

Toroidal domain walls as Hopfions

Michikazu Kobayashi^{1,2} and Muneto Nitta³

¹ *Department of Basic Science, University of Tokyo,
Komaba 3-8-1, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan*

² *Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Oiwake-cho,
Kitashirakawa, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

³ *Department of Physics, and Research and Education Center for Natural Sciences,
Keio University, Hiyoshi 4-1-1, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8521, Japan*

(Dated: April 5, 2022)

Abstract

We construct stable domain walls in a shape of a torus in the Faddeev-Skyrme model with a quadratic potential term admitting two discrete vacua. The phase modulus of the domain wall is twisted P and Q times along the toroidal and poloidal cycles of the torus, respectively, where Q represents the constituent lump charge. We find that these solutions carry the Hopf charge PQ and can be regarded as Hopfions. The toroidal domain walls are characterized by the two topological charges (P, Q) , unlike the conventional Hopfions characterized by only the Hopf charge. We explicitly construct stable solutions of the toroidal domain walls with $1 \leq P \leq 3$, and $1 \leq Q \leq 3$, yielding the Hopf charges 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9.

I. INTRODUCTION

Topological solitons are ubiquitous in various subjects in physics from condensed matter physics [1] to high energy physics [2] and cosmology [3]. One of the most simplest and most frequently appearing solitons are kinks in $d = 1 + 1$ dimensions or domain walls $d = 2 + 1$ or larger dimensions, which are present in various condensed matter systems such as optical fibers, ferromagnets, charge density waves, Bose-Einstein condensates, helium superfluids and so on. Domain walls are also studied in high energy physics and cosmology. In supersymmetric theories, domain walls are Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield states preserving a half of supersymmetries and are stable against perturbative and non-perturbative quantum corrections. Domain walls have also been studied in the context of brane-world scenario.

In $d = 3 + 1$ dimensions, domain walls have $2 + 1$ dimensional world-volume. Flat and linear world-volume are usually the most stable ground state of the domain walls. A question arises. Is it always the case that domain walls have flat shapes? Can they be spherical or of Riemann surfaces?

In this paper, we construct stable domain walls of a torus shape. The model which we consider is an $O(3)$ sigma model with a four derivative (Skyrme) term, known as the Faddeev-Skyrme (FS) model [4, 5], with the ferromagnetic or Ising-type potential, that is, a potential term admitting two discrete vacua [6]. The FS model without a potential term is known to admit Hopfions, *i.e.* solitons with the Hopf charge $\pi_3(S^2) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ [5, 7–11]. In particular, Hopfions with the Hopf charge 7 or higher were found to have knot structures [8, 10, 11]. Hopfions in the FS model with different potential terms were also studied before [12–14], but the ferromagnetic potential was not studied. From the construction, our toroidal domain walls carry the Hopf charge [7, 15] and consequently they can be regarded as Hopfions.

The $O(3)$ model with a potential term with two discrete vacua admits a domain wall solution interpolating the two discrete vacua [16, 17]. The domain wall has the position and $U(1)$ phase moduli. In $d = 2 + 1$ a closed domain line or a wall ring with the $U(1)$ modulus twisted Q times is nothing but a lump with the lump charge Q [18] in the absence of the Skyrme term [6, 19]. While this wall ring is unstable to shrink in the absence of the Skyrme term, it is stable in the presence of the Skyrme term. This is nothing but a baby Skyrmion [21, 22]. In $d = 3 + 1$, the twisted wall ring is linearly extended along one direction to a stable tube. In this paper, we further make a closed loop of the tube with the $U(1)$

modulus twisted P times, in order to construct a stable toroidal domain wall. Therefore the $U(1)$ modulus is twisted P and Q times along the toroidal and poloidal cycles of the torus, respectively. This solution carries the Hopf charge $C = PQ$ [7, 10, 15], and is also topologically equivalent to a Q -torus studied in [23] in which time-dependent stationary solutions in the model without the Skyrme term were discussed. We explicitly construct stable solutions of the toroidal domain walls with $1 \leq P \leq 3$, and $1 \leq Q \leq 3$, yielding the Hopf charges 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9. We conclude that the Hopfions with the Hopf charge C in our model are further classified into a set of infinite series characterized by the two topological charges (P, Q) with $C = PQ$, where Q is the constituent lump charge.

This is in contrast to the conventional Hopfions as knot solitons classified only by the only Hopf charge C in the original FS model, in which configurations with different sets (P, Q) with $C = PQ$ are topologically equivalent and can be deformed to each other [10]. In our model, configurations with different sets (P, Q) with $C = PQ$ are topologically distinct and are all stable (or metastable) at absolute (or local) minima of the energy, because of the existence of a potential barrier among them.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, after our model is given, we construct twisted domain wall rings in $d = 2 + 1$ dimensions. They can be linearly extended to domain wall tubes in $d = 3 + 1$. In Sec. III, we construct twisted closed domain wall tubes, resulting in toroidal domain walls. The $U(1)$ modulus is twisted along the both cycles of the torus. Section IV is devoted to discussions.

II. THE MODEL AND SOLITONS IN $1 + 1$ AND $2 + 1$ DIMENSIONS

Let $\mathbf{n}(x) = (n_1(x), n_2(x), n_3(x))$ be a unit three vector of scalar fields with a constraint $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 1$. The Lagrangian of our model is given by $(\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3)$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \cdot \partial^\mu \mathbf{n} - \mathcal{L}_4(\mathbf{n}) - V(\mathbf{n}). \quad (1)$$

Here, the four-derivative (Faddeev-Skyrme) term is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_4(\mathbf{n}) = \kappa F_{\mu\nu}^2 = \kappa [\mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \times \partial_\nu \mathbf{n})]^2 = \kappa (\partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \times \partial_\nu \mathbf{n})^2 \quad (2)$$

with a “field strength,”

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \times \partial_\nu \mathbf{n}). \quad (3)$$

We take the potential term [16, 22]

$$V(\mathbf{n}) = m^2(1 - n_3^2), \quad (4)$$

admitting two discrete vacua $n_3 = \pm 1$ in order to allow a domain wall solution. In condensed matter physics, this potential is well known in ferromagnets, so we call it ferromagnetic of the Ising-type. The energy density of static configurations is

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_a \mathbf{n} \cdot \partial^a \mathbf{n}) + \mathcal{L}_4(\mathbf{n}) + V(\mathbf{n}) \quad (5)$$

with $a = 1, 2, 3$.

In $d = 1 + 1$ dimensions, a kink (an anti-kink) solution interpolating these two vacua in the absence of the Skyrme term is given by [16, 17, 24]

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x^1) &= 2 \arctan \exp(\pm \sqrt{2}m(x^1 - X)), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, \\ n_1 &= \cos \alpha \sin \theta(x^1), \quad n_2 = \sin \alpha \sin \theta(x^1), \quad n_3 = \cos \theta(x^1), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with a phase modulus α ($0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi$) and the translational modulus $X \in \mathbf{R}$ of the domain wall. A domain wall solution in the presence of the Skyrme term was studied in Ref. [25]. In $d = 2 + 1$ and $d = 3 + 1$ dimensions, the kink can be linearly extended to a domain line and a domain wall, respectively, with a world-volume.

In $d = 2 + 1$ dimensions, one can consider a closed domain line. If the $U(1)$ modulus α of the domain line winds Q times along the wall ring, it carries the topological lump charge of $\pi_2(S^2) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ [19], given by

$$Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2x F_{12} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2x \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2x \epsilon_{ijk} n_i \partial_1 n_j \partial_2 n_k. \quad (7)$$

This twisted closed wall line is prevented against shrinking by the presence of the Skyrme term [20]. It is nothing but a baby Skyrmion [21]. In Fig. 1, we give our numerical solutions




FIG. 1: A twisted domain wall ring as a baby Skyrmion with the lump charges of $Q = 1$ (left), $Q = 2$ (middle), and $Q = 3$ (right). (a): The texture $\mathbf{n}(x)$. Color of each arrow show the value of n_3 . (b): The total energy density \mathcal{E} . (c): The topological lump charge density $c \equiv F_{12}/(4\pi)$. The numerical box satisfies the Neumann boundary condition. As numerical parameters, we fix $m^2 = 8000$ for all figures, and $\kappa/L^4 = 0.4 \times 10^{-3}$ for left figures ($Q = 1$), $\kappa/L^4 = 0.1 \times 10^{-3}$ for middle figures ($Q = 2$), and $\kappa/L^4 = 0.5 \times 10^{-4}$ for right figures ($Q = 3$) where L is the size of the numerical box.

of twisted domain wall rings with the lump charges $Q = 1$, $Q = 2$, and $Q = 3$ constructed by a relaxation method. One can see that the topological lump charge density as well as the energy density is uniformly distributed along the rings. The multi-winding solutions with $Q = 2$ and $Q = 3$ are stable and are not split into $Q = 1$ rings. The size of the lump soliton becomes larger for the higher lump charge Q within the fixed κ and m . In our numerical simulation, to make the sizes of lump solitons within different Q almost same, we use the smaller κ for higher Q .




FIG. 2: The two cycles of the torus. The toroidal and poloidal cycles are denoted by α and β , respectively. The \odot and \otimes denote the vacua at the north and south of the target space S^2 , respectively. The $U(1)$ modulus is twisted P and Q times along the cycles α and β , respectively.

III. TOROIDAL DOMAIN WALLS IN 3 + 1 DIMENSIONS

The domain wall ring can be linearly extended to a domain wall tube in $d = 3 + 1$ dimensions. Here we further consider a closed domain wall tube, *i.e.*, a toroidal domain wall. Such a toroidal domain wall is unstable unless the $U(1)$ modulus is twisted along the torus. Therefore, we twist the $U(1)$ modulus along the torus, resulting in a doubly twisted toroidal domain wall. First, let us put a charge Q (or $-Q$) lump in the $y > 0$ (or $y < 0$) region of the y - z plane,

$$Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{x=0, y>0} dydz F_{23} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{x=0, y<0} dydz F_{23}. \quad (8)$$

Then, let us rotate it around the z -axis with twisting the $U(1)$ modulus P times in the 2π rotation. Here, P can be expressed as

$$2P = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{z=0} dx dy F_{12}, \quad (9)$$

where the factor 2 in the left hand side is because of the two rings of the cross section of a torus and the x - y plane. In the final configuration, the $U(1)$ modulus is twisted P and Q times along the α and β cycles of the torus, respectively, as in Fig. 2.

Below, we show that a toroidal domain wall characterized by the two integers (P, Q) carries the Hopf charge of $\pi_3(S^2) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ defined by

$$C = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\mu\nu} A_\rho, \quad (10)$$




FIG. 3: A cut along the β cycle of the toroidal domain walls. The gray $n_3 = 0$ surfaces represent domain wall tubes that separate the two vacua $\mathbf{n} = (0, 0, 1)$ denoted by \odot outside the tube and $\mathbf{n} = (0, 0, -1)$ denoted by \otimes inside the tube. Along the tubes, there are sequences of lumps with the lump charges of (a) $Q = 1$ and (b) $Q = 2$. From the bottom to the top, \mathbf{n} rotates by $2\pi P$ ($P = 1$) in the n_1 - n_2 plane, defining the number of twists P . The yellow and green curves indicate the preimages of $\mathbf{n} = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{n} = (-1, 0, 0)$ along the tubes respectively. We find that the preimages of $\mathbf{n} = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{n} = (-1, 0, 0)$ are linked once in (a) ($Q = 1$) and twice in (b) ($Q = 2$).

with a “gauge field” A_μ satisfying $\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu = F_{\mu\nu}$ [15], and that the Hopf charge in fact coincides with the product of the numbers of the twisting of the $U(1)$ phase along the α and β cycles:

$$C = PQ. \quad (11)$$

To show this, we promote configurations with the target space S^2 to those with S^3 by the Hopf map. With introducing two complex scalar fields $\phi^T = (\phi^1, \phi^2)$ satisfying

$|\phi^1|^2 + |\phi^2|^2 = 1$, the three-vector scalar fields n_i can be written by the Hopf map

$$n_i = \phi^\dagger \sigma_i \phi, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) \quad (12)$$

by using the Pauli matrices σ_i . Note that ϕ parametrizes $S^3 \simeq SU(2)$ because of this constraint. As an initial configuration, we consider an ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^T = & \left(\sin [\cos^{-1}\{\sin f(r) \sin \theta\}] e^{-iQ \tan^{-1} \frac{\sin f(r) \cos \theta}{-\cos f(r)}}, \cos [\cos^{-1}\{\sin f(r) \sin \theta\}] e^{iP\phi} \right), \\ & 0 \leq r < \infty, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where a monotonically decreasing function $f(r)$ satisfies the boundary condition

$$f(r \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow \pi, \quad f(r \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0. \quad (14)$$

From the Hopf map in Eq. (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 = \sin \Theta \cos(Q\Phi), \quad n_2 = \sin \Theta \sin(Q\Phi), \quad n_3 = \cos \Theta, \\ \Theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{X^2 + Y^2}}{Z}, \quad \Phi = \tan^{-1} \frac{Y}{X}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with X , Y , and Z defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \sin \theta \{ \sin f(r) \sin(P\phi/Q) + \{1 - \cos f(r)\} \cos \theta \cos(P\phi/Q) \}, \\ Y &= \sin \theta \{ -\sin f(r) \cos(P\phi/Q) + \{1 - \cos f(r)\} \cos \theta \sin(P\phi/Q) \}, \\ Z &= \cos^2 \theta + \cos f(r) \sin^2 \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The configuration given in Eq. (15) is isomorphic to a toroidal domain wall with (P, Q) and its Hopf charge C can be obtained through the Hopf map in Eq. (12) from the Skyrme

charge $\pi_3(S^3) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ of the fields ϕ in Eq. (13):

$$\begin{aligned}
C &:= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{ABCD} m^A \partial_1 m^B \partial_2 m^C \partial_3 m^D \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dr \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi r^2 \sin \theta \frac{PQ f'(r) \sin^2 f(r)}{r^2} \\
&= \frac{PQ}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dr \frac{d}{dr} \{f(r) - \sin f(r) \cos f(r)\} \\
&= PQ,
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

with $\phi^1 = m^1 + im^2$ and $\phi^2 = m^3 + im^4$ ($A, B, C, D = 1, 2, 3, 4$).

We further note that a preimage of a point in the target space S^2 is a closed loop in the real space, and that the Hopf charge is equivalent to the linking number of two preimages of two points in the target space [15]. In Fig. 3, we cut the torus along the β cycle and arrange it as a straight tube along the α cycle, whose top and bottom are identified. Figures 3 (a) and (b) show two configurations with the different lump charges $Q = 1$ and $Q = 2$, with the same twisting $P = 1$ along the α cycle. The preimage of $\mathbf{n} = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{n} = (-1, 0, 0)$ are drawn as curves in Fig. 3 (a) and (b). We find in Fig. 3 (a) that the linking number of the preimages of $\mathbf{n} = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{n} = (-1, 0, 0)$ is one if we identify the bottom and the top. We thus have found that it carries the unit Hopf charge, *i.e.*, $C = PQ = 1$.

For the lump charge $Q = 2$ in Fig. 3 (b), there exist two curves for the preimages of $\mathbf{n} = (1, 0, 0)$ at each slice $z = \text{constant}$ for $Q = 2$, each of which rotates clockwise with the angle π along the α cycle. They are glued to each other to become one closed curve rotating 2π along the α cycle. The same structure can be seen for the preimages of $\mathbf{n} = (-1, 0, 0)$ which is linked twice with the preimages of $\mathbf{n} = (1, 0, 0)$. Consequently, the linking number of the two preimages is two and the Hopf charge for the lump charge $Q = 2$ is two, *i.e.*, $C = PQ = 2$.

The same is true for configuration with an arbitrary lump charge Q ; there exist Q curves for the preimages of $\mathbf{n} = (\pm 1, 0, 0)$ at each slice. They rotate clockwise with the angle $2\pi/Q$ and are glued together to be one closed curve rotating 2π along the α cycle. Then, the preimage of $\mathbf{n} = (1, 0, 0)$ has a linking number Q with the preimage of $\mathbf{n} = (-1, 0, 0)$ at the tube center, implying that the Hopf charge is Q *i.e.*, $C = PQ = Q$. If we rotate the $U(1)$ modulus P times along the α cycle, it further carries the Hopf charge $C = PQ$.

In Fig. 4, we present our numerical solutions of toroidal domain walls with $(P, Q) =$




FIG. 4: Toroidal domain walls with $(P, Q) = (1, 1)$, $(2, 1)$ and $(3, 1)$ for the left, middle and right figures, respectively. (a): Isosurface plot of the $n_3 = 0$ region. (b) The texture $\mathbf{n}(x)$ and (c) the total energy density \mathcal{E} on the cross section of the y - z plane. (d) The texture $\mathbf{n}(x)$ and (e) the total energy density \mathcal{E} on the cross section of the x - y plane. The numerical box satisfies the Neumann boundary condition. As numerical parameters, we fix $m^2 = 8000$, and $\kappa/L^4 = 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$.




FIG. 5: Toroidal domain walls with $(P,Q) = (1,2), (2,2)$ and $(3,2)$ for the left, middle and right figures, respectively. We use $\kappa/L^4 = 0.1 \times 10^{-3}$, and the same m^2 and the boundary condition as those in Fig. 4.




FIG. 6: Toroidal domain walls with $(P,Q) = (1,3), (2,3)$ and $(3,3)$ for the left, middle and right figures, respectively. We use $\kappa/L^4 = 0.5 \times 10^{-4}$, and the same m^2 and the boundary condition as those in Fig. 4.

$(1, 1)$, $(2, 1)$, and $(3, 1)$. The corresponding Hopf charges are 1, 2, 3. One can see a pair of a lump (with the charge Q) and an anti-lump (with the charge $-Q$) in the section of the y - z plane, as in Figs. 4 (b) and (c). On the other hand, two wall rings with large and small radii with the common center are both lumps with the charge $P(> 0)$ in the section of the x - y plane, as in Fig. 4(d) and (e). For higher P , the size of the toroidal domain wall becomes slightly thinner as can be seen in the figure.

Figures 5 and 6 show our numerical solution of toroidal domain walls with higher Q , that is, $(P, Q) = (1, 2), (2, 2)$, and $(3, 2)$ in Fig. 5 (the Hopf charges are 2, 4, 6) and $(P, Q) = (1, 3), (2, 3)$, and $(3, 3)$ in Fig. 6 (the Hopf charges are 3, 6, 9). For higher Q , the toroidal domain wall becomes fatter because the underlying lump soliton becomes larger. To make the sizes of toroidal domain walls within different Q almost same, we use smaller κ for higher Q as well as the case of the wall rings.

Our toroidal domain walls classified by the two topological charges (P, Q) are all stable. The Hopfions with the Hopf charge C in our model are further classified into a set of infinite series characterized by the two topological charges (P, Q) with $C = PQ$. On the other hand, conventional Hopfions in the original FS model are classified only by C ; Configurations with different sets (P, Q) with $C = PQ$ are topologically equivalent and can be deformed to each other [10]. In particular, configurations with the higher Q would not be stable. In our model, configurations with different sets (P, Q) are topologically distinct and are all stable at the local minima of the energy. Our numerical solutions imply the existence of a potential barrier among them. This is a new feature of our model which does not exist in the original FS model.

IV. DISCUSSION

If we give a linear time dependence of the $U(1)$ phase, the lumps on each section of the torus become Q-lumps [26] and our solution becomes a Q-torus which was studied without the Skyrme term in [23]. In our case, the time dependence is not necessary for the stability, while it is necessary in their case because of the absence of the Skyrme term. The stability analysis of a Q-torus without the Skyrme term is an open question.

Our model can be promoted to a $U(1)$ gauge theory coupled with two massive charged complex scalar fields (with a four derivative term on the scalar fields, see Appendix B of

[27]), in which lumps are promoted to semi-local vortices [28]. In the strong gauge coupling limit, the gauge theory goes back to the massive $O(3)$ sigma model. If we give a linear time dependence of the $U(1)$ modulus, it resembles a vorton [9].

Our model admits a D-brane soliton, namely, a domain wall on which lump-strings ending on from the both sides in the absence of the Skyrme term [29, 30]. This solution will be slightly modified by the presence of the Skyrme term. A configuration made of a domain wall and an anti-domain wall stretched by lump-strings were considered in [6], in which it was discussed that such a configuration is unstable to decay, resulting in a creation of Hopfions studied in this paper. Our model is the unique model admitting a domain wall, lump-strings and Hopfions as codimension one, two, and three solitons, respectively. As denoted above, the lump strings can end on the domain wall. It is also an interesting problem if a Hopfion can constitute a composite soliton with a domain wall or lump strings. Interaction between Hopfions and that between a Hopfion and a domain wall or a lump string also remain as future topics.

The FS model can be made supersymmetric if one adds another four derivative term [27, 31]. The potential term which we consider is well known in supersymmetric theory. However, the extra four derivative term tends to destabilize Hopfions at least in the absence of the potential term.

We can extend our model to the CP^N model or the Grassmannian sigma model. With the appropriate (supersymmetric) potential term, the CP^N model (without the Skyrme term) is known to admit N vacua and $N - 1$ parallel domain walls interpolating them [32] or junctions of these domain walls [33]. The Grassmannian sigma model with the (supersymmetric) potential term [34] admits non-Abelian domain walls [35] or non-Abelian wall junction [36]. The CP^N model with the Skyrme-like four derivative terms was studied in [37]. The CP^N model with the Skyrme-like terms and the potential term may allow multi-layered toroidal domain walls, which remains as an open question.

Acknowledgements

We thank the organizers of the conference “Quantized Flux in Tightly Knotted and Linked Systems,” held in 3 - 7 December 2012 at Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, where this work was initiated. This work is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for

Scientific Research (Grant No. 22740219 (M.K.) and No. 23740198 and 25400268 (M.N.)) and the work of M. N. is also supported in part by the “Topological Quantum Phenomena” Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (No. 23103515 and 25103720) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan.

- [1] G. E. Volovik, *The Universe in a Helium Droplet*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (2003).
- [2] N. S. Manton and P. Sutcliffe, “Topological solitons,” *Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (2004) 493 p.*
- [3] A. Vilenkin and E. P. S. Shellard, *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects*, (Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics), Cambridge University Press (July 31, 2000).
- [4] L. D. Faddeev, Princeton preprint IAS-75-QS70.
- [5] L. D. Faddeev and A. J. Niemi, “Knots and particles,” *Nature* **387**, 58 (1997) [hep-th/9610193].
- [6] M. Nitta, “Knots from wall–anti-wall annihilations with stretched strings,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 121701 (2012) [arXiv:1205.2443 [hep-th]].
- [7] J. Gladikowski and M. Hellmund, “Static solitons with nonzero Hopf number,” *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 5194 (1997) [hep-th/9609035].
- [8] R. A. Battye and P. M. Sutcliffe, “Knots as stable soliton solutions in a three-dimensional classical field theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 4798 (1998) [hep-th/9808129]; “Solitons, links and knots,” *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **455**, 4305 (1999) [hep-th/9811077].
- [9] E. Radu and M. S. Volkov, “Existence of stationary, non-radiating ring solitons in field theory: knots and vortons,” *Phys. Rept.* **468**, 101 (2008) [arXiv:0804.1357 [hep-th]].
- [10] J. Hietarinta and P. Salo, “Ground state in the Faddeev-Skyrme model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 081701 (2000).
- [11] P. Sutcliffe, “Knots in the Skyrme-Faddeev model,” *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **463**, 3001 (2007) [arXiv:0705.1468 [hep-th]].
- [12] D. Foster, “Massive Hopfions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 085026 (2011) [arXiv:1012.2595 [hep-th]].
- [13] D. Harland, J. Jaykka, Y. Shnir and M. Speight, “Isospinning hopfions,” arXiv:1301.2923 [hep-th].
- [14] R. A. Battye and M. Haberichter, “Classically Isospinning Hopf Solitons,” arXiv:1301.6803

[hep-th].

- [15] H. J. de Vega, “Closed Vortices and the HOPF Index in Classical Field Theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 2945 (1978); A. Kundu and Y. P. Rybakov, “Closed Vortex Type Solitons With Hopf Index,” *J. Phys. A* **15**, 269 (1982).
- [16] E. R. C. Abraham and P. K. Townsend, “Q kinks,” *Phys. Lett. B* **291**, 85 (1992); “More on Q kinks: A (1+1)-dimensional analog of dyons,” *Phys. Lett. B* **295**, 225 (1992).
- [17] M. Arai, M. Naganuma, M. Nitta and N. Sakai, “Manifest supersymmetry for BPS walls in N=2 nonlinear sigma models,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **652**, 35 (2003) [hep-th/0211103]; “BPS wall in N=2 SUSY nonlinear sigma model with Eguchi-Hanson manifold,” In *Arai, A. (ed.) et al.: A garden of quanta* 299-325 [hep-th/0302028].
- [18] A. M. Polyakov and A. A. Belavin, “Metastable States of Two-Dimensional Isotropic Ferromagnets,” *JETP Lett.* **22**, 245 (1975) [*Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **22**, 503 (1975)].
- [19] M. Nitta, “Defect formation from defect–anti-defect annihilations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 101702 (2012) [arXiv:1205.2442 [hep-th]].
- [20] M. Kobayashi and M. Nitta, “Jewels on a wall ring,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 085003 (2013) [arXiv:1302.0989 [hep-th]].
- [21] B. M. A. Piette, B. J. Schroers and W. J. Zakrzewski, “Multi - Solitons In A Two-Dimensional Skyrme Model,” *Z. Phys. C* **65**, 165 (1995); “Dynamics of baby skyrmions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **439**, 205 (1995).
- [22] T. Weidig, “The baby Skyrme models and their multi-skyrmions,” *Nonlinearity* **12**, 1489-1503 (1999).
- [23] S. Bolognesi and M. Shifman, “Q torus in N=2 supersymmetric QED,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 125024 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0379 [hep-th]].
- [24] M. Nitta, “Correspondence between Skyrmions in 2+1 and 3+1 Dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 025013 (2013) [arXiv:1210.2233 [hep-th]]; M. Nitta, “Matryoshka Skyrmions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **872**, 62 (2013) [arXiv:1211.4916 [hep-th]].
- [25] A. E. Kudryavtsev, B. M. A. Piette and W. J. Zakrzewski, “Skyrmions and domain walls in (2+1) dimensions,” *Nonlinearity* **11**, 783 (1998); D. Harland and R. S. Ward, “Walls and chains of planar skyrmions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 045009 (2008).
- [26] R. A. Leese, “Q lumps and their interactions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **366**, 283 (1991); E. Abraham, “Nonlinear sigma models and their Q lump solutions,” *Phys. Lett. B* **278**, 291 (1992).

- [27] M. Eto, T. Fujimori, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, “Higher Derivative Corrections to Non-Abelian Vortex Effective Theory,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **128**, 67 (2012) [arXiv:1204.0773 [hep-th]].
- [28] T. Vachaspati and A. Achucarro, “Semilocal cosmic strings,” *Phys. Rev. D* **44**, 3067 (1991); A. Achucarro and T. Vachaspati, “Semilocal and electroweak strings,” *Phys. Rept.* **327**, 347 (2000) [*Phys. Rept.* **327**, 427 (2000)] [arXiv:hep-ph/9904229].
- [29] J. P. Gauntlett, R. Portugues, D. Tong and P. K. Townsend, “D-brane solitons in supersymmetric sigma models,” *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 085002 (2001) [hep-th/0008221]; M. Shifman and A. Yung, “Domain walls and flux tubes in N=2 SQCD: D-brane prototypes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 125007 (2003) [hep-th/0212293].
- [30] Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, “All exact solutions of a 1/4 Bogomol’nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield equation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 065018 (2005) [hep-th/0405129]; M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, “Solitons in the Higgs phase: The Moduli matrix approach,” *J. Phys. A* **39**, R315 (2006) [hep-th/0602170]; M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta and K. Ohashi, “1/2, 1/4 and 1/8 BPS equations in SUSY Yang-Mills-Higgs systems: Field theoretical brane configurations,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **752**, 140 (2006) [hep-th/0506257].
- [31] E. A. Bergshoeff, R. I. Nepomechie and H. J. Schnitzer, “Supersymmetric Skyrmons In Four-dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **249**, 93 (1985); L. Freyhult, “The supersymmetric extension of the Faddeev model,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **681**, 65 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0310261].
- [32] J. P. Gauntlett, D. Tong and P. K. Townsend, “Multidomain walls in massive supersymmetric sigma models,” *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 025010 (2001) [hep-th/0012178]; D. Tong, “The Moduli space of BPS domain walls,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 025013 (2002) [hep-th/0202012].
- [33] M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, “Webs of walls,” *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 085004 (2005) [hep-th/0506135]; M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi, K. Ohta and N. Sakai, “D-brane configurations for domain walls and their webs,” *AIP Conf. Proc.* **805**, 354 (2006) [hep-th/0509127]; M. Eto, T. Fujimori, T. Nagashima, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, “Dynamics of Domain Wall Networks,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 125025 (2007) [arXiv:0707.3267 [hep-th]].
- [34] M. Arai, M. Nitta and N. Sakai, “Vacua of massive hyperKahler sigma models of nonAbelian quotient,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **113**, 657 (2005) [hep-th/0307274].
- [35] Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, “Construction of non-Abelian walls and their

- complete moduli space,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 161601 (2004) [hep-th/0404198]; Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, “Non-Abelian walls in supersymmetric gauge theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 125014 (2004) [hep-th/0405194]; M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi, K. Ohta and N. Sakai, “D-brane construction for non-Abelian walls,” *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 125006 (2005) [hep-th/0412024]; M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi, K. Ohta, N. Sakai and Y. Tachikawa, “Global structure of moduli space for BPS walls,” *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 105009 (2005) [hep-th/0503033].
- [36] M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, “Non-Abelian webs of walls,” *Phys. Lett. B* **632**, 384 (2006) [hep-th/0508241].
- [37] L. A. Ferreira, “Exact vortex solutions in an extended Skyrme-Faddeev model,” *JHEP* **0905**, 001 (2009) [arXiv:0809.4303 [hep-th]]; L. A. Ferreira, N. Sawado, K. Toda, “Static Hopfions in the extended Skyrme-Faddeev model,” *JHEP* **0911**, 124 (2009) [arXiv:0908.3672 [hep-th]].
- L. A. Ferreira, P. Klimas, “Exact vortex solutions in a CP^N Skyrme-Faddeev type model,” *JHEP* **1010**, 008 (2010) [arXiv:1007.1667 [hep-th]].