

Conformal Higgs model: charged gauge fields can produce a 125GeV resonance

R. K. Nesbet

IBM Almaden Research Center, 650 Harry Road, San Jose, CA 95120-6099, USA

(Dated: February 14, 2019)

The Lagrangian density that defines conformal Higgs scalar field Φ contains $(w^2 - \frac{1}{6}R - \lambda\Phi^\dagger\Phi)\Phi^\dagger\Phi$. The value of λ is shown here to depend on the mass of a field W_2 that combines interacting scalars $W_\mu^+W_\mu^-$ and $Z_\mu^*Z_\mu$. Φ is coupled to this state or resonance by the cosmological time dependence of gravitational Ricci scalar R , known from fitting the implied Friedmann cosmic evolution equation to Hubble expansion data. If the W_2 mass is 125GeV, λ is negative and of order 10^{-88} , in agreement with its empirical value determined by well-established cosmological and electroweak data. Hence neutral scalar field W_2 is a candidate to explain the recently observed LHC resonance. An earlier derivation, restricted to neutral Z_μ , determined parameter w^2 consistent with dark energy density inferred from observed Hubble expansion. The present model predicts that the 125GeV state is accompanied by a short-lived resonance at approximately 173GeV.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 11.15.-q, 98.80.-k

I. INTRODUCTION

The conventional Higgs model of electroweak physics postulates a scalar field Φ [1–3] whose classical field equation has an exact stable solution of finite constant amplitude. Gauge symmetry defines gauge covariant derivatives of Φ that couple it to neutral Z_μ and charged W_μ^\pm gauge boson fields. Gauge boson masses are determined by solving coupled scalar and gauge boson field equations[1, 3] at a semiclassical level.

Gauge boson masses depend only on the existence of a nonzero spacetime constant solution of the Higgs scalar field equation. The standard model of fermion mass postulates direct multiplicative coupling of scalar field Φ to fermion density[2, 3]. These induced masses do not require a stable fluctuation of the scalar field, the usual definition of the long-sought Higgs boson[3].

The conformal Higgs model[4–6], requiring local Weyl scaling covariance[7, 8] of the Φ field, is consistent with observed Hubble expansion and cosmic microwave background (CMB) data[5], without invoking dark matter. The theory retains the Higgs mechanism for gauge boson masses but does not imply stable field fluctuations. This precludes a conventional massive Higgs particle, but also eliminates the longstanding problem of large or possibly infinite zero-point energy of such fluctuations, supporting the conjecture that the recently observed LHC 125GeV resonance[9–11] is a hitherto unknown new particle or field. It will be shown here that extension of the conformal Higgs model to include charged gauge fields implies the existence of such an entity, consistent with a 125GeV resonance.

Higgs field Φ , of spacetime constant magnitude, is inherently a cosmological entity. Postulating universal conformal symmetry for all bare elementary fields[4], Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}_Φ acquires a term dependent on Ricci scalar $R = g_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$, a contraction of the gravita-

tional Ricci tensor[8]. For uniform, isotropic geometry, this determines a modified Friedmann cosmic evolution equation[4, 5] that contains a dark energy term. Limited to the neutral Z_μ field for simplicity, the conformal Higgs model determines dark energy of the correct empirical magnitude[6].

Conformal gravity[8, 12] has recently been applied to fit anomalous galactic rotation data for 138 galaxies[13–15], without dark matter. Formal objections to the theory[16–18] have been discussed and resolved in detail[19, 20]. Together with the conformal Higgs model[5] and a conformal model of dark galactic halos[21], without dark matter, this strongly suggests that for an isolated galaxy dark matter can be eliminated and dark energy explained by consistent conformal theory[4, 8, 12].

The conventional Higgs model postulates incremental Lagrangian density $\Delta\mathcal{L}_\Phi = w^2\Phi^\dagger\Phi - \lambda(\Phi^\dagger\Phi)^2$ for the scalar field[3]. The conformal Higgs model[5] requires an additional gravitational term $-\frac{1}{6}R\Phi^\dagger\Phi$ [8]. Coupled field equations, restricted to gauge field Z_μ [6], determine parameter w^2 , which becomes a cosmological constant in the modified Friedmann cosmic evolution equation[5]. Extended here to include charged gauge fields W_μ^\pm , conformal theory determines both parameters w^2 and λ . Estimated values are consistent with directly measured data from cosmology and electroweak particle physics.

The extended theory implies existence of a spinless neutral field or particle that might account for the recently observed 125 GeV resonance[9–11], with properties anticipated for a massive Higgs boson. Direct coupling of Higgs scalar field Φ to fermions is not implied by conformal theory. This could be reconciled with the standard electroweak model[2] if fermion mass were a dynamical effect of coupling to the 125GeV diboson field considered here.

II. REVIEW OF THEORY

Variational theory for fields in general relativity is a straightforward generalization of classical field theory[22]. Given scalar Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} , action integral $I = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}$ is required to be stationary for all differentiable field variations, subject to appropriate boundary conditions. The determinant of metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ is denoted here by g . Riemannian metric covariant derivatives \mathcal{D}_λ are defined such that $\mathcal{D}_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ [8].

Conformal symmetry is defined by invariance of action integral $I = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}$ under local Weyl scaling, such that $g_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}(x) \Omega^2(x)$ [7] for arbitrary real differentiable $\Omega(x)$, with fixed coordinates x^μ . For any Riemannian tensor, $T(x) \rightarrow \Omega^d(x) T(x) + \mathcal{R}(x)$ defines weight $d[T]$ and residue $\mathcal{R}[T]$. $d[\Phi] = -1$ for a scalar field. Conformal Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} must have weight $d[\mathcal{L}] = -4$ and residue $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{L}] = 0$, up to a 4-divergence[8].

Gravitational field equations are determined by metric functional derivative $X^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta I}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}$. Any scalar \mathcal{L}_a determines energy-momentum tensor $\Theta_a^{\mu\nu} = -2X_a^{\mu\nu}$, evaluated for a solution of the coupled field equations. Generalized Einstein equation $\sum_a X_a^{\mu\nu} = 0$ is expressed as $X_g^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \neq g} \Theta_a^{\mu\nu}$. Hence summed trace $\sum_a g_{\mu\nu} X_a^{\mu\nu}$ vanishes for exact field solutions. Trace $g_{\mu\nu} X_a^{\mu\nu} = 0$ for a bare conformal field[8]. If interacting fields break conformal symmetry, vanishing of this summed trace is a consistency condition for solution of the field equations.

III. CONFORMAL SCALAR FIELD

The fundamental postulate that all primitive fields have conformal Weyl scaling symmetry is satisfied by spinor and gauge fields[23], but not by the conventional scalar field of the Higgs model[3]. A conformally invariant action integral is defined for complex scalar field Φ by Lagrangian density[5, 8]

$$\mathcal{L}_\Phi = (\partial_\mu \Phi)^\dagger \partial^\mu \Phi - \frac{1}{6} R \Phi^\dagger \Phi - \lambda (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2, \quad (1)$$

where R is the gravitational Ricci scalar. The conventional Higgs model[3] postulates incremental Lagrangian density $\Delta \mathcal{L}_\Phi$, which adds term $w^2 \Phi^\dagger \Phi$ to \mathcal{L}_Φ . Because this w^2 term breaks conformal symmetry, universal conformal symmetry requires it to be produced dynamically. The scalar field equation including $\Delta \mathcal{L}$ is[5] $\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \Phi = (-\frac{1}{6} R + w^2 - 2\lambda \Phi^\dagger \Phi) \Phi$. Neglecting second time derivatives of Φ , $\Phi^\dagger \Phi = \phi_0^2 = (w^2 - \frac{1}{6} R)/2\lambda$ generalizes the Higgs construction if this ratio is positive.

Because Ricci scalar R varies on a cosmological time scale, it induces an extremely weak time dependence of ϕ_0^2 , which in turn produces source current densities for the gauge fields. The resulting coupled semiclassical field equations[6] determine nonvanishing but extremely small parameter w^2 , in agreement with the cosmological constant deduced from observational data. This argument

depends only on squared magnitudes of quantum field amplitudes. Although it breaks conformal symmetry, dynamically induced w^2 has been shown[6] to preserve the consistency condition that the trace of the total energy-momentum tensor should vanish[8]. It is shown here that biquadratic term $\lambda(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2$ is determined in conformal theory by charged gauge fields W_μ^\pm .

IV. CONFORMAL HIGGS MODEL RESTRICTED TO NEUTRAL GAUGE FIELD

The Higgs model[3] derives gauge boson mass from coupling via gauge covariant derivatives to a postulated SU(2) doublet scalar field Φ . SU(2) symmetry is broken by a solution of the scalar field equation such that $\Phi^\dagger \Phi = \phi_0^2$, a spacetime constant. Only the charge-neutral component of doublet field Φ is nonzero.

The essential result of the Higgs model, generation of gauge field masses, follows from a simplified semiclassical theory of the coupled scalar and gauge fields[3], extended here to include metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ for the gravitational field. Nonlinear coupled field equations, greatly simplified by assuming the uniform, isotropic cosmological model of Robertson-Walker geometry[4, 8], are solved to obtain definite numerical results[5, 6]. For clarity, application of the conformal Higgs model restricted to neutral gauge field Z_μ is first summarized here, then the argument is extended to charged gauge fields W_μ^\pm .

Gauge invariance replaces bare derivative ∂_μ by gauge covariant derivative $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2} g_z Z_\mu$ [3], defining $g_z^2 = g_b^2 + g_w^2$. This retains \mathcal{L}_Z and augments conformal $\mathcal{L}_\Phi^0 = (\partial_\mu \Phi)^\dagger \partial^\mu \Phi - \frac{1}{6} R \Phi^\dagger \Phi$ by coupling term $\Delta \mathcal{L} = (D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger D^\mu \Phi - (\partial_\mu \Phi)^\dagger \partial^\mu \Phi = \frac{1}{4} g_z^2 \Phi^\dagger Z_\mu^* Z^\mu \Phi + \frac{i}{2} g_z Z_\mu^* \Phi^\dagger \partial^\mu \Phi - \frac{i}{2} g_z Z^\mu (\partial_\mu \Phi)^\dagger \Phi$. Weyl scaling residues in $\Delta \mathcal{L}$ cancel exactly for real gauge fields[24], so that the total energy-momentum tensor is conformal and traceless. The trace condition can be verified for the pure imaginary gauge field Z_μ derived here[6].

Derivatives due to cosmological time dependence act as an extremely weak perturbation of the Higgs scalar field. The scalar field is dressed by an induced gauge field amplitude. Derivatives of the induced gauge field (but not of Φ) can be neglected and are omitted from the derivations here.

Using parametrized $\Delta \mathcal{L}_Z$ for a massive vector field[3],

$$\partial_\nu Z^{\mu\nu} = 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta \Delta I}{\delta Z_\mu^*} = m_Z^2 Z^\mu - J_Z^\mu. \quad (2)$$

$\Delta \mathcal{L}$ from covariant D_μ determines the field parameters:

$$2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta \Delta I}{\delta Z_\mu^*} = \frac{1}{2} g_z^2 \Phi^\dagger \Phi Z^\mu + i g_z \Phi^\dagger \partial^\mu \Phi \quad (3)$$

implies $m_Z^2 = \frac{1}{2} g_z^2 \phi_0^2$, verifying the Higgs mass formula. $J_Z^0 = -i g_z \phi_0^* \partial^0 \phi_0 = -i g_z \frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{\phi_0} \phi_0^* \phi_0$, defining real parameter $\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{\phi_0}$, couples the fields by a pure imaginary source

density. Neglecting derivatives of induced field Z^μ , the gauge field equation reduces to $m_Z^2 Z^\mu = J_Z^\mu$.

If $\Delta\mathcal{L}$ were equivalent to parametrized Higgs model ($w^2 - \lambda\Phi^\dagger\Phi$) $\Phi^\dagger\Phi$, the scalar field equation would be

$$\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\Phi + \frac{1}{6}R\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta\Delta I}{\delta\Phi^\dagger} = (w^2 - 2\lambda\Phi^\dagger\Phi)\Phi. \quad (4)$$

$\Delta\mathcal{L}$ from D_μ determines

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta\Delta I}{\delta\Phi^\dagger} = \frac{1}{4}g_z^2 Z_\mu^* Z^\mu \Phi + \frac{i}{2}g_z(Z_\mu^* + Z_\mu)\partial^\mu\Phi, \quad (5)$$

which implies $w^2 = \frac{1}{4}g_z^2 Z_\mu^* Z^\mu$. Pure imaginary Z_μ does not affect λ .

The standard Higgs model omits R and assumes $w^2, \lambda > 0$. $\Phi^\dagger\Phi = \phi_0^2 = w^2/2\lambda$ is an exact solution of the scalar field equation for any constant phase factor.

This implies $\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{\phi_0} = 0$, which does not couple the fields.

In conformal theory, solving the modified Friedmann equation determines Ricci scalar $R(t)$, which varies in cosmological time, but retains $\frac{1}{6}R - w^2 > 0$ [5]. Hence, for $\lambda < 0$, $\Phi^\dagger\Phi = \phi_0^2 = (\frac{1}{6}R - w^2)/(-2\lambda)$. The coupled nonlinear equations of conformal theory preclude a runaway solution for ϕ_0^2 regardless of the sign of λ [6]. Even if w^2 and λ remain constant, time-dependent R implies $\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{\phi_0} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\dot{R}}{R-6w^2} \neq 0$. From the modified Friedmann equation, fitted to redshift and CMB data and integrated to present time t_0 [5, 6], $\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{\phi_0}(t_0) = -.6053 \times 10^{-17} s^{-1}$. This implies small but nonvanishing source current J_Z^μ . From the scalar field equation, $w^2 = \frac{1}{4}g_z^2|Z|^2 = (\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{\phi_0})^2$, in units such that $\hbar = c = 1$.

If charged gauge fields W_μ^\pm are omitted, parameter λ is not determined. w^2 and ϕ_0 are well-defined if $\lambda < 0$, as required by empirical $R > 6w^2$ [6]. Confirming the standard Higgs model, gauge field Z_μ acquires mass m_Z from coupling to Φ .

The coupled fields break conformal and SU(2) symmetries. Time-dependent R implies nonvanishing real $\dot{\phi}_0$ and pure imaginary J_Z^0 . Complex solutions of the gauge field equations, induced by pure imaginary current densities, exist but do not preserve gauge symmetry. Imaginary gauge field amplitudes model quantum creation and annihilation operators. Only the squared magnitudes of these nonclassical entities have classical analogs.

V. CHARGED GAUGE FIELDS

In standard Higgs theory, it is assumed that charged component Φ_1 of the postulated SU(2) doublet scalar field vanishes identically, while $\Phi^\dagger\Phi = \phi_0^2$ is a spacetime constant. In conformal theory, ϕ_0 varies on a cosmological time scale[6]. Derived from the covariant derivatives of Φ [3], with $\Phi_1 \equiv 0$,

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = \left(-\frac{ig_w}{\sqrt{2}}W_{\mu-}\Phi^\dagger\right)\left(\frac{ig_w}{\sqrt{2}}W_{\mu+}\Phi\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + (\partial_\mu + \frac{ig_z}{2}Z_\mu^*)\Phi^\dagger(\partial^\mu - \frac{ig_z}{2}Z^\mu)\Phi - \partial_\mu\Phi^\dagger\partial^\mu\Phi \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{2}g_w^2W_{\mu-}W_{\mu+}^\dagger + \frac{1}{4}g_z^2Z_\mu^*Z^\mu\right)\Phi^\dagger\Phi \\ & - \partial_\mu\Phi^\dagger\left(\frac{1}{2}ig_zZ_\mu\Phi\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}ig_zZ_\mu^*\Phi^\dagger\right)\partial^\mu\Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Following the derivation given above for the neutral field only, this verifies the standard gauge boson mass formulae $m_Z^2 = \frac{1}{2}g_z^2\phi_0^2, m_W^2 = \frac{1}{2}g_w^2\phi_0^2$. $\Delta\mathcal{L}$ implies source current density $J_Z^0 = -ig_z\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{\phi_0}\Phi^\dagger\Phi$, which determines Higgs parameter $w^2 = \frac{1}{4}g_z^2Z_\mu^*Z^\mu$. $W_{\mu-}W_{\mu+}^\dagger$ is shown below to depend explicitly on $\Phi^\dagger\Phi$, thus contributing to $-\lambda(\Phi^\dagger\Phi)^2$.

Although an independent field W_μ^\pm would violate charge neutrality, there is no contradiction in treating neutral composite scalar $WW = g_{\mu\nu}W^\mu W_\nu^\dagger$ as an independent field or particle, in analogy to atoms, molecules, and nuclei. Bare WW must interact strongly with corresponding neutral scalar field $ZZ = g_{\mu\nu}Z^\mu Z^\nu$, through exchange of quarks and leptons. Assuming that the interacting bare fields produce relatively stable $W_2 = WW \cos\theta_x + ZZ \sin\theta_x$ and complementary resonance $Z_2 = -WW \sin\theta_x + ZZ \cos\theta_x$, W_2 can dress the bare Φ field while maintaining charge neutrality. This will be shown here to determine Higgs parameter λ .

$\Delta\mathcal{L}$ does not determine a direct source current density for bare field WW . However, simultaneous creation of paired fields Z_μ^*, Z^μ can produce the dressed field W_2 . The rate of simultaneous excitation is the product of independent transition rates, expressed by $J_{ZZ}/\Phi^\dagger\Phi = (J_{Z_\mu^*}^*/\Phi^\dagger\Phi)(J_Z^\mu/\Phi^\dagger\Phi)$. This implies $J_{ZZ}\Phi^\dagger\Phi = J_{Z_\mu^*}^*J_Z^\mu = g_z^2(\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{\phi_0})^2(\Phi^\dagger\Phi)^2$. Assuming a W_2 particle or resonance that contains the linear combination $WW \cos\theta_x + ZZ \sin\theta_x$, the effective source current is $J_{W_2} = J_{ZZ} \sin\theta_x$. Neglecting derivatives in an effective Klein-Gordon equation, the induced field amplitude is $W_2 = J_{W_2}/m_{W_2}^2$. Given $WW = W_2 \cos\theta_x - Z_2 \sin\theta_x$, W_2 projects onto WW with factor $\cos\theta_x$. Term $\frac{1}{2}g_w^2 WW\Phi^\dagger\Phi$ in $\Delta\mathcal{L}$ becomes $\frac{1}{4}g_w^2 \sin 2\theta_x J_{ZZ}\Phi^\dagger\Phi/m_{W_2}^2 = -\lambda(\Phi^\dagger\Phi)^2$, where dimensionless $\lambda = -\frac{1}{4}g_w^2 g_z^2 \sin 2\theta_x (\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{\phi_0})^2 \hbar^2/m_{W_2}^2 c^4$. Mass m_{W_2} must be consistent with empirical $\lambda \sim -10^{-88}$ [6].

VI. W_2 PARTICLE AND Z_2 RESONANCE

A model Hamiltonian matrix can be defined in which indices 0,1 refer respectively to bare neutral scalar states $WW = g_{\mu\nu}W_\mu^\dagger W_\nu^\dagger, ZZ = g_{\mu\nu}Z^\mu Z^\nu$. Assumed diagonal elements are $H_{00} = 2m_W = 160 GeV, H_{11} = 2m_Z = 182 GeV$, for empirical masses m_W and m_Z . Intermediate quark and lepton states define a large complementary matrix \hat{H} indexed by $i, j \neq 0, 1$, with eigenvalues ϵ_i , and off-diagonal elements A_{i0}, A_{i1} . \hat{H} determines energy-

dependent increments in a 2×2 reduced matrix

$$H_{ab} - \mu_{ab} = H_{ab} - \sum_{i \neq 0,1} \tilde{A}_{ai}^\dagger (\epsilon_i - \epsilon)^{-1} \tilde{A}_{ib}. \quad (7)$$

$H_{01} - \mu_{01} = (WW|H_{red}|ZZ)$ corresponds to Feynman diagrams for quark and lepton exchange. The most massive and presumably most strongly coupled intermediate field that interacts directly would be tetraquark $T = t\bar{b}b\bar{t}$, whose mass is estimated as $\epsilon_T = 350\text{GeV}$. A simplified estimate of W_2 energy is obtained by restricting intermediate states to the three color-indexed tetraquark states $T = t\bar{b}b\bar{t}$, and assuming elements $\tilde{A}_{T0}, \tilde{A}_{T1}$ of equal magnitude $\alpha/\sqrt{3}$. For the reduced 2×2 matrix, matrix increments $\mu_{ab} \simeq \mu(\epsilon) = \frac{\alpha^2}{\epsilon_T - \epsilon}$ are all defined by a single parameter α^2 . Secular equation

$$(2m_W - \mu(\epsilon) - \epsilon)(2m_Z - \mu(\epsilon) - \epsilon) = \mu^2(\epsilon) \quad (8)$$

is to be solved for two eigenvalues $\epsilon = E_0, E_1$.

Setting $E_0 = 125\text{GeV}$ for the W_2 state, dominated by the bare WW field, determines parameters $\alpha^2 = 4878\text{GeV}^2$, $\mu(E_0) = 21.68\text{GeV}$ and $\tan\theta_x = 0.6138$. Using α^2 determined by E_0 , the present model predicts $E_1 = 173\text{GeV}$, with $\mu(E_1) = 27.62\text{GeV}$. This higher eigenvalue is the energy of a resonance Z_2 dominated by the bare ZZ field. Z_2 decay into bare WW , two free charged gauge bosons, is allowed by energy conservation, but not into bare ZZ . Composite field W_2 cannot decay spontaneously into either WW or ZZ .

Identifying E_0 with the observed 125GeV resonance, and using $g_w = 0.6312$ and $g_z = 0.7165$ with computed $\tan\theta_x = 0.6138$, the value of Higgs parameter λ is -0.463×10^{-88} , consistent with the empirical value inferred from cosmological data.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Postulated universal conformal symmetry modifies both general relativity and the Higgs scalar field model. Higgs parameter w^2 and all mass terms break conformal symmetry and must be generated dynamically. The conformal Higgs model remains valid for gauge boson masses, but the negative sign of parameter λ implied by cosmological data precludes a massive Higgs particle as a stable fluctuation of the scalar field.

The coupled semiclassical field equations of conformal theory imply a very small but nonvanishing source density for the neutral gauge field Z_μ [4, 6]. This results from the cosmological time dependence of gravitational Ricci scalar R in the conformal Higgs scalar field Lagrangian density. Higgs scalar field Φ is dressed by a nonvanishing neutral gauge field, producing parameter w^2 of the correct empirical magnitude for dark energy density[5, 6].

The present paper extends this agreement with empirical data to Higgs parameter λ . Preserving charge neutrality, a virtual double excitation of the Φ field induces a

previously unknown field W_2 , based on strongly interacting bare fields $WW = W_\mu - W_+^\mu$ and $ZZ = Z_\mu^* Z^\mu$, that dresses the bare scalar field Φ , producing parameter λ of the correct empirical sign and magnitude if scalar field W_2 can be identified with the recently observed 125GeV resonance[9–11].

The conformal Higgs model, backed by well-determined cosmological data, does not imply a massive Higgs particle, but supports the alternative interpretation proposed here of the observed 125GeV resonance. If Higgs parameter w^2 were large enough to produce the observed 125GeV resonance, the conformal model would produce dark energy density so large as to blow the universe apart long before the present epoch. This paradox is removed by the present theory. Moreover, without a stable fluctuation, the symmetry-breaking Higgs Φ field does not produce the zero-point energy long anticipated to have disastrous physical consequences if not somehow suppressed. An essential new feature of the conformal Higgs model is the existence of extremely small scale parameter $\frac{\phi_0}{\phi_0}$, unique to conformal theory, which relates cosmology to electroweak physics.

The standard electroweak model[2, 3] attributes fermion mass to direct coupling with the Higgs scalar field, which breaks gauge symmetry and produces gauge boson mass through its finite spacetime constant amplitude. Absence of experimental evidence for dynamical consequences of such coupling has been considered to determine an empirical lower bound for Higgs boson mass[25]. This would rule out mass values below the observed resonance at 125GeV. However, this is consistent with conformal theory, which does not require scalar field Φ to be directly coupled to fermions.

The present model of the 125GeV resonance may conflict with the standard electroweak theory of fermion mass. A possible resolution of this conflict that preserves the empirical success of conformal theory in cosmology as well as that of electroweak theory might be that conformal scalar Φ is in fact not directly coupled to fermions. Fermion mass might then result from explicit coupling to the gauge boson fields, moderated by dynamical coupling to the proposed massive 125GeV W_2 scalar field.

Given a theory for Higgs parameter λ , it now becomes possible to carry solution of the modified Friedmann cosmic evolution equation[5] back to the big-bang epoch, with time-dependent parameters. The reversed sign of the conformal gravitational constant in uniform, isotropic geometry[4, 8] implies rapid expansion due to the primordial mass and radiation density. The implied time variation of conformal Higgs amplitude ϕ_0 is relevant to nucleosynthesis, because it directly affects the Fermi constant for β -decay.

Dynamical models of galactic clusters should be revised to take into account the non-Newtonian gravitational effects of conformal halo theory[21]. It cannot yet be concluded that dark matter is needed to explain galactic evolution and cluster dynamics.

-
- [1] P. W. Higgs, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **13**, 508 (1964).
- [2] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *Introduction to Quantum Field Theory* (Westview Press, Boulder, CO, 1995).
- [3] W. N. Cottingham and D. A. Greenwood, *An Introduction to the Standard Model of Particle Physics* (Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1998).
- [4] R. K. Nesbet, *Entropy* **15**, 162 (2013).
- [5] R. K. Nesbet, *Mod.Phys.Lett.A* **26**, 893 (2011).
- [6] R. K. Nesbet, (arXiv:1004.5097v4 [physics.gen-ph]).
- [7] H. Weyl, *Sitzungber.Preuss.Akad.Wiss.* , 465 (1918); *Math.Zeit.* **2**, 384 (1918).
- [8] P. D. Mannheim, *Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.* **56**, 340 (2006).
- [9] M. Della Negra, P. Jenni, and T.S. Virdee, *Science* **338**, 1560 (2012).
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration, *Phys.Lett.B* **716**, 1 (2012).
- [11] CMS Collaboration, *Phys.Lett.B* **716**, 30 (2012).
- [12] P. D. Mannheim, *Found.Phys.* **42**, 388 (2012).
- [13] P. D. Mannheim and J. G. O'Brien, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **106**, 121101 (2011).
- [14] P. D. Mannheim and J. G. O'Brien, *Phys.Rev.D* **85**, 124020 (2012).
- [15] J. G. O'Brien and P. D. Mannheim, *Mon.Not.R.Astron.Soc.* **421**, 1273 (2012).
- [16] E. E. Flanagan, *Phys.Rev.D* **74**, 023002 (2006).
- [17] Y. Brihaye and Y. Verbin, *Phys.Rev.D* **80**, 124048 (2009).
- [18] Y. Yoon, *Phys.Rev.D* **88**, 027504 (2013).
- [19] P. D. Mannheim, *Phys.Rev.D* **75**, 124006 (2007).
- [20] R. K. Nesbet, (arXiv:1410.8076v2 [astro-ph.GA]).
- [21] R. K. Nesbet, (arXiv:1109.3626v4 [physics.gen-ph]).
- [22] R. K. Nesbet, *Variational Principles and Methods in Theoretical Physics and Chemistry* (Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2003).
- [23] B. S. DeWitt, in *Relativity, Groups, and Topology*, C. DeWitt and B. S. DeWitt, eds. (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1964).
- [24] P. D. Mannheim, *Gen.Relativ.Gravit.* **43**, 703 (2011), (arXiv:0909.0212v5 [hep-th]).
- [25] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, G. Kane, and S. Dawson. *The Higgs Hunter's Guide* (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA, 1990).