

# Measuring Fast Neutrons with Large Liquid Scintillation Detector for Ultra-low Background Experiments

C. Zhang <sup>a,b</sup>, D.-M. Mei <sup>a,\*</sup>, P. Davis <sup>a</sup>, B. Woltman <sup>a</sup>, F. Gray <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Physics, The University of South Dakota, Vermillion, South Dakota 57069*

<sup>b</sup>*College of Sciences, China Three Gorges University, Yichang 443002, China*

<sup>c</sup>*Department of Physics and Computational Science, Regis University, Denver, Colorado 80221*

---

## Abstract

We developed a 12-liter volume neutron detector filled with the liquid scintillator EJ301 that measures neutrons in an underground laboratory where dark matter and neutrino experiments are located. The detector target is a cylindrical volume coated on the inside with reflective paint (95% reflectivity) that significantly increases the detector's light collection. We demonstrate several calibration techniques using point sources and cosmic-ray muons for energies up to 20 MeV for this large liquid scintillation detector. Neutron-gamma separation using pulse shape discrimination with a few MeV neutrons to hundreds of MeV neutrons is shown for the first time using a large liquid scintillator.

*Key words:* liquid scintillator, neutron detection, underground experiments

*PACS:* 25.30.Mr, 28.20-v, 29.25.Dz, 29.40.Mc

---

## 1 Introduction

The Sanford Underground Research Facility (SURF) was chosen as a site for ultra-low background experiments. The current two experiments are the direct detection of dark matter utilizing xenon with the Large Underground Xenon (LUX) [1] experiment and the search for neutrinoless double-beta using germanium with the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR [2]. Understanding the

---

\* Corresponding author.

*Email address:* dongming.mei@usd.edu (D.-M. Mei).

in-situ background levels is extremely important for these rare-event physics experiments. Although positioning experiments in a deep underground laboratory significantly suppresses the background caused by cosmic-ray muons, the residual muons still create fast neutrons [3]. The intensity of the muon-induced neutrons depends largely on the depth of the underground laboratory [3]. The energy spectrum, multiplicity, and angular distribution of the muon-induced neutrons are not particularly well measured. In addition, there are also fast neutrons from  $(\alpha, n)$  reactions that are created in the surrounding rock by natural radioactivity. In this paper we demonstrate a neutron background characterization technique that has been developed for a large liquid scintillation detector.

One major problem in neutron detection is the separation of neutrons from the electromagnetic background caused by gamma rays from the environment and internal contamination of the detector materials. The pulse shape discrimination technique, which uses the difference in the shape of the scintillation pulses generated by neutrons and gamma rays, has been implemented successfully with small neutron detectors for many years [4,5,6,7,8]. Unfortunately, small neutron detectors (of a few liters or less) have low efficiency when detecting neutrons and are high cost compared to size and efficiency. For the successful neutron background characterization, we require a higher efficiency of neutron detection and a broader energy sensitivity, along with lower cost per detector. The development of a relatively large volume detector increases the possibility of significantly improving the neutron detection efficiency. More importantly, it opens a window for exploring the neutron energy at a few MeV up to a few hundred MeV. Although most of the neutron-gamma discrimination experiments were carried out using small detectors, the possibility of neutron-gamma discrimination using time of flight (TOF) measurements have also been investigated using a large neutron detector [9]. In this work we investigate the possibility of using a large volume detector for the direct detection of neutrons with energy of a few ten MeV, with pulse shape discrimination to distinguish from gamma rays.

## 2 Experimental setup

We have constructed a liquid scintillation detector that is 1 meter long and 5 inches (12.7 cm) in diameter. This detector is fabricated using an aluminum cylindrical housing with two Pyrex windows on each side of the cylinder attached to PMTs. To mitigate light loss, the inner surface of the detector was covered with reflective paint EJ520 (Eljen Technology) that has 95% reflectivity. The reflective paint makes scintillation photons scatter multiple times from the detector walls, which partially compensates for the relatively poor PMT photo-cathode coverage. The detector volume was filled with liquid scintillator



Fig. 1. The setup of our liquid scintillation detector. The aluminum foil pans are required for safety in case of leaks.

EJ301, which is specifically designed for neutron-gamma discrimination. Scintillation light is collected by two 5-inch Hamamatsu R4144 PMTs attached to both Pyrex windows. The geometry of the detector setup is presented in Fig. 1. The total photo-cathode coverage of the detector is at about 3% and the PMT quantum efficiency is about 20% for photons with a wavelength of 300-700 nm. The EJ301 is composed of carbon and hydrogen atoms, and has a H/C ratio of 1.212 and a density of  $0.874 \text{ g/cm}^3$ . Its light output is 78% as of anthracene with a maximum emission at 425 nm, which exactly corresponds to the most sensitive region of the Hamamatsu PMTs.

Contamination of oxygen in the liquid scintillator results in a reduction of light output. In order to minimize the amount of oxygen contamination, the scintillator was thoroughly purged with dry argon and then the detector volume was sealed before the measurements were performed. The operational voltages of both PMTs were determined to be 2000 V. In order to avoid saturation from high energy events, especially those close to the PMTs, both PMT output signals are attenuated at 23 dB before connecting to the DAQ. The DAQ consists of a fast flash ADC that analyzes the PMT output signal. The sampling frequency of the flash ADC is 170 MHz, providing a signal amplitude every 5.88 ns. The ADC is controlled by a program based on the MIDAS data acquisition system software [10].

### 3 Energy and position calibration procedures

For a large scintillator, the detector response to energy with each individual PMT is determined by the energy deposition and the position where the incident particles interact with a target in the scintillator. This position dependence is caused by the attenuation of the light in the tube [11]. To obtain




Fig. 2. Position of the incident particle and the total light yield being split and collected by individual PMTs.

the position information, the charge ratio from the two PMTs is used to characterize the position of an incident particle. The following criteria are applied to select events:

- (1) Both PMTs must be triggered.
- (2) Both PMTs must not be saturated.
- (3) Time coincidence is within 30 ns, which is the time difference of the largest sample in the pulse between two PMTs.

Assume an energy deposition of  $E_{tot}$  is created at a distance of  $X$  (distance to the middle, see Fig. 2.), the light collection by two PMTs  $L_{left}$  and  $L_{right}$ , and the total light yield  $L_{tot}$  proportional to  $E_{tot}$ . Without any energy loss, the total light yield would be evenly split by the two PMTs,  $L_{left} = L_{right} = 0.5L_{tot}$ . In reality we have to consider light attenuation, especially for such a big detector. Taking  $l$  as the attenuation length in the scintillator and  $D$  as the total length of the tube, a simple calculation in Eq.(1) shows that the position can be determined by the combination of  $L_{left}$  and  $L_{right}$ , respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{left} &= 0.5L_{tot}e^{-(D/2-X)/l}, \\
 L_{right} &= 0.5L_{tot}e^{-(D/2+X)/l}, \\
 \ln \sqrt{\frac{L_{left}}{L_{right}}} &= X/l.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The light collection  $L_{left}$  and  $L_{right}$  will then be converted to photoelectrons at the photocathode of the PMTs. If we define  $a0$  to stand for the total charge converted from  $L_{left}$  and  $a1$  the total charge converted from  $L_{right}$ ,  $a0$  should be proportional to  $L_{left}$  ( $a0 \propto L_{left}$ ) and  $a1$  proportional to  $L_{right}$  ( $a1 \propto L_{right}$ ). Thus, we can use the charge ratio,  $\ln \sqrt{a0/a1} \propto X/l$ , to interpret the position of the particle along the tube.

The detector response to energy with respect to one of the PMTs for a surface background run is presented in Fig. 3. The value of  $X/l$  varies from -2 to 2 which is four times the attenuation length from one end of the tube to




Fig. 3. Detector response to energy with respect to one of the PMTs for a surface background run.  $X/l$  stands for the relative position of the particle.  $a_0$  is the integrated charge (the pulse area) of the PMT0.

the other. Considering the length of the tube is 1 meter, a mean attenuation length of  $\sim 25$  cm is determined for the light transport in the scintillator. Note that this result is much less than the expected attenuation length for a EJ301 scintillator ( $l > 1$  meter). This is because the light transport in the tube is dominated by the diffusive reflection, which dramatically exacerbates the attenuation.

In the lower energy range, the detected events are dominated by gamma rays from the internal contamination of the detector components. In contrast, events at the high energy range are mainly from the cosmic muons. Clearly, the higher energy curve in Fig. 3 indicates a muon minimum ionization peak of  $\sim 20$  MeV at a 5-inch diameter. This minimum ionization has also been verified using a GEANT4 simulation [12]. The incident cosmic ray muons should be uniformly distributed along the tube (except for edge effects at both ends of the tube), which provides us a natural energy calibration source for energy up to 20 MeV. This curve shows that the energy response (total charge collected by PMT) of a single PMT has strong position dependence. Within the tube, i.e.,  $X/l \in [-2, 2]$ , there are three blocks filled with no data. The blank area at the bottom in Fig. 3 is caused by the energy threshold set for the trigger of the PMTs while the blank areas on both sides are caused by the saturation of the PMTs.




Fig. 4. The position (left) and energy (right) calibration from a  $^{22}\text{Na}$  source in terms of the 1.275 MeV gamma ray line. The data in the left plot is fitted by a third-order polynomial function.

Energy-scale calibration is performed using a  $^{22}\text{Na}$  gamma ray source. The  $^{22}\text{Na}$  radiation source produces two gamma ray lines with 0.511 MeV and 1.275 MeV energies. Measurement is performed by setting the uncollimated source on the top of the aluminum tube every 2.5 cm from one end to the other. Calibration is made using the 1.275 MeV gamma ray line from a  $^{22}\text{Na}$  source. The left plot of Fig. 4 gives a position calibration interpreted by  $X/l$ . It results in nearly linear relation between  $X/l$  and the actual position. The right plot shows a position-dependent energy response to the 1.275 MeV gamma rays from the source.

The position dependence of energy makes the energy calibration complicated. Since both ends of the aluminum tube are coupled to PMTs, the energy scale can be position independent [13] based on the Eq.(2).

$$\sqrt{L_{\text{left}}L_{\text{right}}} = 0.5L_{\text{tot}}e^{-D/2l} \propto E_{\text{tot}}. \quad (2)$$

Since  $\sqrt{L_{\text{left}}L_{\text{right}}}$  is proportional to  $\sqrt{a0 \times a1}$ , we can use  $\sqrt{a0 \times a1}$  to represent the energy scale and avoid the position dependence along the tube. To verify this hypothesis, a plot of  $\sqrt{a0 \times a1}$  versus  $X/l$  has been created in Fig. 5 where a distinct and almost horizontal line is shown for the muon minimum ionization process with the  $\sqrt{a0 \times a1}$  at  $\sim 4000$ . Other than the edge effect at both sides, it shows that the position independence of energy is quite accurate if we use  $\sqrt{a0 \times a1}$  to describe the total energy deposition. Using  $^{22}\text{Na}$  and AmBe [14] sources we also obtain consistent results for gamma ray lines at 1.275 MeV and 4.4 MeV. Therefore, an energy calibration curve is obtained for few MeV up to 20 MeV.




Fig. 5. Plot of  $\sqrt{a_0 \times a_1}$  vs  $X/l$  to show the position independence of energy along the tube. This is a surface run where an AmBe source was placed on top of the tube and 22.5 cm from the right end.

#### 4 Neutron-gamma discrimination

Measurements of neutron-gamma discrimination were carried out using an AmBe neutron source [14]. It was placed vertically 6.5 cm directly above the outer wall of the tube and horizontally 22.5 cm away from PMT0. The neutron emission rate of this source is  $\sim 100$  Hz, and the neutron energy spectrum is up to 11.2 MeV. The digitized pulses from each event have been recorded for data analysis. The analysis procedure includes calculating the total charge per pulse per event and the charge that corresponds to the tail of the pulse (delayed charge). The total charge is defined as the integral under the pulse from 8 samples before to 40 samples after the peak, where the pulse sample is defined as the signal amplitude every 5.88 ns. The delayed charge is the integral under the pulse from 8 to 40 samples after the peak. Since the decay-time constants for scintillation light from neutrons and gamma rays are different, the neutron-gamma discrimination can be obtained using the ratio of the delayed charge to the total charge,  $\frac{d(A)}{t(A)}$ , where  $d(A)$  is the delayed charge integrated over the delayed pulse area and  $t(A)$  is the total charge integrated over the total pulse area.

A dedicated study shows that the neutron-gamma separation can only be




Fig. 6. The performance of neutron-gamma discrimination from PMT0. Relative position  $X/l$  at 0, 0.5, 1 and 1.5 are displayed in the individual plots.

found in a very narrow range of position along the tube (see Fig. 6).

The plots describe the separation of neutrons and gamma rays in terms of their pulse shape differences. It clearly shows that neutron-gamma separation decreases when energy deposition occurs far from the target PMT. This is expected because the diffusive reflection, absorption and re-emission of light washes out the pulse shape difference between neutron and gamma ray events, which happen farther away from the target PMT. Therefore the charge ratio  $delayed1/a1$  from PMT1 is adopted to separate the neutrons at one end of the tube ( $X/l < 0$ ) and  $delayed0/a0$  from PMT0 is used for the other end ( $X/l > 0$ ). The neutron-gamma separation vanishes for a broad position range as shown in Fig. 7.

The plots in Fig. 6 indicate that the gamma ray band and the neutron band are separated when position is held constant. However, they have different slopes as the position varies, which results in the separation disappearing when we use a wide position range. Since  $\sqrt{a0} \times a1$  represents energy, which is found to be independent of the position, the energies ( $x$ -axis) of the invariant data points are kept and the value of  $y$ , or ratios of the charge between the delayed and the total are projected to the horizontal line for all data points (according to the slope  $k$ , i.e.,  $(x, y) \Rightarrow (x, y - kx)$ ). The relation between the position and the slope of the gamma ray band is interpreted in Fig. 8.






Fig. 7. Neutron-gamma discrimination for a broad position range. The top two plots adopt the signals from PMT0 at position ranges  $X/l > 0$  and  $X/l > 0.5$  while the bottom two plots take the signals from PMT1 at position ranges  $X/l < 0$  and  $X/l < -0.5$ .

After summing all the narrow position slices together, a combined neutron-gamma separation plot is obtained as shown in Fig. 9. In order to get better neutron-gamma separation, the condition  $|X/l| > 0.5$  is required to limit the positions to values that are not too far away from the PMTs. In order to compare the improvement of the separation, the figure of merit [15] that describes the goodness of separation between gamma rays and neutrons is defined below:

$$Goodness = \frac{R_n - R_\gamma}{\sigma_n + \sigma_\gamma}, \quad (3)$$

where  $R$  is a mean of the distribution for the ratio between the delayed charge and the total charge and  $\sigma$  is the Half Width at Half Maximum (HWHM) of the distribution of the charge ratio. The left plot in Fig. 10 illustrates how the goodness of separation is calculated for the position range  $X/l < -0.5$  and the energy at 40 MeV. A Gaussian distribution is assumed for both neutrons and gamma rays. The multiple peaks in the neutron band indicate different recoils induced by protons, alphas, and  $^{12}\text{C}$ . The right plot in Fig. 10 gives the comparison of the figure of merit before and after the position cut, which is illustrated in Fig. 9. It shows that the neutron-gamma separation gets better




Fig. 8. The relation between the position and slope of the gamma ray band.

after position cuts are applied.

Given the neutron energy spectrum of the AmBe source, the maximum neutron recoil energy detected by the detector is up to 6 MeV. However, for a surface run, the cosmogenic neutrons can create higher energy events. Because the AmBe source is much closer to PMT0 than PMT1, we see more source related events in the upper two plots of Fig. 9. There are distinct peaks in the gamma-ray band, which are the muon minimum ionization peaks for  $\sim 20$  MeV and 4.4 MeV gamma-ray lines from AmBe source. Although the muon ionization peak looks diffused in a wide range due to the edge effect, it gives a well defined peak once we remove the range that is close to the PMT ends. The neutron-gamma separation performs well up to 100 MeV, which indicates this detector is able to detect neutrons with energies of up to a hundred MeV. The low energy range ( $< 6$  MeV) of its neutron band is overwhelmed by AmBe neutrons. However, this cannot be separated from the gamma-ray band due to the contamination of 4.4 MeV gamma rays from the AmBe source. This is because some gamma rays associated with neutrons enter into the detector at the same time. In order to remove this source related gamma-ray contamination, 4 inch lead bricks were added between the AmBe source and the detector. The whole setup is simulated using GEANT4 and its geometry is displayed in Fig. 11.

Rather than implementation on the surface, the new AmBe run was performed




Fig. 9. The corrected neutron-gamma separation results in terms of signals from PMT0 (top two) and PMT1 (bottom two). The energies are also corrected based on calibration.




Fig. 10. The left plot is a projection on the charge ratio,  $delayed1/a1$ , for the position range  $X/l < -0.5$  and the energy at 40 MeV. The right plot shows the comparison of the Figure of Merit that characterizes the goodness of separation between neutrons and gamma rays versus the visible energy.

underground at Soudan mine (2100 m.w.e). This has the extra benefit of dramatically reducing the high energy backgrounds from cosmic rays. The entire process of data analysis and reconstruction is shown in Fig. 12. Comparing the upper right plot in Fig. 9 to the upper left plot of Fig. 12, one can clearly see that the high energy component has almost disappeared. This is expected because the 800 meters of rock overburden highly suppresses the intensity of




Fig. 11. Setup for the run with an AmBe source at Soudan Mine underground. The source is located 22.5 cm away from the right hand PMT and above two layers of lead bricks (total 4 inch).




Fig. 12. A calibration with an AmBe source at the Soudan mine underground. The upper left plot is a combination of the AmBe source signal and background data. The upper right plot gives source signal with background subtracted. The bottom left plot shows neutrons separated from gammas at 1.3 MeV energy and for a  $delayed0/a0 < 0.25$  charge ratio cut. The bottom right plot displays the processes for neutron energy reconstruction. The result is compared with simulation.

cosmic rays from the atmosphere. After the background is subtracted, as seen in the upper-right plot of Fig. 12, the low energy gamma rays from the internal contamination are thoroughly removed. The background subtraction is not very effective at the high energy muon tail due to the limitation of our statistics. The 4.4 MeV gamma ray line from the AmBe source is detected by the detector shown in Fig. 12. A 1.3 MeV energy cut is set on the neutron band to cut off data that is affected by random noise at low energy. This 1.3 MeV visible energy is equivalent to 4 MeV recoil energy by applying the quenching factor measured for EJ301 (NE213) and BC-501A by several groups [16,17,18] including KamLAND [19]. Quenching factor is a convolution of the ionization efficiency and scintillation efficiency induced by nuclear recoils [20]. These two efficiencies are well described by Lindhard's theory [21] and Birks law [22]. Although the composition of the liquid scintillator we use is a little bit different from that of KamLAND, both quenching factors induced by nuclear recoils are dominated by nearly the same ionization efficiency. Therefore, a small difference of less than 5% in the quenching factor between EJ301 (NE213) and KamLAND's scintillator offers a cross check and confirms our use of the quenching factor. Pure neutrons are obtained after appropriate separation from gamma ray and noise as shown in the bottom left plot of Fig. 12. In order to determine the recoil energy, the quenching factor is applied to the visible energy of the neutrons, as shown in the bottom right plot Fig. 12. A GEANT4 based simulation has been performed to compare with the measurement data. A good agreement is found for recoil energies above 4 MeV.

The neutrons and gamma rays are not well separated around 4 MeV, as shown in the upper right plot of Fig. 12. This indicates that 4 inch thick lead bricks might not be thick enough to block such high energy gamma rays. The neutron signals are still suffering contamination from gamma rays, although there is an improvement over no shielding. In addition, the low energy range of the neutron band has an overlap with the gamma ray band. This is because the pulse shape of low energy events is easily affected by the attenuation of light in the tube and the fluctuation of the pedestal level of the ADC. A 23 dB attenuator applied to the signal makes the signal-to-noise ratio even worse. The trigger rate is very high in the low energy range, which was mainly contributed as internal contamination in the detector. It will become a challenge if we attempt to measure low energy ( $\alpha,n$ ) neutrons in an underground laboratory. We may have to consider sacrificing the sensitivity at low energies to improve the data quality.

A test has been conducted using the same AmBe run, but with a raised the energy threshold as shown in Fig. 13 ( $\text{maxSam} = \text{maximum sample} - \text{pedestal}$ ) for both PMTs. The top two plots show those events with low energies and the positions close to one PMT. This causes a weak signal at the other PMT that is removed by raising the threshold. These events are poor quality in




Fig. 13. The improvement of data quality from raising the energy threshold for both PMTs. The left three plots are the data collection with the conditions:  $maxSam0 > 30$ ,  $maxSam1 > 30$ ; the right three plots are the results with cuts:  $maxSam0 > 110$ ,  $maxSam1 > 130$ . The bottom four plots apply a further cut on  $X/l > 0.5$ .

terms of their pulse shapes to at least one of the PMTs. Since the value of  $maxSam$  represents the magnitude of the energy deposition in the same way as the integrated charge, the ratio of  $(a0/a1) : (maxSam0/maxSam1)$  should be a constant if both PMTs are triggered by the same event.

The middle two plots in Fig. 13 clearly show how low energies are affected by poor quality events and how they have been improved by raising the energy threshold. The ratio,  $(a0/a1) : (maxSam0/maxSam1)$  is found to be a constant ( $\sim 1$ ) from 2 MeV up to a few ten MeV. The comparison of the bottom two plots in Fig. 13 gives more information. First, the phenomenon of neutron-

gamma superposition in the low energy range is eliminated. Second, neutrons can be easily identified from the gamma ray band. Finally, gamma rays are well characterized by themselves, e.g. the 2.6 MeV gamma ray line from radioactive decay and the 4.4 MeV gamma-ray line from the AmBe source. The high energy events are barely affected by raising the threshold. It is worth pointing out that the energy threshold of the neutron band is slightly larger than the gamma ray band in the bottom right plot Fig. 13. This is because we set the same threshold on the maximum sample for both neutrons and gamma rays. However, the delayed pulses induced by neutrons is larger than that of gamma rays. Therefore, the energy of neutrons represented by the integrated area is slightly larger than that of the gamma rays.

## 5 Discussion and Conclusion

Other than protons, high energy neutrons induce recoil deuteron, alphas, and  $^{12}\text{C}$  in scintillators, which causes distinct bands in the pulse shape spectrum for small size detectors [23]. For a large detector, these features are also observed at positions very close to the PMTs. For those recoils occurring away from PMTs, the features are washed out due to the complexity of light transmission.

With a 12 liter liquid scintillation detector, the surface muon minimum ionization peak is utilized to calibrate the detector with an energy of up to  $\sim 20$  MeV. The representation of position using the parameter  $X/l$  is found to be very convenient for interpreting the features of light transport in the scintillator. Energy independence, in terms of position, is found based on a simple mechanism, which makes the energy reconstruction much easier. It is also useful to separate neutrons from gamma rays when a wide range of positions are combined. because of this, we have demonstrated the position dependent neutron-gamma separation. A pulse shape analysis procedure has been performed to distinguish neutrons from gamma rays. A new algorithm has been developed for a large liquid scintillation detector to directly measure neutrons at a few MeV to a few hundred MeV.

The direct measurement of fast neutrons, traditionally, can be performed using the time of flight (TOF) technique. However, the small solid angle coverage dramatically limits its efficiency. Because of the extremely low intensity of neutrons, it is almost impossible to perform such a measurement for the fast neutrons in a deep underground laboratory. Rather than using TOF measurements, we provide a new method to directly measure high energy neutrons with a much better detection efficiency ( $\sim 30\%$  at 10 MeV for the AmBe run) and at a relatively low cost. Depending on the needs of the underground depth, an array of such neutron detector modules could be employed to increase the detection efficiency. We conclude that a large scintillation detector can be used

to measure fast neutrons for ultra-low background experiments underground.

## 6 Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Yongchen Sun, Keenan Thomas, Christina Keller, Kareem Kazkaz, and Priscilla Cushman for their invaluable suggestions and help. This work was supported in part by NSF PHY-0758120, PHYS-0919278, PHYS-1242640, DOE grant DE-FG02-10ER46709, the Office of Research at the University of South Dakota and a 2010 research center support by the State of South Dakota.

## References

- [1] S. Fiorucci, et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 1200 (2010) 977, arXiv:0912.0482.
- [2] C.E. Aalseth, et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 1182 (2009) 88, arXiv:0907.1581.
- [3] D.-M. Mei and A. Hime, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 053004, arXiv: astro-ph/0512125.
- [4] O. Barneba et. al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 410 (1998) 220-228.
- [5] S. Bose et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 276 (1988) 487-491.
- [6] S. Normand, B. Mouanda, S. Haan, S. Louvel, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 484 (2002) 342-350.
- [7] P.-A. Söderström, J. Nyrberg, R. Wolters, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 594 (2008) 79-89.
- [8] Z. W. Bell, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 188 (1981) 105-109.
- [9] S. Ito, K. Maeda, T. Fukuda, O. Konno, T. Suda, M. Takeya, T. Terasawa, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 354 (1995) 475-478.
- [10] Ritt, Stefan, and P. Amaudruz, The Midas DAQ System. Proc. of the 10th IEEE Real Time Conference, 1997.
- [11] J. Zhu et. al., Journal of the Korean Physical Society, Vol. 47, No. 2, August 2005, pp. 202-206.
- [12] S. Agostinelli, et al, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 506 (2003) 250-303; K. Amako et al., IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 53 (2006) 270-278.
- [13] P. Nelson, N.S. Bowden, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 660 (2011) 77-82.
- [14] K. W. Geiger and L. Van Der Zwan, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 131 (1975) 315-321.



- [15] G. F. Knoll, *Radiation Detection and Measurement*, 4th Edition, 2010.
- [16] Noriaki Nakao et al., *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A* 362 (1995) 454-465.
- [17] R. A. Cecil, B. D. Anderson, and R. Madey, *Nucl. Instr. and Meth.* 161 (1979) 439-447.
- [18] A. Aksoy et al., *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A* 337 (1994) 486-491.
- [19] S. Yoshida, et al., *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A* 622 (2010) 574-582.
- [20] D.-M. Mei et. al., *Astro. Part. Phys.* 30 (2008) 12-17.
- [21] J. Lindhard et. al., *Mat. Fys. Medd. K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk.* 33 (1963) 1.
- [22] J. B. Birks and F. A. Black, *Proc. Phys. Soc. A* 64 (1951) 511.
- [23] M. Moszyński, et al., *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A* 343 (1994) 536-572.