arbitrary Kähler classes, although at present we can only extend Kähler currents with analytic singularities defined on smooth subvarieties. It would be very interesting to know how far these techniques can be pushed, but we have not undertaken this here.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some background and definitions, and show how Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 follow from Theorem 1.1. The main Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 3, where we also establish an extension result for Kähler currents (Theorem 3.2) which directly implies Theorem 1.7. Section 4 is devoted to the study of finite time singularities of the Kähler-Ricci flow, and contains the proof of Theorem 1.5. Finally, Theorem 1.6 on degenerations of Calabi-Yau metrics is proved in Section 5.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank D.H. Phong for all his advice and support, and S. Boucksom, S. Dinew, B. Weinkove, S. Zelditch and A. Zeriahi for comments and discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we give the necessary definitions and background, and prove Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 assuming our main Theorem 1.1.

Throughout this paper, (X, ω) is an n -dimensional compact Kähler manifold. Let α be a smooth closed real $(1, 1)$ -form on X . We will say that a function φ on X is α -plurisubharmonic (α -PSH) if φ is in $L^1(X)$ and $\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \geq 0$ as currents. In this case $T = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ is a closed positive $(1, 1)$ current on X , in the cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, and conversely every closed positive $(1, 1)$ current in the class $[\alpha]$ is of this form. For details about this, and other basic facts on closed positive currents, we refer the reader to the book of Demailly [14].

Closed positive currents are in general singular, and a convenient way to measure their singularities is the Lelong number $\nu(T, x)$. We can also define the Lelong sublevel sets

$$E_c(T) = \{x \in X \mid \nu(T, x) \geq c\},$$

where $c > 0$, and

$$E_+(T) := \bigcup_{c>0} E_c(T).$$

A fundamental result of Siu [35] shows that the Lelong sublevel sets $E_c(T)$ are in fact closed, analytic subvarieties of X . It follows that $E_+(T)$ is a countable union of analytic subvarieties. We also define a Kähler current to be a closed positive $(1, 1)$ current T such that $T \geq \varepsilon\omega$ holds as currents on X for some $\varepsilon > 0$. If a $(1, 1)$ class $[\alpha]$ contains a Kähler current, it is called big. This terminology comes from algebraic geometry: if X is projective and L is a holomorphic line bundle on X , then L is big (in the sense that $h^0(X, L^k) \sim k^n$ for k large) if and only if $c_1(L)$ is big in the sense above [12].

The set of all Kähler classes on X is the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K} \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Classes in its closure $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ are called nef (or numerically effective). A class $[\alpha]$ is nef if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a smooth function ρ_ε on X such that $\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho_\varepsilon \geq -\varepsilon\omega$. It is easy to see that the pullback of a nef class by a holomorphic map between compact Kähler manifolds is still nef. Moreover, it is clear that if the class $c_1(L)$ is nef in the above sense then the line bundle L is nef in the usual algebro-geometric sense (i.e. $L \cdot C \geq 0$ for all curves $C \subset X$), and the converse is true when X is projective [12] and also when X is Moishezon thanks to a result of Păun [26], but false for general compact Kähler manifolds (a generic complex torus \mathbb{C}^n/Λ provides many counterexamples).

A useful notion, introduced by Demailly [13], is that of currents with analytic singularities. These are closed positive $(1, 1)$ currents $T = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$

such that given each point $x \in X$ there is an open neighborhood U of x with holomorphic functions f_1, \dots, f_N such that on U we have

$$\varphi = \delta \log \left(\sum_j |f_j|^2 \right) + g,$$

where g is a smooth function on U and δ is a nonnegative real number. In this case the current T is smooth away from an analytic subvariety of X , which in this case is exactly $E_+(T)$ (and also equal to $E_c(T)$ for some $c > 0$). Then Demailly's regularization procedure [13] shows that if a class $[\alpha]$ is big, then it also contains a Kähler current with analytic singularities.

A fundamental theorem of Demailly-Păun [16, Theorem 2.12] states that if X is a compact Kähler manifold, and $[\alpha]$ is nef with $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$, then $[\alpha]$ contains a Kähler current (i.e. $[\alpha]$ is big).

Finally, we introduce a number of different subsets of X , all of which aim to measure the defect from a class $[\alpha]$ being Kähler. In the rational case, the sets measure the defect from the corresponding \mathbb{Q} -divisor being ample.

Definition 2.1. *Let (X, ω) be an n -dimensional compact Kähler manifold, and let $[\alpha] \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a big class.*

- (i) *Following [4], we define the non-Kähler locus, denoted $E_{nK}(\alpha)$, to be the set*

$$E_{nK}(\alpha) := \bigcap_{T \in [\alpha]} E_+(T),$$

where the intersection is taken over all Kähler currents in the class $[\alpha]$.

- (ii) *We define the null locus, denoted by $\text{Null}(\alpha)$, to be the set*

$$\text{Null}(\alpha) := \bigcup_{\int_V \alpha^{\dim V} = 0} V$$

where the union is taken over all positive dimensional irreducible analytic subvarieties of X .

- (iii) *Following [5], we define the ample locus, denoted by $\text{Amp}(\alpha)$ to be the set of points $x \in X$ such that there exists a Kähler current current $T \in [\alpha]$ with analytic singularities, which is smooth in a neighborhood of x .*

Finally, suppose X is a smooth projective variety, and

$$[\alpha] \in NS(X, \mathbb{R}) = (H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{free}} \cap H^{1,1}(X)) \otimes \mathbb{R},$$

belongs to the real Néron-Severi group, so $[\alpha] = c_1(D)$ for D an \mathbb{R} -divisor:

- (iv) *We define the augmented base locus, denoted $\mathbb{B}_+(\alpha)$, or $\mathbb{B}_+(D)$ to be the stable base locus of $D - A$ for some small, ample \mathbb{R} -divisor A with $D - A$ a \mathbb{Q} -divisor. That is, $\mathbb{B}_+(\alpha)$ is the base locus of the linear series $|m(D - A)|$ for m sufficiently large and divisible.*

The definition of $\mathbb{B}_+(D)$ in (iv) is well-posed, since we get the same stable base locus for all sufficiently small ample \mathbb{R} -divisors A with $D - A$ a \mathbb{Q} -divisor [17, Proposition 1.5], and $\mathbb{B}_+(D)$ depends only on the numerical class of D (so the notation $\mathbb{B}_+(\alpha)$ is justified). Furthermore, $\mathbb{B}_+(D)$ equals the intersection of the supports of E for all ‘‘Kodaira’s Lemma’’-type decompositions $D = A + E$, where A is an ample \mathbb{R} -divisor and E is an effective \mathbb{R} -divisor [17, Remark 1.3].

In [4, Theorem 3.17 (ii)], Boucksom observed that in a big class we can always find a Kähler current with analytic singularities precisely along $E_{nK}(\alpha)$. Combining this statement with the theorem of Demailly-Păun [16] and Demailly’s regularization [13], we get the following:

Theorem 2.2. *Let X^n be a compact Kähler manifold and $[\alpha] \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a nef class with $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$. Then there exists a Kähler current T in the class $[\alpha]$, with analytic singularities such that*

$$E_+(T) = E_{nK}(\alpha).$$

In particular $E_{nK}(\alpha)$ is an analytic subvariety of X .

Using this result, we can show how Corollary 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. The inclusion $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{P}$ is clear. Moreover, \mathcal{K} is clearly connected and open. Suppose $[\alpha] \in \overline{\mathcal{K}} \cap \mathcal{P}$. Then $[\alpha]$ is nef and $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$. Moreover, since $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $\text{Null}(\alpha) = \emptyset$. By Theorem 1.1 we have that $E_{nK}(\alpha) = \emptyset$. Thanks to Theorem 2.2 there exists $T \in [\alpha]$ a Kähler current with analytic singularities and with $E_+(T) = \emptyset$, which means that T is a smooth Kähler metric. Thus, $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{K}$, and so \mathcal{K} is closed in \mathcal{P} . Since \mathcal{K} is connected, the corollary follows. \square

There are some relations among the subsets of X introduced in Definition 2.1. The following two propositions are available in the literature, and we include proofs for the convenience of the reader.

Proposition 2.3 (Boucksom [4]). *Let X^n be a compact Kähler manifold and $[\alpha] \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a nef class with $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$. Then $E_{nK}(\alpha) = \text{Amp}(\alpha)^c$.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.2, to get a Kähler current $T \in [\alpha]$ with analytic singularities with $E_+(T) = E_{nK}(\alpha)$. Since T has analytic singularities, it is smooth precisely wherever its Lelong number vanishes, so T is smooth off $E_+(T)$. This shows that $\text{Amp}(\alpha)^c \subset E_{nK}(\alpha)$. The reverse inclusion is trivial. \square

Corollary 1.2 follows immediately from Theorem 1.1 and the following:

Proposition 2.4 (Boucksom [2], Corollary 2.2.8). *If $[\alpha]$ is the class of a big and nef \mathbb{R} -divisor D on a smooth projective variety X over \mathbb{C} , then $E_{nK}(\alpha) = \mathbb{B}_+(\alpha)$.*

Proof. For the inclusion $\mathbb{B}_+(\alpha) \subset E_{nK}(\alpha)$ we essentially follow [4, Proposition 5.2]. If $x \notin E_{nK}(\alpha)$, then there exists a Kähler current T in the class $[\alpha]$ with analytic singularities and smooth in a coordinate neighborhood U of x . Choose A an ample divisor and $\delta > 0$ a small constant such that $D - \delta A$ is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Fixing ω a Kähler metric in $c_1(A)$, and taking δ smaller if necessary, we can assume that $T - \delta\omega$ is still a Kähler current. Let θ be a smooth cutoff function supported in U and identically 1 near x , and let

$$\tilde{T} = T - \delta\omega + \varepsilon i\partial\bar{\partial}(\theta \log |z - x|),$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough so that \tilde{T} is a Kähler current in $c_1(D - \delta A)$. The Lelong number of \tilde{T} at x is ε , and \tilde{T} is smooth on $U \setminus \{x\}$. Let β be a smooth representative of $-c_1(X)$, and let $\tilde{T}_m = m\tilde{T} - \beta$. For m sufficiently large, \tilde{T}_m is a Kähler current in $c_1(m(D - \delta A) - K_X)$, smooth on $U \setminus \{x\}$ and with Lelong number $m\varepsilon$ at x . If m is sufficiently divisible, then $m(D - \delta A)$ corresponds to a holomorphic line bundle L_m . Thanks to Skoda's lemma [4, Lemma 5.1], if m is large, the subvariety cut out by the multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{T}_m)$ of \tilde{T}_m intersects U in the isolated point x . Nadel's vanishing theorem then implies that $H^1(X, L_m \otimes \mathcal{I}(\tilde{T}_m)) = 0$, and therefore the restriction map $H^0(X, L_m) \rightarrow (L_m)_x$ is surjective. Hence there is a global section of L_m that does not vanish at x . This means that $x \in \mathbb{B}_+(\alpha)^c$.

To prove the reverse inclusion, take a point $x \in \mathbb{B}_+(\alpha)^c$, so by definition there is an ample \mathbb{R} -divisor A such that the stable base locus of $D - A$ does not contain x . This means that there is a large integer m such that $mD - mA = c_1(L)$ for some line bundle L and there is an effective \mathbb{Z} -divisor E linearly equivalent to $mD - mA$ which does not pass through x . Take $s \in H^0(X, L)$ a defining section for E , and complete it to a basis $\{s = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N\}$ of $H^0(X, L)$. Fixing a smooth Hermitian metric h on L with curvature form ω_h we can define a closed positive current $T_L = \omega_h + i\partial\bar{\partial} \log \sum_i |s_i|_h^2 \in c_1(L)$ which has analytic singularities and is smooth near x . If ω is a Kähler metric in $c_1(A)$, then $\frac{1}{m}T_L + \omega$ is then a Kähler current on X in the class $[\alpha]$ with analytic singularities and smooth near x , so $x \in \text{Amp}(\alpha)^c$, which equals $E_{nK}(\alpha)^c$ by Proposition 2.3. \square

We conclude this section by proving the easy inclusion in our main Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, let α be a closed smooth real $(1, 1)$ -form on X whose class $[\alpha] \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is nef with $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Null}(\alpha) \subset E_{nK}(\alpha).$$

Proof. Fix a point $x \in X$ and an irreducible analytic subvariety $V \subset X$ of dimension k , such that $x \in V$, and $\int_V \alpha^k = 0$. For the sake of obtaining a contradiction, suppose also that $x \in \text{Amp}(\alpha)$ so there exists a Kähler current $T \in [\alpha]$ with analytic singularities smooth in a neighborhood of x .

By [5, Proposition 1.6] the non-pluripolar product $\langle T \rangle^k$ is a well-defined closed positive (k, k) -current on X . By [5, Proposition 1.20] we have that

$$0 \leq \int_V \langle T \rangle^k \leq \int_V \alpha^k = 0,$$

so $\int_V \langle T \rangle^k = 0$. But T is a smooth Kähler metric on a neighborhood U of x so

$$\int_V \langle T \rangle^k \geq \int_{V \cap U} \langle T \rangle^k = \int_{V \cap U} T^k > 0,$$

which is a contradiction. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. The idea is to observe that if there is a point $x \in \text{Null}(\alpha)^c \cap E_{nK}(\alpha)$, then there is an entire analytic subvariety $V \subset E_{nK}(\alpha)$ of positive dimension, for which the restricted class is again nef and with positive self-intersection. Moreover, there is a Kähler current R in the class $[\alpha]$ with analytic singularities along $E_{nK}(\alpha)$ which by assumption has positive Lelong numbers at every point of V . Assume for the moment that V is smooth. Using the mass concentration technique of Demailly-Păun [16], it follows that V supports a Kähler current T in the class $[\alpha|_V]$ with analytic singularities. If we can use T to produce a Kähler current in the class $[\alpha]$ defined on all of X and smooth near the generic point of V , then the theorem follows, since the generic point of V is then contained in $E_{nK}(\alpha)^c$, contradicting the definition of V . The case of V singular is similar after passing to a resolution of singularities.

We start with the following lemma, which is the analytic counterpart of [18, Proposition 1.1] in the algebraic case.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and $[\alpha]$ a real $(1, 1)$ class which is nef and with positive self-intersection. Then the analytic set $E_{nK}(\alpha)$ does not have any isolated points.*

Proof. If $x \in E_{nK}(\alpha)$ is an isolated point, then we can find a small open set $U \subset X$ which contains the point x , such that $U \cap E_{nK}(\alpha) = \{x\}$. By shrinking U if necessary, we can assume that U has coordinates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. If A is a sufficiently large constant, then on U we have

$$\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}(A|z|^2 - C) \geq \omega,$$

for any constant C . Let $R = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ be a Kähler current in $[\alpha] \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ which has analytic singularities, which exists thanks to a theorem of Demailly-Păun [16, Theorem 2.12] and Demailly's regularization [13]. Furthermore, we can choose R with the property that $E_+(R) = E_{nK}(\alpha)$, thanks to Theorem 2.2, and R is smooth outside of $E_+(R)$. In particular F is smooth near ∂U , and so we can choose C sufficiently large such that $\max\{F, A|z|^2 - C\} = F$ near ∂U . Fix a small $\varepsilon > 0$ and let \max_ε be the regularized maximum function (see, e.g. [14, I.5.18]). Then

$\tilde{F} = \max_\varepsilon(F, A|z|^2 - C)$ equals F near ∂U and equals $A|z|^2 - C$ near x , is smooth on U , and so $\tilde{R} = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{F}$ is a Kähler current on X in the class $[\alpha]$ with analytic singularities and with $x \notin E_+(\tilde{R})$, from which it follows that $x \notin E_{nK}(\alpha)$, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Thanks to Theorem 2.5, we only need to show that $E_{nK}(\alpha) \subset \text{Null}(\alpha)$. For the sake of obtaining a contradiction, assume the result does not hold, and there exists $x \in \text{Null}(\alpha)^c \cap E_{nK}(\alpha)$. Let V be an irreducible component of the analytic set $E_{nK}(\alpha)$ passing through x . Here and in the following whenever we deal with analytic sets, we always consider the underlying reduced variety. From Lemma 3.1, we must have $\dim V > 0$. Thus, we have that $V \subset E_{nK}(\alpha)$ is a positive dimensional irreducible analytic subvariety, with $x \in V$. Moreover, since $x \in \text{Null}(\alpha)^c$, we have that $[\alpha|_V]$ has positive self-intersection, and if V is smooth then $[\alpha|_V]$ is also nef (this is true also for V singular, provided one properly defines nef classes on singular varieties, which we will not need to do). We will need the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold and α a closed smooth real $(1, 1)$ form on X with $[\alpha]$ nef and $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$. Let $E = V \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^I Y_i$ be an analytic subvariety of X , with V, Y_i its irreducible components, and V a positive dimensional compact complex submanifold of X . Let $R = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ be a Kähler current in the class $[\alpha]$ on X with analytic singularities precisely along E and let $T = \alpha|_V + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ be a Kähler current in the class $[\alpha|_V]$ on V with analytic singularities. Then there exists a Kähler current $\tilde{T} = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi$ in the class $[\alpha]$ on X which is smooth in a neighborhood of the generic point of V . If $E = V$ then we also have that $\Phi|_V = \varphi$.*

Let us complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 assuming this result. Assume first that V is smooth. Then by Demailly-Păun [16, Theorem 2.12] there exists a Kähler current $T = \alpha|_V + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \in [\alpha|_V]$. Furthermore thanks to Demailly's regularization [13], we can choose T to have analytic singularities, so in particular T is smooth at the generic point of V . We also write

$$E_{nK}(\alpha) = V \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^I Y_i,$$

for distinct irreducible components Y_i of $E_{nK}(\alpha)$, which are all positive dimensional thanks to Lemma 3.1. We apply Theorem 3.2 to $E = E_{nK}(\alpha)$, with the current R constructed as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, and we conclude that there is a Kähler current $\tilde{T} = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi$ in the class $[\alpha]$ on X which is smooth in a neighborhood of the generic point of V . This immediately leads to a contradiction in this case, because by definition

$$V \subset E_{nK}(\alpha) \subset E_+(\tilde{T}),$$

but \tilde{T} has Lelong number zero at a generic point of V so $V \not\subset E_+(\tilde{T})$.

If V is not smooth we take $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be an embedded resolution of singularities of V , obtained by blowing up smooth centers (which exists thanks to work of Hironaka), and let \tilde{V} be the strict transform of V , which is now a smooth submanifold of \tilde{X} . The manifold \tilde{X} is Kähler, and $\pi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism over V_{reg} . Note that since π is bimeromorphic, we have $\pi_*\pi^*\alpha = \alpha$ as currents. Therefore, if T is a Kähler current in the class $[\pi^*\alpha]$ on \tilde{X} then its pushforward π_*T is a Kähler current in the class $[\alpha]$ on X .

The class $[(\pi^*\alpha)|_{\tilde{V}}]$ is nef and has positive self-intersection on \tilde{V} , and hence by Demailly-Păun [16, Theorem 2.12] and Demailly's regularization there exists a Kähler current $T \in [(\pi^*\alpha)|_{\tilde{V}}]$ with analytic singularities. Furthermore, the class $[\pi^*\alpha]$ is nef and has positive self-intersection on \tilde{X} , so by the same reason there exists a Kähler current $R \in [\pi^*\alpha]$ with analytic singularities along an analytic subset E of \tilde{X} . We must have that $\tilde{V} \subset E$, because if not then R is smooth near the generic point of \tilde{V} , and then the pushforward π_*R would be a Kähler current in the class $[\alpha]$ on X which is smooth at the generic point of V , which contradicts $V \subset E_{nK}(\alpha)$.

We can then apply Theorem 3.2 and get a Kähler current \tilde{T} on \tilde{X} in the class $[\pi^*\alpha]$ which is smooth near the generic point of \tilde{V} . Therefore $\pi_*\tilde{T}$ is then a Kähler current on X in the class $[\alpha]$ which is smooth near the generic point of V (note that, in general, it may be the case that $\pi_*\tilde{T}$ has non-vanishing Lelong numbers along V_{sing} , which is however a proper subvariety of V). Again, we have a contradiction, which proves our main theorem. \square

If $E \neq V$ in Theorem 3.2 then the function Φ will not be an extension of φ . Nevertheless, we can derive from Theorem 3.2 an extension theorem for Kähler currents with analytic singularities in Kähler classes, Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Thanks to [16, Lemma 2.1], there exists a function $\psi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ which is smooth on $X \setminus V$, with analytic singularities along V , and with $i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq -A\omega$ as currents on X , for some large A . Then, for δ sufficiently small, we have

$$R := \omega + \delta i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq \varepsilon\omega,$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. We now apply Theorem 3.2 with $E = V$ and get the desired conclusion. \square

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 3.2. The proof is somewhat technical, so we briefly outline the main steps. First, we construct local extensions on a finite open covering of V . We then use an idea of Richberg [30] to glue the local potentials to construct a Kähler current in a *pinched* tubular neighborhood of V . That is, near any point where T has positive Lelong number or where V meets another component Y_i , the diameter of the tubular neighborhood might pinch to zero. We then use a resolution of singularities argument to show that, after possibly reducing the Lelong numbers of the global Kähler current R , we can glue the Kähler current on the pinched neighborhood to R , to obtain a global Kähler current.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that

$$T = \alpha|_V + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \geq 4\varepsilon\omega|_V,$$

holds as currents on V . We can cover V by finitely many charts $\{W_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq N}$ such that on each W_j there are local coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) and so that $V \cap W_j = \{z_1 = \dots = z_{n-k} = 0\}$, where $k = \dim V$. Write $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-k})$ and $z' = (z_{n-k+1}, \dots, z_n)$ and define a function $\hat{\varphi}_j$ on W_j (with analytic singularities) by

$$\hat{\varphi}_j(z, z') = \varphi(z') + A|z|^2,$$

where $A > 0$ is a constant. If we shrink the W_j 's slightly, still preserving the property that $V \subset \cup_j W_j$, we can choose A sufficiently large so that

$$\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\hat{\varphi}_j \geq 3\varepsilon\omega,$$

holds on W_j for all j . It will also be useful to fix slightly smaller open sets $W'_j \Subset U_j \Subset W_j$ such that $\cup_j W'_j$ still covers V . Note that since φ is smooth at the generic point of V , by construction all functions $\hat{\varphi}_j$ are also smooth in a neighborhood of the generic point of $V \cap W_j$.

Assume first that $\cup_i Y_i \neq \emptyset$. Thanks to [16, Lemma 2.1], there exists a function $\psi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ which is smooth on $X \setminus \cup_i Y_i$, with analytic singularities along $\cup_i Y_i$, and with $i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq -C\omega$ as currents on X , for some large C . Therefore,

$$\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}(\hat{\varphi}_j + \delta\psi) \geq 2\varepsilon\omega,$$

holds on W_j for all j , provided we choose $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. We set

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi_j = \hat{\varphi}_j + \delta\psi.$$

If on the other hand $\cup_i Y_i = \emptyset$, so that $E = V$, then we just set $\psi = 0$ in the following arguments. In any case, the functions φ_j are all smooth in a neighborhood of the generic point of $V \cap W_j$.

We wish to glue the functions φ_j together to produce a Kähler current defined in a neighborhood of V in X . This would be straightforward if the functions φ_j were continuous, thanks to a procedure of Richberg [30], but in our case the functions $\varphi_j|_V$ have poles along

$$P = E_+(T) \cup \bigcup_i (Y_i \cap V) = \bigcup_j \{\varphi_j = -\infty\} \cap V.$$

We now use the technique of Richberg to produce a Kähler current in an open neighborhood of $K \setminus P$ in X , which in general is not a neighborhood of K .

The first step is to consider two open sets W_1, W_2 in the covering with $W'_1 \cap W'_2 \cap V$ nonempty, and fix a compact set $K \subset V$ with $(W'_1 \cup W'_2) \cap V \subset K \subset (U_1 \cup U_2) \cap V$. Let $M_1 = K \cap \partial U_2$, $M_2 = K \cap \partial U_1$, so that M_1 and M_2 are disjoint compact subsets of V . This setup is depicted in figure 1.

Pick θ_1 a smooth nonnegative cutoff function which is identically 1 in a neighborhood of M_2 in X and θ_2 a smooth nonnegative cutoff function




FIGURE 1. The setup for the local Richberg-type argument.

which is identically 1 in a neighborhood of M_1 in X so that the supports of θ_1 and θ_2 are disjoint. Then, if we choose $\eta > 0$ small, the functions

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_j = \varphi_j - \eta\theta_j,$$

$j = 1, 2$ have analytic singularities and satisfy $\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\varphi}_j \geq \varepsilon\omega$ on W_j . Furthermore they are smooth in a neighborhood of the generic point of $V \cap W_j$. On $W_1 \cap W_2$ we then define

$$\tilde{\varphi}_0 = \max\{\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2\},$$

which satisfies $\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\varphi}_0 \geq \varepsilon\omega$, it equals $\varphi + \delta\psi$ on $V \cap W_1 \cap W_2$, and is smooth in a neighborhood of the generic point of $V \cap W_1 \cap W_2$. On any sufficiently small neighborhood of $M_2 \setminus P$ in X (small enough so that $\theta_1 = 1$ and φ_1, φ_2 are finite on it) we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}_1 = \varphi_1 - \eta < \varphi_2 = \tilde{\varphi}_2,$$

so that $\tilde{\varphi}_0 = \tilde{\varphi}_2$ there. Similarly, on any sufficiently small neighborhood of $M_1 \setminus P$ we have $\tilde{\varphi}_0 = \tilde{\varphi}_1$. Therefore there is an open neighborhood W_0 of $(K \setminus ((M_1 \cap P) \cup (M_2 \cap P))) \cap \overline{U_1} \cap \overline{U_2}$ in X such that $\tilde{\varphi}_0 = \tilde{\varphi}_1$ on $W_0 \setminus \overline{U_2}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_0 = \tilde{\varphi}_2$ on $W_0 \setminus \overline{U_1}$. Therefore we can define

$$W' = W_0 \cup (U_1 \setminus \overline{U_2}) \cup (U_2 \setminus \overline{U_1}),$$

which is a neighborhood of $K \setminus ((M_1 \cap P) \cup (M_2 \cap P))$ in X , and define a function φ' on W' to be equal to $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ on W_0 , equal to $\tilde{\varphi}_1$ on $U_1 \setminus \overline{U_2}$ and equal to $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ on $U_2 \setminus \overline{U_1}$. Then φ' satisfies $\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi' \geq \varepsilon\omega$, it equals $\varphi + \delta\psi$ on $W' \cap V$, and is smooth in a neighborhood of the generic point of $W' \cap V$. Clearly, W' contains $(W'_1 \cup W'_2) \cap (V \setminus P)$. We refer to W' as a pinched neighborhood of K , since in general it is not a neighborhood of the whole of K and it might pinch off at points in $M_1 \cap P$ and $M_2 \cap P$.

We now deal with points in $M_1 \cap P$ and $M_2 \cap P$. By symmetry, it suffices to consider a point $p \in M_2 \cap P$. At points in W' near p we have that $\varphi' = \tilde{\varphi}_2 = \max\{\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2\}$.

Recall that $R = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ is a Kähler current on X with analytic singularities exactly along E . Choose a small coordinate neighborhood $U \subset X$ centered at p , small enough so that $\theta_1 = 1$ and $\theta_2 = 0$ on U . Since $\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2, F$ have analytic singularities, they can be expressed as (recall that $\tilde{\varphi}_1 = \tilde{\varphi}_2$ on $V \cap U$)

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_1 &= \delta_1 \log\left(\sum_i |f_i|^2\right) + \sigma_1 - \eta = \varphi_1 - \eta, \\ \tilde{\varphi}_2 &= \delta_1 \log\left(\sum_i |\tilde{f}_i|^2\right) + \sigma_2 = \varphi_2, \\ F &= \delta_3 \log\left(\sum_j |g_j|^2\right) + \sigma_3, \end{aligned}$$

near p , where $\sigma_k, k = 1, 2, 3$ are local smooth functions, and f_i, \tilde{f}_i, g_j are local holomorphic functions, with the functions g_j locally defining $E = V \cup \bigcup_i Y_i$. Moreover, when restricted to V , we have

$$\delta_1 \log\left(\sum_i |f_i|^2\right) + \sigma_1 = \delta_1 \log\left(\sum_i |\tilde{f}_i|^2\right) + \sigma_2$$

since φ_1, φ_2 both extend $\varphi + \delta\psi$. Then by the above argument, the function φ' is defined at least on the set $\mathcal{S} \subset U$ given by

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \delta_1 \log\left(\sum_i |\tilde{f}_i|^2\right) + \sigma_2 > \delta_1 \log\left(\sum_i |f_i|^2\right) + \sigma_1 - \eta \right\} = \{\tilde{\varphi}_2 > \tilde{\varphi}_1\} \cap U,$$

where the strict inequality in particular requires $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ to be finite. The idea is to show that the singularities of F are comparable to those of $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ on $U \setminus \mathcal{S}$. That is, for $0 < \nu < 1$ we consider the subset of U given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_\nu &= \left\{ \nu \delta_3 \log\left(\sum_j |g_j|^2\right) + \nu \sigma_3 \geq \delta_1 \log\left(\sum_i |\tilde{f}_i|^2\right) + \sigma_2 \right\} \\ &= \{\nu F \geq \tilde{\varphi}_2\} \cap U, \end{aligned}$$

where we now allow points where both sides of the inequality are $-\infty$. In particular, we always have that $p \in E_\nu$. We can also subtract a constant to F so that $\sup_X F \leq 0$, and then we see that the sets E_ν are decreasing in ν .

Lemma 3.3. *There exists $0 < \nu < 1$ such that $E_\nu \cup \mathcal{S}$ contains an open neighborhood of p .*

We refer the reader to figure 2 for the geometry of this local gluing problem.

The proof of Lemma 3.3 requires several additional lemmas. The main technique we use is Hironaka's resolution of singularities. Define an analytic




FIGURE 2. The geometry of the local gluing problem near a pinched point. The shaded area corresponds to the set \mathcal{S} , while the set E_ν corresponds to the area above the upper dashed line, and below the lower dashed line

set $G \subset U$ by

$$G = E \cup E_+(\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_1) \cup E_+(\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_2) \cap U,$$

and let \mathcal{I}_G be its defining ideal sheaf. By shrinking U if necessary, we may assume that every irreducible component of G passes through p . Let $\pi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ be a log resolution of \mathcal{I}_G obtained by blowing up smooth centers. In order to simplify the notation, we assume that we first blow up V , to obtain a divisor D , and then resolve the strict transform of G . After resolving, we have that

$$\pi^{-1}(G) = \tilde{V} + \sum_{\ell} D_{\ell}$$

is a sum of smooth divisors with simple normal crossings and \tilde{V} is the irreducible divisor containing $\pi^{-1}(v)$ for a generic point $v \in V$ (\tilde{V} is the strict transform of D). If we can show that $\pi^{-1}(E_\nu \cup \mathcal{S})$ contains an open neighborhood of $\tilde{V} + \sum_{\ell} D_{\ell}$, then it would follow immediately that $E_\nu \cup \mathcal{S}$ contains an open neighborhood of p .

Lemma 3.4. *The set $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ contains an open neighborhood of \tilde{V} .*

Proof. Pick a point $q \in \tilde{V}$. Since π is a log resolution, there exists an open set $Z \subset \tilde{U}$ with a coordinate system (w_1, \dots, w_n) centered at q such that

$\tilde{V} = \{w_1 = 0\}$ and $\pi^* \exp(\varphi_1), \pi^* \exp(\varphi_2)$ are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^* \exp(\varphi_1) &= U_1(w_1, \dots, w_n) \prod_{i=2}^n |w_i|^{2\alpha_i} \\ \pi^* \exp(\varphi_2) &= U_2(w_1, \dots, w_n) \prod_{i=2}^n |w_i|^{2\beta_i},\end{aligned}$$

where U_j are smooth, positive functions on \overline{Z} , and α_i, β_i are nonnegative real numbers. That w_1 does not appear in the product follows from the fact that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \not\equiv -\infty$ on $V \cap U$. By definition, we have

$$\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) \cap Z = \left\{ w \in Z \mid U_1(w) \prod_{i \geq 2} |w_i|^{2\alpha_i} < e^n U_2(w) \prod_{i \geq 2} |w_i|^{2\beta_i} \right\}.$$

Now, since $\varphi_1|_V = \varphi_2|_V$, and $w_i|_{\tilde{V}} \neq 0$ for $i \geq 2$, we clearly have that $\alpha_i = \beta_i$, and that $U_1|_{\tilde{V}} = U_2|_{\tilde{V}}$. Since $e^n > 1$, the lemma is proved. \square

By Lemma 3.4, it suffices to work on a compact set away from \tilde{V} . Fix a point $q \in D_\ell \cap \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^c)$ and an open set $Z \subset \tilde{U}$ disjoint from \tilde{V} , with a coordinate system (w_1, \dots, w_n) centered at q so that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned}\pi^* \exp(F) &= U_F(w) \prod_{i=1}^n |w_i|^{2\gamma_i}, \\ \pi^* \exp(\varphi_2) &= U_2(w) \prod_{i=1}^n |w_i|^{2\beta_i}\end{aligned}$$

where $U_F(w)$ and $U_2(w)$ are smooth, positive functions on \overline{Z} , and β_i, γ_i are nonnegative real numbers. Our goal is to find $0 < \nu < 1$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \pi^{-1}(E_\nu) \cap Z = \left\{ w \in Z \mid U_2(w) \prod_{i=1}^n |w_i|^{2\beta_i} \leq U_F^\nu(w) \prod_{i=1}^n |w_i|^{2\nu\gamma_i} \right\}$$

contains a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. First, we prove a lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *In equation (3.4), if $\gamma_i > 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $\beta_i > 0$.*

Proof. Suppose for some i we have $\gamma_i > 0$ but $\beta_i = 0$. Let $\{w_i = 0\} = D_{\ell_i}$. Then $\beta_i = 0$ means that $\pi^* \exp(\varphi_2) \not\equiv 0$ on D_{ℓ_i} , while $\gamma_i > 0$ means that $\pi^* \exp(F) \equiv 0$ on D_{ℓ_i} . We have that $\pi(D_{\ell_i}) \subset V \cap U$, since

$$\{\exp(F) = 0\} \cap V^c \cap U \subset \{\exp(\varphi_2) = 0\} \cap V^c \cap U,$$

which follows from the construction of φ_j by recalling (3.1) (specifically the fact that we added a small multiple of ψ to $\hat{\varphi}_j$). However, we can also expand

$$\pi^* \exp(\varphi_1) = U_1(w) \prod_{j=1}^n |w_j|^{2\alpha_j},$$

and the fact that $\varphi_1 \not\equiv -\infty$ on $V \cap U$ again implies that $\alpha_i = 0$. Since $\varphi_1|_V = \varphi_2|_V$, and $\pi(D_{\ell_i}) \subset V$, we see again that

$$\pi^* \exp(\varphi_1) = \pi^* \exp(\varphi_2) \quad \text{on } D_{\ell_i}.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{S} = \{\varphi_2 > \varphi_1 - \eta\} \cap U$. This shows that $\pi(D_{\ell_i})$ is contained in the interior of \mathcal{S} , which is impossible because we assumed $q \in \overline{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^c)}$. \square

With these results in place, we can easily complete the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Thanks to Lemma 3.5, we can choose a small $\nu_0 > 0$ so that $\beta_i > \nu_0 \gamma_i$ for each i such that $\gamma_i > 0$. It follows from the description in (3.5) that for any $0 < \nu \leq \nu_0$, the set $\pi^{-1}(E_\nu) \cap Z$ contains an open neighborhood of the point $q \in D_\ell \cap \overline{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^c)}$. Repeating this finitely many times on a covering of $\sum_\ell D_\ell \cap \overline{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^c)}$, and using Lemma 3.4, we find $\nu_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \nu \leq \nu_0$, the set $\pi^{-1}(E_\nu \cup \mathcal{S})$ contains an open neighborhood of $\tilde{V} + \sum_\ell D_\ell$. This immediately implies that $E_\nu \cup \mathcal{S}$ contains an open neighborhood of p , since π is an isomorphism away from $\tilde{V} + \sum_\ell D_\ell$. \square

The sets E_ν are decreasing in ν , so this property holds for all $0 < \nu \leq \nu_0$. We pick $\nu \leq \nu_0$ so that

$$\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}F \geq \nu\omega,$$

holds as currents on X . Since the class $[\alpha]$ is nef, there exists a smooth function ρ_ν such that

$$\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho_\nu \geq -\nu^2\omega,$$

holds on X , and we can normalize ρ_ν so that it is strictly positive. Then we have

$$\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}(\nu F + (1 - \nu)\rho_\nu) \geq \nu^3\omega.$$

Recall that $E_\nu = \{\nu F \geq \tilde{\varphi}_2\} \cap U$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{\tilde{\varphi}_2 > \tilde{\varphi}_1\} \cap U$. It follows that on \mathcal{S} we have $\tilde{\varphi}_2 > -\infty$, and so if $U' \subset U$ is a slightly smaller open neighborhood of p , then on $(\partial E_\nu) \cap \mathcal{S} \cap U'$ we have $F > -\infty$ and $\nu F = \tilde{\varphi}_2$. In particular, $\nu F + (1 - \nu)\rho_\nu > \tilde{\varphi}_2$ in a neighborhood of $(\partial E_\nu) \cap \mathcal{S} \cap U'$ and so the function

$$(3.6) \quad \varphi_\nu = \begin{cases} \nu F + (1 - \nu)\rho_\nu, & \text{on } E_\nu \\ \max\{\varphi', \nu F + (1 - \nu)\rho_\nu\}, & \text{on } \mathcal{S} \setminus E_\nu, \end{cases}$$

is defined in a neighborhood U_p of p and satisfies $\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\nu \geq \nu^3\omega$. Furthermore, since F goes to $-\infty$ on V while φ' is finite on $(V \setminus P) \cap W'$, we have that φ_ν equals $\varphi' = \varphi + \delta\psi$ on $U_p \cap (V \setminus P)$, and φ_ν is smooth in a neighborhood of the generic point of $V \cap U_p$.

Repeating this argument at every point $p \in M_2 \cap P$, as well as every point $p \in M_1 \cap P$, taking a finite covering given by the resulting open sets U_p , and taking the smallest ν of the resulting ones, we conclude that there exists $\nu > 0$ sufficiently small such that φ_ν is defined in a whole neighborhood W_ν of K in X , and satisfies the same properties. In particular, φ_ν is smooth

in a neighborhood of the generic point of $W_\nu \cap V$. This completes the first step.

We then fix slightly smaller open sets $W'_\nu \Subset U_\nu \Subset W_\nu$ such that $\cup_{j \geq 3} W'_j \cup W'_\nu$ still covers V . We replace W_1 and W_2 with W_ν , and replace φ_1 and φ_2 with φ_ν , and repeat the same procedure with two other open sets in this new covering. The only difference is that while the functions φ_1, φ_2 have analytic singularities, this is not the case for φ_ν , which is instead locally given as the maximum of finitely many functions with analytic singularities. We now explain what modifications are needed in the arguments above.

First of all, at any subsequent step we will need to pick a slightly large value of the parameter $\eta > 0$ above (for convenience, we will multiply it by a factor of 2 at each step, by assuming that we initially chose η small enough) and a smaller value for ν . At any such step, we will have two open sets W_a, W_b with $W'_a \Subset U_a \Subset W_a$ and $W'_b \Subset U_b \Subset W_b$ and with $W'_a \cap W'_b \cap V$ nonempty. On W_a we have a function φ_a with $\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_a \geq \varepsilon\omega$, with $\varphi_a = \varphi + \delta\psi$ on $W_a \cap V$ and smooth in the neighborhood of the generic point of $W_a \cap V$, and similarly for W_b . Then exactly as before we obtain a function φ' on a neighborhood of $K \setminus ((M_a \cap P) \cup (M_b \cap P))$, which is equal to $\varphi' = \max\{\tilde{\varphi}_a, \tilde{\varphi}_b\}$ on $W_a \cap W_b$ (where we picked cutoff functions θ_a, θ_b as before and defined $\tilde{\varphi}_a = \varphi_a - 2\eta\theta_a, \tilde{\varphi}_b = \varphi_b - 2\eta\theta_b$). Because of the construction we just did, near a point $x \in M_b \cap P$ we can write

$$\varphi_a = \max\{\tilde{\varphi}_{i_1}, \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_{i_p}, \nu_a F + \rho_a\},$$

for some $p > 0$, some $0 < \nu_a < 1$ and a smooth function ρ_a (in general the maximum will contain several terms of the form $\nu_j F + \rho_j$, but since $F(x) = -\infty$, up to shrinking the open set where we work on, only one of them contributes to the maximum). Here the functions $\tilde{\varphi}_{i_k}$ are defined in (3.2), so they have analytic singularities, and so does F . Similarly, we can write

$$\varphi_b = \max\{\tilde{\varphi}_{j_1}, \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_{j_q}, \nu_b F + \rho_b\}.$$

We work again on a small coordinate neighborhood U centered at x where $\theta_a = 1$ and $\theta_b = 0$. We proved earlier that φ' is defined at least on $\mathcal{S} = \{\tilde{\varphi}_b > \tilde{\varphi}_a\} \cap U$. For $0 < \nu < 1$ we let $E_\nu = \{\nu F \geq \tilde{\varphi}_b\} \cap U$. If we can show that there exists ν such that $E_\nu \cup \mathcal{S}$ contains a neighborhood of x , then we can complete this step exactly as before. For simplicity, we write

$$\hat{\varphi}_a = \max\{\tilde{\varphi}_{i_1}, \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_{i_p}\}, \quad \hat{\varphi}_b = \max\{\tilde{\varphi}_{j_1}, \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_{j_q}\}.$$

To prove this, we pick a log resolution $\pi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ of the ideal sheaf of

$$G = E \cup \bigcup_k E_+(\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\varphi}_{i_k}) \cup \bigcup_\ell E_+(\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\varphi}_{j_\ell}) \cap U,$$

with $\pi^{-1}(G) = \tilde{V} + \sum_\ell D_\ell$ as before. Now note that \mathcal{S} contains the set $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, where

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\hat{\varphi}_b > \hat{\varphi}_a - 2\eta\} \cap U, \quad \mathcal{B} = \{\hat{\varphi}_b > \nu_a F + \rho_a - 2\eta\} \cap U.$$

The set \mathcal{A} equals

$$\bigcup_{\ell=1}^q \bigcap_{k=1}^p \{\tilde{\varphi}_{j_\ell} > \tilde{\varphi}_{i_k} - 2\eta\} \cap U.$$

Since $\tilde{\varphi}_{j_\ell} = \varphi_{j_\ell} - \eta\theta_{j_\ell}$ with $0 \leq \theta_{j_\ell} \leq 1$, and similarly for $\tilde{\varphi}_{i_k}$, we see that

$$\{\tilde{\varphi}_{j_\ell} > \tilde{\varphi}_{i_k} - 2\eta\} \cap U \supset \{\varphi_{j_\ell} > \varphi_{i_k} - \eta\} \cap U.$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.4, each of the sets $\pi^{-1}(\{\varphi_{j_\ell} > \varphi_{i_k} - \eta\} \cap U)$ contains a neighborhood of \tilde{V} , and therefore so does $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$. On the other hand, we have that $E_\nu = \{\nu F \geq \varphi_b\} \cap U$ equals

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^q \{\nu F \geq \tilde{\varphi}_{j_m}\} \cap \{\nu F \geq \nu_b F + \rho_b\} \cap U.$$

If we choose ν small, then $\{\nu F \geq \nu_b F + \rho_b\} \cap U = U$. Lemma 3.5 together with the proof of Lemma 3.3 shows that there exists $\nu > 0$ small such that each set $\pi^{-1}(\{\nu F \geq \tilde{\varphi}_{j_m}\} \cap U)$ contains a neighborhood of

$$\sum_{\ell} D_\ell \cap \overline{\pi^{-1}((\bigcap_{k=1}^p \{\tilde{\varphi}_{j_m} > \tilde{\varphi}_{i_k} - 2\eta\} \cap U)^c)}.$$

Therefore, E_ν contains a neighborhood of

$$\sum_{\ell} D_\ell \cap \overline{\pi^{-1}((\hat{\varphi}_b > \hat{\varphi}_a - 2\eta) \cap U)^c} = \sum_{\ell} D_\ell \cap \overline{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^c)}.$$

This means that $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{A} \cup E_\nu)$ contains a whole neighborhood of $\tilde{V} + \sum_{\ell} D_\ell$. On the other hand, the set $E_\nu \cup \mathcal{B}$ equals

$$\{\nu F \geq \hat{\varphi}_b\} \cup \{\hat{\varphi}_b > \nu_a F + \rho_a - 2\eta\} \cap U = \{x\} \cup \{\nu F > \nu_a F + \rho_a - 2\eta\} \cap U,$$

and if we pick ν small enough then this set equals U . This finally proves that $\pi^{-1}(E_\nu \cup \mathcal{S})$ contains a neighborhood of $\tilde{V} + \sum_{\ell} D_\ell$, which implies that $E_\nu \cup \mathcal{S}$ contains a neighborhood of x , and this step is complete.

After at most N such steps, we end up with an open neighborhood W of V in X with a function φ'' defined on W which satisfies $\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi'' \geq \varepsilon'\omega$ for some $\varepsilon' > 0$, equals $\varphi + \delta\psi$ on V , and is smooth in a neighborhood of the generic point of V .

Now we have a Kähler current defined on W . From (3.1) (again the fact that we added a small multiple of ψ to $\hat{\varphi}_j$) and the construction of φ'' , we see that φ'' is also equal to $-\infty$ on $\cup_i Y_i \cap W$, and the generic Lelong number of φ'' along each Y_i is strictly positive. Therefore if we choose μ sufficiently small, the generic Lelong number of μF along each Y_i will be strictly less than the one of φ'' , for all i . In particular, there exists a neighborhood Z of $\cup_i Y_i$ such that $\mu F + (1 - \mu)\rho_\mu > \varphi''$ on $Z \cap W$.

The subset of ∂W where F equals $-\infty$ is exactly given by $\cup_i (\partial W) \cap Y_i$. Since $F > -\infty$ on $(\partial W) \setminus Z$, we can choose $A \geq 0$ large enough such that on $(\partial W) \setminus Z$ we have $\mu F + (1 - \mu)\rho_\mu > \varphi'' - A$. Altogether, this means that $\mu F + (1 - \mu)\rho_\mu > \varphi'' - A$ holds in a whole neighborhood of ∂W .

Therefore we can finally define

$$\Phi = \begin{cases} \max\{\varphi'', \mu F + (1 - \mu)\rho_\mu + A\} & \text{on } W \\ \mu F + (1 - \mu)\rho_\mu + A, & \text{on } X \setminus W, \end{cases}$$

which is defined on the whole of X , it satisfies $\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi \geq \varepsilon'\omega$ for some $\varepsilon' > 0$, it equals $\varphi + \delta\psi$ on $V \setminus P$, and it is smooth in a neighborhood of the generic point of V . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

The following simple example illustrates some of the ideas used in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Example 3.6. Consider \mathbb{C}^2 with coordinates (x, y) . We take $V = \{y = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, and consider the plurisubharmonic function $\varphi = \log(|x|^2)$ on V , and $F = \log(|y|^2)$ on \mathbb{C}^2 . Take local extensions

$$\varphi_1 = \log(|x - y|^2), \quad \varphi_2 = \log(|x + y|^2),$$

of φ . We resolve the singularities of $G = \{(x - y)(x + y)y = 0\}$ by the blowup of the origin $\pi(r, s) = (r, rs)$. In this case $\{r = 0\} = \pi^{-1}(0, 0)$, and $\pi^{-1}(G) = \tilde{V} + \sum_{\ell=1}^3 D_\ell$ with

$$\tilde{V} = \{s = 0\}, D_1 = \{r = 0\}, D_2 = \{s = 1\}, D_3 = \{s = -1\}.$$

Then

$$\pi^*(|y|^2) = |r|^2|s|^2, \quad \pi^*(|x - y|^2) = |r|^2|1 - s|^2, \quad \pi^*(|x + y|^2) = |r|^2|1 + s|^2.$$

In this case, we have

$$\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) = \{|r|^2|1 - s|^2 < e^\eta|r|^2|1 + s|^2\} = \{|1 - s|^2 < e^\eta|1 + s|^2\}.$$

Clearly $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ contains an open neighborhood of $\tilde{V} \cup \{s = 1\}$. Thus, it suffices to show that the set

$$\pi^{-1}(E_\nu) = \{|r|^2|1 + s|^2 \leq |r|^{2\nu}|s|^{2\nu}\}$$

contains an open neighborhood of $(\{r = 0\} \cup \{s = -1\}) \cap \{|s| > \varepsilon\}$. This is clear, for any $0 < \nu < 1$.

4. FINITE TIME SINGULARITIES OF THE KÄHLER-RICCI FLOW

In this section we study finite time singularities of the Kähler-Ricci flow, and give the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Let (X, ω_0) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n , and consider Kähler metrics $\omega(t)$ evolving under the Kähler-Ricci flow (1.2) with initial metric ω_0 . Suppose that the maximal existence time of the flow is $T < \infty$. Then the class $[\alpha] = [\omega_0] - Tc_1(X)$ is nef, but not Kähler. Moreover, assume that $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$, so the volume of $(X, \omega(t))$ does not go to zero as $t \rightarrow T^-$. Let α be a smooth representative of this limiting class, and set

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\omega}_t &= \frac{1}{T} ((T - t)\omega_0 + t\alpha) \in [\omega_0] - tc_1(X) \\ \chi &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\omega}_t = \frac{1}{T} (\alpha - \omega_0) \in -c_1(X). \end{aligned}$$

Let Ω be a volume form so that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\log\Omega = \chi$, and $\int_X \Omega = \int_X \omega_0^n$. Then the Kähler-Ricci flow can be written as the parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi = \log \frac{(\hat{\omega}_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n}{\Omega}, \quad \hat{\omega}_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0, \quad \varphi(0) = 0,$$

so that

$$\omega(t) = \hat{\omega}_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi,$$

solves (1.2). Since the limiting class is nef and has positive self-intersection, Theorem 2.2 shows that there exists a Kähler current

$$(4.1) \quad R = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq \varepsilon\omega_0,$$

which has analytic singularities, and such that

$$\{\psi = -\infty\} = E_+(R) = E_{nK}(\alpha).$$

By subtracting a constant to ψ , we can assume that $\sup_X \psi \leq 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *There is a closed positive real $(1,1)$ current ω_T on X in the class $[\alpha]$, which is smooth precisely away from $E_{nK}(\alpha)$, such that as $t \rightarrow T^-$ we have that $\omega(t)$ converges to ω_T in $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha))$ as well as currents on X .*

Theorem 1.5 follows easily from this result.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Theorem 4.1 says that no singularities develop on $X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha)$. Thanks to the main Theorem 1.1, $E_{nK}(\alpha) = \text{Null}(\alpha)$. Finally, the same proof as in Theorem 2.5 shows that the metrics $\omega(t)$ must develop singularities everywhere along $\text{Null}(\alpha)$. Indeed, assume that there is a point $x \in \text{Null}(\alpha)$ with an open neighborhood U where the metrics $\omega(t)$ converge smoothly to a limit Kähler metric ω_U on U . Since $\omega(t)$ converges to ω_T as currents on X , we must have $\omega_U = \omega_T$. Since $x \in \text{Null}(\alpha)$, there is an irreducible k -dimensional analytic subvariety $V \subset X$ with $x \in V$ and $\int_V \alpha^k = 0$. Then the non-pluripolar product $\langle \omega_T \rangle^k$ is a well-defined closed positive (k,k) -current on X by [5, Proposition 1.6]. Thanks to [5, Proposition 1.20] we have that

$$0 \leq \int_V \langle \omega_T \rangle^k \leq \int_V \alpha^k = 0,$$

so $\int_V \langle \omega_T \rangle^k = 0$. But ω_T is a smooth Kähler metric on U , so

$$\int_V \langle \omega_T \rangle^k \geq \int_{V \cap U} \langle \omega_T \rangle^k = \int_{V \cap U} \omega_T^k > 0,$$

which is a contradiction. \square

The same proof (together with [43, Proposition 1]) shows that if $T < \infty$ is a finite time singularity with $\int_X \alpha^n = 0$, then singularities develop on the whole of X .

As a side remark, we have the following:

Proposition 4.2. *Let (X^n, ω_0) be a compact Kähler manifold with nonnegative Kodaira dimension. Then if the Kähler-Ricci flow (1.2) develops a singularity at a finite time T , we must necessarily have that*

$$\int_X (\omega_0 - Tc_1(X))^n > 0,$$

and Theorem 1.5 then applies.

Proof. Indeed, nonnegative Kodaira dimension means that $H^0(X, \ell K_X) \neq 0$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. Since $c_1(K_X) = -c_1(X)$, this implies that the class $-Tc_1(X)$ is pseudoeffective (i.e. it contains a closed positive current S), and therefore the class $[\alpha] = [\omega_0] - Tc_1(X)$ is big since it contains the Kähler current $S + \omega_0$. Then [3, Theorem 4.7] shows that the volume $v(\alpha)$ is strictly positive, and since $[\alpha]$ is also nef we can apply [3, Theorem 4.1] and conclude that $\int_X \alpha^n = v(\alpha) > 0$. \square

Now we start with the proof of Theorem 4.1. We first need several lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that on $X \times [0, T)$ we have*

- (i) $\dot{\varphi}(t) \leq C$,
- (ii) $\omega^n \leq C\Omega$,
- (iii) $\varphi(t) \leq C$.

The proof is extremely easy (see [40, Lemma 7.1]). Note that (i) is equivalent to (ii), and that integrating (i) from 0 to T gives (iii).

Lemma 4.4. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that on $X \times [0, T)$ we have*

- (i) $\dot{\varphi}(t) \geq C\psi - C$,
- (ii) $\omega^n \geq C^{-1}e^{C\psi}\Omega$.

Proof. It is enough to prove (i), since (ii) is clearly equivalent to (i).

To prove (i), note that

$$\hat{\omega}_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi = \frac{1}{T} ((T-t)(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi) + t(\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)).$$

Now we have

$$\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq \omega_0 - \alpha \geq -C\omega_0, \quad \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi = R \geq \varepsilon\omega_0,$$

where these inequalities are in the sense of currents. Therefore, there is a small $\delta > 0$ such that for $t \in [T - \delta, T)$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad \hat{\omega}_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\omega_0,$$

again as currents. Let

$$Q = \dot{\varphi} + A\varphi - A\psi + Bt,$$

where A and B are large constants to be fixed soon. Our goal is to show that $Q \geq -C$ on $X \times [0, T)$, since then Lemma 4.3 (iii) implies (i).

We fix the constant A large enough so that

$$(A-1)(\hat{\omega}_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi) + \chi \geq \omega_0,$$

holds on $[T - \delta, T)$. To fix the value of B , we first use the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality to get on $[T - \delta, T)$

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \operatorname{tr}_\omega \omega_0 \geq C_0^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega^n} \right)^{1/n},$$

where C_0 is a uniform constant. But since the function $y \mapsto A \log y - C_0^{-1} y^{1/n}$ is bounded above for $y > 0$, we have that

$$(4.4) \quad C_0^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega^n} \right)^{1/n} + A \log \frac{\omega^n}{\Omega} \geq -C_1,$$

for another uniform constant C_1 . We then set $B = C_1 + An$.

From the definition of Q we see that $Q \geq -C$ holds on $X \times [0, T - \delta]$, for a uniform constant C . Therefore, to prove that $Q \geq -C$ on $X \times [0, T)$, it suffices to show that given any $T - \delta < T' < T$, the minimum of Q on $X \times [0, T']$ is always achieved on $[0, T - \delta]$. Let then (x, t) be a point in $X \times [T - \delta, T']$ where Q achieves a minimum on $X \times [0, T']$. Since Q approaches $+\infty$ along $E_{nK}(\alpha)$, we conclude that $x \notin E_{nK}(\alpha)$. At (x, t) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) Q = \operatorname{tr}_\omega \chi + A \dot{\varphi} - An + A \operatorname{tr}_\omega (\hat{\omega}_t + i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi) + B \\ &= \operatorname{tr}_\omega (\hat{\omega}_t + i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi) + \operatorname{tr}_\omega ((A - 1)(\hat{\omega}_t + i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi) + \chi) \\ &\quad + A \log \frac{\omega^n}{\Omega} - An + B \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \operatorname{tr}_\omega \omega_0 + A \log \frac{\omega^n}{\Omega} + \operatorname{tr}_\omega \omega_0 - An + B, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = \Delta_{\omega(t)}$ is the Laplacian of the moving metric. Thanks to (4.3), (4.4), we conclude that

$$0 \geq \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) Q \geq \operatorname{tr}_\omega \omega_0 - C_1 - An + B = \operatorname{tr}_\omega \omega_0 > 0,$$

a contradiction. \square

Integrating Lemma 4.4 (i) from 0 to T gives the bound $\varphi(t) \geq C\psi - C$. We now sharpen this:

Lemma 4.5. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that on $X \times [0, T)$ we have*

$$(4.5) \quad \varphi(t) \geq \psi - C.$$

Proof. Let $Q = \varphi - \psi + At$, for A a large constant to be determined. We need to show that $Q \geq -C$ on $X \times [0, T)$. Clearly, $Q \geq -C$ holds on $X \times [0, T - \delta]$ (where δ is as in Lemma 4.4), so we fix $T - \delta < T' < T$ and suppose that Q achieves its minimum on $X \times [0, T']$ at (x, t) with $t \in [T - \delta, T']$. Since ψ

approaches $-\infty$ along $E_{nK}(\alpha)$, we have that $x \in X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha)$. At (x, t) we then have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} = \log \frac{(\hat{\omega}_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi + i\partial\bar{\partial}Q)^n}{\Omega} + A \\ &\geq \log \frac{(\hat{\omega}_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi)^n}{\Omega} + A \\ &\geq \log \frac{(\varepsilon\omega_0/2)^n}{\Omega} + A \geq -C + A, \end{aligned}$$

using (4.2). If we choose $A > C$, this gives a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.6. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that on $X \times [0, T)$ we have*

$$(4.6) \quad \text{tr}_{\omega_0}\omega \leq Ce^{-C\psi}.$$

Proof. Let

$$Q = \log \text{tr}_{\omega_0}\omega - A\varphi + A\psi,$$

where A is a large constant to be determined soon. Thanks to Lemma 4.3 (iii), we will be done if we show that $Q \leq C$ on $X \times [0, T)$. Again, from the definition of Q we see that $Q \leq C$ holds on $X \times [0, T - \delta]$, for a uniform constant C . Fix then any $T - \delta < T' < T$ and suppose that Q achieves its maximum on $X \times [0, T']$ at (x, t) with $t \in [T - \delta, T']$. Then at (x, t) we can use (4.2) to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) Q \leq C \text{tr}_{\omega_0}\omega + A \log \frac{\Omega}{\omega^n} + An - A \text{tr}_{\omega}(\hat{\omega}_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi) \\ &\leq (C - A\varepsilon/2) \text{tr}_{\omega_0}\omega + A \log \frac{\Omega}{\omega^n} + An. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if we choose A large so that $C - A\varepsilon/2 \leq -1$, we see that at (x, t) we have

$$\text{tr}_{\omega_0}\omega \leq C \log \frac{\Omega}{\omega^n} + C.$$

Hence at the maximum of Q ,

$$\text{tr}_{\omega_0}\omega \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (\text{tr}_{\omega_0}\omega)^{n-1} \frac{\omega^n}{\omega_0^n} \leq C \frac{\omega^n}{\Omega} \left(\log \frac{\Omega}{\omega^n} \right)^{n-1} + C \leq C,$$

because we know that $\frac{\omega^n}{\Omega} \leq C$ (Lemma 4.3 (ii)) and $x \mapsto x |\log x|^{n-1}$ is bounded above for x close to zero. Thanks to Lemma 4.5, this implies that Q is bounded from above at its maximum, and we are done. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Fix any compact set $K \subset X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha)$. Thanks to Lemmas 4.4 (ii) and 4.6, there is a constant $C_K > 0$ such that

$$C_K^{-1}\omega_0 \leq \omega(t) \leq C_K\omega_0 \quad \text{on } K \times [0, T).$$

Applying the local higher order estimates of Sherman-Weinkove [34], we get uniform C^∞ estimates for $\omega(t)$ on compact subsets of $X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha)$. This

implies that given every compact set K there exists a constant C_K such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\omega = -\text{Ric}(\omega) \leq C_K\omega,$$

which implies that $e^{-C_K t}\omega(t)$ is decreasing in t as well as being bounded from below. This implies that $\omega(t)$ has a limit as $t \rightarrow T$, and since we have uniform estimates away from $E_{nK}(\alpha)$, we see that $\omega(t)$ converges in C^∞ on compact sets to a smooth Kähler metric ω_T on $X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha)$. Furthermore, by weak compactness of currents, it follows easily that ω_T extends as a closed positive current on X and $\omega(t)$ converges to ω_T as currents on X . \square

5. DEGENERATIONS OF RICCI-FLAT KÄHLER METRICS

In this section we study degenerations of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on Calabi-Yau manifolds, and prove Theorem 1.6.

Let X be a Calabi-Yau manifold, which is a compact Kähler manifold with $c_1(X) = 0$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the Kähler cone of X . Then Yau's theorem [49] says that for every Kähler class $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{K}$ there exists a unique Kähler metric $\omega \in [\alpha]$ with $\text{Ric}(\omega) = 0$. Let α be a smooth closed real $(1, 1)$ form on X with $[\alpha] \in \partial\mathcal{K}$ nef and $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$. Let $[\alpha_t] : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ be a continuous path of $(1, 1)$ classes with $[\alpha_t] \in \mathcal{K}$ for $t > 0$ and with $[\alpha_0] = [\alpha]$. For $0 < t \leq 1$ write ω_t for the unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric in the class $[\alpha_t]$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. To start, let us see that we can choose a continuously varying path of reference $(1, 1)$ forms α_t cohomologous to $[\alpha_t]$ with $\alpha_0 = \alpha$. Indeed, we can fix a Kähler metric ω and let $\tilde{\alpha}_t$ be the harmonic representative of $[\alpha_t]$ with respect to ω (so $\tilde{\alpha}_t$ vary continuously in t by elliptic regularity). Since $\tilde{\alpha}_0$ and α are cohomologous, we may write $\tilde{\alpha}_0 = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\eta$, and then let $\alpha_t = \tilde{\alpha}_t - i\partial\bar{\partial}\eta$. In general the forms α_t do not satisfy any positivity property. For convenience we will assume that the metric ω is Ricci-flat. Recall the following construction from [5]: for any $x \in X$ let

$$V_\alpha(x) = \sup\{\varphi(x) \mid \varphi \text{ is } \alpha\text{-PSH, } \sup_X \varphi \leq 0\}$$

be the extremal function associated to the form α . Then $\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}V_\alpha \geq 0$ and V_α has minimal singularities among all α -PSH functions. Similarly, for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ we let $V_t = V_{\alpha_t}$, so that $V_0 = V_\alpha$. Then the function V_α is continuous on $X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha)$, while V_t is continuous everywhere on X .

Using the theorem of Demailly-Păun together with Demailly's regularization, we see that there exists an α -PSH function ψ with analytic singularities, with $\sup_X \psi = 0$ and with $\alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq \delta\omega$ on X , for some $\delta > 0$. Furthermore, thanks to Theorem 2.2, the function ψ can be chosen to be smooth on $X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha)$ (and singular on $E_{nK}(\alpha)$). In general V_α has strictly weaker singularities than ψ , i.e. it need not be singular on $E_{nK}(\alpha)$. For example if Y is a compact Kähler surface, $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is the blowup of a point, $E \subset X$

is the exceptional divisor, and $\alpha = \pi^*\omega$ for a Kähler metric ω on Y , then V_α vanishes identically while ψ is singular along E .

Note that for t sufficiently small, we will have

$$(5.1) \quad \alpha_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi + (\alpha_t - \alpha) \geq \delta\omega/2.$$

Therefore, from the definition of V_t , we have that

$$(5.2) \quad V_t \geq \psi,$$

holds on X for all t sufficiently small.

For $t > 0$ we can write $\omega_t = \alpha_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_t$, with $\sup_X \varphi_t = 0$. Then the functions φ_t solve the complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$(5.3) \quad \omega_t^n = (\alpha_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_t)^n = c_t\omega^n,$$

where the constant c_t equals $\int_X \alpha_t^n / \int_X \omega^n$ (and is therefore bounded uniformly away from zero and infinity). The crucial result is then the following estimate of Boucksom-Eyssidieux-Guedj-Zeriahi [5, Theorem 4.1, Remark 4.5]

$$(5.4) \quad \varphi_t \geq V_t - C,$$

for a uniform constant C independent of t . This is generalization of a seminal result of Kołodziej [22]. Thanks to (5.2), we conclude that

$$(5.5) \quad \varphi_t \geq \psi - C,$$

for all t sufficiently small. Furthermore [5, Theorem 4.1] also gives us a unique α -PSH function φ_0 with $\sup_X \varphi_0 = 0$ solving

$$\langle \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0 \rangle^n = c_0\omega^n,$$

on $X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha)$, and with $\varphi_0 \geq V_\alpha - C$ (here $\langle \cdot \rangle^n$ is the non-pluripolar product defined in [5]). Now the same simple argument as [5, Lemma 5.3] shows that as $t \rightarrow 0$ the functions φ_t converge to φ_0 in $L^1(X)$. To get higher order estimates of φ_t on $X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha)$ we proceed as follows. Let Δ_t be the Laplacian of ω_t , A a large constant, and $Q_t = \log \text{tr}_\omega \omega_t - A\varphi_t + A\psi$. A standard calculation (see e.g. [49]) gives

$$\Delta_t Q_t \geq -C \text{tr}_{\omega_t} \omega - C - An + A \text{tr}_{\omega_t} (\alpha_t + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi).$$

We can assume that t is sufficiently small so that (5.1) holds, and choose A sufficiently large so that

$$\Delta_t Q_t \geq \text{tr}_{\omega_t} \omega - C.$$

Note that for any $t > 0$ the function Q_t approaches $-\infty$ along $E_{nK}(\alpha)$, so its maximum is achieved on its complement. For any sufficiently small t , let $x \in X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha)$ be a point where the maximum of Q_t is achieved. Then $\text{tr}_{\omega_t} \omega(x) \leq C$, and using (5.3) we see that $\text{tr}_\omega \omega_t(x) \leq C$ and

$$Q_t \leq \log C - A\varphi_t(x) + A\psi(x) \leq C,$$

thanks to (5.5). Therefore $Q_t \leq C$ holds on X for all small $t > 0$. Since $\sup_X \varphi_t = 0$, we have proved that

$$\mathrm{tr}_\omega \omega_t \leq C e^{-A\psi}.$$

This implies that ω_t is uniformly equivalent to ω on any compact set $K \subset X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha)$, independent of t . The higher order estimates (either the Calabi C^3 estimate [49] or the Evans-Krylov theory [36]) are local, and so we get uniform C_{loc}^∞ estimates for φ_t on $X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha)$. It follows that as $t \rightarrow 0$ the Ricci-flat metrics ω_t converge to $\omega_0 = \alpha + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^\infty(X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha))$. Note that this gives a slightly simpler proof of the fact that $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty(X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha))$ than the one given in [5, Theorem 4.1]

Next, the metrics ω_t have a uniform upper bound on their diameter thanks to [45, 50]. Once we show the Gromov-Hausdorff convergence statement, it will also follow that $(X \setminus E_{nK}(\alpha), \omega_0)$ has finite diameter, and hence is incomplete. The Gromov-Hausdorff convergence is proved exactly along the lines of [31, Lemma 5.1], who dealt with the case when X is projective and $[\alpha]$ is rational. The key point is that thanks to our main Theorem 1.1, $E_{nK}(\alpha) = \mathrm{Null}(\alpha)$, so the exact same argument as [31, Lemma 5.1] applies, with the subvariety E there replaced by $\mathrm{Null}(\alpha)$. \square

Finally, let us remark that the same proof as in Theorem 2.5 or Theorem 1.5 shows that the metrics ω_t cannot converge smoothly to a Kähler metric near any point of $\mathrm{Null}(\alpha)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.J. Berman, J.-P. Demailly *Regularity of plurisubharmonic upper envelopes in big cohomology classes*, in *Perspectives in analysis, geometry, and topology*, 39–66, Progr. Math., 296, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012.
- [2] S. Boucksom *Cônes positifs des variétés complexes compactes*, Ph.D. Thesis, Institut Fourier Grenoble, 2002.
- [3] S. Boucksom *On the volume of a line bundle*, *Internat. J. Math.* **13** (2002), no. 10, 1043–1063.
- [4] S. Boucksom *Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **37** (2004), no. 1, 45–76.
- [5] S. Boucksom, P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi *Monge-Ampère equations in big cohomology classes*, *Acta Math.* **205** (2010), no. 2, 199–262.
- [6] S. Cacciola, A.F. Lopez *Nakamaye’s theorem on log canonical pairs*, arXiv:1303.7156.
- [7] H.-D. Cao *Deformation of Kähler metrics to Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds*, *Invent. Math.* **81** (1985), no. 2, 359–372.
- [8] P. Cascini, J. McKernan, M. Mustață *The augmented base locus in positive characteristic*, arXiv:1111.3236.
- [9] T.C. Collins, A. Greenleaf, M. Pramanik *A multi-dimensional resolution of singularities with applications to analysis*, to appear in *Amer. J. Math.* 2013.
- [10] M. Coltoiu *Traces of Runge domains on analytic subsets*, *Math. Ann.* **290** (1991), 545–548.
- [11] D. Coman, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi *Extension of plurisubharmonic functions with growth control*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **676** (2013), 33–49.

- [12] J.-P. Demailly *Singular Hermitian metrics on positive line bundles*, in *Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, 1990)*, 87–104, Lecture Notes in Math., 1507, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [13] J.-P. Demailly *Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory*, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **1** (1992), no. 3, 361–409.
- [14] J.-P. Demailly *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, available on the author's webpage.
- [15] J.-P. Demailly, S. Dinew, V. Guedj, P.H. Hiep, S. Kołodziej, A. Zeriahi *Hölder continuous solutions to Monge-Ampère equations*, arXiv:1112.1388.
- [16] J.-P. Demailly, M. Păun *Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold*, *Ann. of Math.*, **159** (2004), no. 3, 1247–1274.
- [17] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Mustață, M. Nakamaye, M. Popa *Asymptotic invariants of base loci*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **56** (2006), no. 6, 1701–1734.
- [18] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Mustață, M. Nakamaye, M. Popa *Restricted volumes and base loci of linear series*, *Amer. J. Math.* **131** (2009), no. 3, 607–651.
- [19] P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi *Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (2009), 607–639.
- [20] M. Feldman, T. Ilmanen, D. Knopf *Rotationally symmetric shrinking and expanding gradient Kähler-Ricci solitons*, *J. Differential Geom.* **65** (2003), no. 2, 169–209.
- [21] T. Hisamoto *Remarks on L^2 -jet extension and extension of singular Hermitian metric with semi positive curvature*, arXiv:1205.1953.
- [22] S. Kołodziej *The complex Monge-Ampère equation*, *Acta Math.* **180** (1998), 69–117.
- [23] G. La Nave, G. Tian *Soliton-type metrics and Kähler-Ricci flow on symplectic quotients*, arXiv:0903.2413.
- [24] R. Lazarsfeld *Positivity in algebraic geometry I & II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [25] M. Nakamaye *Stable base loci of linear series*, *Math. Ann.*, **318** (2000), no. 4, 837–847.
- [26] M. Păun *Sur l'effectivité numérique des images inverses de fibrés en droites*, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), no. 3, 411–421.
- [27] D.H. Phong, E.M. Stein, J. Sturm *On the growth and stability of real-analytic functions*, *Amer. J. Math.* **121** (1999), no. 3, 519–554.
- [28] D.H. Phong, J. Sturm *Algebraic estimates, stability of local zeta functions, and uniform estimates for distribution functions*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **152** (2000), no. 1, 277–329.
- [29] D.H. Phong, J. Sturm *On the algebraic constructibility of varieties of integrable rational functions on \mathbb{C}^n* , *Math. Ann.* **323** (2002), no. 3, 453–484.
- [30] R. Richberg *Stetige streng pseudokonvexe Funktionen*, *Math. Ann.* **175** (1968), 257–286.
- [31] X. Rong, Y. Zhang *Continuity of extremal transitions and flops for Calabi-Yau manifolds*, *J. Differential Geom.* **89** (2011), no. 2, 233–269.
- [32] X. Rong, Y. Zhang *Degenerations of Ricci-flat Calabi-Yau manifolds*, to appear in *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 2013.
- [33] G. Schumacher *Asymptotics of Kähler-Einstein metrics on quasi-projective manifolds and an extension theorem on holomorphic maps*, *Math. Ann.* **311** (1998), no. 4, 631–645.
- [34] M. Sherman, B. Weinkove *Interior derivative estimates for the Kähler-Ricci flow*, *Pacific J. Math.* **257** (2012), no. 2, 491–501.
- [35] Y.-T. Siu *Analyticity of sets associated to Lelong numbers and the extension of closed positive currents*, *Invent. Math.* **27** (1974), 53–156.
- [36] Y.-T. Siu *Lectures on Hermitian-Einstein metrics for stable bundles and Kähler-Einstein metrics*, DMV Seminar, 8, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1987.
- [37] J. Song *Finite time extinction of the Kähler-Ricci flow*, arXiv:0905.0939.
- [38] J. Song *Ricci flow and birational surgery*, arXiv:1304.2607.

- [39] J. Song, G. Székelyhidi, B. Weinkove *The Kähler-Ricci flow on projective bundles*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2013**, no. 2, 243–257.
- [40] J. Song, B. Weinkove *Lecture notes on the Kähler-Ricci flow*, arXiv:1212.3653.
- [41] J. Song, B. Weinkove *Contracting exceptional divisors by the Kähler-Ricci flow*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 2, 367–415.
- [42] G. Tian *New results and problems on Kähler-Ricci flow*, in *Géométrie différentielle, physique mathématique, mathématiques et société. II*, Astérisque No. **322** (2008), 71–92.
- [43] G. Tian *Finite-time singularity of Kähler-Ricci flow*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **28** (2010), no. 3, 1137–1150.
- [44] G. Tian, Z. Zhang *On the Kähler-Ricci flow on projective manifolds of general type*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **27** (2006), no. 2, 179–192.
- [45] V. Tosatti *Limits of Calabi-Yau metrics when the Kähler class degenerates*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **11** (2009), no.4, 755–776.
- [46] V. Tosatti *Degenerations of Calabi-Yau metrics*, in *Geometry and Physics in Cracow*, Acta Phys. Polon. B Proc. Suppl. **4** (2011), no.3, 495–505.
- [47] V. Tosatti *Calabi-Yau manifolds and their degenerations*, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. **1260** (2012), 8–13.
- [48] D. Wu *Higher canonical asymptotics of Kähler-Einstein metrics on quasi-projective manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **14** (2006), no. 4, 795–845.
- [49] S.-T. Yau *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), no.3, 339–411.
- [50] Y. Zhang *Convergence of Kähler manifolds and calibrated fibrations*, Ph.D. thesis, Nankai Institute of Mathematics, 2006.
- [51] Z. Zhang *Scalar curvature behavior for finite-time singularity of Kähler-Ricci flow*, Michigan Math. J. **59** (2010), no. 2, 419–433.
- [52] Z. Zhang *General weak limit for Kähler-Ricci flow*, arXiv:1104.2961.
- [53] Z. Zhang *Ricci lower bound for Kähler-Ricci flow*, arXiv:1110.5954.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, 2990 BROADWAY, NEW YORK, NY 10027

E-mail address: `tcollins@math.columbia.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, 2033 SHERIDAN ROAD, EVANSTON, IL 60201

E-mail address: `tosatti@math.northwestern.edu`